# ENTIRE DOWNWARD TRANSLATING SOLITONS TO THE MEAN CURVATURE FLOW IN MINKOWSKI SPACE

JOEL SPRUCK AND LING XIAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study entire translating solutions u(x) to a mean curvature flow equation in Minkowski space. We show that if  $\Sigma = \{(x, u(x)) | x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  is a strictly spacelike hypersurface, then  $\Sigma$  reduces to a strictly convex rank ksoliton in  $\mathbb{R}^{k,1}$  (after splitting off trivial factors) whose "blowdown" converges to a multiple  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  of a positively homogeneous degree one convex function in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . We also show that there is nonuniqueness as the rotationally symmetric solution may be perturbed to a solution by an arbitrary smooth order one perturbation.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$  be the Minkowski space with Lorentz metric

$$\bar{g} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} dx_i^2 - dx_{n+1}^2.$$

We will say that a hypersurface  $\Sigma = \{(x, u(x)) | x \in \Omega\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$  is strictly spacelike if  $u \in C^1(\Omega)$  and  $|Du| \leq c_0 < 1$  in  $\Omega$ .

Ecker and Huisken [5] studied the mean curvature flow with forcing term in Minkowski space and proved longtime existence. More specifically, they studied the equation

(1.1) 
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \sqrt{1 - |Du|^2} \left[ \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 - |Du|^2}} \right) - \mathcal{H} \right],$$

where u = u(x, t) is the height function and  $\mathcal{H} = H(x)$  is the forcing term. Later, M. Aarons [1] proved the following convergence result.

**Theorem 1.1.** ([1]) Let  $M_0$  be a smooth spacelike hypersurface with bounded curvature. Suppose  $M_0$  never intersects future null infinity  $I^+$  or past null infinity  $I^-$ . Then  $M_t$  converges under the flow (1.1) to a convex downward translating soliton, that is, an entire solution of  $H = c + a \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}$ , a < 0.

Research of the first author is partially supported in part by the NSF.

After a rescaling, we may assume a = -1 and consider  $H = C - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|Du|^2}}$ , where C > 1 is a constant. We obtain

(1.2) 
$$\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1-|Du|^2}}\right) = C - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|Du|^2}}$$

or in nondivergence form

(1.3) 
$$\left(\delta_{ij} + \frac{u_i u_j}{1 - |Du|^2}\right) u_{ij} = C\sqrt{1 - |Du|^2} - 1.$$

Notice that any  $u = \vec{v} \cdot x + b$ ,  $|v| = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{C^2}}$  (a maximal hypersurface) is a solution of (1.3). The existence of a unique (up to translation) radial symmetric solution of (1.3) was shown by Ju, Lu and Jian [9].

Aarons [1] in fact conjectured that any solution u of (1.2) is either rotationally symmetric about some point  $x_0$  or is a hyperplane. However, this conjecture is not correct. Let  $x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  and set  $u(x) = \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i x_i + h(x')$  where h is strictly convex in x'. Then u satisfies (1.3) if and only if h satisfies

(1.4) 
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{k} (\delta_{ij} + \frac{h_i h_j}{1 - |a|^2 - |Dh|^2}) h_{ij} = C\sqrt{1 - |a|^2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{|Dh|^2}{1 - |a|^2}} - 1$$

Now let  $\tilde{h} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} h(\lambda x)$ ,  $\tilde{C} = \lambda C > 1$  with  $\lambda = \sqrt{1 - |a|^2}$ . Then  $\tilde{h}$  satisfies

(1.5) 
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{\kappa} (\delta_{ij} + \frac{h_i h_j}{1 - |D\tilde{h}|^2}) \tilde{h}_{ij} = \tilde{C} \sqrt{1 - |D\tilde{h}|^2} - 1 .$$

Thus  $\tilde{h}$  is a rank k solution of (1.3) in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  with C replaced by  $\tilde{C} = \lambda C > 1$ .

In fact, we will show a splitting theorem analogous to what Choi-Treibergs [4] proved for spacelike constant mean curvature hypersurfaces.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let u be a strictly spacelike solution of (1.2) and let  $\Sigma = \{(x, u(x)) | x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  be the graph of u. Then  $\Sigma$  is convex with uniformly bounded second fundamental form. Moreover after an  $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$  rigid motion,  $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$  splits as a product  $\mathbb{R}^{k,1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  such that  $\Sigma$  also splits as a product  $\Sigma^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  where  $\Sigma^k = \mathbb{R}^{n,1} \cup \mathbb{R}^{k,1}$  is a strictly convex graphical solution in  $\mathbb{R}^{k,1}$ 

Thus, it is natural to ask if Aarons conjecture correct for u, a strictly convex solution of (1.2) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ? In other words, is  $\Sigma = \{(x, u(x)) | x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  rotationally symmetric? The answer is no. **Theorem 1.3.** Let  $f \in C^2(S^{n-1}_{\tilde{C}}), \tilde{C} = \sqrt{1 - (\frac{1}{C})^2}$ . Then there exists an entire strictly spacelike hypersurface u satisfying equation (1.2) such that

$$u(x) \to \tilde{C}|x| - \frac{n-1}{C^2} \log|x| + f(\tilde{C}x) \text{ as } |x| \to \infty.$$

As in the work of Treibergs [12] and Choi-Treibergs [4], the blow-down of a convex strictly spacelike solution  $V_u = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{u(rx)}{r}$  converges uniformly on compact subsets to the space  $\tilde{C}\mathcal{Q}$  of convex homogeneous degree one convex functions whose gradient has magnitude  $\tilde{C}$  wherever defined. It was shown in [4] that the space  $\mathcal{Q}$  is in one to one correspondence with the set of *lightlike* directions

$$L_u := \{ x \in S^{n-1} : V_u(x) = 1 \}$$

It may be possible that any cone in  $\tilde{C}Q$  arises as the blow-down of a solution to (1.2) but we have not shown this.

An outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we show the strictly space like assumption implies that the graph  $\Sigma$  is mean convex. Then in section 3 we show  $\Sigma$ is in fact convex and then prove the splitting Theorem 1.2. In section 4 we study the blow-down  $V_u$  and finally in section 5 following [12], we construct counterexamples for the radial cone in  $\tilde{C}Q$  and prove Theorem 1.3

### 2. Strictly spacelike implies mean convex

Let 
$$a^{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{u_i u_j}{w^2}$$
, where  $w = (1 - |Du|^2)^{1/2}$ ; then equation (1.3) becomes  
(2.1)  $a^{ij} u_{ij} = Cw - 1$ 

Then  $w_i = -\frac{u_k u_{ki}}{w}$  and

(2.2)  
$$w_{ij} = -\frac{u_{ki}u_{kj}}{w} - \frac{u_{k}u_{kij}}{w} + \frac{u_{k}u_{ki}w_{j}}{w^{2}}$$
$$= -\frac{u_{k}u_{kij}}{w} - \frac{1}{w}\left(u_{ki}u_{kj} + \frac{u_{k}u_{ki}u_{l}u_{lj}}{w^{2}}\right)$$
$$= -\frac{u_{k}u_{kij}}{w} - \frac{1}{w}a^{kl}u_{ki}u_{lj}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose  $\Sigma = \{(x, u(x)) | x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  is a strictly spacelike hypersurface, and u(x) satisfies equation (1.3). Then  $\Sigma$  is mean convex, that is  $H \ge 0$ .

*Proof.* We differentiate equation (2.1) with respect to  $x_k$  to obtain

(2.3) 
$$(a^{ij})_k u_{ij} + a^{ij} u_{ijk} = C w_k.$$

Since

(2.4)  

$$(a^{ij})_{k}u_{ij} = \left(\frac{u_{ik}u_{j}}{w^{2}} + \frac{u_{i}u_{jk}}{w^{2}} - 2\frac{u_{i}u_{j}w_{k}}{w^{3}}\right)u_{ij}$$

$$= \frac{2}{w}\left(\frac{u_{ik}u_{j}}{w} + \frac{u_{i}u_{j}u_{l}u_{lk}}{w^{3}}\right)u_{ij}$$

$$= \frac{2}{w}\left(-w_{i}u_{ik} - \frac{u_{i}u_{l}u_{lk}}{w^{2}}w_{i}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{2}{w}\left(\delta_{ij} + \frac{u_{i}u_{j}}{w^{2}}\right)w_{i}u_{kj}$$

$$= -\frac{2}{w}a^{ij}w_{i}u_{kj},$$

and  $u_{ijk} = u_{kij}$ , this gives

(2.5) 
$$a^{ij}u_{kij} - \frac{2}{w}a^{ij}w_iu_{kj} = Cw_k.$$

Multiplying (2.5) by  $\frac{u_k}{w}$  and using  $\frac{u_k u_{kij}}{w} = -w_{ij} - \frac{1}{w} a^{kl} u_{ki} u_{lj}$ , we obtain

(2.6) 
$$a^{ij}w_{ij} - 2\frac{a^{ij}w_iw_j}{w} + C\frac{u_k}{w}w_k = -\frac{1}{w}a^{ij}a^{kl}u_{ki}u_{lj}.$$

We now observe that since  $|A|^2 = \frac{1}{w^2} a^{ij} a^{kl} u_{ki} u_{lj}$  we can rewrite (2.6) as

(2.7) 
$$a^{ij}(\frac{1}{w})_{ij} + C\frac{u_k}{w}(\frac{1}{w})_k = |A|^2 \frac{1}{w}$$

The Omori-Yau maximum principle (see for example [13], [11]) implies that  $\frac{1}{w}$  achieves its maximum at infinity and moreover, there exists a sequence  $\{P_N\}$  such that  $\frac{1}{w}(P_N) \to \sup \frac{1}{w}, |\nabla(\frac{1}{w})|(P_N) < 1/N$ , and  $(\frac{1}{w})_{ij}(P_N) \ge -1/N\delta_{ij}$ . Therefore,

(2.8) 
$$\frac{1}{n}H^2\frac{1}{w} \le |A|^2\frac{1}{w} \le \frac{C_1}{N}\frac{1}{w}$$
 at  $P_N$ .

Thus  $H(P_N) \to 0$  at infinity. Since  $H = C - \frac{1}{w}$  we obtain  $\inf H = 0$ .

# 3. Mean convexity implies convexity and constant rank

In this section, we will use ideas due to Hamilton [7] to prove that under the strictly spacelike assumption,  $\Sigma$  is in fact convex. We use the following approximation of Heidusch[8].

**Definition 3.1.** The  $\delta$ -approximation to the function  $\min(x_1, x_2)$  is given by

$$\mu_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{2}\right)^2 + \delta^2}$$

4

for any  $\delta > 0$ . The  $\delta$ -approximation to the function  $\min(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$  is defined recursively by

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_2(x_i, \, \mu_{n-1}(x_1, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n))$$

The following lemma is elementary (see [1]).

**Lemma 3.2.** For every  $\delta > 0$  and  $n \ge 2$  we have:

- 1.  $\mu_n$  is smooth, symmetric, monotonically increasing and concave.
- 2.  $\frac{\partial \mu_n}{\partial x_i} \leq 1.$
- 3.  $\min(x_1, \cdots, x_n) n\delta \le \mu_n \le \min(x_1, \cdots, x_n).$
- 4. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$\mu_n \le \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \mu_n}{\partial x_i} x_i \le \mu_n + n\delta,$$
  
and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \mu_n}{\partial x_i} x_i^2 \ge \mu_n^2 - n\delta^2 - \frac{n\delta}{4} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} |x_i + x_j|.$ 

**Lemma 3.3.** Assume  $\Sigma = \{(x, u(x)) | x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  is mean convex, and u satisfies equation (1.3). Then the principal curvatures of A are nonnegative, i.e.  $\Sigma$  is convex.

*Proof.* Let p be a fixed point in  $\Sigma$  (we may assume p = (0, 0)) and let r be the distance function from p restricted to the geodesic ball  $B^{\Sigma}(p, a)$  of radius a centered at p (in the induced metric on  $\Sigma$ ). Let  $f(x) = |A|^2 = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2$ . By a well-known calculation (see equation (2.24) of [3])

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \bigtriangleup \left( \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2 = \right) = \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 + \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} H_{ij} + \left( \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2 \right)^2 - \left( \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ij} h_{jm} h_{mi} \right) H$$

$$(3.2) \quad H_{ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_j H = \nabla_i \left( \nabla_j \left\langle \nu, e_{n+1} \right\rangle \right)$$

$$= \nabla_i \left\langle h_{jk} \tau_k, e_{n+1} \right\rangle = -h_{ijk} u_k - h_{ik} h_{jk} \nu^{n+1}.$$

In the following, we will denote  $\nu^{n+1}$  by V.

$$\frac{1}{2} \triangle f = \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - h_{ij} h_{ijk} u_k - h_{ij} h_{ik} h_{jk} V + f^2 - \left(\sum_{i,j,m} h_{ij} h_{jm} h_{mi}\right) (C - V)$$

$$(3.3) \qquad \geq -\frac{f(V^2 - 1)}{4} + f^2 - Cf^{3/2}$$

$$\geq -\frac{C^2}{4} f + f^2 - Cf^{3/2} \geq \frac{1}{2} f^2 - C_1 f$$

Let  $\eta(x) = a^2 - r^2$  and set  $g = \eta^2 f$ . Then in  $B^{\Sigma}(p, a)$ , (3.4)  $\frac{1}{2}(\eta^{-2}g)^2 \leq C_1\eta^{-2}g + \Delta(\eta^{-2}g) = C_1\eta^{-2}g + \eta^{-2}\Delta g - 2\eta^{-3} < \nabla\eta, \nabla g > +g\Delta(\eta^{-2})$ . At the point  $\overline{x}$  where g assumes its maximum,  $\nabla g = 0$  and  $\Delta g \leq 0$ . Since  $R_{ii} \geq -\frac{H^2}{4} \geq -\frac{C^2}{4}$ , we have by Lemma 1 of [13] that  $\Delta r^2 \leq C_3(1+r^2)$ . Hence at  $\overline{x}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} \leq C_2 \leq 1 + \frac{4}{4}\Delta(r^2) = C_2 \leq 2 + \frac{4}{4}\Delta(r^2)$ 

(3.5) 
$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}g^2}{\leq} C_1\eta^2 g + g\eta^4 \Delta(\eta^{-2}) = C_1\eta^2 g - 2g\eta\Delta\eta + 6g|\nabla\eta|^2$$
$$\leq C_2g(a^4 + 2a^2|\Delta r^2| + 24r^2)$$

It follows that  $g(\overline{x}) \leq C_5 a^4$ . Therefore, let  $a \to \infty$  we get,  $|A|^2 \leq C_6$ .

Next we will show that the smallest principal curvature  $\lambda_{\min}$  of  $\Sigma$  is nonnegative. Let  $\mu_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = F(\gamma^{ik} h_{kl} \gamma^{lj})$ , assume  $\mu_n$  achieves its minimum at an interior point  $x_0$ . Then at this point we have

$$\Delta \mu_{n} = F^{ij}h_{ijkk} + F^{rl,st}h_{rlk}h_{stk}$$

$$= F^{ij}(H_{ij} - Hh_{ij}^{2} + h_{ij}h_{kk}^{2}) + F^{rl,st}h_{rlk}h_{stk}$$

$$\leq F^{ij}\nabla_{k}h_{i}^{j} \langle \tau_{k}, e_{n+1} \rangle - F^{ij}h_{i}^{k}h_{jk}\nu^{n+1} - HF^{ij}h_{ij}^{2} + (\mu_{n} + n\delta)|A|^{2}$$

$$\leq \langle \nabla_{k}\mu_{n}, e_{n+1} \rangle - \mu_{n}^{2} + n\delta^{2} + \frac{n\delta}{4}\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\lambda_{i} + \lambda_{j}|$$

$$+ H\left(-\mu_{n}^{2} + n\delta^{2} + \frac{n\delta}{4}\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\lambda_{i} + \lambda_{j}|\right) + (\mu_{n} + n\delta)|A|^{2}.$$
Thus

Thus,

(3.7) 
$$0 \le -\mu_n^2 + (\mu_n + n\delta)|A|^2 + n\delta^2 + \frac{n\delta}{4} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} |\lambda_i + \lambda_j|.$$

Letting  $\delta \to 0$  we find,

(3.8) 
$$\lambda_{\min}^2 \le \lambda_{\min} |A|^2,$$

which implies that  $\lambda_{\min} \geq 0$ .

Since we have already proven that  $|A|^2$  is bounded, we can again apply the Omori-Yau maximum principle (this time on  $\Sigma$ ) and show that, if  $\mu_n$  achieves its minimum at infinity then  $\mu_n \ge 0$ . This completes the proof that mean convexity implies convexity.

Now that we have proved convexity, we prove the splitting Theorem 1.2 of the introduction.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Suppose that for some unit vector  $\vec{v}$  and some  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $D^2_{\vec{v}}u(x_0) = 0$ . Applying an isometry (boost transformation) of  $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ , may assume  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $\vec{v} = e_n$ , Du(0) = 0,  $u_{nn}(0) = 0$  and  $u_{ij}$  is nonnegative. Rewrite (1.3) as

(3.9) 
$$\Delta u = -\frac{u_i u_j}{1 - |Du|^2} u_{ij} + C\sqrt{1 - |Du|^2} - 1$$

Differentiating (3.9) twice in the  $x_n$  direction, we can apply the argument of Korevaar (a special case of [10]) exactly as in Theorem 3.1 of Choi-Treibergs [4] to conclude  $u_{nn} \equiv 0$  and  $\Sigma$  is ruled by lines parallel to the  $x_n$  axis. Therefore  $\Sigma = \Sigma^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^1$  and also  $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^1$ . Therefore  $u = ax_n + h(x'), x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  where

(3.10) 
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} (\delta_{ij} + \frac{h_i h_j}{1 - |a|^2 - |Dh|^2}) h_{ij} = C\sqrt{1 - |a|^2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{|Dh|^2}{1 - |a|^2}} - 1$$

Now let  $\tilde{h} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} h(\lambda x)$ ,  $\tilde{C} = \lambda C > 1$  with  $\lambda = \sqrt{1 - |a|^2}$ . Then  $\tilde{h}$  satisfies

(3.11) 
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} (\delta_{ij} + \frac{\tilde{h}_i \tilde{h}_j}{1 - |D\tilde{h}|^2}) \tilde{h}_{ij} = \tilde{C} \sqrt{1 - |D\tilde{h}|^2} - 1$$

Proceeding inductively completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

### 4. The asymptotic cone at infinity.

In this section, we will study the asymptotic behavior of u at infinity.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let u be a convex space like solution of (1.3). Assume u(0) = 0and denote  $u^h(x) = \frac{u(hx)}{h}$ . Define  $V_u(x) = \lim_{h \to \infty} u^h(x)$  then  $V_u(x)$  exists for all x and is a positively homogeneous degree one convex function. Moreover for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $|y - x| = \delta$  and  $|V_u(x) - V_u(y)| = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{C^2}} \delta$ . In particular  $|DV_u(x)| = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{C^2}}$  at every point of differentiability of  $V_u(x)$ .

Proof. Note that since u is convex,  $0 = u(0) \ge u(hx) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} hx_i u_{x_i}(hx)$  we get  $\frac{d}{dh}u^h(x) \ge 0$ . Then  $V_u(x)$ , the projective boundary values (blow-down) of u at infinity in the terminology of Treibergs [12] and Choi-Treibergs [4], is well-defined, strictly spacelike, convex on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and satisfies

$$V_u(\lambda x) = \lambda V_u(x), \quad \lambda > 0,$$
$$|V_u(x) - V_u(y)| \le \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{C^2}} |x - y|$$

Claim: for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $|y - x| = \delta$  and  $|V_u(x) - V_u(y)| = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{C^2}} \delta$ . Suppose the claim is false. Then there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$V_u(y) \le V_u(x) + (1 - 2\varepsilon)\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{C^2}} \delta \quad \forall y \in \partial B(x, \delta) .$$

Since  $u^h(x) \to V_u(x)$  uniformly on compact subsets, we may choose  $h_0$  large so that for all  $h > h_0$ ,

$$u^{h}(y) \leq V_{u}(x) + (1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{C^{2}}} \,\delta \,\,\forall y \in \partial B(x,\delta)$$

Now  $u^h(y)$  satisfies

(4.1) 
$$H^h := \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du^h}{\sqrt{1 - |Du^h|^2}}\right) = h(C - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |Du^h|^2}}) \ge 0 \text{ in } B(x, \delta)$$

We now make use of the radial solutions of the maximal surface equation H = 0 introduced by Bartnik and Simon [2]. Consider the barrier

$$w(y) = V_u(x) + (1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{C^2}} \,\delta + \int_0^{|y-x|} \frac{h}{\sqrt{t^{2n-2} + h^2}} \,dt - \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{C^2}} \int_0^\delta \frac{h}{\sqrt{t^{2n-2} + h^2}} \,dt$$

Note that on  $\partial B(x, \delta)$ ,

$$w(y) - u^{h}(y) \ge (1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{C^{2}}}) \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{h}{\sqrt{t^{2n-2} + h^{2}}} dt > 0$$

Hence by the maximum principle,  $u^{h}(y) < w(y)$  in  $B(x, \delta)$ . In particular at y = x,

$$u^{h}(x) < V_{u}(x) + (1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{C^{2}}} \,\delta - \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{C^{2}}} \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{h}{\sqrt{t^{2n-2}+h^{2}}} \,dt \;.$$

Now let  $h \to \infty$  to conclude  $V_u(x) \leq V_u(x) - \varepsilon \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{C^2}} \delta$ , a contradiction, so the claim is proven and the proposition is complete.

# 5. Construction of Counterexamples.

We will follow Treiberg's idea (see [12]) to construct counterexamples, more precisely we will construct solution to equation (1.2) such that  $u(x) \to \tilde{C}|x| - \frac{n-1}{C^2} \log |x| + f\left(\frac{\tilde{C}x}{|x|}\right)$ , as  $|x| \to \infty$ , where  $\tilde{C} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{C^2}}$  and  $f \in C^2(S_{\tilde{C}}^{n-1})$ . We extend the function f to  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  by defining  $f(\tilde{C}x) = f\left(\frac{\tilde{C}x}{|x|}\right)$ . Since  $f \in C^2$ , we have for all  $x, y \in S^{n-1}$ :

(5.1) 
$$|f(\tilde{C}x) - f(\tilde{C}y) - Df(\tilde{C}y)(\tilde{C}x - \tilde{C}y)| \le M|\tilde{C}x - \tilde{C}y|^2 = -2\tilde{C}My \cdot (\tilde{C}x - \tilde{C}y).$$
  
Let  $p_1(\tilde{C}y) = Df(\tilde{C}y) + 2M\tilde{C}y$  and  $p_2(\tilde{C}y) = Df(\tilde{C}y) - 2M\tilde{C}y$ , so that  
(5.2)  $p_1(\tilde{C}y) \cdot (\tilde{C}x - \tilde{C}y) \le f(\tilde{C}x) - f(\tilde{C}y) \le p_2(\tilde{C}y) \cdot (\tilde{C}x - \tilde{C}y).$ 

Now let  $\psi(x)$  denote the rotationally symmetric solution to (1.2) (see [9]). We know that  $\psi(x) \to \tilde{C}|x| - \frac{n-1}{C^2} \log |x| + o(1)$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ . Let  $z_1(x; \tilde{C}y) = f(\tilde{C}y) - p_1(\tilde{C}y) \cdot \tilde{C}y + \psi(x + p_1(\tilde{C}y))$  and  $z_2(x; \tilde{C}y) = f(\tilde{C}y) - p_2(\tilde{C}y) \cdot \tilde{C}y + \psi(x + p_2(\tilde{C}y))$ . Then by equation (5.2) we have

(5.3) 
$$f(\tilde{C}x) \ge z_1(rx;\tilde{C}y) - \tilde{C}r + \frac{n-1}{C^2}\log r \text{ as } r \to \infty, x, y \in S^{n-1},$$

and

(5.4) 
$$f(\tilde{C}x) \le z_2(rx;\tilde{C}y) - \tilde{C}r + \frac{n-1}{C^2}\log r \text{ as } r \to \infty, x, y \in S^{n-1}.$$

Therefore,

(5.5) 
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} z_1(rx; \tilde{C}y) - \tilde{C}r + \frac{n-1}{C^2} \log r \le f(\tilde{C}x)$$
$$\le \lim_{r \to \infty} z_2(rx; \tilde{C}y) - \tilde{C}r + \frac{n-1}{C^2} \log r$$

for  $x \in S^{n-1}$ .

Let  $q_1(x) = \sup_{y \in S^{n-1}} z_1(x; \tilde{C}y)$  and  $q_2(x) = \inf_{y \in S^{n-1}} z_2(x; \tilde{C}y)$ . Then,  $q_1(x) \le q_2(x)$  and  $q_i(x)$  (i=1,2) tends to  $f(\tilde{C}x) + \tilde{C}r - \frac{n-1}{C^2}\log r$  as  $r \to \infty$ .

Lemma 5.1. There exists a smooth solution u to the Dirichlet problem

(5.6) 
$$\begin{cases} a^{ij}u_{ij} - Cw + 1 = 0 \text{ in } G\\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial G \end{cases}$$

where G is a convex  $C^{2,\alpha}$  domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d = \operatorname{diam}(G)$  be the diameter of G. For any  $y \in \partial G$ , we can choose coordinates such that  $y = (y_1, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $G \subset \{x \mid |x_1| \leq y_1\}$ , where  $0 < y_1 \leq d/2$ . Let  $\underline{u}(x) = \tilde{C}x_1 - \tilde{C}y_1$ ,  $\overline{u} \equiv 0$ . Then  $\underline{u} \leq \overline{u}$  in G and  $\underline{u}$  satisfies

$$(5.7) a^{ij}\underline{u}_{ij} - Cw_{\underline{u}} + 1 = 0$$

By the maximum principle, any solution u to the Dirichlet problem (5.6) satisfies

$$(5.8) \underline{u} \le u \le \overline{u} \text{ on } G.$$

so  $|Du(x)| \leq \tilde{C}$  on  $\partial \bar{G}$ . Combined with equation (2.7) we conclude that

$$(5.9) |Du(x)| \le \tilde{C} \text{ on } \bar{G}.$$

Now it is standard (see [6]) to prove that a smooth solution  $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{G})$  exists.  $\Box$ 

Finally, we will find a sanwiched solution u such that

$$q_1 \le u < q_2.$$

Let  $\phi$  be a strictly spacelike hypersurface  $q_1 \leq \phi < q_2$  so that  $\phi(0) = q_1(0)$  and  $G_m = \phi^{-1}((-\infty, m))$  is a convex domain with  $C^{2,\alpha}$  boundary. By lemma 5.1 we know there is an analytic solution  $u_m$  to the Dirichlet problem

(5.10) 
$$a^{ij}u_{ij} - Cw + 1 = 0 \text{ on } G_m$$
$$u = m \text{ on } \partial G_m.$$

Therefore, we find a sequence of finite solutions  $u_m$  with  $q_1 \leq u_m < q_2$  defined on convex domains  $G_m$  which exhaust  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Next, let K be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, by equation (5.9) there are constants  $r_1 < r_2$  so that for sufficiently large m we have

$$dist_m(0, x) < r_1$$
, for all  $x \in K$ ,  
 $dist_m(0, x) < r_2$ , for all  $x \in \partial G_m$ ,

where  $dist_m(0, x)$  is the intrinsic distance between the points  $(0, u_m(0))$  and  $(x, u_m(x))$ on  $\Sigma_m = \{(x, u_m(x) | x \in G_m)\}.$ 

At last, following the proof of Lemma 3.3, we find  $u_m$  has uniform  $C^3$  bounds on compact subsets. Hence, a subsequence can be extracted that converges to a global solution of equation (1.2). Moreover,  $\lim_{m_j\to\infty} u_{m_j} = u$  satisfies  $u(x) \to \tilde{C}|x| - \frac{n-1}{C^2} \log |x| + f(\tilde{C}x)$ . Thus we have proved

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $f \in C^2(S^{n-1}_{\tilde{C}})$ . Then there exists an entire strictly spacelike hypersurface u satisfying equation (1.2) such that

$$u(x) \to \tilde{C}|x| - \frac{n-1}{C^2} \log|x| + f(\tilde{C}x) \text{ as } |x| \to \infty.$$

#### Acknowledgement

The second author would like to thank Cornell University for their hospitality during her visit in the spring 2014 while this project was initiated. In particular, she would like to thank Professor Xiaodong Cao and Professor Laurent Saloff-Coste for their help and support.

#### References

- Mark A. S. Aarons, Mean curvature flow with a forcing term in Minkowski space. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 25 (2005), 205–246.
- [2] R. Bartnik and L. Simon, Spacelike hyper surfaces with prescribed boundary values and mean curvature, Comm. Math. Physics 87 (1982), 131–152.
- [3] S. Y. Cheng, S. T. Yau, Maximal space-like hypersulface in the Lorentz-Minkowski spaces. Ann. of. math. 104 (1976), 407–419.
- [4] H. I. Choi and A. E. Treibergs, Gauss maps of space like constant mean curvature hypersurfaces of Minkowski space, J. Diff. Geom. 32 (1990), 775-817.
- [5] K. Ecker and G. Huisken, Parabolic methods for the construction of spacelike slices of prescribed mean curvature in cosmological spacetimes, Commun. Math. Phys. 135 (1991), 595613.
- [6] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer Verlag New York 1998.
- [7] Hamilton, R., Four manifolds with positive curvature operator. J. Diff. Geom. 24 (1986), 153– 179.
- [8] M. Heidusch, Zur Regularita?t des Inversen Mittleren Kru?mmungsflusses, Ph.d thesis, Eberhard-Karls-Universita?t Tu?bingen 2001
- [9] H. Ju, J. Lu and H. Jian, Translating solutions to the mean curvature flow with a forcing term in Minkowski space, Comm. Pure Appl. Anal. 9 (2010), 963–973.
- [10] N. Korevaar and J. Lewis, Convex solutions to certain elliptic equations have constant rank Hessians, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 97 (1987) 19-32.
- [11] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli and G. Setti, Maximum principles on Riemannian manifolds and applications, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society 174 Number 822, (2005).
- [12] A. E. Treibergs, Entire spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in Minkowski space. Inventiones Math. 66 (1982), 39-56.
- [13] S. T. Yau, Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28 (1975), 201–228.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MD 21218 *E-mail address*: js@math.jhu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854 E-mail address: lx70@math.rutgers.edu