

LINE SEGMENT ENERGY AND APPLICATIONS

YULIAN CHEN AND CHENGJIE YU¹

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we compute the derivatives of the line segment energy for a symmetric tensor field and apply them to obtain slightly more general log-concavity estimates for positive solutions of heat equations and first eigenfunctions on bounded strictly convex domains.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the celebrated proof of the fundamental conjecture by Andrews and Clutterbuck [2], a sharp log-concavity estimate of the first eigenfunction plays an important role. In the proof, Andrews and Clutterbuck did not try to estimate the Hessian of the logarithmic of the first eigenfunction directly. Instead, they estimate the integration of the Hessian along line segments which they called modulus of expansion. This was observed by Ni [7] and was called energy of line segments in [7]. This will make things more complicated at first glance because we have doubled the number of spatial variables. However, by applying a clever trick to the new quantity, things become simpler (See [1]). The method has been proved to be important in obtaining sharp estimates by its successes in gradient estimate, eigenvalue estimate etc.(See [1]).

Let τ be a symmetric (0,2)-tensor on \mathbb{R}^n . Then the line segment energy between x and y is defined to be

$$(1.1) \quad E_\tau(x, y) = \int_0^{\|y-x\|} \tau(\theta(s, x, y))(N(x, y), N(x, y)) ds$$

where $N(x, y) = \frac{y-x}{\|y-x\|}$ and $\theta(s, x, y) = x + sN(x, y)$. When $f(x)$ is a function, we simply denote $E_{\nabla^2 f}(x, y)$ as $E_f(x, y)$. When $f(x, t)$ is a function also depending on time, we simply denote $E_{\nabla^2 f(\cdot, t)}(x, y)$ as $E_f(x, y, t)$. The line segment energy also appears in integral geometry where it is called ray transformation of the tensor field τ (See [8]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35K05; Secondary 35J25.

Key words and phrases. line segment energy, log-concavity comparison.

¹Research partially supported by a supporting project from the Department of Education of Guangdong Province with contract no. Yq2013073, and NSFC 11571215.

In this paper, we compute the derivatives of the line segment energy for a symmetric tensor field and apply it to obtain slightly more general log-concavity estimates for positive solutions to heat equations and first eigenfunctions on bounded strictly convex domains. Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a bounded strictly convex domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary and diameter D , $q(x, t) \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, $u(x, t)$ be a positive solution of the heat equation*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + qu = 0$$

on Ω with Dirichlet boundary data. Let $\psi \in C^\infty([0, D/2] \times [0, T])$ with $\psi(0, t) = 0$, $\psi_{ss}(0, t) = 0$ and $\psi_s > 0$. Here ψ_s means taking derivative with respect to s . Suppose that

$$(1.2) \quad E_q(x, y, t) \geq \varphi(r(x, y)/2, t)$$

where φ is a nonnegative function on $[0, D/2] \times [0, T]$,

$$(1.3) \quad E_f(x, y, 0) \geq m_0 \psi(r(x, y)/2, 0)$$

for some $m_0 \geq 0$ where $f = -\log u$. Then

$$(1.4) \quad E_f(x, y, t) \geq m \psi(r(x, y)/2, t)$$

for any $x, y \in \Omega$ and $t \in [0, T]$, where

$$(1.5) \quad m = \min_{(s,t) \in [0, D/2] \times [0, T]} \left\{ m_0, \frac{\psi_{ss} - \psi_t + \sqrt{(\psi_{ss} - \psi_t)^2 + 4\varphi\psi\psi_s}}{2\psi_s\psi}(s, t) \right\}.$$

By similar arguments, an elliptic version of the last theorem is also obtained (See Theorem 3.2). As corollaries of the log-concavity estimates, we obtain log-concavity comparisons for positive solutions of heat equations and first eigenfunctions. These log-concavity comparisons are presented in different forms in [2, 7]. Our proofs of Theorem 1.1 apply the very interesting technique in the elliptic proof of fundamental gap theorem in [7] where maximum principle is applied to a quotient quantity instead of a difference quantity. Because the quotient quantity has singularity on the diagonal, a process similar to blowing up is used.

The remaining parts of the paper are organized as follows. In Section 2, we compute the derivatives of line segment energy. In Section 3, we apply the computation in Section 2 to log-concavity estimates.

2. LINE SEGMENT ENERGY IN EUCLIDEAN SPACES

Let Ω be a convex domain in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $r(x, y) = \|x - y\|$, $N(x, y) = \frac{y-x}{\|y-x\|}$ and $\theta(s, x, y) = x + sN(x, y)$. It is clear that $\theta(s, x, y)$ is the line segment joining x to y . Let τ be a symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor field on Ω . Define the energy of τ between x and y as

$$E_\tau(x, y) = \int_0^{r(x,y)} \tau(\theta(s, x, y))(N(x, y), N(x, y)) ds.$$

By direct computation, we can obtain the following first and second derivatives of $r(x, y)$, $N(x, y)$ and $\theta(s, x, y)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let x_0 and y_0 be two different points in \mathbb{R}^n , and e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n be an orthonormal frame with $e_n = N(x_0, y_0)$. Let $E_i = (e_i, e_i)$ and $\tilde{E}_i = (e_i, -e_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then*

- (1) $\nabla_{E_i} N(x_0, y_0) = 0$, $\nabla_{E_i} r(x_0, y_0) = 0$, $\nabla_{E_i} \theta(s, x_0, y_0) = e_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$;
- (2) $\nabla_{\tilde{E}_i} N(x_0, y_0) = -\frac{2}{r(x_0, y_0)} e_i$, $\nabla_{\tilde{E}_i} r(x_0, y_0) = 0$, $\nabla_{\tilde{E}_i} \theta(s, x_0, y_0) = \left(1 - \frac{2s}{r(x_0, y_0)}\right) e_i$ for $i < n$;
- (3) $\nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} N(x_0, y_0) = 0$, $\nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} r(x_0, y_0) = -2$, $\nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \theta(s, x_0, y_0) = e_n$;
- (4) $\nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} N(x_0, y_0) = \nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} r(x_0, y_0) = \nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} \theta(s, x_0, y_0) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
- (5) $\nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} N(x_0, y_0) = \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} r(x_0, y_0) = \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \theta(s, x_0, y_0) = 0$;

Proof. We only compute $\nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} N(x_0, y_0)$ in (4), the others are similar. (2.1)

$$\nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} N(x_0, y_0) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} N(x_0 + te_i, y_0 + te_i) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} N(x_0, y_0) \Big|_{t=0} = 0.$$

□

We now come to compute the derivatives of E_τ .

Theorem 2.1. *Let notations be the same as before. Then,*

$$\nabla_{E_i} E_\tau(x_0, y_0) = E_{\nabla_{e_i} \tau}(x_0, y_0)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. By (1) in Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{E_i} E_\tau(x_0, y_0) \\
&= \nabla_{E_i} \left(\int_0^{r(x,y)} \tau_{\alpha\beta}(\theta(s, x, y)) N_\alpha N_\beta(x, y) ds \right) \Big|_{x=x_0, y=y_0} \\
(2.2) \quad &= \int_0^{r(x_0, y_0)} \tau_{\alpha\beta; \gamma}(\theta(s, x_0, y_0)) \nabla_{E_i} \theta_\gamma(s, x_0, y_0) N_\alpha N_\beta(x_0, y_0) ds \\
&= \int_0^{r(x_0, y_0)} \tau_{\alpha\beta; i}(\theta(s, x_0, y_0)) N_\alpha N_\beta(x_0, y_0) ds \\
&= E_{\nabla_{e_i} \tau}(x_0, y_0).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.2. *Let notations be the same as before. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad \nabla_{\tilde{E}_i} E_\tau(x_0, y_0) = \begin{cases} E_{\nabla_{e_i} \tau}(x_0, y_0) - \frac{2}{r(x_0, y_0)} \int_0^{r(x_0, y_0)} (s\tau_{nn; i} + 2\tau_{in})(\theta(s, x_0, y_0)) ds & i < n \\ E_{\nabla_{e_n} \tau}(x_0, y_0) - 2\tau_{nn}(y_0) & i = n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (2) of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{\tilde{E}_i} E_\tau(x_0, y_0) \\
&= \nabla_{\tilde{E}_i} \left(\int_0^{r(x,y)} \tau_{\alpha\beta}(\theta(s, x, y)) N_\alpha N_\beta(x, y) ds \right) \Big|_{x=x_0, y=y_0} \\
(2.4) \quad &= \int_0^{r(x_0, y_0)} \tau_{\alpha\beta; \gamma}(\theta(s, x_0, y_0)) \nabla_{\tilde{E}_i} \theta_\gamma(s, x_0, y_0) N_\alpha N_\beta(x_0, y_0) ds \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^{r(x_0, y_0)} \tau_{\alpha\beta}(\theta(s, x_0, y_0)) \nabla_{\tilde{E}_i} N_\alpha N_\beta(x_0, y_0) ds \\
&= E_{\nabla_{e_i} \tau}(x_0, y_0) - \frac{2}{r(x_0, y_0)} \int_0^{r(x_0, y_0)} (s\tau_{nn; i} + 2\tau_{in})(\theta(s, x_0, y_0)) ds
\end{aligned}$$

when $i < n$.

By (3) of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad & \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} E_\tau(x_0, y_0) \\
&= \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \left(\int_0^{r(x,y)} \tau_{\alpha\beta}(\theta(s, x, y)) N_\alpha N_\beta(x, y) ds \right) \Big|_{x=x_0, y=y_0} \\
&= -2\tau_{nn}(y_0) + \int_0^{r(x_0, y_0)} \tau_{\alpha\beta;\gamma}(\theta(s, x_0, y_0)) \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \theta_\gamma(s, x_0, y_0) N_\alpha N_\beta(x_0, y_0) ds \\
&= E_{\nabla_{e_n} \tau}(x_0, y_0) - 2\tau_{nn}(y_0).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.3. *Let notations be the same as before. Then*

$$\nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} E_\tau(x_0, y_0) = E_{\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} \tau}(x_0, y_0)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. By (1) and (4) of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad & \nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} E_\tau(x_0, y_0) \\
&= \nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} \left(\int_0^{r(x,y)} \tau_{\alpha\beta}(\theta) N_\alpha N_\beta ds \right) \Big|_{x=x_0, y=y_0} \\
&= \nabla_{E_i} \left(\tau_{\alpha\beta}(y) N_\alpha N_\beta \nabla_{E_i} r + \int_0^{r(x,y)} \nabla_{E_i} (\tau_{\alpha\beta}(\theta) N_\alpha N_\beta) ds \right) \Big|_{x=x_0, y=y_0} \\
&= \int_0^{r(x_0, y_0)} \nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} (\tau_{\alpha\beta}(\theta) N_\alpha N_\beta) ds \\
&= \int_0^{r(x_0, y_0)} \tau_{\alpha\beta;kl}(\theta) \nabla_{E_i} \theta_l \nabla_{E_i} \theta_k N_\alpha N_\beta ds \\
&= \int_0^{r(x_0, y_0)} \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} \tau_{\alpha\beta}(\theta) N_\alpha N_\beta ds \\
&= E_{\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} \tau}(x_0, y_0).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.4. *Let notations be the same as before. Then,*

$$\nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} E_\tau(x_0, y_0) = E_{\nabla_{e_n} \nabla_{e_n} \tau}(x_0, y_0).$$

Proof. By (3) and (5) of Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad & \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} E_\tau(x_0, y_0) \\
&= \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \left(\int_0^{r(x,y)} \tau_{\alpha\beta}(\theta) N_\alpha N_\beta ds \right) \Big|_{x=x_0, y=y_0} \\
&= \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \left(\tau_{\alpha\beta}(y) N_\alpha N_\beta \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} r + \int_0^{r(x,y)} \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} (\tau_{\alpha\beta}(\theta) N_\alpha N_\beta) ds \right) \Big|_{x=x_0, y=y_0} \\
&= 2\tau_{nn;n}(y_0) + \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \left(\int_0^{r(x,y)} (\tau_{\alpha\beta;\gamma}(\theta) \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \theta_\gamma N_\alpha N_\beta) ds \right) \Big|_{x=x_0, y=y_0} \\
&= \int_0^{r(x_0, y_0)} \tau_{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}(\theta) \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \theta_\delta \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \theta_\gamma N_\alpha N_\beta ds \\
&= \int_0^{r(x_0, y_0)} \nabla_{e_n} \nabla_{e_n} \tau_{\alpha\beta}(\theta) N_\alpha N_\beta ds \\
&= E_{\nabla_{e_n} \nabla_{e_n} \tau}(x_0, y_0).
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. APPLICATIONS TO LOG-CONCAVITY COMPARISON

In this section, we use the line segment energy and an interesting technique in [7] to derive slightly more general log-concavity estimates. We first need some boundary behaviors for the line segment energy of the logarithmic of a defining function for a bounded strictly convex domain. Before doing this, we need the following boundary behavior for the Hessian of the logarithmic of a defining function of a bounded strictly convex domain.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Ω be a bounded strictly convex domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary and $\phi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $\phi > 0$ in Ω , $\phi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}|_{\partial\Omega} < 0$ where ν is the unit outward normal of Ω . Let $f = -\log \phi$. Then, there are two positive constants δ_0 and c_0 , such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla^2 f(x)(X, X) \geq \frac{c_0 \|X\|^2}{\phi(x)}$$

for any $x \in \Omega$ with $\phi(x) < \delta_0$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. For $\delta \geq 0$, let $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega \mid \phi(x) > \delta\}$. Since $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}|_{\partial\Omega} < 0$, we have $\nabla\phi|_{\partial\Omega} \neq 0$. By continuity, there is $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $\nabla\phi \neq 0$ on

$\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_1}$. It is clear that, when $\delta < \delta_1$, the unit outward normal of Ω_δ is

$$\nu = -\frac{\nabla\phi}{\|\nabla\phi\|}\Big|_{\partial\Omega_\delta}.$$

The second fundamental form of $\partial\Omega_\delta$ with respect to ν is

$$II(X, Y) = -\frac{\nabla^2\phi(X, Y)}{\|\nabla\phi\|}$$

for any X, Y with $\langle X, \nabla\phi \rangle = \langle Y, \nabla\phi \rangle = 0$. Since Ω is strictly convex, $II(X, X) > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ for any X with $\langle X, \nabla\phi \rangle = 0$. By continuity, there are positive numbers $\delta_2 < \delta_1$ and c_1 such that

$$(3.2) \quad -\nabla^2\phi(x)(X, X) \geq c_1\|X\|^2, \text{ and } \|\nabla\phi\|^2(x) \geq c_1$$

for any X with $\langle X, \nabla\phi(x) \rangle = 0$ and x with $\phi(x) < \delta_2$.

For any $x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_2}$ and vector X , let

$$X = X_1 + X_2$$

is the orthogonal decomposition of X with $\langle X_1, \nabla\phi(x) \rangle = 0$ and X_2 parallel to $\nabla\phi(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad & \nabla^2 f(X, X) \\ &= -\frac{\nabla^2\phi(x)(X, X)}{\phi(x)} + \frac{\langle \nabla\phi(x), X \rangle^2}{\phi(x)^2} \\ &= -\frac{\nabla^2\phi(x)(X_1, X_1)}{\phi(x)} - \frac{2\nabla^2\phi(x)(X_1, X_2)}{\phi(x)} - \frac{\nabla^2\phi(x)(X_2, X_2)}{\phi(x)} + \frac{\langle \nabla\phi(x), X_2 \rangle^2}{\phi(x)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{c_1\|X_1\|^2}{\phi(x)} - \frac{2A\|X_1\|\|X_2\|}{\phi(x)} - \frac{A\|X_2\|^2}{\phi(x)} + \frac{c_1\|X_2\|^2}{\phi(x)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{c_1\|X_1\|^2}{2\phi(x)} + \left(\frac{c_1}{\phi(x)^2} - \frac{A + 2A^2/c_1}{\phi(x)} \right) \|X_2\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{c_1}{2\phi(x)} \|X_1\|^2 + \frac{c_1}{2\phi(x)^2} \|X_2\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{c_1\|X\|^2}{2\phi(x)} \end{aligned}$$

when $\phi(x) < \delta_3$ be small enough, where $A = \|\phi\|_{C^2(\bar{\Omega})}$. \square

We are now ready to derive the boundary behavior of the line segment energy for a defining function of a bounded strictly convex domain.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Ω be a bounded strictly convex domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary and $\phi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $\phi > 0$ in Ω , $\phi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}|_{\partial\Omega} < 0$ where ν is the unit outward normal of Ω . Let $f = -\log \phi$, and $\{x_k\}$ and $\{y_k\}$ be two sequences of points in Ω with $x_k \rightarrow x_0$ and $y_k \rightarrow y_0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

- (1) *when $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $y_0 \in \partial\Omega$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_f(x_k, y_k) = +\infty$;*
- (2) *when $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ and $y_0 \in \Omega$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_f(x_k, y_k) = +\infty$;*
- (3) *when $x_0, y_0 \in \partial\Omega$ and $x_0 \neq y_0$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_f(x_k, y_k) = +\infty$;*
- (4) *when $x_0 = y_0 \in \partial\Omega$, $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_f(x_k, y_k) \geq 0$;*
- (5) *when $x_0 = y_0 \in \partial\Omega$ and $x_k \neq y_k$ for k large enough,*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_f(x_k, y_k)}{r(x_k, y_k)} = +\infty.$$

Proof. (1) Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $x_0 \in \Omega_{\delta_0}$ where δ_0 is the same as in Lemma 3.1. Otherwise, we can shrink δ_0 so that Ω_{δ_0} contains x_0 . Hence for k large enough, we have $x_k \in \Omega_{\delta_0}$ and $y_k \notin \overline{\Omega_{\delta_0}}$. Let

$$s_k = \sup\{s \in (0, r(x_k, y_k)) \mid \theta(s, x_k, y_k) \in \Omega_{\delta_0}\}.$$

Then, by convexity of Ω_{δ_0} , we know that

$$\theta(s, x_k, y_k) \in \overline{\Omega_{\delta_0}} \text{ for all } s \in [0, s_k]$$

and

$$\theta(s, x_k, y_k) \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_0} \text{ for all } s \in [s_k, r(x_k, y_k)].$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_f(x_k, y_k) \\
&= \int_0^{r(x_k, y_k)} \nabla^2 f(\theta(s, x_k, y_k))(N(x_k, y_k), N(x_k, y_k)) ds \\
&= \int_0^{s_k} \nabla^2 f(\theta(s, x_k, y_k))(N(x_k, y_k), N(x_k, y_k)) ds \\
&\quad + \int_{s_k}^{r(x_k, y_k)} \nabla^2 f(\theta(s, x_k, y_k))(N(x_k, y_k), N(x_k, y_k)) ds \\
(3.4) \quad &\geq -\|f\|_{C^2(\overline{\Omega}_{\delta_0})} s_k + \int_{s_k}^{r(x_k, y_k)} \frac{c_0}{\phi(\theta(s, x_k, y_k))} ds \\
&= -\|f\|_{C^2(\overline{\Omega}_{\delta_0})} D + c_0 \int_{\phi(y_k)}^{\delta_0} \frac{1}{\sigma |\langle \nabla \phi, N(x_k, y_k) \rangle|} d\sigma \\
&\geq -\|f\|_{C^2(\overline{\Omega}_{\delta_0})} D + \frac{c_0}{\|\phi\|_{C^1(\overline{\Omega})}} \int_{\phi(y_k)}^{\delta_0} \frac{1}{\sigma} d\sigma \\
&= -\|f\|_{C^2(\overline{\Omega}_{\delta_0})} D + \frac{c_0}{\|\phi\|_{C^1(\overline{\Omega})}} (\ln \delta_0 - \ln \phi(y_k)).
\end{aligned}$$

Here D is the diameter of Ω . Since $\phi(y_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get the conclusion.

- (2) By the symmetry of $E_f(x, y)$, it is clear.
- (3) Without loss of generality, we can assume that the intersection of the line segment $\overline{x_0 y_0}$ and Ω_{δ_0} is nonempty, otherwise we can shrink δ_0 to make the intersection nonempty. For k large enough such that $x_k, y_k \notin \overline{\Omega}_{\delta_0}$, let

$$\sigma_k = \inf\{s \in (0, r(x_k, y_k)) \mid \theta(s, x_k, y_k) \in \Omega_{\delta_0}\}$$

and s_k the same as before. By the convexity of Ω_{δ_0} , it is clear that

$$\theta(s, x_k, y_k) \in \overline{\Omega}_{\delta_0} \text{ for all } s \in [\sigma_k, s_k]$$

and

$$\theta(s, x_k, y_k) \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_0} \text{ for all } s \in [0, \sigma_k] \cup [s_k, r(x_k, y_k)].$$

Then, a similar argument as in (1) gives us

$$(3.5) \quad E_f(x_k, y_k) \geq -\|f\|_{C^2(\overline{\Omega}_{\delta_0})} D + \frac{c_0}{\|\phi\|_{C^1(\overline{\Omega})}} (2 \ln \delta_0 - \ln \phi(x_k) - \ln \phi(y_k)).$$

So the conclusion follows.

- (4) When k is large enough, we know that the line segment $\overline{x_k y_k}$ will be contained in $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_0}$. By Lemma 3.1, we know that the Hessian of f is positive on the line segment $\overline{x_k y_k}$ when k is large enough. Hence, the conclusion follows.
- (5) When k is large enough, the line segment $\overline{x_k y_k}$ will be contained in $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_0}$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{E_f(x_k, y_k)}{r(x_k, y_k)} \geq \frac{c_0}{\max_{x \in \overline{x_k y_k}} \phi(x)}$$

tends to $+\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

□

We now come to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let

$$S = \{(x, y, X, t) \in \Omega \times \Omega \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times [0, T] \mid X = N(x, y) \text{ if } x \neq y\}.$$

Define the function $Q(x, y, X, t)$ on S as

$$(3.7) \quad Q(x, y, X, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{E_f(x, y, t)}{\psi(r(x, y)/2, t)} & x \neq y \\ \frac{2\nabla^2 f(x, t)(X, X)}{\psi_s(0, t)} & x = y. \end{cases}$$

Let $m = \inf_S Q$. It is clear that $m < +\infty$. Let $\{(x_k, y_k, X_k, t_k)\}$ be a sequence of points in S such that

$$m = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Q(x_k, y_k, X_k, t_k).$$

By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that

$$(x_k, y_k, X_k, t_k) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0, X_0, t_0)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.1, we know that

$$Q(x_k, y_k, X_k, t_k) \rightarrow +\infty$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, when $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ or $y_0 \in \partial\Omega$. This is impossible. Hence $(x_0, y_0) \in \Omega \times \Omega$. By continuity of Q , we know that Q achieves its minimum at (x_0, y_0, X_0, t_0) . If $t_0 = 0$, the conclusion follows directly. So, we can suppose that $t_0 > 0$. Moreover, note that, by Brascamp-Lieb [3] (See also [4, 5, 9]), $m > 0$. We will prove the conclusion in the following two cases.

(i) When $x_0 \neq y_0$, let $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n = N(x_0, y_0)$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n , $r_0 = r(x_0, y_0)$ and $\theta(s) = \theta(s, x_0, y_0)$. Let $E_i = (e_i, e_i)$ and $\tilde{E}_i = (e_i, -e_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then, by that

$$\nabla_{E_i} Q(x_0, y_0, X_0, t_0) = \nabla_{\tilde{E}_i} Q(x_0, y_0, X_0, t_0) = 0,$$

(1),(2),(3) Lemma 2.1, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we have the follows:

$$(3.8) \quad E_{f_i}(x_0, y_0, t_0) = 0$$

where f_i means $\nabla_{e_i} f$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$(3.9) \quad \int_0^{r_0} (s f_{nni} + 2f_{in})(\theta(s), t_0) ds = 0$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, and

$$(3.10) \quad f_{nn}(y_0, t_0) = m\psi_s(r_0/2, t_0)/2.$$

Furthermore, by (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^{r_0} s f_{inn}(\theta(s), t_0) ds + 2 \int_0^{r_0} f_{in}(\theta(s), t_0) ds \\ &= \int_0^{r_0} f_{inn}(\theta(s), t_0) \int_0^s d\sigma ds + 2 \int_0^{r_0} f_{in}(\theta(s), t_0) ds \\ (3.11) \quad &= \int_0^{r_0} d\sigma \int_\sigma^{r_0} f_{inn}(\theta(s), t_0) ds + 2 \int_0^{r_0} f_{in}(\theta(s), t_0) ds \\ &= \int_0^{r_0} (f_{in}(y_0, t_0) - f_{in}(\theta(\sigma), t_0)) d\sigma + 2 \int_0^{r_0} f_{in}(\theta(s), t_0) ds \\ &= r_0 f_{in}(y_0, t_0) + \int_0^{r_0} f_{in}(\theta(s), t_0) dt \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Then, by (3.8),(3.10) and (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad & \frac{1}{2}E_{f_i^2}(x_0, y_0, t_0) \\
&= \int_0^{r_0} f_{inn}f_i(\theta(s), t_0)dt + \int_0^{r_0} f_{in}^2(\theta(s), t_0)ds \\
&= \int_0^{r_0} f_{inn}(\theta(s), t_0) \int_0^s f_{in}(\theta(\sigma), t_0)d\sigma ds - f_{in}(x_0, t_0)E_{f_i}(x_0, y_0, t_0) + \\
&\quad \int_0^{r_0} f_{in}^2(\theta(s), t_0)ds \\
&= \int_0^{r_0} f_{in}(\theta(\sigma), t_0)d\sigma \int_{\sigma}^{r_0} f_{inn}(\theta(s), t_0)ds + \int_0^{r_0} f_{in}^2(\theta(s), t_0)ds \\
&= \int_0^{r_0} f_{in}(\theta(s), t_0)(f_{in}(y_0, t_0) - f_{in}(\theta(s), t_0))ds + \int_0^{r_0} f_{in}^2(\theta(s), t_0)ds \\
&= f_{in}(y_0, t_0) \int_0^{r_0} f_{in}(\theta(s), t_0)ds \\
&= -r_0 f_{in}(y_0, t_0)^2 \leq 0
\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad & \frac{1}{2}E_{f_n^2}(x_0, y_0, t_0) \\
&= \int_0^{r_0} f_{nnn}f_n(\theta(s), t_0)ds + \int_0^{r_0} f_{nn}^2(\theta(s), t_0)ds \\
&= \int_0^{r_0} f_{nnn}(\theta(s), t_0) \int_0^s f_{nn}(\theta(\sigma), t_0)d\sigma ds + \int_0^{r_0} f_{nn}^2(\theta(s), t_0)ds \\
&= \int_0^{r_0} f_{nn}(\theta(\sigma), t_0) \int_{\sigma}^{r_0} f_{nnn}(\theta(s), t_0)ds d\sigma + \int_0^{r_0} f_{nn}^2(\theta(s), t_0)ds \\
&= \int_0^{r_0} f_{nn}(\theta(\sigma), t_0)(f_{nn}(y_0, t_0) - f_{nn}(\theta(\sigma), t_0))d\sigma + \int_0^{r_0} f_{nn}^2(\theta(s), t_0)ds \\
&= f_{nn}(y_0, t_0)E_f(x_0, y_0, t_0) \\
&= \frac{m^2\psi_s\psi}{2}(r_0/2, t_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (1),(4) in Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.3,

$$(3.14) \quad 0 \leq \nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} Q(x_0, y_0, X_0, t_0) = \frac{\nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} E_f(x_0, y_0, t_0)}{\psi(r_0/2, t_0)} = \frac{E_{f_{ii}}(x_0, y_0, t_0)}{\psi(r_0/2, t_0)}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

By (3),(5) in Lemma 2.1, (3.8), (3.10), Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4,

$$(3.15) \quad 0 \leq \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} \nabla_{\tilde{E}_n} Q(x_0, y_0, X_0, t_0) = \frac{E_{f_{nn}}(x_0, y_0, t_0)}{\psi(r_0/2, t_0)} - \frac{m\psi_{ss}}{\psi}(r_0/2, t_0)$$

Furthermore,

$$(3.16) \quad 0 \geq \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t}(x_0, y_0, X_0, t_0) = \frac{E_{f_t}(x_0, y_0, t_0)}{\psi(r_0/2, t_0)} - \frac{m\psi_t}{\psi}(r_0/2, t_0)$$

Combining (3.14),(3.15), and (3.16), and using (3.12) and (3.13),

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq E_{\Delta f - f_t}(x_0, y_0, t_0) - m(\psi_{ss} - \psi_t)(r_0/2, t_0) \\ &= E_{|\nabla f|^2 - q}(x_0, y_0, t_0) - m(\psi_{ss} - \psi_t)(r_0/2, t_0) \\ &\leq (m^2\psi_s\psi - m(\psi_{ss} - \psi_t) - \varphi)(r_0/2, t_0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(3.18) \quad m \geq \frac{\psi_{ss} - \psi_t + \sqrt{(\psi_{ss} - \psi_t)^2 + 4\varphi\psi_s\psi}}{2\psi_s\psi}(r_0/2, t_0).$$

(2) When $x_0 = y_0 \in \Omega$, then we know that X_0 is the eigenvector of $\nabla^2 f(x_0, t_0)$ with minimal eigenvalue. Hence we can choose a orthonormal frame $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n = X_0$ such that

$$(3.19) \quad f_{ij}(x_0, t_0) = 0$$

when $i \neq j$ and $m = \frac{2f_{nn}(x_0, t_0)}{\psi_s(x_0, t_0)}$. It is clear that

$$f_{nn}(x_0, t) \leq f_{nn}(x, t_0)$$

for all $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Therefore, the first order necessary condition for minimums implies that

$$(3.20) \quad f_{nni}(x_0) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and

$$(3.21) \quad \frac{\partial f_{nn}}{\partial t}(x_0, t_0) \leq 0.$$

The second order necessary condition for minimums implies that

$$(3.22) \quad 0 \leq f_{nnii}(x_0, t_0) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Moreover, let

$$g(s) = Q(x_0 - se_n, x_0 + se_n, e_n, t_0).$$

It is clear the $g(0)$ is the minimum of g . The Taylor expansion of g is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.23) \quad g(s) &= \frac{\int_{-s}^s f_{nn}(x_0 + \sigma e_n, t_0) d\sigma}{\psi(s, t_0)} \\
&= \frac{2f_{nn}(x_0, t_0) + \frac{1}{3}f_{nnnn}(x_0, t_0)s^2 + \cdots}{\psi_s(0, t_0) + \frac{1}{6}\psi_{sss}(0, t_0)s^2 + \cdots} \\
&= m + \frac{1}{3\psi_s(0, t_0)}(f_{nnnn}(x_0, t_0) - m\psi_{sss}(0, t_0)/2)t^2 + \cdots
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$(3.24) \quad 0 \leq f_{nnnn}(x_0, t_0) - m\psi_{sss}(0, t_0)/2.$$

Therefore, by combining (3.19),(3.20),(3.21),(3.22) and (3.24),

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.25) \quad 0 &\leq (\Delta f - f_t)_{nn}(x_0, t_0) - m\psi_{sss}(0, t_0)/2 \\
&\leq 2f_{nn}(x_0)^2 - m\psi_{sss}(0, t_0)/2 \\
&= m^2\psi_s^2(0, t_0)/2 - m\psi_{sss}(0, t_0)/2
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(3.26) \quad m \geq \frac{\psi_{sss}(0, t_0)}{\psi_s^2(0, t_0)}.$$

Finally, by noting that

$$(3.27) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi_{ss} - \psi_t + \sqrt{(\psi_{ss} - \psi_t)^2 + 4\varphi\psi_s\psi}}{2\psi_s\psi}(s, t) = \frac{\psi_{sss}(0, t)}{\psi_s^2(0, t)},$$

we get the conclusion. \square

As a corollary, we have the following comparison of log-concavity for positive solutions of the heat equation. Similar results presented in different forms can also be found in [2, 7].

Corollary 3.1. *Let Ω be a strictly convex domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary and diameter D . Let $q(x, t) \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T])$ and $u(x, t)$ be a positive solution of the heat equation*

$$(3.28) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + qu = 0$$

on Ω with Dirichlet boundary data. Let $f(x, t) = -\log u(x, t)$. Let $\bar{q}(s, t)$ be a smooth even convex function in s on $[-D/2, D/2] \times [0, T]$. Let $\bar{u}(s, t)$ be a positive solution of the heat equation

$$(3.29) \quad \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial s^2} + \bar{q}\bar{u} = 0$$

on $[-D/2, D/2]$ with Dirichlet boundary data and $\bar{u}(s, 0)$ strictly log-concave and even in $(-D/2, D/2)$. Let $\bar{f}(s, t) = -\log \bar{u}(s, t)$. Suppose that

$$(3.30) \quad E_q(x, y, t) \geq E_{\bar{q}}(-r(x, y)/2, r(x, y)/2, t) = 2\bar{q}_s(r(x, y)/2, t),$$

and

$$(3.31) \quad E_f(x, y, 0) \geq E_{\bar{f}}(-r(x, y)/2, r(x, y)/2, 0) = 2\bar{f}_s(r(x, y)/2, 0).$$

Then

$$(3.32) \quad E_f(x, y, t) \geq E_{\bar{f}}(-r(x, y)/2, r(x, y)/2, t) = 2\bar{f}_s(r(x, y)/2, t)$$

for any $x, y \in \Omega$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. Because $f(s, t)$ blows up at $s = D/2$, we apply a similar trick in [7] by enlarging D .

Let \tilde{q} be a smooth extension of \bar{q} on $[-D, D] \times [0, T]$ which is still even and convex. Let $\{r_k\}$ be a strictly decreasing sequence of real numbers tending to 1. Let $u^{(k)}(s, t)$ be the solution of the following boundary value problems:

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{cases} u_t^{(k)} - u_{ss}^{(k)} + \tilde{q}u^{(k)} = 0 \\ u^{(k)}(s, 0) = \bar{u}(s/r_k, 0) \\ u^{(k)}(\pm r_k D/2, t) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $f^{(k)} = -\log u^{(k)}$, $\varphi(s, t) = 2\bar{q}_s(s, t)$ and

$$\psi^{(k)}(s, t) = 2f_s^{(k)}(s, t).$$

Note that

$$(3.34) \quad \psi^{(k)}(s, 0) = \frac{2}{r_k} \bar{f}_s(s/r_k, 0) \leq 2\bar{f}_s(s, 0),$$

since $\bar{f}(\cdot, 0)$ is even and convex. So,

$$E_f(x, y, 0) \geq \psi^{(k)}(r(x, y)/2, 0).$$

Then, by Theorem 1.1 and the equation

$$\psi_{ss}^{(k)} - \psi_t^{(k)} = \psi^{(k)}\psi_s^{(k)} - \varphi$$

on $[0, D/2]$, we know that

$$E_f(x, y, t) \geq \psi^{(k)}(r(x, y)/2, t).$$

By letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality, we get the conclusion. \square

Applying the last corollary to heat kernel, one can obtain a comparison of log-concavity for heat kernels. The result is also presented in a different form in [7].

Corollary 3.2. *Let Ω be a strictly convex domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary and diameter D . Let $q(x) \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ and $H(x, z, t)$ be the heat kernel for*

$$(3.35) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + qu = 0$$

on Ω with Dirichlet boundary data. Let $\bar{q}(s)$ be a smooth even convex function $[-D/2, D/2]$ and $\bar{H}(s, \sigma, t)$ be the heat kernel of the heat equation

$$(3.36) \quad \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial s^2} + \bar{q}\bar{u} = 0$$

on $[-D/2, D/2]$ with Dirichlet boundary data. Suppose that

$$(3.37) \quad E_q(x, y) \geq E_{\bar{q}}(-r(x, y)/2, r(x, y)/2) = 2\bar{q}_s(r(x, y)/2).$$

Then

$$(3.38) \quad E_{-\log H(\cdot, z, \cdot)}(x, y, t) \geq E_{-\log \bar{H}(\cdot, 0, \cdot)}(-r(x, y)/2, r(x, y)/2, t)$$

for any $x, y, z \in \Omega$ and $t > 0$.

Proof. Let $\{r_k\}$ be a strictly decreasing sequence of real numbers tending to 1, $q^{(k)}(s) = \frac{1}{r_k^2}\bar{q}(s/r_k)$ and

$$(3.39) \quad H^{(k)}(s, t) = \bar{H}(s/r_k, 0, t/r_k^2).$$

Then

$$(3.40) \quad H_t^{(k)} - H_{ss}^{(k)} + q^{(k)}H^{(k)} = 0.$$

Let $\{\delta_k\}$ be a strictly decreasing sequence of real numbers tending to 0. By the short time asymptotic behavior of heat kernel by Malliavin and Stroock [6], for each $\delta_k > 0$, there is a $\epsilon_k > 0$ small enough, such that

$$(3.41) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{-\log H(\cdot, z, \cdot)}(x, y, \epsilon_k) &\geq E_{-\log \bar{H}^{(k)}}(-r(x, y)/2, r(x, y)/2, \delta_k) \\ &= 2(-\log H^{(k)})_s(s, \delta_k) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in \Omega$. Moreover, note that

$$(3.42) \quad E_q(x, y) \geq 2\bar{q}_s(r(x, y)/2) \geq 2q_s^{(k)}(r(x, y)/2)$$

since q is even and convex.

Let $\psi^{(k)}(s, t) = 2(-\log H^{(k)})_s(s, t + \delta_k)$ and $\varphi^{(k)}(s, t) = 2q_s^{(k)}(s)$. Then, by Theorem 1.1 and that

$$(3.43) \quad \psi_{ss}^{(k)} - \psi_t^{(k)} = \psi_s^{(k)}\psi^{(k)} - \varphi^{(k)},$$

$$(3.44) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{-\log H(\cdot, z, \cdot)}(x, y, t + \epsilon_k) &\geq \psi^{(k)}(r(x, y)/2, t) \\ &= E_{-\log \bar{H}^{(k)}}(-r(x, y)/2, r(x, y)/2, t + \delta_k). \end{aligned}$$

Let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality. We obtain the conclusion. \square

By a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have the following elliptic version of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Ω be a bounded strictly convex domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary and diameter D , $q(x) \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ and $u(x)$ be a nonnegative first eigenfunction of the operator $\Delta - q$ on Ω with Dirichlet boundary data. Let $\psi(s) \in C^\infty([0, D/2])$ with $\psi(0) = 0$, $\psi_{ss}(0) = 0$ and $\psi_s > 0$. Suppose that*

$$(3.45) \quad E_q(x, y) \geq \varphi(r(x, y)/2)$$

where φ is a nonnegative function on $[0, D/2]$, Then

$$(3.46) \quad E_f(x, y) \geq m\psi(r(x, y)/2)$$

for any $x, y \in \Omega$, where $f = -\log u$ and

$$(3.47) \quad m = \min_{s \in [0, D/2]} \frac{\psi_{ss} + \sqrt{\psi_{ss}^2 + 4\varphi\psi\psi_s}}{2\psi_s\psi}.$$

By similar arguments as in Corollary 3.1 or Corollary 3.2, we can obtain the following log-concavity comparison for first eigenfunctions.

Corollary 3.3. *Let Ω be a bounded strictly convex domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary and diameter D . Let $q(x) \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$, ϕ be a nonnegative first eigenfunction of $\Delta - q$ on Ω with Dirichlet boundary data and $f = -\log \phi$. Let $\bar{q}(s)$ be a smooth even convex function on $[-D/2, D/2]$ such that*

$$(3.48) \quad E_q(x, y) \geq E_{\bar{q}}(-r(x, y)/2, r(x, y)/2) = 2\bar{q}_s(r(x, y)/2).$$

Let $\bar{\phi}$ be a nonnegative first eigenfunction of $\frac{d^2}{ds^2} - \bar{q}(s)$ on $[-D/2, D/2]$ with Dirichlet boundary data and $\bar{f} = -\log \bar{\phi}$. Then

$$(3.49) \quad E_f(x, y) \geq E_{\bar{f}}(-r(x, y)/2, r(x, y)/2) = 2\bar{f}_s(r(x, y)/2).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Andrews, Ben *Gradient and oscillation estimates and their applications in geometric PDE*. Fifth International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians. Part 1, 2, 3–19, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 51, pt. 1, 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.
- [2] Andrews, Ben; Clutterbuck, Julie. *Proof of the fundamental gap conjecture*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (2011), no. 3, 899–916.

- [3] Brascamp, Herm Jan; Lieb, Elliott H. *On extensions of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prékopa-Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log concave functions, and with an application to the diffusion equation.* J. Functional Analysis 22 (1976), no. 4, 366–389.
- [4] Caffarelli, Luis A.; Spruck, Joel *Convexity properties of solutions to some classical variational problems.* Comm. Partial Differential Equations 7 (1982), no. 11, 1337–1379.
- [5] Korevaar, Nicholas J. *Convex solutions to nonlinear elliptic and parabolic boundary value problems.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. 32 (1983), no. 4, 603–614.
- [6] Malliavin, Paul; Stroock, Daniel W. *Short time behavior of the heat kernel and its logarithmic derivatives.* J. Differential Geom. 44 (1996), no. 3, 550–570.
- [7] Ni, Lei. *Estimates on the modulus of expansion for vector fields solving nonlinear equations.* arXiv:1107.2351.
- [8] Sharafutdinov, V. A. *Integral geometry of tensor fields.* Inverse and Ill-posed Problems Series. VSP, Utrecht, 1994. 271 pp. ISBN: 90-6764-165-0.
- [9] Singer, I. M.; Wong, Bun; Yau, Shing-Tung; Yau, Stephen S.-T. *An estimate of the gap of the first two eigenvalues in the Schrödinger operator.* Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 12 (1985), no. 2, 319–333.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANTOU UNIVERSITY, SHANTOU, GUANGDONG, 515063, CHINA

E-mail address: 13ylchen3@stu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANTOU UNIVERSITY, SHANTOU, GUANGDONG, 515063, CHINA

E-mail address: cjyu@stu.edu.cn