

# A remark on weaken restricted isometry property in compressed sensing

Hui Zhang\*

## Abstract

The restricted isometry property (RIP) has become well-known in the compressed sensing community. Recently, a weaken version of RIP was proposed for exact sparse recovery under weak moment assumptions. In this note, we prove that the weaken RIP is also sufficient for *stable and robust* sparse recovery by linking it with a recently introduced robust width property in compressed sensing. Moreover, we show that it can be widely apply to other compressed sensing instances as well.

## 1 Introduction

The concept of restricted isometry property (RIP), which was introduce by Candès and Tao in [3], has become well-known in the compressed sensing community. Recently, a weaken version of RIP appeared in [7] as an important tool for *exact* sparse recovery under weak moment assumptions. In this note, we prove that the weaken RIP is also sufficient for *stable and robust* sparse recovery. A key observation is that the weaken RIP implies the robust width property, which was proposed in [2] and allows uniformly stable and robust recovery for many instances in compressed sensing [2, 9]. We establish our discovery in a very general form by introducing the concepts of atom norm and simple subset, and hence other instances in compressed sensing such as low-rank recovery are commonly covered. The results in this study indicate that the weaken RIP plays a vital role similar to the original RIP.

## 2 Robust width and weaken-RIP

### 2.1 Robust width property

The robust width property was formally defined in [2]. Before stating its definition, we first introduce some notations. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  be the norm induced by the inner product over  $\mathcal{H}$ . We denote the Euclidean norm by  $\|\cdot\|_2$ . Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  denote some known linear operator, where  $\mathbb{F}$  is either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 1** (robust width property, [2]). *We say a linear operator  $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  satisfies the  $(\rho, \alpha)$ -robust width property over  $B_{\sharp}$  if*

$$\|x\| \leq \rho \|x\|_{\sharp}$$

---

\*College of Science, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha, Hunan, 410073, P.R.China. Corresponding author. Email: h.zhang1984@163.com

for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|\Phi x\|_2 < \alpha\|x\|$ ; or equivalently if

$$\|\Phi x\|_2 \geq \alpha\|x\|$$

for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|x\| > \rho\|x\|_{\sharp}$ . Here,  $\|\cdot\|_{\sharp}$  is certain norm used to promote certain structured solutions to underdetermined systems of linear equations.

## 2.2 Weaken-RIP property

The RIP was originally introduced in [3]. In the latter, many researchers have contributed to the topic of RIP; for more information on its development, one could refer to [6]. Here, we write down the definition of RIP formulated in [5].

**Definition 2** (RIP, [3, 5]). Let  $\Sigma_k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|_0 \leq k\}$  be the  $k$ -sparse vector set, where  $\|x\|_0$  stands for the number of nonzero components of vector  $x$ . A matrix  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is said to have  $(k, \delta)$ -RIP property for sparsity  $k \in [n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and distortion  $0 < \delta < 1$  if for every  $k$ -sparse vector in  $\Sigma_k$ , it holds

$$(1 - \delta)\|x\|_2 \leq \|\Gamma x\|_2 \leq (1 + \delta)\|x\|_2.$$

The following is the definition of the weaken RIP appeared in [7].

**Definition 3** (weaken-RIP, [7]). Let  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  be the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A matrix  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is said to have  $(k, \alpha, \beta)$ -weaken-RIP property for sparsity  $k \in [n]$  and distortions  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  if

- a) for every  $k$ -sparse vector in  $\Sigma_k$ ,  $\|\Gamma x\|_2 \geq \alpha\|x\|_2$  and
- b) for every  $i \in [n]$ ,  $\|\Gamma e_i\|_2 \leq \beta$ .

Compared with the original RIP, the weaken-RIP are strictly weaker, as it suffices to verify the right-hand side of the RIP just for 1-sparse vectors and not for all  $s$ -sparse vectors [7]. Because of such relaxed restriction of the right-hand side of the RIP, the weaken-RIP contributes as a main reason for weakening moment assumptions in exact sparse recovery. In this note, we will show that the weaken-RIP also ensures stable and robust sparse recovery. Moreover, the idea behind of the weaken-RIP can widely apply to other instances in compressed sensing. In what follows, we try to state a generalized version of the weaken-RIP. To this end, let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a collection of atoms such that the following holds

$$\mathcal{H} = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : x = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_b b, \sigma_b \geq 0, \forall b \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

We assume that  $\mathcal{B}$  is centrally symmetric about the origin and consider the atom norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$  induced by  $\mathcal{B}$ . Recall that  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$  has the following expression [4]:

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_b : x = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_b b, \sigma_b \geq 0, \forall b \in \mathcal{B} \right\}.$$

Let  $k < n$  and define  $k$ -simple subset relative to  $\mathcal{B}$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{A} := \{x \in \mathcal{H} : x = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i b_i, \sigma_i \geq 0, b_i \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

Before going forward, we give two well-known instances in compressed sensing. The first one is the sparse vector recovery, where we can take  $\mathcal{B} = \{\pm e_1, \pm e_2, \dots, \pm e_n\}$ , and then the corresponding  $k$ -simple subset  $\mathcal{A}$  relative to  $\mathcal{B}$  is just the  $k$ -sparse vector set  $\Sigma_k$ , and the induced norm by  $\mathcal{B}$  is the  $\ell_1$ -norm. The second one is the low-rank matrix recovery, where we can take  $\mathcal{B} = \{uv^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \|u\|_2 = \|v\|_2 = 1\}$ , and then the corresponding  $k$ -simple subset  $\mathcal{A}$  relative to  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $k$ -rank matrix set, and the induced norm by  $\mathcal{B}$  is the Schatten  $\ell_1$ -norm. For more cases, please refer to [4].

**Definition 4** (Generalized-weaken-RIP). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $k$ -simple subset relative to  $\mathcal{B}$ . A linear operator  $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  is said to have  $(k, \alpha, \beta)$ -generalized-weaken-RIP property for sparsity  $k \in [n]$  and distortions  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  if*

- a) for every element in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\|\Phi x\|_2 \geq \alpha \|x\|$  and
- b) for every element in  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\|\Phi x\|_2 \leq \beta \|x\|$ .

Note that the above definition on one hand is a generalization of the weaken-RIP, and on the other hand can also be viewed as a weaken version of the generalized RIP in [1].

**Definition 5** (Generalized-RIP, [1]). *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be some subset of  $\mathcal{H}$ . A linear operator  $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  is said to have  $(k, \alpha, \beta)$ -generalized-RIP property for sparsity  $k \in [n]$  and distortions  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  if*

- a) for every element in  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\|\Phi x\|_F \geq \alpha \|x\|_G$  and
- b) for every element in  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\|\Phi x\|_F \leq \beta \|x\|_G$

where  $\|\cdot\|_F$  and  $\|\cdot\|_G$  are certain norms.

### 3 Main results

Now, we state our main result:

**Theorem 1** (weaken-RIP implies robust width property). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $k$ -simple subset relative to  $\mathcal{B}$ , assume that each element  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  satisfies  $\|b\| = 1$ , and take  $\|\cdot\|_{\sharp} = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ . If linear operator  $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  has the  $(k, \alpha, \beta)$ -generalized-weaken-RIP property for sparsity  $1 < k \in [n]$  and distortions  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , then it must have the  $(\rho, \tilde{\alpha})$ -robust width property with*

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \sqrt{\alpha^2 - \frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2}{\rho^2(k-1)}}.$$

*Proof.* We divide the proof into two steps.

**Step 1:** Let  $x$  be any nonzero element in  $\mathcal{H}$ ; then it has representations via the elements in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Take one of its representations, say  $x = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_b b, \sigma \geq 0, b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\gamma = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_b$ ; it must be positive since  $x$  is a nonzero element. Now, we prove the following inequality via the Maurey empirical method, which was employed to prove similar results in [8, 7]:

$$\|\Phi x\|_2^2 \geq \alpha^2 \|x\|^2 - \frac{\gamma}{k-1} \left( \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_b \|\Phi b\|_2^2 - \alpha^2 \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_b \|b\|^2 \right). \quad (1)$$

Let  $Y$  be a random element in  $\mathcal{H}$  defined by

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = \gamma b) = \frac{\sigma_b}{\gamma},$$

where  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E}Y = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \gamma b \frac{\sigma_b}{\gamma} = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_b b = x.$$

Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k$  be independent copies of  $Y$  and set  $z = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i$ . Then,  $z \in \mathcal{A}$  for every realization of  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k$ . By the definition of the  $(k, \alpha, \beta)$ -generalized-weaken-RIP property, we have  $\|\Phi z\|_2^2 \geq \alpha^2 \|z\|^2$  and hence

$$\mathbb{E}\|\Phi z\|_2^2 \geq \alpha^2 \mathbb{E}\|z\|^2.$$

It is straightforward to verify the following relationships:

i)  $\mathbb{E}\langle Y, Y \rangle = \gamma \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_b \|b\|^2,$

ii) for every  $1 \leq i \leq k,$

$$\mathbb{E}\langle \Phi Y_i, \Phi Y_i \rangle = \gamma \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_b \|\Phi b\|^2,$$

iii) and for each pair  $(i, j)$  satisfying  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k,$

$$\mathbb{E}\langle \Phi Y_i, \Phi Y_j \rangle = \|\Phi x\|_2^2.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|\Phi z\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \mathbb{E}\langle \Phi Y_i, \Phi Y_j \rangle = \frac{k-1}{k} \|\Phi x\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma}{k} \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_b \|\Phi b\|^2.$$

Similarly, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\|z\|^2 = \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \mathbb{E}\langle Y_i, Y_j \rangle = \frac{k-1}{k} \|x\|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{k} \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_b \|b\|^2.$$

Substituting these two relationships to  $\mathbb{E}\|\Phi z\|_2^2 \geq \alpha^2 \mathbb{E}\|z\|^2$  and rearranging terms, we obtain the desired inequality.

**Step 2:** Apply the upper bound estimation in weaken-RIP and the robust width property to finish the proof. Notice that  $\|b\| = 1$  and  $\|\Phi b\|_2^2 \leq \beta^2 \|b\|^2 = \beta^2$ , the inequality (1) can be simplified into

$$\|\Phi x\|_2^2 \geq \alpha^2 \|x\|^2 - \frac{\gamma^2(\beta^2 - \alpha^2)}{k-1} \quad (2)$$

Since the expression of  $x$  is taken arbitrarily, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi x\|_2^2 &\geq \sup_{x = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_b b, \sigma_b \geq 0} \left( \alpha^2 \|x\|^2 - \frac{\gamma^2(\beta^2 - \alpha^2)}{k-1} \right) \\ &= \alpha^2 \|x\|^2 - \frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2}{k-1} \sup_{x = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_b b, \sigma_b \geq 0} \left( \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \sigma_b \right)^2 \\ &= \alpha^2 \|x\|^2 - \frac{\|x\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 (\beta^2 - \alpha^2)}{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last relationship follows from the expression of atom norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Therefore, for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  satisfying  $\|x\| > \rho\|x\|_{\mathcal{B}}$  we get

$$\|\Phi x\|_2^2 \geq \left[ \alpha^2 - \frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2}{\rho^2(k-1)} \right] \|x\|^2,$$

which implies the  $(\rho, \tilde{\alpha})$ -robust width property. This completes the proof.  $\square$

It has been shown that the  $(\rho, \alpha)$ -robust width property is sufficient (and necessary up to constants) for uniformly stable and robust sparse recovery by convex minimization. Under the same setting of Theorem 1, the  $(k, \alpha, \beta)$ -generalized-weaken-RIP property is a stronger property and hence ensures uniformly *stable and robust* sparse recovery as well. In the following, we first introduce the concept of compressed sensing space, and then state a group of uniformly stable and robust sparse recovery results without proof details; they can be obtained directly by combining Theorem 1 with the stable and robust results in [2, 9].

**Definition 6.** ([2]) A compressed sensing space  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\sharp})$  with bound  $L$  consists of a finite-dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , a subset  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ , and a norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\sharp}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  with following properties:

(i)  $0 \in \mathcal{A}$ .

(ii) For every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $v \in \mathcal{H}$ , there exists a decomposition  $v = z_1 + z_2$  such that

$$\|a + z_1\|_{\sharp} = \|a\|_{\sharp} + \|z_1\|_{\sharp}, \quad \|z_2\|_{\sharp} \leq L\|v\|_2.$$

**Theorem 2** (weaken-RIP implies stable and robust recovery). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $k$ -simple subset relative to  $\mathcal{B}$  and assume that each element  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  satisfies  $\|b\| = 1$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$  be the induced norm by  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\diamond}$  be its dual norm. Suppose that there exists a bound  $L$  such that the triple  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$  is a compressed sensing space. If linear operator  $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  has the  $(k, \alpha, \beta)$ -generalized-weaken-RIP property for sparsity  $1 < k \in [n]$  and distortions  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , then

a) for every  $x^{\natural} \in \mathcal{H}, \theta \in (0, 1), \lambda > 0, \sigma > 0$  and  $w \in \mathbb{F}^M$  satisfying  $\|\Phi^T w\|_{\diamond} \leq \theta\lambda\sigma$ , any solution  $x^*$  to the Lasso model

$$\text{minimize } \frac{1}{2} \|\Phi x - (\Phi x^{\natural} + w)\|_2^2 + \lambda\sigma \|x\|_{\mathcal{B}}$$

satisfies  $\|x^* - x^{\natural}\| \leq C_0\|x^{\natural} - a\|_{\mathcal{B}} + C_1 \cdot \sigma$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Here,  $\lambda$  is some turning parameter and  $\sigma$  is a measurement of the noise level, and

$$C_0 = \left( \frac{1-\theta}{2\rho} - L \right)^{-1}, \quad C_1 = \frac{(1+\theta)\lambda}{\tilde{\alpha}^2 \rho}$$

where  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is given by Theorem 1 and the parameter  $\rho$  satisfies

$$\sqrt{\frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2(k-1)}} < \rho < \frac{1-\theta}{2L}.$$

b) for every  $x^{\natural} \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $e \in \mathbb{F}^M$  with  $\|e\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ , any solution  $x^*$  to the basis pursuit model

$$\text{minimize } \|x\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad \text{subject to } \|\Phi x - (\Phi x^{\natural} + w)\|_2 \leq \epsilon$$

satisfies  $\|x^* - x^\natural\| \leq C_2 \|x^\natural - a\|_{\mathcal{B}} + C_3 \cdot \sigma$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Here,

$$C_2 = 2\rho, \quad C_3 = \frac{2}{\tilde{\alpha}}$$

where the parameter  $\rho$  satisfies

$$\sqrt{\frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2(k-1)}} < \rho < \frac{1}{4L}.$$

c) for every  $x^\natural \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  and  $w \in \mathbb{F}^M$  satisfying  $\|\Phi^T w\|_{\diamond} \leq \lambda\sigma$ , any solution  $x^*$  to the Dantzig selector model

$$\text{minimize } \|x\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \text{ subject to } \|\Phi^T(\Phi x - (\Phi x^\natural + w))\|_{\diamond} \leq \lambda\sigma$$

satisfies  $\|x^* - x^\natural\| \leq C_4 \|x^\natural - a\|_{\sharp} + C_5 \cdot \sigma$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Here,

$$C_4 = \left(\frac{1}{2\rho} - L\right)^{-1}, \quad C_5 = \frac{2\lambda}{\tilde{\alpha}^2\rho}$$

where the parameter  $\rho$  satisfies

$$\sqrt{\frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2(k-1)}} < \rho < \frac{1}{2L}.$$

## Acknowledgement

The work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (No.61271014 and No.61072118).

## References

- [1] Anthony Bourrier, Mike E. Davies, Tomer Peleg, and Patrick Pérez. Fundamental performance limits for ideal decoders in high-dimensional linear inverse problems. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 60(12):7928–7946, 2014.
- [2] Jameson Cahill and Dustin G. Mixon. Robust width: A characterization of uniformly stable and robust compressed sensing. *arXiv:1408.4409v1 [cs.IT] 19 Aug, 2014*.
- [3] Emmanuel J Candès and Terence Tao. Decoding by linear programming. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 51(12):4203–4215, 2005.
- [4] V. Chandrasekaran, Benjamin Recht, P.A. Parrilo, and A. Willsky. The convex geometry of linear inverse problems. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 12(6):805–849, 2012.
- [5] A Cohen, W Dahmen, and R DeVore. Compressed sensing and best k-term approximation. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 22(1):211–231, 2009.
- [6] Simon Foucart and Holger Rauhut. *A mathematical introduction to compressive sensing*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser, 2013.

- [7] Guillaume Lecué and Shahar Mendelson. Sparse recovery under weak moment assumptions. *arXiv:1401.2188v3 [math.ST]* 5 Jun 2014.
- [8] Roberto Imbuzeiro Oliveira. The lower tail of random quadratic forms, with applications to ordinary least squares and restricted eigenvalue properties. *arXiv:1312.2903v1 [math.PR]* 10 Dec 2013.
- [9] Hui Zhang. On robust width property for lasso and dantzig selector. *arXiv:1501.03643v1 [cs.IT]* 15 Jan 2015.