

# A NOTE ON STARSHAPED COMPACT HYPERSURFACES WITH PRESCRIBED SCALAR CURVATURE IN SPACE FORMS

JOEL SPRUCK AND LING XIAO

ABSTRACT. In [7], Guan, Ren and Wang obtained a  $C^2$  a priori estimate for admissible 2-convex hypersurfaces satisfying the Weingarten curvature equation  $\sigma_2(\kappa(X)) = f(X, \nu(X))$ . In this note, we give a simpler proof of this result, and extend it to space forms.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [7], Guan, Ren and Wang solved the long standing problem of obtaining global  $C^2$  estimates for a closed convex hypersurface  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  of prescribed  $k$ th elementary symmetric function of curvature in general form:

$$(1.1) \quad \sigma_k(\kappa(X)) = f(X, \nu(X)), \forall X \in M.$$

In the case  $k = 2$  of scalar curvature, they were able to prove the estimate for strictly starshaped 2-convex hypersurfaces. Their proof relies on new test curvature functions and elaborate analytic arguments to overcome the difficulties caused by allowing  $f$  to depend of  $\nu$ .

In this note, we give a simpler proof for the scalar curvature case and we extend the result to space forms  $N^{n+1}(K)$ , with  $K = -1, 0, 1$ . Our main result is stated in Theorem 2.1 of section 2 and leads to the existence Theorem 3.3. For related results in the literature see [3], [6], [2] and [8].

## 2. PRESCRIBED SCALAR CURVATURE

Let  $N^{n+1}(K)$  be a space form of sectional curvature  $K = -1, 0$ , and  $+1$ . Let  $g^N := ds^2$  denote the Riemannian metric of  $N^{n+1}(K)$ . In Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , fix the origin  $O$  and let  $\mathbb{S}^n$  denote the unit sphere centered at  $O$ . Suppose that  $(z, \rho)$  are spherical coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , where  $z \in \mathbb{S}^n$ . The standard metric on  $S^n$  induced

---

Research supported in part by the NSF.

from  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is denoted by  $dz^2$ . Let  $a$  be constant,  $0 < a \leq \infty$ ,  $I = [0, a)$ , and  $\phi(\rho)$  a positive function on  $I$ . Then the new metric

$$(2.1) \quad g^N := ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \phi^2(\rho)dz^2.$$

on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a model of  $N^{n+1}$  which is Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  if  $\phi(\rho) = \rho$ ,  $a = \infty$ , the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$  if  $\phi(\rho) = \sin(\rho)$ ,  $a = \pi/2$  and hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  if  $\phi(\rho) = \sinh(\rho)$ ,  $a = \infty$ .

We recall some formulas for the induced metric, normal, and second fundamental form on  $\mathcal{M}$  (see [2]). We will denote by  $\nabla'$  the covariant derivatives with respect to the standard spherical metric  $e_{ij}$ , and by  $\nabla$  the covariant derivatives with respect to some local orthonormal frame on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then we have

$$(2.2) \quad g_{ij} = \phi^2 e_{ij} + \rho_i \rho_j, \quad g^{ij} = \frac{1}{\phi^2} \left( e^{ij} - \frac{\rho^i \rho^j}{\phi^2 + |\nabla' \rho|^2} \right),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \nu = \frac{(-\nabla' \rho, \phi^2)}{\sqrt{\phi^4 + \phi^2 |\nabla' \rho|^2}},$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad h_{ij} = \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\phi^2 + |\nabla' \rho|^2}} \left( -\nabla'_{ij} \rho + \frac{2\phi'}{\phi} \rho_i \rho_j + \phi \phi' e_{ij} \right).$$

Consider the vector field  $V = \phi(\rho) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}$  in  $N^{n+1}(K)$ , and define  $\Phi(\rho) = \int_0^\rho \phi(r) dr$ . Then,  $u := \langle V, \nu \rangle$  is the support function. By a straight forward calculation we have the following equations (see [5] lemma 2.2 and lemma 2.6).

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla_{ij} \Phi = \phi' g_{ij} - u h_{ij},$$

$$(2.6) \quad \nabla_i u = g^{kl} h_{ik} \nabla_l \Phi,$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_{ij} u = g^{kl} \nabla_k h_{ij} \nabla_l \Phi + \phi' h_{ij} - u g^{kl} h_{ik} h_{jl}.$$

Now let  $\Gamma_k$  be the connected component of  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sigma_k(\lambda) > 0\}$ , where

$$\sigma_k = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}$$

is the  $k$ -th mean curvature.  $\mathcal{M} := \{(z, \rho(z)) : z \in \mathbb{S}^n\}$  is an embedded hypersurface in  $N^{n+1}$ . We call  $\rho$   $k$ -admissible if the principal curvatures  $(\lambda_1(\rho(z)), \dots, \lambda_n(\rho(z)))$  of

$\mathcal{M}$  belong to  $\Gamma_k$ . Our problem is to study a smooth positive 2-admissible function  $\rho$  on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  satisfying

$$(2.8) \quad \sigma_2(\lambda(b)) = \psi(V, \nu),$$

where  $b = \{b_{ij}\} = \{\gamma^{ik}h_{kl}\gamma^{lj}\}$ ,  $\{h_{ij}\}$  is the second fundamental form of  $\mathcal{M}$ , and  $\gamma^{ij}$  is  $\sqrt{g^{-1}}$ . Equivalently, we study the solution of the following equation

$$(2.9) \quad F(b) = \binom{n}{2}^{(-1/2)} \sigma_2(\lambda(b))^{1/2} = f(\lambda(b_{ij})) = \bar{\psi}(V, \nu).$$

Now we are ready to state and prove our main result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{M} = \{(z, \rho(z)) \mid z \in \mathbb{S}^n\} \subset N^{n+1}$  is a closed 2-convex hypersurface which is strictly starshaped with respect to the origin and satisfies equation (2.9) for some positive function  $\bar{\psi}(V, \nu) \in C^2(\Gamma)$ , where  $\Gamma$  is an open neighborhood of the unit normal bundle of  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $N^{n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^n$ . Suppose also we have uniform control  $0 < R_1 \leq \rho(z) \leq R_2 < a$ ,  $|\rho|_{C^1} \leq R_3$ . Then there is a constant  $C$  depending only on  $n, R_1, R_2, R_3$  and  $|\bar{\psi}|_{C^2}$ , such that*

$$(2.10) \quad \max_{z \in \mathbb{S}^n} |\kappa_i(z)| \leq C.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma_1(\kappa) > 0$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , it suffices to estimate from above, the largest principal curvature of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Consider

$$M_0 = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{M}} e^{\beta\Phi} \frac{\kappa_{\max}}{u - a},$$

where  $u \geq 2a$  and  $\beta$  is a large constant to be chosen (we will always assume  $\beta\phi' + K > 0$ ). Then  $M_0$  is achieved at  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (z_0, \rho(z_0))$  and we may choose a local orthonormal frame  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  around  $\mathbf{x}_0$  such that  $h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \kappa_i \delta_{ij}$ , where  $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$  are the principal curvatures of  $\Sigma$  at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ . We may assume  $\kappa_1 = \kappa_{\max}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ . Thus at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ ,  $\log h_{11} - \log(u - a) + \beta\Phi$  has a local maximum. Therefore,

$$(2.11) \quad 0 = \frac{\nabla_i h_{11}}{h_{11}} - \frac{\nabla_i u}{u - a} + \beta\Phi_i,$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad 0 \geq \frac{\nabla_{ii} h_{11}}{h_{11}} - \left( \frac{\nabla_i h_{11}}{h_{11}} \right)^2 - \frac{\nabla_{ii} u}{u - a} + \left( \frac{\nabla_i u}{u - a} \right)^2 + \beta\Phi_{ii}.$$

By the Gauss and Codazzi equations, we have  $\nabla_k h_{ij} = \nabla_j h_{ik}$  and

$$(2.13) \quad \nabla_{11} h_{ii} = \nabla_{ii} h_{11} + h_{11} h_{ii}^2 - h_{11}^2 h_{ii} + K(h_{11} \delta_{1i} \delta_{1i} - h_{11} \delta_{ii} + h_{ii} - h_{i1} \delta_{i1}).$$

Therefore,

(2.14)

$$\begin{aligned} F^{ii}\nabla_{11}h_{ii} &= F^{ii}\nabla_{ii}h_{11} + \kappa_1 \sum_i f_i \kappa_i^2 - \kappa_1^2 \sum f_i \kappa_i + K \left( -\kappa_1 \sum_i f_i + \sum_i f_i \kappa_i \right) \\ &= \sum_i f_i \nabla_{ii}h_{11} + \kappa_1 \sum_i f_i \kappa_i^2 - \bar{\psi} \kappa_1^2 + K \left( -\kappa_1 \sum_i f_i + \bar{\psi} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Covariantly differentiating equation (2.9) twice yields

$$(2.15) \quad F^{ii}h_{iik} = \bar{\psi}_V(\nabla_{e_k} V) + h_{ks}\bar{\psi}_\nu(e_s)$$

so that

$$(2.16) \quad \left| \sum_i f_i h_{iis} \Phi_s \right| \leq C(1 + \kappa_1)$$

and

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} F^{ii}h_{ii11} + F^{ij,kl}h_{ij1}h_{kl1} &= \nabla_{11}(\bar{\psi}) \geq -C(1 + \kappa_1^2) + h_{11s}\bar{\psi}_\nu(e_s) \\ &\geq -C(1 + \kappa_1^2 + \beta\kappa_1) \quad (\text{using (2.11)}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.17) and (2.14) and using (2.5), (2.6),(2.7),(2.11),(2.12), (2.15),(2.16) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{1}{\kappa_1} \left\{ -C(1 + \kappa_1^2 + \beta\kappa_1) - F^{ij,kl}\nabla_1 h_{ij}\nabla_1 h_{kl} - \kappa_1 \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 + \kappa_1^2 \bar{\psi} - K(-\kappa_1 \sum f_i + \bar{\psi}) \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2} \sum f_i |\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 - \frac{1}{u-a} \sum f_i \{ h_{iis} \Phi_s - u \kappa_i^2 + \phi' \kappa_i \} + \sum f_i \frac{|\nabla_i u|^2}{(u-a)^2} - u \beta \bar{\psi} + \beta \phi' \sum f_i \\ &\geq -C(\kappa_1 + \beta) - \frac{1}{\kappa_1} F^{ij,kl}\nabla_1 h_{ij}\nabla_1 h_{kl} + \frac{a}{u-a} \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 + (\beta \phi' + K) \sum f_i \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2} \sum f_i |\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 + \sum f_i \frac{|\nabla_i u|^2}{(u-a)^2} \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq -C(\kappa_1 + \beta) - \frac{1}{\kappa_1} F^{ij,kl}\nabla_1 h_{ij}\nabla_1 h_{kl} + \frac{a}{u-a} \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 \\ &\quad + (\beta \phi' + K) \sum f_i - \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2} \sum f_i |\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 + \sum f_i \frac{|\nabla_i u|^2}{(u-a)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.11) we have for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2} \sum f_i |\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon^{-1})\beta^2 \sum f_i |\nabla_i \Phi|^2 + \frac{(1 + \epsilon)}{(u-a)^2} \sum f_i |\nabla_i u|^2.$$

Using this in (2.18) we obtain

$$(2.20) \quad 0 \geq -C(\kappa_1 + \beta) - \frac{1}{\kappa_1} F^{ij,kl} \nabla_1 h_{ij} \nabla_1 h_{kl} + \left( \frac{a}{u-a} - C\epsilon \right) \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 + [\beta\phi' + K - C\beta^2(1 + \epsilon^{-1})]T ,$$

where  $T = \sum f_i$ . Now we divide the remainder of the proof into two cases.

Case A. Assume  $\kappa_n \leq -\frac{\kappa_1}{n}$ . In this case, equation (2.20) implies (here  $\epsilon$  is small controlled multiple of  $a$  and we use  $f_n \geq f_i$  which holds by concavity of  $f$ )

$$(2.21) \quad 0 \geq -C(\kappa_1 + \beta) + \frac{a}{C} \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 - C\beta^2 T \geq -C(\kappa_1 + \beta) + \left( \frac{1}{C} \kappa_1^2 - C\beta^2 \right) T$$

Since  $T \geq 1$  by the concavity of  $f$ , equation (2.21) implies  $\kappa_1 \leq C\beta$  at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ .

Case B. Assume  $\kappa_n > -\frac{\kappa_1}{n}$ . Let us partition  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  into 2 parts,

$$I = \{j : f_j \leq n^2 f_1\} \text{ and } J = \{j : f_j > n^2 f_1\}.$$

For  $i \in I$ , we have (by (2.11)) for any  $\epsilon > 0$

$$(2.22) \quad \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2} f_i |\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \sum f_i \frac{|\nabla_i u|^2}{(u-a)^2} + C(1 + \epsilon^{-1})\beta^2 f_1.$$

Inserting this into equation (2.18) gives (for  $\epsilon$  a small controlled multiple of  $a^2$ )

$$(2.23) \quad 0 \geq -C(\kappa_1 + \beta) - \frac{1}{\kappa_1} F^{ij,kl} \nabla_1 h_{ij} \nabla_1 h_{kl} + \frac{a}{C} \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 + (\beta\phi' + K) \sum f_i - \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2} \sum_{i \in J} f_i |\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 - C\beta^2 f_1.$$

Now we use an inequality due to Andrews [1] and Gerhardt [4]:

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{\kappa_1} F^{ij,kl} \nabla_1 h_{ij} \nabla_1 h_{kl} &\geq \frac{1}{\kappa_1} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{f_i - f_j}{\kappa_j - \kappa_i} |\nabla_1 h_{ij}|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\kappa_1} \sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{f_j - f_1}{\kappa_1 - \kappa_j} |\nabla_j h_{11}|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\kappa_1^2} \sum_{j \in J} f_j |\nabla_j h_{11}|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

We now insert (2.24) into (2.23) to obtain

$$(2.25) \quad 0 \geq -C(\kappa_1 + \beta) + \frac{a}{C} \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 + (\beta\phi' + K) \sum f_i - C\beta^2 f_1.$$

Since  $\kappa_n > -\frac{1}{n}\kappa_1$  we have that

$$\sum f_i = \frac{(n-1)\sigma_1}{2\binom{n}{2}\bar{\psi}} > \frac{\kappa_1 - \frac{n-1}{n}\kappa_1}{n\bar{\psi}} = \frac{\kappa_1}{n^2\bar{\psi}}$$

We also note that on  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\phi'$  is bounded below by a positive controlled constant so we may assume  $\beta\phi' + K$  is large. Therefore from (2.25) we obtain

$$(2.26) \quad 0 \geq \left(\frac{\beta\phi' + K}{n^2\bar{\psi}} - C\right)\kappa_1 - C\beta + \left(\frac{a}{C_2}\kappa_1^2 - C\beta^2\right)f_1.$$

We now fix  $\beta$  large enough that  $\frac{\beta\phi' + K}{n^2\bar{\psi}} > 2C$  which implies a uniform upper bound for  $\kappa_1$  at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ . By the definition of  $M_0$  we then obtain a uniform upper bound for  $\kappa_{max}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  which implies a uniform upper and lower bound for the principle curvatures.  $\square$

### 3. LOWER ORDER ESTIMATES

In this section, we obtain  $C^0$  and  $C^1$  estimates for the more general equation:

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma_k(\kappa) = \psi(V, \nu),$$

where  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

**3.1.  $C^0$  estimates.** The  $C^0$ -estimates were proved in [2] but for the reader's convenience we include the simple proof.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and let  $\psi \in C^2(N^{n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$  be a positive function. Suppose there exist two numbers  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ ,  $0 < R_1 < R_2 < a$ , such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \psi\left(V, \frac{V}{|V|}\right) \geq \sigma_k(1, \dots, 1)q^k(\rho), \rho = R_1,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \psi\left(V, \frac{V}{|V|}\right) \leq \sigma_k(1, \dots, 1)q^k(\rho), \rho = R_2,$$

where  $q(\rho) = \frac{1}{\phi} \frac{d\phi}{d\rho}$ . Let  $\rho \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)$  be a solution of equation (3.1). Then

$$R_1 \leq \rho \leq R_2.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\max_{z \in \mathbb{S}^n} \rho(z) = \rho(z_0) > R_2$ . Then at  $z_0$ ,

$$g^{ij} = \phi^{-2} e^{ij}, \quad h_{ij} = -\nabla'_{ij} \rho + \phi \phi' e_{ij} \geq \phi \phi' e_{ij}, \quad b_{ij} \geq q(\rho) \delta_{ij}.$$

Hence  $\psi(V, \nu)(z_0) = \sigma_k(b_{ij})(z_0) > q^k(R_2) \sigma_k(1, \dots, 1)$ , contradicting (3.3). The proof of (3.2) is similar.  $\square$

**3.2.  $C^1$  estimates.** In this section, we follow the idea of [3] and [6] to derive  $C^1$  estimates for the height function  $\rho$ . In other words, we are looking for a lower bound for the support function  $u$ . First, we need the following technical assumption: for any fixed unit vector  $\nu$ ,

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (\phi(\rho)^k \psi(V, \nu)) \leq 0, \quad \text{where } |V| = \phi(\rho).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be a radial graph in  $N^{n+1}$  satisfying (3.1), (3.4) and let  $\rho$  be the height function of  $M$ . If  $\rho$  has positive upper and lower bounds, then there is a constant  $C$  depending on the minimum and maximum values of  $\rho$ , such that*

$$|\nabla \rho| \leq C.$$

*Proof.* Consider  $h = -\log u + \gamma(\Phi(\rho))$  and suppose  $h$  achieves its maximum at  $z_0$ . We will show that for a suitable choice of  $\gamma(t)$ ,  $u(z_0) = |V(z_0)|$ , that is  $V(z_0) = |V(z_0)|\nu(z_0)$ , which implies a uniform lower bound for  $u$  on  $M$ . If not, we can choose a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  on  $M$  such that  $\langle V, e_1 \rangle \neq 0$ , and  $\langle V, e_i \rangle = 0$ ,  $i \geq 2$ . Then at  $z_0$  we have,

$$(3.5) \quad h_i = \frac{-u_i}{u} + \gamma' \nabla_i \Phi = 0,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq h_{ii} = \frac{-u_{ii}}{u} + \left(\frac{u_i}{u}\right)^2 + \gamma' \nabla_{ii} \Phi + \gamma'' (\nabla_i \Phi)^2 \\ &= \frac{-1}{u} (h_{ii1} \nabla_1 \Phi + \phi' h_{ii} - u h_{ii}^2) + [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] (\nabla_i \Phi)^2 + \gamma' (\phi' g_{ii} - h_{ii} u). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (3.5) gives

$$(3.7) \quad h_{11} = u\gamma', \quad h_{i1} = 0, \quad i \geq 2$$

so we may rotate  $\{e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  so that  $h_{ij}(z_0, \rho(z_0))$  is diagonal. Hence,

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{-1}{u} (\sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii1} \nabla_1 \Phi + \phi' k \psi - u \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2) \\ &\quad + [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] (\nabla_1 \Phi)^2 \sigma^{11} + \gamma' (\phi' \sum \sigma_k^{ii} - k \psi u) \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating equation (3.1) with respect to  $e_1$  we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii1} = d_V \psi(\nabla_{e_1} V) + h_{11} d_\nu \psi(e_1).$$

Substituting equation (3.9) and (3.7) into (3.8) yields

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{-1}{u} [\langle V, e_1 \rangle d_V \psi(\nabla_{e_1} V) + u \gamma' \langle V, e_1 \rangle d_\nu \psi(e_1) + k \phi' \psi] \\ &\quad + \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2 + [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] \langle V, e_1 \rangle^2 \sigma_k^{11} + \gamma' \phi' \sigma_k^{ii} - ku \gamma' \psi \\ &= \frac{-1}{u} [\langle V, e_1 \rangle d_V \psi(\nabla_{e_1} V) + k \phi' \psi] + \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2 \\ &\quad + [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] \langle V, e_1 \rangle^2 \sigma_k^{11} + \gamma' \phi' \sum \sigma_k^{ii} - u \gamma' \psi - \gamma' \langle V, e_1 \rangle d_\nu \psi(e_1). \end{aligned}$$

Our assumption (3.4) is equivalent to

$$(3.11) \quad k \phi^{k-1} \phi' \psi + \phi^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \psi(V, \nu) \leq 0,$$

or

$$(3.12) \quad k \phi' \psi + d_V \psi(V, \nu) \leq 0.$$

Since at  $z_0$ ,  $V = \langle V, e_1 \rangle e_1 + \langle V, \nu \rangle \nu$

$$(3.13) \quad d_V \psi(V, \nu) = \langle V, e_1 \rangle d_V \psi(\nabla_{e_1} V) + \langle V, \nu \rangle d_V \psi(\nabla_\nu V).$$

Therefore,

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2 + [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] \langle V, e_1 \rangle^2 \sigma_k^{11} + \gamma' \phi' \sum \sigma_k^{ii} \\ &\quad - u \gamma' \psi - \gamma' \langle V, e_1 \rangle d_\nu \psi(e_1) + d_V \psi(\nabla_\nu V) \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\gamma(t) = \frac{\alpha}{t}$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  is sufficiently large. Since  $h_{11} \leq 0$  at  $z_0$ , and  $\sum \sigma_k^{ii} = (n - k + 1) \sigma_{k-1}$ , we have that

$$(3.15) \quad \sigma_k^{11} = \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|\kappa_1) \geq \sigma_{k-1} \geq \sigma_k^{\frac{k-1}{k}} = \psi^{\frac{k-1}{k}}.$$

Therefore

$$(3.16) \quad [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] \langle V, e_1 \rangle^2 \sigma_k^{11} + \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2 + \gamma' \phi' \sum \sigma_k^{ii} \geq C \alpha^2 \sigma_k^{11},$$

for some  $C$  depending on  $|\rho|_{C^0}$ .

We conclude that

$$(3.17) \quad 0 \geq C \alpha^2 \psi^{\frac{k-1}{k}} - \alpha |V| |d_\nu \psi(e_1)| - |d_V \psi(\nabla_\nu V)|,$$

which leads to a contradiction when  $\alpha$  is large. Therefore at  $z_0$  we have  $u = |V|$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$



By a standard continuity argument (see [3]), we can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose  $\psi \in C^2(\bar{B}_{r_2} \setminus B_{r_1} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$  satisfies conditions (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4). Then there exists a unique  $C^{3,\alpha}$  starshaped solution  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfying equation (2.8).*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Andrews, *Contraction of convex hypersurfaces in Euclidean space*, Calc. Var. PDE **2** (1994), 151–171.
- [2] J. L. M. Barbosa, J. H. S. Lira and V. I. Oliker, *A priori estimates for starshaped compact hypersurfaces with prescribed  $m$ th curvature function in space forms*, Nonlinear Problems of Mathematical Physics and Related Topics I,(2002), 35–52.
- [3] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck, *Nonlinear second order elliptic equations IV: Starshaped compact Weingarten hypersurfaces*, Current topics in partial differential equations, Y.Ohya, K.Kasahara and N.Shimakura (eds), Kinokunize, Tokyo, (1985), 1–26.
- [4] C. Gerhardt, *Closed Weingarten hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **43** (1996), 612–641.
- [5] P. Guan and J. Li, *A mean curvature flow in space form*, International Mathematics Research Notices
- [6] P. Guan, C.S. Lin and X. Ma, *The Existence of Convex Body with Prescribed Curvature Measures*, International Mathematics Research Notices, **2009**, (2009), 1947–1975.
- [7] P. Guan, C. Ren and Z. Wang, *Global  $C^2$  estimates for convex solutions of curvature equations*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, (2014) preprint.
- [8] Q. Jin and Y. LI, *Starshaped compact hyper surfaces with prescribed  $k$ th mean curvature in hyperbolic space*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems **15** (2005), 367–377.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MD, 21218  
*E-mail address:* js@math.jhu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ, 08854  
*E-mail address:* lx70@math.rutgers.edu