

An Application of the Moving Frame Method to Integral Geometry in the Heisenberg Group

Hung-Lin CHIU[†], Yen-Chang HUANG[‡] and Sin-Hua LAI[†]

[†] Department of Mathematics, National Central University, Chung Li, Taiwan

E-mail: hlchiu@math.ncu.edu.tw, 972401001@cc.ncu.edu.tw

[‡] School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xinyang Normal University, Henan, P.R. China

E-mail: ychuang@xynu.edu.cn

Received March 09, 2017, in final form December 09, 2017; Published online December 26, 2017

<https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2017.097>

Abstract. We show the fundamental theorems of curves and surfaces in the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group and find a complete set of invariants for curves and surfaces respectively. The proofs are based on Cartan's method of moving frames and Lie group theory. As an application of the main theorems, a Crofton-type formula is proved in terms of p-area which naturally arises from the variation of volume. The application makes a connection between CR geometry and integral geometry.

Key words: CR manifolds; Heisenberg groups; moving frames

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15; 53C65; 32V20

1 Introduction

In Euclidean spaces, the fundamental theorem of curves states that any unit-speed curve is completely determined by its curvature and torsion. More precisely, given two functions $k(s)$ and $\tau(s)$ with $k(s) > 0$, there exists a unit-speed curve whose curvature and torsion are the functions k and τ , respectively, uniquely up to a Euclidean rigid motion. We present the analogous theorems of curves and surfaces in the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group H_1 . The structure of the group of transformations in H_1 , which is similar to the group of rigid motions in Euclidean spaces, is also studied. Moreover, we develop the concept of the geometric invariants for curves and surfaces in the above sense. It should be emphasised that owning such invariants helps us to understand the geometric structures in CR manifolds and to develop the applications to integral geometry.

We give a brief review of the Heisenberg group. All the details can be found in [2, 3]. The Heisenberg group H_1 is the space \mathbb{R}^3 associated with the group multiplication

$$(x_1, y_1, z_1) \circ (x_2, y_2, z_2) = (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2 + y_1x_2 - x_1y_2),$$

which is also a 3-dimensional Lie group. The standard left-invariant vector fields in H_1

$$\hat{e}_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad \hat{e}_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad \text{and} \quad T = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

form a basis of the vector space of left-invariant vector fields, where $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z})$ denotes the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^3 . The standard contact bundle $\xi := \text{span}\{\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2\}$ in H_1 is a subbundle of the tangent bundle TH_1 . Equivalently, the contact bundle can be defined as

$$\xi = \ker \Theta,$$

where

$$\Theta = dz + xdy - ydx$$

is the standard contact form. T is called the Reeb vector field and $\Theta(T) = 1$. A CR structure on H_1 is an endomorphism $J: \xi \rightarrow \xi$ defined by

$$J(\hat{e}_1) = \hat{e}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad J(\hat{e}_2) = -\hat{e}_1.$$

For any vectors $X, Y \in \xi$, we can associate a natural metric

$$h(X, Y) := d\Theta(X, JY)$$

called Levi-metric [3, Section 2]. The metric $g_\Theta := h \oplus \Theta^2$ is the adapted metric defined on the tangent bundle TH_1 .

The Heisenberg group H_1 can be regarded as a pseudo-hermitian manifold by considering H_1 associated with the standard pseudo-hermitian structure (J, Θ) . Recall that a pseudo-hermitian transformation on H_1 is a diffeomorphism on H_1 preserving the pseudo-hermitian structure (J, Θ) . For more information about pseudo-hermitian structure, we refer the readers to [4, 15, 16, 24]. Denote by $\text{PSH}(1)$ the group of pseudo-hermitian transformations on H_1 , and call any element in $\text{PSH}(1)$ a *symmetry*. A symmetry in H_1 plays the same role as a rigid motion in \mathbb{R}^n and will be characterized in Section 3.1.

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow H_1$ be a parametrized curve. For any $t \in I$, the velocity $\gamma'(t)$ has the natural decomposition

$$\gamma'(t) = \gamma'_\xi(t) + \gamma'_T(t),$$

where $\gamma'_\xi(t)$ and $\gamma'_T(t)$ are, respectively, the orthogonal projection of $\gamma'(t)$ on ξ along T and the orthogonal projection of $\gamma'(t)$ on T along ξ with respect to the adapted metric g_Θ .

Definition 1.1. A *horizontally regular curve* is a parametrized curve $\gamma(t)$ such that $\gamma'_\xi(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in I$. We say that $\gamma(t)$ is a *horizontal curve* if $\gamma'(t) = \gamma'_\xi(t)$ for all $t \in I$.

In the context of contact geometry, some authors call the horizontally regular curves *Legendrian curves*, for example, in [10, 11, 12, 17]. Proposition 4.1 shows that any horizontally regular curve can be uniquely reparametrized by *horizontal arc-length* s , up to a constant, such that $|\gamma'_\xi(s)| = 1$ for all s , and called the curve being *with horizontal unit-speed*. Throughout the article, we always take for granted that the length $|\cdot|$ and the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ are defined on the contact bundle in the sense of Levi-metric.

For a horizontally regular curve $\gamma(s)$ parametrized by horizontal arc-length s , we define the *p-curvature* $k(s)$ and the *contact normality* $\tau(s)$ by

$$k(s) := \left\langle \frac{dX(s)}{ds}, Y(s) \right\rangle, \quad \tau(s) := \langle \gamma'(s), T \rangle,$$

where $X(s) = \gamma'_\xi(s)$, $Y(s) = JX(s)$, and $\frac{dX(s)}{ds}$ denotes the derivative of $X(s)$ w.r.t. the arc-length s . Note that $k(s)$ is analogous to the curvature of the curve in \mathbb{R}^n , while $\tau(s)$ measures how far the curve is from being horizontal. We also point out that $k(s)$ and $\tau(s)$ are invariant under pseudo-hermitian transformations of horizontally regular curves. Recently we generalize those invariants to the higher dimension H_n for any $n \geq 1$ and study the problem of classification of horizontal curves [8].

The first theorem shows that horizontally regular curves are completely characterized by the functions $k(s)$ and $\tau(s)$.

Theorem 1.2 (the fundamental theorem for curves in H_1). *Given C^1 -functions $k(s)$, $\tau(s)$, there exists a horizontally regular curve $\gamma(s)$ with horizontal unit-speed having $k(s)$ and $\tau(s)$ as its p -curvature and contact normality, respectively. In addition, any regular curve $\tilde{\gamma}(s)$ with horizontal unit-speed satisfying the same p -curvature $k(s)$ and contact normality $\tau(s)$ differs from $\gamma(s)$ by a pseudo-hermitian transformation $g \in \text{PSH}(1)$, namely,*

$$\tilde{\gamma}(s) = g \circ \gamma(s)$$

for all s .

Since a curve $\gamma(s)$ is horizontal if and only if the contact normality $\tau(s) = 0$, we immediately have the corollary.

Corollary 1.3. *Given a C^1 -function $k(s)$, there exists a horizontal curve $\gamma(s)$ with horizontal unit-speed having $k(s)$ as its p -curvature. In addition, any horizontal curve $\tilde{\gamma}(s)$ with horizontal unit-speed satisfying the same p -curvature differs from $\gamma(s)$ by a pseudo-hermitian transformation $g \in \text{PSH}(1)$, namely,*

$$\tilde{\gamma}(s) = g \circ \gamma(s)$$

for all s .

If the horizontally regular curve γ is not parametrized by horizontal arc-length, in Section 4.2 we also obtain the explicit formulae for the p -curvature and the contact normality.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t), z(t)) \in H_1$ be a horizontally regular curve, not necessarily with horizontal unit-speed. The p -curvature $k(t)$ and the contact normality $\tau(t)$ of $\gamma(s)$ are*

$$k(t) = \frac{x'y'' - x''y'}{((x')^2 + (y')^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}(t), \quad \tau(t) = \frac{xy' - x'y + z'}{((x')^2 + (y')^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}(t). \quad (1.1)$$

Notice that in (1.1) the p -curvature $k(t)$ depends only on $x(t)$, $y(t)$. We observe that $k(t)$ is the signed curvature of the plane curve $\alpha(t) := \pi \circ \gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t))$, where π is the projection onto the xy -plane along the z -axis. It is the fact that the signed curvature of a given plane curve completely describes the curve's behavior, we have the corollary:

Corollary 1.5. *Suppose two horizontally regular curves in H_1 differ by a Heisenberg rigid motion, then their projections onto the xy -plane along the z -axis differ by a Euclidean rigid motion. In particular, two horizontal curves in H_1 differ by a Heisenberg rigid motion if and only if their projections are congruent in the Euclidean plane.*

As an example, we calculate the p -curvature and contact normality for the geodesics, and obtain the characteristic description of the geodesics.

Theorem 1.6. *In H_1 , the geodesics are the horizontally regular curves with constant p -curvature and zero contact normality.*

The second part of the paper shows the fundamental theorem of surfaces in H_1 . Although the theorem has been generalized to hypersurfaces embedded in H_n for any $n \geq 1$ (see [9]), for the sake of being self-contained and future studies, we give a simpler proof for the case $n = 1$ (Theorem 1.10). It is also worth to mention that Definition 1.7 and the proofs of Theorems 1.8 and 1.10 are more primitive but intuitive than the one in [9, Theorem 1.7].

Let $\Sigma \subset H_1$ be an embedded regular surface. Recall that a singular point $p \in \Sigma$ is a point such that the tangent plane $T_p\Sigma$ coincides with the contact plane ξ_p at p . Therefore outside the singular set (the non-singular part of Σ), the line bundle $T\Sigma \cap \xi$ forms one-dimensional foliation, which is called *characteristic foliation*.

Definition 1.7. Let $F: U \rightarrow H_1$ be a parametrized surface with coordinates (u, v) on $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. We say that F is a *normal parametrization* if

- 1) $F(U)$ is a surface without singular points,
- 2) $F_u := \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}$ defines the characteristic foliation on $F(U)$,
- 3) $|F_u| = 1$ for each point $(u, v) \in U$, where the norm is with respect to the Levi-metric.

We call (u, v) *normal coordinates* of the surface $F(U)$.

It is easy to see that normal coordinates always exist locally near a non-singular point $p \in \Sigma$. In addition, for a normal parametrization F , denote $X = F_u$, $Y = JX$ and $T = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, we define the smooth functions a, b, c, l and m on U by

$$a := \langle F_v, X \rangle, \quad b := \langle F_v, Y \rangle, \quad c := \langle F_v, T \rangle, \quad l := \langle F_{uu}, Y \rangle, \quad m := \langle F_{uv}, Y \rangle, \quad (1.2)$$

and call a, b and c *the coefficients of the first kind* of F , and l, m *the coefficients of the second kind*. In Section 5 we calculate the Darboux derivatives (see Section 2 and (5.8)) of $\text{PSH}(1)$ and it is known that by the method of moving frame, the infinitesimal displacement dX on the surface can be represented in terms of du and dv ,

$$dX = F_{uu}du + F_{uv}dv,$$

and so the functions l and m are the coefficients of Darboux derivatives in terms of du and dv respectively (5.1). By comparing (5.9) and (5.10), all coefficients satisfy the integrability conditions

$$a_u = bl, \quad b_u = -al + m, \quad c_u = 2b, \quad l_v - m_u = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where the subscripts denote the partial derivatives.

The following theorem states that these coefficients are the complete differential invariants for the map F .

Theorem 1.8. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a simply connected open set. Suppose that a, b, c, l and m are functions defined on U satisfying the integrability conditions (1.3). Then there exists a normal parametrization $F: U \rightarrow H_1$ having a, b, c and l, m as the coefficients of first kind and second kind of F , respectively. In addition, any normal parametrization $\tilde{F}: U \rightarrow H_1$ with the same coefficients of first kind and second kind differ from F by a Heisenberg rigid motion, namely, $\tilde{F}(u, v) = g \circ F(u, v)$ for all $(u, v) \in U$ for some $g \in \text{PSH}(1)$.*

We should point out that the regularity of F is, at least, C^2 . In (5.17), we will show that the function l , up to a sign, is independent of the choice of normal coordinates, and hence it is a differential invariant of the surface $F(U)$. Actually l is the p -mean curvature for H_n , $n \geq 2$ (see [3]). In particular, $F(U)$ is a p -minimal surface when $l = 0$; such a parametrization $F: U \rightarrow H_1$ is called a *normal parametrization of p -minimal surface*. In this case, the integrability condition (1.3) becomes

$$a_u = 0, \quad b_{uu} = 0, \quad c_u = 2b, \quad (1.4)$$

$$b_u = m, \quad (1.5)$$

and the coefficients of first kind completely dominate those of second kind. We conclude all above as the following result.

Theorem 1.9. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a simply connected open set. Suppose that a , b and c are smooth functions defined on U satisfying the integrability conditions (1.4). Then there exists a normal parametrization of p -minimal surface $F: U \rightarrow H_1$ having a , b and c as the coefficients of first kind of F , which also determines the coefficient m of the second kind as in (1.5). In addition, any normal parametrization of p -minimal surface $\tilde{F}: U \rightarrow H_1$ with the same conditions differs from F by a Heisenberg rigid motion, namely, $\tilde{F}(u, v) = g \circ F(u, v)$ in U for some $g \in \text{PSH}(1)$.*

In Section 5, other invariants on the surface Σ will also be obtained, including

$$\alpha := \frac{b}{c}$$

(up to a sign, called the p -variation), and the restricted adapted metric $g_\Theta|_\Sigma$ on the surface Σ . Actually α is the function such that the vector field $\alpha e_2 + T$ is tangent to the surface, where $e_2 = J e_1$ and e_1 is a unit vector field tangent to the characteristic foliation. Let

$$e_\Sigma := \frac{\alpha e_2 + T}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}},$$

be a unit vector field tangent to the surface. Then we observe that these invariants α , l , e_Σ satisfy the integrability condition:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \alpha^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}(e_\Sigma l) &= (1 + \alpha^2)(e_1 e_1 \alpha) - \alpha(e_1 \alpha)^2 + 4\alpha(1 + \alpha^2)(e_1 \alpha) \\ &\quad + \alpha(1 + \alpha^2)^2 K + \alpha l(1 + \alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(e_\Sigma \alpha) + \alpha(1 + \alpha^2)l^2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where K is the Gaussian curvature with respect to $g_\Theta|_\Sigma$.

After studying the invariants in H_1 , we show the second main theorem which says that the three invariants (the Riemannian metric g_Θ induced by the adapted metric, the p -mean curvature l , and the p -variation α) comprise a complete set of invariants for a surface without singular points.

Theorem 1.10 (the fundamental theorem for surfaces in H_1). *Let (Σ, g) be a 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold with Gaussian curvature K , and α' , l' two real-valued functions defined on Σ . Assume that K , α' and l' satisfy the integrability condition (1.6). Then for every non-singular point $p \in \Sigma$, there exists an open neighborhood U containing p and an embedding $f: U \rightarrow H_1$ such that*

$$g = f^*(g_\Theta), \quad \alpha' = f^*\alpha, \quad l' = f^*l,$$

where α , l are the induced p -variation and p -mean curvature on $f(U)$ respectively. Moreover, f is uniquely determined up to a Heisenberg rigid motion.

The third part of the paper is an application of the motion equations and the structure equations obtained from the proof of fundamental theorem for curves. We derive the Crofton formula in H_1 which is a classical result of integral geometry, relating the length of a fixed curve, and the number of intersections for the curve and randomly oriented lines passing through it. Santaló generalized the result to compact Riemannian manifolds with boundary [21, 22]. In the simple case \mathbb{R}^2 , given a fixed piecewise regular curve γ , the Crofton formula states that

$$\int_{\ell \cap \gamma \neq \emptyset} n(\ell \cap \gamma) dL = 4 \cdot \text{length}(\gamma),$$

where dL is the kinematic density defined on the set of oriented lines in \mathbb{R}^2 , and $n(\ell \cap \gamma)$ is the number of intersections of the line ℓ with γ . We have the analogous formula in H_1 . A significant

observation is that the geometric quantity on the right-hand side of the formula (1.7) is the p -area which naturally arises from the variation of volume for domains in CR manifolds [3]. By the similar technique, one of the authors also show the containment problem for the geometric probability in H_1 [13]. Recently Prandi, Rizzi, Seri [20] show a sub-Riemannian version of the classical Santaló formula which is applied to finding the lower bound of sub-Laplacian in a compact domain with boundary. The other approaches can be referred to [19] (sub-Riemannian), and [18] (Carnot groups).

Theorem 1.11 (Crofton formula in H_1). *Suppose $\mathbb{X}: (u, v) \in \Omega \mapsto \Sigma \subset H_1$ is a C^2 -surface for some domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Let \mathcal{L} be the set of oriented horizontal lines in H_1 and $n(\ell \cap \Sigma)$ be the number of intersections of the horizontal line $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ with the surface Σ . Then we have the Crofton formula*

$$\int_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}, \ell \cap \Sigma \neq \emptyset} n(\ell \cap \Sigma) dL = 4 \cdot \text{p-area}(\Sigma), \quad (1.7)$$

where $dL := dp \wedge d\theta \wedge dt$ is the kinematic density on \mathcal{L} .

We give the outline of the paper. In Section 2, we state two propositions about existence and uniqueness of mappings from a smooth manifold into a Lie group G , which underlies our main theorems. In Section 3, we not only express the representation of $\text{PSH}(1)$ but discuss how the matrix Lie group $\text{PSH}(1)$ can be interpreted as the set of moving frames on the homogeneous space $H_1 = \text{PSH}(1)/\text{SO}(2)$; the moving frame formula in H_1 via the (left-invariant) Maurer–Cartan form will be derived. In Section 4, we compute the Darboux derivatives of the lift of a horizontally regular curve and give the proof of the first main theorem; moreover, the p -curvature and the contact normality for horizontally regular curves and geodesics are calculated. In Section 5, we compute the Darboux derivatives of the lift of normal parametrized surfaces, and achieve the complete set of differential invariants for a normal parametrized surface. In Section 6, by calculating the Darboux derivatives of the lift for $f: \Sigma \rightarrow H_1$, we show the fundamental theorem for surfaces Σ in H_1 . In Section 7, we show the Crofton formula which connects CR geometry and integral geometry.

2 Calculus on Lie groups

We recall two basic theorems from Lie groups, which play the essential roles in the proof of the main theorems. For the details we refer the readers to [1, 7, 12, 14, 23].

Let M be a connected smooth manifold and $G \subset \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ a matrix subgroup with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Recall that a (left-invariant) Maurer–Cartan form ω is a Lie algebra-valued 1-form globally defined on G which is a linear mapping of the tangent space $T_g G$ at each $g \in G$ into $T_e G$

$$\omega(v) := (L_{g^{-1}})_* v, \quad \text{for all } v \in T_g G,$$

where $e \in G$ is the identity element. In particular when G is a matrix Lie group, one has

$$\omega = g^{-1} dg.$$

We first introduce the theorem of uniqueness.

Theorem 2.1. *Given two maps $f, \tilde{f}: M \rightarrow G$, then $\tilde{f}^* \omega = f^* \omega$ if and only if $\tilde{f} = g \cdot f$ for some $g \in G$.*

We call the pullback 1-form $f^* \omega$ the *Darboux derivative* of the map $f: M \rightarrow G$. When $M = \mathbb{R}$ and $G = (\mathbb{R}, +)$, the addition group, Theorem 2.1 can be rephrased as the Fundamental

Theorem of Calculus: if two differentiable functions $f(x)$ and $\tilde{f}(x)$ have the same derivatives $\frac{df}{dx} = \frac{d\tilde{f}}{dx}$, then $f(x) = \tilde{f}(x) + c$ for some constant c ,

The second result is the theorem of existence.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that ϕ is a \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form on a simply connected manifold M . Then there exists a map $f: M \rightarrow G$ satisfying $f^*\omega = \phi$ if and only if $d\phi = -\phi \wedge \phi$. Moreover, the resulting map f is unique up to a group action.*

In the case $M = \mathbb{R}$ and $G = (\mathbb{R}, +)$, this theorem implies the existence of derivatives for differentiable functions. We mention that the proof of Theorem 2.2 relies on the Frobenius theorem.

3 The group of pseudo-hermitian transformations on H_1

3.1 The pseudo-hermitian transformations on H_1

A pseudo-hermitian transformation on H_1 is a diffeomorphism Φ on H_1 preserving the CR structure J and the contact form Θ ; it satisfies

$$\Phi_*J = J\Phi_* \quad \text{on } \xi \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^*\Theta = \Theta \quad \text{in } H_1.$$

A trivial example of a pseudo-hermitian transformation is a left translation L_p in H_1 ; the other example is defined by $\Phi_R: H_1 \rightarrow H_1$

$$\Phi_R \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix},$$

where $R \in \text{SO}(2)$ is a 2×2 special orthogonal matrix.

Let $\text{PSH}(1)$ be the group of pseudo-hermitian transformations on H_1 . We shall show that the group $\text{PSH}(1)$ exactly consists of all the transformations of the forms $\Phi_{p,R} := L_p \circ \Phi_R$, a transformation Φ_R followed by a left translation L_p . More precisely, we have

$$\Phi_{p,R} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ax - by + p_1 \\ bx + ay + p_2 \\ (ap_2 - bp_1)x + (-bp_2 - ap_1)y + z + p_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $p = (p_1, p_2, p_3)^t \in H_1$ and $R = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SO}(2)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\Phi: H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ be a pseudo-hermitian transformation. Then $\Phi = L_p \circ \Phi_R$ for some $R \in \text{SO}(2)$ and $p \in H_1$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the pseudo-hermitian transformation $\Phi: H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ such that $\Phi(0) = 0$. Indeed, if $\Phi(0) = p$ for some $p \in H_1 \setminus \{0\}$, then the composition $L_{p^{-1}} \circ \Phi$ is a transformation fixing the origin. Therefore, we reduce the proof of Theorem 3.1 to the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *Let Φ be a pseudo-hermitian transformation on H_1 such that $\Phi(0) = 0$. Then, for any $p \in H_1$, the matrix representation of $\Phi_*(p)$ with respect to the standard basis $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z})$ of \mathbb{R}^3 is*

$$\Phi_*(p) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha_0 & -\sin \alpha_0 & 0 \\ \sin \alpha_0 & \cos \alpha_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix},$$

for some real constant α_0 which is independent of p , and hence Φ_* is a constant matrix.

To prove Lemma 3.2, first we calculate the matrix representation of $\Phi_*(p)$ with respect to the basis $(\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2, T)$. Since

$$\Theta(\Phi_*\hat{e}_i) = (\Phi^*\Theta)(\hat{e}_i) = \Theta(\hat{e}_i) = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2,$$

the contact bundle ξ is invariant under Φ_* . In addition, let h be the Levi-metric on ξ defined by $h(X, Y) = d\Theta(X, JY)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^*h(X, Y) &= h(\Phi_*X, \Phi_*Y) = d\Theta(\Phi_*X, J\Phi_*Y) = d\Theta(\Phi_*X, \Phi_*JY) \\ &= \Phi^*(d\Theta)(X, JY) = d(\Phi^*\Theta)(X, JY) = d\Theta(X, JY) = h(X, Y), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $h(\Phi_*X, \Phi_*Y) = h(X, Y)$ for every $X, Y \in \xi$. Thus, Φ_* is orthogonal on ξ . On the other hand, since

$$\Theta(\Phi_*T) = \Theta\left(\Phi_*\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = \Phi^*\Theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = \Theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d\Theta(X, \Phi_*T) &= d\Theta(\Phi_*\Phi_*^{-1}X, \Phi_*T) = (\Phi^*d\Theta)(\Phi_*^{-1}X, T) = (d\Phi^*\Theta)(\Phi_*^{-1}X, T) \\ &= d\Theta(\Phi_*^{-1}X, T) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $X \in \xi$, we have $\Phi_*T = T$. From the above argument, we conclude that the matrix representation

$$\Phi_*(p) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha(p) & -\sin \alpha(p) & 0 \\ \sin \alpha(p) & \cos \alpha(p) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2, \frac{\partial}{\partial z})},$$

for some real-valued function α on H_1 .

Next, we rewrite the matrix representation of $\Phi_*(p)$ from the basis $(\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2, \frac{\partial}{\partial z})$ to the basis $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z})$. Let $\Phi = (\Phi^1, \Phi^2, \Phi^3)$, $p = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$, $\hat{e}_1(p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + p_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ and $\hat{e}_2(p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - p_1\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_*(p)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) &= \Phi_*(p)\left[\hat{e}_1(p) - p_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right] = \Phi_*(p)[\hat{e}_1(p)] - p_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ &= \cos \alpha(p)\hat{e}_1[\Phi(p)] + \sin \alpha(p)\hat{e}_2[\Phi(p)] - p_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ &= \cos \alpha(p)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sin \alpha(p)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + [\cos \alpha(p)\Phi^2(p) - \sin \alpha(p)\Phi^1(p) - p_2]\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_*(p)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) &= \Phi_*(p)\left[\hat{e}_2(p) + p_1\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right] = \Phi_*(p)[\hat{e}_2(p)] + p_1\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ &= -\sin \alpha(p)\hat{e}_1[\Phi(p)] + \cos \alpha(p)\hat{e}_2[\Phi(p)] + p_1\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ &= -\sin \alpha(p)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \cos \alpha(p)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + [-\sin \alpha(p)\Phi^2(p) - \cos \alpha(p)\Phi^1(p) + p_1]\frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\Phi_*(p) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha(p) & -\sin \alpha(p) & 0 \\ \sin \alpha(p) & \cos \alpha(p) & 0 \\ \Phi_x^3(p) & \Phi_y^3(p) & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z})} := \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_x^1 & \Phi_y^1 & \Phi_z^1 \\ \Phi_x^2 & \Phi_y^2 & \Phi_z^2 \\ \Phi_x^3 & \Phi_y^3 & \Phi_z^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_x^3(p) &:= \frac{\partial \Phi^3}{\partial x} = \cos \alpha(p) \Phi^2(p) - \sin \alpha(p) \Phi^1(p) - p_2, \\ \Phi_y^3(p) &:= \frac{\partial \Phi^3}{\partial y} = -\sin \alpha(p) \Phi^2(p) - \cos \alpha(p) \Phi^1(p) + p_1,\end{aligned}$$

and denote the subscripts as the partial derivatives for all Φ^i 's. By (3.1) that $\Phi_z^1 = \Phi_z^2 = 0$, it follows that the functions Φ^1 and Φ^2 both depend only on x and y , and so is α . Moreover, use (3.1) again and the facts $\Phi_{xy}^1 = \Phi_{yx}^1$ and $\Phi_{xy}^2 = \Phi_{yx}^2$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_x \\ \alpha_y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies that $\alpha_x = \alpha_y = 0$. Thus α is a constant on H_1 , say $\alpha = \alpha_0$. From (3.1) and notice that $\Phi(0) = 0$, we finally get

$$\Phi^1 = x \cos \alpha_0 - y \sin \alpha_0, \quad \Phi^2 = x \sin \alpha_0 + y \cos \alpha_0,$$

which implies that $\Phi_x^3 = \Phi_y^3 = 0$. Therefore

$$\Phi_*(p) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha_0 & -\sin \alpha_0 & 0 \\ \sin \alpha_0 & \cos \alpha_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right),$$

and the result follows. ■

3.2 Representation of PSH(1)

The pseudo-hermitian transformation $\Phi_{p,R}$ and the points $(x, y, z)^t$ in H_1 can be respectively represented as

$$\Phi_{p,R} \leftrightarrow M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p_1 & a & -b & 0 \\ p_2 & b & a & 0 \\ p_3 & ap_2 - cp_1 & bp_2 - dp_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfying

$$MX = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \Phi_{p,R} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a^2 + b^2 = 1$. Therefore, PSH(1) can be represented as a matrix group

$$\text{PSH}(1) = \left\{ M \in \text{GL}(4, \mathbb{R}) \mid M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p_1 & a & -b & 0 \\ p_2 & b & a & 0 \\ p_3 & ap_2 - bp_1 & -bp_2 - ap_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, a^2 + b^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{psh}(1)$ be the Lie algebra of $\text{PSH}(1)$. It is easy to see that the element of $\mathfrak{psh}(1)$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & 0 & -x_1^2 & 0 \\ x_2 & x_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ x_3 & x_2 & -x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and the corresponding Maurer–Cartan form of $\text{PSH}(1)$ is of the form

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \omega^1 & 0 & -\omega_1^2 & 0 \\ \omega^2 & \omega_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \omega^3 & \omega^2 & -\omega^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where ω_1^2 and ω^j , $j = 1, 2, 3$, are 1-forms on $\text{PSH}(1)$.

3.3 The oriented frames on H_1

The oriented frame $(p; X, Y, T)$ on H_1 consists of the point $p \in H_1$ and the orthonormal vector fields $X \in \xi_p$, $Y = JX$ with respect to the Levi-metric. We can identify $\text{PSH}(1)$ with the set of all oriented frames on H_1 as follows:

$$\text{PSH}(1) \ni M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p_1 & a & -b & 0 \\ p_2 & b & a & 0 \\ p_3 & ap_2 - bp_1 & -bp_2 - ap_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow (p; X, Y, T),$$

where

$$p = (p_1, p_2, p_3)^t, \\ X = a \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (ap_2 - bp_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad Y = -b \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + a \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (-bp_2 - ap_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

Actually, we have $X = a\hat{e}_1(p) + b\hat{e}_2(p)$ and $Y = -b\hat{e}_1(p) + a\hat{e}_2(p)$, and hence M is the unique 4×4 matrix such that

$$(p; X, Y, T) = (0; \hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2, T)M.$$

3.4 Moving frame formula

Since $\text{PSH}(1)$ is a matrix Lie group, the Maurer–Cartan form must be $\omega = M^{-1}dM$ or $dM = M\omega$ (see [6]). Immediately one has that

$$(dp; dX, dY, dT) = (p; X, Y, T) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \omega^1 & 0 & -\omega_1^2 & 0 \\ \omega^2 & \omega_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \omega^3 & \omega^2 & -\omega^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, we have reached the moving frame formula:

$$\begin{aligned} dp &= \omega^1 X + \omega^2 Y + \omega^3 T, & dX &= \omega_1^2 Y + \omega^2 T, \\ dY &= -\omega_1^2 X - \omega^1 T, & dT &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

4 Differential invariants of horizontally regular curves in H_1

Proposition 4.1. *Any horizontally regular curve $\gamma(t)$ can be reparametrized by its horizontal arc-length s such that $|\gamma'_\xi(s)| = 1$.*

Proof. Define $s(t) = \int_0^t |\gamma'_\xi(u)| du$. Then any horizontal arc-length differs s up to a constant. By the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have $\frac{ds}{dt} = |\gamma'_\xi(t)|$. Since

$$\frac{d\gamma}{ds} = \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \frac{dt}{ds} = \frac{\gamma'(t)}{|\gamma'_\xi(t)|},$$

$$\gamma'_\xi(s) = \frac{\gamma'_\xi(t)}{|\gamma'_\xi(t)|}, \text{ namely, } |\gamma'_\xi(s)| = 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

Definition 4.2. A *lift* of a mapping $f: M \rightarrow G/H$ is defined to be a map $F: M \rightarrow G$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & G \\ & \nearrow F & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & G/H, \end{array}$$

where G is a Lie group, H is a closed Lie subgroup and G/H is the associated homogeneous space. In addition, another lift \tilde{F} of f has to satisfy

$$\tilde{F}(x) = F(x)g(x)$$

for some map $g: M \rightarrow H$.

Remark 4.3. In the next section, we shall set $G = \text{PSH}(1)$, $M = (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $f = \gamma$, $F = \tilde{\gamma}$, $G/H = \text{PSH}(1)/\text{SO}(2)$, and identify $\text{PSH}(1)/\text{SO}(2)$ with H_1 .

4.1 The Proof of Theorem 1.2

By Proposition 4.1, we may assume that the horizontally regular curve $\gamma(s)$ is parametrized by the horizontal arc-length s . Each point on γ uniquely defines an oriented frame

$$(\gamma(s); X(s), Y(s), T),$$

where $X(s) = \gamma'_\xi(s)$ is the horizontally tangent vector of $\gamma(s)$ and $Y(s) = JX(s)$. By Remark 4.3, there exists a lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ of γ to $\text{PSH}(1)$, which is unique up to a $\text{SO}(2)$ group action. We abuse the notation and denote the lift by

$$\tilde{\gamma}(s) = (\gamma(s); X(s), Y(s), T).$$

Let ω be the Maurer–Cartan form of $\text{PSH}(1)$. We shall derive the Darboux derivative $\tilde{\gamma}^*\omega$ of the lift $\tilde{\gamma}(s)$: by using the moving frame formula (3.2), we have

$$d\tilde{\gamma}(s) = \tilde{\gamma}^*dp = X(s)\tilde{\gamma}^*\omega^1 + Y(s)\tilde{\gamma}^*\omega^2 + T\tilde{\gamma}^*\omega^3, \quad (4.1)$$

and observe that all pull-back 1-forms by $\tilde{\gamma}$ are the multiples of ds ,

$$d\tilde{\gamma}(s) = \gamma'_\xi(s)ds + \gamma'_T(s)ds = X(s)ds + \gamma'_T(s)ds. \quad (4.2)$$

Comparing (4.1) and (4.2) to get

$$\tilde{\gamma}^*\omega^1 = ds, \quad \tilde{\gamma}^*\omega^2 = 0, \quad \tilde{\gamma}^*\omega^3 = \langle \gamma'(s), T \rangle ds = \tau(s)ds.$$

Insert $\tilde{\gamma}^*\omega^3$ into (3.2),

$$dX(s) = Y(s)\tilde{\gamma}^*\omega_1^2 + T\tilde{\gamma}^*\omega^2 = Y(s)\tilde{\gamma}^*\omega_1^2,$$

one has

$$\tilde{\gamma}^*\omega_1^2 = \left\langle \frac{dX(s)}{ds}, Y(s) \right\rangle ds = k(s)ds.$$

As a consequence, the Darboux derivative of $\tilde{\gamma}$ is obtained

$$\tilde{\gamma}^*\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -k(s) & 0 \\ 0 & k(s) & 0 & 0 \\ \tau(s) & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ds. \quad (4.3)$$

For any functions $k(s)$ and $\tau(s)$ defined on an open interval I . Suppose φ is the $\mathfrak{ps}\mathfrak{h}(1)$ -valued 1-form defined by (4.3). It is easy to check that φ satisfies $d\varphi + \varphi \wedge \varphi = 0$. Therefore, Theorem 2.2 implies that there exists a curve

$$\tilde{\gamma}(s) = (\gamma(s); X(s), Y(s), T) \in \text{PSH}(1)$$

such that $\tilde{\gamma}^*\omega = \varphi$. By the moving frame formula (3.2), we have

$$d\gamma(s) = X(s)ds + \tau(s)Tds, \quad dX(s) = k(s)Y(s)ds, \quad dY(s) = -k(s)X(s)ds - Tds,$$

which means that

$$X(s) = \gamma'_\xi(s), \quad k(s) = \left\langle \frac{dX(s)}{ds}, Y(s) \right\rangle, \quad \tau(s) = \left\langle \frac{d\gamma(s)}{ds}, T \right\rangle.$$

This completes the proof of existence.

To prove uniqueness, suppose that two horizontally regular curves γ_1 and γ_2 have the same p -curvature $k(s)$ and contact normality $\tau(s)$. The identity (4.3) shows that they must have the same Darboux derivatives

$$\tilde{\gamma}_1^*\omega = \tilde{\gamma}_2^*\omega.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, there exists a symmetry $g \in \text{PSH}(1)$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}_2(s) = g \circ \tilde{\gamma}_1(s)$, and hence $\gamma_2(s) = g \circ \gamma_1(s)$ for all s . This completes the proof of uniqueness up to a group action.

4.2 The derivation of the p -curvature and the contact normality

In the subsection, we will compute the p -curvature and the contact normality for horizontally regular curves (Theorem 1.4) and for the geodesics in H_1 (Theorem 1.6).

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t), z(t))$ be a horizontally regular curve. The horizontal arc-length s is defined by

$$s(t) = \int_0^t |\gamma'_\xi(u)| du.$$

We first observe that there is the natural decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma'(t) &= (x'(t), y'(t), z'(t)) = x'(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y'(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z'(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ &= \underbrace{x'(t)\dot{e}_1 + y'(t)\dot{e}_2}_{\gamma'_\xi(t)} + \underbrace{(z'(t) + xy'(t) - yx'(t)) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}_{\gamma'_T(t)},\end{aligned}\quad (4.4)$$

where we abuse the notation by $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = T$. Let $\bar{\gamma}(s)$ be the reparametrization of $\gamma(t)$ by the horizontal arc-length s . Since $\gamma'(t) = \bar{\gamma}'(s) \frac{ds}{dt}$, by comparing with the decomposition (4.4), one has

$$\bar{\gamma}'_\xi(s) = \frac{dt}{ds}(x'(t)\dot{e}_1 + y'(t)\dot{e}_2), \quad \bar{\gamma}'_T(s) = \frac{dt}{ds}((z'(t) + xy'(t) - yx'(t))T). \quad (4.5)$$

For the p -curvature, by (4.5), note that $X(s) = \frac{dt}{ds}(x'(t)\dot{e}_1 + y'(t)\dot{e}_2)$, and $Y(s) = JX(s) = \frac{dt}{ds}(x'(t)\dot{e}_2 - y'(t)\dot{e}_1)$. A straight-forward computation shows

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dX(s)}{ds} &= \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{dt}{ds} (x'(t), y'(t), x'y(t) - xy'(t)) \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)^2 (x''(t), y''(t), x''y(t) - xy''(t)) + \frac{d^2t}{ds^2} (x'(t), y'(t), x'y(t) - xy'(t)) \\ &= \left(x''(t) \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)^2 + x'(t) \frac{d^2t}{ds^2} \right) \dot{e}_1 + \left(y''(t) \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)^2 + y'(t) \frac{d^2t}{ds^2} \right) \dot{e}_2,\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}k(s) &= \left\langle \frac{dX(s)}{ds}, Y(s) \right\rangle \\ &= - \left(x''(t) \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)^2 + x'(t) \frac{d^2t}{ds^2} \right) y'(t) \frac{dt}{ds} + \left(y''(t) \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)^2 + y'(t) \frac{d^2t}{ds^2} \right) x'(t) \frac{dt}{ds} \\ &= - (x''(t)y'(t) - x'(t)y''(t)) \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)^3 = \frac{x'y'' - x''y'}{((x')^2 + (y')^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}(t).\end{aligned}\quad (4.6)$$

Again by (4.5), the contact normality must be

$$\tau(s) = \langle \bar{\gamma}'(s), T \rangle = \langle \bar{\gamma}'_T(s), T \rangle = \frac{dt}{ds}(z'(t) + xy'(t) - yx'(t)) = \frac{xy' - x'y + z'}{((x')^2 + (y')^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}(t), \quad (4.7)$$

and the result follows. ■

Next we use (4.6) and (4.7) to compute the p -curvature and the contact normality for the geodesics in H_1 .

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Recall [2] that the Hamiltonian system on H_1 for the geodesics is

$$\dot{x}^k(t) = h^{kj}(x(t))\xi_j(t), \quad \dot{\xi}_k(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \frac{\partial h^{ij}(x)}{\partial x^k} \xi_i \xi_j, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$h^{ij}(x^1, x^2, x^3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x^2 \\ 0 & 1 & -x^1 \\ x^2 & -x^1 & (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the Hamiltonian system (4.8) can be expressed by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}^1(t) &= \xi_1 + x^2\xi_3, \\ \dot{x}^2(t) &= \xi_2 - x^1\xi_3, \\ \dot{x}^3(t) &= x^2\xi_1 - x^1\xi_2 + \xi_3[(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2], \\ \dot{\xi}_1(t) &= \xi_2\xi_3 - x^1\xi_3^2, \\ \dot{\xi}_2(t) &= -\xi_1\xi_3 - x^2\xi_3^2, \\ \dot{\xi}_3(t) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\dot{\xi}_3(t) = 0$, we have $\xi_3(t) = c_3$ for some constant c_3 . When $c_3 = 0$, one has $x(t) = (c_1t + d_1, c_2t + d_2, (c_1d_2 - c_2d_1)t + d_3)$, and this implies that $k(t) = 0$ and $\tau(t) = 0$; when $c_3 > 0$, one has

$$x(t) = (x^1(t), x^2(t), x^3(t)), \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}x^1(t) &= a_1 \sin(2c_3t) + a_2 \cos(2c_3t) + d_1, \\ x^2(t) &= -a_2 \sin(2c_3t) + a_1 \cos(2c_3t) + d_2, \\ x^3(t) &= (a_2d_1 + a_1d_2) \sin(2c_3t) + (a_2d_2 - a_1d_1) \cos(2c_3t) + 2c_3(a_1^2 + a_2^2)t + d_3.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $k(t) = -\frac{1}{[(a_1^2 + a_2^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}} < 0$ and $\tau(t) = 0$; finally, when $c_3 < 0$, one has

$$x(t) = (x^1(t), x^2(t), x^3(t)), \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}x^1(t) &= a_1 \sin(-2c_3t) + a_2 \cos(-2c_3t) + d_1, \\ x^2(t) &= a_2 \sin(-2c_3t) - a_1 \cos(-2c_3t) + d_2, \\ x^3(t) &= (a_1d_1 + a_2d_2) \sin(-2c_3t) - (a_2d_1 - a_1d_2) \cos(-2c_3t) + 2c_3(a_1^2 + a_2^2)t + d_3.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $k(t) = \frac{1}{[(a_1^2 + a_2^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}} > 0$ and $\tau(t) = 0$.

The calculations above show that a horizontal curve is congruent to a geodesic if it has positive constant p -curvature. Conversely, it is easy to prove that any geodesic acted by a symmetry is still a geodesic. Therefore we complete the proof of Theorem 1.6. \blacksquare

Remark 4.4. Actually, the geodesics (4.9) for $c_3 > 0$ and (4.10) for $c_3 < 0$ travel along the same path with reverse direction.

5 Differential invariants of parametrized surfaces in H_1

5.1 The proof of Theorem 1.8

Let $F: U \rightarrow H_1$ be a normal parametrized surface with a, b, c, l and m as the coefficients in (1.2). Denote the lift \tilde{F} of F to PSH(1) as

$$\tilde{F} = \langle F(u, v); X(u, v), Y(u, v), T \rangle,$$

where $X(u, v) := F_u(u, v)$, $Y(u, v) := JX(u, v)$. As long as F is given, $X = F_u$, $Y = JX$ and the Reeb vector field T are uniquely determined, and so the lift \tilde{F} is unique. For convenience,

henceforward we denote $F(u, v)$, by F , $X(u, v)$ by X , and $Y(u, v)$ by Y . We begin from deriving the Darboux derivative $\tilde{F}^*\omega$ of \tilde{F} .

On one hand, we can write the vector F_u in terms of the frame

$$dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) = F_u = X + \langle F_u, Y \rangle Y + \langle F_u, T \rangle T; \quad (5.1)$$

actually the last two terms are zero since $F_u = X, Y, T$ are orthonormal. On the other hand, by the moving frame formula (3.2),

$$dF = X(\tilde{F}^*\omega^1) + Y(\tilde{F}^*\omega^2) + T(\tilde{F}^*\omega^3). \quad (5.2)$$

Apply $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ to (5.2)

$$dF \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) = X(\tilde{F}^*\omega^1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) + Y(\tilde{F}^*\omega^2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) + T(\tilde{F}^*\omega^3) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right),$$

and compare the coefficients with those in (5.1) we have

$$(\tilde{F}^*\omega^1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{F}^*\omega^2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) = (\tilde{F}^*\omega^3) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Similarly by applying $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$ to (5.2), one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{F}^*\omega^1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right) &= \langle F_v, X \rangle = a, & (\tilde{F}^*\omega^2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right) &= \langle F_v, Y \rangle = b, \\ (\tilde{F}^*\omega^3) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right) &= \langle F_v, T \rangle = c. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Combine (5.3) and (5.4) to get

$$\tilde{F}^*\omega^1 = du + adv, \quad \tilde{F}^*\omega^2 = bdv, \quad \tilde{F}^*\omega^3 = cdv. \quad (5.5)$$

To derive $\tilde{F}^*\omega_1^2$, we use (3.2) again and repeat the same process above. By (5.5),

$$\begin{aligned} dX \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) &= \underbrace{\langle X_u, X \rangle}_{=0} X + \langle X_u, Y \rangle Y + \langle X_u, T \rangle T = Y(\tilde{F}^*\omega_1^2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) + T(\tilde{F}^*\omega^2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) \\ &= Y(\tilde{F}^*\omega_1^2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

and so $\langle X_u, T \rangle = 0$. Again,

$$dX \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right) = \underbrace{\langle X_v, X \rangle}_{=0} X + \langle X_v, Y \rangle Y + \langle X_v, T \rangle T = Y(\tilde{F}^*\omega_1^2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right) + bT, \quad (5.7)$$

one has $\langle X_v, T \rangle = b$. Since $dX = dF_u = F_{uu}du + F_{uv}dv$, (5.6) and (5.7) imply that

$$(\tilde{F}^*\omega_1^2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) = \langle F_{uu}, Y \rangle = l, \quad (\tilde{F}^*\omega_1^2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right) = \langle F_{uv}, Y \rangle = m.$$

In conclusion, we have

$$\omega_1^2 = ldu + mdv, \quad b = \langle F_{uv}, T \rangle, \quad 0 = \langle F_{uu}, T \rangle = \langle F_{uv}, X \rangle = \langle F_{uu}, X \rangle.$$

Therefore, by (5.5) and (5.1) we have reached the Darboux derivative

$$\tilde{F}^*\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ du + adv & 0 & -ldu - mdv & 0 \\ bdv & ldu + mdv & 0 & 0 \\ cdv & bdv & -du - adv & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.8)$$

Note that the coefficients a, b, c, l, m uniquely determine the Darboux derivative in (5.8), and so the proof of uniqueness is completed.

For existence, suppose a, b, c and m, l are functions defined on U . Suppose ϕ is the $\mathfrak{ps}\mathfrak{h}(1)$ -valued 1-form defined by (5.8). Then

$$d\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\partial a}{\partial u} & 0 & \frac{\partial l}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial m}{\partial u} & 0 \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial u} & -\frac{\partial l}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial m}{\partial u} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\partial c}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial b}{\partial u} & -\frac{\partial a}{\partial u} & 0 \end{pmatrix} du \wedge dv, \quad (5.9)$$

and

$$\phi \wedge \phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -lb & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ al - m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2b & -m + al & bl & 0 \end{pmatrix} du \wedge dv. \quad (5.10)$$

Thus, ϕ satisfies the integrability condition $d\phi = -\phi \wedge \phi$ if and only if the coefficients a, b, c, l and m satisfy the integrability condition (1.3). Therefore Theorem 2.2 implies there exists a map

$$\tilde{F}^*(u, v) = (F(u, v); X(u, v), Y(u, v), T)$$

such that $\tilde{F}^*\omega = \phi$. Finally, the moving frame formula (3.2) implies that $F: U \rightarrow H_1$ is a map with a, b, c, l and m as the coefficients of first kind and second kind respectively.

5.2 Invariants of surfaces

Let $\Sigma \hookrightarrow H_1$ be a surface such that all points on Σ are non-singular. For each point $p \in \Sigma$, one can choose a normal parametrization $F: (u, v) \in U \rightarrow \Sigma$ around p such that

$$F_u = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u} = X,$$

where X is a unit vector field defining the characteristic foliation. The following lemma characterizes the normal coordinates.

Lemma 5.1. *The normal coordinates are determined up to a transformation of the form*

$$\tilde{u} = \pm u + g(v), \quad \tilde{v} = h(v),$$

for some smooth functions $g(v), h(v)$ with $\frac{\partial h}{\partial v} \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose that (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) is any normal coordinates around p , i.e.,

$$F_{\tilde{u}} = \tilde{X},$$

where $\tilde{X} = \pm X$. We have the formula for the change of the coordinates

$$F_u = F_{\tilde{u}} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial u} + F_{\tilde{v}} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial u}, \quad F_v = F_{\tilde{u}} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial v} + F_{\tilde{v}} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial v}. \quad (5.11)$$

Expand $F_{\tilde{v}} = \tilde{a}\tilde{X} + \tilde{b}\tilde{Y} + \tilde{c}\tilde{T}$ by the orthonormal basis $\{\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{T}\}$. The first identity of (5.11) implies

$$X = F_u = \tilde{X} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial u} + \left(\tilde{a} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial u} \tilde{X} + \tilde{b} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial u} \tilde{Y} + \tilde{c} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial u} \tilde{T} \right) = \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial u} + \tilde{a} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial u} \right) \tilde{X} + \tilde{b} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial u} \tilde{Y} + \tilde{c} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial u} \tilde{T}. \quad (5.12)$$

Since p is a non-singular point, we see that $\tilde{c} \neq 0$ around p , and so

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial u} = 0,$$

namely, $\tilde{v} = h(v)$ for some function $h(v)$. In addition, comparing the coefficient of X in (5.11), (5.12), we have

$$\pm 1 = \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial u} + \tilde{a} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial u},$$

and hence $\tilde{u} = \pm u + g(v)$ for some function $g(v)$. Finally we compute

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial v} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial v} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} \\ 0 & \frac{\partial h}{\partial v} \end{pmatrix} = \pm \frac{\partial h}{\partial v} \neq 0,$$

and the result follows. ■

As what we did in (5.8), we can also derive the Darboux derivatives $\tilde{F}^* \omega$ for the normal parametrization. One obtains four 1-forms locally defined on the surface Σ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} &= \tilde{F}^* \omega^1 = du + adv, & \text{II} &= \tilde{F}^* \omega^2 = bdv, & \text{III} &= \tilde{F}^* \omega^3 = cdv, \\ \text{IV} &= \tilde{F}^* \omega_1^2 = ldu + mdv, \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

where the functions a, b, c, m and l are defined as (1.2). Next we show that those 1-forms are invariant under the change of coordinates.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose $\tilde{\text{I}}, \tilde{\text{II}}, \tilde{\text{III}}, \tilde{\text{IV}}$ are those defined as (5.13) with respect to the other normal coordinates (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) . Then we have*

$$\tilde{\text{I}} = \pm \text{I}, \quad \tilde{\text{II}} = \pm \text{II}, \quad \tilde{\text{III}} = \text{III}, \quad \tilde{\text{IV}} = \text{IV}. \quad (5.14)$$

Proof. Suppose $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}, \tilde{l}, \tilde{m}$ are the coefficients of first and second kinds with respect to the normal coordinates (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) . We point out that all such the coefficients have the same expression as in (1.2) w.r.t. the new coordinates except for $\tilde{X} = \pm X$ and $\tilde{Y} = J\tilde{X} = \pm Y$.

By Lemma 5.1, there exists two functions $g(v)$ and $h(v)$ such that

$$\tilde{u} = \pm u + g(v), \quad \tilde{v} = h(v).$$

Now we compute the transformation laws of those coefficients:

$$a = \langle F_v, X \rangle = \left\langle F_{\tilde{u}} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial v} + F_{\tilde{v}} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial v}, X \right\rangle = \left\langle \pm X \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} + F_{\tilde{v}} \frac{\partial h}{\partial v}, X \right\rangle = \pm \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial v} \tilde{a} \right). \quad (5.15)$$

Similarly, we have

$$b = \pm \frac{\partial h}{\partial v} \tilde{b}, \quad c = \frac{\partial h}{\partial v} \tilde{c}, \quad (5.16)$$

and so $F_u = \pm F_{\tilde{u}}$ and $F_{uu} = \pm(F_{\tilde{u}\tilde{u}}\frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial u} + F_{\tilde{u}\tilde{v}}\frac{\partial\tilde{v}}{\partial u}) = F_{\tilde{u}\tilde{u}}$. Thus

$$l = \pm\tilde{l}. \quad (5.17)$$

Similarly

$$m = \frac{\partial g}{\partial v}\tilde{l} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial v}\tilde{m}. \quad (5.18)$$

From the transformation laws (5.15), (5.16), (5.17), (5.18), the result (5.14) follows. \blacksquare

Remark 5.3. In the proof (5.16), denote

$$\alpha = \frac{b}{c}, \quad \tilde{\alpha} = \frac{\tilde{b}}{\tilde{c}}, \quad (5.19)$$

then we have $\alpha = \pm\tilde{\alpha}$. Actually, α is a function defined on the non-singular part of Σ , independent of the choice of the normal coordinates up to a sign, such that $\alpha e_2 + T \in T\Sigma$, and hence an invariant of Σ on the non-singular part. Similarly, from (5.17), so is for l , which actually is the p -mean curvature.

Remark 5.4. We point out that the signs appearing for α and l are due to the different choices of the orientations. Indeed, if one chooses the normal coordinates with respect to a fixed orientation of the characteristic foliation, then we have $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$ and $l = \tilde{l}$.

Besides the invariants α and l , we now proceed to the other invariant of Σ . Actually, by Proposition 5.2, we have

$$\text{I} \otimes \text{I} + \text{II} \otimes \text{II} + \text{III} \otimes \text{III} = \tilde{\text{I}} \otimes \tilde{\text{I}} + \tilde{\text{II}} \otimes \tilde{\text{II}} + \tilde{\text{III}} \otimes \tilde{\text{III}}.$$

Therefore the differential form $\text{I} \otimes \text{I} + \text{II} \otimes \text{II} + \text{III} \otimes \text{III}$ again is independent of the choices of the normal coordinates, and hence an invariant of Σ . Next we characterize this invariant.

Lemma 5.5. *Let g_{Θ} be the adapted metric on H_1 . Then we have*

$$g_{\Theta}|_{\Sigma} = \text{I} \otimes \text{I} + \text{II} \otimes \text{II} + \text{III} \otimes \text{III}$$

defined on the non-singular part of Σ .

Proof. This lemma is a direct consequence of (5.13), (3.2), and $g_{\Theta}|_{\Sigma} = dp \otimes dp$. \blacksquare

Finally we mention that although the 1-forms I, II, III, IV are only defined on the non-singular points, the invariant $\text{I} \otimes \text{I} + \text{II} \otimes \text{II} + \text{III} \otimes \text{III}$ can be smoothly extended to the whole surface Σ by Lemma 5.5.

5.3 A complete set of invariants for surfaces in H_1

In this section, we will obtain the last invariant $\text{IV} = \tilde{F}^*\omega_1^2$, which is completely determined by the invariants α , g_{Θ} , l . We therefore have a complete set of invariants for the non-singular part of the surfaces in H_1 .

Let $f: \Sigma \rightarrow H_1$ be an embedding oriented surface in H_1 . For convenience, we will not distinguish the surfaces Σ and $f(\Sigma)$. At any non-singular point $p \in \Sigma$, we choose the orthonormal frame $(p; e_1, e_2, T)$, where e_1 is tangent to the characteristic foliation and $e_2 = Je_1$. A Darboux frame is a moving frame which is smoothly defined on Σ except for the singular points, and

hence there exists a lifting of f to PSH(1) defined by F . Now we would like to compute the Darboux derivative $F^*\omega$ of F . In the following, we abuse the notation $F^*\omega$ by taking

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \omega^1 & 0 & -\omega_1^2 & 0 \\ \omega^2 & \omega_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \omega^3 & \omega^2 & -\omega^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

to express the Darboux derivative. It satisfies the integrability condition $d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega = 0$, that is,

$$d\omega^1 = \omega_1^2 \wedge \omega^2, \quad d\omega^2 = -\omega_1^2 \wedge \omega^1, \quad d\omega^3 = 2\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2, \quad d\omega_1^2 = 0. \quad (5.20)$$

Let $g_\Theta = h + \Theta^2$ be the adapted metric. By (5.13) we know $\omega^2 = \alpha\omega^3$ on the non-singular part of Σ , and it is easy to see that

$$g_\Theta|_\Sigma = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + \omega^3 \otimes \omega^3 = \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + (1 + \alpha^2)\omega^3 \otimes \omega^3.$$

Set

$$\hat{\omega}^1 = \omega^1, \quad \hat{\omega}^2 = \sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}\omega^3, \quad (5.21)$$

which form an orthonormal coframe on Σ w.r.t. the metric $g_\Theta|_\Sigma$; the corresponding dual frame is

$$\hat{e}_1 = e_1, \quad \hat{e}_2 = e_\Sigma = \frac{\alpha e_2 + T}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}.$$

If $\hat{\omega}_1^2$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g_\Theta|_\Sigma$ with respect to the coframe $\hat{\omega}^1, \hat{\omega}^2$, by the fundamental theorem in Riemannian geometry, we have the structure equations

$$d\hat{\omega}^1 = -\hat{\omega}_2^1 \wedge \hat{\omega}^2, \quad d\hat{\omega}^2 = -\hat{\omega}_1^2 \wedge \hat{\omega}^1, \quad \hat{\omega}_1^2 = -\hat{\omega}_2^1. \quad (5.22)$$

The following proposition shows that ω_1^2 is completely determined by the induced first fundamental form $g_\Theta|_\Sigma$ and the functions α and l defined in 5.19.

Proposition 5.6. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1^2 &= \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}\hat{\omega}_1^2 + \frac{l}{1 + \alpha^2}\hat{\omega}^1 + \frac{e_1\alpha}{(1 + \alpha^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\hat{\omega}^2 = l\hat{\omega}^1 + \frac{2\alpha^2 + (e_1\alpha)}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}\hat{\omega}^2, \\ \hat{\omega}_1^2 &= \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}\omega_1^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{1 + \alpha^2}\hat{\omega}^2 = \frac{l\alpha}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}}\hat{\omega}^1 + \left(2\alpha + \frac{\alpha(e_1\alpha)}{1 + \alpha^2}\right)\hat{\omega}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By $\omega^2 = \alpha\omega^3$ and the second identity of (5.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega^2 &= d\left(\frac{\alpha}{(1 + \alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\hat{\omega}^2\right) = d\left(\frac{\alpha}{(1 + \alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \wedge \hat{\omega}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{(1 + \alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}d\hat{\omega}^2 \\ &= e_1\left(\frac{\alpha}{(1 + \alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\hat{\omega}^1 \wedge \hat{\omega}^2 - \frac{\alpha}{(1 + \alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\hat{\omega}_1^2 \wedge \hat{\omega}^1 \\ &= \hat{\omega}^1 \wedge \left(e_1\left(\frac{\alpha}{(1 + \alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\hat{\omega}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{(1 + \alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\hat{\omega}_1^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the second formula of the structure equation (5.22) at the third equality above. On the other hand, from the Maurer–Cartan structure equation (5.20)

$$d\omega^2 = -\omega_1^2 \wedge \omega^1 = \hat{\omega}^1 \wedge \omega_1^2.$$

Combine two identities above and use the Cartan lemma, we see that there exists a function D such that

$$\omega_1^2 = \frac{e_1\alpha}{(1+\alpha^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\hat{\omega}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{(1+\alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\hat{\omega}_1^2 + D\hat{\omega}^1. \quad (5.23)$$

Similarly,

$$-\hat{\omega}_2^1 \wedge \hat{\omega}^2 = d\hat{\omega}^1 = d\omega^1 = \omega_1^2 \wedge \omega^2 = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}\omega_1^2 \wedge \hat{\omega}^2.$$

Again, by Cartan lemma, there exists a function A such that

$$-\hat{\omega}_2^1 = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}\omega_1^2 + A\hat{\omega}^2. \quad (5.24)$$

Finally, use (5.22) again

$$\begin{aligned} -\hat{\omega}_1^2 \wedge \hat{\omega}^1 &= d\hat{\omega}^2 = d((1+\alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\omega^3) = (1+\alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}d\omega^3 + d(1+\alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \wedge \omega^3 \\ &= 2\alpha(1+\alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{\omega}^1 \wedge \omega^3 + \frac{\alpha}{(1+\alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}d\alpha \wedge \omega^3 = \left(2\alpha + \frac{\alpha(e_1\alpha)}{1+\alpha^2}\right)\hat{\omega}^1 \wedge \hat{\omega}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the third formula of (5.20) and $\hat{\omega}^2 \wedge \omega^3 = 0$. Therefore, there exists a function B such that

$$\hat{\omega}_1^2 = \left(2\alpha + \frac{\alpha(e_1\alpha)}{1+\alpha^2}\right)\hat{\omega}^2 + B\hat{\omega}^1. \quad (5.25)$$

By (5.23), (5.24), we get

$$D = \omega_1^2(e_1) - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}\hat{\omega}_1^2(e_1) = \frac{\omega_1^2(e_1)}{1+\alpha^2} = \frac{l}{1+\alpha^2}.$$

Similarly, by (5.23), (5.24), (5.25), we obtain

$$A = \frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha^2}, \quad B = \frac{l\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}.$$

These complete the proof. ■

6 The derivation of the integrability condition (1.6)

Proof. Proposition 5.6 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d\omega_1^2 = d\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}\hat{\omega}_1^2 + \frac{l}{1+\alpha^2}\hat{\omega}^1 + \frac{e_1\alpha}{(1+\alpha^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\hat{\omega}^2\right) \\ &= \left\{- (1+\alpha^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}(e_\Sigma l) + (1+\alpha^2)(e_1 e_1 \alpha) - \alpha(e_1 \alpha)^2 + 4\alpha(1+\alpha^2)(e_1 \alpha) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha(1+\alpha^2)^2 K + \alpha l(1+\alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(e_\Sigma \alpha) + \alpha(1+\alpha^2)l^2\right\} \frac{\hat{\omega}^1 \wedge \hat{\omega}^2}{(1+\alpha^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the integrability condition (1.6) is equivalent to $d\omega_1^2 = 0$. ■

7 The proof of Theorem 1.10

Proof. First we show existence. Define a $\mathfrak{psh}(1)$ -valued 1-form ϕ on the non-singular part of Σ by

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hat{\omega}^1 & 0 & -\omega_1^2 & 0 \\ \frac{\alpha'}{\sqrt{1+(\alpha')^2}}\hat{\omega}^2 & \omega_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(\alpha')^2}}\hat{\omega}^2 & \frac{\alpha'}{\sqrt{1+(\alpha')^2}}\hat{\omega}^2 & -\hat{\omega}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\omega_1^2 = \frac{\alpha'}{\sqrt{1+(\alpha')^2}}\hat{\omega}_1^2 + \frac{l'}{1+(\alpha')^2}\hat{\omega}^1 + \frac{e_1\alpha'}{(1+(\alpha')^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\hat{\omega}^2.$$

It is easy to check that ϕ satisfies $d\phi + \phi \wedge \phi = 0$ if and only if the integrability condition (1.6) holds. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, for any point $p \in \Sigma$, there exists an open set U containing p and an embedding $f: U \rightarrow H_1$ such that $g = f^*(g_\Theta)$, $\alpha' = f^*\alpha$ and $l' = f^*l$.

For uniqueness, by Proposition 5.6, the Darboux derivative is completely determined by the induced metric $g_\Theta|_\Sigma$, the p -variation α and the p -mean curvature l . Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, the embedding into H_1 is unique up to a Heisenberg rigid motion. \blacksquare

8 Application: the Crofton formula

Since the singular set of a C^2 -surface in H_1 consists of only isolated points or singular curves [3, Theorem B], and the integral of the intersections of horizontal lines and the surface over the singular set has zero measure, we may assume that Σ is a C^2 -surface without singular points throughout this section.

Definition 8.1. An *oriented horizontal line* ℓ in H_1 is an oriented line such that any point $p \in \ell$ the tangent vector of the line at p lies on the contact plane ξ_p . For convenience we sometimes call a *horizontal line* or a *line*. Denote \mathcal{L} by the set of all oriented horizontal lines in H_1 .

Proposition 8.2. Any horizontal line $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ can be parametrized by a triple $(p, \theta, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, and also be parametrized by a base point $B = (p \cos \theta, p \sin \theta, t)$ with a horizontally unit-speed vector $U = (\sin \theta, -\cos \theta, p)$, namely,

$$\ell(s): (p \cos \theta, p \sin \theta, t) + s(\sin \theta, -\cos \theta, p), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (8.1)$$

Proof. Consider the projection $\pi(\ell)$ of the line $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ onto the xy -plane. Since $\pi(\ell)$ can be uniquely determined by the pair (p, θ) , where $p \in \mathbb{R}$ is the oriented distance from the origin to the line $\pi(\ell)$ (see [5] or the remark below) and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ is the angle from the positive x -axis to the normal (Fig. 1), the points $(x, y) \in \pi(\ell)$ satisfy the equation

$$x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta = p. \quad (8.2)$$

On the projection $\pi(\ell)$, denote the foot point

$$b = (p \cos \theta, p \sin \theta),$$

and the unit tangent vector along the projection

$$u = (\sin \theta, -\cos \theta), \quad |u|_{\mathbb{R}^2} = 1, \quad (8.3)$$




Figure 1.

where $|u|_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ is the Euclidean length of u on the xy -plane; on the line $\ell \in H_1$, denote the lifting of the foot point b , called the *base point* by

$$B = (p \cos \theta, p \sin \theta, t) \quad \text{for some } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Denote the tangent vector of ℓ at point B by $T(B)$. Since ℓ is horizontal, which implies that $T(B) \in \xi_B := \text{span}\{\hat{e}_1(B), \hat{e}_2(B)\}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(B) &= \alpha \hat{e}_1(B) + \beta \hat{e}_2(B) = \alpha(1, 0, p \sin \theta) + \beta(0, 1, -p \cos \theta) \\ &= (\alpha, \beta, \alpha p \sin \theta - \beta p \cos \theta) \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Notice that the projection $\pi(T(B))$ is exactly the unit tangent vector u along the projection $\pi(\ell)$. Hence by comparing the first two components of (8.4) with (8.3) we have

$$\alpha = \sin \theta, \quad \beta = -\cos \theta, \quad \text{and} \quad T(B) = (\sin \theta, -\cos \theta, p).$$

Therefore by defining the horizontal vector

$$U := T(B) = \sin \theta \hat{e}_1(B) - \cos \theta \hat{e}_2(B),$$

we have $|U|_{\xi(B)} = 1$, the horizontally unit-speed, and conclude that the line ℓ can be uniquely determined by the triple (p, θ, t) , i.e., the base point B , and be parametrized by $B + sU$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ as shown in (8.1). \blacksquare

Remark 8.3. We point out that the lines we consider in H_1 are all *oriented* lines. Indeed, by convention in \mathbb{R}^2 there exists a bijection between the set of oriented lines and $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$, and the orientation of $\ell \in H_1$ follows that of $\pi(\ell) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. If we consider the non-oriented lines in \mathbb{R}^2 (and hence in H_1), then the coefficient on the right-hand side of (1.7) should be changed to 2.

Next, we consider the intersections of lines and a fixed surface

$$\mathbb{X}: (u, v) \in \Omega \rightarrow (x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v)) \in \Sigma$$

embedded in H_1 for some domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. To describe the position of the intersection in \mathbb{R}^3 , one needs exact three variables. We have already known, by Proposition 8.2, a line can be represented by a triple (p, θ, t) . Hence if we regard lines and surfaces as a whole system (the configuration space) and use five variables $\{(p, \theta, t, u, v)\}$ to describe the behavior of the intersections, two additional constraints are necessarily required to make the number of the freedoms be three. Those constraints can be obtained from the following proposition.

Proposition 8.4. *Let $\mathbb{X}(u, v) = (x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v)) \in \Sigma$ be the parametrized surface in H_1 . Then the configuration space D which describes the horizontal oriented lines intersecting Σ should be*

$$\begin{aligned} D &:= \{(p, \theta, t, u, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \\ &\quad | \text{the lines } (p, \theta, t) \in \mathcal{L} \text{ pass through the point } \mathbb{X}(u, v) \text{ on } \Sigma\} \\ &= \{(p, \theta, t, u, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega | \text{the variables } p, \theta, t, u, v \text{ satisfy (8.5) and (8.6)}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$x(u, v) \cos \theta + y(u, v) \sin \theta = p, \tag{8.5}$$

$$z(u, v) = t + (x(u, v) \sin \theta - y(u, v) \cos \theta)p. \tag{8.6}$$

Proof. Suppose the line $\ell(s)$ parametrized by (8.1) intersects the surface Σ at the point q .




Figure 2.

At the point q , by Proposition 8.2, we have

$$x(u, v) = p \cos \theta + s \cdot \sin \theta, \tag{8.7}$$

$$y(u, v) = p \sin \theta - s \cdot \cos \theta, \tag{8.8}$$

$$z(u, v) = t + s \cdot p, \tag{8.9}$$

for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$. By (8.7), (8.8), one has

$$x(u, v) \cos \theta + y(u, v) \sin \theta = p,$$

which is compatible with (8.2) and we obtain the first constraint (8.5). Finally, use (8.7), (8.8) again to solve for the parameter s , and substitute s into (8.9). It is easy to have the second constraint (8.6) ■

Remark 8.5. By a simple calculation and (8.5), we observe that

$$U(B) = \sin \theta \dot{e}_1(B) - \cos \theta \dot{e}_2(B) = \sin \theta \dot{e}_1(\mathbb{X}(u, v)) - \cos \theta \dot{e}_2(\mathbb{X}(u, v)) = U(\mathbb{X}(u, v)),$$

i.e., the horizontally unit-speed vector field U along the line have the same vector-value wherever being evaluated at the based point B or at the intersection $q = \mathbb{X}(u, v)$.

Actually, the coordinates (u, v) determine where the intersections should be located on the surface, and the angle θ decides how those lines penetrate through the surface. Thus, instead of using (p, θ, t) as the coordinates for the configuration space, we can also take the triple

$\{(u, v, \theta) \in \Omega \times S^1\}$ as the coordinates. Since the intersection q is not only on the line but on the surface, we can derive the change of the coordinates for those coordinates.

By Remark 8.5 we choose the frame $\{\mathbb{X}(u, v); e_1(\theta), e_2(\theta), T\}$ on D where (Fig. 2)

$$e_1 := \sin \theta \hat{e}_1 - \cos \theta \hat{e}_2, \quad e_2 := J e_1 = \cos \theta \hat{e}_1 + \sin \theta \hat{e}_2, \quad T := (0, 0, 1), \quad (8.10)$$

and denote the corresponding coframe $\{\mathbb{X}(u, v); \omega^1, \omega^2, \Theta\}$ with the connection 1-form ω_1^2 . The following formula connects the coordinates (p, θ, t) of the line and the coframe.

Proposition 8.6. *Let $\{\mathbb{X}(u, v); e_1(\theta), e_2(\theta), T\}$ be a frame defined by (8.10) and the corresponding coframe $\{\mathbb{X}(u, v); \omega^1, \omega^2, \Theta\}$ with the connection 1-form ω_1^2 . We have*

$$\omega^2 = dp + \langle \mathbb{X}, e_1 \rangle d\theta, \quad \omega_1^2 = d\theta, \quad \Theta = dt, \quad \text{mod } d\theta, dp.$$

One concludes that

$$\omega^2 \wedge \omega_1^2 = dp \wedge d\theta, \quad \omega^2 \wedge \omega_1^2 \wedge \Theta = dp \wedge d\theta \wedge dt = \pi^* dL, \quad (8.11)$$

where π is the projection from D to \mathcal{L} , and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Levi-metric.

Proof. On the surface since $\mathbb{X} = (x, y, z) = x(1, 0, y) + y(0, 1, -x) + (0, 0, z) = x\hat{e}_1 + y\hat{e}_2 + zT$, we have

$$\langle \mathbb{X}, e_1 \rangle = \langle x\hat{e}_1 + y\hat{e}_2 + zT, \sin \theta \hat{e}_1 - \cos \theta \hat{e}_2 \rangle = x \sin \theta - y \cos \theta.$$

Thus, by the moving frame formula (3.2) and the first constraint (8.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^2 &= \langle d\mathbb{X}, e_2 \rangle = \left\langle dx \hat{e}_1 + dy \hat{e}_2 + \Theta \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, e_2 \right\rangle = \cos \theta dx + \sin \theta dy \\ &= dp + (x \sin \theta - y \cos \theta) d\theta = dp + \langle \mathbb{X}, e_1 \rangle d\theta; \\ \omega_1^2 &= -\omega^2 = -\langle de_2, e_1 \rangle = \langle \sin \theta d\theta \hat{e}_1 + \cos \theta d\theta \hat{e}_2, \sin \theta \hat{e}_1 + \cos \theta \hat{e}_2 \rangle \\ &= \sin^2 \theta d\theta + \cos^2 \theta d\theta = d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

By the second constraint (8.6) and the parametrization of the line (8.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta &= dz + xdy - ydx = (dt + (x \sin \theta - y \cos \theta)dp + pd(x \sin \theta - y \cos \theta)) + xdy - ydx \\ &= dt + (p \sin \theta - y)dx - (p \cos \theta - x)dy, \quad \text{mod } d\theta, dp \\ &= dt + s(\cos \theta dx + \sin \theta dy), \quad \text{mod } d\theta, dp, \quad \text{for some } s \in \mathbb{R} \\ &= dt, \quad \text{mod } d\theta, dp, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. ■

The next lemma characterizes the 1-dimension foliation.

Lemma 8.7. *Let $E = \alpha \mathbb{X}_u + \beta \mathbb{X}_v$ be the tangent vector field defined on the surface $\Sigma = \mathbb{X}(u, v)$. Then the vector E is on the contact bundle ξ (and hence in $TH_1 \cap \xi$) if and only if pointwisely the coefficients α and β satisfy*

$$\alpha t_u + \beta t_v + x(\alpha y_u + \beta y_v) - y(\alpha x_u + \beta x_v) = 0, \quad (8.12)$$

equivalently,

$$\alpha(t_u + xy_u - yx_u) + \beta(t_v + xy_v - yx_v) = 0. \quad (8.13)$$

Proof. First, we assume that $E = \alpha\mathbb{X}_u + \beta\mathbb{X}_v = \alpha(x_u, y_u, z_u) + \beta(x_v, y_v, z_v) = c\hat{e}_1 + d\hat{e}_2 = (c, d, cy - dx)$ for some constants c and d . Compare each component of E to have

$$\alpha x_u + \beta x_v = c, \quad \alpha y_u + \beta y_v = d, \quad \alpha z_u + \beta z_v = cy - dx.$$

Substitute the last equation by the first two, we get the necessary condition $\alpha z_u + \beta z_v = (\alpha x_u + \beta x_v)y - (\alpha y_u + \beta y_v)x$.

The reverse part can be obtained by the direct computation

$$\begin{aligned} E &= (\alpha x_u + \beta x_v, \alpha y_u + \beta y_v, \alpha z_u + \beta z_v) = (\alpha x_u + \beta x_v)(1, 0, y) + (\alpha y_u + \beta y_v)(0, 1, -x) \\ &\quad + (0, 0, \alpha z_u + \beta z_v - y(\alpha x_u + \beta x_v) + x(\alpha y_u + \beta y_v)) \\ &= (\alpha x_u + \beta x_v)\hat{e}_1 + (\alpha y_u + \beta y_v)\hat{e}_2. \end{aligned}$$

We have used the condition (8.12) in the last equality. ■

Next we show a formula for the change of coordinates between the coframe and the coordinates of the surface.

Proposition 8.8. *Suppose we choose the frames $\{\mathbb{X}(u, v); e_1(\theta), e_2(\theta), T\}$ on D and the coframe with the connection 1-form defined by (8.10). We have the identity*

$$\Theta \wedge \omega^2 \wedge \omega_1^2 = \langle E, e_2 \rangle du \wedge dv \wedge d\theta, \quad (8.14)$$

where the singular foliation

$$E := (z_u + xy_u - yx_u)\mathbb{X}_v - (z_v + xy_v - yx_v)\mathbb{X}_u$$

defines the characteristic foliation of Σ , which is induced from the contact plane ξ .

Proof. By Proposition 8.6 and the moving frame formula (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta \wedge \omega^2 \wedge \omega_1^2 &= (dz + xdy - ydx) \wedge \langle d\mathbb{X}, e_2 \rangle \wedge d\theta \\ &= ((z_u + xy_u - yx_u)du + (z_v + xy_v - yx_v)dv) \\ &\quad \wedge (\langle \mathbb{X}_u, e_2 \rangle du \wedge d\theta + \langle \mathbb{X}_v, e_2 \rangle dv \wedge d\theta) \\ &= \langle (z_u + xy_u - yx_u)\mathbb{X}_v - (z_v + xy_v - yx_v)\mathbb{X}_u, e_2 \rangle du \wedge dv \wedge d\theta \\ &= \langle E, e_2 \rangle du \wedge dv \wedge d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the vector $E \in TM \cap \xi$, it suffices to show that the coefficients $\alpha := (z_u + xy_u - yx_u)$ and $\beta := -(z_v + xy_v - yx_v)$ satisfy the condition (8.13), and we complete the proof by the previous Lemma 8.7. ■

Remark 8.9. In classical integral geometry [5, 22], the quantity $dL := dp \wedge d\theta \wedge dt$ is called the (*kinematic*) *density* of the line $(p, \theta, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, which is always chosen to be positive depending the orientation. Hence, according to (8.11) and (8.14), in the following proof we have to consider the orientation of $\{(u, v, \theta)\}$ to ensure the positivity of the quantity $\langle E, e_2 \rangle$.

Proof of Theorem 1.11. By Remark 8.9, we choose $du \wedge dv \wedge d\theta$ as the orientation of D . Let $D = D^+ \cup D^-$, where

$$\begin{aligned} D^+ &:= \{(p, \theta, t, u, v) \mid \langle E, e_2 \rangle \geq 0\}, & D^- &:= \{(p, \theta, t, u, v) \mid \langle E, e_2 \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ \Gamma &:= D^+ \cap D^-. \end{aligned}$$

By the structure equation (5.20),

$$\begin{aligned} d(\Theta \wedge \omega^1) &= d\Theta \wedge \omega^1 - \Theta \wedge d\omega^1 \\ &= (2\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2) \wedge \omega^1 - \Theta \wedge (\omega_1^2 \wedge \omega^2) = \Theta \wedge \omega^2 \wedge \omega_1^2. \end{aligned} \quad (8.15)$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta \wedge \omega^1 &= (dz + xdy - ydx) \wedge \langle d\mathbb{X}, e_1 \rangle \\ &= ((z_u + xy_u - yx_u)du + (z_v + xy_v - yx_v)dv) \wedge (\langle \mathbb{X}_u, e_1 \rangle du + \langle \mathbb{X}_v, e_1 \rangle dv) \\ &= \langle E, e_1 \rangle du \wedge dv. \end{aligned} \quad (8.16)$$

Now we integrate the kinematic density dL over the set \mathcal{L} . By using (8.11), (8.15), the Stock's theorem, and (8.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}, \ell \cap \Sigma \neq \emptyset} n(\ell \cap \Sigma) dL &= 2 \left(\int_{D^+} \pi^* dL - \int_{D^-} \pi^* dL \right) \\ &= 2 \left(\int_{D^+} \omega^2 \wedge \omega_1^2 \wedge \Theta - \int_{D^-} \omega^2 \wedge \omega_1^2 \wedge \Theta \right) \\ &= 2 \left(\int_{\partial D^+} \Theta \wedge \omega^1 - \int_{\partial D^-} \Theta \wedge \omega^1 \right) \\ &= 2 \left(\int_{\Gamma^+ \cup \Gamma} \Theta \wedge \omega^1 - \int_{\Gamma^- \cup \Gamma} \Theta \wedge \omega^1 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (8.17)$$

where $\Gamma^\pm := \partial D^\pm \setminus \Gamma$. We also point out that the number, 2, occurs in the first identity is due to the orientations for each horizontal line.

Next, we show that $du \wedge dv = 0$ on Γ^\pm . Indeed, by using the coordinates $\{(u, v, \theta)\}$ for the configuration space D , any vector field defined on Γ^+ can be represented by $A \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \in \partial \Sigma \times S^1$ for some vector A defined on the tangent bundle $T\partial \Sigma$. The value $du \wedge dv$ evaluated on Γ^+ must be

$$du \wedge dv \left(A \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) = du(A) \cancel{dv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)} = 0 - dv(A) \cancel{du \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)} = 0.$$

Therefore, (8.17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}, \ell \cap \Sigma \neq \emptyset} n(\ell \cap \Sigma) dL &= 2 \left(2 \int_{\Gamma} \Theta \wedge \omega^1 + \int_{\Gamma^+} \Theta \wedge \omega^1 - \int_{\Gamma^-} \Theta \wedge \omega^1 \right) \\ &= 4 \int_{\Gamma} \Theta \wedge \omega^1 = 4 \int_{\Gamma} |E| du \wedge dv = 4 \cdot \text{p-area}(\Sigma), \end{aligned}$$

we have used (8.16) and E is parallel to e_1 on Γ at the third equality. ■

Acknowledgements

The first and second authors' research was supported by NCTS grant NSC-100-2628-M-008-001-MY4. They would like to express their appreciation to Professors Jih-Hsin Cheng and Paul Yang for their interests in this work and inspiring discussions. The third author would like to express her thanks to Professor Shu-Cheng Chang for his teaching, constant encouragement, and support. We all thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading of our manuscript and their many insightful comments and suggestions to improve the paper.

References

- [1] Calin O., Chang D.-C., Sub-Riemannian geometry. General theory and examples, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 126, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [2] Calin O., Chang D.-C., Greiner P., Geometric analysis on the Heisenberg group and its generalizations, *AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Vol. 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2007.
- [3] Cheng J.-H., Hwang J.-F., Malchiodi A., Yang P., Minimal surfaces in pseudohermitian geometry, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **4** (2005), 129–177, [math.DG/0401136](#).
- [4] Cheng J.-H., Hwang J.-F., Malchiodi A., Yang P., A Codazzi-like equation and the singular set for C^1 smooth surfaces in the Heisenberg group, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **671** (2012), 131–198, [arXiv:1006.4455](#).
- [5] Chern S.S., Lectures on integral geometry, Academia Sinica, National Taiwan University and National Tsinghua University, 1965.
- [6] Chern S.S., Chen W.H., Lam K.S., Lectures on differential geometry, *Series on University Mathematics*, Vol. 1, World Sci. Publ. Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1999.
- [7] Chevalley C., Theory of Lie groups. I, *Princeton Mathematical Series*, Vol. 8, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999.
- [8] Chiu H.-L., Feng X., Huang Y.-C., The fundamental theorem of curves and classifications in the Heisenberg groups, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **56** (2018), 161–172, [arXiv:1511.05237](#).
- [9] Chiu H.-L., Lai S.-H., The fundamental theorem for hypersurfaces in Heisenberg groups, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **54** (2015), 1091–1118, [arXiv:1301.6463](#).
- [10] Fuchs D., Tabachnikov S., Invariants of Legendrian and transverse knots in the standard contact space, *Topology* **36** (1997), 1025–1053.
- [11] Geiges H., An introduction to contact topology, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Vol. 109, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [12] Griffiths P., On Cartan’s method of Lie groups and moving frames as applied to uniqueness and existence questions in differential geometry, *Duke Math. J.* **41** (1974), 775–814.
- [13] Huang Y.-C., Applications of integral geometry to geometric properties of sets in the 3D-Heisenberg group, *Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces* **4** (2016), 425–435.
- [14] Ivey T.A., Landsberg J.M., Cartan for beginners: differential geometry via moving frames and exterior differential systems, *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, Vol. 61, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [15] Lee J.M., The Fefferman metric and pseudo-Hermitian invariants, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **296** (1986), 411–429.
- [16] Lee J.M., Pseudo-Einstein structures on CR manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.* **110** (1988), 157–178.
- [17] Maalaoui A., Martino V., The topology of a subspace of the Legendrian curves on a closed contact 3-manifold, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **14** (2014), 393–426, [arXiv:1303.5017](#).
- [18] Montefalcone F., Some relations among volume, intrinsic perimeter and one-dimensional restrictions of BV functions in Carnot groups, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **4** (2005), 79–128.
- [19] Pansu P., Une inégalité isopérimétrique sur le groupe de Heisenberg, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **295** (1982), 127–130.
- [20] Prandi D., Rizzi L., Seri M., A sub-Riemannian Santaló formula with applications to isoperimetric inequalities and first Dirichlet eigenvalue of hypoelliptic operators, [arXiv:1509.05415](#).
- [21] Ren D.L., Topics in integral geometry, *Series in Pure Mathematics*, Vol. 19, World Sci. Publ. Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1994.
- [22] Santaló L.A., Integral geometry and geometric probability, 2nd ed., *Cambridge Mathematical Library*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [23] Sharpe R.W., Differential geometry. Cartan’s generalization of Klein’s Erlangen program, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Vol. 166, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [24] Webster S.M., Pseudo-Hermitian structures on a real hypersurface, *J. Differential Geom.* **13** (1978), 25–41.