

Clifford-Wolf homogeneous Finsler metrics on spheres*

Ming Xu¹ and Shaoqiang Deng^{2†}

¹College of Mathematical Sciences
Tianjin Normal University
Tianjin 300387
People's Republic of China

²School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC
Nankai University
Tianjin 300071
People's Republic of China

Abstract

An isometry of a Finsler space is called Clifford-Wolf translation (CW-translation) if it moves all points the same distance. A Finsler space (M, F) is called Clifford-Wolf homogeneous (CW-homogeneous) if for any $x, y \in M$ there is a CW-translation σ such that $\sigma(x) = y$. We prove that if F is a homogeneous Finsler metric on the sphere S^n such that (S^n, F) is CW-homogeneous, then F must be a Randers metric. This gives a complete classification of CW-homogeneous Finsler metrics on spheres.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 22E46, 53C30.

Key words: Finsler spaces, Clifford-Wolf translations, Killing vector fields, homogeneous Randers manifolds.

1 Introduction

It is our goal in this article to give a complete classification of Clifford-Wolf homogeneous Finsler metrics on spheres. Recall that an isometry σ of a connected Finsler space (M, F) is called a Clifford-Wolf translation (simply CW-translation) if $d(x, \sigma(x))$ is a constant function on M . A Finsler space (M, F) is called Clifford-Wolf homogeneous (simply CW-homogeneous) if for any $x, y \in M$ there is an CW-isometry σ such that $\sigma(x) = y$. More generally, a Finsler space (M, F) is called restrictively CW-homogeneous if for any $x \in M$, there is an open neighborhood V of x such that for any $y \in V$ there is a CW-translation σ of (M, F) such that $\sigma(x) = y$. According to the study of V.N. Berestovskii and Yu.G. Nikonorov in [BN09], any restrictively CW-homogeneous Riemannian manifold must be locally symmetric. Based on this, the authors of [BN09] obtained a complete classification of all connected simply connected

*Supported by NSFC (no. 11271198, 11221091, 11271216) and SRFDP of China

†S. Deng is the corresponding author. E-mail: dengsq@nankai.edu.cn

CW-homogeneous Riemannian manifolds. The complete list consists of compact Lie groups with bi-invariant Riemannian metrics, the odd-dimensional spheres with standard metrics, the symmetric spaces $SU(2n+2)/Sp(n)$ with the standard symmetric metrics, and the products of the above Riemannian manifolds.

In our previous considerations ([DX1]-[DX4]), we have initiated the study of CW-translations of Finsler spaces and have found some new phenomena. In particular, there exist some non-Riemannian Randers metrics on spheres as well as compact Lie groups that are CW-homogeneous but essentially not locally symmetric. This raises the interesting problem to classify CW-homogeneous Finsler spaces.

In this article we prove that any CW-homogeneous Finsler metric on a sphere must be a Randers metric. More precisely, we have

Theorem 1.1 *Let F be a homogeneous Finsler metric on a sphere S^n such that (S^n, F) is a CW-homogeneous Finsler space. Then F must be a Randers metric*

Combining Theorem 1.1 with the classification of CW-homogeneous Randers metrics in [DX4], we obtain a complete classification of CW-homogeneous Finsler metrics on spheres. Taking into account of the classification of CW-homogeneous Riemannian manifolds of [BN09], we can say that this fact is an important step to a complete classification of CW-homogeneous Finsler spaces.

In Section 2, we recall some definitions and fundamental results. Section 3 is devoted to giving a theme for the proof of the main theorem. In Section 4, we study triality for Cayley numbers and homogeneous spheres, and obtain a lemma which is useful for proving the main theorem. In Section 5, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2 Preliminaries

A Finsler metric F on a manifold M is a continuous function $F : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ which is smooth on $TM \setminus 0$, and satisfies the following conditions for any induced local coordinates (x^i, y^j) on TM , where (by some abuse of notation) the x^i 's are the local coordinates on M :

- (1) $F(x, y) > 0$ for any $y \neq 0$;
- (2) $F(x, \lambda y) = \lambda F(x, y)$ for any $\lambda > 0$;
- (3) The Hessian matrix $g_{ij}(x, y)(v) = \frac{1}{2}[F^2]_{y^i y^j}(v)$ is positive definite for all nonzero tangent v in the domain of the induced chart on TM .

If (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold and F is the norm associated with g , then (M, F) is clearly a Finsler space. As another example, let α be a Riemannian metric on a manifold M and β be a one-form with $\|\beta\|_\alpha = \sup_{v \in T_x(M) - 0} \frac{\beta(v)}{\sqrt{\alpha(v, v)}} < 1$. Then the function $F = \alpha + \beta$ on $TM \setminus 0$ defines a Finsler metric on M , called a Randers metric. Randers metrics are the most simple and important Finsler metrics. It is obvious that the Randers metric F as defined above is Riemannian if and only if $\beta = 0$.

The Finsler metric F naturally defines a (generally nonsymmetric) distance function on M , which we denote by d . The concept of Clifford-Wolf translation can be naturally

extended to Finsler manifolds, i.e., a Clifford-Wolf translation (CW-translation) ρ is an isometry of (M, F) such that the function which sends a point p of M to the real number $d(p, \rho(p))$ is constant.

A Finsler manifold (M, F) is called homogeneous if the isometry group $I(M, F)$ (which is a Lie group) acts transitively on M . It is called CW-homogeneous if the transitivity can be achieved by the set of CW-translations. There is a slightly weaker version of CW-homogeneity, called the restrictive CW-homogeneity. A Finsler manifold (M, F) is called restrictively CW-homogeneous if for any $x \in M$, there is a neighborhood U of x such that any point of U can be obtained as the image of x by a CW-translation.

The study of CW-translations and CW-homogeneity in Finsler geometry was initiated in [DX1], by the interrelations between one-parameter local semi-groups of CW-translations and Killing vector fields of constant length (KFCLs).

Restrictive CW-homogeneity can be equivalently described as certain properties of KFCLs, namely, we have

Proposition 2.1 *A homogeneous Finsler manifold (M, F) is restrictively CW-homogeneous if and only if any tangent vector can be extended to a KFCL for (M, F) .*

Let $M = G/H$ be a homogeneous manifold such that G acts almost effectively on M . Suppose F is a G -invariant Finsler metric on M . Denote the Lie algebras of G and H by \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} , respectively. The tangent space $T_x(M)$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. The natural projection from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{m} will be denoted as pr . As a subalgebra of $\text{Lie}(I(M, F))$, any vector X in \mathfrak{g} defines a Killing vector field of (M, F) (the fundamental vector field generated by X), which will also be denoted as X . The following easy lemma can be used to determine whether a Killing field X is of constant length.

Lemma 2.2 *A Killing vector field $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is of constant length 1 if and only if $\text{pr}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is contained in the indicatrix of F in $\mathfrak{m} = T_x(M)$, where \mathcal{O}_X is the $\text{Ad}(G)$ -orbit of X .*

Given any $p \in M$, we can write $p = gH$ for some $g \in G$. Note that F is G -invariant. Hence the map $L_g : g_1H \rightarrow gg_1H$ is an isometry of M . The inverse map $(L_g)^{-1} = L_{g^{-1}}$ is then an isometry of M sending p to the origin x . Suppose X is a Killing vector field of (M, F) . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(L_{g^{-1}})(X_p) &= d(L_{g^{-1}}) \frac{d}{dt} \{(\exp tX)gH\} |_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \{g^{-1}(\exp tX)gH\} |_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \{\exp(t\text{Ad}(g^{-1})X)H\} |_{t=0} \\ &= \text{pr}(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})X). \end{aligned}$$

This means that the set $\text{pr}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ exhausts the values of the Killing vector field X at all points when isometrically pulled back to $T_x(M) = \mathfrak{m}$.

3 Theme for the proof of the main theorem: CW-translations and KFCLs

The proof of the main theorem (Theorem 1.1) will be completed through a case by case discussion. Notice that for any Finsler manifold (M, F) , we can define a Riemannian metric Q on M by taking the average of the Hessian (g_{ij}) on the indicatrix of F . This implies that the group $I(M, F)$ is contained in $I(M, Q)$, and the connected component $I_0(M, F)$ is contained in $I_0(M, Q)$. Moreover, the Riemannian manifold (M, Q) will be homogeneous if (M, F) is homogeneous.

Now suppose (M, F) is a homogeneous Finslerian sphere. Then we can write $M = G/H$, where G is a closed connected subgroup of $I_0(M, F) \subset I_0(M, Q)$, with Q being a Riemannian metric on M . By the results of [MS43], $M = G/H$ must be one of the following:

- (1) $S^n = \text{SO}(n+1)/\text{SO}(n)$;
- (2) $S^{2n+1} = \text{U}(n+1)/\text{U}(n)$;
- (3) $S^{2n+1} = \text{SU}(n+1)/\text{SU}(n)$;
- (4) $S^{4n+3} = \text{Sp}(n+1)/\text{Sp}(n)$;
- (5) $S^{4n+3} = \text{Sp}(n+1)S^1/\text{Sp}(n)S^1$;
- (6) $S^{4n+3} = \text{Sp}(n+1)\text{Sp}(1)/\text{Sp}(n)\text{Sp}(1)$;
- (7) $S^6 = G_2/\text{SU}(3)$;
- (8) $S^7 = \text{Spin}(7)/G_2$;
- (9) $S^{15} = \text{Spin}(9)/\text{Spin}(7)$.

Now we start the proof of the main theorem. Assume that (M, F) is a Finslerian CW-homogeneous sphere with a connected transitive group of isometries, $G \subset I_0(M, F)$. If G is $\text{SO}(n+1)$ as in case (1), then F is obviously Riemannian. By the results of [BN09], M must be odd-dimensional. In the following we consider the case when $G \neq \text{SO}(n+1)$.

The cases that $G = \text{SU}(n+1)$, $\text{U}(n+1)$, $\text{Sp}(n+1)$, $\text{Sp}(n+1)\text{U}(1)$ or $\text{Sp}(n+1)\text{Sp}(1)$ have essentially been discussed earlier in our previous papers ([DX1]-[DX4]). Their Lie algebras are the classical ones, possibly directly summed with a one-dimensional center or a copy of summand that is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$. The projections from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{m} are their left multiplication on the column vector $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)^t$. When $G = \text{Sp}(n+1)\text{U}(1)$ or $\text{Sp}(n+1)\text{Sp}(1)$, the $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ or $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ factor has a scalar action on the column vectors from the right. Now let us recall the main results in these cases.

When $G = \text{U}(n+1)$ or $\text{Sp}(n+1)\text{U}(1)$, the actions of its center provide M with an S^1 -principal bundle structure. Any vector which is not tangent to a fiber can be extended to a Killing vector field of constant length which is not contained in the center. If a Killing vector field $X \in \mathfrak{u}(n+1)$ is of constant length 1 on $M = S^{2n+1} = \text{U}(n+1)/\text{U}(n)$, and if X is not a central vector, then its $\text{Ad}(\text{U}(n+1))$ -orbit contains a Weyl group orbit

of diagonal matrices, whose pr-images are all contained in a one-dimensional subspace coordinated by the eigenvalues of X . So the eigenvalues of X either are all the same, or have two different values with different signs. It is obvious that the eigenvalues of X cannot be all the same, since X is not in the center. Therefore X has two different eigenvalues with different signs. As calculated in [DX1], the $\text{Ad}(\text{U}(n+1))$ -orbit of X is an ellipsoid. This implies that F is a Randers metric. The discussion for $X \in \mathfrak{su}(n+1)$ is similar, and the full connected isometry group is $\text{U}(n+1)$ or $\text{SO}(2n+2)$.

If $X \in \mathfrak{sp}(n+1) \oplus \mathfrak{i}\mathbb{R}$ is a Killing vector field of constant length 1 on $M = S^{4n+3} = \text{Sp}(n+1)\text{U}(1)/\text{Sp}(n)\text{U}(1)$, which is not contained in the center, we can similarly find a subset of \mathcal{O}_X , in which each element can be represented as $(\mathfrak{i}X', a\mathfrak{i})$, such that all the X 's are nonzero real diagonal matrices, and are different by Weyl group actions (i.e., permutation and sign changes for the diagonal entries), and a is a real number which depends only on the $\mathfrak{i}\mathbb{R}$ factor of X . They are all projected into a line by pr. Similarly as in the above case, one can show that all diagonal entries of X have the same absolute values. We have also proved in [DX4] that the set $\text{pr}\mathcal{O}_X$ must be an ellipsoid. Thus F is a Randers metric. Meanwhile, one easily shows that in this case the connected isometry group is in fact $\text{U}(2n+2)$, or $\text{SO}(4n+4)$ when a vanishes.

By similar discussions, we have also shown that $X \in \mathfrak{sp}(n+1)$ can not give a nonzero Killing vector field of constant length, see [DX4].

The discussion for the case $G = \text{Sp}(n+1)\text{U}(1)$ can also be applied to $G = \text{Sp}(n+1)\text{Sp}(1)$. Let $X \in \mathfrak{sp}(n+1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ be a Killing vector field of constant length 1 which is not contained in the $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ factor. Then in the $\text{Ad}(G)$ -orbit of X , we can find a subset in which each element can be written as $(\mathfrak{ii}X', a\mathfrak{i})$, where X' is a real diagonal matrix and a is a real number. The X 's are only different by permutations and sign changes of their diagonal entries, and a can change sign. All these points are mapped to a one-dimensional subspace in \mathfrak{m} , which can contain at most two different points. It is not hard to see that a must be zero. Then $\text{pr}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is round sphere (with respect to a bi-invariant metric) centered at 0. This indicates that F is a bi-invariant Riemannian metric.

The case (7) that $G = G_2$ is trivial. The rank of $G = G_2$ is same as that of $H = \text{SU}(3)$. Hence any Killing vector field $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is conjugate with some vector in \mathfrak{h} . Thus it must have a zero and can not be of constant length.

In summarizing, we have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 *Let F be a G -invariant Finsler metric on a sphere $S^n = G/H$, where $G = \text{SO}(n+1)$, $\text{U}(m+1)$ ($n = 2m+1$), $\text{SU}(m+1)$ ($n = 2m+1$), $\text{Sp}(m+1)$ ($n = 4m+3$), $\text{Sp}(m+1)\text{U}(1)$ ($n = 4m+3$) or $\text{Sp}(m+1)\text{Sp}(1)$ ($n = 4m+3$). If F is CW-homogeneous, then F must be a Randers metric.*

The only cases left are the case (8) that $S^7 = \text{Spin}(7)/G_2$ and (9) that $S^{15} = \text{Spin}(9)/\text{Spin}(7)$, which will be dealt with by applying some results on triality for Cayley numbers. The discussion for these two cases will be postponed to Section 5.

4 Triality for Cayley numbers and homogeneous spheres

The algebra of Cayley numbers, denoted as \mathcal{O} , can be identified with $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$ as a real vector space. The multiplication is then defined by $(q_1, q_2)(s_1, s_2) = (q_1s_1 - \overline{s_2}q_2, s_2q_1 +$

$q_2 \bar{s}_1$). Denote $\overline{(q_1, q_2)} = (\bar{q}_1, -q_2)$, $\text{Re}(q_1, q_2) = \text{Re}(q_1)$ and $\text{Im}(q_1, q_2) = (\text{Im}(q_1), q_2)$. The inner product on $\mathbb{R}^8 = \mathcal{O}$ is then defined as $\langle (q_1, q_2), (s_1, s_2) \rangle = \text{Re}(\overline{(q_1, q_2)}(s_1, s_2))$.

Any left or right multiplications by an element of unit length preserves the inner product on \mathbb{O} . More generally, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 $\langle xy, xz \rangle = |x|^2 \langle y, z \rangle$, $\langle yx, zx \rangle = |x|^2 \langle y, z \rangle$, $\forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{O}$.

Denote the left and right multiplication by $w \in \mathbb{O}$ as L_w and R_w , respectively. We now recall some easy and well known facts.

Lemma 4.2 For any $x, y, w \in \mathbb{O}$, we have

- (1) $\langle x, wy \rangle = \langle \bar{w}x, y \rangle$, i.e., $L_w^t = L_{\bar{w}}$. Similarly, we have $R_w^t = R_{\bar{w}}$.
- (2) $\langle x, y \rangle = x\bar{y} = -y\bar{x}$.
- (3) $(xy)\bar{y} = x|y|^2$, $\bar{x}(xy) = |x|^2y$.
- (4) Suppose $x \neq 0$ and denote $x^{-1} = \bar{x}/|x|^2$. Then $xw = y$ if and only if $w = x^{-1}y$. Similarly, $wx = y$ if and only if $w = yx^{-1}$.
- (5) $L_x L_{\bar{y}} + L_y L_{\bar{x}} = R_x R_{\bar{y}} + R_y R_{\bar{x}} = 2\langle x, y \rangle \text{id}$.

Though the algebra of Cayley numbers is not associative, there are still some partial associativities. For example, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3 Any subalgebra of \mathbb{O} generated by two elements is associative. In particular, the products of the elements within the subalgebra \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{H} are associative.

The following lemma is the well known Moufang equalities.

Lemma 4.4 For any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{O}$, we have $(xyx)z = x(y(xz))$, $z(xy) = ((zx)y)x$ and $(xy)(zx) = x(yz)x$.

A triality triple is defined as a triple $(A, B, C) \in \text{SO}(8) \times \text{SO}(8) \times \text{SO}(8)$, such that $A(x)B(y) = C(xy)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$. The set of all triality triples is a connected Lie group. The key observation below implies that this Lie group is actually isomorphic to $\text{Spin}(8)$.

The triality for Cayley numbers refers to the following observations.

Proposition 4.5 For any $A \in \text{SO}(8)$, there exist exactly two triality triples with the first entry equal to A , i.e., of the form $(A, \pm B, \pm C)$. The statement is also true for the second and third entries.

The projection from $\text{Spin}(8)$ to $\text{SO}(8)$ is specified as $\pi(A, B, C) = A$, though there are two others implied by Proposition 4.5. A subgroup $\text{Spin}(7)$ in this $\text{Spin}(8)$ is defined by the condition $A(1) = 1$, or equivalently $B = C$. A subgroup G_2 in this $\text{Spin}(7)$ is defined by the condition $A = B = C$, which implies that A is an automorphism of \mathbb{O} .

The group $\text{Spin}(8)$ constructed above can also be contained in a subgroup $\text{Spin}(9) \subset \text{SO}(15)$, as it can be seen as follows. Define an inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{16} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}$ as

the orthogonal product of the ones on both \mathcal{O} -factors. Consider the octonian lines $L_m = \{(u, um) | u \in \mathbb{O}\}$ and $L_\infty = \{(0, u) | u \in \mathbb{O}\}$. Then the group $\text{Spin}(9)$ can be viewed as

$$\{f \in \text{SO}(16) | f \text{ maps octonian lines to octonian lines}\}. \quad (4.1)$$

Notice that if we further require that $f(L_0) = L_0$ and $f(L_\infty) = L_\infty$, then it has the form $f(u, v) = (Au, Cv)$. In this case, it maps octonian lines to octonian lines. This implies that $A^{-1}(u)C(um) = A^{-1}(1)C(m) = B(m)$ for all u and m , where (A, B, C) is an arbitrary triality triple. Thus the map $(A, B, B) \rightarrow \text{diag}(A, B)$ defines an injection from $\text{Spin}(8)$ into $\text{Spin}(9)$.

With all the groups involved defined as above, the sphere $S^7 = \text{Spin}(7)/G_2$ is the images of all $B(1)$, such that (A, B, B) is a triality triple in $\text{Spin}(7)$, and the sphere $S^{15} = \text{Spin}(9)/\text{Spin}(7)$ is the images of all $f(1, 0)$ with $f \in \text{Spin}(9)$.

Through direct computation, it is not hard to determine the linear generators of the Lie algebras of the groups $\text{Spin}(7)$, $\text{Spin}(8)$ and $\text{Spin}(9)$ defined above.

Lemma 4.6 *Let $\{1, e_i, i = 1, \dots, 7\}$ be an orthogonal basis of \mathbb{O} and let the groups $\text{Spin}(7)$, $\text{Spin}(8)$ and $\text{Spin}(9)$ be defined as above. Then we have:*

- (1) *The Lie algebra of $\text{Spin}(7)$ is linearly generated by the set*

$$S_1 = \{2(E_{ji} - E_{ij}), L_{e_i}L_{e_j}, L_{e_i}L_{e_j}, i < j\},$$

here $E_{ji} - E_{ij}$ denotes the matrix in $\mathfrak{so}(7) \subset \mathfrak{so}(8)$ whose entries in the first column and the first row are all equal to zero.

- (2) *The Lie algebra of $\text{Spin}(8)$ is linearly generated by the set*

$$S_2 = S_1 \cup \{L_{e_i}, R_{e_i}, L_{e_i} + R_{e_i}, \text{ for all } i\}.$$

- (3) *The Lie algebra of $\text{Spin}(9)$ is linearly generated by the set*

$$S_3 = S_2 \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R_{e_i} \\ R_{e_i} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \text{ for all } i\}.$$

Proof. (1) From the above easy facts on Cayley numbers, it can be easily checked that $(L_z R_{\bar{z}}, L_z, L_z)$ is a triality triple, for any unit length element $z \in \text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$. Let $z = e_i$ and $z' = (\cos t)e_i + (\sin t)e_j$ for $i < j$. Then the multiplication of the triality triples corresponding to them gives a one-parameter subgroup in $\text{Spin}7$. Differentiating them for all the pairs $i < j$ at $t = 0$ gives the indicated linear basis of the Lie algebra of $\text{Spin}(7)$.

(2) For any unit length element $z \in \mathbb{O}$, there is a triality triple $(L_z, R_z, L_z R_z)$ in $\text{Spin}(8)$. Differentiating them for $z = \cos t + (\sin t)e_i$ at $t = 0$, we get the generators $\{L_{e_i}, R_{e_i}, L_{e_i} + R_{e_i}, \text{ for all } i\}$. Together with the generators of the Lie algebra of $\text{Spin}(7)$, they give a linear basis of the Lie algebra of $\text{Spin}(8)$.

(3) For any i and t , the elements $f_t(u, v) = ((\cos t)u + (\sin t)v, -(\sin t)u + (\cos t)v)$ and $f_{t,i} = (\cos tu + (\sin t)ve_i, (\cos t)ue_i + (\sin t)v)$ belong to $\text{Spin}(9)$, i.e., they map octonian lines to octonian lines. Differentiating f_t and $f_{t,i}$ at $t = 0$, we get the linear

generators $\text{Lie}(\text{Spin}(8))$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & R_{e_i} \\ R_{e_i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for the Lie algebra of $\text{Spin}(9)$.

Together with those elements in S_2 which are all block diagonal in $\mathfrak{so}(16)$, they give a linear basis for the Lie algebra of $\text{Spin}(9)$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \blacksquare

5 The coset spaces $S^7 = \text{Spin}(7)/G_2$ and $S^{15} = \text{Spin}(9)/\text{Spin}(7)$

Assume that $X \in \text{Lie}(\text{Spin}(7))$ defines a Killing vector field of constant length 1 on $S^7 = \text{Spin}(7)/G_2$ for a $\text{Spin}(7)$ -invariant Finsler metric F . By Lemma 4.6, X can be represented as a triple, whose first entry is a matrix in $\mathfrak{so}(7)$. We arrange the order of the basis vectors so that $e_1e_2 = e_3e_4 = e_5e_6 = e_7$. Up to a suitable conjugation, we can write X as $X = (X_1, X_2)$, where

$$X_1 = 2a(E_{21} - E_{12}) + 2b(E_{43} - E_{34}) + 2c(E_{65} - E_{56}), \quad (5.2)$$

$$X_2 = aL_{e_1}L_{e_2} + bL_{e_3}L_{e_4} + cL_{e_5}L_{e_6}, aL_{e_1}L_{e_2} + bL_{e_3}L_{e_4} + cL_{e_5}L_{e_6}. \quad (5.3)$$

The projection of X to \mathfrak{m} is $ae_1e_2 + be_3e_4 + ce_5e_6 = (a + b + c)e_7$. The Weyl group action can arbitrarily change the signs of a , b and c . So the projection of the orbit \mathcal{O}_X to \mathfrak{m} contains eight points $(\pm a \pm b \pm c)e_7$, all of them lying in the same line. So the set $\{\pm a, \pm b, \pm c\}$ contains at most two elements. This implies that at least two of a , b and c must be zero.

Suppose two of a , b and c are zero. We assert that the metric F is a symmetric Riemannian metric. Without loss of generality, we can simplify X to $(2(E_{21} - E_{12}), L_{e_1}L_{e_2}, L_{e_1}L_{e_2})$. Notice that for any element $z \in \text{Im}\mathbb{O}$ with unit length, one can find $z_1, z_2 \in \text{Im}\mathbb{O}$, such that $z = z_1z_2$ and $\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle = 0$. Hence in the conjugation class of X , one can find a vector X' whose second entry is $L_{z_1}L_{z_2}$. This indicates that $z = \text{pr}X'$ is also a unit vector for F . Thus F is a symmetric Riemannian metric.

In summarizing, we have

Proposition 5.1 *Let F be a $\text{Spin}(7)$ -invariant Finsler metric on the sphere $S^7 = \text{Spin}(7)/G_2$. If there is a nonzero vector $X \in \mathfrak{so}(7) = \text{Lie}(\text{Spin}(7))$ defining a Killing vector field of constant length of F , then F is a symmetric Riemannian metric.*

Assume that $X \in \text{Lie}(\text{Spin}(9))$ defines a Killing vector field of constant length 1 for a $\text{Spin}(9)$ -invariant Finsler metric on the sphere $S^{15} = \text{Spin}(9)/\text{Spin}(7)$. Up to a suitable conjugation, we can assume that X belongs to the subalgebra $\text{Lie}(\text{Spin}(8))$, i.e., X is a block diagonal $\text{diag}(A, C) \in \mathfrak{so}(15)$, or can be represented as a triple (A, B, C) . With the submanifold metric, the sphere $S^7 = \text{Spin}(8)/\text{Spin}(7) \subset \text{Spin}(9)/\text{Spin}(7) = S^{15}$ has a connected isometric group $\text{SO}(8)$. Thus the above S^7 with the submanifold metric is a symmetric Riemannian metric. The restriction of the vector field X to S^7 is a Killing vector field of constant length 1 with respect to the submanifold metric. Thus by a suitable conjugation and rescaling of the metric, we can assume that the first entry of X is L_{e_1} . Then the triple for X is $(L_{e_1}, R_{e_1}, L_{e_1} + R_{e_1})$. Its projection to \mathfrak{m} is $(e_1, 0)$.

Then its conjugation by $f_{\pi/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, i.e.,

$$f_{\pi/2} X f_{\pi/2}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L_{e_1} & 0 \\ 0 & L_{e_1} + R_{e_1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{e_1} + R_{e_1} & 0 \\ 0 & L_{e_1} \end{pmatrix},$$

has a projection $2e_1$ in \mathfrak{m} . This is impossible when X is of constant length.

In summarizing, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2 *Let F be a Spin(9)-invariant Finsler metric on the sphere $S^{15} = \text{Spin}(9)/\text{Spin}(7)$. Suppose $X \in \text{Lie}(\text{Spin}(9))$ defines a Killing field of constant length of F . Then X must be zero.*

Now the main theorem follows from Propositions 3.1, 5.1 and 5.2.

Acknowledgement. We are deeply grateful to the referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and valuable suggestions. This work was finished during the first author's visit to the Chern institute of Mathematics. He would like to express his deep gratitude to the members of the institute for their hospitality.

References

- [AW76] R. Azencott, E. Wilson, Homogeneous manifolds with negative curvature I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 215 (1976), 323-362.
- [BCS00] D. Bao, S.S. Chern, Z. Shen, An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [BN081] V.N. Berestovskii, Yu.G. Nikonorov, Killing vector fields of constant length on locally symmetric Riemannian manifolds, Transformation Groups, 13 (2008), 25C45.
- [BN082] V.N. Berestovskii, Yu.G. Nikonorov, On δ -homogeneous Riemannian manifolds, Diff. Geom. Appl., 26 2008, 514C535.
- [BN09] V.N. Berestovskii, Yu.G. Nikonorov, Clifford-Wolf homogeneous Riemannian manifolds, Jour. Differ. Geom., 82 (2009), 467-500.
- [CS04] S.S. Chern, Z. Shen, Riemann-Finsler Geometry, World Scientific Publishers, 2004.
- [DX1] S. Deng, M. Xu, Clifford-Wolf translations of Finsler spaces, Forum Math., doi: 10.1015/forum-2012-0032.
- [DX2] S. Deng, M. Xu, Clifford-Wolf translations of homogeneous Randers spheres, Israel J. Math., doi: 10.1007/s11856-013-0037-4.
- [DX3] S. Deng, M. Xu, Clifford-translations of left invariant Randers metrics on compact Lie groups, Quarterly J. Math., doi: 10.1093/qmath/hat003.

- [DX4] M. Xu, S. Deng, Clifford-Wolf Homogeneous Randers spaces, *Journal of Lie Theory*, 23 (2013), 837–845.
- [HE74] E. Heintze, On homogeneous manifolds of negative curvature, *Math. Ann.*, 211 (1974), 23-34.
- [MS43] D. Montgomery and H. Samelson, Transformation groups of spheres, *Ann. Math.*, 44 (1943), 454-470.
- [MTP] V.S. Matveev, M. Troyanov, The Binet-Legendre ellipsoid in Finsler geometry, *Geometry and Topology*, 16 (2012), 2135-2170.
- [RA04] H.B. Rademacher, Nonreversible Finsler spaces of positive flag curvature, In: *A sampler of Finsler geometry*, eds: D. Bao, R. Bryant, S. S. Chern, Z. Shen, MSRI Publ. 50, 2004, 261-302.
- [SH01] Z. Shen, *Differential Geometry of Sprays and Finsler Spaces*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [WO64] J.A. Wolf, Homogeneity and bounded isometries in manifolds of negative curvature, *Illinois J. Math.*, 8 (1964), 14-18.