

Navier-Stokes, Gross-Pitaevskii and Generalized Diffusion Equations using Stochastic Variational Method

T. Koide and T. Kodama

Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro,

C.P. 68528, 21941-972, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

The stochastic variational method is applied to particle systems and continuum mediums. As the brief review of this method, we first discuss the application to particle Lagrangians and derive a diffusion-type equation and the Schrödinger equation with the minimum gauge coupling. We further extend the application of the stochastic variational method to Lagrangians of continuum mediums and show that the Navier-Stokes, Gross-Pitaevskii and generalized diffusion equations are derived. The correction term for the Navier-Stokes equation is also obtained in this method. We discuss the meaning of this correction by comparing with the diffusion equation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The variational principle plays fundamental roles in many branches in physics, such as analytic mechanics, field theory, statistical mechanics, quantum many-body problems, scattering theory, fluid dynamics and so on. Due to its elegant mathematical nature, the approach serves also as important guidance to formulate models, extracting the essential physical structure of the system in question. In particular, the method is indispensable when we deal with symmetries and the associated conservation laws of a system [1]. All the consequences of symmetries, at least in the classical level, are simply condensed in the fact that the Lagrangian (density) is invariant under the transformations of the corresponding symmetry.

On the other hand, once some dissipative processes are involved, the usual variational approach loses its elegance. To include dissipative effects, one has to introduce some extra function, such as the so-called Rayleigh dissipation function or a time dependent external factor for a Lagrangian [2]. The choice of the functions are usually ambiguous. This modification is attributed to the fact that dissipation is essentially related to the energy conversion

from macroscopic degrees of freedom to internal microscopic motions, accompanying with the entropy production [1]. In this sense, dissipation is beyond the scope of the classical variational method [3, 4].

Around third decades ago, a new variational method was proposed [5, 6], where dynamical variables are extended to stochastic quantities. In this stochastic variational method (SVM), the effects of the microscopic degrees of freedom to the macroscopic dynamical variables are represented in terms of noises, leading naturally to dissipation of the dynamical variables. The advantage of this method is that we do not need to modify Lagrangians by introducing extra functions, and, once the noise is specified for a given Lagrangian, the dissipative dynamics is derived in a straightforward way.

Since then, SVM has been studied for several cases, exclusively for particle Lagrangians. It was shown that diffusion-type equations [7–9] and the Schrödinger equation [10–13] can be derived in the framework of SVM. In particular, in the latter case, SVM approach has been extensively discussed in relation to Schrödinger and Nelson’s stochastic formulation of quantum mechanics [14, 15].

On the other hand, it seems that, in spite of the interesting aspects of the method, the potential possibility of SVM has not been explored sufficiently. Recently, we have shown that the method can be used to derive the Navier-Stokes equation for compressible fluids [16]. In the present work, we follow up the previous report, and develop the discussion of SVM in more general way. Starting from a short summary of the method for the case of particle Lagrangians, we extend the discussion to the case of continuum mediums. We show the detailed derivations of the Navier-Stokes, Gross-Pitaevskii and generalized diffusion equations in the framework of SVM.

Moreover, SVM can calculate a correction term to the Navier-Stokes equation. The physical meaning of the correction is discussed by comparing with the usual diffusion equation.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we summarize the classical variational method to be contrasted to SVM. In Sec. III, the application of SVM to particle systems of four different examples. One of the results obtained in Sec. III is equivalent to the Schrödinger equation. The same argument is applicable even when there is the gauge interaction as is discussed in Sec. IV. There the gauge fields are treat as the external fields. In Sec. V, SVM is applied to continuum mediums, and the Navier-Stokes, Gross-Pitaevskii and generalized diffusion equations are derived. The possible generalization of the Navier-

Stokes equation is further discussed by comparing with the diffusion equation. Section VI is devoted to concluding remarks.

II. CLASSICAL VARIATIONAL METHOD

To clarify the difference between the classical variational method and SVM, let us restate the classical variational method in the following form. Suppose that there exists a vector field $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ where the velocity of a particle at the position $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)|_{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{x}(t)}. \quad (1)$$

As is shown, this quantity coincides with the particle velocity at the position of the particle $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{x}(t)$, but is defined for all \mathbf{r} at a given t . This is essential when we introduce the stochastic nature for the particle trajectory. Our strategy is to determine this field \mathbf{f} by the variational principle.

Let us consider the usual action for a particle, which is given by the subtraction of the kinetic term and the potential term,

$$I = \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt \left[\frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{x}(t)}{dt} \right)^2 - V(\mathbf{x}(t)) \right], \quad (2)$$

where m is the mass of a particle and V is the potential energy.

Let us consider the variation of the position, $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x} + \delta\mathbf{x}$, with the conditions $\delta\mathbf{x}(t_a) = \delta\mathbf{x}(t_b) = 0$ as usual. The variation of the action is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta I &= \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt \left[m \frac{d\mathbf{x}(t)}{dt} \delta \left(\frac{d\mathbf{x}(t)}{dt} \right) - \delta V(\mathbf{x}(t)) \right] \\ &= \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt \left[-m \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) - \nabla V(\mathbf{x}(t)) \right] \cdot \delta\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and the stationarity condition of the action leads to

$$m(\partial_t + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) + \nabla V(\mathbf{x}(t)) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Of course, if we introduce the following variable,

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t), \quad (5)$$

then Eq. (4), together with the definition of the particle velocity (1), can be casted into the usual form of Newton's equation of motion,

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}(t)}{dt} = \mathbf{v}(t), \quad (6a)$$

$$m \frac{d\mathbf{v}(t)}{dt} + \nabla V(\mathbf{x}(t)) = 0, \quad (6b)$$

where we used the definition of the material derivative,

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}(t)}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)}{dt} = (\partial_t + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t). \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, we can regard Eq.(5) as a special value of the solution of a partial differential equation for the field $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$,

$$m(\partial_t + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \nabla V(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad (8)$$

with some appropriate initial and boundary conditions. In the case of the classical variational principle, we do not need to calculate $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ for all \mathbf{r} at a given t to determine the classical particle trajectory. However, when we extend $\mathbf{x}(t)$ to a stochastic quantity, as we will see soon later, we need to know the values of $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ not only for the trajectory of a particle, but also for general values of \mathbf{r} . This situation remind us the path-integral formulation of quantum mechanics where the knowledge of action is required as for general values of \mathbf{r} .

III. STOCHASTIC VARIATIONAL METHOD FOR PARTICLE SYSTEMS

In the classical variational method, the evolution of a particle is deterministic. In SVM, we consider the case where the trajectory of a particle is stochastic [5]. This is because we would like to approximately take into account the effects of some microscopic degrees of freedom, which do not appear as the dynamical variables in a given action, through the effect of noises. Therefore, instead of Eq. (1), the time evolution of the particle is described by the following stochastic differential equation (SDE),

$$d\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)dt + \sqrt{2\nu}d\mathbf{W}_t \quad (dt > 0), \quad (9)$$

where the last term represents the noise. Properties of the noise will depend on the detailed nature of the underlying microscopic dynamics. However, if there are clear separation of microscopic and macroscopic time scales, we can assume that microscopic information is

approximately replaced by the Gaussian white noise as the most standard and simplest choice. Thus, $d\mathbf{W}_t$ is differential of a Wiener process, satisfying

$$E[d\mathbf{W}_t] = 0, \quad (10a)$$

$$E[dW_t^i dW_t^j] = \delta^{ij} dt. \quad (10b)$$

where $E[\]$ denotes the expectation value for the stochastic processes. The magnitude of the noise is characterized by ν which is a constant. We call Eq.(9) the forward SDE, because it is defined only for $dt > 0$ (see the discussion below).

In stochastic systems, the particle distribution function is introduced as

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}, t) = E[\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{x}(t))], \quad (11)$$

where the expectation value is taken over all the trajectories $\{\mathbf{x}(t)\}$. Therefore, $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$ contains the information of the time evolution for a given initial distribution $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t_0)$. Of course, the distribution of initial values $\{\mathbf{x}(t_0)\}$ for Eq. (9) should be given by $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t_0)$ so that Eq.(11) is valid at $t = t_0$. From this definition and using the properties of the noise, the evolution equation of ρ is expressed in terms of the following Fokker-Plank equation [17],

$$\partial_t \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) = \nabla \cdot (-\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \nu \nabla) \rho(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (12)$$

As discussed in the previous section, the field $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is yet unknown and is determined by employing the variational principle. However, differently from the classical deterministic case, we now face to a new problem: the trajectories of stochastic variables are not smooth and are non-differentiable. Thus the time derivative is not uniquely defined. For example, we may consider the following two possible definitions for the velocity of the particle at t ,

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = \lim_{dt \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathbf{x}(t+dt) - \mathbf{x}(t)}{dt}, \quad (13a)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(t) = \lim_{dt \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{\mathbf{x}(t+dt) - \mathbf{x}(t)}{dt}. \quad (13b)$$

Obviously when the particle trajectory $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is continuous and smooth, the two definitions coincide, $\mathbf{v}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}(t)$, as is the case of the classical variational method. On the other hand, for the stochastic trajectory, $\mathbf{v}(t) \neq \tilde{\mathbf{v}}(t)$ in general. In spite of this, it was shown that the variational approach can be consistently formulated by completing the information of the backward SDE in addition to the forward SDE, as is shown in Ref. [5] [36].

Let us introduce a SDE for the time reversed evolution of $\mathbf{x}(t)$ as

$$d\mathbf{x}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)dt + \sqrt{2\nu}d\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_t \quad (dt < 0), \quad (14)$$

where we assume the properties of the new noises $d\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_t$ stay the same as before,

$$E[d\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_t] = 0, \quad (15a)$$

$$E[d\tilde{W}_t^i d\tilde{W}_t^j] = \delta^{ij}|dt|. \quad (15b)$$

We call Eq.(14) the backward SDE. We can obtain the Fokker-Plank equation from this backward SDE similarly to the case of the forward SDE as

$$\partial_t \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) = \nabla \cdot (-\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r}, t) - \nu \nabla) \rho(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (16)$$

If the above backward SDE, as a set of stochastic processes, describes the correct representation of the time-reversed process of the forward SDE, the Fokker-Plank equation (16) should coincide with Eq. (12). From this condition, we obtain

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r}, t) + 2\nu \nabla \ln \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) + \nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{B}(t), \quad (17)$$

where $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\mathbf{B}(t)$ are arbitrary functions. In the absence of external forces and non-singular boundary conditions, we can simply omit $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\mathbf{B}(t)$, so that $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are related as

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r}, t) + 2\nu \nabla \ln \rho(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (18)$$

In order to incorporate the forward and backward SDEs consistently in the variational scheme of stochastic variables [5, 15], we introduce the mean forward derivative,

$$D\mathbf{x}(t) \equiv \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} E \left[\frac{\mathbf{x}(t+h) - \mathbf{x}(t)}{h} \middle| \mathcal{P}_t \right], \quad (19)$$

and the mean backward derivative,

$$\tilde{D}\mathbf{x}(t) \equiv \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} E \left[\frac{\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{x}(t-h)}{h} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right], \quad (20)$$

instead of the two velocities, Eqs. (13a) and (13b). Here, $E[F(t')|\mathcal{P}_t]$ denotes the conditional average of a time sequence of stochastic variables $\{F(t'), t_a < t' < t_b\}$ only for $t' > t$, fixing the values of $F(t')$ for $t' \leq t$ [15]. Thus, $DF(t)$ is still a stochastic quantity, defined by the forward SDE. In fact, this definition leads to

$$D\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t). \quad (21)$$

Similarly, $E[F(t')|\mathcal{F}_t]$ is the conditional average for the past sequence, defined by the backward SDE, fixing the future values, so that

$$\tilde{D}\mathbf{x}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}(t), t). \quad (22)$$

These velocities are used to replace the classical velocity in the kinetic term of the action. However, this replacement is not unique and each case corresponds to different physical scenarios. In the following, we discuss four different cases.

A. CASE 1: Pure Forward Derivative

Let us consider the case where the velocity $d\mathbf{x}/dt$ in the classical action is replaced only by the mean forward derivative $D\mathbf{x}(t)$. Then the action is given by

$$I = \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt E \left[\frac{m}{2} D\mathbf{x}(t) \cdot D\mathbf{x}(t) - V(\mathbf{x}(t)) \right]. \quad (23)$$

Note that the products of the mean forward (backward) derivatives are independent of the choice of the discretization scheme such as the Ito, Stratonovich-Fisk and Hänggi-Klimontovich schemes by the definition of the mean forward (or backward) derivative [17].

Now we introduce the variation of the position of the particle as

$$\mathbf{x}(t) \longrightarrow \mathbf{x}_\lambda(t) = \mathbf{x}(t) + \lambda \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(t), t), \quad (24)$$

where λ is an expansion parameter and $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is an arbitrary smooth function which is differentiable and satisfies the boundary condition,

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(t_{a,b}), t_{a,b}) = 0. \quad (25)$$

Substituting into the action (23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda &= I + \lambda \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt E \left[m D\mathbf{x}(t) \cdot D\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) - \nabla V(\mathbf{r})|_{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{x}(t)} \cdot \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) \right] + O(\lambda^2) \\ &= I + \lambda \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt E \left[\{-m\tilde{D}(D\mathbf{x}(t)) - \nabla V(\mathbf{r})|_{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{x}(t)}\} \cdot \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) \right] + O(\lambda^2) \\ &= I + \lambda \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt E \left[\{-m\tilde{D}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) - \nabla V(\mathbf{r})|_{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{x}(t)}\} \cdot \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) \right] + O(\lambda^2). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Here, we have used the stochastic partial integral formula [16, 18] and Eq. (21). Using the Ito formula (Ito's lemma) [17], the first term in the integral can be calculated as

$$\tilde{D}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) = \left(\partial_t + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \nabla - \nu \nabla^2 \right) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t) \Big|_{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{x}(t)}. \quad (27)$$

Therefore, the stationary condition of the action under the variations leads to

$$m[\partial_t + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \nabla - 2\nu(\nabla \ln \rho(\mathbf{r}, t)) \cdot \nabla - \nu \nabla^2] \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\nabla V(\mathbf{r}). \quad (28)$$

Here $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is replaced by $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ using the consistency condition (18). Equation (28) and the Fokker-Planck equation (12) constitute a system of partial differential equations for the unknown function $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$.

As stressed in the previous section, in the classical variational method, the final equation of motion requires only the velocity $\mathbf{v}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)$ and the knowledge of the field $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ at arbitrary position \mathbf{r} is not necessary. In SVM, we, however, have to calculate the field $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ itself. In fact, $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)$ which appears in Eq. (28) cannot be completely replaced by $\mathbf{v}(t)$ because of the second spatial derivative term, which did not appear in the classical variational method. That is, the fundamental variable is not $\mathbf{v}(t)$ but $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ in SVM. The velocity on the trajectory is known only after solving the forward SDE (9) with the solution of Eq. (28).

From Eq.(21), $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ gives the mean forward velocity of the particle, obeying the forward SDE equation. Thus, one might expect that $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the velocity field corresponding to the probability current. From the Fokker-Planck equation (12), we identify such a probability current as

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t) = (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t) - \nu \nabla) \rho(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (29)$$

One can see that $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is not the velocity field parallel to the probability current, and neither $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is. However, the average of $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$,

$$\mathbf{f}_m(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{2} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t) - \nu \nabla \ln \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \nu \nabla \ln \rho(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (30)$$

is exactly related to the probability current as

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) \mathbf{f}_m(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (31)$$

That is, the velocity parallel to $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is given by $\mathbf{f}_m(\mathbf{r}, t)$.

Equation (28) is then re-expressed as

$$\rho(\partial_t + \mathbf{f}_m \cdot \nabla) f_m^i - \nabla \cdot (\nu \rho \partial_i \mathbf{f}_m) - \nabla \cdot (\nu \rho \nabla f_m^i) - \nabla \cdot [(\nu \rho) \nabla (\nu \partial_i \ln \rho)] = -\frac{\rho}{m} \nabla^i V, \quad (32)$$

where f_m^i is the i -th component of \mathbf{f}_m . In terms of the mean velocity field, \mathbf{f}_m , the Fokker-Planck equation for ρ is reduced simply to the continuity equation,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{f}_m) = 0. \quad (33)$$

Equations (32) and (33) constitute a closed set of differential equations for $\mathbf{f}_m(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Note that Eq.(32) contains the term which violates the time reversal symmetry and describes a dissipative process.

B. CASE 2 : Pure Backward Derivative

Another possibility is to use only the mean backward derivative to express the kinetic term,

$$I = \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt E \left[\frac{m}{2} \tilde{D}\mathbf{x}(t) \cdot \tilde{D}\mathbf{x}(t) - V(\mathbf{x}(t)) \right]. \quad (34)$$

Repeating the variational procedure analogous to the case 1, we obtain

$$I_\lambda = I + \lambda \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt E \left[\{-mD\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) - \nabla V(\mathbf{r})|_{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{x}(t)}\} \cdot \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) \right] + O(\lambda^2). \quad (35)$$

The stationarity condition of the action leads to, instead of Eq.(28),

$$m[\partial_t + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \nabla + 2\nu(\nabla \ln \rho(\mathbf{r}, t)) \cdot \nabla + \nu \nabla^2] \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\nabla V(\mathbf{r}). \quad (36)$$

Equation for \mathbf{f}_m becomes

$$\rho(\partial_t + \mathbf{f}_m \cdot \nabla) f_m^i + \nabla \cdot (\nu \rho \partial_i \mathbf{f}_m) + \nabla \cdot (\nu \rho \nabla f_m^i) - \nabla \cdot [(\nu \rho) \nabla (\nu \partial_i \ln \rho)] = -\frac{\rho}{m} \nabla^i V. \quad (37)$$

One can see that this equation describes the time reversed process of Eq. (32). In fact, Eq. (37) coincides with Eq. (32) by exchanging the sign of ν .

C. CASE 3: Mixed Product of Two Derivatives

Hasegawa [7] studied the case where the kinetic term is written with both of $D\mathbf{x}$ and $\tilde{D}\mathbf{x}$ as,

$$I = \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt E \left[\frac{m}{2} D\mathbf{x}(t) \cdot \tilde{D}\mathbf{x}(t) - V(\mathbf{x}(t)) \right]. \quad (38)$$

Differently from the previous two cases, this kinetic term is symmetric for the exchange between D and \tilde{D} . The stochastic variation, then, leads to

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{f}_m \cdot \nabla) f_m^i + 2\nu^2 \nabla^i (\rho^{-1/2} \nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho}) = -\frac{1}{m} \nabla^i V. \quad (39)$$

Differently from Eqs. (32) and (37), one can see that this equation is symmetric for the time reversed operation $t \leftrightarrow -t$. This is the consequence of the symmetric replacement in the kinetic term.

One can confirm that Eq. (38) is completely equivalent to the result of Ref. [7], by noting the relation $\mathbf{f}_m(\mathbf{r}, t) = 2\nu\nabla S(\mathbf{r}, t)$.

D. CASE 4: Average of Cases 1 and 2 - Schrödinger Equation

As the last example, we consider that the kinetic term of the action is given by the average of the mean forward and backward derivatives [10],

$$I = \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt E \left[\frac{m}{2} \frac{D\mathbf{x}(t) \cdot D\mathbf{x}(t) + \tilde{D}\mathbf{x}(t) \cdot \tilde{D}\mathbf{x}(t)}{2} - V(\mathbf{x}(t)) \right]. \quad (40)$$

In this case, the kinetic term is symmetric for the exchange of D and \tilde{D} , and hence the derived equation by the variation is symmetric for the time reversed operation. As a matter of fact, SVM leads to the following equation,

$$\frac{m}{2} \left\{ \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{f}} + (\mathbf{f} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{f}} + \nu \nabla^2 \tilde{\mathbf{f}} + \partial_t \mathbf{f} + (\tilde{\mathbf{f}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{f} - \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{f} \right\} = -\nabla V. \quad (41)$$

This equation can be re-expressed as

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{f}_m \cdot \nabla) f_m^i - 2\nu^2 \nabla^i (\rho^{-1/2} \nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho}) = -\frac{1}{m} \nabla^i V. \quad (42)$$

It is known that Eq. (42), together with the continuity equation (33), is (almost) equivalent to the Schrödinger equation [10]. To show this, we introduce the following real function θ ,

$$\nabla \theta = \frac{1}{2\nu} \mathbf{f}_m. \quad (43)$$

Obviously, here we assumed that \mathbf{f}_m is irrotational, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_m = 0$. Then, from Eq.(42), we obtain,

$$\nabla \left[\partial_t \theta + \nu (\nabla \theta)^2 - \nu (\rho^{-1/2} \nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho}) + \frac{1}{2\nu m} V \right] = 0. \quad (44)$$

This leads to

$$\partial_t \theta = -\nu (\nabla \theta)^2 + \nu (\rho^{-1/2} \nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho}) - \frac{1}{2\nu m} V + c(t), \quad (45)$$

where $c(t)$ is an arbitrary function of t , However, this is always absorbed into the definition of θ . Thus without loss of generality, we can set $c(t) = 0$. On the other hand, the Fokker-Plank equation is reduced to

$$\partial_t \ln \sqrt{\rho} = -2\nu (\nabla \ln \sqrt{\rho}) \cdot \nabla \theta - \nu \nabla^2 \theta. \quad (46)$$

Now we introduce the wave function as

$$\psi = \sqrt{\rho}e^{i\theta}. \quad (47)$$

Then, from Eqs.(45) and (46), the evolution equation of ψ is given by,

$$i\partial_t\psi = \nu \left[-\nabla^2 + \frac{1}{2\nu m}V \right] \psi. \quad (48)$$

By choosing $\nu = \hbar/(2m)$, we obtain the Schrödinger equation,

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi = \left[\frac{1}{2m} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i}\nabla \right)^2 + V \right] \psi. \quad (49)$$

Note that, by the definition of ψ given by Eq. (47), $|\psi|^2$ gives naturally the probability density of the particle.

Of course, if the flow is rotational, we cannot introduce the phase of the wave function as Eq. (43). Thus the equation obtained in SVM is not completely equivalent to the Schrödinger equation.

The above derivation of the Schrödinger Equation in terms of SVM opens an interesting possibility for a new formulation of quantum mechanics, and extensive works have been done so far [9, 10], but in this present paper, we do not pursue further this direction. We only mention that there is an ambiguity for the choice of Lagrangian in the derivation of the Schrödinger equation. Let us consider the following action

$$I = \int_b^a dt \left[\frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{D\mathbf{x}(t) \cdot D\mathbf{x}(t) + \tilde{D}\mathbf{x}(t) \cdot \tilde{D}\mathbf{x}(t)}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{8} (D\mathbf{x}(t) - \tilde{D}\mathbf{x}(t)) \cdot (D\mathbf{x}(t) - \tilde{D}\mathbf{x}(t)) \right) - V(\mathbf{x}(t)) \right], \quad (50)$$

where α is a constant. This action is reduced to the previous action (40) in the vanishing α limit. As is shown in Ref. [13], the stochastic variation of this action again leads to the Schrödinger equation by choosing

$$\nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha/2}} \frac{\hbar}{2m}. \quad (51)$$

Here, we discussed the wave function for the action given by Eq. (40). The wave function ψ defined by Eq. (47) can be introduced even in the other cases discussed before. However, only this fourth case leads to the known form of the Schrödinger equation. For example,

when we apply the same argument to Eq. (39), we obtain (again assuming that \mathbf{f}_m is irrotational)

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V\right]\psi + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left[\frac{1}{|\psi|^2}\nabla^2|\psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{|\psi|^4}(\nabla|\psi|^2)^2\right]\psi. \quad (52)$$

Since this equation is not linear in ψ , the superposition principle required in quantum mechanics is not satisfied.

IV. PARTICLE INTERACTING WITH GAUGE FIELDS

The gauge symmetry is an important principle to describe interactions among elementary particles. Thus whether SVM can be applied consistently keeping the gauge invariance is a crucial question. In the case of the Schrödinger equation, it is known that SVM leads to the correct wave equation with minimal coupling of a charged particle, starting from the corresponding classical action as sketched below [10, 12].

Let us start with the action of a classical particle interacting with the external gauge fields,

$$I = \int dt \left[\frac{m}{2}\mathbf{v}^2 + \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A} - V - e\phi \right], \quad (53)$$

where ϕ and \mathbf{A} are the scalar and vector potentials of the electromagnetic fields, and V is another potential energy, respectively. Here we used the CGI-Gauss unit. One can easily check that this action is invariant for the gauge transform as [37]

$$\mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A} + \nabla\chi, \quad (54a)$$

$$\phi \longrightarrow \phi' = \phi - \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\chi, \quad (54b)$$

where χ is an arbitrary function.

For the stochastic variations to derive the quantum mechanical wave equation, we replace this classical action by,

$$I = \int dt \left[\frac{m}{2}\frac{D\mathbf{x} \cdot D\mathbf{x} + \tilde{D}\mathbf{x} \cdot \tilde{D}\mathbf{x}}{2} + \frac{e}{c}\frac{D\mathbf{x} + \tilde{D}\mathbf{x}}{2} \cdot \mathbf{A} - V - e\phi \right]. \quad (55)$$

The reason for this choice is that the action should be symmetric under the time reversal. Following the standard procedure, we find that the stochastic variation of the action becomes

$$I_\lambda = I + \lambda \int dt \left[-\frac{m}{2}(\tilde{D}\mathbf{f} + D\tilde{\mathbf{f}}) - \frac{e}{2c}(D + \tilde{D})\mathbf{A} + \frac{e}{c}\sum_i \frac{f^i + \tilde{f}^i}{2}\nabla A^i - \nabla V - e\nabla\phi \right] \cdot \mathbf{g} + O(\lambda^2), \quad (56)$$

so that we obtain

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{f}_m \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{f}_m - 2\nu^2 \nabla(\rho^{-1/2} \nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho}) + \frac{1}{m} \nabla(V + e\phi) = -\frac{e}{cm} \partial_t \mathbf{A} + \frac{e}{cm} \mathbf{f}_m \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}). \quad (57)$$

The second term on the right hand side represents the Lorentz force.

In order to derive the corresponding Schrödinger equation, we introduce the phase θ by

$$\nabla\theta = \frac{\mathbf{f}_m + \frac{e}{cm} \mathbf{A}}{2\nu}, \quad (58)$$

instead of Eq. (43). Then, the equation corresponding to Eq. (45) becomes

$$\partial_t \theta = -\nu \left(\nabla\theta - \frac{e}{2cm\nu} \mathbf{A} \right)^2 + \nu(\rho^{-1/2} \nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho}) - \frac{1}{2\nu m} (V + e\phi). \quad (59)$$

On the other hand, the equation of $\ln \sqrt{\rho}$ is

$$\partial_t \ln \sqrt{\rho} = -2\nu (\nabla \ln \sqrt{\rho}) \cdot (\nabla\theta) - \nu \nabla^2 \theta + \frac{e}{cm} (\nabla \ln \sqrt{\rho}) \cdot \mathbf{A} + \frac{e}{2cm} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}). \quad (60)$$

Finally, we find the equation for the wave function ψ defined in Eq. (47) becomes

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi = \left[\frac{1}{2m} \left(-i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right)^2 + V + e\phi \right] \psi, \quad (61)$$

where again, $\nu = \hbar/(2m)$. This is exactly the Schrödinger equation of a charged particle, with the minimal coupling of the external gauge fields.

Note that, in the derivation of the Schrödinger equation, we have assumed that the vector field \mathbf{f}_m is irrotational. On the other hand, in the derivation here, as is seen from the definition of the phase (58), \mathbf{f}_m can be rotational but $\mathbf{f}_m + e\mathbf{A}/(cm)$ should be irrotational. This fact shows that the well-known Aharonov-Bohm effect is closely related to the rotational nature of the flow of probability density.

V. CONTINUUM MEDIUM

So far, we have discussed the application of SVM to particle Lagrangian. In this section, we employ to a system composed of a continuum medium [16].

Let us consider a continuum medium described by the mass density $\rho_m(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and the collective flow velocity field $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Then the classical action of this system (in the Euler coordinate) is given by a functional of the two fields, ρ_m and \mathbf{v} as

$$I[\rho_m, \mathbf{v}] = \int_{t_b}^{t_a} dt \int d^3\mathbf{r} \left[\frac{\rho_m(\mathbf{r}, t)}{2} \mathbf{v}^2(\mathbf{r}, t) - \varepsilon(\rho_m(\mathbf{r}, t)) \right], \quad (62)$$

where ε is the potential energy (internal energy) density of the medium. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that the potential energy is a function only of ρ_m . To perform the variational procedure directly from Eq. (62), we have to add the constraints for ρ_m and \mathbf{v} as is done in Refs. [1, 3]. Due to this, in order to introduce SVM, it is more convenient to use the Lagrangian coordinate system $\{\mathbf{R}\}$, rather than the Euler coordinate system $\{\mathbf{r}\}$ to specify the elements of the continuum medium. As is well-known, the Lagrange coordinate system is attached to the medium in such a way that the coordinate \mathbf{R} represents the initial position of an element. In terms of this Lagrangian coordinate system, the above action is expressed as a functional of the trajectory of every element,

$$I[\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{R};t)] = \int_b^a dt \int d^3\mathbf{R} \rho_0(\mathbf{R}) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{R};t)}{dt} \right)^2 - J \varepsilon(\rho_m(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{R};t))) \right], \quad (63)$$

where $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{R};t)$ represents the trajectory of the element specified by \mathbf{R} , $\rho_0(\mathbf{R})$ is the initial mass density and J is the Jacobian of the transformation,

$$\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{R}, t), \quad (64)$$

that is, $J = J(\mathbf{R};t) = \det|\partial\mathbf{r}/\partial\mathbf{R}|$. Assuming that there is no chaotic flows, the conservation of the mass of the system is expressed as

$$d^3\mathbf{r} \rho_m(\mathbf{r}, t) = d^3\mathbf{R} \rho_0(\mathbf{R}), \quad (65)$$

so that we have the following relation,

$$\rho_m(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{J} \rho_0(\mathbf{R}). \quad (66)$$

It is easy to see that the classical variational principle for the action (63) with respect to the trajectories $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{R};t)$ leads to the Euler equation [16].

In terms of the Lagrangian coordinate, the implementation of SVM is straightforward. First the trajectory of the Lagrangian coordinate is determined by the following forward and backward SDEs,

$$d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t)dt + \sqrt{2\nu}d\mathbf{W}(t), \quad (dt > 0), \quad (67a)$$

$$d\mathbf{x} = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}, t)dt + \sqrt{2\nu}d\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(t), \quad (dt < 0), \quad (67b)$$

where $d\mathbf{W}(t)$ and $d\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(t)$ are noise terms whose correlation properties are same as Eqs. (10) and (15). As before, we require that unknown fields \mathbf{f} and $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$ satisfy the consistency condition

(18). Then the continuity equation obtained from these Fokker-Plank equations is expressed with the mass density as

$$\frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_m \mathbf{f}_m) = 0. \quad (68)$$

From now on, to simplify the notation, we will omit showing explicit the (\mathbf{R}, t) dependence of the trajectories, $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{R}, t)$, and the density, $\rho_m = \rho_m(\mathbf{R}, t)$.

Similarly to the previous section, we will replace the kinetic term with the forward and/or backward mean derivatives. For example, we chose

$$I = \int_{t_b}^{t_a} dt \int d^3\mathbf{R} \rho_0(\mathbf{R}) \left[\frac{1}{2} D\mathbf{x} \cdot D\mathbf{x} - J \varepsilon(\rho_m) \right]. \quad (69)$$

As the variation, we consider

$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{R}, t) \longrightarrow \mathbf{x}_\lambda(\mathbf{R}, t) = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{R}, t) + \lambda \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{R}, t), t), \quad (70)$$

where λ is an expansion parameter and $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is an arbitrary function. Under this variation, the mass density ρ_m is changed as,

$$\delta_\lambda \rho_m = \rho_m(\mathbf{r}_\lambda, t) - \rho_m(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\lambda \frac{\rho_m}{J} \sum_{ij} A_{ij} \frac{\partial g^i}{\partial R^j} + O(\lambda^2). \quad (71)$$

Here A_{ij} is the cofactor (ij) of the Jacobian J , which satisfies the following properties,

$$A_{im} \equiv \frac{\partial J}{\partial(\partial r_i / \partial R_m)} = (\tilde{\nabla} r_j \times \tilde{\nabla} r_k)_m, \quad (72a)$$

$$\sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} A_{ij} = \tilde{\nabla} \cdot (\tilde{\nabla} r_j \times \tilde{\nabla} r_k) = 0, \quad (72b)$$

$$\sum_l \frac{\partial r_l}{\partial R_i} A_{lj} = \sum_l \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial R_l} A_{jl} = J \delta_{ij}, \quad (72c)$$

$$\sum_j A_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} = \sum_j A_{ij} \sum_l \frac{\partial r_l}{\partial R_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_l} = \sum_l J \delta_{il} \partial_{r_l} = J \partial_{r_i}, \quad (72d)$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ denotes the gradient with respect to \mathbf{R} which should be distinguished from ∇ . The indices (i, j, k) in Eqs. (72a) and (72b) should be taken as cyclic. By using these properties, the action under the variation is expressed as

$$I_\lambda = I - \lambda \int_a^b dt \int d^3\mathbf{R} \rho_{m0} E \left[\lambda \mathbf{g} \cdot \left(\tilde{D} D\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{R}, t) + \frac{1}{\rho_m} \nabla \left(-\frac{d}{d(1/\rho_m)} \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_m} \right) \right) \right] + O(\lambda^2). \quad (73)$$

Then the stationary condition for the variations

$$\tilde{D}D\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{R}, t) + \frac{1}{\rho_m} \nabla \left(-\frac{d}{d(1/\rho_m)} \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_m} \right) = 0, \quad (74)$$

leads to (*conf.* 28)

$$\rho_m [\partial_t + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \nabla_r - 2\nu(\nabla \ln \rho_m(\mathbf{r}, t)) \cdot \nabla - \nu \nabla^2] \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \nabla \left(-\frac{d}{d(1/\rho_m)} \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_m} \right) = 0. \quad (75)$$

By using Eq. (30), this can be re-expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_m (\partial_t + \mathbf{f}_m \cdot \nabla) f_m^i - \sum_j \partial_j (\nu \rho_m \partial_i f_m^j + \nu \rho_m \partial_j f_m^i) - \sum_j \partial_j ((\nu \rho_m) \partial_j (\nu \partial_i \ln \rho_m)) \\ &= -\nabla^i \left(-\frac{d}{d(1/\rho_m)} \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_m} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

where ρ_m is the solution of the continuity equation (68), as before. Because of the asymmetric entry of D and \tilde{D} in the action, the derived equation violates the time reversal symmetry.

A. Navier-Stokes Equation

The above scheme is applicable to derive the Navier-Stokes equation (NS). A derivation of NS for incompressible fluids in SVM has already been worked out in Refs. [19, 20]. Recently, in Ref. [16], the present authors have derived the complete (compressible) NS starting from an action different from the previous works. Then it is found that the difference between \mathbf{f} and $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$ plays a crucial role and the velocity field in NS corresponds to \mathbf{f}_m . In below, we reproduce this derivation within the framework developed here.

As is discussed in Ref. [16], we consider that the potential term, ε (internal energy density) depends not only on ρ_m but also on the other parameter \hat{s} representing the internal thermodynamical state of the fluid element. In thermal equilibrium, \hat{s} is identified as the specific entropy. Now, we write the variation as

$$I_\lambda = I - \lambda \int_a^b dt \int d^3\mathbf{R} \sum_i \rho_{m0} E \left[\lambda \mathbf{g} \cdot \left(\tilde{D}D\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{R}, t) + \frac{1}{\rho_m} \nabla P \right) + \frac{T}{m} \delta_\lambda \hat{s} \right] + O(\lambda^2), \quad (77)$$

where T and P are defined in analogy to the usual thermodynamic relation as

$$T = \left. \frac{\partial(m\varepsilon/\rho_m)}{\partial \hat{s}} \right|_{\rho_m}, \quad (78)$$

$$P = - \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial(1/\rho_m)} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho_m} \right) \right|_{\hat{s}}. \quad (79)$$

In the thermodynamic equilibrium, these quantities coincide with the usual temperature and pressure.

Because we are considering dissipative processes, the entropy of a fluid element is not a conserved quantity. Then, to complete the variations, we need information about $\delta_\lambda \hat{s}$ for the variation (70). In general, \hat{s} is a scalar function of local quantities (does not depend explicitly on \mathbf{R}) determined only by the properties of the fluid element. Therefore, the most general form of \hat{s} depends only on the density ρ_m and its derivatives, $\dot{\rho}_m$ and $\nabla \rho_m$. Due to the vector nature of $\nabla \rho_m$, this does contribute only to the higher order corrections of NS. Thus, the most general form of \hat{s} for our purpose is expressed as $\hat{s} = \hat{s}(\rho_m, \dot{\rho}_m)$.

Suppose that the hydrodynamic time scale $\tau_{hyd} \equiv \rho_m / \dot{\rho}_m$ is much larger than that of the microscopic degrees of freedom inside a fluid element, τ_{mic} . Note that the local thermal equilibrium should be perfectly achieved for the ideal fluid where \hat{s} is constant ($\delta_\lambda \hat{s} = 0$). Therefore, we expect that the specific entropy is expressed in powers of τ_{mic} / τ_{hyd} in such a way that the ideal fluid case is recovered in the vanishing limit of τ_{mic} . We then write $\hat{s} = \hat{s}_{eq} + a_1 \tau_{mic} \dot{\rho}_m / \rho_m + a_2 (\tau_{mic} \dot{\rho}_m / \rho_m)^2 + \dots$, where \hat{s}_{eq} is a constant for the variation and a_i 's are expansion coefficients. Thus the lowest order truncation gives

$$\delta_\lambda \hat{s} = \delta_\lambda (g_{\tau_{mic}}(\rho_m) \dot{\rho}_m), \quad (80)$$

where $g_{\tau_{mic}}(\rho_m)$ is an arbitrary function of ρ_m . For the stochastic variation, $\dot{\rho}_m$ is interpreted as $(D + \tilde{D})\rho_m/2$. Note that $\delta_\lambda \hat{s}$ is the virtual change of \hat{s} associated with the variations and does not necessarily satisfy the thermodynamic principles such as $\delta_\lambda \hat{s} \geq 0$.

Then the condition of $\delta_\lambda I = 0$ leads to

$$\rho_m \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{f}_m \cdot \nabla \right] \mathbf{f} + \nabla (P - \mu \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_m) - (\nabla \cdot \eta \nabla) \mathbf{f} = 0, \quad (81)$$

where η is the shear viscosity. Here we used that $\nu = \eta / \rho_m$ and $\mu = -\rho_m^3 g(\rho_m) / m (\partial T / \partial \rho_m)_{\hat{s}}$. One can see that the contribution from $\delta_\lambda \hat{s}$ effectively changes pressure by $\mu \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_m$.

Equation (81) contains the two different velocity fields, \mathbf{f}_m and \mathbf{f} , but the latter can be eliminated by using Eq. (30). As a result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{f}_m \cdot \nabla \right] \mathbf{f}_m + \nabla (P - \zeta \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_m) - \nabla \cdot (\eta \tilde{e}^m) \\ & - (\nabla \cdot \eta \nabla) \left(\frac{\eta}{\rho_m} \nabla \ln \rho_m \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

where $\widehat{e}^m = \{e_{ij}^m\}$ is a (3×3) irreducible symmetric tensor, defined by

$$e_{ij}^m = \partial_j f_m^i + \partial_i f_m^j - \frac{2}{3}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_m)\delta_{ij}, \quad (83)$$

and $\zeta = \mu + 2\eta/3$. Identifying ζ as the bulk viscosity, Eq. (82) is nothing but the Navier-Stokes equation, except for the last term on the left hand side.

The last term is not only of second order for the magnitude of fluctuations (noise) $\nu = \eta/\rho_m$, but also of third order for the spatial derivative ∇ . The Navier-Stokes equation does not contain such a term since, by construction, only the first and second order spatial derivative terms are maintained. In the case of rarefied gases, this corresponds to the first order truncation in the derivative (Chapman-Enskog) expansion of one-particle distribution functions.

On the other hand, in our case, the viscosity coefficients are directly related to the size of noises. When the effect of fluctuations is large, we cannot neglect the last term of Eq. (82). This term comes from the difference between \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{f}_m . Therefore, in such a case, hydrodynamics is described by using the two velocities as Eq. (81). This feature resembles that of the generalized hydrodynamics proposed by Brenner [21, 22].

B. Gross-Pitaevskii Equation

The Gross-Pitaevskii equation is a non-linear Schrödinger equation and has been used to study some aspects of the Bose-Einstein condensates [23]. The derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GP) also has been studied from various points of view [24]. In particular, it is shown that GP can be derived in terms of SVM, extending the method of Sec. IIID to a system of a many-body Lagrangian [11]. In this subsection, we show that the formalism of SVM for a continuum medium can readily be used to derive GP in a direct way.

We consider a system composed of identical bosons with mass m , under the external trapping potential $V(\mathbf{r})$. Supposing the interaction among bosons is local, we write the potential energy density of the system as

$$\varepsilon = V(\mathbf{r})\frac{\rho_m}{m} + \frac{1}{2}U_0\frac{\rho_m^2}{m^2}, \quad (84)$$

where $\rho_m = \rho_m(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the mass density of the boson and U_0 represents the strength of interaction among them. To obtain the equation for the wave function (order parameter),

the kinetic term of the action should be replaced by the average of $D\mathbf{x}$ and $\tilde{D}\mathbf{x}$ as was done in Sec. IIID. Then, in the Lagrangian coordinate system, we can define the action of SVM as

$$I = \int_b^a dt \int d^3\mathbf{R} \rho_{m0}(\mathbf{R}) \times \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{D\mathbf{x} \cdot D\mathbf{x} + \tilde{D}\mathbf{x} \cdot \tilde{D}\mathbf{x}}{2} - \frac{1}{m} V(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{2m^2} U_0 \rho_m(\mathbf{x}, t) \right]. \quad (85)$$

Following the similar procedure in the previous subsection, SVM leads to

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{f}_m \cdot \nabla) f_m^i - 2\nu^2 \nabla^i (\rho_m^{-1/2} \nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho_m}) + \frac{1}{m} \nabla^i \left(V + \frac{U_0}{m} \rho_m \right) = 0, \quad (86)$$

which should be solved together with the Fokker-Plank equation for ρ_m , i.e., Eq. (68). This equations is same as that discussed in Ref. [25] and known as the quantum Bernoulli equation.

To convert Eq. (86) into the corresponding Schrödinger-type equation, we follow the same procedure discussed in Sec. IIID, introducing the wave function (see Eqs. (43) and (47)) with a modification of Eq.(47) by

$$\psi = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_m}{m}} e^{i\theta}, \quad (87)$$

because ρ_m is the mass density. We again assumed that the flow is irrotational. The equation corresponding to Eq.(48) becomes

$$i\partial_t \psi = \left[-\nu \nabla^2 + \frac{1}{2m\nu} (V + U_0 |\psi|^2) \right] \psi, \quad (88)$$

and setting $\nu = \hbar/(2m)$, we arrive at GP,

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V + U_0 |\psi|^2 \right] \psi. \quad (89)$$

As before, from the definition of ψ , $|\psi|^2 = \rho_m/m$ gives the particle distribution function.

Note that the classical limit of GP is easily obtained by taking the vanishing limit of \hbar (or ν) in Eq.(86),

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{f}_m \cdot \nabla) f_m^i = -\frac{1}{m} \nabla^i V - \frac{U_0}{m^2} \nabla^i \rho_m, \quad (90)$$

together with Eq. (68). Of course, these equations are same as those obtained from the action of Eq. (85) applying the classical variational method.

The above argument is easily extended to include the external gauge fields via the minimum coupling as described in Sec. IV. The required action in the Lagrangian coordinate system is

$$I = \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt \int d^3\mathbf{R} \rho_0 \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^2 + \frac{e}{cm} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A} - \frac{1}{m} V(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{2m^2} U_0 \rho_m(\mathbf{x}, t) - \frac{e}{m} \phi \right], \quad (91)$$

and the equation corresponding to Eq.(57) becomes

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{f}_m \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{f}_m - 2\nu^2 \nabla (\rho_m^{-1/2} \nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho_m}) + \frac{1}{m} \nabla \left(V + e\phi + \frac{1}{m} U_0 \rho_m \right) = -\frac{e}{cm} \partial_t \mathbf{A} + \frac{e}{cm} \mathbf{f}_m \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}). \quad (92)$$

The corresponding equation for the wave function is given by

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi = \left[\frac{1}{2m} \left(-i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right)^2 + (V + e\phi + U_0 |\psi|^2) \right] \psi, \quad (93)$$

where ψ is given by Eq. (87) with the phase function given by Eq. (58), and the noise is set as $\nu = \hbar/(2m)$.

C. Generalized Diffusion Equation and Physical Meaning of Correction to Navier-Stokes Equation

In Sec. VA, we found that NS derived using SVM involves the higher order correction term, which was neglected for deriving NS. In this section, we discuss the physical meaning of this correction term.

For the sake of simplicity, we consider the case where there is no potential energy density in the action (63). Physically, this situation will correspond to the free diffusion process. Then, from Eq. (76), together with the continuity equation, we have,

$$\partial_t \rho_m + \nabla \cdot (\rho_m \mathbf{f}_m) = 0, \quad (94a)$$

$$\rho_m (\partial_t + \mathbf{f}_m \cdot \nabla) f_m^i - \sum_j \partial_j \{ \nu \rho_m \partial_i f_m^j + \nu \rho_m \partial_j f_m^i \} - \partial_j ((\nu \rho_m) \partial_j (\nu \partial_i \ln \rho_m)) = 0. \quad (94b)$$

The first equation is the continuity equation as before, and the second one is obtained by SVM, that is, Eq. (76). In the discussion of Sec.VA, the third term on the left hand side of Eq. (94b) was neglected as the higher order term of NS, but now we will keep it in this subsection.

This equation describes the generalized diffusion process. Suppose that there is a clear separation of time scales between ρ_m and \mathbf{f}_m in the *Lagrangian* coordinate, and we observe the macroscopic dynamics of ρ_m where the material derivative of \mathbf{f}_m is negligibly small. Then, from the second equation, we obtain

$$\mathbf{f}_m = -\frac{\nu}{2}\nabla \ln \rho_m. \quad (95)$$

This corresponds to Fick's law of the diffusion equation. In fact, by substituting it into the first equation, we obtain the usual diffusion equation (see also Appendix A),

$$\partial_t \rho_m = \frac{\nu}{2}\nabla^2 \rho_m. \quad (96)$$

Differently from the diffusion equation, Eq. (94b) involves a memory effect through the time derivative of \mathbf{f}_m . In this form, we see that Eq. (94b) is interpreted as the generalized diffusion equation, and it reproduces the usual diffusion equation in the Markov limit where the material derivative of \mathbf{f}_m is neglected.

In other words, to reproduce the diffusion equation, we cannot neglect the third term on the left hand side of the second equation, which corresponds to the higher order correction term for NS. In this sense, this higher order term may have a special meaning and be an important correction even in NS.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we discussed the application of the stochastic variational method to particle systems and continuum mediums. The application to the particle systems has been studied from various points of view. First, we shortly summarized these results showing the derivation of the diffusion-type equation and the Schrödinger equation with and without the minimal gauge coupling.

We further generalized of the idea of SVM to the calculations of continuum mediums, and showed that the Navier-Stokes, Gross-Pitaevskii and generalized diffusion equations are derived.

As is known, the usual diffusion equation is inconsistent with underlying microscopic dynamics and should be modified [27, 28]. In fact, it is shown that the coarse-grained equation obtained from microscopic Hamiltonian using the projection operator method is not

the usual diffusion equation but the Maxwell-Cattaneo-Vernotte-type generalized diffusion equation. This equation has several advantages compared to the usual diffusion equation. For example, this generalized equation is consistent with an exact relation obtained from microscopic dynamics, while the diffusion equation is not [28, 29]. Here, we proposed a new generalized diffusion equation in the framework of SVM. It is interesting to ask the relation between this generalized equation from SVM and the Maxwell-Cattaneo-Vernotte-type equation.

We found that SVM predicts the higher order correction term to NS. This term becomes important when there is strong inhomogeneity of the mass density. In other words, we cannot recognize this effect from the study of incompressible fluids. The importance of the correction term becomes more clear in the discussion of the generalized diffusion equation. In order to reproduce the usual diffusion equation in the Markov limit, the same higher order term in the generalized diffusion equation plays an important role. In this sense, this term may be an important correction even to NS.

There are several attempts to derive macroscopic equations for high density matters by assuming a Langevin dynamics for underlying microscopic degrees of freedom [30]. There, the interaction of the Langevin dynamics is assumed in advance, while it is determined by applying the variational principle in our approach. It is thus interesting to discuss the meaning of the correction term which we found, comparing with the behavior of such a dynamical density functional theory. More detailed study of this correction term is a future task [38].

The advantage of SVM is that dissipative equations can be derived systematically once the action of the corresponding reversible dynamics is given. For example, in the physics of soft matter, dissipative equations are usually derived by applying Onsager's variational principle [32, 33]. SVM may serve another convenient approach to discuss the dynamics of such a complex fluid.

So far, we have assumed that the noise of SVM is a Gaussian white noise. It might be possible to generalize this discussion to the case of multiplicative noises. For the present work, we assume that the property of the noise is unchanged both for the forward and backward SDEs. This seems to be reasonable assumption because the properties of the noise is completely independent of the dynamics of macroscopic variables (Brownian particles) in this case of the additive noise. This is, however, not trivial for the multiplicative noise. If this

assumption is still applicable, the extension of the present argument to the multiplicative noise will be straightforward.

As was mentioned in the introduction, the essence of the classical variational principle becomes more fundamental in the application of the approach to field theories and relativistic systems. As far as we know, SVM has not yet applied to such cases [34]. Studies of relativistic heavy ion collisions revealed that it will be of the fundamental importance to discuss dissipative mechanisms in relativistic systems. Thus, an extension of the present approach to relativistic field theoretical systems is expected to furnish an important clue to formulate such processes from the fundamental concept of coarse-graining procedure to arrive at the relativistic stochastic differential equation. However, the Lorentz covariant SDE still stays an open question [35].

This work was financially supported by CNPq, FAPERJ, CAPES and PRONEX.

Appendix A: another limit to diffusion equation

In Sec. V C, the generalized diffusion equation is derived and the equation is reduced to the usual diffusion equation in the Markov limit. Interestingly, this usual diffusion equation is still reproduced when a special initial condition is chosen.

Suppose that, as the initial condition of \mathbf{f}_m , we have

$$\mathbf{v}_m = -\nu \nabla \ln \rho. \quad (\text{A1})$$

Then we can easily check that both of the first and second equations of Eq. (94b) are reduced to the same equation,

$$\partial_t \rho_m = \nu \nabla^2 \rho_m. \quad (\text{A2})$$

That is, the generalized diffusion equation is reduced to the usual diffusion equation even when we use the special initial condition. The difference from Eq. (96) is only the magnitude of the diffusion coefficient.

[1] C. Lanczos, *The variational principles of mechanics, fourth edition* (University of Toronto Press, 1970).

- [2] L. Rayleigh, Proc. Math. Soc. Lond. **4**, 357 (1873); Philos. Mag. **26**, 776 (1913).
- [3] About this approach, see, for example, H. Fukagawa and Y. Fujitani, Prog. Theor. Phys. **124**, 517 (2010); arXiv:1104.0866, and references therein.
- [4] As another interesting approach, R. J. Becker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1419 (1987).
- [5] K. Yasue, J. Funct. Anal. **41**, 327 (1981).
- [6] F. Guerra and L. M. Morato, Phys. Rev. **D27**, 1774 (1983); M. Pavon, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 6774 (1995); M. Nagasawa, *Stochastic Process in Quantum Physics*, (Birkhäuser, 2000).
- [7] H. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. **D33**, 2508 (1986); Prog. Theor. Phys. **56**, 44 (1976); **57**, 1523 (1977); **58**, 128 (1977); R. Marra, Phys. Rev. **D36**, 1724 (1987); M. T. Jaekel, J. Phys. **A23**, 3497 (1990).
- [8] T. Misawa, Phys. Rev. **A40**, 3387 (1989).
- [9] M. Davidson, arXiv.quantum-ph/0602211v2.
- [10] K. Yasue, J. Math. Phys. **22**, 1010 (1981); J. C. Zambrini, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **24**, 277 (1985). L. M. Morato Phys. Rev. **D31**, 1982 (1985); T. C. Wallstrom, Found. Phys. Lett. **2**, 113 (1989).
- [11] M. I. Loffredo and L. M. Morato, J. Phys. **A40**, 8709 (2007)
- [12] M. I. Loffredo and L. M. Morato, J. Math. Phys. **30**, 354 (1989).
- [13] M. Davidson, Lett. Math. Phys. **3**, 271 (1979).
- [14] E. Schrödinger, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, **2**, 269 (1932).
- [15] E. Nelson, Phys. Rev. **150**, 1079 (1966); *Quantum Fluctuations*, (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985).
- [16] T. Koide and T. Kodama, arXiv:1105.6256.
- [17] C. W. Gardiner, *Handbook of Stochastic Method*, (Springer, 2004).
- [18] W. A. Zheng and P.-A. Meyer, *Séminaire de Probabilités XVIII, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol 1059, P223*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984).
- [19] T. Nakagomi, K. Yasue and J.-G. Zambrini, Lett. Math. Phys. **5**, 545 (1981); K. Yasue, J. Funct. Anal. **51**, 133 (1983); R. Marra, Phys. Rev. **D36**, 1724 (1987); R. Kanno, J. Pla. Phys. **70**, 359 (2004); F. Cipriano and A. B. Cruzeiro, Commun. Math. Phys. **275**, 255 (2007); A. B. Cruzeiro, arXiv:0810.5507; A. B. Cruzeiro and E. Shamarova, Stoch. Process. Appl. **119**, 4034 (2009); M. Arnaudon and A. B. Cruzeiro, arXiv:1004.2176.
- [20] As similar but different approach, see A. Inoue and T. Funaki, Commun. Math. Phys. **65**, 83

- (1979).
- [21] H. Brenner, Phys. Rev. **70**, 061201 (2004); Physica **A349**, 60 (2005); *ibid.* **A349**, 11 (2005); *ibid.* **A388**, 3391 (2009); *ibid.* **389**, 1297 (2010); Int. J. Eng. Sci. **47**, 902 (2009); *ibid.* **47**, 930 (2009); J. Chem. Phys. **132**, 054106 (2010)
- [22] I. E. Dzyaloshinskii and G. E. Volovick, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **125**, 67 (1980); Y. L. Klimontovich, Theor. Math. Phys. **92**, 909 (1992); S. Chakaraborty and F. Durst, Phys. Fluids **19**, 088104 (2007); C. J. Greenshields and J. M. Reese, J. Fluid Mech. **580**, 407 (2007); H. C. Öttinger, H. Struchtrup and M. Liu, Phys. Rev. **E80**, 056303 (2009).
- [23] E. P. Gross Nuovo Cimento **20**, 454 (1961); L. P. Pitaevskii, Sov. Phys. JETP **13**, 451 (1961).
- [24] L. Erdős, B. Schlein and H.-T. Yau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 040404 (2007), R. Adami, F. Golse and A. Teta, J. Statist. Phys. **127**, 1193 (2007); P. Pickl arXiv.1001.4894, and references therein.
- [25] R. Schützhold, PoS(QG-Ph) 306 (2007) and references therein.
- [26] See, for example, A. B. Cruzeiro, Liming Wu and J. C. Zambrini, P41, in Stochastic Analysis and Mathematical Physics, ANESTOC'98, Proceedings of the Third International Workshop, Ed. R. Rebolledo, Trends in Mathematics Series, Birkhäuser, Boston (2000).
- [27] L. P. Kadanoff and P. C. Martin, Ann. Phys. **24**, 419 1963.
- [28] T. Koide, Phys. Rev. **E72**, 026135 (2005).
- [29] As a short review paper, T. Koide, AIP Conf.Proc. **1312**, 27 (2010).
- [30] K. Kawasaki and T. Koga, Physica **A 201**, 115 (1993); D. S. Dean, J Phys. A: Math. Gen. **29**, L613 (1996); T. Nakamura and A. Yoshimori, J Phys. A: Math. Gen. **42**, 065001 (1996).
- [31] P. Español and H. Löwen, J. Vhe. Phys. **131**, 244101 (2009).
- [32] L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. **37**, 40(1931); *ibid.* **38**, 2265 (1931).
- [33] M. Doi, J. Phys. **23**, 284118 (2011).
- [34] However, see, T. Zastawniak, Europhys. Lett. **13**, 13 (1990).
- [35] For the Lorentz covariance and the relativistic SDE, see, D. Dohrn and F. Guerra, Phys. Rev. **D31**, 2521 (1985); M. Serva, Ann. Inst. Henry Poincaré Phys. Theor. **49**, 415 (1988); J. Dunkel and P. Hänggi, Phys. Rep. **471**, 1 (2009) ; T. Koide and T. Kodama, Phys. Rev. **E83**, 061111 (2011).
- [36] The stochastic process of this kind is known as the Bernstein process in Mathematics. The Bernstein process can be one of the Markov processes [26].

- [37] Here, invariance means that the modification of the action by the gauge transform is absorbed into the total time derivative term of the Lagrangian.
- [38] There is an attempt to derive the dynamical density functional theory using the time-dependent projection operator method [31]. There, the so-called Q-approximation is employed. The problem of this approximation is discussed in Ref. [28].