

## ADDITIVITY OF MAPS PRESERVING JORDAN $\eta_*$ -PRODUCTS ON $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

ALI TAGHAVI\*, HAMID ROHI AND VAHID DARVISH

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two  $C^*$ -algebras such that  $\mathcal{B}$  is prime. In this paper, we investigate the additivity of map  $\Phi$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{B}$  that are bijective unital and satisfies

$$\Phi(AP + \eta PA^*) = \Phi(A)\Phi(P) + \eta\Phi(P)\Phi(A)^*,$$

for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $P \in \{P_1, I_{\mathcal{A}} - P_1\}$  where  $P_1$  is a nontrivial projection in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\eta$  be a non-zero complex number such that  $|\eta| \neq 1$ , then  $\Phi$  is additive. Moreover, if  $\eta$  is rational then  $\Phi$  is  $*$ -additive.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}'$  be rings. We say the map  $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'$  preserves product or is multiplicative if  $\Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)\Phi(B)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$ . The question of when a product preserving or multiplicative map is additive was discussed by several authors, see [22] and references therein. Motivated by this, many authors pay more attention to the map on rings (and algebras) preserving the Lie product  $[A, B] = AB - BA$  or the Jordan product  $A \circ B = AB + BA$  (for example, Refs. ref [1, 2, 6, 10, 13, 16, 17, 18, 21, 25]). These results show that, in some sense, the Jordan product or Lie product structure is enough to determine the ring or algebraic structure. Historically, many mathematicians devoted themselves to the study of additive or linear Jordan or Lie product preservers between rings or operator algebras. Such maps are always called Jordan homomorphism or Lie homomorphism. Here we only list several results [3, 4, 5, 11, 12, 14, 22, 23, 24].

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a  $*$ -ring and  $\eta$  be a non-zero complex scalar. For  $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$ , denoted the Jordan  $\eta_*$ -product of  $A$  and  $B$  as  $A \bullet_{\eta} B = AB + \eta BA^*$  and Lie  $\eta_*$ -product as  $[A, B]_{\eta}^* = AB - \eta BA^*$ . Particular  $A \bullet_1 B = AB + BA^*$  and  $[A, B]_1^* = AB - BA^*$  are Jordan  $1_*$ -product and Lie  $1_*$ -product, respectively. These products are found playing a more and more important role in some research topics, and its study has recently attracted many author's attention (for example, see [7, 20, 26]). A natural problem is to study whether the map  $\Phi$  preserving Jordan  $\eta_*$ -product or Lie  $\eta_*$ -product on ring or algebra  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring or algebraic isomorphism.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B48, 46L10.

*Key words and phrases.* Maps preserving Jordan  $\eta_*$ -product, Additive, Prime  $C^*$ -algebras.

In [8], J. Cui and C. K. Li proved a bijective map  $\Phi$  on factor von Neumann algebras which preserves the Lie  $1_*$ -product  $([A, B]_*^1)$  must be a  $*$ -isomorphism. Moreover, in [15] C. Li et al, discussed the nonlinear bijective mapping preserving Jordan  $1_*$ -product  $(A \bullet_1 B)$ . They proved that such mapping on factor von Neumann algebras is also  $*$ -ring isomorphism. These two articles discussed new products for arbitrary operators on factor von Neumann algebras. Also, A. Taghavi et al [27], proved a bijective unital map (not necessarily linear) on prime  $C^*$ -algebra which preserving both Lie  $1_*$ -product and Jordan  $1_*$ -product for which one of operators is projection must be  $*$ -additive (i.e., additive and star-preserving). In a recent paper [9], L. Dai and F. Lu proved a bijective map  $\Phi$  on von Neumann algebras which preserving Jordan  $\eta_*$ -product is a linear  $*$ -isomorphism if  $\eta$  is not real and  $\Phi$  is a sum of a linear  $*$ -isomorphism and a conjugate linear  $*$ -isomorphism if  $\eta$  is real.

In this paper, we will discuss such a bijective unital map on prime  $C^*$ -algebra which preserving Jordan  $\eta_*$ -product  $\Phi(A \bullet_\eta P) = \Phi(A) \bullet_\eta \Phi(P)$  is additive. We denote real part of an operator  $T$  by  $\Re(T)$ , i.e.,  $\Re(T) = \frac{T+T^*}{2}$ . It is well known that  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is prime, in the sense that  $AAB = 0$  for  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  implies either  $A = 0$  or  $B = 0$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We need the following lemmas for proving our Main Theorem.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two  $C^*$ -algebras and  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a map which satisfies  $\Phi(A \bullet_\eta P) = \Phi(A) \bullet_\eta \Phi(P)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and some  $P \in \mathcal{A}$  where  $\eta$  is a non-zero complex number such that  $|\eta| \neq 1$ . Let  $A, B$  and  $T$  be in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\Phi(T) = \Phi(A) + \Phi(B)$ . Then we have*

$$\Phi(T \bullet_\eta P) = \Phi(A \bullet_\eta P) + \Phi(B \bullet_\eta P). \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* Multiply the equalities  $\Phi(T) = \Phi(A) + \Phi(B)$  and  $\Phi(T)^* = \Phi(A)^* + \Phi(B)^*$  by  $\Phi(P)$  from the right and  $\eta\Phi(P)$  from the left. We get

$$\Phi(T)\Phi(P) = \Phi(A)\Phi(P) + \Phi(B)\Phi(P),$$

and

$$\eta\Phi(P)\Phi(T)^* = \eta\Phi(P)\Phi(A)^* + \eta\Phi(P)\Phi(B)^*.$$

By adding two equations, we have

$$\Phi(T)\Phi(P) + \eta\Phi(P)\Phi(T)^* = \Phi(A)\Phi(P) + \eta\Phi(P)\Phi(A)^* + \Phi(B)\Phi(P) + \eta\Phi(P)\Phi(B)^*.$$

□

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\eta$  be a non-zero complex number such that  $|\eta| \neq 1$ . If  $T + \eta T^* = 0$  then  $T = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T + \eta T^* = 0$ , we can also write  $T^* + \bar{\eta}T = 0$ . By an easy computation we have  $(1 - \bar{\eta}\eta)T = 0$ . Then,  $T = 0$ . □

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two  $C^*$ -algebras with identities and  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a map which satisfies  $\Phi(A \bullet_\eta P) = \Phi(A) \bullet_\eta \Phi(P)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $P \in \{P_1, I - P_1\}$  where  $P_1$  is a nontrivial projection in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\eta$  is a non-zero complex number such that  $|\eta| \neq 1$ , then  $\Phi(0) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi(T) = 0$ , we prove that  $T = 0$ . For showing this, apply Lemma 2.1 to  $\Phi(T) = 0$  for  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , we have

$$\Phi(T \bullet_\eta P_1) = 0,$$

and

$$\Phi(T \bullet_\eta P_2) = 0.$$

So, by injectivity of  $\Phi$  we obtain  $T \bullet_\eta P_1 = T \bullet_\eta P_2$ . By definition of Jordan  $\eta_*$ -product we have  $TP_1 + \eta P_1 T^* = TP_2 + \eta P_2 T^*$ , i.e.,  $(TP_1 - TP_2) + \eta(TP_1 - TP_2)^* = 0$ . Now, by implying Lemma 2.2, we obtain  $TP_1 = TP_2$ , we multiply the latter equation by  $P_2$  from right side, it follows  $TP_2 = 0$ . So,  $TP_1 = 0$ . Now, we put  $I - P_2$  instead of  $P_1$  in  $TP_1 = 0$ , then we obtain  $T(I - P_2) = 0$ . Therefore  $T = 0$ , since  $TP_2 = 0$ .  $\square$

. Our main theorem is as follows:

**Main Theorem.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two  $C^*$ -algebras such that  $\mathcal{B}$  is prime, with  $I_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $I_{\mathcal{B}}$  the identities of them, respectively. If  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a unital bijective map which satisfies  $\Phi(A \bullet_\eta P) = \Phi(A) \bullet_\eta \Phi(P)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $P \in \{P_1, I_{\mathcal{A}} - P_1\}$  where  $P_1$  is a nontrivial projection in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\eta$  is a non-zero complex number such that  $|\eta| \neq 1$ . Then,  $\Phi$  is additive. Moreover, if  $\eta$  is rational then  $\Phi$  is  $*$ -additive.*

*Proof of Main Theorem.* Let  $P_2 = I_{\mathcal{A}} - P_1$ . Denote  $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = P_i \mathcal{A} P_j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ , then  $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ . For every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  we may write  $A = A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}$ . In all that follows, when we write  $A_{ij}$ , it indicates that  $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ . For showing additivity of  $\Phi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  we will use above partition of  $\mathcal{A}$  and give some claims that prove  $\Phi$  is additive on each  $\mathcal{A}_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

**Claim 1.** *For every  $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$  and  $D_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$ , we have*

$$\Phi(A_{11} + D_{22}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(D_{22}).$$

Since  $\Phi$  is surjective, we can find an element  $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\Phi(T) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(D_{22}), \quad (2.2)$$

we should show  $T = A_{11} + D_{22}$ . We apply Lemma 2.1 to (2.2) for  $P_1$ , then we can write

$$\Phi(T \bullet_\eta P_1) = \Phi(A_{11} \bullet_\eta P_1) + \Phi(D_{22} \bullet_\eta P_1),$$

so,

$$\Phi(T_{11} + T_{21} + \eta T_{11}^* + \eta T_{21}^*) = \Phi(A_{11} + \eta A_{11}^*),$$

by injectivity of  $\Phi$ , we get  $T_{11} + T_{21} + \eta T_{11}^* + \eta T_{21}^* = A_{11} + \eta A_{11}^*$ .

Multiply latter equation by  $P_2$  from right and left side respectively we obtain  $T_{21} = T_{21}^* = 0$ . So, we have  $T_{11} + \eta T_{11}^* = A_{11} + \eta A_{11}^*$ , or  $(T_{11} - A_{11}) + \eta(T_{11} - A_{11})^* = 0$ . By Lemma 2.2, we get  $T_{11} = A_{11}$ .

Similarly, we apply Lemma 2.1 to (2.2) for  $P_2$ , we have

$$\Phi(T \bullet_{\eta} P_2) = \Phi(A_{11} \bullet_{\eta} P_2) + \Phi(D_{22} \bullet_{\eta} P_2),$$

so,

$$\Phi(T_{12} + T_{22} + \eta T_{12}^* + \eta T_{22}^*) = \Phi(D_{22} + \eta D_{22}^*),$$

by injectivity of  $\Phi$ , we get  $T_{12} + T_{22} + \eta T_{12}^* + \eta T_{22}^* = D_{22} + \eta D_{22}^*$ .

Multiply latter equation by  $P_1$  from right and left side respectively we obtain  $T_{12} = T_{12}^* = 0$ . So, we have  $T_{22} + \eta T_{22}^* = D_{22} + \eta D_{22}^*$ , or  $(T_{22} - D_{22}) + \eta(T_{22} - D_{22})^* = 0$ . By Lemma 2.2, we get  $T_{22} = D_{22}$ .

**Claim 2.** For every  $B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ ,  $C_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ , we have

$$\Phi(B_{12} + C_{21}) = \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(C_{21}).$$

Let  $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22} \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that

$$\Phi(T) = \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(C_{21}). \quad (2.3)$$

By applying Lemma 2.1 to (2.3) for  $P_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(T \bullet_{\eta} P_1) &= \Phi(B_{12} \bullet_{\eta} P_1) + \Phi(C_{21} \bullet_{\eta} P_1) \\ &= \Phi(C_{21} \bullet_{\eta} P_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by injectivity of  $\Phi$  we have  $T \bullet_{\eta} P_1 = C_{21} \bullet_{\eta} P_1$ . It follows that

$$T_{11} + T_{21} + \eta T_{11}^* + \eta T_{21}^* = C_{21} + \eta C_{21}^*.$$

Multiply above equation by  $P_2$  from left side we have  $T_{21} = C_{21}$  and  $T_{11} = 0$ .

Similarly, we can obtain  $T_{12} = B_{12}$  and  $T_{22} = 0$  by applying Lemma 2.1 to (2.3) for  $P_2$ .

**Claim 3.** For every  $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$  and  $B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ , we have

$$\Phi(A_{11} + B_{12}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(B_{12}).$$

Since  $\Phi$  is surjective, we can find an element  $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\Phi(T) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(B_{12}), \quad (2.4)$$

we should show  $T = A_{11} + B_{12}$ . We apply Lemma 2.1 to (2.4) for  $P_1$ , then we can write  $T_{11} = A_{11}$  and  $T_{21} = 0$ .

Similarly, we apply Lemma 2.1 to (2.4) for  $P_2$ , we will have  $T_{12} = B_{12}$  and

$T_{22} = 0$ . So,  $T = A_{11} + B_{12}$ .

Note that  $\Phi(C_{21} + D_{22}) = \Phi(C_{21}) + \Phi(D_{22})$  where  $C_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$  and  $D_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$  can be obtained as above.

**Claim 4.** *For every  $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$  and  $C_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ , we have*

$$\Phi(A_{11} + C_{21}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(C_{21}).$$

Since  $\Phi$  is surjective, we can find an element  $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\Phi(T) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(C_{21}), \quad (2.5)$$

we should show  $T = A_{11} + C_{12}$ . We apply Lemma 2.1 to (2.5) for  $P_2$ , then we have  $\Phi(T \bullet_\eta P_2) = 0$ . It means  $\Phi(T_{12} + T_{22} + \eta T_{12}^* + \eta T_{22}^*) = 0$ . By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.2 we obtain  $T_{12} = T_{22} = 0$ .

On the other hand, We apply Lemma 2.1 to (2.5) for  $P_1$ , then by Claim 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(T \bullet_\eta P_1) &= \Phi(A_{11} \bullet_\eta P_1) + \Phi(C_{21} \bullet_\eta P_1) \\ &= \Phi(A_{11} + \eta A_{11}^*) + \Phi(C_{21} + \eta C_{21}^*) \\ &= \Phi(A_{11} + \eta A_{11}^*) + \Phi(2\Re(C_{21}^*) + (\eta - 1)C_{21}^*) \\ &= \Phi(A_{11} + \eta A_{11}^* + 2\Re(C_{21}^*) + (\eta - 1)C_{21}^*) \end{aligned}$$

Then we get following

$$\Phi(T_{11} + T_{21} + \eta T_{11}^* + T_{21}^*) = \Phi(A_{11} + \eta A_{11}^* + C_{21} + \eta C_{21}^*).$$

Now, we imply Lemma 2.2 to obtain  $T_{11} = A_{11}$  and  $T_{21} = C_{21}$ .

Note that  $\Phi(B_{12} + D_{22}) = \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(D_{22})$  where  $B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$  and  $D_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$  can be obtained as above.

**Claim 5.** *For every  $A_{ij}, B_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$  such that  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$ , we have*

$$\Phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) = \Phi(A_{ij}) + \Phi(B_{ij}).$$

Let  $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22} \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that

$$\Phi(T) = \Phi(A_{ij}) + \Phi(B_{ij}). \quad (2.6)$$

By applying Lemma 2.1 to (2.6) for  $P_i$ , we get

$$\Phi(TP_i + \eta P_i T^*) = \Phi(A_{ij}P_i + \eta P_i A_{ij}^*) + \Phi(B_{ij}P_i + \eta P_i B_{ij}^*) = \Phi(0) = 0,$$

therefore,  $\Phi(T_{ii} + T_{ji} + \eta T_{ii}^* + \eta T_{ji}^*) = 0$ . So, by Lemma 2.2 we have  $T_{ii} = T_{ji} = 0$ . On the other hand, we apply Lemma 2.1 to (2.6) for  $P_j$  again, by Claim 2, it

follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(TP_j + \eta P_j T^*) &= \Phi(A_{ij}P_j + \eta P_j A_{ij}^*) + \Phi(B_{ij}P_j + \eta P_j B_{ij}^*) \\
&= \Phi(A_{ij} + \eta A_{ij}^*) + \Phi(B_{ij} + \eta B_{ij}^*) \\
&= \Phi(2\Re(A_{ij}^*) + (\eta - 1)A_{ij}^*) + \Phi(2\eta\Re(B_{ij}) + (1 - \eta)B_{ij}) \\
&= \Phi(2\Re(A_{ij}^*) + (\eta - 1)A_{ij}^* + 2\eta\Re(B_{ij}) + (1 - \eta)B_{ij}) \\
&= \Phi(A_{ij} + \eta A_{ij}^* + B_{ij} + \eta B_{ij}^*).
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$T_{ij} + T_{jj} + \eta T_{ij}^* + \eta T_{jj}^* = A_{ij} + \eta A_{ij}^* + B_{ij} + \eta B_{ij}^*.$$

By Lemma 2.2 we have  $T_{jj} = 0$  and  $T_{ij} = A_{ij} + B_{ij}$ .

**Claim 6.** For every  $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$ ,  $B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ ,  $C_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ , we have

$$\Phi(A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(C_{21}).$$

Let  $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22} \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that

$$\Phi(T) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(C_{21}). \quad (2.7)$$

By applying Lemma 2.1 to (2.7) for  $P_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(T \bullet_\eta P_2) &= \Phi(A_{11} \bullet_\eta P_2) + \Phi(B_{12} \bullet_\eta P_2) + \Phi(C_{21} \bullet_\eta P_2) \\
&= \Phi(B_{12} \bullet_\eta P_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by injectivity of  $\Phi$  we have  $T \bullet_\eta P_2 = B_{12} \bullet_\eta P_2$ . It follows that

$$T_{22} + T_{12} + \eta T_{22}^* + \eta T_{12}^* = B_{12} + \eta B_{12}^*.$$

Multiply above equation by  $P_1$  from left side we have  $T_{12} = B_{12}$  and  $T_{22} = 0$ . Similarly, we apply Lemma 2.1 to (2.7) for  $P_1$ . By Claim 3, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(T \bullet_\eta P_1) &= \Phi(A_{11} \bullet_\eta P_1) + \Phi(B_{12} \bullet_\eta P_1) + \Phi(C_{21} \bullet_\eta P_1) \\
&= \Phi(A_{11} \bullet_\eta P_1) + \Phi(C_{21} \bullet_\eta P_1) \\
&= \Phi(A_{11} + \eta A_{11}^*) + \Phi(C_{21} + \eta C_{21}^*) \\
&= \Phi(A_{11} + \eta A_{11}^*) + \Phi(2\Re(C_{21}^*) + (\eta - 1)C_{21}^*) \\
&= \Phi(A_{11} + \eta A_{11}^* + 2\Re(C_{21}^*) + (\eta - 1)C_{21}^*) \\
&= \Phi(A_{11} + \eta A_{11}^* + C_{21} + \eta C_{21}^*)
\end{aligned}$$

Injectivity of  $\Phi$  implies  $T_{11} + T_{21} + \eta T_{11}^* + \eta T_{21}^* = A_{11} + C_{21} + \eta A_{11}^* + \eta C_{21}^*$ . We have  $T_{11} = A_{11}$  and  $T_{21} = C_{21}$ .

**Claim 7.** For every  $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$ ,  $B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ ,  $C_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$  and  $D_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$  we have

$$\Phi(A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21} + D_{22}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(C_{21}) + \Phi(D_{22}).$$

Assume  $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22}$  which satisfies in

$$\Phi(T) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(C_{21}) + \Phi(D_{22}). \quad (2.8)$$

By using Lemma 2.1 to (2.8) for  $P_1$ , Claim 2 and Claim 6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(T \bullet_\eta P_1) &= \Phi(A_{11} \bullet_\eta P_1) + \Phi(B_{12} \bullet_\eta P_1) + \Phi(C_{21} \bullet_\eta P_1) + \Phi(D_{22} \bullet_\eta P_1) \\ &= \Phi(A_{11} \bullet_\eta P_1) + \Phi(C_{21} \bullet_\eta P_1) \\ &= \Phi(A_{11} + \eta A_{11}^*) + \Phi(C_{21} + \eta C_{21}^*) \\ &= \Phi(A_{11} + \eta A_{11}^*) + \Phi(C_{21}) + \Phi(\eta C_{21}^*) \\ &= \Phi(A_{11} + \eta A_{11}^* + C_{21} + \eta C_{21}^*) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Phi$  is injective we have  $T_{11} + T_{21} + \eta T_{11}^* + \eta T_{21}^* = A_{11} + C_{21} + \eta A_{11}^* + \eta C_{21}^*$ . We obtain  $T_{11} = A_{11}$  and  $T_{21} = C_{21}$ .

Similarly, apply Lemma 2.1 to (2.8) for  $P_2$  and same computation as above we can easily obtain  $T_{12} = B_{12}$  and  $T_{22} = D_{22}$ . So,  $\Phi(A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21} + D_{22}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(C_{21}) + \Phi(D_{22})$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\Phi$  satisfy the assumptions of the Main Theorem. Then, for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  we have the following*

$$\Phi(A \bullet_\eta I) = \Phi(A) \bullet_\eta \Phi(I).$$

*Proof.* Definition of Jordan  $\eta_*$ -product implies that

$$\Phi(A \bullet_\eta P_1) = \Phi(A) \bullet_\eta \Phi(P_1), \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\Phi(A \bullet_\eta P_2) = \Phi(A) \bullet_\eta \Phi(P_2). \quad (2.10)$$

Add Equations (2.9) and (2.10) together, we have

$$\Phi(A \bullet_\eta P_1) + \Phi(A \bullet_\eta P_2) = \Phi(A) \bullet_\eta (\Phi(P_1) + \Phi(P_2)).$$

By Claims 1, 5 and 7, we obtain

$$\Phi(A \bullet_\eta P_1 + A \bullet_\eta P_2) = \Phi(A) \bullet_\eta \Phi(P_1 + P_2),$$

Equivalently,

$$\Phi(A \bullet_\eta (P_1 + P_2)) = \Phi(A) \bullet_\eta \Phi(P_1 + P_2),$$

so,  $\Phi(A \bullet_\eta I) = \Phi(A) \bullet_\eta \Phi(I)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\Phi$  satisfy the assumptions of the Main Theorem, then  $\Phi(P_1)$  and  $\Phi(P_2)$  are nontrivial orthogonal projections in  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P \in \{P_1, P_2\}$ , where  $P_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2$  are nontrivial projections in  $\mathcal{A}$ . By Lemma 2.4 and definition of Jordan  $\eta_*$ -product we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(P \bullet_\eta I) &= \Phi(P) \bullet_\eta \Phi(I) \\ \Phi(I \bullet_\eta P) &= \Phi(I) \bullet_\eta \Phi(P). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Phi$  is unital, above equations give us

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(P + \eta P) &= \Phi(P) + \eta\Phi(P)^* \\ \Phi(P + \eta P) &= \Phi(P) + \eta\Phi(P),\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

we obtain  $\Phi(P) = \Phi(P)^*$ .

On the other hand,  $\Phi(P \bullet_\eta P) = \Phi(P) \bullet_\eta \Phi(P)$  then  $\Phi(P + \eta P) = \Phi(P)^2 + \eta\Phi(P)^2$ . It follows by (2.11) that  $\Phi(P) + \eta\Phi(P) = \Phi(P)^2 + \eta\Phi(P)^2$ . Now, Lemma 2.2 implies  $\Phi(P)^2 = \Phi(P)$ .

We show that  $\Phi(P_1)$  and  $\Phi(P_2)$  are orthogonal. Let  $\Phi(P_1) = Q_1$  and  $\Phi(P_2) = Q_2$ , then by Claim 1,  $Q_1 + Q_2 = I$ . Also,  $Q_1 \cdot Q_2 = \Phi(P_1) \cdot \Phi(P_2) = \Phi(P_1) \cdot (\Phi(I) - \Phi(P_1)) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\Phi$  satisfy the assumptions of the Main Theorem, we have*

$$\Phi(AP_i) = \Phi(A)\Phi(P_i),\tag{2.12}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq 2$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to check  $\Phi(AP_i \bullet_\eta I) = \Phi(A \bullet_\eta P_i)$ .

Above equation can be written as  $\Phi(AP_i) + \eta\Phi(AP_i)^* = \Phi(A)\Phi(P_i) + \eta\Phi(P_i)\Phi(A)^*$ .

Equivalently,

$$(\Phi(AP_i) - \Phi(A)\Phi(P_i)) + \eta(\Phi(AP_i) - \Phi(A)\Phi(P_i))^* = 0,$$

By applying Lemma 2.2, we have  $\Phi(AP_i) = \Phi(A)\Phi(P_i)$ .  $\square$

Now, Claim 2.5 ensures that there exist nontrivial projections  $Q_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) such that  $\Phi(P_i) = Q_i$  and  $Q_1 + Q_2 = I$ . We can write  $\mathcal{B} = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \mathcal{B}_{ij}$  where  $\mathcal{B}_{ij} = Q_i \mathcal{B} Q_j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

We imply the primeness property of  $\mathcal{B}$  just in this claim.

**Claim 8.** *For every  $A_{ii}, B_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2$  we have*

$$\Phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) = \Phi(A_{ii}) + \Phi(B_{ii}).$$

First, we will prove that  $\Phi(P_i A + P_i B) = \Phi(P_i A) + \Phi(P_i B)$  for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ . By Lemma 2.6, Claim 5 and for every  $T_{ji} \in \mathcal{B}_{ji}$  such that  $i \neq j$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\Phi(P_i A + P_i B) - \Phi(P_i A) - \Phi(P_i B)) T_{ji} &= (\Phi(P_i A + P_i B) - \Phi(P_i A) - \Phi(P_i B)) Q_j T Q_i \\ &= (\Phi(P_i A + P_i B) Q_j - \Phi(P_i A) Q_j \\ &\quad - \Phi(P_i B) Q_j) T Q_i \\ &= (\Phi(P_i A P_j + P_i B P_j) - \Phi(P_i A P_j) \\ &\quad - \Phi(P_i B P_j)) T Q_i \\ &= (\Phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) - \Phi(A_{ij}) - \Phi(B_{ij})) T Q_i \\ &= (\Phi(A_{ij}) + \Phi(B_{ij}) - \Phi(A_{ij}) - \Phi(B_{ij})) T Q_i \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$



By the primeness of  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have

$$\Phi(P_i A + P_i B) = \Phi(P_i A) + \Phi(P_i A). \quad (2.13)$$

Now, multiply the right side of equation (2.13) by  $\Phi(P_i) = Q_i$  and use Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\Phi(P_i A P_i + P_i B P_i) = \Phi(P_i A P_i) + \Phi(P_i B P_i).$$

So, additivity of  $\Phi$  comes from Claim 5, 7, 8.

It remains to prove  $\Phi$  is  $*$ -preserving for non-zero rational number  $\eta$  such that  $|\eta| \neq 1$ . Since  $\Phi$  is Jordan  $\eta_*$ -product preserving we have

$$\Phi(A \bullet_\eta I) = \Phi(A) \bullet_\eta \Phi(I),$$

$\Phi$  is unital, so

$$\Phi(A + \eta A^*) = \Phi(A) + \eta \Phi(A)^*.$$

Additivity of  $\Phi$  and above equation implies that

$$\Phi(\eta A^*) = \eta \Phi(A)^*. \quad (2.14)$$

Let  $\eta = \frac{a}{b}$ , where  $a, b$  are integers. It is easy to see that

$$\Phi\left(\frac{1}{b} A^*\right) = \frac{1}{b} \Phi(A^*). \quad (2.15)$$

Now, by additivity of  $\Phi$  and (2.15) we have  $\Phi\left(\frac{a}{b} A^*\right) = \frac{a}{b} \Phi(A^*)$ . It follows that  $\Phi(\eta A^*) = \eta \Phi(A^*)$ . Hence, by latter equation and equation (2.14) we proved  $\Phi(A^*) = \Phi(A)^*$ . □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Z.F. Bai, S.P. Du, Multiplicative Lie isomorphism between prime rings, *Comm. Algebra* **36** (2008), 1626-1633.
- [2] Z.F. Bai, S.P. Du, Multiplicative  $*$ -Lie isomorphism between factors, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **346** (2008), 327-335.
- [3] K.I. Beidar, M. Brešar, M.A. Chebotar, W.S. Martindale, On Hersteins Lie map conjecture (I), *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), 4235-4260.
- [4] K.I. Beidar, M. Brešar, M.A. Chebotar, W.S. Martindale, On Hersteins Lie map conjecture (II), *J. Algebra* **238** (2001), 239-264.
- [5] K.I. Beidar, M. Brešar, M.A. Chebotar, W.S. Martindale, On Hersteins Lie map conjecture (III), *J. Algebra* **238** (2002), 59-94.
- [6] M. Brešar, Jordan mappings of semiprime rings, *J. Algebra* **127** (1989), 218-228.
- [7] M. Brešar, A. Foner, On ring with involution equipped with some new product, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **57** (2000), 121-134.
- [8] J. Cui, C.K. Li, Maps preserving product  $XY - YX^*$  on factor von Neumann algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **431** (2009), 833-842.
- [9] L. Dai, F. Lu, Nonlinear maps preserving Jordan  $*$ -products, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **409** (2014), 180-188.

- [10] J. Hakeda, Additivity of Jordan  $*$ -maps on AW $*$ -algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1986), 413-420.
- [11] I.N. Herstein, Jordan homomorphisms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1956), 331-341.
- [12] N. Jacobson, C.E. Rickart, Jordan homomorphism of rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1950), 479-502.
- [13] P. Ji, Z. Liu, Additivity of Jordan maps on standard Jordan operator algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. **430** (2009), 335-343.
- [14] R.V. Kadison, Isometries of operator algebras, Ann. of Math. **54** (1951), 325-338.
- [15] C. Li, F. Lu, X. Fang, Nonlinear mappings preserving product  $XY + YX^*$  on factor von Neumann algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. **438** (2013), 2339-2345.
- [16] F. Lu, Additivity of Jordan maps on standard operator algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. **357** (2002), 123-131.
- [17] F. Lu, Jordan maps on associative algebras, Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), 2273-2286.
- [18] F. Lu, Jordan triple maps, Linear Algebra Appl. **375** (2003), 311-317.
- [19] L.W. Marcoux, Lie isomorphism of nest algebras, J. Funct. Anal. **164** (1999), 163-180.
- [20] L. Molnár, A condition for a subspace of  $B(H)$  to be an ideal, Linear Algebra Appl. **235** (1996), 229-234.
- [21] L. Molnár, On isomorphisms of standard operator algebras, Studia Math. **142** (2000), 295-302.
- [22] W.S. Martindale III, When are multiplicative mappings additive? Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 695-698.
- [23] C.R. Mires, Lie isomorphisms of operator algebras, Pacific J. Math. **38** (1971), 717-735.
- [24] C.R. Mires, Lie isomorphisms of factors, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (1970), 5-63.
- [25] X. Qi, J. Hou, Additivity of Lie multiplicative maps on triangular algebras, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **59** (2011), 391-397.
- [26] P. Šemrl, Quadratic functionals and Jordan  $*$ -derivations, Studia Math. **97** (1991), 157-165.
- [27] A. Taghavi, V. Darvish, , H. Rohi, Additivity of maps preserving products  $AP \pm PA^*$ , Accepted in Mathematica Slovaca.

\* DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,, UNIVERSITY OF MAZANDARAN,, P. O. BOX 47416-1468,, BABOLSAR, IRAN.

*E-mail address:* taghavi@umz.ac.ir, h.rohi@stu.umz.ac.ir, v.darvish@stu.umz.ac.ir