

POINCARÉ SERIES AND VERY AMPLENESS CRITERION FOR PLURI-CANONICAL BUNDLES

JUJIE WU

ABSTRACT. Let X be a compact quotient of a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Let K_X be the canonical line bundle of X . In this paper, we shall introduce the notion of S very ampleness for the pluri-canonical line bundles mK_X by using the Poincaré series. The main result is an effective Seshadri constant criterion of S very ampleness for mK_X . An elementary proof of surjectivity of the Poincaré map is also given.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2010): 32Q40, 32N05, 32J25.

KEYWORDS: Poincaré series, $\bar{\partial}$ -equation, very ampleness, pluri-canonical line bundle, Bergman kernel, Seshadri constant.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Basic definitions and results	2
3. Surjectivity of the Poincaré map	6
4. Very ampleness of the pluri-canonical line bundles	8
5. Lower bound estimate for $\varepsilon(K_X)$	10
References	11

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X = \Omega/\Gamma$ be a compact quotient of a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Let K_X be the canonical line bundle of X . Denote by S the space of holomorphic sections of mK_X generated by the Poincaré series of bounded holomorphic functions on Ω . Let $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_N$ be a basis of S . Our motivation comes from the following result of Siegel (see section 40 in [31]): if m is sufficiently large then there exists $x \in X$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad z \rightarrow [\sigma_0(z), \dots, \sigma_N(z)]$$

defines a non-degenerated holomorphic mapping from a neighborhood of x to \mathbb{P}^N . Inspired by Siegel's result, we call mK_X *S very ample* if (1.1) gives an embedding of X into \mathbb{P}^N . This paper is an attempt to study S very ampleness by using Hörmander's L^2 estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation [15]. Notice that

$$(1.2) \quad S \text{ very ample} \Leftrightarrow \text{very ample}$$

if the Poincaré map is surjective, i.e. $S = H^0(X, mK_X)$. By Earle-Resnikoff's theorem (see [12, 26]), if Ω is homogeneous then $H^0(X, mK_X)$ is generated by the Poincaré series

The author was supported by the Norwegian Research Council grant 240569 and NSFC grant 11601120

of some weighted L^1 holomorphic functions on Ω (see [6, 1, 3] and chapter 7 of Kollár's book [21] for the background). The proof of Earle-Resnikoff's theorem relies heavily on studies of the bounded symmetric domains (see [26]). Our first main result is an elementary proof of Earle-Resnikoff's theorem. In section 3, we shall prove that if Ω is homogeneous then $H^0(X, mK_X)$ is generated by the Poincaré series of polynomials on \mathbb{C}^n , in particular, $S = H^0(X, mK_X)$.

By Demailly's result [10], lower bound of the Seshadri constant of K_X can be used to study very ampleness of mK_X . Thus the effective S very ampleness problem can be solved if Ω is assumed to be homogeneous. But in general, there exist compact complex surfaces, namely, the Kodaira surfaces $M_{n,m}$, whose universal coverings are bounded non-homogeneous domains in \mathbb{C}^2 (see [20, 2, 30]). Thus it is necessary to find a method without using surjectivity of the Poincaré map.

Our second main result is in section 4. We shall prove that the Seshadri constant can also be used to give a criterion of S very ampleness *without assuming surjectivity of the Poincaré map*. Lower bound estimate of the Seshadri constant of K_X (see [17, 16] for earlier results) is given in the last section. We shall show how to use Berndtsson-Cerdà's cut-off function (see [4]) to estimate the lower bound of the Seshadri constant.

The main research subject in this paper is compact quotient. In 1971, Griffiths [14] showed that for every algebraic variety V , there exists a Zariski open subset U of V such that the universal covering of U is a bounded domain of holomorphy in \mathbb{C}^n ($n = \dim V$). Thus it would also be interesting to study quasi-projective quotient. We leave it to the interested reader.

2. BASIC DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . The set $\text{Iso}(\Omega)$ of biholomorphic mappings from Ω onto itself has a natural group structure. Let Γ be a subgroup of $\text{Iso}(\Omega)$. Assume that Γ is discontinuous, i.e., for every $a \in \Omega$, $\{\gamma(a) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is a discrete sequence in Ω . Since Ω is bounded, by using Cauchy's integral formula, it is easy to see that for every compact subset K in Ω , the number of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma(K) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ is finite (see section 36 in [31]). Thus Γ is a countable set. Denote by j_γ the complex Jacobian of γ . Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. By definition, a (holomorphic) Γ -automorphic form of weight m on Ω is a holomorphic function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad f(z) = f(\gamma z) j_\gamma^m(z), \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, z \in \Omega.$$

The following lemma is essentially known (see section 37 in [31]) :

Lemma 2.1. *[Poincaré] Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Let Γ be a discontinuous subgroup of $\text{Iso}(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |j_\gamma(z)|^2$$

converges to a smooth function on Ω locally uniformly.

Lemma 2.1 implies that if f is a bounded holomorphic function then

$$(2.2) \quad P_m(f)(z) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma(z)) j_\gamma^m(z)$$

is a holomorphic Γ -automorphic form of weight m on bounded domain Ω . We call $P_m(f)$ the Poincaré series of f . Denote by $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma, m)$ the space of holomorphic Γ -automorphic form of weight m on Ω .

We say that Γ is fixed point free if for each $z \in \Omega$, $z = \gamma z$ implies $\gamma = 1$. If Γ is discontinuous and fixed point free then $X := \Omega/\Gamma$ has a canonical structure of a complex manifold induced from that of Ω . We have $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma, m) \simeq H^0(X, mK_X)$, and $\pi^*\sigma = P_m(f)(z)(dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^\otimes$, where K_X denotes the canonical line bundle of X , $\sigma \in H^0(X, mK_X)$, $P_m(f) \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma, m)$, and $\pi : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is the universal covering map. Thus $P_m(f)(dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^\otimes$ (**also denoted by $P_m(f)$** in order for convenience) can be seen as a holomorphic section of mK_X over X , Siegel (see section 40 in [31]) proved that if m is large enough then there exist bounded holomorphic functions f_0, \dots, f_n and a point z_0 in Ω such that $P_m(f_0)(z_0) \neq 0$ and

$$(2.3) \quad \det \left(\frac{\partial(P_m(f_j)/P_m(f_0))}{\partial z_k} \right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} (z_0) \neq 0.$$

Notice that (2.3) means that

$$z \mapsto [P_m(f_0)(z), \dots, P_m(f_n)(z)] \in \mathbb{P}^n$$

is non-degenerated at z_0 . Assume further that X is compact without boundary. We know that

$$S := \{P_m(f) : f \text{ is a bounded holomorphic function on } \Omega\}$$

is a finite-dimensional subspace of $H^0(X, mK_X)$. Let $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_N$ be a basis of S . We say that mK_X is S very ample if $z \mapsto [\sigma_0(z), \dots, \sigma_N(z)]$ defines an embedding of X into \mathbb{P}^N . The starting point of this paper is to solve the following two problems:

Problem 1 (Surjectivity of the Poincaré map). $S = H^0(X, mK_X)$?

Problem 2. *Can we find an effective bound on m such that mK_X is S very ample ?*

Let's study the first problem first. In section 3, we shall give a simple proof of the known result $S' = H^0(X, mK_X)$ for compact quotients of a bounded symmetric domain, where $S' \supseteq S$ is a set of Poincaré series. Thus it suffices to show that $S' = S$, which follows from a standard approximation technique. We suggest the reader to read Chapter 7 of Kollár's book [21] for the background of the first problem (for earlier results, see [6, 1, 3, 12]).

Let's define S' . Let $K_\Omega(z, z)$ be the Bergman kernel of Ω . Put

$$\|f\|_{p,l} = \int_\Omega |f|^p K_\Omega^{-l}.$$

Then

$$S' := \{P_m(f) : f \text{ is a holomorphic function on } \Omega \text{ such that } \|f\|_{1,(m-2)/2} < \infty\}$$

By the following lemma (see Proposition 6 in [7]), S' is well defined.

Lemma 2.2. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Let Γ be a subgroup of $\text{Iso}(\Omega)$. Assume that Γ is discontinuous and fixed point free. Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. Let f be a holomorphic function on Ω such that $\|f\|_{1,(m-2)/2} < \infty$. Then $P_m(f)$ converges to a holomorphic function on Ω such that*

$$\int_X \|P_m(f)\| K_\Omega^{(2-m)/2} \leq \|f\|_{1,(m-2)/2},$$

where \int_X means integration on a fundamental domain of X and

$$\|P_m(f)\|(z) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |f(\gamma(z)) j_\gamma^m(z)|.$$

In [12, 26], the following proposition is proved:

Proposition 2.3. *Let Ω be a bounded symmetric domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Let $X := \Omega/\Gamma$ be a compact quotient of Ω . If $m \geq 2$ is an integer then $H^0(X, mK_X) = S'$.*

We shall prove that:

Theorem 2.4. *Let Ω be a bounded symmetric domain in \mathbb{C}^n with $0 \in \Omega$. Let $X := \Omega/\Gamma$ be a compact quotient of Ω . If $m \geq 2$ is an integer then*

$$H^0(X, mK_X) = \{P_m(f) : f \text{ is a polynomial on } \mathbb{C}^n\}.$$

In particular, $H^0(X, mK_X) = S$.

Assume the first problem is true. To solve the second, it suffices to find an effective very ampleness criterion for mK_X . Let L be an ample line bundle over X . Let h be a smooth metric on L . Demailly [9] introduced the Seshadri number $\varepsilon(L, x)$ of L to measure the "local positivity" of L at $x \in X$. By Theorem 7.6 in [10],

$$\varepsilon(L, x) = \sup\{t > 0 : H(t, x) \neq \emptyset\},$$

where $H(t, x)$ is the space of quasi-plurisubharmonic functions $\phi \in C(X \setminus \{x\})$ such that

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow x} \frac{\phi(z)}{\log |z - x|^2} = t,$$

and $i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi + i\Theta(L, h) \geq 0$ in the sense of current. Put

$$\varepsilon(L) = \inf_{x \in X} \varepsilon(L, x).$$

By using Hörmander's L^2 estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation (see [15]), Demailly proved that (see Proposition 7.10 in [10])

$$(2.4) \quad \varepsilon(L) > 2n \Rightarrow K_X + L \text{ is very ample.}$$

By using the Bergman kernel, we know that K_X is ample and

$$(2.5) \quad (m-1)\varepsilon(K_X) > 2n \Rightarrow mK_X \text{ is very ample.}$$

Thus one may use the lower bound of the Seshadri number of K_X (see [16, 17]) to study the second problem. In general, we don't know whether the first problem is true if Ω is not assumed to be homogeneous. Since there exist compact complex surfaces, namely, the Kodaira surfaces $M_{n,m}$, whose universal coverings are bounded non-homogeneous domains in \mathbb{C}^2 (see [20, 2, 30]), it is necessary to find another method to solve the second problem. We shall use Hörmander's L^2 estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation (see [15]) to prove the following theorem (compare with (2.5)):

Theorem 2.5 (Main theorem). *Let X be a compact quotient of a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. If*

$$(m-2)\varepsilon(K_X) > 2n$$

then mK_X is S'' very ample, where

$$S'' = \{P_m(f) : f \text{ is a holomorphic function on } \Omega \text{ such that } \|f\|_{2, (m-2)} < \infty\}.$$

If the Bergman metric

$$\omega := i\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_\Omega$$

satisfies that

$$C(\Omega) := \sup_{z \in \Omega} |\bar{\partial} \log K_\Omega|_\omega^2(z) < \infty,$$

then one may use Donnelly-Fefferman's theorem (see [11] or [5]) to show that

$$(2.6) \quad (m - 2 + C(\Omega)^{-1})\varepsilon(K_X) > 2n \Rightarrow mK_X \text{ is } S'' \text{ very ample.}$$

If Ω is bounded homogeneous, by a result of Kai-Ohsawa [19] (see also [32]), one may choose a domain $\Omega' \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, which is biholomorphic equivalent to Ω such that

$$|\bar{\partial} \log K_{\Omega'}|_{i\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_{\Omega'}}^2 \equiv \text{constant.}$$

More precisely, let

$$\Omega := \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^q : v + \bar{v} - H(u, u) \in V\}$$

be a Siegel domain of second kind defined by V and H , where V is a convex cone in \mathbb{R}^q containing no entire straight lines and H is V -Hermitian, Ishi [18] proved that

$$C(\Omega) \leq p + 2q.$$

Thus if Ω is bounded symmetric, the above remark also gives an effective S'' very ampleness criterion for $2K_X$ (for effective very ampleness criterion of K_X itself, see [33] and [34]).

By a theorem of Hwang and To [17], if Ω is a bounded symmetric domain then $\varepsilon(K_X, x)$ can be estimated by the injectivity radius

$$\rho_x := \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}} \rho(x, \gamma x),$$

where ρ is distance function associated to ω . In general, we shall prove:

Proposition 2.6. *If for every fixed $x \in \Omega$,*

$$(2.7) \quad \log \rho(x, \cdot) \text{ is plurisubharmonic on } \Omega,$$

then

$$(2.8) \quad \varepsilon(K_X, \pi(x)) \geq \frac{\rho_x^2}{2}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$

where $\pi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega/\Gamma = X$ denotes the universal covering mapping.

It is known that (2.7) is true if the sectional curvature of ω is non-positive (see [13]). In particular, every bounded symmetric domain satisfies (2.7).

In the last section, we shall also use

$$D(r, x) := \sup_{z \in \Omega} \frac{\#(\Gamma(x) \cap \{\rho(\cdot, z) < r\})}{r^2}$$

to estimate $\varepsilon(K_X)$. We shall prove the following result (generalization of Proposition 2.6):

Proposition 2.7. *If Ω satisfies (2.7) then*

$$(2.9) \quad \varepsilon(K_X, \pi(x)) \geq \frac{1}{2D(r, x)}, \quad \forall r > 0, x \in \Omega.$$

where $\pi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega/\Gamma = X$ denotes the universal covering mapping.

The proof of Proposition 2.7 relies heavily on the special cut-off function u_r (see Theorem 1 in [4] by B. Berndtsson and J. O. Cerdà) used in the weighted interpolation problem. u_r also plays an important role in [25] and [24]. In particular, one may also use the density function $D_{\Lambda, \kappa}(x)$ (see [23], [27, 28, 29] and [4]) to estimate the lower bound of $\varepsilon(K_X, x)$. We leave it to the interested reader.

3. SURJECTIVITY OF THE POINCARÉ MAP

We shall prove Theorem 2.4 in this section. Let Ω be a bounded symmetric domain in \mathbb{C}^n with $0 \in \Omega$, then it is circular and star-shaped with respect to the origin, that is, $tz \in \Omega$ for each $z \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|t| \leq 1$ (see [22]).

Let f be a holomorphic function on Ω such that $\|f\|_{1,l} < \infty$ for some $l \geq 0$. For every fixed $0 \leq r < 1$, $f_r(z) := f(rz)$ is a holomorphic function on a neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega}$. We want to show that

$$\|f_r - f\|_{1,l} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $r \rightarrow 1$.

For $w \in \mathbb{C}$, $|w| < 1$, let $F(w) = \int_{\Omega} |f(wz)| K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-l}$, F is defined since Ω is star-shaped and circular. Also, since on Ω there exists a complete orthonormal system of complex homogeneous polynomials we know that $K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-l}$ is invariant under $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}z$, F is radial, i.e. $F(w) = F(|w|)$. Thus $F(r)$ is non-increasing function of r , $0 \leq r < 1$.

Put

$$g(t) = K_{\Omega}(tz, tz).$$

We know that g is a subharmonic function on the unit disc. Since Ω is circular then $g(te^{i\theta}) = g(t)$. Thus $g(e^r)$, $-\infty < r < 0$, is a convex function of r . Since g is bounded near the origin we have $g(e^r)$ is an increasing function of r . Thus by

$$(3.1) \quad K(tz, tz) \leq K(z, z), \quad \forall z \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } 0 < |t| \leq 1.$$

Let f be a holomorphic function on Ω such that $\|f\|_{1,l} < \infty$ for some $l \geq 0$. For every fixed $0 \leq r < 1$, $f_r(z) := f(rz)$ is a holomorphic function on a neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega}$. We want to show that

$$\|f_r - f\|_{1,l} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $r \rightarrow 1$. Since Ω is circular, we have

$$\|f_r - f\|_{1,l} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(re^{i\theta}z) - f(e^{i\theta}z)| K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-l} \right) d\theta.$$

For $w \in \mathbb{C}$, $|w| < 1$, let $F(w) = \int_{\Omega} |f(wz)| K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-l}$, F is defined since Ω is star-shaped and circular. Also, since on Ω there exists a complete orthonormal system of complex homogeneous polynomials we know that $K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-l}$ is invariant under $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}z$, F is radial, i.e. $F(w) = F(|w|)$. Thus $F(r)$ is non-increasing function of r , $0 \leq r < 1$.

Since $\int_0^{2\pi} |f(te^{i\theta}z)| d\theta$ is an increasing function of t , we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(te^{i\theta}z) - f(e^{i\theta}z)| d\theta \leq 2 \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta}z)| d\theta.$$

Thus $\|f^t - f\|_{1,l} \rightarrow 0$. Notice that f^t can be written as $\sum f^j$ on $t^{-1}\Omega$, where f^j are homogeneous polynomials of degree j . Thus

$$\sup_{z \in \Omega} |f^t(z) - \sum_{j=0}^N f^j(z)| \rightarrow 0,$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that for every sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, there exists a polynomial h such that

$$\|f - h\|_{1,l} < \delta.$$

Thus Theorem 2.4 follows from Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.3. Lemma 2.2 follows easily from the sub-mean value inequality, but the proof of Proposition 2.3 is not easy. For reader's convenience, we include a proof here.

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Let $\{\sigma_j\}_{0 \leq j \leq N}$ be an orthonormal base of $H^0(X, mK_X)$. Put

$$h_j(z)(dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^{\otimes m} = (\pi^* \sigma_j)(z),$$

where $\pi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega/\Gamma = X$ is the universal covering mapping. Let $F \subset \Omega$ be a Dirichlet fundamental domain. Let χ_F be its characteristic function. Denote by $K_m(z, w)$ the reproducing kernel of the space of holomorphic functions on Ω such that

$$\|f\|_{2,m-1} := \int_{\Omega} |f(z)|^2 (K_{\Omega}(z, z))^{1-m} < \infty.$$

Put

$$(3.2) \quad f_j(z) = \int_{\Omega} (\chi_F h_j)(w) K_m(z, w) (K_{\Omega}(w, w))^{1-m}.$$

It suffices to show that $\|f_j\|_{1,(m-2)/2} < \infty$ and

$$P_m(f_j) = h_j,$$

for every $0 \leq j \leq N$. □

Lemma 3.1. $\|f_j\|_{1,(m-2)/2} < \infty$.

Proof. By definition, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \|f\|_{2,m-1} = \|(f \circ \gamma) j_{\gamma}^m\|_{2,m-1}, \quad \forall \gamma \in \text{Iso}(\Omega).$$

Thus

$$(3.4) \quad K_m(\gamma z, \gamma w) j_{\gamma}(z)^m \overline{j_{\gamma}(w)^m} = K_m(z, w), \quad \forall \gamma \in \text{Iso}(\Omega).$$

Put

$$A(w) = K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-m/2} \int_{\Omega} |K_m(z, w)| K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\frac{m}{2}}.$$

By (3.4), we have

$$A(\gamma w) = A(w), \quad \forall \gamma \in \text{Iso}(\Omega).$$

Since Ω is homogeneous, we have that $A(\gamma w)$ is a constant. Let's denote it by c_m . Since the closure of F is compact, we have

$$\|f_j\|_{1,(m-2)/2} \leq c_m \sup_{w \in F} |h_j(w)| K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-m/2} < \infty.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.1 is complete. □

Lemma 3.2. $P_m(f_j) = h_j$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 2.2, $P_m(f_j)$ are well defined. By definition, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \int_F P_m(f_j) \overline{h_k} K_{\Omega}^{1-m} = \int_{\Omega} f_j \overline{h_k} K_{\Omega}^{1-m}, \quad \forall 0 \leq j, k \leq N.$$

We claim that

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} K_m(z, w) \overline{h_k(z)} (K_{\Omega}(z, z))^{1-m} = \overline{h_k(w)}, \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq N.$$

Notice that for every fixed k and $0 < t < 1$, $h_k(t \cdot)$ is a holomorphic function on $t^{-1}\Omega$. Thus $\|h_k(t \cdot)\|_{2,m-1} < \infty$. By the reproducing property of K_m , we have

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{\Omega} K_m(z, w) \overline{h_k(tz)} (K_{\Omega}(z, z))^{1-m} = \overline{h_k(tw)}, \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq N.$$

By (3.1), for every $0 < t < 1$,

$$\sup_{z \in \Omega} |h_k(tz) (K_{\Omega}(z, z))^{-m/2}| \leq \sup_{z \in \Omega} |h_k(tz) (K_{\Omega}(tz, tz))^{-m/2}|.$$

Notice that, by definition, we have

$$\|h_k\|_{\infty} := \sup_{z \in \Omega} |h_k(z) (K_{\Omega}(z, z))^{-m/2}| = \sup_{z \in F} |h_k(z) (K_{\Omega}(z, z))^{-m/2}| < \infty.$$

Thus the integrand of the left hand side of (3.7) is dominated by

$$\|h_k\|_{\infty} |K_m(z, w)| K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\frac{m}{2}},$$

which is integrable on Ω by the proof of Lemma 3.1. Let $t \rightarrow 1$, we get (3.6). Thus

$$\int_{\Omega} f_j \overline{h_k} K_{\Omega}^{1-m} = \int_F h_j \overline{h_k} K_{\Omega}^{1-m}.$$

By (3.5), we have $P_m(f_j) = h_j$. □

4. VERY AMPLENESS OF THE PLURI-CANONICAL LINE BUNDLES

We shall prove Theorem 2.5 and (2.6) in this section.

Let f be a holomorphic function on Ω such that $\|f\|_{2,m-2} < \infty$. Notice that

$$\|f\|_{2,m-2} = \|f^2\|_{1,m-2},$$

and $m-2 = \frac{2m-2}{2} - 1$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \int_X \|P_{2m-2}(f^2)\| K_{\Omega}^{(2-(2m-2))/2} \leq \|f^2\|_{1,m-2}.$$

By Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\|P_m(f)\|(z)^2 \leq \|P_{2m-2}(f^2)\|(z) \sum |j_{\gamma}(z)|^2.$$

By (4.1) and Lemma 2.1, we know that $P_m(f)$ is well defined. Denoted by h the Hermitian metric on K_X defined by the Bergman kernel $K_{\Omega}(z, z)$. By definition, we have

$$P^*(i\Theta(K_X, h)) = i\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_{\Omega},$$

where $P: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega/\Gamma = X$ is the natural covering mapping. Assume that

$$(4.2) \quad (m-2)\varepsilon(K_X) > 2n.$$

By definition, for every fixed $x \in X$, there exists a quasi-plurisubharmonic function $\phi^x \in C(X \setminus \{x\})$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad (m-2) \liminf_{z \rightarrow x} \frac{\phi^x(z)}{\log |z-x|^2} > 2n,$$

and $i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi^x + i\Theta(K_X, h) \geq 0$ on X . For every $x, y \in \Omega$, put

$$\psi^x = P^*(\phi^{P(x)}) + \log K_{\Omega}, \quad \psi^{x,y} = \frac{P^*(\phi^{P(x)}) + P^*(\phi^{P(y)})}{2} + \log K_{\Omega}$$

We know that ψ^x and $\psi^{x,y}$ are plurisubharmonic functions on Ω . We shall use them to construct holomorphic functions that we need.

In order to prove that mK_X is S'' very ample, it suffices to prove the following two lemmas:

Lemma 4.1. *For every fixed $x \in \Omega$, there exist holomorphic functions f_0, \dots, f_n such that $\|f_j\|_{2,m-2} < \infty$ for every $0 \leq j \leq n$, $P_m(f_0)(x) = 1$ and*

$$\frac{\partial(P_m(f_j)/P_m(f_0))}{\partial z_k}(x) = \delta_{jk}, \quad \forall 1 \leq j, k \leq n.$$

Lemma 4.2. *For every fixed $x, y \in \Omega$ such that $\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) = \emptyset$, there exists a holomorphic function f such that $\|f\|_{2,m-2} < \infty$ and*

$$P_m(f)(x) = 0, P_m(f)(y) = 1.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Take a sufficiently small $r > 0$ such that

$$\gamma(\{|z-x| < r\}) \cap \{|z-x| < r\} = \emptyset, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}.$$

Choose a smooth function κ such that $\kappa \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\kappa \equiv 1$ on $(-\infty, 1/2)$ and $\kappa \equiv 0$ on $(1, \infty)$. Take

$$\tilde{f}_0(z) = \kappa\left(\frac{|z-x|}{r}\right), \quad \tilde{f}_j(z) = (z^j - x^j)\kappa\left(\frac{|z-x|}{r}\right), \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\bar{\partial}\tilde{f}_j|_{i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}|z|^2}^2 e^{-(m-2)\psi^x - |z|^2} < \infty, \quad \forall 0 \leq j \leq n.$$

Since Ω is Bergman complete, we know that Ω is pseudoconvex. By Hörmander's theorem (in fact, by Demailly's theorem [8], it is enough to assume that Ω is complete Kähler), one may solve $\bar{\partial}u_j = \bar{\partial}\tilde{f}_j$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^2 e^{-(m-2)\psi^x - |z|^2} < \infty, \quad \forall 0 \leq j \leq n.$$

By (4.3), we have

$$u_j(\gamma(x)) = 0, \nabla u_j(\gamma(x)) = 0, \quad \forall 0 \leq j \leq n, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

where ∇ denotes the gradient. Now it suffices to choose

$$f_j = \tilde{f}_j - u_j, \quad \forall 0 \leq j \leq n.$$

The proof is complete. □

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Take a sufficiently small $r > 0$ such that

$$\gamma(\{|z-y| < r\}) \cap \{|z-y| < r\} = \emptyset, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}.$$

and

$$\Gamma(x) \cap \{|z-y| < r\} = \emptyset.$$

Take

$$\tilde{f}(z) = \kappa\left(\frac{|z-y|}{r}\right).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\bar{\partial}\tilde{f}|_{i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}|z|^2}^2 e^{-(m-2)\psi^{x,y} - |z|^2} < \infty, \quad \forall 0 \leq j \leq n.$$

By Hörmander's theorem, one may solve $\bar{\partial}u = \bar{\partial}\tilde{f}$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{-(m-2)\psi^{x,y} - |z|^2} < \infty.$$

By (4.3), we have

$$u(\gamma(x)) = u(\gamma(y)) = 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

It suffices to choose $f = \tilde{f} - u$. □

Now the proof of Theorem 2.5 is complete.

Let's proof (2.6) now. We shall use the following version of Donnelly-Fefferman theorem (for the proof, see Theorem 2.3 in [5]).

Lemma 4.3. *Let Ω be a pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Let φ and ϕ be two smooth plurisubharmonic functions such that*

$$(4.6) \quad |\bar{\partial}\varphi|_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}^2 < r < 1,$$

on Ω . Then for every smooth $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0,1)$ -form v with

$$I(v) := \int_{\Omega} |v|_{i\partial\bar{\partial}(\phi+\varphi)}^2 e^{-\phi+\varphi} < \infty,$$

there exists smooth function u such that $\bar{\partial}u = v$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{-\phi+\varphi} \leq C_r I(v).$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \Omega$. Put

$$\varphi = (\varepsilon + C(\Omega))^{-1} \log K_{\Omega},$$

and

$$\phi = (m - 2 + (\varepsilon + C(\Omega))^{-1}) \psi^x + |z|^2.$$

Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.5, we know that (2.6) is true.

5. LOWER BOUND ESTIMATE FOR $\varepsilon(K_X)$

We shall use Berndtsson-Cerdà's cut-off function (see the proof of Theorem 1 in [4]) to prove Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.7.

Put $\phi(z) = \log(\rho(z, x)^2/r^2)$, $r > 0$. Assume that $i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi \geq 0$. Since ρ is the distance function associated to ω , we have $2|\bar{\partial}\rho|_{\omega}^2 = |d\rho|_{\omega}^2 \leq 1$. Thus

$$(5.1) \quad e^{\phi}(i\partial\phi \wedge \bar{\partial}\phi) = \frac{4i\partial\rho \wedge \bar{\partial}\rho}{r^2} \leq \frac{2\omega}{r^2}.$$

Let $a(t)$ be a $C^{1,1}$ function on \mathbb{R} such that

$$(5.2) \quad a = 0 \text{ on } (0, \infty), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{a(t)}{t} = 1.$$

Then we have

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}a(\phi) = a'(\phi)i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi + \frac{a''(\phi)}{e^{\phi}}e^{\phi}(i\partial\phi \wedge \bar{\partial}\phi).$$

in the sense of distribution, where $a'' \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}$. Thus if

$$(5.3) \quad a' \geq 0, \quad \frac{a''(t)}{e^t} \geq -c \text{ on } \mathbb{R}, \quad c > 0,$$

then $i\partial\bar{\partial}a(\phi) \geq -2c\omega/r^2$.

Assume that $a''(t) = -ce^t$ on $(-\infty, 0)$, then

$$a(t) = b + t - ce^t,$$

Since $a \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$, we have $a(0) = a'(0) = 0$, thus

$$(5.4) \quad b = c = 1, \quad a(t) = 1 + t - e^t \text{ on } (-\infty, 0),$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad i\partial\bar{\partial}a(\phi) \geq -\frac{2\omega}{r^2}$$

on \mathbb{R} . Furthermore, by (5.2), we have

$$(5.6) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow x} \frac{a(\phi(z))}{\log |z - x|^2} = 1.$$

Put

$$(5.7) \quad \psi^x(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a \left(\log \frac{\rho(\gamma(z), x)^2}{r^2} \right) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a \left(\log \frac{\rho(z, \gamma(x))^2}{r^2} \right).$$

By (5.6), we have

$$(5.8) \quad i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi^x(z) \geq -2 \frac{\#\Gamma(x) \cap \{\rho(\cdot, z) < r\}}{r^2} \omega(z).$$

By definition of $D(r, x)$, we have

$$(5.9) \quad i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi^x \geq -2D(r, x)\omega.$$

Notice that ψ^x can be seen as a quasi-plurisubharmonic function on X with isolated singularity at $P(x)$. Thus by (5.6), (5.9) and definition of $\varepsilon(K_X, P(x))$, we have

$$\varepsilon(K_X, P(x)) \geq \frac{1}{2D(r, x)}.$$

The proof of Proposition 2.7 is complete. Notice that

$$D(\rho_x, x) = \rho_x^{-2}.$$

Thus Proposition 2.6 follows from Proposition 2.7.

Acknowledgements The author would thank Professor Min Ru and Dr. Xu Wang for their helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ahlfors, *Finitely generated Kleinian groups*, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 413–429.
- [2] M. Atiyah, *The signature of fibre bundles*, In: Global Analysis, (in honor of Kodaira), Princeton Univ. Press, (1969), 73–84.
- [3] D. Bell, *Poincaré series representation of automorphic forms*, Ph. D. diss., Brown Univ., (1966).
- [4] B. Berndtsson and J. O. Cerdà, *On Interpolation and sampling in Hilbert spaces of analytic functions*, J. reine angew. Math. **464** (1995), 109–128.
- [5] B. Berndtsson and P. Charpentier, *A Sobolev mapping property of the Bergman kernel*, Math. Z. **235** (2000), 1–10.
- [6] L. Bers, *Automorphic Forms and Poincaré series for infinitely generated Fuchsian groups*, Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), 196–214.
- [7] L. Bers, *Automorphic Forms for Schottky Groups*, Adv. in Math. **16** (1975), 332–361.
- [8] J. P. Demailly, *Estimations L^2 pour l'opérateur $\bar{\partial}$ d'un fibré vectoriel holomorphe semi-positif au-dessus d'une variété kählérienne compléte*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. **15** (1982), 457–511.

- [9] J. P. Demailly, *Singular Hermitian Metrics on Positive Line Bundles*, Lect. Notes Math. **1507** (1992), 84–104.
- [10] J. P. Demailly, *L^2 -vanishing theorem for positive line bundles and adjunction theory*, In: Transcendental Methods in Algebraic Geometry, F. Catanese and C. Ciliberto, eds., Lect. Notes Math., **1646** (1996).
- [11] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman, *L^2 -cohomology and index theorem for the Bergman metric*, Ann. of Math. **118** (1983), 593–618.
- [12] C. J. Earle, *Some remarks on Poincaré series*, Comp. Math. **21** (1969), 167–176.
- [13] R. E. Greene and H. Wu, *Function Theory on Manifolds Which Possess a Pole*, Lect. Notes in Math. **699**, Springer-Verlag, (1979).
- [14] P. A. Griffiths, *Complex-Analytic Properties of Certain Zariski Open Sets on Algebraic Varieties*, Ann. of Math. **94** (1971), 21–51.
- [15] L. Hörmander, *L^2 -estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation*, Acta Math. **113** (1965), 89–152.
- [16] J. M. Hwang and W. K. To, *On Seshadri Constants of Canonical Bundles of Compact Complex Hyperbolic Spaces*, Compositio Math. **118** (1999), 203–215.
- [17] J. M. Hwang and W. K. To, *On Seshadri constants of canonical Bundles of compact quotients of bounded symmetric domains*, J. reine angew. Math. **523** (2000), 173–197.
- [18] H. Ishi, *On the Bergman metric of Siegel domains*, preprint.
- [19] C. Kai and T. Ohsawa, *A note on the Bergman metric of the bounded homogeneous domains*, Nagoya Math. J. **186** (2007), 157–163.
- [20] K. Kodaira, *A certain type of irregular algebraic surfaces*, Journ. Anal. Math. **19** (1967), 207–215.
- [21] J. Kollár, *Shafarevich maps and automorphic forms*, Princeton University Press, (1995).
- [22] A. Korányi and J. A. Wolf, *Realization of hermitian symmetric spaces as generalized halfplanes*, Ann. of Math. **81** (1965), 265–288.
- [23] H. J. Landau, *Necessary density conditions for sampling and interpolation of certain entire functions*, Acta Math. **117** (1967), 37–52.
- [24] X. Massaneda and P. J. Thomas, *Interpolating sequences for Bargmann-Fock spaces in \mathbb{C}^n* , Indag. Math. **11** (2000), 115–127.
- [25] C. Mourougane, *Interpolation in non-positively curved Kähler manifolds*, Journal of Geometric Analysis, **13** (2003), 173–183.
- [26] H. Resnikoff, *Supplement to "Some remarks on Poincaré series"*, Comp. Math. **21** (1969), 177–181.
- [27] K. Seip, *Density theorems for sampling and interpolation in the Bargmann-Fock space I*, J. reine angew. Math. **429** (1992), 91–106.
- [28] K. Seip, *Beurling type density theorems in the unit disk*, Invent. Math. **113** (1993), 21–39.
- [29] K. Seip, and R. Wallsten, *Density theorems for sampling and interpolation in the Bargmann-Fock space II*, J. reine angew. Math. **429**, (1992), 107–113.
- [30] G. Shabat, *Biholomorphic automorphisms of the universal covers of complex algebraic surfaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Moscow, (1983).
- [31] C. L. Siegel, *Analytic functions of several complex variables*, Mimeographed notes, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, (1949).
- [32] È. B. Vinberg, S. G. Gindikin and I. I. Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro, *Classification and canonical realization of complex homogeneous bounded domains*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obs. **12** (1963), 359–388; Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **12** (1963), 404–437.
- [33] X. Wang, *Effective very ampleness of the canonical line bundles on ball quotients*, J. Geom. Anal., to appear.
- [34] S. K. Yeung, *Effective estimates on the very ampleness of the canonical line bundle of locally Hermitian symmetric spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2000), 1387–1401.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NTNU, SENTRALBYGG 2, ALFRED GETZ VEI 1, 7034 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

E-mail address: 99jujiewu@tongji.edu.cn