

Is a Circular Orbit Possible According to General Relativity?

F.T. Hioe* and David Kuebel

Department of Physics, St. John Fisher College, Rochester, NY 14618

and

Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA

February 15, 2022

Abstract

A new parameter space is used to classify circular orbits in the Schwarzschild metric.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Jb, 02.90.+p

In Newtonian mechanics, the answer to the question "Is a circular orbit possible for a particle in a gravitational field?" is a simple yes. In general relativity, the answer that is given in most texts on this subject is not based on the exact solution of the orbit in the Schwarzschild metric, but is based on an analysis that makes use of the effective potential. Our treatment of this question is based on the explicit analytic expressions for the trajectories of a particle with a non-zero mass in the Schwarzschild metric that include bound, unbound and terminating orbits that we presented recently [1,2]. An understanding of how the various circular orbits given by the effective potential analysis are related to the exact solutions is important for clarity and for giving a definitive answer to the question.

As we pointed out in ref.1, the trajectories of a non-zero mass particle can be conveniently characterized and placed on a "map" in a dimensionless parameter space (e, s) , where we have called e the energy parameter and s the field parameter. The parameter space (e, s) , where $0 \leq e \leq \infty$, and $0 \leq s \leq \infty$, can be divided into two regions: Region I characterized by $0 \leq s \leq s_1$, where $s_1(e)$ is well defined, allows terminating orbits as well as the elliptic- ($0 \leq e < 1$), parabolic- ($e = 1$), and hyperbolic-type ($e > 1$) orbits, while Region II characterized by $s > s_1$ allows terminating orbits only. It will be seen that this parameter space needs to be extended in order to represent all possible circular orbits.

The Schwarzschild geometry is the static spherically symmetric gravitational field in the empty space surrounding some massive spherical object (which we shall refer to as a star or a black hole even though this also includes the case of a planet such as Jupiter or Earth) of mass M . We will consider a test particle

(which can be a spacecraft, an asteroid, or a planet), with its position relative to the star or black hole described in the spherical coordinates r, θ, ϕ , moving in the equatorial plane $\theta = \pi/2$. Let E be the total energy of the particle in its orbit and m_0 its rest mass, and define

$$\kappa \equiv \frac{E}{m_0 c^2}. \quad (1)$$

Let

$$h \equiv r^2 \dot{\phi}, \quad (2)$$

which can be identified as the angular momentum per unit rest mass of the particle, and where the derivative $\dot{}$ represents $d/d\tau$ and τ is the proper time along the path. The Schwarzschild radius α is defined by

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{2GM}{c^2}, \quad (3)$$

where G is the universal gravitation constant, and c is the speed of light. Making the substitution

$$u = \frac{1}{r}, \quad (4)$$

the 'combined' energy equation [3]

$$\dot{r}^2 + \frac{h^2}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{r}\right) - \frac{c^2 \alpha}{r} = c^2(\kappa^2 - 1) \quad (5)$$

together with eq.(2) can be expressed as

$$\left(\frac{du}{d\phi}\right)^2 + u^2 = \frac{c^2(\kappa^2 - 1)}{h^2} + \frac{c^2 \alpha}{h^2} u + \alpha u^3. \quad (6)$$

As shown in ref.1, it is more convenient to work with the dimensionless parameter U defined by

$$U = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\alpha}{r} - \frac{1}{3} \right), \quad (7)$$

in terms of which eq.(6) can be expressed as

$$\left(\frac{dU}{d\phi}\right)^2 = 4U^3 - g_2 U - g_3, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 &= \frac{1}{12} - s^2, \\ g_3 &= \frac{1}{216} - \frac{1}{12} s^2 + \frac{1}{4} (1 - e^2) s^4, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and where the dimensionless parameter

$$e = \left[1 + \frac{h^2 c^2 (\kappa^2 - 1)}{(GM)^2} \right]^{1/2} \quad (10)$$

that ranges from 0 to ∞ is called the energy eccentricity parameter or simply the energy parameter, and the dimensionless parameter

$$s = \frac{GM}{hc} \quad (11)$$

that ranges from 0 to ∞ is called the gravitational field parameter or simply the field parameter. It is seen that the energy parameter e actually depends on the energy, the gravitational field, and the angular momentum of the particle, and the field parameter s depends on the gravitational field and the angular momentum of the particle, but not on the energy.

The parameter space in our work presented in refs.1 and 2 was confined to $e \geq 0$ and $s \geq 0$. General relativity in fact allows an extended parameter space that includes $e^2 < 0$. Let us first note what $e^2 \geq 0$ and $e^2 < 0$ mean physically. From eqs.(2), (5), and (10), we find that $e^2 \geq 0$ or < 0 respectively imply

$$\dot{r}^2 + \left(r\dot{\phi} - \frac{GM}{r^2\dot{\phi}} \right)^2 \geq 0 \text{ or } < \frac{2GM}{c^2} r\dot{\phi}^2 .$$

The right-hand side of the above inequalities is 0 in the Newtonian limit, and thus it is important to note that while the parameter space $e^2 \geq 0$ has its Newtonian correspondence, the "extended" space characterized by $e^2 < 0$ does not have a Newtonian correspondence. In the following discussion, we shall first confine our results to the parameter space $e \geq 0$, and then discuss the additional results from those that include the extended parameter space.

The discriminant Δ of the cubic equation

$$4U^3 - g_2U - g_3 = 0 \quad (12)$$

is defined by

$$\Delta = 27g_3^2 - g_2^3 \quad (13)$$

and divides the parameter space (e, s) for $e \geq 0$ and $s \geq 0$ into two regions: Region I corresponding to $\Delta \leq 0$ covers the values of the field parameter $0 \leq s \leq s_1$, and Region II corresponding to $\Delta > 0$ covers the values of $s > s_1$, where s_1 as a function of e is given by [1]

$$s_1^2 = \frac{1 - 9e^2 + \sqrt{(1 - 9e^2)^2 + 27e^2(1 - e^2)^2}}{27(1 - e^2)^2}, \quad (14)$$

for $e \neq 1$, and $s_1^2 = 1/16$ for $e = 1$. We note that the parameter value s_1 that characterizes the (upper) boundary of Region I decreases very little from $s_1 = (2/27)^{1/2} = 0.272165..$ for $e = 0$ to $s_1 = 1/4 = 0.250000$ for $e = 1$, but that

it decreases more quickly as e increases beyond 1 and $s_1^2 \rightarrow (\sqrt{27}e)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $e \rightarrow \infty$ [4]. Region I has terminating and non-terminating orbits that include bound (for $0 \leq e < 1$) and unbound orbits (for $e \geq 1$), and Region II has terminating orbits only.

In Region I ($\Delta \leq 0$), the three roots of the cubic equation (13) are all real. We call the three roots e_1, e_2, e_3 and order them so that $e_1 > e_2 > e_3$. Define a dimensionless distance q measured in units of the Schwarzschild radius α by

$$q \equiv \frac{r}{\alpha}, \quad (15)$$

which is related to U of eq.(7) by

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{3} + 4U. \quad (16)$$

The equation for the non-terminating orbits in Region I, bound and unbound, and for $0 \leq e \leq \infty$, is given in terms of Jacobian elliptic functions [5] of modulus k by

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{3} + 4e_3 + 4(e_2 - e_3)sn^2(\gamma\phi, k), \quad (17)$$

where $e_1 > e_2 \geq U > e_3$ [6]. The constant γ appearing in the argument and the modulus k of the Jacobian elliptic functions are given in terms of the three roots of the cubic equation (12) by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= (e_1 - e_3)^{1/2}, \\ k^2 &= \frac{e_2 - e_3}{e_1 - e_3}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and the roots e_1, e_2, e_3 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= 2 \left(\frac{g_2}{12} \right)^{1/2} \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{3} \right), \\ e_2 &= 2 \left(\frac{g_2}{12} \right)^{1/2} \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{3} + \frac{4\pi}{3} \right), \\ e_3 &= 2 \left(\frac{g_2}{12} \right)^{1/2} \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{3} + \frac{2\pi}{3} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where

$$\cos \theta = g_3 \left(\frac{27}{g_2^3} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (20)$$

The modulus k of the elliptic functions has a range $0 \leq k^2 \leq 1$ as θ varies from 0 to π . The period of $sn^2(\gamma\phi, k)$ is $2K(k)$, where $K(k)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind [5]. For $k = 0$, $sn(x, 0) = \sin x$, $cn(x, 0) = \cos x$,

$dn(x, 0) = 1$, and for $k^2 = 1$, $sn(\gamma\phi, 1) = \tanh(\gamma\phi)$, $cn(\gamma\phi, 1) = dn(\gamma\phi, 1) = \text{sech}(\gamma\phi)$. As k^2 increases from 0 to 1, $K(k)$ increases from $\pi/2$ to ∞ .

The orbits given by eq.(17) for $0 \leq e < 1$, $e = 1$, and $e > 1$ will be referred to as the elliptic-, parabolic- and hyperbolic-type orbits respectively, even though their shapes can differ greatly from the conic sections that arise in Newtonian mechanics, as shown in ref.1. They correspond to the cases for which $0 \leq \kappa^2 < 1$, $\kappa^2 = 1$, and $\kappa^2 > 1$ respectively, where κ is defined by eq.(1).

For the elliptic-type ($0 \leq e < 1$) orbit, the distance r of the planet from the center of the star or black hole assumes the same value when its polar angle ϕ increases from ϕ to $\phi + 2K/\gamma$. Comparing this with the increase of ϕ from ϕ to $\phi + 2\pi$ in one revolution for the planet, the precession angle is the difference of the two and is given by

$$\Delta\phi = \frac{2K(k)}{\gamma} - 2\pi. \quad (21)$$

For k^2 close to the value 1, the particle can make many revolutions around the star or black hole before assuming a distance equal to its initial distance.

The maximum distance r_{\max} (for $0 \leq e \leq 1$) of the particle from the star or black hole and the minimum distance r_{\min} (for $0 \leq e \leq \infty$) of the particle from the star or black hole, or their corresponding dimensionless forms q_{\max} ($= r_{\max}/\alpha$) and q_{\min} ($= r_{\min}/\alpha$), are obtained from eq.(17) when $\gamma\phi = 0$ and when $\gamma\phi = K(k)$ respectively, and they are given by

$$\frac{1}{q_{\max}} = \frac{1}{3} + 4e_3, \quad (22)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{q_{\min}} = \frac{1}{3} + 4e_2. \quad (23)$$

In contrast to the energy eccentricity e defined by eq.(10), the true eccentricity ε of the elliptic- and parabolic-type type ($0 \leq e \leq 1$) orbit given by eq.(17) is defined by

$$\varepsilon = \frac{r_{\max} - r_{\min}}{r_{\max} + r_{\min}} = \frac{q_{\max} - q_{\min}}{q_{\max} + q_{\min}} = \frac{e_2 - e_3}{1/6 + e_2 + e_3}, \quad (24)$$

and the true eccentricity ε coincides with the eccentricity e only when $s = 0$ for $0 \leq e < 1$ ($\varepsilon = 1$ when $e = 1$ for all values of s). The relationship between e and ε was exhibited in ref.1.

We now describe in some detail two special cases (i) $k^2 \simeq 0$ and (ii) $k^2 = 1$ which relate to the possibility of circular orbits for the parameter space being considered ($e \geq 0$).

(i) The special case of $k^2 \simeq 0$.

First we note that if $k^2 = 0$ exactly, then it follows from eqs.(18) and (19) that this requires $\theta = 0$, and thus $e_1 = 2(g_2/12)^{1/2}$, $e_2 = e_3 = -(g_2/12)^{1/2}$, and from eq.(20) that $s = 0$, from eq.(13) that $\Delta = 0$, and from eq.(24) that $\varepsilon = 0$.

Thus we find $e_1 = 1/6$, $e_2 = e_3 = -1/12$, and $\gamma = 1/2$. Because $s = 0$ implies zero gravitational field, it is important to recognize that the classical Newtonian case corresponds to the case of $s \simeq 0$ and $k^2 \simeq 0$, and not $s = 0$ and $k^2 = 0$ exactly.

Consider the Newtonian limit $s \simeq 0$ and $k^2 \simeq 0$. The constant $c^2(\kappa^2 - 1)$ which is < 0 for a bound orbit and ≥ 0 for an unbound orbit, can be identified with $2E_0/m$ in the Newtonian limit, where E_0 is the sum of the kinetic and potential energies and is < 0 for a bound orbit, and is ≥ 0 for an unbound orbit, and m is the mass of the particle (which approaches m_0). In this limit we therefore obtain

$$e \simeq \left[1 + \frac{2E_0 h^2}{m(GM)^2} \right]^{1/2},$$

and eq.(17) reduces to the corresponding Newtonian orbits given by

$$\frac{1}{r} \simeq \frac{GM}{h^2} (1 - e \cos \phi)$$

for $e > 0$, while the case for $e = 0$ will be analyzed more carefully below. We note that, just like the Newtonian orbit equation above for which the cases $0 \leq e < 1$ and $e \geq 1$ give rise to bound (elliptic) and unbound (parabolic and hyperbolic) orbits, the single analytic expression represented by eq.(17) with the same criteria for e gives rise to precessing bound (elliptic-type) and unbound (parabolic- and hyperbolic-type) orbits. We should also note that in general relativity a second parameter s with a range $0 \leq s \leq s_1$, where s_1 is given by eq.(14), is needed, for these three types of orbits to be realized. For the case $s > s_1$, all orbits are terminating.

We now consider the Newtonian limit characterized by very small values of s and k^2 for the case $e = 0$ more precisely. Setting $e = 0$ in g_3 in eq.(9) and using eq.(20), the expansion of $\cos \theta$ is found to be

$$\cos \theta = 1 - 2^2 \cdot 3^3 s^6 - 2 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 s^8 - \dots \quad (25)$$

from which we find

$$\theta = 2 \cdot 3 \sqrt{2 \cdot 3} s^3 \left(1 + \frac{3^2 \cdot 5}{2^2} s^2 + \dots \right). \quad (26)$$

From eq.(19), we then calculate the expansions [7]

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \frac{1}{6} - s^2 - 3s^4 - 20s^6 + \dots \\ e_2 &= -\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{2}s^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}s^3 + \frac{3}{2}s^4 + \frac{21\sqrt{2}}{8}s^5 + 10s^6 + \dots \\ e_3 &= -\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{2}s^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}s^3 + \frac{3}{2}s^4 - \frac{21\sqrt{2}}{8}s^5 + 10s^6 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

From eq.(18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}s^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}s^3 - \frac{27}{4}s^4 + \frac{33\sqrt{2}}{8}s^5 + \dots \\ k^2 &= 4\sqrt{2}s^3 \left(1 + \frac{45}{4}s^2 + \dots\right),\end{aligned}\quad (28)$$

and from [5] $K(k) = (\pi/2)(1 + k^2/4 + \dots)$, we find

$$K(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{2}s^3 + \dots\right). \quad (29)$$

Using the above equations and eq.(19), the orbit equation (17) becomes

$$\frac{1}{q} \simeq 2s^2 \left\{1 - \sqrt{2}s [1 - 2sn^2(\gamma\phi, k)]\right\},$$

or, after substituting eqs.(3), (11) and (15),

$$\frac{1}{r} \simeq \frac{GM}{h^2} \left\{1 - \sqrt{2}s [1 - 2sn^2(\gamma\phi, k)]\right\}, \quad (30)$$

where γ and k^2 are given by eq.(28). The elliptic function $sn(\gamma\phi, k)$ can be expanded in power series in s for $e = 0$ as

$$sn(\gamma\phi, k) = \sin \frac{\phi}{2} - \frac{3\phi}{2}s^2 \cos \frac{\phi}{2} + \dots$$

To order s , we find that the Newtonian limit of the orbit equation for $e = 0$ can be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{GM}{h^2} \left(1 - \sqrt{2}s \cos[(1 - \delta)\phi]\right), \quad (31)$$

where $\delta \simeq 3s^2$. Thus, according to general relativity, the Newtonian limit (for s and k^2 very small) of the orbit of a particle for $e = 0$ is not a true circular orbit but is an orbit with an eccentricity equal to $\sqrt{2}s \equiv \sqrt{2}GM/(hc)$, and is thus an elliptical orbit.

Using eqs.(24) and (27), we find the true eccentricity is given by

$$\varepsilon = \sqrt{2}s + \dots$$

in agreement with that given in eq.(31). Only if $s = 0$ does the orbit represented by eq.(31) become a true circular orbit, but then it is the case of zero gravitational field and the radius $h^2/(GM)$ of the orbit is infinite ($h^2/(GM) = (hc/GM)(h/c) = (\infty)(h/c)$ because $s \equiv GM/(hc) = 0$), and thus the particle is going in a straight line.

The precessing elliptical orbit for small s is represented by eq.(31) or eq.(30). The angle of precession, from eq.(21), is given by

$$\Delta\phi \simeq 6\pi s^2 = \frac{6\pi(GM)^2}{(hc)^2}. \quad (32)$$

We recall from previous work [1, 2, 7] that for $e > 0$, $\gamma = [1 - (3 - e)s^2 + \dots]$, $k^2 = 4es^2 + \dots$, the approximate precessing elliptical orbit equation is $1/r = (GM/h^2)\{1 - e \cos[(1 - \delta)\phi]\}$ or $1/r = (GM/h^2)\{1 - e[1 - 2sn^2(\gamma\phi, k)]\}$. Note that to the order of s^2 , we get the same precession angle $\Delta\phi$ given by eq.(32) for all elliptical orbits independent of e .

We now consider the second special case for k^2 .

(ii) The special case of $k^2 = 1$.

It follows from eqs.(18)-(20) and (13) that $\cos\theta = -1$, $e_1 = e_2 = \sqrt{g_2/12}$, $e_3 = -\sqrt{g_2/3}$, $\gamma = (3g_2/4)^{1/4}$, $\Delta = 0$. The range of s values is given by eq.(14) and gives the boundary between Regions I and II. On this boundary defined by $k^2 = 1$ we have $g_2 > 0$, $g_3 < 0$, and the relation between the two is $\sqrt[3]{g_3} = -\sqrt{g_2/3}$.

For $k^2 = 1$, eq.(17) becomes

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{3} + 4\sqrt{\frac{g_2}{12}} - 12\sqrt{\frac{g_2}{12}} \sec h^2(\gamma\phi, k). \quad (33)$$

The orbit will be referred to as an asymptotic orbit. For $0 \leq e \leq 1$, the particle starts from an initial position q_{\max} at $\phi = 0$ and ends up at $\phi = \infty$ circling the star or black hole with a radius that asymptotically approaches q_{\min} , where q_{\min} is given by

$$\frac{1}{q_{\min}} = \frac{1}{3} + 4\sqrt{\frac{g_2}{12}}, \quad (34)$$

where g_2 is evaluated using $s = s_1$ given by eq.(14). For $e > 1$, the particle starts from an initial position at infinity at an angle Ψ_1 to the horizontal axis given by

$$\tanh^2(\gamma\Psi_1) = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{18}\sqrt{\frac{3}{g_2}},$$

and ends up at $\phi = \infty$ circling the star or black hole with a radius that asymptotically approaches q_{\min} .

A possible case of circular orbits is the case of those limiting circles that the asymptotic orbits given by eq.(33) become. The range of the radius q_c given by q_{\min} of eq.(34), using the values of s_1 given after eq.(14), is between 2.25 for $e = 0$ and 1.5 for $e = \infty$, i.e. the radii r_c of the limiting circular orbits are in the range

$$\frac{3GM}{c^2} \leq r_c \leq \frac{4.5GM}{c^2}, \quad (35)$$

and they occur for the values of $s = s_1$ given by eq.(14). However, it is clear that these circular orbits are unstable because orbits exist which are spirally asymptotic to them [8].

Another possible example of a circular orbit comes up as a special case of the terminating orbits in Region I. The terminating orbit in Region I is given by [1]

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{3} + 4 \frac{e_1 - e_2 \operatorname{sn}^2(\gamma\phi, k)}{cn^2(\gamma\phi, k)}. \quad (36)$$

where $U > e_1 > e_2 > e_3$ and γ, k, e_1, e_2, e_3 are given by eqs.(18) and (19). For $0 \leq e \leq 1$, the particle, starting from the polar angle $\phi = 0$ in a direction perpendicular to the line joining it to the star or black hole, at a distance q_1 from the star or black hole, plunges into the center of the black hole when its polar angle reaches ϕ_1 , where q_1 and ϕ_1 are given by

$$\frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{3} + 4e_1, \quad (37)$$

and

$$\phi_1 = \frac{K(k)}{\gamma}.$$

For $e > 1$, the particle starts from infinity at a polar angle $\phi = \Psi_1 > 0$ to the horizontal axis given by

$$\operatorname{sn}^2(\gamma\Psi_1) = -\frac{\frac{1}{3} + 4e_3}{4(e_2 - e_3)},$$

and plunges into the center of the black hole when its polar angle reaches ϕ_1 .

The terminating orbit given by eq.(36) becomes a circular orbit when $k^2 = 1$, because for $k^2 = 1$, the two roots e_1 and e_2 become equal (see the description before eq.(33)) and $1 - \tanh^2(\gamma\phi) = \operatorname{sech}^2(\gamma\phi)$. We find that the radius q_c of the circular orbit is given by

$$\frac{1}{q_c} = \frac{1}{3} + 4e_1 = \frac{1}{3} + 4\sqrt{\frac{g_2}{12}}, \quad (38)$$

which is the same as the radius of the limiting circular orbit given by eq.(34). Thus q_c ranges from 2.25 for $e = 0$ to 2 for $e = 1$ and to 1.5 for $e = \infty$, and the radius r_c of the circular orbit is in the same range as that given by eq.(35). However, it is obvious that these circular orbits are unstable because a small perturbation would make them into terminal orbits.

We now consider the relationship of these results from the exact solutions given by eqs.(17) and (36), in particular eqs.(22), (23), (34) and (38), to the solutions for the circular orbits obtained from the analysis using the effective potential [9], or from the simple consideration of $r = \text{const.}$. Differentiating eq.(6) with respect to ϕ , we obtain

$$\frac{d^2u}{d\phi^2} + u = \frac{c^2\alpha}{2h^2} + \frac{3\alpha}{2}u^2. \quad (39)$$

Setting $d^2u/d\phi^2 = 0$ gives a quadratic equation in u and the two solutions for u can be expressed in q given by eq.(15) and in g_2 given by eq.(9) as

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{3} \pm 4 \left(\frac{g_2}{12} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (40)$$

The effective potential analysis [9] chose what seemed to be a reasonable range

$$0 \leq s^2 \leq \frac{1}{12} \quad (41)$$

and thus

$$\frac{1}{12} \geq g_2 \geq 0 \quad (42)$$

for the solutions given by eq.(40) to remain real. Applying the inequalities given by eq.(42) to the solution given by eq.(40) with the lower sign (corresponding to the minimum of the effective potential) gives a range $3 \leq q \leq \infty$ or a range for the radius r of a possible stable circular orbit as

$$\frac{6GM}{c^2} \leq r \leq \infty, \quad (43)$$

and applying the same inequalities given by eq.(42) to the solution given by eq.(40) with the upper sign (corresponding to the maximum of the effective potential) gives a range $1.5 \leq q \leq 3$ or a range for the radius r of a possible unstable circular orbit as

$$\frac{3GM}{c^2} \leq r \leq \frac{6GM}{c^2}. \quad (44)$$

We now want to see what our analytic solutions give for the parameter space we are using.

First we realize that eq.(17) represents a circular orbit only when $e_2 = e_3$. Because e_3 is negative for the entire range $0 \leq k^2 \leq 1$ corresponding to $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ (see eq.(19)), q must be greater than 3 and hence there is a lower bound to the radius $r > 6GM/c^2$ which is in agreement with eq.(43). However, equating the expressions for e_2 and e_3 given in eq. (19) requires that $\theta = 0$ if we confine ourselves to $e \geq 0$ so that we have $e_2 = e_3 = -(g_2/12)^{1/2}$. Under these conditions, one has, from eqs.(22) and (23),

$$\frac{1}{q_{\min}} = \frac{1}{q_{\max}} = \frac{1}{3} + 4e_2 = \frac{1}{3} - 4 \left(\frac{g_2}{12} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (45)$$

which can be identified with the solution (40) with the lower sign. However, setting $e_2 = e_3$ in eq.(18) shows that the solution given by eq.(45) requires $k^2 = 0$ which, together with $\theta = 0$ also requires $s = 0$ as the only possible value for s (which gives $r = \infty$) (see the discussion of the special case of $k^2 \simeq 0$ after eq.(24) and the discussion after eq.(31)). Thus our exact solution excludes any finite value of r given in eq.(43) as a possible radius for a circular orbit for the parameter space we are using. But $s = 0$ corresponds to the case of zero gravitational field which makes this solution useless. Our solution given

by eq.(30) gives the best possible nearly circular orbit; it states that a stable most "circular" orbit with $e = 0$ and with $s \simeq 0$ and $k^2 \simeq 0$ must have a small but nonzero true eccentricity ε equal to $\sqrt{2}s$ and is thus elliptical and precesses with a precession angle $\Delta\phi \simeq 6\pi s^2$.

We now consider the solution given by the upper sign in eq.(40). It can be identified with our analytic solutions (17) and (36) when $k^2 = 1$ (see eqs.(34) and (38)). Note that these are two separate cases: Eq.(34) gives the limiting radius of an asymptotic orbit from solution (17), and eq.(38) gives the radius of a circular orbit that a terminating orbit given by solution (36) becomes under a special case. As previously discussed, our exact solutions clearly show that both these cases correspond to unstable circular orbits. Our exact analytic solutions that apply for $\Delta \leq 0$ show the range given by eq.(35) to be the possible range of radii for an unstable circular orbit for the parameter space we are using.

Thus comparing our analytic solutions while confining ourselves to the parameter space $e \geq 0$ with those obtained from the effective potential theory, we find a stable circular orbit only for $r = \infty$ compared with eq.(43), and an unstable circular orbit in the range given by eq.(35) compared to that given by eq.(44).

We now consider the extended space characterized by $e^2 < 0$. Our analytic solution (17) still applies in this region given by $\Delta \leq 0$. The upper boundary of this region is still given by eq.(14) from the coordinate point $e^2 = 0$, $s^2 = 2/27$ to the point $e^2 = -1/3$, $s^2 = 1/12$, which we shall call the vertex point, at which $g_2 = g_3 = 0$, and the three roots of the cubic equation (12) are equal to zero. The upper boundary characterized by $k^2 = 1$ in the extended parameter space is still defined by s_1 (eq.(14) with $2/27 < s_1^2 \leq 1/12$) and eqs.(34) and (38) still hold. Thus in the extended space we have unstable circular orbits with radii in the range given by

$$\frac{4.5GM}{c^2} < r \leq \frac{6GM}{c^2}, \quad (46)$$

which, together with the possible unstable circular orbits with radii given by eq.(35) in the parameter space $e \geq 0$, make up the total interval given by eq.(44) which was derived by the effective potential theory. A similar analysis holds for the range of radii of the limiting circles of the asymptotic orbits.

The left boundary of Region I is given by $s = s'_1$, where s'_1 is given by

$$s'^2_1 = \frac{1 - 9e^2 - \sqrt{(1 - 9e^2)^2 + 27e^2(1 - e^2)^2}}{27(1 - e^2)^2}, \quad (47)$$

and it extends from the vertex at $(e^2, s^2) = (-1/3, 1/12)$ to the origin at $(e^2, s^2) = (0, 0)$. It can be verified that along this left boundary of Region I, $\cos\theta$ given by eq.(20) is equal to 1, and we have $e_2 = e_3$, $k^2 = 0$, and $\varepsilon = 0$ from eq.(24). This means that we have possible stable circular orbits along the left boundary curve with radii in the range given by eq.(43). It is to be noted that all possible stable circular orbits with finite radius are in the extended parameter space $e^2 < 0$, and the physical implication of this extended parameter space should be noted.

In summary, the analysis of our exact analytic solutions for a non-zero mass particle in a gravitational field in the Schwarzschild geometry shows the following results:

(1) A stable circular orbit is not possible in the parameter space $e \geq 0$. The most "circular" orbit of a particle in a gravitational field must have a small but non-zero eccentricity and is thus an elliptical orbit and it precesses. For $e = 0$ and small values of s and k^2 , the orbit equation is given by eq.(30). However, in the parameter space $e^2 < 0$, a stable circular orbit with a radius given by eq.(45), where $s = s'_1$, and with a range given by $6GM/c^2 \leq r \leq \infty$, is possible.

(2) An unstable circular orbit with a radius given by eq.(38), where $s = s_1$, and with a range given by $3GM/c^2 \leq r \leq 4.5GM/c^2$, is possible in the parameter space $e \geq 0$, and with a range given by $4.5GM/c^2 < r \leq 6GM/c^2$ in the parameter space $e^2 < 0$, .

We will present a more complete analysis in future work.

References

*Electronic address: fhioe@sjfc.edu

- [1] F.T. Hioe and D. Kuebel, Phys. Rev. D 81, 084017 (2010).
- [2] F.T. Hioe and D. Kuebel, arXiv:1008.1964 v1 (2010).
- [3] M.P. Hobson, G. Efstathiou and A.N. Lasenby: General Relativity, Cambridge University Press, 2006, Chapters 9 and 10.
- [4] The misprint $s_1 \rightarrow (\sqrt{27e})^{-1}$ on the 5th line of Section V in ref.1 should be corrected to $s_1^2 \rightarrow (\sqrt{27e})^{-1}$.
- [5] P.F. Byrd and M.D. Friedman: Handbook of Elliptic Integrals for Engineers and Scientists, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [6] Equations similar to eq.(17) in somewhat different forms were given by A.R. Forsyth, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A97, 145 (1920), C. Darwin, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A249, 180 (1958), ibid. A263, 39 (1961) and S. Chandrasekhar: The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1992, p. 106-109.
- [7] The coefficients beyond s^2 in e_2 and e_3 in eq.(20) in F.T. Hioe, Phys. Lett. A 373, 1506 (2009) are incorrect. However those errors did not affect any other results presented in that paper.
- [8] These orbits were given in E.T. Whittaker: Analytical Dynamics, 4th Edition, Dover Publications 1937, p.393.
- [9] See e. g. ref. 3 Sections 9.8 and 9.9, also J.V. Narlikar: An Introduction to Relativity, Cambridge University Press, 2010, Section 9.3.