

# A NOTE ON LINEAR CODES AND NONASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS OBTAINED FROM SKEW-POLYNOMIAL RINGS

S. PUMPLÜN

ABSTRACT. Different approaches to construct linear codes using skew polynomials can be unified by using the nonassociative algebras built from skew-polynomial rings by Petit.

## INTRODUCTION

In recent years, several classes of linear codes were obtained from skew-polynomial rings (also called Ore rings). Using this approach, self-dual codes with a better minimal distance for certain lengths than previously known were constructed: while the classical *cyclic codes* of length  $m$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  are obtained from ideals in the commutative ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]/(t^m - 1)$ , and *constacyclic codes* from ideals in the commutative ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]/(t^m - d)$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , *ideal  $\sigma$ -codes* are associated with left ideals in the non-commutative ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[t; \sigma]/(t^m - 1)$ , where  $t^m - 1 \in R$  is a two-sided element in the twisted polynomial ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[t; \sigma]$ ,  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , see [4]. Because  $t^m - 1$  is required to be a two-sided element in order for  $\mathbb{F}_q[t; \sigma]/(t^m - 1)$  to be a ring, this enforces restrictions on the possible lengths of the codes obtained:  $t^m - 1$  is two-sided if and only if the order  $n$  of  $\sigma$  divides  $m$  [10, (15)].

If  $Rf$  denotes the left ideal generated by an element  $f \in R$ ,  $R$  a ring, then  $R/Rf$  is a left  $R$ -module. In [3], linear codes associated with left  $R$ -submodules  $Rg/Rf$  of  $R/Rf$  are considered, where  $R = \mathbb{F}_q[t; \sigma]$  and  $g$  is a right divisor of  $f$ . These codes are called *module  $\sigma$ -codes*. Another generalization is discussed in [1] and [6], where codes obtained from submodules of the  $R$ -module  $R/Rf$  for some monic  $f \in R$  are investigated, where now  $R = \mathbb{F}_q[t; \sigma, \delta]$  is a skew-polynomial ring.

We show that all these approaches can be unified since the codes mentioned above are associated to the left ideals of the nonassociative algebra  $S_f$  defined by Petit [10]. For a unital division ring  $D$  (which here will be a finite field), and a polynomial  $f$  in the skew-polynomial ring  $R = D[t; \sigma, \delta]$ , Petit defined a nonassociative ring on the set  $R_m = \{h \in D[t; \sigma, \delta] \mid \deg(h) < m\}$ , using right division  $g \circ h = gh \bmod_r f$  to define the algebra multiplication.  $S_f = (R_m, \circ)$  is a nonassociative algebra over  $F_0 = \{a \in D \mid ah = ha \text{ for all } h \in S_f\}$  whose left ideals are generated by the polynomials  $g$  which are right divisors of  $f$ .

The scenarios treated with respect to the linear codes mentioned above all require  $f$  to be reducible, so the corresponding, not necessarily associative, algebra  $S_f$  is not allowed to be a division algebra here. The cyclic submodules studied in [1], [2] are exactly the left ideals

---

*Date:* 23.3.2015.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 94B05, 94B15; Secondary: 16S36, 17A35.

*Key words and phrases.* Skew polynomials, Ore rings, finite rings, nonassociative algebras, linear code,  $\sigma$ -constacyclic code, ideal  $\sigma$ -code, module  $\sigma$ -code,  $(\sigma, \delta)$ -code.

in the algebra  $S_f$ . The  $(\sigma, \delta)$ -codes of [6] are the codes  $\mathcal{C}$  associated to a left ideal of  $S_f$  generated by a right divisor  $g$  of  $f$  with  $f \in K[t; \sigma, \delta]$ . We show that if  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  a linear code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of length  $m$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -constacyclic code with constant  $d$  iff the skew-polynomial representation  $\mathcal{C}(t)$  with elements  $a(t)$  obtained from  $(a_0, \dots, a_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$  is a left ideal of  $S_f$  with  $f = t^m - d \in R = \mathbb{F}_q[t; \sigma]$ , generated by a monic right divisor  $g$  of  $f$  in  $R$ .

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

**1.1. Nonassociative algebras.** Let  $F$  be a field and let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional  $F$ -vector space. We call  $A$  an *algebra* over  $F$  if there exists an  $F$ -bilinear map  $A \times A \rightarrow A$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y$ , denoted simply by juxtaposition  $xy$ , the *multiplication* of  $A$ . An algebra  $A$  is called *unital* if there is an element in  $A$ , denoted by 1, such that  $1x = x1 = x$  for all  $x \in A$ . We will only consider unital algebras.

An algebra  $A \neq 0$  is called a *division algebra* if for any  $a \in A$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , the left multiplication with  $a$ ,  $L_a(x) = ax$ , and the right multiplication with  $a$ ,  $R_a(x) = xa$ , are bijective.  $A$  is a division algebra if and only if  $A$  has no zero divisors ([12], pp. 15, 16).

**1.2. Skew-polynomial rings.** In the following, we use results by Jacobson [7] and Petit [10]. Let  $D$  be a unital associative division ring,  $\sigma$  a ring endomorphism of  $D$  and  $\delta$  a *left  $\sigma$ -derivation* of  $D$ , i.e. an additive map such that

$$\delta(ab) = \sigma(a)\delta(b) + \delta(a)b$$

for all  $a, b \in D$ , implying  $\delta(1) = 0$ . The *skew-polynomial ring*  $D[t; \sigma, \delta]$  is the set of polynomials

$$a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_nt^n$$

with  $a_i \in D$ , where addition is defined term-wise and multiplication by

$$ta = \sigma(a)t + \delta(a) \quad (a \in D).$$

$D[t; \sigma] = D[t; \sigma, 0]$  is called a *twisted polynomial ring* and  $D[t; \delta] = D[t; id, \delta]$  a *differential polynomial ring*. For the special case that  $\sigma = id$  and  $\delta = 0$ , we obtain the usual ring of left polynomials  $D[t] = D[t; id, 0]$ , often also denoted  $D_L[t]$  in the literature, with its multiplication given by

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^s a_i t^i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^t b_i t^i\right) = \sum_{i,j} a_i b_j t^{i+j}.$$

If  $D$  has finite dimension over its center and  $\sigma$  is a ring automorphism of  $D$ , then  $R = D[t; \sigma, \delta]$  is either a twisted polynomial or a differential polynomial ring by a linear change of variables [7, Thm. 1.2.21]. Note also that if  $\sigma$  and  $\delta$  are  $F$ -linear maps then  $D[t; \sigma, \delta] \cong D[t]$  by a linear change of variables.

For  $f = a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_nt^n$  with  $a_n \neq 0$  define  $\deg(f) = n$  and  $\deg(0) = -\infty$ . Then  $\deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$ . An element  $f \in R$  is *irreducible* in  $R$  if it is no unit and it has no proper factors, i.e. if there do not exist  $g, h \in R$  with  $\deg(g), \deg(h) < \deg(f)$  such that  $f = gh$ .

$R = D[t; \sigma, \delta]$  is a left principal ideal domain (i.e., every left ideal in  $R$  is of the form  $Rf$ ) and there is a right-division algorithm in  $R$  [7, p. 3]: for all  $g, f \in R$ ,  $g \neq 0$ , there exist unique  $r, q \in R$ , and  $\deg(r) < \deg(f)$ , such that

$$g = qf + r.$$

(We point out that our terminology is the one used by Petit [10], Lavrauw and Sheekey [9], and in the coding literature; it is different from Jacobson's [7], who calls what we call right a left division algorithm and vice versa.)

### 1.3. How to obtain nonassociative division algebras from skew-polynomial rings.

Let  $D$  be a unital associative division algebra,  $\sigma$  an injective ring homomorphism and  $f \in D[t; \sigma, \delta]$  of degree  $m$ .

**Definition 1.** (cf. [10, (7)]) Let  $\text{mod}_r f$  denote the remainder of right division by  $f$ . Then

$$R_m = \{g \in D[t; \sigma, \delta] \mid \deg(g) < m\}$$

together with the multiplication

$$g \circ h = gh \text{ mod}_r f$$

becomes a unital nonassociative algebra  $S_f = (R_m, \circ)$  over

$$F_0 = \{a \in D \mid ah = ha \text{ for all } h \in S_f\}.$$

This algebra is also denoted by  $R/Rf$  [10, 11] if we want to make clear which ring  $R$  is involved in the construction.  $F_0$  is a subfield of  $D$  [10, (7)].

**Remark 1.** For  $f(t) = t^m - d \in R = D[t; \sigma]$ , the multiplication in  $S_f$  is defined via

$$(at^i)(bt^j) = \begin{cases} a\sigma^i(b)t^{i+j} & \text{if } i+j < m, \\ a\sigma^i(b)t^{(i+j)-m}d & \text{if } i+j \geq m, \end{cases}$$

for all  $a, b \in D$  and then linearly extended.

## 2. LINEAR CODES ASSOCIATED TO LEFT IDEALS OF $S_f$

Let  $K$  be a finite field,  $\sigma$  an automorphism of  $K$  and  $F = \text{Fix}(\sigma)$ ,  $[K : F] = n$ . By a linear base change we could always assume  $\delta = 0$ . However, [1] and [6] show that this limits the choices of available codes.

Unless specified otherwise, let  $R = K[t; \sigma, \delta]$  and  $f \in R$  be a monic polynomial of degree  $m$ . Analogously as for instance in [1], [2], [3], [4], we associate to an element  $a(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i t^i$  in  $S_f$  the vector  $(a_0, \dots, a_{m-1})$ . Our codes  $\mathcal{C}$  of length  $m$  consist of all  $(a_0, \dots, a_{m-1})$  obtained this way from the elements  $a(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i t^i$  in a left ideal  $I$  of  $S_f$ . Conversely, for a linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  of length  $n$  we denote by  $\mathcal{C}(t)$  the set of skew-polynomials  $a(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i t^i \in S_f$  associated to the codewords  $(a_0, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let  $D$  be a unital associative division ring and  $f \in R = D[t; \sigma, \delta]$ .

- (i) All left ideals in  $S_f$  are generated by some monic right divisor  $g$  of  $f$  in  $R$ .
- (ii) If  $f$  is irreducible, then  $S_f$  has no non-trivial left ideals.

*Proof.* (i) The proof is analogous to the one of [5, Lemma 1], only that now we are working in the nonassociative ring  $S_f$ : Let  $I$  be a left ideal of  $S_f$ . If  $I = \{0\}$  then  $I = (0)$ . So suppose  $I \neq (0)$  and choose a monic non-zero polynomial  $g$  in  $I \subset R_m$  of minimal degree. For  $p \in I \subset R_m$ , a right division by  $g$  yields unique  $r, q \in R$  with  $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$  such that

$$p = qg + r$$

and hence  $r = p - qg \in I$ . Since we chose  $g \in I$  to have minimal degree, we conclude that  $r = 0$ , implying  $p = qg$  and so  $I = Rg$ .

(ii) follows from (i).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** (i) *The cyclic submodules studied in [1], [2] are exactly the left ideals in the algebra  $S_f$  where  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[t; \sigma, \delta]$ .*

(ii) *The  $(\sigma, \delta)$ -codes  $\mathcal{C}$  in [6] are exactly the codes associated to a left ideal of  $S_f$  generated by a non-trivial right divisor  $g$  of  $f \in D[t; \sigma, \delta]$ , whenever  $D$  is an associative division ring (usually,  $D = \mathbb{F}_q$ ).*

Note that when we look at the nonassociative case, where  $f$  is not two-sided anymore, it can happen that  $f$  is irreducible in  $K[t; \sigma, \delta]$ , hence does not have any non-trivial right divisors  $g$ .

**Remark 4.** Let  $m \geq 2$ . Since for  $a(t) \in S_f$  also  $ta(t) \in S_f$ , we obtain for  $f(t) = t^m - d \in K[t; \sigma]$  that

$$ta(t) = \sigma(a_0)t + \sigma(a_1)t^2 + \cdots + \sigma(a_{m-1})t^m = \sigma(a_{m-1})d + \sigma(a_0)t + \sigma(a_1)t^2 + \cdots + \sigma(a_{m-2})t^{m-1}$$

in  $S_f$ , so that

$$(a_0, \dots, a_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow (\sigma(a_{m-1})d, \sigma(a_0), \dots, \sigma(a_{m-2})) \in \mathcal{C}$$

is a  $\sigma$ -constacyclic code (even if  $S_f$  is division). With the same argument, every left ideal  $Rg$  in  $S_f$  with  $g \in R$  a right divisor of  $f = t^m - d$  yields a  $\sigma$ -constacyclic code  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $d \neq 1$  and a  $\sigma$ -cyclic code for  $d = 1$ .

In [5, Theorem 1] it is shown that the code words of a  $\sigma$ -cyclic code are coefficient tuples of elements  $a(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i t^i \in \mathbb{F}_q[t; \sigma]/(t^m - 1)$ , which are left multiples of some element  $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[t; \sigma]/(t^m - 1)$  which is a right divisor of  $f$ , under the assumption that the order  $n$  of  $\sigma$  divides  $m$ . The assumption that  $n$  divides  $m$  guarantees that  $Rf$  is a two-sided ideal, i.e. that  $S_f$  is associative, but is not required:

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  a linear code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of length  $m$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -constacyclic code (with constant  $d$ ) iff the skew-polynomial representation  $\mathcal{C}(t)$  with elements  $a(t)$  obtained from  $(a_0, \dots, a_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$  is a left ideal of  $S_f$  with  $f = t^m - d \in R = \mathbb{F}_q[t; \sigma]$ , generated by a monic right divisor  $g$  of  $f$  in  $R$ .*

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$ : This is Remark 8.

$\Rightarrow$ : The argument is analogous to the proof of [5, Theorem 1]: If we have a  $\sigma$ -constacyclic code  $\mathcal{C}$ , then its elements define polynomials  $a(t) \in \mathbb{F}_q[t; \sigma] = K[t; \sigma]$ . These form a left

ideal  $\mathcal{C}(t)$  of  $S_f$  with  $f = t^m - d \in \mathbb{F}_q[t; \sigma]$ : The code is linear and so the skew-polynomial representation  $\mathcal{C}(t)$  is an additive group. For  $(a_0, \dots, a_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

$$ta(t) = \sigma(a_0)t + \sigma(a_1)t^2 + \dots + \sigma(a_{m-1})t^m$$

and since  $f = t^m - d$  we get in  $S_f = R/Rf$  that

$$ta(t) = \sigma(a_{m-1})d + \sigma(a_0)t + \sigma(a_1)t^2 + \dots + \sigma(a_{m-2})t^{m-1}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\sigma$ -constacyclic with constant  $d$ ,  $ta(t) \in \mathcal{C}(t)$ . Clearly, by iterating this argument, also  $t^s a(t) \in \mathcal{C}(t)$  for all  $s \leq m-1$ . By iteration and linearity of  $\mathcal{C}$ , thus  $h(t)a(t) \in \mathcal{C}(t)$  for all  $h(t) \in R_m$ , so  $\mathcal{C}(t)$  is closed under multiplication and a left ideal of  $S_f$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  a linear code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of length  $m$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -cyclic code iff the skew-polynomial representation  $\mathcal{C}(t)$  with elements  $a(t)$  obtained from  $(a_0, \dots, a_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$  is a left ideal of  $S_f$  generated by a monic right divisor  $g$  of  $f = t^m - 1 \in R = \mathbb{F}_q[t; \sigma]$ .*

**Remark 7.** Let  $f(t) = t^m - d \in R = K[t; \sigma]$  and  $F = \text{Fix}(\sigma)$ . Then  $f$  is a two-sided element (thus  $S_f$  associative and  $f$  reducible) iff  $m$  divides the order  $n$  of  $\sigma$  and  $d \in F$  by [10, (7), (9)]. For  $d = 1$  in particular,  $f$  is two-sided iff  $m$  divides the order  $n$  of  $\sigma$ . Any right divisor  $g$  of degree  $k$  of, for instance,  $f = t^m - d$  can be used to construct a  $\sigma$ -constacyclic  $[m, m-k]$ -code (with constant  $d$ ). (If  $f$  is not two-sided, it can happen that  $f$  is irreducible in  $K[t; \sigma]$ , hence does not have any non-trivial right divisors  $g$ .) We note:

(i)  $f(t) = t^3 - d$  is reducible in  $R$  if and only if

$$\sigma(z)^2 \sigma(z)z = d \text{ or } \sigma(z)^2 \sigma(z)z = d$$

for some  $z \in K$  [10, (18)]. (Thus  $t^3 - 1$  is always reducible in  $K[t; \sigma]$ .)

(ii) Suppose  $m$  is prime and  $F$  contains a primitive  $m$ th root of unity. Then  $f(t) = t^m - d$  is reducible in  $R$  if and only if

$$d = \sigma^{m-1}(z) \cdots \sigma(z)z \text{ or } \sigma^{m-1}(d) = \sigma^{m-1}(z) \cdots \sigma(z)z$$

for some  $z \in K$  [10, (19)]. (Thus  $t^m - 1$  is always reducible in  $K[t; \sigma]$ , if  $F$  contains a primitive  $m$ th root of unity.)

(iii) Let  $K/F$  have degree  $m$ ,  $\text{Gal}(K/F) = \langle \sigma \rangle$  and  $R = K[t; \sigma]$ ,  $f = t^m - d$  with  $d \notin F$ . Then the nonassociative cyclic algebra  $(K/F, \sigma, d)$  is the algebra  $S_f$  with  $R = K[t; \sigma^{-1}]$  and  $f(t) = t^m - d$  (cf. [10, p. 13-13]).

(a) If the elements  $1, d, \dots, d^m$  are linearly dependent over  $F$ , then  $f$  is reducible.

(b) If  $m$  is prime then  $f$  is irreducible [13] and thus there are no  $\sigma$ -constacyclic codes with constant  $d$  apart from the  $[m, m]$ -code associated with  $S_f$  itself.

When working over finite fields, the division algebras  $S_f$  are finite semifields which are closely related to the semifields constructed by Johnson and Jha [8] obtained by employing semi-linear transformations. Results for these semifields and their spreads might be useful for future linear code constructions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Boucher, F. Ulmer, *Linear codes using skew polynomials with automorphisms and derivations*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 70 (3) (2014), 405-431.
- [2] D. Boucher, F. Ulmer, *Self-dual skew codes and factorization of skew polynomials*, J. Symbolic Comput. 60 (2014), 47-61.
- [3] D. Boucher, F. Ulmer, *Codes as modules over skew polynomial rings. Cryptography and coding*, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 5921, Springer, Berlin, 2009, 38-55.
- [4] D. Boucher, F. Ulmer, *Coding with skew polynomial rings*, J. Symbolic Comput. 44 (12) (2009), 1644-1656.
- [5] D. Boucher, W. Geiselmann, F. Ulmer, *Skew-cyclic codes*, AAECC 18 (2007), 370-389.
- [6] M. Boulagouaz, A. Leroy,  $(\sigma, \delta)$ -codes, Adv. Math. Comm. 7 (4) (2013), 463-474.
- [7] N. Jacobson, "Finite-dimensional division algebras over fields," Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1996.
- [8] V. Jha, N. L. Johnson, *An analogue of the Albert-Knuth theorem on the orders of finite semifields, and a complete solution to Cofman's subplane problem*, Algebras, Group, Geom. 6 (1) (1989), 1-35.
- [9] M. Lavrauw, J. Sheekey, *Semifields from skew-polynomial rings*, Adv. Geom. 13 (4) (2013), 583-604.
- [10] J.-C. Petit, *Sur certains quasi-corps généralisant un type d'anneau-quotient*, Séminaire Dubriel. Algèbre et théorie des nombres 20 (1966 - 67), 1-18.
- [11] J.-C. Petit, *Sur les quasi-corps distributives à base momogène*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 266 (1968), Série A, 402-404.
- [12] R.D. Schafer, "An Introduction to Nonassociative Algebras," Dover Publ., Inc., New York, 1995.
- [13] A. Steele, "Nonassociative cyclic algebras," Israel J. Math. 200 (1) (2014), 361-387.

*E-mail address:* susanne.pumpluen@nottingham.ac.uk

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM, UNIVERSITY PARK, NOTTINGHAM NG7 2RD, UNITED KINGDOM