NONEXISTENCE OF LYAPUNOV EXPONESNTERS FOR MATRI
XUETING TIAN

Abstract. It follows from Oseledec Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem that the
Lyapunov-irregular set of points for which the Oseledec average of a given
continuous cocycle diverge has zero measure with respect to any invariant
probability measure. In strong contrast, for any dynamical system \( f : X \to X \)
with exponential specification property and a Hölder continuous matrix cocycle
\( A : X \to G(m, \mathbb{R}) \), we show here that if there exist ergodic measures with
different Lyapunov spectrum, then the Lyapunov-irregular set of \( A \) is residual
(i.e., containing a dense \( G_\delta \) set).

1. Introduction
1.1. Lyapunov Exponents. Let \( f \) be an invertible map of a compact metric space
\( X \) and let \( A : X \to GL(m, \mathbb{R}) \) be a continuous matrix function. One main object
of interest is the asymptotic behavior of the products of \( A \) along the orbits of the
transformation \( f \), called cocycle induced from \( A \): for \( n > 0 \)
\[ A(x, n) := A(f^{n-1}(x)) \cdots A(f(x)) A(x), \]
and
\[ A(x, -n) := A(f^{-n}(x))^{-1} \cdots A(f^{-2}(x))^{-1} A(f^{-1}(x))^{-1} = A(f^{-n} x, n)^{-1}. \]

Definition 1.1. For any \( x \in X \) and any \( 0 \neq v \in \mathbb{R}^m \), define the Lyapunov exponent
of vector \( v \) at \( x \),
\[ \lambda(A, x, v) := \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \| A(x, n) v \|, \]
if the limit exists. We say \( x \) to be (forward) Lyapunov-regular for \( A \), if \( \lambda(A, x, v) \)
exists for all vector \( v \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\} \). Otherwise, \( x \) is called to be Lyapunov-irregular
for \( A \). Let \( LI(A, f) \) denote the space of all Lyapunov-irregular points for \( A \).

By Oseledec’s Multiplicative Ergodic theorem, for any invariant \( \mu \) and \( \mu \) a.e. \( x \),
the Lyapunov exponent \( \lambda(A, x, v) \) exists at \( x \) for all vectors \( v \in \mathbb{R}^m \). In other words,
the Lyapunov-irregular set is always of zero measure for any invariant probability
measure. This does not mean that the set of Lyapunov-irregular points, where the
Lyapunov exponents do not exist, is empty, even if it is completely negligible from
the point of view of measure theory. One such interesting result is from [8] that for
any uniquely ergodic system, there exists some matrix cocycle whose Lyapunov-
irregular set can be ‘large’ as a set of second Baire category (also see [11, 18, 13]
for similar discussion).

The notion of Lyapunov exponent played important roles in differential dyna-
nical systems, especially in Pesin theory (i.e., Dynamics with non-zero Lyapunov
exponents) of Smooth Ergodic Theory. It is a quantity that characterizes the rate of
separation of infinitesimally close trajectories. The rate of separation can be different for different orientations of initial separation vector. Thus, there is a spectrum of Lyapunov exponents-equal in number to the dimensionality of the phase space.

**Oseledec Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem [5, Theorem 3.4.4]:** Let $f$ be an invertible ergodic measure-preserving transformation of a Lebesgue probability measure space $(X, \mu)$. Let $A$ be a measurable cocycle whose generator satisfies $\log \|A(x)\| \in L^1(X, \mu)$. Then there exist numbers

$$\chi_1 < \chi_2 < \cdots < \chi_l,$$

an $f$–invariant set $R^\mu$ with $\mu(R^\mu) = 1$, and an $A$–invariant Lyapunov decomposition of $R^\mu$ for $x \in R^\mu$,

$$R^\mu = E_{\chi_1}(x) \oplus E_{\chi_2}(x) \oplus \cdots E_{\chi_l}(x)$$

with $\dim E_{\chi_i}(x) = m_i$, such that for any $i = 1, \cdots, l$ and any $0 \neq v \in E_{\chi_i}(x)$ one has

$$\lim_{n \to \pm \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|A(x, n)v\| = \chi_i$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \pm \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \det A(x, n) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i \chi_i.$$

**Definition 1.2.** The numbers $\chi_1, \chi_2, \cdots, \chi_l$ are called the **Lyapunov exponents** of measure $\mu$ for cocycle $A$ and the dimension $m_i$ of the space $E_{\chi_i}(x)$ is called the **multiplicity** of the exponent $\chi_i$. The collection of pairs

$$Sp(\mu, A) = \{(\chi_i, m_i) : 1 \leq i \leq l \}$$

is the **Lyapunov spectrum** of measure $\mu$.

Remark that for any ergodic measure $\mu$, all the points in the set $R^\mu$ are Lyapunov-regular.

1.2. **Results.** Recall that $Y$ is called residual in $X$, if $Y$ contains a dense $G_\delta$ subset of $X$. The notion of residual set is usually used to describe a set being “large” in the topological sense.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $f : X \to X$ be a homeomorphism of a compact metric space $X$ with exponential specification. Let $A : X \to \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$ be a Hölder continuous matrix function. Then either all ergodic measures have the same Lyapunov spectrum or the Lyapunov-irregular set $LI(A, f)$ is residual in $X$.

**Remark 1.4.** From [8, Theorem 4] we know for any uniquely ergodic system, there exists some continuous matrix cocycle whose Lyapunov-irregular set is a dense set of second Baire category. So, it is admissible to satisfy that all ergodic measures have the same Lyapunov spectrum and simultaneously Lyapunov-irregular points form a set of second Baire category. On the other hand, if $m = 1$, the above phenomena of [8] naturally does not happen for any dynamical system. Let us explain more precisely. If $m = 1$, the Lyapunov exponent can be written as Birkhoff ergodic average

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi(f^j(x))$$

where $\phi(x) = \log \|A(x)\|$ is a continuous function. If all ergodic measures have the same Lyapunov spectrum, then by Ergodic Decomposition theorem so do all invariant measures and thus by weak” topology, the limit $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi(f^j(x))$ should exist at every point $x \in X$ and equal to the given spectrum. Moreover, the case
of \( m = 1 \) is in fact to study Birkhoff ergodic average and it has been studied for systems with specification or its variants by many authors, see [4, 13, 15, 16] and reference therein.

As a particular case of Theorem 1.3 we have a consequence for the derivative cocycle of hyperbolic systems. Let \( LI(f) := LI(Df, f) \). It is called Lyapunov-irregular set of system \( f \).

**Theorem 1.5.** Let \( f \) be a \( C^{1+\alpha} \) diffeomorphism of a compact Riemannian manifold \( M \) and \( X \subseteq M \) be a topologically mixing locally maximal invariant subset. Then either all ergodic measures have same Lyapunov spectrum or the Lyapunov-irregular set \( LI(f) \) is residual in \( X \).

As said in [3] that the study of Lyapunov exponents lacks today a satisfactory general approach for non-conformal maps, since a complete understanding is just known for some cases such as requiring a clear separation of Lyapunov directions or some number-theoretical properties etc, see [3] and its references therein. In this program our Theorem 1.5 gives one such characterization on Lyapunov exponents for general non-conformal hyperbolic dynamics.

## 2. Specification and Lyapunov Metric

### 2.1. Specification and Exponential Specification

Now we introduce (exponential) specification property. Let \( f \) be a continuous map of a compact metric space \( X \).

**Definition 2.1.** \( f \) is called to have specification property, if the following holds: for any \( \delta > 0 \) there exists an increasing sequence of integers \( N = N(\delta) > 0 \) such that for any \( k \geq 1 \), any \( k \) points \( x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k \in X \), any integers \( a_1 \leq b_1 < a_2 \leq b_2 < \cdots < a_k \leq b_k \) with \( a_{i+1} - b_i \geq N \), there exists a point \( y \in X \) such that \( d(f^i(y), f^i(x_j)) < \delta \), \( a_j \leq i \leq b_j \), \( 1 \leq j \leq k \).

Remark that the specification property introduced by Bowen [7] required that the shadowing point \( y \) is periodic. That is, for any \( p \geq b_k - a_1 + N \), the chosen point \( y \) in above definition further satisfies \( f^p(y) = y \). We call this to be Bowen’s Specification property.

**Definition 2.2.** \( f \) is called to have exponential specification property with exponent \( \lambda > 0 \) (only dependent on the system \( f \) itself), if specification property holds and the inequality in specification can be shadowed exponentially, i.e.,

\[
d(f^i(x_j), f^i(y)) < \delta e^{-\lambda \min\{i-a_j, b_j-i\}}, \quad a_j \leq i \leq b_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k.
\]

If further the tracing point \( y \) is periodic with \( f^p(y) = y \), then we say \( f \) has Bowen’s exponential specification property.

For convenience, we say the orbit segments \( x, fx, \cdots, f^n x \) and \( y, fy, \cdots, f^n y \) are exponentially \( \delta \) close with exponent \( \lambda \), meaning that

\[
d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) < \delta e^{-\lambda \min\{i,n-i\}}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.
\]

**Remark 2.3.** It is not difficult to see that

(Bowen’s) Specification + Local product structure

\[\Rightarrow\]

(Bowen’s) Exponential specification.

Recall that every hyperbolic set has local product structure and every topologically mixing locally maximal hyperbolic set has Bowen’s specification property [7]. So every topologically mixing locally maximal hyperbolic set has exponential specification property. As a particular case, every transitive Anosov diffeomorphism has...
exponential specification property, since it is known that every transitive Anosov diffeomorphism is topologically mixing. If a homeomorphism \( f \) is topologically conjugated to a homeomorphism \( g \) satisfying (Bowen’s) exponential specification property with exponent for some \( \beta > 0 \), and the inverse conjugation is \( \gamma \)-Hölder continuous, then it is not difficult to see that \( f \) has (Bowen’s) exponential specification property with exponent \( \beta \gamma > 0 \).

**Example 2.4. Some non-hyperbolic systems with exponential specification:**

(1) From [10, 3.5.1-3.5.3], we know that non-hyperbolic diffeomorphism \( f \) with \( C^{1+\text{Lip}} \) smoothness, conjugated to a transitive Anosov diffeomorphism, exists even the conjugation and its inverse is Hölder continuous. This example satisfies Bowen’s exponential specification property.

(2) For time-1 map of a geodesic flow of compact connected negative curvature manifolds, it is a partially (non-hyperbolic) hyperbolic dynamical system. Its exponential specification property can be deduced from the local product structure and specification property of the flow which is naturally hyperbolic, see [6]. Here we remark that the shadowing point may be not periodic with respect to the time-1 map because the shadowing of flow has a small time reparameterization.

### 2.2. Lyapunov Exponents and Lyapunov Metric.

Suppose \( f : X \to X \) to be an invertible map on a compact metric space \( X \) and \( A : X \to GL(m, \mathbb{R}) \) to be a continuous matrix function. We denote the standard scalar product in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) by \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \). For a fixed \( \epsilon > 0 \) and a regular point \( x \) we introduce the \( \epsilon - \)Lyapunov scalar product (or metric) \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{x,\epsilon} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) as follows. For \( u \in E_{\chi_i}(x), v \in E_{\chi_j}(x), i \neq j \) we define \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{x,\epsilon} = 0 \). For \( i = 1, \cdots, l \) and \( u, v \in u \in E_{\chi_i}(x) \), we define

\[
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{x,\epsilon} = m \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle A(x, n)u, A(x, n)v \rangle > \exp(-2\chi_i n - \epsilon |n|).
\]

Note that the series converges exponentially for any regular \( x \). The constant \( m \) in front of the conventional formula is introduced for more convenient comparison with the standard scalar product. Usually, \( \epsilon \) will be fixed and we will denote \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{x,\epsilon} \) simply by \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x \) and call it the **Lyapunov scalar product**. The norm generated by this scalar product is called the **Lyapunov norm** and is denoted by \( \| \cdot \|_{x,\epsilon} \) or \( \| \cdot \|_{x} \).

We summarize below some important properties of the Lyapunov scalar product and norm; for more details see [5] §3.5.1-3.5.3. A direct calculation shows [5, Theorem 3.5.5] that for any regular \( x \) and any \( u \in E_{\chi_i}(x) \)

\[
(1) \quad \exp(n\chi_i - \epsilon |n|)\|u\|_{x,\epsilon} \leq \|A(x, n)u\|_{f^n x,\epsilon} \leq \exp(n\chi_i + \epsilon |n|)\|u\|_{x,\epsilon} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z},
\]

\[
(2) \quad \exp(n\chi - \epsilon |n|) \leq \|A(x, n)u\|_{f^n x \to x} \leq \exp(n\chi + \epsilon |n|) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z},
\]

where \( \chi = \chi_l \) is the maximal Lyapunov exponent and \( \| \cdot \|_{f^n x \to x} \) is the operator norm with respect to the Lyapunov norms. It is defined for any matrix \( A \) and any regular points \( x, y \) as follows:

\[
\|A\|_{y \to x} = \sup\{\|Au\|_{y,\epsilon} : \|u\|_{x,\epsilon}^{-1} : 0 \neq u \in \mathbb{R}^m\}.
\]

We emphasize that, for any given \( \epsilon > 0 \), Lyapunov scalar product and Lyapunov norm are defined only for regular points with respect to the given measure. They depend only measurably on the point even if the cocycle is Hölder. Therefore, comparison with the standard norm becomes important. The uniform lower bound follow easily from the definition:

\[
\|u\|_{x,\epsilon} \geq \|u\|.
\]
The upper bound is not uniform, but it changes slowly along the regular orbits ([5, Prop. 3.5.8]): there exists a measurable function \( K_\varepsilon(x) \) defined on the set of regular points \( \mathcal{R}^\mu \) such that
\[
\|u\| \leq \|u\|_{x,\varepsilon} \leq K_\varepsilon(x)\|u\| \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{R}^\mu, \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^m
\]
(3)
\[
K_\varepsilon(x)e^{-c\varepsilon} \leq K_\varepsilon(f^n x) \leq K_\varepsilon(x)e^{c\varepsilon} \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{R}^\mu, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]
(4)
These estimates are obtained in [5] using the fact that \( \|u\|_{x,\varepsilon} \) is tempered, but they can also be checked directly using the definition of \( \|u\|_{x,\varepsilon} \) on each Lyapunov space and noting that angles between the spaces change slowly.

For any matrix \( A \) and any regular points \( x, y \), inequalities (3) and (4) yield
\[
K_\varepsilon(x)^{-1}\|A\| \leq \|A\|_{y-x} \leq K_\varepsilon(y)\|A\|.
\]
(5)
When \( \varepsilon \) is fixed we will usually omit it and write \( K(x) = K_\varepsilon(x) \). For any \( l > 1 \)
we also define the following sets of regular points
\[
\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon,l}^\mu = \{ x \in \mathcal{R}^\mu : K_\varepsilon(x) \leq l \}.\]
(6)
Note that \( \mu(\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon,l}^\mu) \to 1 \) as \( l \to \infty \). Without loss of generality, we can assume that the set \( \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon,l}^\mu \) is compact and that Lyapunov splitting and Lyapunov scalar product are continuous on \( \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon,l}^\mu \). Indeed, by Luzin’s theorem we can always find a subset of \( \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon,l}^\mu \) satisfying these properties with arbitrarily small loss of measure (for standard Pesin sets these properties are automatically satisfied).

3. Norm Estimate of Cocycles & Generic Property of Lyapunov-irregularity

3.1. Estimate of the norm of Hölder cocycles. Before proving generic property of Lyapunov-irregularity, we need to recall some useful lemmas as follows. Firstly let us recall a general estimate of the norm of \( A \) along any orbit segment close to a regular one [12].

**Lemma 3.1.** [12, Lemma 3.1] Let \( A \) be an \( \alpha \)-Hölder cocycle (\( \alpha > 0 \)) over a continuous map \( f \) of a compact metric space \( X \) and let \( \mu \) be an ergodic measure for \( f \) with the largest Lyapunov exponent \( \chi \). Then for any positive \( \lambda > \chi / \alpha \) there exists \( c > 0 \) such that for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), any regular point \( x \) with both \( x \) and \( f^n x \) in \( \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon,l}^\mu \), and any point \( y \) in \( X \) such that the orbit segments \( x, f x, \ldots, f^n x \) and \( y, f y, \ldots, f^n y \) are exponentially \( \delta \) close with exponent \( \lambda \) for some \( \delta > 0 \) we have
\[
\|A(y, n)\|_{f^n x-x} \leq e^{c2\delta^\alpha} e^{\alpha(\lambda+c)} \leq e^{2\alpha n+c2\delta^\alpha} \|A(x, n)\|_{f^n x-x}
\]
(7)
and
\[
\|A(y, n)\| \leq l^2 e^{c2\delta^\alpha} e^{\alpha(\lambda+c)} \leq l^2 e^{2\alpha n+c2\delta^\alpha} \|A(x, n)\|.
\]
(8)
The constant \( c \) depends only on the cocycle \( A \) and on the number \( (\alpha \lambda - c) \).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( A \) be an \( \alpha \)-Hölder cocycle (\( \alpha > 0 \)) over a continuous map \( f \) of a compact metric space \( X \) and let \( \mu \) be an ergodic measure for \( f \) with the largest Lyapunov exponent \( \chi \). Then for any positive \( \lambda > \chi / \alpha \) there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), any regular point \( x \) with both \( x \) and \( f^n x \) in \( \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon,l}^\mu \), and any point \( y \) in \( X \) such that the orbit segments \( x, f x, \ldots, f^n x \) and \( y, f y, \ldots, f^n y \) are exponentially \( \delta \) close with exponent \( \lambda \) for some \( \delta > 0 \) we have
\[
\|A(y, n)\| \leq l^2 e^{c2\delta^\alpha} e^{\alpha(\lambda+c)} \leq l^2 e^{2\alpha n+c2\delta^\alpha} \|A(x, n)\|.
\]
Proof. For Lemma 3.1 let $\delta > 0$ small enough such that
\[ c\delta^\alpha < 1. \]
Then the estimate \( \Box \) is obvious from Lemma 3.4.

Another lemma is to estimate the growth of vectors in a certain cone $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ invariant under $A(x, n)$ [12]. Let $x$ be a point in $\mathbb{R}^m$, and $y \in X$ be a point such that the orbit segments $x, f x, \ldots, f^n x$ and $y, f y, \ldots, f^n y$ are exponentially $\delta$ close with exponent $\lambda$. We denote $x_i = f^i x$ and $y_i = f^i y$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. For each $i$ we have orthogonal splitting $\mathbb{R}^m = E_i \oplus F_i$, where $E_i$ is the Lyapunov space at $x_i$ corresponding to the largest Lyapunov exponent $\chi$ and $F_i$ is the direct sum of all other Lyapunov spaces at $x_i$ corresponding to the Lyapunov exponents less than $\chi$. For any vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ we denote by $u = u^- + u^+$ the corresponding splitting with $u^- \in E_i$ and $u^+ \in F_i$; the choice of $i$ will be clear from the context. To simplify notation, we write $\| \cdot \|$ for the Lyapunov norm at $x_i$. For each $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ we consider cones
\[ K_i = \{ u \in \mathbb{R}^m : \| u^- \|_i \leq \| u^+ \|_i \} \quad \text{and} \quad K_i^\eta = \{ u \in \mathbb{R}^m : \| u^- \|_i \leq (1 - \eta)\| u^+ \|_i \} \]
with $\eta > 0$. Remark that $\eta < \epsilon_0 = \min\{\lambda_0, (\chi - \nu)/2\}$, where $\nu < \chi$ is the second largest Lyapunov exponent of $A$ with respect to $\mu$.

Lemma 3.3 [12] Lemma 3.3] In the notation above, for any regular set $\mathbb{R}^m$, there exist $\eta, \delta > 0$ such that if $x, f^n x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and the orbit segments $x, f x, \ldots, f^n x$ and $y, f y, \ldots, f^n y$ are exponentially $\delta$ close with exponent $\lambda$, then for every $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$ we have $A(y_i)(K_i) \subseteq K_i^\eta$ and $\|A(y_i)u^+\|_{i+1} \leq e^{\lambda\eta} \|u^+\|_i$, for any $u \in K_i$.

3.2. Residual Property of Maximal Lyapunov-irregularity. The Maximal Lyapunov exponent (or simply, MLE) of $A : X \rightarrow GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ at one point $x \in X$ is defined as the limit
\[ \chi_{\text{max}}(A, x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|A(x, n)\|, \]
if it exists. In this case $x$ is called to be (forward) Max-Lyapunov-regular. Otherwise, $x$ is Max-Lyapunov-irregular. By Oseledec’s Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem (or Kingman’s Sub-additional Ergodic Theorem), for any ergodic measure $\mu$ and $\mu$ a.e. point $x$, MLE always exists and is constant, denoted by $\chi_{\text{max}}(A, \mu)$. Let $MLI(A, f)$ denote the set of all Max-Lyapunov-irregular points. Then it is of zero measure for any ergodic measure and by Ergodic Decomposition theorem so does it for all invariant measures. Now let us show a residual result for $MLI(A, f)$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map of a compact metric space $X$ with exponential specification. Let $A : X \rightarrow GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ be a H"older continuous function. Suppose that
\[ \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{erg}}(X)} \chi_{\text{max}}(A, \mu) < \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{erg}}(X)} \chi_{\text{max}}(A, \mu). \]
Then the Max-Lyapunov-irregular set $MLI(A, f)$ is residual in $X$. 

\[ \Box \]
In other words, either all ergodic measures have same maximal Lyapunov exponent or Max-Lyapunov-irregular set \( MLI(A, f) \) is residual in \( X \).

**Proof.** Take two ergodic measures \( \mu \) and \( \omega \) such that

\[
\int \chi_{\text{max}}(A, x) d\mu > \int \chi_{\text{max}}(A, x) d\omega.
\]

If let \( a = \int \chi_{\text{max}}(A, x) d\mu \) and \( b = \int \chi_{\text{max}}(A, x) d\omega \), we can choose \( \tau > 0 \) such that \( a - 2\tau > b + 2\tau \). Let \( C = \max_{x \in X} \{ \| A(x) \|, \| A^{-1}(x) \| \} \).

Define

\[
O_n := \{ w \mid \exists n_1, n_2 > n \text{ s.t. } \frac{1}{n_1} \log \| A(w, n_1) \| > a - \tau \text{ and } \frac{1}{n_2} \log \| A(w, n_2) \| < b + \tau \}.
\]

By continuity of \( A(x, n) \), \( O_n \) is open. It is straightforward to check that

\[
\bigcap_{n \geq 1} O_n \subseteq MLI(A, f).
\]

So we only need to prove that \( O_n \) is dense in \( X \). Fix \( x_0 \in X \) and \( t > 0 \), we will show \( \bar{O}_n \cap B(x_0, t) \neq \emptyset \).

More precisely, fix \( n \geq 1 \) and firstly let us recall or define some notations. Let \( A \) be \( \alpha \)-Hölder continuous and let \( C := \max_{x \in X} \| A^\beta(x) \| \). Let \( \lambda \) be the positive number in the definition of exponential specification. Take \( \epsilon \in (0, \frac{\tau}{2}) \) satisfying \( \lambda > \epsilon / \alpha \). For the measures \( \mu \) and \( \omega \), take \( l \) large enough such that

\[
\mu(R_{\epsilon, l}^\mu) > 0, \quad \omega(R_{\epsilon, l}^\omega) > 0.
\]

Take \( \eta > 0, \delta \in (0, t) \) small enough such that it is applicable to Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3. For \( \delta, \lambda \), there is \( N = N \) satisfies the exponential specification.

By Poincaré Recurrence theorem, there exist two points \( x \in R_{\epsilon, l}^\mu \), \( z \in R_{\epsilon, l}^\omega \) and two increasing sequences \( \{ H_i \}, \{ L_i \} \to \infty \) such that \( f^{H_i}(x) \in R_{\epsilon, l}^\mu \), \( f^{L_i}(z) \in R_{\epsilon, l}^\omega \). Take \( H = H_i \gg \max\{ N, n \} \) such that

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}l} e^{H(a-2\epsilon)} > C^N e^{(H+N)(a-\tau)}
\]

and take \( L = L_j \gg H + N \) large enough such that

\[
\| f^{L_j} e^{L(b+\epsilon)} C^{H+2N} < e^{(b+\tau)(L+H+2N)}.
\]

Now let us consider three orbit segments

\[
\{ x_0 \}, \{ x, f x, \cdots, f H x \}, \{ z, f z, \cdots, f L z \}
\]

(hint: \( a_1 = b_1 = 0, a_2 = N, b_2 = a_2 + H, a_3 = b_2 + N, b_3 = a_3 + L \) for the exponential specification. Then there is \( y_0 \in X \) such that \( d(y_0, x_0) < \delta \), the orbit segments \( x, f x, \cdots, f H x \) and \( y, f y, \cdots, f H y \) are exponentially \( \delta \) close with exponent \( \lambda \) where \( y = f^N y_0 \), and simultaneously the orbit segments \( z, f z, \cdots, f L z \) and \( y', f y', \cdots, f L y' \) are exponentially \( \delta \) close with exponent \( \lambda \) where \( y' = f^{H+2N} y_0 \).

Firstly let us consider the orbit segments \( x, f x, \cdots, f H x \) and \( y, f y, \cdots, f H y \).

By Lemma 3.3 (in this estimate \( \chi = a \), being the largest Lyapunov exponent of \( \omega \)), for any \( u \in K_0 \) with \( \| u \| = 1 \),

\[
\| (A(y, H)u)' \|_H \geq e^{H(a-2\epsilon)} \| u' \|_0.
\]

Together with 33 and 11, we have

\[
\| A(y, H) \| \geq \| A(y, H)u \| \geq \frac{1}{l} \| (A(y, H)u)' \|_H \geq \frac{1}{l} \| (A(y, H)u)' \|_H \geq \frac{1}{l} e^{H(a-2\epsilon)} \| u' \|_0
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}l} e^{H(a-2\epsilon)} \| u \|_0 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}l} e^{H(a-2\epsilon)} \| u \| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}l} e^{H(a-2\epsilon)} > C^N e^{(H+N)(a-\tau)}.
\]
Then
\[
\|A(y_0, H + N)\| \geq m(A(y_0, N)) \cdot \|A(y, H)\| \\
\geq C^{-N} \cdot \|A(y, H)\| > e^{(H+N)(\alpha - \tau)},
\]
where \(m(B)\) denotes the minimal norm of linear map \(B\).

Secondly let us consider the orbit segments \(z, fz, \ldots, f^Lz\) and \(y', fy', \ldots, f^LY'\). By the first estimate in (9) of Lemma 3.2 (in this estimate \(\chi = b\), being the largest Lyapunov exponent of \(\mu\)) we have
\[
\|A(y', L)\| \leq l^2e^L(b+\epsilon).
\]

Then
\[
\|A(y_0, L + H + 2N)\| \leq \|A(y', L)\| \cdot \|A(y_0, H + 2N)\| \\
\leq l^2e^{L(b+\epsilon)}C^{H+2N} < e^{(b+\epsilon)(L+H+2N)}.
\]

Take \(n_1 = H + N\) and \(n_2 = L + H + 2N\), then (11) and (12) imply \(y_0 \in O_n\).

Recall \(d(y_0, x_0) < \delta\) and \(d < t\) so that \(y_0 \in B(x_0, t)\). So we complete the proof. □

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3 and 1.5. For a Lyapunov-regular point \(x\) of cocycle \(A\), let \(\lambda_1(x) \geq \lambda_2(x) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_m(x)\) denote the Lyapunov exponents of \(x\) for \(A\). Let
\[
\Lambda_i^A(x) = \sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j(x).
\]
and for any invariant measure \(\mu\), define \(\Lambda_i^A(\mu) = \int \Lambda_i^A(x) d\mu\). Then it is easy to compute to obtain that: for any two ergodic measures \(\mu, \nu \in M^e_{\mu}(X)\),
\[
\text{Sp}(\mu, A) = \text{Sp}(\nu, A) \Leftrightarrow \Lambda_i^A(\mu) = \Lambda_i^A(\nu), \ \forall i.
\]

Let us consider cocycle \(\wedge^iA(x, n)\) induced by cocycle \(A(x, n)\) on the \(i\)-fold exterior powers \(\wedge^i \mathbb{R}^m\). For any \(1 \leq i \leq m\) and Lyapunov-regular point \(x \in X\),
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \| \wedge^i A(x, n)\| = \sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j(x) = \Lambda_i^A(x).
\]

This trick is related to Ragunathan’s proof of the Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem [5 §3.4.4] and was also used in [12] [20].

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Assume that there are two ergodic measures with different Lyapunov spectrum. By (13) and (14), there is some \(1 \leq i \leq m\) such that
\[
\inf_{\mu \in M^e_{\mu}(X)} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \| \wedge^i A(x, n)\| < \sup_{\mu \in M^e_{\mu}(X)} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \| \wedge^i A(x, n)\|.
\]

Then we can apply Theorem 5.5 to the cocycle \(\wedge^i A(x, n)\) and obtain that the Max-Lyapunov-irregular set of \(\wedge^i A\) is residual in \(X\). Note that \(LI(A, f) \supseteq MLI(\wedge^i A, f)\), since by (13) a point Lyapunov-regular for \(A\) is also Lyapunov-regular for \(\wedge^i A\). So \(LI(A, f)\) is also residual in \(X\). Now we complete the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. From Remark 2.3 we know \(f|_X\) has exponential specification. Applying Theorem 1.3 for cocycle \(A(x, n) = D_xf^n\), one ends the proof. □
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