

ON A FAMILY OF POLYNOMIALS RELATED TO $\zeta(2, 1) = \zeta(3)$

WADIM ZUDILIN

ABSTRACT. We give a new proof of the identity $\zeta(\{2, 1\}^l) = \zeta(\{3\}^l)$ of the multiple zeta values, where $l = 1, 2, \dots$, using generating functions of the underlying generalized polylogarithms. In the course of study we arrive at (hypergeometric) polynomials satisfying 3-term recurrence relations, whose properties we examine and compare with analogous ones of polynomials originated from an (ex-) conjectural identity of Borwein, Bradley and Broadhurst.

1. INTRODUCTION

The first thing one normally starts with, while learning about the multiple zeta values (MZVs)

$$\zeta(\mathbf{s}) = \zeta(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l) = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_l \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2} \dots n_l^{s_l}},$$

is Euler's identity $\zeta(2, 1) = \zeta(3)$ — see [3] for an account of proofs and generalizations of the remarkable equality. One such generalization reads

$$\zeta(\{2, 1\}^l) = \zeta(\{3\}^l) \quad \text{for } l = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1)$$

where the notation $\{\mathbf{s}\}^m$ denotes the multi-index with m consecutive repetitions of the same index \mathbf{s} . The only known proof of (1) available in the literature makes use of the duality relation of MZVs, originally conjectured in [6] and shortly after established in [12]. The latter relation is based on a simple iterated-integral representation of MZVs (see [12] but also [3, 4, 14] for details) but, unfortunately, it is not capable of establishing similar-looking identities

$$\zeta(\{3, 1\}^l) = \frac{2\pi^{4l}}{(4l+2)!} \quad \text{for } l = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (2)$$

The equalities (2) were proven in [4] using a simple generating series argument.

The principal goal of this note is to give a proof of (1) via generating functions and to discuss, in this context, a related ex-conjecture of the alternating MZVs. An interesting outcome of this approach is a family of (hypergeometric) polynomials that satisfy a 3-term recurrence relation; a shape of the relation and (experimentally

Date: 24 April 2015. *Revised:* 31 March 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11M06, 11M41, 11G55, 33C20.

Key words and phrases. multiple zeta value; generalized polylogarithm; generalized hypergeometric function; 3-term recurrence relation; (bi-)orthogonal polynomials.

The work is supported by Australian Research Council grant DP140101186.

observed) structure of the zeroes of the polynomials suggest their bi-orthogonality origin [7, 8, 11].

2. MULTIPLE POLYLOGARITHMS

For $l = 1, 2, \dots$, consider the generalized polylogarithms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Li}_{\{3\}^l}(z) &= \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_l \geq 1} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^3 n_2^3 \dots n_l^3}, \\ \text{Li}_{\{2,1\}^l}(z) &= \sum_{n_1 > m_1 > n_2 > m_2 > \dots > n_l > m_l \geq 1} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^2 m_1 n_2^2 m_2 \dots n_l^2 m_l}, \\ \text{Li}_{\{\bar{2},1\}^l}(z) &= \sum_{n_1 > m_1 > n_2 > m_2 > \dots > n_l > m_l \geq 1} \frac{z^{n_1} (-1)^{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_l}}{n_1^2 m_1 n_2^2 m_2 \dots n_l^2 m_l}; \end{aligned}$$

if $l = 0$ we set all these functions to be 1. Then at $z = 1$,

$$\zeta(\{3\}^l) = \text{Li}_{\{3\}^l}(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(\{2,1\}^l) = \text{Li}_{\{2,1\}^l}(1),$$

and we also get the related alternating MZVs

$$\zeta(\{\bar{2},1\}^l) = \text{Li}_{\{\bar{2},1\}^l}(1)$$

from the specialization of the third polylogarithm.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left((1-z) \frac{d}{dz} \right) \left(z \frac{d}{dz} \right)^2 \text{Li}_{\{3\}^l}(z) &= \text{Li}_{\{3\}^{l-1}}(z), \\ \left((1-z) \frac{d}{dz} \right)^2 \left(z \frac{d}{dz} \right) \text{Li}_{\{2,1\}^l}(z) &= \text{Li}_{\{2,1\}^{l-1}}(z), \\ \left((1+z) \frac{d}{dz} \right)^2 \left(z \frac{d}{dz} \right) \text{Li}_{\{\bar{2},1\}^l}(z) &= \text{Li}_{\{\bar{2},1\}^{l-1}}(-z) \end{aligned}$$

for $l = 1, 2, \dots$, the generating series

$$\begin{aligned} C(z; t) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \text{Li}_{\{3\}^l}(z) t^{3l}, \\ B(z; t) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \text{Li}_{\{2,1\}^l}(z) t^{3l} \quad \text{and} \quad A(z; t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \text{Li}_{\{\bar{2},1\}^l}(z) t^{3l} \end{aligned}$$

satisfy linear differential equations. Namely, we have

$$\left(\left((1-z) \frac{d}{dz} \right) \left(z \frac{d}{dz} \right)^2 - t^3 \right) C(z; t) = 0, \quad \left(\left((1-z) \frac{d}{dz} \right)^2 \left(z \frac{d}{dz} \right) - t^3 \right) B(z; t) = 0$$

and

$$\left(\left((1-z) \frac{d}{dz} \right)^2 \left(z \frac{d}{dz} \right) \left((1+z) \frac{d}{dz} \right)^2 \left(z \frac{d}{dz} \right) - t^6 \right) A(z; t) = 0,$$

respectively. The identities (1) and identities

$$\frac{1}{8^l} \zeta(\{2, 1\}^l) = \zeta(\{\overline{2}, 1\}^l) \quad \text{for } l = 1, 2, \dots,$$

conjectured in [4] and confirmed in [13] by means of a nice though sophisticated machinery of double shuffle relations and the ‘distribution’ relations (see also an outline in [2]), translate into

$$C(1; t) = B(1; t) = A(1; 2t).$$

Note that

$$C(1; t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} t^{3l} \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_l \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^3 n_2^3 \dots n_l^3} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{t^3}{j^3}\right). \quad (3)$$

At the same time, the differential equation for $C(z; t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(t) z^n$ results in

$$-n^3 C_n + (n+1)^3 C_{n+1} = t^3 C_n$$

implying

$$\frac{C_{n+1}}{C_n} = \frac{n^3 + t^3}{(n+1)^3} = \frac{(n+t)(n+e^{2\pi i/3}t)(n+e^{4\pi i/3}t)}{(n+1)^3}$$

and leading to the hypergeometric form

$$C(z; t) = {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} t, \omega t, \omega^2 t \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix} \middle| z \right), \quad (4)$$

where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$. We recall that

$${}_{m+1}F_m \left(\begin{matrix} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m \\ b_1, \dots, b_m \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_0)_n (a_1)_n \dots (a_m)_n}{n! (b_1)_n \dots (b_m)_n} z^n,$$

where $(a)_n = \Gamma(a+n)/\Gamma(a)$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol (also known as the ‘shifted factorial’ because $(a)_n = a(a+1)\dots(a+n-1)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$). It is not hard to see that the sequences $A_n(t)$ and $B_n(t)$ from $A(z; t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t) z^n$ and $B(z; t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(t) z^n$ do not satisfy 2-term recurrence relations with polynomial coefficients. Thus, no hypergeometric representations of the type (4) are available for them.

3. SPECIAL POLYNOMIALS

The differential equation for $B(z; t)$ translates into the 3-term recurrence relation

$$n^3 B_n - (n+1)^2 (2n+1) B_{n+1} + (n+2)^2 (n+1) B_{n+2} = t^3 B_n \quad (5)$$

for the coefficients $B_n = B_n(t)$; the initial values are $B_0 = 1$ and $B_1 = 0$.

Lemma 1. *We have*

$$B_n(t) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(\omega t)_k (\omega^2 t)_k (t)_{n-k} (-t+k)_{n-k}}{k! (n-k)!} = \frac{(t)_n (-t)_n}{n!^2} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, \omega t, \omega^2 t \\ -t, 1-n-t \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right). \quad (6)$$

Proof. The recursion (5) for the sequence in (6) follows from application of the Gosper–Zeilberger algorithm of creative telescoping. The initial values for $n = 0$ and 1 are straightforward. \square

Remark. The hypergeometric form in (6) was originally prompted by [9, Theorem 3.4]: the change of variable $z \mapsto 1 - z$ in the differential equation for $B(z; t)$ shows that the function $f(z) = B(1 - z; t)$ satisfies the hypergeometric differential equation with upper parameters $-t, -\omega t, -\omega^2 t$ and lower parameters $0, 0$.

It is not transparent from the formula (6) (but immediate from the recursion (5)) that $B_n(t) \in t^3\mathbb{Q}[t^3]$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; the classical transformations of ${}_3F_2(1)$ and their representations as ${}_6F_5(-1)$ hypergeometric series (see [1]) do not shed a light on this belonging either.

Lemma 2. *We have*

$$B(1; t) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{t^3}{j^3}\right). \quad (7)$$

Proof. This follows from the derivation

$$\begin{aligned} B(1; t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(\omega t)_k (\omega^2 t)_k (t)_{n-k} (-t+k)_{n-k}}{k! (n-k)!} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\omega t)_k (\omega^2 t)_k}{k!^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t)_m (-t+k)_m}{m! (k+1)_m} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\omega t)_k (\omega^2 t)_k}{k!^2} \cdot {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} t, -t+k \\ k+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-t)\Gamma(1+t)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\omega t)_k (\omega^2 t)_k}{k! (1-t)_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-t)\Gamma(1+t)} \cdot {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \omega t, \omega^2 t \\ 1-t \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-t)\Gamma(1+t)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(1-t)}{\Gamma(1-(1+\omega)t)\Gamma(1-(1+\omega^2)t)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+t)\Gamma(1+\omega t)\Gamma(1+\omega^2 t)} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{t^3}{j^3}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we applied twice Gauss's summation [1, Section 1.3]

$${}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) = \frac{\Gamma(c) \Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a) \Gamma(c-b)}$$

valid when $\Re(c-a-b) > 0$. \square

Finally, we deduce from comparing (3) and (7),

Theorem 1. *The identity $\zeta(\{3\}^l) = \zeta(\{2, 1\}^l)$ is valid for $l = 1, 2, \dots$.*

4. A GENERAL FAMILY OF POLYNOMIALS

It is not hard to extend Lemma 1 to the one-parameter family of polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} B_n^\alpha(t) &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(\omega t)_k (\omega^2 t)_k (\alpha + t)_{n-k} (\alpha - t + k)_{n-k}}{k! (n-k)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(\alpha + \omega t)_k (\alpha + \omega^2 t)_k (t)_{n-k} (\alpha - t + k)_{n-k}}{k! (n-k)!}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Lemma 3. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the polynomials (8) satisfy the 3-term recurrence relation

$$((n+\alpha)^3 - t^3) B_n^\alpha - (n+1)(2n^2 + 3n(\alpha+1) + \alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 1) B_{n+1}^\alpha + (n+2)^2 (n+1) B_{n+2}^\alpha = 0$$

and the initial conditions $B_0^\alpha = 1$, $B_1^\alpha = \alpha^2$. In particular, $B_n^\alpha(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t^3]$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

In addition, we have $B_n^\alpha \in t^3 \mathbb{Q}[t^3]$ for $\alpha = 0, -1, \dots, -n+1$ (in other words, $B_n^\alpha(0) = 0$ for these values of α).

Lemma 4. $B_n^{1-n-\alpha}(t) = B_n^\alpha(t)$.

Proof. This follows from the hypergeometric representation

$$B_n^\alpha(t) = \frac{(\alpha + t)_n (\alpha - t)_n}{n!^2} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, \omega t, \omega^2 t \\ \alpha - t, 1 - \alpha - n - t \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right). \quad \square$$

Here is one more property of the polynomials that follows from Euler's transformation [1, Section 1.2].

Lemma 5. We have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^\alpha(t) z^n = (1-z)^{1-2\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{1-\alpha}(t) z^n.$$

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^\alpha(t) z^n &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\omega t)_k (\omega^2 t)_k}{k!^2} z^k \cdot {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha + t, \alpha - t + k \\ k + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\omega t)_k (\omega^2 t)_k}{k!^2} z^k \cdot (1-z)^{1-2\alpha} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 1 - \alpha + t, 1 - \alpha - t + k \\ k + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) \\ &= (1-z)^{1-2\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{1-\alpha}(t) z^n. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Alternative proof of Lemma 2. It follows from Lemma 5 that

$$B_n^1(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n B_k(t),$$

hence $B(1; t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n^1(t)$ and the latter limit is straightforward from (8). \square

Note that, with the help of the standard transformations of ${}_3F_2(1)$ hypergeometric series, we can also write (8) as

$$B_n^\alpha(t) = \frac{(\alpha - \omega t)_n (\alpha - \omega^2 t)_n}{n!^2} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, \alpha + t, t \\ \alpha - \omega t, \alpha - \omega^2 t \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right),$$

so that the generating functions of the continuous dual Hahn polynomials lead to the generating functions

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(\alpha - t)_n} B_n^\alpha(t) z^n = (1 - z)^{-t} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha + \omega t, \alpha + \omega^2 t \\ \alpha - t \end{matrix} \middle| z \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_n n!}{(\alpha - \omega t)_n (\alpha - \omega^2 t)_n} B_n^\alpha(t) z^n = (1 - z)^{-\gamma} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \gamma, \alpha + t, t \\ \alpha - \omega t, \alpha - \omega^2 t \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{z}{z - 1} \right),$$

where γ is arbitrary.

Finally, numerical verification suggests that for real α the zeroes of B_n^α viewed as polynomials in $x = t^3$ lie on the real half-line $(-\infty, 0]$.

5. POLYNOMIALS RELATED TO THE ALTERNATING MZV IDENTITY

Writing

$$\begin{aligned} A(z; t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t) z^n \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{4} t^3 z^2 - \frac{1}{6} t^3 z^3 + \left(\frac{1}{192} t^3 + \frac{11}{96} \right) t^3 z^4 - \left(\frac{1}{240} t^3 + \frac{1}{12} \right) t^3 z^5 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{34560} t^6 + \frac{23}{5760} t^3 + \frac{137}{2160} \right) t^3 z^6 + O(z^7) \end{aligned}$$

and using the equation

$$\left((1 + z) \frac{d}{dz} \right)^2 \left(z \frac{d}{dz} \right) A(z; t) = t^3 A(-z; t),$$

we deduce that

$$(n^3 - T)A_n + (n + 1)^2(2n + 1)A_{n+1} + (n + 2)^2(n + 1)A_{n+2} = 0, \quad (9)$$

where $T = (-1)^n t^3$. Producing two shifted copies of (9),

$$((n - 1)^3 + T)A_{n-1} + n^2(2n - 1)A_n + (n + 1)^2 n A_{n+1} = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$((n - 2)^3 - T)A_{n-2} + (n - 1)^2(2n - 3)A_{n-1} + n^2(n - 1)A_n = 0, \quad (11)$$

then multiplying recursion (9) by $n(n - 1)^2(2n - 3)$, recursion (10) by $-(n - 1)^2 \times (2n + 1)(2n - 3)$, recursion (11) by $(2n + 1)((n - 1)^3 + T)$ and adding the three equations so obtained we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &(2n + 1)((n - 1)^3 + T)((n - 2)^3 - T)A_{n-2} \\ &\quad - n(n - 1)(2n - 1)(2n(n - 1)(n^2 - n - 1) - 3T)A_n \\ &\quad + (n + 2)^2(n + 1)n(n - 1)^2(2n - 3)A_{n+2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This final recursion restricted to the subsequence A_{2n} , namely

$$\begin{aligned} & (4n + 5)((2n)^3 - t^3)((2n + 1)^3 + t^3)A_{2n} \\ & - (4n + 3)(2n + 1)(2n + 2)(2(2n + 1)(2n + 2)(4n^2 + 6n + 1) - 3t^3)A_{2n+2} \\ & + (4n + 1)(2n + 1)^2(2n + 2)(2n + 3)(2n + 4)^2A_{2n+4} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and, similarly, to A_{2n+1} gives rise to two families of so-called Frobenius–Stickelberger–Thiele polynomials [10]. The latter connection, however, sheds no light on the asymptotics of $A_n(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t^3]$. Unlike the case of $B(z; t)$ treated in Section 3 we cannot find closed form expressions for those subsequences. Here is the case most visually related to the recursion (12):

$$\begin{aligned} & (4n + 5) \frac{(2n)^3 - t^3}{t - 2n} \frac{(2n + 1)^3 + t^3}{t + 2n + 1} A'_n \\ & - (4n + 3)(2n + 1)(2n + 2)((2n + 1)(2n + 2) + (8n^2 + 12n + 1)t + 3t^2)A'_{n+1} \\ & + (4n + 1)(2n + 1)^2(2n + 2)(2n + 3)(2n + 4)^2A'_{n+2} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A'_n = \frac{1}{2^n (1/2)_n n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(\omega t/2)_k (\omega^2 t/2)_k (t/2)_{n-k} (1/2)_{n-k}}{k! (n-k)!} (-1)^k.$$

The latter polynomials are not from $\mathbb{Q}[t^3]$.

If we consider $\tilde{A}_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k(t)$ then (it is already known [5, 13] that)

$$(n^3 - (-1)^n t^3) \tilde{A}_{n-1} + (2n + 1)n \tilde{A}_n - (n + 1)^2 n \tilde{A}_{n+1} = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

As before, the standard elimination translates it into

$$\begin{aligned} & (2n + 3)((n - 1)^3 + T)(n^3 - T) \tilde{A}_{n-2} \\ & - (2n + 1)n(n - 1)(2(n^2 + n + 1)^2 - 6 - T) \tilde{A}_n \\ & + (2n - 1)(n + 2)^2(n + 1)^2 n(n - 1) \tilde{A}_{n+2} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $T = (-1)^n t^3$. One can easily verify that

$$\tilde{A}_n(t) = 1 + \dots + \frac{t^{3\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}{2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \lfloor n/2 \rfloor! n!}$$

but we also lack an explicit representation for them.

We have checked numerically a fine behaviour (orthogonal-polynomial-like) of the zeroes of A_n and \tilde{A}_n viewed as polynomials in $x = t^3$ (both of degree $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ in x). Namely, all the zeroes lie on the real half-line $(-\infty, 0]$. This is in line with the property of the polynomials B_n^α (see the last paragraph in Section 4).

Acknowledgements. The work originated from discussions during the research trimester on Multiple Zeta Values, Multiple Polylogarithms and Quantum Field Theory at ICMAT in Madrid (September–October 2014) and was completed during the author’s visit in the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn (March–April 2015); I thank the staff of the institutes for the wonderful working conditions experienced during these visits. I am grateful to Valent Galliano, Erik Koelink, Tom

Koornwinder and Slava Spiridonov for valuable comments on earlier versions of the note. I am thankful as well to the two anonymous referees for the valuable feedback on the submitted version.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. N. BAILEY, *Generalized hypergeometric series*, Cambridge Math. Tracts **32** Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1935); 2nd reprinted edition, Stechert-Hafner, New York–London (1964).
- [2] J. BORWEIN and D. BAILEY, *Mathematics by experiment. Plausible reasoning in the 21st century*, 2nd edition (A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 2008).
- [3] J. M. BORWEIN and D. M. BRADLEY, Thirty-two Goldbach variations, *Intern. J. Number Theory* **2** (2006), no. 1, 65–103.
- [4] J. M. BORWEIN, D. M. BRADLEY and D. J. BROADHURST, Evaluations of k -fold Euler/Zagier sums: A compendium of results for arbitrary k , *Electron. J. Combin.* **4** (1997), #R5; Printed version, *J. Combin.* **4** (1997), no. 2, 31–49.
- [5] J. M. BORWEIN, D. M. BRADLEY, D. J. BROADHURST and P. LISONĚK, Special values of multiple polylogarithms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), no. 3, 907–941.
- [6] M. E. HOFFMAN, Multiple harmonic series, *Pacific J. Math.* **152** (1992), no. 2, 275–290.
- [7] A. ISERLES and S. P. NØRSETT, On the theory of biorthogonal polynomials, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **306** (1988), 455–474.
- [8] M. E. H. ISMAIL and D. R. MASSON, Generalized orthogonality and continued fractions, *J. Approx. Theory* **83** (1995), 1–40.
- [9] K. MIMACHI, Connection matrices associated with the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_3F_2$, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **51** (2008), no. 1, 107–133.
- [10] V. P. SPIRIDONOV, S. TSUJIMOTO and A. ZHEDANOV, Integrable discrete time chains for the Frobenius–Stickelberger–Thiele polynomials, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **272** (2007), no. 1, 139–165.
- [11] V. SPIRIDONOV and A. ZHEDANOV, Spectral transformation chains and some new biorthogonal rational functions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **210** (2000), 49–83.
- [12] D. ZAGIER, Values of zeta functions and their applications, *1st European Congress of Mathematics (Paris, 1992)*, vol. II, A. Joseph et al. (eds.), Progr. Math. **120** (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994), 497–512.
- [13] J. ZHAO, On a conjecture of Borwein, Bradley and Broadhurst, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **639** (2010), 223–233; *An extended version*, Double shuffle relations of Euler sums, arXiv: 0705.2267 [math.NT] (2007).
- [14] W. ZUDILIN, Algebraic relations for multiple zeta values, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **58** (2003), no. 1, 3–32; English transl., *Russian Math. Surveys* **58** (2003), no. 1, 1–29.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE, CALLAGHAN NSW 2308, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: wadim.zudilin@newcastle.edu.au