Silicene vs. 2D ordered silicide: the atomic and electronic structure of the Si-(√19 × √19)R23.4°/Pt(111)

M. Švec, 1 P. Hapala, 1 M. Ondráček, 1 P. Merino, 2 M. Blanco-Rey, 3, 4 P. Mutombo, 1 M. Vondráček, 1 Y. Polyak, 1 V. Cháb, 1 J. A. Martín Gago, 5 and P. Jelinek 1, 6

1 Institute of Physics AS CR, Cukrovarnická 10, CZ-16200 Prague, Czech Republic
2 Centro de Astrobiología INTA-CSIC, Ctra. de Ajalvir, km.4, ES-28850 Madrid, Spain
3 Dpto. de Física de Materiales UPV/EHU, Apartado 1072, 20018 Donostia-San Sebastián, Spain
4 Donostia International Physics Center, Pº Manuel de Lardizabal 4, 20018 Donostia-San Sebastián, Spain
5 CSIC-ICMM, C/Sor Juana Inés de la Cruz 3, E-28049 Madrid, Spain
6 Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University 2-1, Yamada-Oka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

We discuss the possibility of a 2D ordered structure formed upon deposition of Si on metal surfaces. We investigate the atomic and electronic structure of the Si-(√19 × √19)R23.4°/Pt(111) surface reconstruction by means of a set of experimental surface-science techniques supported by theoretical calculations. The theory achieves a very good agreement with the experimental results and corroborates beyond any doubt that this phase is a surface alloy consisting of Si3Pt tetramers that resembles a twisted Kagome lattice. These findings render unlikely any formation of silicene or germanene on Pt(111) and other transition metal surfaces.

The experimental discovery of graphene [1] has stimulated a search for other families of two-dimensional crystals [2, 3]. Probably the most desired 2D material for nanoelectronic industry is the silicon-based counterpart of graphene, the so-called silicene. This request has initiated an intensive debate about its existence. Recently Meng et. al. [10] observed a silicide using spectroscopic methods. However their request has not been resolved yet due to a lack of adequate techniques and/or limited computer resources. Therefore, the missing atomic structure and the advent of silicene [4, 5] have revived the intensive research of such systems.

The surface structure of a Si-covered metal is very sensitive to the strength of the mutual interaction between the Si atoms and the metal substrate, thus the structures may vary significantly as a function of the atomic species involved. Nevertheless, similarities exist among the above mentioned Si/Pt(111) superstructures – √19, √7 – and the analogous systems: Ge/Pt(111) and Si/Ir(111) [10, 15]. These superstructures have been studied, up to now, using separately either the LEED patterns, STM images, Auger or photoelectron spectroscopy. At a first glance, these structures resemble the Moiré patterns reported for graphene on metals, and particularly on Pt(111) [10]. However, the lack of atomic resolution in the STM images and the lack of quantitative analyses of LEED data account for the missing precise atomic determination of this type of systems. We want to stress that, to our knowledge, a comprehensive study combining several complementary experimental and theoretical techniques, which would rule out/confirm the existence of silicene on transition metals, has been missing so far.

In this letter, we characterise the atomic and electronic structure of the √19 surface reconstruction of the Si/Pt(111) and present strong evidence that it is a 2D surface alloy. The ultimate aims of this work are: (i) to decide unambiguously whether Si can form a 2D honeycomb lattice on Pt(111) surface – the silicene; (ii) to determine precise atomic structure of the √19; and (iii) to find the extent of hybridization between the Si and Pt orbitals. For this purpose, we use an integral set of experimental and theoretical tools.

Based on the experimental results, we deduce a candidate for the Si-√19/Pt(111) atomic structure and co-
firm it using Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations. Here, we employ a collection of different experimental techniques, operating in ultrahigh vacuum conditions, including STM, dynamic atomic force microscopy (dAFM) with a tuning fork \[17\], quantitative full-dynamic analysis of intensity-energy curves in LEED (LEED-IV), synchrotron radiation photoemission spectroscopy (SRPES) and angle-resolved ultraviolet photoemission (ARUPS) measurements. The experimental results are supported by the total energy DFT and simulations of STM images, ARUPS patterns and LEED-IV curves. A detailed description of the experimental and the computational methods can be found in the Supplementary information \[18\].

The sample preparation consisted of cleaning the Pt(111) surface by cycles of Ar\(^+\) sputtering and annealing to 1200 K. The surface was exposed to 1 \(\times\) 10\(^{-7}\) O\(_2\) for 30 sec during the last annealing cycle, in order to remove any C residue from the surface. Si was evaporated from a wafer stripe (\(\approx 10 \times 5 \times 0.5 \) mm\(^2\)), by direct current heating to 1400 K, which produced a flux of \(\approx 0.06\) ML / min. Si was deposited on the sample held at 750K. This preparation procedure produced the \(\sqrt{19}\) reconstructed surface, manifested by a sharp LEED pattern.

Fig. 1a shows a STM image of the \(\sqrt{19}\) phase covering over 80% of the Pt(111) surface. The imaged area contains two chiral domains, which have an angular offset of \(\approx 13^\circ\) (ideal value 13.17°). In this particular image, the domains are separated by a step edge. However, both domains have also been observed coexisting within the same terrace. The fast Fourier transform (FFT) power spectrum in Fig.1b of the STM image is almost identical to the LEED pattern obtained at 27.5 eV, shown in Fig.1c, which contains spots corresponding to both domain orientations.

Atomically resolved STM images taken at low bias and high tunneling current reveal a complex pattern within the \(\sqrt{19}\) unit cells – see Fig. 2a. Essentially, parts of the \(\sqrt{19}\) superstructure seem to be a continuation of the Pt(111)-(1 \(\times\) 1) surface structure, modified by triangular-shaped inclusions of dark and bright contrast. The line profiles plotted on Fig. 2b reveal that both the \(\sqrt{19}\) phase and the clean Pt(111) surface are located in the same atomic layer. These observations make it unlikely that the \(\sqrt{19}\) phase consists of an extra atomic Si layer – e.g. silicene – formed on top of the clean Pt surface. By overlaying a hexagonal grid corresponding to the (1 \(\times\) 1) periodicity and extrapolating it to the region of the \(\sqrt{19}\) superperiodicity (Fig.2a), the unit cell vectors (2, 5) and (−5, 3) of the superstructure can be identified with respect to the base vectors defining the (1 \(\times\) 1) lattice (based on the directions along the [\(101\)] and [\(1\bar{1}0\)] crystallographic axes of the surface).

One bright and one dark triangular-shaped features are found within each unit cell marked in Fig. 2b. Both are located at the centers of the unit cell halves, which coincide with the threefold symmetry axes of the \(\sqrt{19}\) reconstruction. Both the bright and dark triangles have their maximum intensity at the center. In a direct interpretation, this could be assigned to atoms at interstitial positions of the (1 \(\times\) 1) surface lattice. Moreover, the dAFM image (inset of the Fig.2a) taken in the constant height mode and the repulsive mode shows protrusions in the centers of the bright triangles, indicating that the corresponding interstitial Pt atom lies certainly higher than the rest.

We performed high-resolution SRPES with an excitation energy of 150 eV in the normal emission geometry. The measurements revealed a Si-2p doublet located at 99.56 eV (binding energy) that emerges after deposition of Si – see Fig. 1f. The energy position of the doublet corresponds to a typical silicide \[19\]. The small width of the peaks (\(\approx 0.3\) eV) and the apparent lack of a fine structure hint that all the Si atoms in the \(\sqrt{19}\) structure are in a similar chemical state. The deposition of Si has also influence on the Pt-4f component representing the substrate, which loses a characteristic surface-related
FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Atomically-resolved STM topography of a Pt(111) region (5 × 7.2 nm$^2$) mostly covered with Si-(√19×√19)R23.4° structure, filled states, -50 mV, 7 nA. The triangular inset shows the ∆f signal obtained by a simultaneous STM/dAFM measurement in the constant height and repulsive mode [18]. (b) The grid corresponding to the Pt atom positions on the (1×1) lattice superimposed onto the STM image. Surface lattice vectors are determined from the orientation of the main surface crystallographic axes and the periodicity of the (1×1). (c) topographic profile of the transition between the √19 and the (1×1) regions along the arrow in (a).

FIG. 3. (Color online) (a), (b) ARUPS k-space maps of clean Pt(111) and Si-(√19×√19)R23.4°/Pt(111) at the Fermi level, (c), (d) DFT-calculated band maps for the best-fit model and projected to higher Brillouin zones. The arrows mark the emerging features due to formation of the structure. (e) Map of the first two BZs of the (1×1) (red) and the √19 (cyan) structures.

feature (shown in [18]). From an intensity evaluation of the Si-2p and Pt-4f peaks, the estimated coverage of Si atoms is ≈0.3 ML.

Furthermore, we mapped the electronic structure in the k-space near to the the Fermi level using ARUPS. Fig. 3a,b shows the clean Pt(111)-(1×1) band structure with two characteristic features: (i) a hexagonal shape rising from a parabolic sp-like band when crossing the Fermi level; and (ii) weak features spreading from the center of the Brillouin zone (BZ). The band map of the √19 phase maintains the main features, as observed in Fig. 3a,b. However, there is a considerable suppression of the overall intensity and a visible change around the center of the BZ (marked by arrows). A shape surrounding the Γ point is discernible and intensity also grows near to the main band vertices.

In the following, we will uncover a suitable atomistic model for the √19 structure that fulfills all the experimental findings. The SRPES data implies a very similar chemical environment for all Si atoms as well as a strong hybridization with its Pt host. The STM profiles in Fig. 2c taken across the √19 and (1×1) regions of the sample shows a negligible height difference, indicating that Si atoms are most likely embedded in the Pt(111) surface. Most of the protrusions in the STM image can be assigned to the regular (1×1) lattice extrapolated from the clean Pt(111), except for the protrusions centered in the dark and bright triangular features.

We constructed a set of atomistic models derived from the clean Pt(111) √19 supercell. In these models, the three atoms nearest to the center of both half-unit cells were replaced by one Si, one Pt atom or 4-atom pyramids (tetramers). The tetramers consisted of a combination of Si and Pt atoms. The individual models are described in the Supplemental information [18]. In addition, we also considered a silicene model consisting of a (3×3) Si honeycomb lattice aligned on top of the Pt (1×1) surface resulting in the √19 periodicity. The models were subjected to a geometry optimization using total energy DFT calculations with the Fireball code [20]. Subsequently, we calculated STM images using the optimized structure of each model. The two most thermodynamically stable models and the silicene model, were further optimized by the plane wave code VASP [21], but the relative stabilities of the studied models were not altered [18].

The atomic structure of the calculated thermodynamically most stable model is presented in Fig. 3a,b. The structure consists of two inserted tetramers formed by three Si atoms at the base and one Pt atom on top (Si$_3$Pt). The structure optimization results in a strong relaxation of the inserted tetramers. The top Pt atoms are pushed into the surface and the Si atoms are displaced outwards in the surface plane. The vertical relaxation of both Pt atoms of the tetramers depends strongly on the registry with the first subsurface Pt layer. The Pt adatom that is placed above a bulk Pt atom (red color)
remains higher than the one above a triangle of bulk Pt atoms (dark gray). The calculated total charge transfer shows a strong charge depletion of the Si atom region.

The calculated relative shift between the Si-2p core levels of the Si atom triplets in our model is 52 meV, which is comparable to the experimentally observed energy difference 90 meV for a two-component fit of the photoemission Si-2p doublet in Fig.1c. Inclusion of the tetramers effectively divides the Pt surface layer into basic building blocks, as shown in Fig.4; hexagonal areas (red), which essentially preserve the (1×1) structure, and triangular regions (blue, green) arising from the two types of tetramers. In this simplified scheme the system resembles a twisted Kagome lattice 22.

We note that not only the total energies but also the calculated STM images, LEED-IV curves and ARUPS Fermi level bandmaps of this model provide the best agreement with the experimental evidence. The simulated STM image (Fig.4) follows very closely the charge density distribution. The agreement of the theory with the experiment is very good, since all experimentally observed features are reproduced. The bright spots correspond to Pt atoms in the top position, the low current areas correspond to the positions of the Si atoms. The experimental images have a slightly better resolution than the theoretical simulation; this can be understood in terms of tip interaction with the surface atoms, which is known to enhance the positive atomic contrast on met-
In summary, we conducted the comprehensive study of 2D Si-based surface structures combining several complementary experimental and theoretical techniques, which has been missing so far. All employed techniques point toward the same proposed atomistic model. We determined unambiguously the atomistic model of the Si-$\sqrt{19}$ being ordered 2D surface alloy instead of silicene. Our analysis rules out the formation of silicene or germanene structure on transition metal surfaces such as Pt(111) and Ir(111) and favors the formation of Si(Ge)$_3$Pt tetramers.
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[18] See Supplemental Material at ... for more information.