

Schwarzian derivative treatment of the quantum second-order supersymmetry anomaly, and coupling-constant metamorphosis

Mikhail S. Plyushchay

Departamento de Física, Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Casilla 307, Santiago 2, Chile

E-mail: mikhail.plyushchay@usach.cl

Abstract

A canonical quantization scheme applied to a classical supersymmetric system with quadratic in momentum supercharges gives rise to a quantum anomaly problem described by a specific term to be quadratic in Planck constant. We reveal a close relationship between the anomaly and the Schwarzian derivative, and specify a quantization prescription which generates the anomaly-free supersymmetric quantum system with second order supercharges. We also discuss the phenomenon of a coupling-constant metamorphosis that associates quantum systems with the first-order supersymmetry to the systems with the second-order supercharges.

Key words: Nonlinear Supersymmetry, Quantum Anomaly, Schwarzian derivative

1 Introduction

A supersymmetric quantum mechanical system is characterized by supercharges which can be differential operators of the first [1, 2, 3, 4] or higher [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15] order. At the classical level, the corresponding supercharges are linear or nonlinear functions of the momentum [9, 10]. In a simplest case of one dimension and non-extended supersymmetry, the Hamiltonian and two supercharges of a system are given in terms of one function which is usually referred to as a superpotential. The higher order supercharges generate non-standard supersymmetry algebras at the classical and quantum levels. The algebraic structure of such a higher order supersymmetry, see Eqs. (2.6) and (2.31) below for the case of the second-order supersymmetry, resembles a structure of the finite W-algebras [16, 17] in which classical Poisson bracket or commutator of generating elements is proportional to a polynomial in them. In the case of finite W-algebras¹ the polynomial in momentum integrals of motion are related with and reflect effectively peculiar properties of a system, for instance, they explain an additional (‘accidental’) degeneracy in the quantum spectrum and a closed character of classical trajectories in multi-dimensional oscillator systems with commensurable frequencies and in Kepler (hydrogen model) problem [17]. Similarly to the systems of bosonic oscillators with commensurable frequencies, nonlinear supersymmetry appears in superconformal mechanics at the special values of the boson-fermion coupling constant

¹The interest to finite W-algebras was generated by investigations of analogous infinite-dimensional algebraic structures appearing in conformal field theory and integrable systems [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24].

[25]. The higher-order supercharges also emerge in quantum mechanical systems with soliton potentials and in finite-gap systems intimately related to nonlinear completely integrable systems [12, 13, 26, 27, 28]. They generate there exotic supersymmetric structure associated with factorization of the higher-derivative Lax-Novikov integrals of motion which underlie reflectionless and finite-gap properties of the corresponding quantum mechanical systems. Such higher-order exotic supersymmetric structure was employed recently in [29] for the construction of new solutions for the Korteweg-de Vries and modified Korteweg-de Vries equations in the form of different types of soliton defects in crystalline backgrounds which reveal a peculiar dynamics with the chiral asymmetry. The second-order supersymmetry based on the confluent Darboux-Crum transformations was used in [30] for the design of the PT-symmetric nonlinear optical systems with completely invisible periodicity defects. Another interesting application of nonlinear supersymmetry is related with a new, recently discovered class of exceptional orthogonal polynomials describing quantum mechanical systems with rational extensions of harmonic, isotonic and Darboux-Pöschl-Teller potentials [31, 32, 33].

In spite of a similarity, there is an essential difference between the cases of supersymmetries with linear and higher-order supercharges. Classically, supersymmetries of these two types are realized on the basis of a superpotential which can be an arbitrary function. Under attempt of quantization in the case of nonlinear supersymmetry, there appears a problem of the quantum anomaly: a usual canonical quantization produces a quantum system with the broken supersymmetry in which quantum analogs of supercharges are not anymore integrals of motion.

In this work we investigate the problem of the quantum anomaly in a simplest nontrivial case of supersymmetry with quadratic in momentum supercharges. We find out that in this case there exists a universal ‘remedy’ against the quantum anomaly ‘disease’ provided by the Schwarzian derivative. Bearing in mind that the Schwarzian derivative plays also a key role in a coupling-constant metamorphosis and duality between quantum systems [34, 35, 36, 37], we study this phenomenon in the context of supersymmetry to show that it provides here a specific duality between the systems with the second and first order supercharges.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next Section 2 we first reproduce a general structure of the classical and quantum supersymmetric systems with the second order supercharges. We analyze then different representations of the quantum superpartner Hamiltonians and supercharges and identify a specific term to be quadratic in Planck constant which is responsible for the quantum anomaly. We reveal its close relationship with the Schwarzian derivative, and, finally, specify the anomaly-free quantization prescription for classical systems with the second order supersymmetry. Section 3 is devoted to investigation of the coupling-constant metamorphosis and associated duality phenomenon in the context of the second order supersymmetry. In Section 4 we consider the form-invariant transformations in a stationary Schrödinger equation to understand better the roots of the Schwarzian derivative ‘remedy’ for the quantum anomaly ‘disease’ as well as to see a hidden role played by the Schwarzian in the coupling-constant metamorphosis mechanism. In Section 5 the obtained general results are illustrated by some simple examples. The last Section 6 is devoted to concluding remarks and outlook. In Appendix, we describe a most general structure of the factorizing operators which appear in quantum systems with the second order supersymmetry.

2 Quantum anomaly and Schwarzian derivative treatment

Consider a classical particle system described by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} (p^2 + v(x)) + \nu(x)N. \quad (2.1)$$

Here $N = \theta^+\theta^-$, θ^+ and $\theta^- = (\theta^+)^*$ are complex Grassmann variables, $v(x)$ and $\nu(x)$ are real functions to be fixed below, and we use the units with mass $m = 1$. Nontrivial Poisson brackets $\{x, p\} = 1$, $\{\theta^+, \theta^-\} = -i$ give rise to the equations of motion $\dot{x} = p$, $\dot{p} = -\frac{1}{2}v' - \nu'N$, $\dot{\theta}^\pm = \pm i\nu\theta^\pm$, and we find that system (2.1) has an obvious even nilpotent integral of motion N . Let us require that, in addition, there exists a pair of the odd integrals of motion quadratic in p ,

$$\mathcal{Q}_+ = \frac{1}{2}(-p^2 + 2iW(x)p + g(x))\theta^+, \quad \mathcal{Q}_- = (\mathcal{Q}_+)^*, \quad (2.2)$$

where $W(x)$ and $g(x)$ are some functions. The requirement $\dot{\mathcal{Q}}_+ = 0$ yields three equations which correspond to the nulling conditions for coefficients at p^2 , p and p^0 :

$$-\nu + 2W' = 0, \quad \frac{1}{2}v' + \frac{1}{2}g' - \nu W = 0, \quad \nu g - Wv' = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

From the first two equations we have $\nu = 2W'$ and $v = 2W^2 - g + a$, where a is a real integration constant. Using these relations in the third equation from (2.3) and multiplying it by W , we obtain the equivalent equation $\frac{d}{dx}[W^2(g - W^2)] = 0$. From here, $g(x)$ is fixed in terms of $W(x)$, $g(x) = W^2(x) + \frac{C}{W^2(x)}$, where C is an integration constant. Introducing the notation $z = W(x) + ip$, we conclude that the system described by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \left(zz^* - \frac{C}{W^2} + 4W'N \right) + a, \quad (2.4)$$

possesses the two odd integrals of motion

$$\mathcal{Q}_+ = \frac{1}{2} \left(z^2 + \frac{C}{W^2} \right) \theta^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q}_- = (\mathcal{Q}_+)^*, \quad (2.5)$$

which are the quadratic in momentum classical supercharges. Function $W(x)$ and constant C ought to be real in order Hamiltonian (2.4) be real. We shall refer to the function $W(x)$ as a superpotential. The integrals \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{Q}_+ , \mathcal{Q}_- and N generate nonlinear (quadratic) classical superalgebra with the only nontrivial Poisson bracket relations $\{N, \mathcal{Q}_\pm\} = \mp i\mathcal{Q}_\pm$ and

$$\{\mathcal{Q}_+, \mathcal{Q}_-\} = -i((\mathcal{H} - a)^2 + C). \quad (2.6)$$

The constant C plays a role of the central charge (alongside with $\mathcal{H} - a$) of this superalgebra. The transformation

$$W \rightarrow -W, \quad \theta^+ \rightarrow \theta^-, \quad \theta^- \rightarrow \theta^+ \quad (2.7)$$

corresponds here to a discrete symmetry of the system under which $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{Q}_+ \leftrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_-$, $N \rightarrow -N$.

Let us quantize the system. Taking $\hat{\theta}_\pm = \sqrt{\hbar}\sigma_\pm$, where $\sigma_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 \pm i\sigma_2)$ are linear combinations of the Pauli matrices, we have $[\hat{\theta}_+, \hat{\theta}_-]_+ = \hbar$ in correspondence with the Grassmann nature of the variables θ^\pm and their classical Poisson brackets. As a quantum analog of N we take $\hat{N} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\theta}_+\hat{\theta}_- - \hat{\theta}_-\hat{\theta}_+) = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\sigma_3$. To construct quantum analogs of \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{Q}_+ we proceed from the classical relations $2(\mathcal{H} - a) = p^2 + W^2 - \frac{C}{W^2} + 4W'N$, $2\mathcal{Q}_+ = ((W + ip)^2 + \frac{C}{W^2})\theta_+$, and substitute in them directly θ_\pm and N for their quantum analogs, and p for $-i\hbar\frac{d}{dx}$. The operators obtained by application of this direct quantization prescription we denote by $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d$ and $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{+d}$, and find that $[\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d, \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{+d}] = 0$ if and only if $W = (a_1x + a_0)^2$, where a_1 and a_0 are some constants. In the case of the superpotential of any other form, this commutator is nonzero, and we face the problem of the quantum anomaly [9, 10], see below. It is known, however, that supersymmetry with the second order supercharges can be realized at the quantum level for superpotential $W(x)$ to be a

function of an arbitrary form. We arrive then at a rather natural question whether some another quantization scheme for the classical system (2.4), (2.5) exists which would produce an anomaly-free quantum system with the second order supercharges given in terms of an arbitrary function $W(x)$. To answer the question we shall construct, analogously to the classical case presented above, a quantum system described by the matrix Hamiltonian operator $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ by demanding the existence of the second order supercharge operators $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\pm}$. Then we present the obtained $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\pm}$ in terms of the factorizing first order differential operators, and compare the structure of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\pm}$ with that of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d$ and $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\pm d}$. This will allow us to identify the anomaly-free prescription for quantization of the classical system.

Before we proceed further, let us note that if we would choose another simple ordering prescription under construction of the quantum analog of (2.4) by substituting in it the classical term zz^* , for instance, for $(W + \hbar \frac{d}{dx})(W - \hbar \frac{d}{dx})$, this would produce additional term $\hbar W'$. This change can be compensated by choosing another ordering prescription in the construction of the quantum analog of N . Indeed, classically we can write $N = \sin^2 \beta \theta^+ \theta^- - \cos^2 \beta \theta^- \theta^+$ with arbitrary real parameter β . Based on this expression, we could take as a quantum analog $\hat{N} = \sin^2 \beta \hat{\theta}^+ \hat{\theta}^- - \cos^2 \beta \hat{\theta}^- \hat{\theta}^+$. The choice $\beta = \frac{\pi}{4}$ corresponds to the antisymmetric ordering we chose above with $\hat{N} = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \sigma_3$. In the present case, the choice of the quantum ordering with $\beta = \frac{\pi}{6}$ yields as a result the same quantum Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d$.

As we shall see, simple changes in the ordering of the non-commuting factors under construction of the quantum analogs of (2.4) and (2.5) do not cure the ‘disease’ of the quantum anomaly due to the quadratic in \hbar nature of the necessary ‘remedy’ in the form of the correction terms both in the Hamiltonian and in the supercharges.

So, let us consider a pair of the quantum systems described by the Hamiltonian operators \hat{H}_{\pm} ,

$$2\hat{H}_{\pm} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_{\pm}(x), \quad (2.8)$$

and require that they are intertwined,

$$\hat{q}_+ \hat{H}_- = \hat{H}_+ \hat{q}_+, \quad (2.9)$$

by the second order differential operator \hat{q}_+ ,

$$2\hat{q}_+ = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + s_1(x) \frac{d}{dx} + s_0(x). \quad (2.10)$$

Then they also will be intertwined by $\hat{q}_- = (\hat{q}_+)^{\dagger}$ in the opposite direction: $\hat{q}_- \hat{H}_+ = \hat{H}_- \hat{q}_-$. In (2.8) and (2.10) we set $\hbar = 1$, but later we shall reconstruct Planck constant. Operators \hat{H}_{\pm} and \hat{q}_{\pm} represent the composing blocks for the supersymmetric Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \text{diag}(\hat{H}_+, \hat{H}_-)$ and the second order supercharges $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_+ = \hat{q}_+ \sigma_+$, $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_- = \hat{q}_- \sigma_- = \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_+^{\dagger}$.

The intertwining condition (2.9) produces three equations which appear from equating the coefficients at $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$, $\frac{d}{dx}$ and 1:

$$V_- = -2s'_1 + V_+, \quad 2V'_- + s_1 V_- = -s''_1 - 2s'_0 + s_1 V_+, \quad V''_- + s_1 V'_- + s_0 V_- = -s''_0 + V_+ s_0. \quad (2.11)$$

The first equation gives

$$V_+ = V_- + 2s'_1. \quad (2.12)$$

Substitution of (2.12) into the second equation yields

$$V_- = \frac{1}{2} s_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} s'_1 - s_0 + 2a, \quad (2.13)$$

where a is an integration constant. Substitution of (2.12) and (2.13) into the third equation from (2.11) allows us to express $s_0(x)$ in terms of $s_1(x)$,

$$s_0 = \frac{1}{4}s_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}s_1' - \frac{1}{2}\frac{s_1''}{s_1} + \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{s_1'}{s_1}\right)^2 + \frac{\tilde{C}}{s_1^2}, \quad (2.14)$$

where \tilde{C} is an integration constant. Substituting (2.14) into (2.13) and introducing the notation

$$W(x) = \frac{1}{2}s_1(x), \quad (2.15)$$

we present the potential $V_-(x)$ in the form

$$V_- = w_-^2 - w_-' - \frac{C}{W^2} + 2a, \quad w_- \equiv W - \frac{1}{2}\frac{W'}{W}, \quad (2.16)$$

where $C = \tilde{C}/4$. Using Eq. (2.12), we fix then $V_+(x) = V_-(x) + 4W'(x)$ in terms of $W(x)$ in a form similar to (2.16),

$$V_+ = w_+^2 + w_+' - \frac{C}{W^2} + 2a, \quad w_+ \equiv W + \frac{1}{2}\frac{W'}{W}. \quad (2.17)$$

Note that $w_+(W) = -w_-(-W)$, $V_+(W) = V_-(-W)$. Function $s_0(x)$ from (2.14) can be presented in one of the forms

$$s_0 = w_-' - w_-^2 + \frac{C}{W^2} + 2Ww_- = -w_+' - w_+^2 + \frac{C}{W^2} + 2Ww_+ + 2W'. \quad (2.18)$$

The intertwining operators \hat{q}_+ and $\hat{q}_- = \hat{q}_+^\dagger$ are given finally in terms of the superpotential $W(x)$, and with the help of (2.18) we find that $\hat{q}_+(-W) = \hat{q}_-(W)$. This relation together with the relation $V_+(W) = V_-(-W)$ corresponds to classical symmetry transformation (2.7).

At first glance it would seem that one has to require that function (2.15) must be a never vanishing function in order the potentials $V_-(x)$ and $V_+(x)$ to be well defined (regular) functions. However, $W(x)$ enters into $V_-(x)$ and $V_+(x)$ in a nontrivial way, see Eq. (2.16), (2.17). As a consequence, as it will be shown by specific examples in Section 5, for some choices of $W(x)$ having zeros on the real line, one can obtain the physically interesting super-partner potentials $V_\pm(x)$ to be regular on all the real line by the appropriate choice of the constant C . On the other hand, to specify the operators appearing in the constructions, it is necessary to declare their corresponding domains. We just will have this in mind but without making an explicit specification of the domains in order do not overload the presentation with technical details.

Planck constant \hbar is reconstructed by employing the mnemonic rule in (2.8), (2.10): each time when there appears the operator $\frac{d}{dx}$ or derivative W' , they should be multiplied by \hbar , while $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ and W'' should be accompanied by the factor \hbar^2 . For the Hamiltonian superpartner operators \hat{H}_\pm we then have

$$2(\hat{H}_- - a) = A_-^\dagger A_- - \frac{C}{W^2}, \quad 2(\hat{H}_+ - a) = A_+ A_+^\dagger - \frac{C}{W^2}, \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$A_- = \hbar \frac{d}{dx} + w_-, \quad A_+ = \hbar \frac{d}{dx} + w_+, \quad w_\pm = W \pm \hbar \frac{W'}{2W}. \quad (2.20)$$

The intertwining operator \hat{q}_+ can be presented in the form

$$2\hat{q}_+ = A_+ A_- + \frac{C}{W^2}. \quad (2.21)$$

In terms of the first order operators A_{\pm} , the validity of intertwining relations (2.9) and the conjugate intertwining relations for \hat{q}_- can be checked using the identities

$$A_- A_-^\dagger = A_+^\dagger A_+, \quad (2.22)$$

$$A_+ + A_-^\dagger = 2W, \quad A_- + A_+^\dagger = 2W, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\frac{1}{W} A_- = A_+ \frac{1}{W}, \quad \frac{1}{W} A_+^\dagger = A_-^\dagger \frac{1}{W}. \quad (2.24)$$

Relations (2.24) show that function $\frac{1}{W}$ is a kind of the intertwining operator for the pairs of the first order differential operators A_- and A_+ , and A_+^\dagger and A_-^\dagger .

In accordance with relations (2.19) and (2.21), in the case of $C = 0$ the operators A_{\pm} and the Hermitian conjugate operators A_{\pm}^\dagger provide a factorization of the Hamiltonians \hat{H}_- and \hat{H}_+ (after shifting them for $-a$), as well as of the second order intertwining operators \hat{q}_+ and \hat{q}_- . This means particularly that the intertwining between \hat{H}_- and \hat{H}_+ can be realized in this case in two steps through the intermediate, virtual Hamiltonian operator \hat{H}_{\otimes} , which is given by $2(\hat{H}_{\otimes} - a) \equiv A_- A_-^\dagger = A_+^\dagger A_+$, see Eq. (2.22), and satisfies the relations $A_- \hat{H}_{\otimes} = \hat{H}_{\otimes} A_-$, $A_+ \hat{H}_{\otimes} = \hat{H}_{\otimes} A_+$.

The operators \hat{H}_- , \hat{H}_+ , \hat{q}_+ and \hat{q}_- can be presented in the factorized form also in the case of $C \neq 0$. To find such a factorization together with the intermediate, virtual Hamiltonian operator appearing in the intertwining relations, introduce the first order differential operators

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pm} = A_{\pm} \pm \lambda(x), \quad \mathcal{A}_{\pm}^{\#} = A_{\pm}^{\dagger} \pm \lambda(x) \quad (2.25)$$

with some function $\lambda(x)$, and require that the shifted Hamiltonian operators (2.19) are presented in the equivalent form

$$2(\hat{H}_- - b) = \mathcal{A}_-^{\#} \mathcal{A}_-, \quad 2(\hat{H}_+ - b) - \alpha = \mathcal{A}_+ \mathcal{A}_+^{\#}, \quad (2.26)$$

where b and α are some constants. One finds that the indicated equivalence takes place if

$$\lambda(x) = -\frac{\alpha}{4W(x)}, \quad b = a - \frac{1}{4}\alpha, \quad \alpha^2 = -16C. \quad (2.27)$$

As a consequence of (2.26), the intertwining operators are presented in the equivalent form

$$2\hat{q}_+ = \mathcal{A}_+ \mathcal{A}_-, \quad 2\hat{q}_- = \mathcal{A}_-^{\#} \mathcal{A}_+^{\#}, \quad (2.28)$$

and we also have a relation

$$\mathcal{A}_- \mathcal{A}_-^{\#} = \mathcal{A}_+^{\#} \mathcal{A}_+ + \alpha, \quad (2.29)$$

cf. (2.22). When $C = -\beta^2 < 0$, parameter α is fixed to be real and can take any of two values $\alpha = \pm 4\beta$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \neq 0$. In this case $\mathcal{A}_{\pm}^{\#} = \mathcal{A}_{\pm}^{\dagger}$, and the intermediate Hamiltonian H_{\otimes} , which is given now by relations $2(\hat{H}_{\otimes} - b) \equiv \mathcal{A}_- \mathcal{A}_-^{\#} = \mathcal{A}_+^{\#} \mathcal{A}_+ + \alpha$ and is subject to the intertwining relations $\mathcal{A}_- \hat{H}_{\otimes} = \hat{H}_{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_-$, $\mathcal{A}_+ \hat{H}_{\otimes} = \hat{H}_{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_+$, is Hermitian. On the other hand, when $C = \kappa^2 > 0$, we have $\alpha = \pm 4i\kappa$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $\kappa \neq 0$. In this case $\mathcal{A}_{\pm}^{\#} \neq \mathcal{A}_{\pm}^{\dagger}$, the intermediate Hamiltonian H_{\otimes} is not Hermitian, and the shift parameter b in (2.26) is complex, $b^* \neq b$. Notice that the factorization (2.26) in the case of nonzero value of the parameter C requires different constant shifts in supersymmetric partner Hamiltonians \hat{H}_- and \hat{H}_+ . These shifts as well as the shift in (2.29) are different for different signs of the parameter α . The peculiarities associated with the change of sign of the parameter α are illustrated by some examples in Section 5. Let us stress also here that fixing parameter α does not specify yet uniquely the operators \mathcal{A}_{\pm} and $\mathcal{A}_{\pm}^{\dagger}$. This point is discussed in Appendix.

Using relations (2.26), (2.28), (2.29) and (2.27), we find that the Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ of the system, composed from superpartners \hat{H}_- and \hat{H}_+ , and supercharges \hat{Q}_+ and $\hat{Q}_- = \hat{Q}_+^\dagger$,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_+ & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{H}_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{Q}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{q}_+ \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{Q}_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \hat{q}_- & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.30)$$

satisfy the relations $[\hat{\mathcal{H}}, \hat{Q}_\pm] = 0$, $\hat{Q}_+^2 = \hat{Q}_-^2 = 0$, and

$$[\hat{Q}_+, \hat{Q}_-]_+ = (\hat{\mathcal{H}} - a)^2 + C, \quad (2.31)$$

cf. (2.6).

The superpartner Hamiltonians (2.19) and the intertwining operator (2.21) can be presented in the form

$$2(\hat{H}_\mp - a) = -\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + W^2 \mp 2\hbar W' - \frac{C}{W^2} + \Delta(W), \quad (2.32)$$

$$2\hat{q}_\pm = \left(\hbar \frac{d}{dx} + W \right)^2 + \frac{C}{W^2} - \Delta(W), \quad (2.33)$$

where

$$\Delta(W) = \frac{1}{2}\hbar^2 \left(\frac{W''}{W} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{W'}{W} \right)^2 \right) = \hbar^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{W}} \left(\sqrt{W} \right)'' = \hbar^2 (\omega^2 + \omega'), \quad (2.34)$$

and $\omega = \frac{W'}{2W}$. The mass parameter can be restored by changing the quantum factor \hbar in relations (2.20), (2.32), (2.33) and (2.34) for $\frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{m}}$.

Note here that a similar term quadratic in Planck constant \hbar^2 emerges in the quantum Hamilton-Jacobi equation in the form of the quantum potential $V_Q = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} (\sqrt{\rho})''$, where ρ is the probability density [38]. Introduce a function $z(x)$ given locally by a relation

$$z'(x) = \frac{1}{W(x)}. \quad (2.35)$$

Reconstructing the mass parameter, Eq. (2.34) can be written then in the equivalent form

$$\Delta(x) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} S(z(x)), \quad (2.36)$$

where

$$S(z(x)) \equiv \left(\frac{z''}{z'} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z''}{z'} \right)^2 = \frac{z'''}{z'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{z''}{z'} \right)^2 \quad (2.37)$$

is the Schwarzian derivative, which is a third-order differential expression. It is interesting to compare (2.36) with a presentation for a shifted potential $u(x) - E$ in the stationary Schrödinger equation $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \psi''(x) + (u(x) - E)\psi(x) = 0$ when it is expressed in terms of an arbitrary solution in the form

$$u(x) - E = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} S(f(x)), \quad (2.38)$$

where $f(x) = \int^x \frac{ds}{\psi^2(s)}$, cf. (2.35). Function $f(x)$ is not fixed uniquely here and can be changed for a quotient $f(x) = \frac{\psi_1(x)}{\psi_2(x)}$ of two arbitrary linearly independent solutions of the Schrödinger equation. This reflects one of the basic properties of the Schwarzian derivative: it is invariant under arbitrary

Möbius transformation $f(x) \rightarrow F(x) = \frac{af(x)+b}{cf(x)+d}$ of the functional argument, $S(F(x)) = S(f(x))$. Note also here the relations

$$S(\phi(x)) = S(\psi(x)) + S(f(\psi))\psi', \quad S(\phi(x)) = h'^2 S(\phi(y)) + S(h(x)), \quad (2.39)$$

which correspond to arbitrary changes of dependent and independent variables, respectively, where $\phi = f(\psi)$, $y = h(x)$, $\psi' = d\psi/dx$, $h' = dh/dx$.

If we compare now the quantum Hamiltonian and the supercharges given by (2.30), (2.32) and (2.33), with the operators $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d$ and \hat{Q}_{+d} which we obtained by quantization of the classical system, we find that

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} - \hat{\mathcal{H}}_d = \frac{1}{2}\Delta(W), \quad \hat{Q}_+ - \hat{Q}_{+d} = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta(W)\hat{\theta}_+. \quad (2.40)$$

The difference is given by the same quadratic in \hbar^2 quantum term $\Delta(W)$, which, as it follows from (2.34), turns into zero only for quadratic superpotential of the form $W(x) = (a_1x + a_0)^2$. Only in this case the direct quantization prescription we discussed above yields the Hamiltonian and supercharge operators coinciding exactly with the quantum Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and corresponding second order supercharges, and the quantum anomaly is absent. This reflects another but related basic property of the Schwarzian derivative. Namely, $S(z(x)) = 0$ if and only if $z(x)$ is a fractional linear transformation $z(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$. For such a function we have $z'(x) = \frac{ad-bc}{(cx+d)^2}$, and in accordance with (2.35) this corresponds to $W(x) = (a_1x + a_0)^2$.

To find the anomaly-free quantization prescription in the case of arbitrary superpotential $W(x)$, we note that the factor \hbar^2 is present also in the quantum kinetic term $-\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ in (2.32) as well as in (2.33). Returning to the classical level, the kinetic term p^2 can be rewritten in an equivalent form

$$\zeta p \frac{1}{\zeta^2} p \zeta = \left(\zeta(-ip) \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\zeta} ip \zeta \right) \quad (2.41)$$

involving an arbitrary function $\zeta = \zeta(x)$. Taking $\zeta(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{W(x)}}$, as a direct quantum analog of the classical factor $\frac{1}{\zeta(x)} ip \zeta(x)$ we obtain $\hat{\mathcal{P}} = \hbar(\frac{d}{dx} - \frac{W'}{2W})$, and $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^\dagger = -\hbar(\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{W'}{2W})$ will be the quantum analog of the factor $\zeta(x)(-ip)\frac{1}{\zeta(x)}$. As the quantum analog of $p^2 = \left(\zeta(-ip)\frac{1}{\zeta} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\zeta} ip \zeta \right)$ we take then $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^\dagger \hat{\mathcal{P}}$. This prescription gives the operator $-\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \Delta(x) + W^2$ as the quantum analog of the classical term $zz^* = p^2 + W^2$ from the Hamiltonian (2.4). Taking as before $\hat{N} = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\sigma_3$, we find that the quantum analog of \mathcal{H} will coincide as a result with $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$. In analogous way we rewrite the term z^2 from supercharge (2.5) in the classically equivalent form $(ip + W)^2 = \left(\zeta z \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\zeta} z \zeta \right)$, and the quantum analog of this will give us the operator $(\hbar\frac{d}{dx} + w_+)(\hbar\frac{d}{dx} + w_-) = A_+A_-$, see (2.20). The quantum analog of Q_+ will reproduce then exactly \hat{Q}_+ ².

The indicated fictitious similarity transformation in the classical kinetic term was exploited recently in [39] in the discussion of the quantum systems with position-dependent mass. It is similar to a structure appearing in the problem of quantization of a particle in a curved metric [40], and was also used in solving the problem of the operator ordering ambiguity in supersymmetric quantum mechanics with linear supercharges in curved space [41]. In the present case we are in a space of one dimension and so, the space is flat³. We already noted a similarity of the specific

² The manipulations we realize at the quantum level, which are associated with the classical change (2.41), were discussed in more detail in [39]. We only stress here that the final quantum change $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}$, $\hat{Q}_{\pm d} \rightarrow \hat{Q}_{\pm}$ we obtain is, obviously, not a unitary transformation: $[\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d, \hat{Q}_{\pm d}] \neq 0$ while $[\hat{\mathcal{H}}, \hat{Q}_{\pm}] = 0$.

³Though curvature is irrelevant aspect in one-dimensional cases, the topology of the configuration space may be relevant in some systems.

quadratic in \hbar quantum term (2.34) with the quantum potential term V_Q appearing in the quantum Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Interestingly, in the case of multi-dimensional Euclidean space the V_Q term can be treated as a curvature of the amplitude of the wave function [38]. It is worth to note that the Schwarzian derivative admits also the interpretation in terms of the curvature if we consider a free particle motion in the Lorentz plane and reduce it to a given curve, see [42, 43] and [39]. The Schwarzian derivative appears also in the Schrödinger equation under application to the latter of a form-preserving change of variable and wave function, see Section 4. For some other applications of the Schwarzian derivative and its properties see also refs. [44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50].

3 Coupling-constant metamorphosis and duality

Before we consider some examples, let us discuss the general picture we obtained in the related interesting context of the coupling-constant metamorphosis [34, 35, 36, 37]. To this aim, consider the stationary Schrödinger equations corresponding to the quantum system (2.30) characterized by the second order supercharges,

$$(\hat{H}_{\mp} - E)\Psi_{\mp}(x) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

We set $\tilde{\Psi}_{\mp}(x) = \sqrt{W(x)}\psi_{\mp}(x)$, multiply the equation from the left by $W^2(x)$, change the variable x for z using relation (2.35), $z' = 1/W(x)$, and denote $\tilde{W}(z) = W(x(z))$, $\tilde{\psi}_{\mp}(z) = \psi_{\mp}(x(z))$. In this way Eq. (3.1) will be transformed into

$$\left(-\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \tilde{W}^4 \mp \hbar \frac{d\tilde{W}^2}{dz} - C - \tilde{W}^2 E\right) \tilde{\psi}_{\mp}(z) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where we included the additive constant $-a$ from (2.32) into E . Introducing the notation $\mathcal{W} = \tilde{W}^2 - \frac{1}{2}E$, equation (3.1) takes finally the form

$$\left(-\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \mathcal{W}^2 \mp \hbar \frac{d\mathcal{W}}{dz} - \mathcal{E}\right) \tilde{\psi}_{\mp}(z) = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\mathcal{E} = C + \frac{1}{4}E^2$. We have arrived at a pair of the stationary Schrödinger equations corresponding to a supersymmetric system characterized by the linear supercharges $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_+ = (\mathcal{W} + \hbar \frac{d}{dz})\sigma_+$ and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_- = \hat{\mathcal{S}}_+^\dagger$. The pair of the superpartner potentials $U_{\mp}(z) = \mathcal{W}^2 \mp \hbar \frac{d\mathcal{W}}{dz}$ is given in terms of the superpotential $\mathcal{W}(z)$. We have here a kind of a metamorphosis [34], which exchanges the roles of the coupling constant and the energy in the superpartner systems, and the associated specific duality between the systems with the second (3.1) and first order (3.3) supersymmetries. The peculiarity of the coupling-constant metamorphosis appearing here is that the combination $C + \frac{1}{4}E^2$ playing a role of the energy eigenvalue \mathcal{E} depends not only on the constant C , but also on the energy eigenvalue E of the initial superpartner systems. It is necessary to stress, however, that the described metamorphosis and the associated transmutation of the second order supersymmetry into the supersymmetry with the first order supercharges was obtained here formally, by employing relation (2.35) to define the function $x(z)$ locally, and we are not preoccupied with the global nature of the function $W(x)$.

Classically, the described metamorphosis of the coupling constant and transmutation of the order of supersymmetry can be understood in correspondence with the picture of duality between integrable Hamiltonian systems discussed by Hietarinta et al [34]. To this end, we multiply classical Hamiltonian (2.4) by W^2 . After changing $2(\mathcal{H} - a)$ for a constant η , and $C + \frac{1}{4}\eta^2$ for $2\mathcal{G}$, and making a point canonical transformation $x \rightarrow z$, $p \rightarrow P$, where $z(x)$ is given by Eq. (2.35) and $P = W(x)p$,

we arrive at the classical system described by the Hamiltonian \mathcal{G} given by

$$2\mathcal{G} = P^2 + \mathcal{W}^2 + 2\frac{d\mathcal{W}}{dz}N, \quad (3.4)$$

cf. (3.3), where $\mathcal{W}(z) = \widetilde{W}^2(z) - \frac{1}{2}\eta$, $\widetilde{W}(z) = W(x(z))$. In correspondence with the quantum picture, the classical system is characterized by the supercharges $\mathcal{S}_+ = (\mathcal{W}(z) + iP)\theta^+$ and $\mathcal{S}_- = (\mathcal{S}_+)^*$, which are the first order integrals in the momentum P , $\{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S}_\pm\} = 0$, $\{\mathcal{S}_+, \mathcal{S}_-\} = -2i\mathcal{G}$. The first order supercharges \mathcal{S}_\pm of the system \mathcal{G} can be obtained from the second order supercharges \mathcal{Q}_\pm of the system \mathcal{H} . To see this, it is sufficient to note that \mathcal{Q}_+ can be rewritten in the equivalent form $\mathcal{Q}_+ = (-2(\mathcal{H} - a) + 2(W^2 + iP))\theta^+$. After the change $2(\mathcal{H} - a) \rightarrow \eta$, and realization of the indicated point canonical transformation, \mathcal{Q}_+ will transform into $2\mathcal{S}_+$. Corresponding supercharge operator $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_+$ can also be obtained from $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_+$ by a procedure similar to that how the superpartner pair of Hamiltonians in (3.3) was obtained.

4 Schrödinger equation and Schwarzian derivative

To understand better the roots of the Schwarzian derivative ‘remedy’ for the quantum anomaly ‘disease’ that we employed in Section 2 as well as to see a hidden role played by the Schwarzian in the coupling-constant metamorphosis mechanism discussed in the previous Section, we consider here the form-invariant transformations in stationary Schrödinger equation.

So, let us take the stationary Schrödinger equation

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + V(\xi) - E\right)\psi_E(\xi) = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

and change in it the variable ξ for some another variable x given by the relation $\frac{dx}{d\xi} = f(\xi)$. Then $d\xi = \frac{dx}{\varphi(x)}$, where $\varphi(x) = f(\xi(x))$, cf. (2.35), and $\frac{d}{d\xi} = \varphi(x)\frac{d}{dx}$, $\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} = \varphi^2\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \varphi\varphi'\frac{d}{dx}$. After the change of variable and multiplication by $\frac{1}{\varphi^2(x)}$ from the left, Eq. (4.1) transforms into

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\frac{d}{dx}\right)\tilde{\psi}_E + \frac{\tilde{V}(x) - E}{\varphi^2}\tilde{\psi}_E(x) = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\tilde{V}(x) = V(\xi(x))$, $\tilde{\psi}_E(x) = \psi_E(\xi(x))$. The first order derivative term is eliminated by defining a new function $\check{\psi}_E(x)$, $\check{\psi}_E(x) = \varphi^{-1/2}(x)\tilde{\psi}_E(x)$, for which Eq. (4.2) transforms into

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\varphi) + \frac{\tilde{V}(x) - E}{\varphi^2}\right)\check{\psi}_E(x) = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$\Delta(\varphi) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left(\frac{\varphi''}{\varphi} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right)^2\right) = \frac{\hbar^2}{m}\left(\left(\frac{\varphi'}{2\varphi}\right)' + \left(\frac{\varphi'}{2\varphi}\right)^2\right),$$

cf. (2.34). The appearance of the Δ term here is associated ultimately with the identity relations

$$f^{-3/2}(\xi)\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2}f^{-1/2}(\xi) = \varphi^{-1/2}\frac{d}{dx}\varphi\frac{d}{dx}\varphi^{-1/2} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varphi}}(\sqrt{\varphi})''. \quad (4.4)$$

Remembering that $\varphi(\xi) = 1/\xi'(x)$, the last term in (4.4) corresponds to the Schwarzian derivative:

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varphi}}(\sqrt{\varphi})'' = \frac{1}{2}S(\xi(x)), \quad S(\xi(x)) = \frac{\xi'''}{\xi'} - \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\xi''}{\xi'}\right)^2.$$

In the discussion of the coupling-constant metamorphosis we realized a procedure that, in fact, is inverse to the procedure described here and which corresponds to a transformation from (4.3) to (4.1). The middle differential term in (4.4) can be compared with the representation (2.41) for the kinetic term we used to formulate the anomaly-free prescription for quantization of the classical system.

5 Some examples

To illustrate the obtained general results, we consider here several simple examples.

- For $W = \gamma x$, we have $\Delta = -\frac{\hbar^2}{4} \frac{1}{x^2} < 0$, and $V_{\mp} = \gamma^2 x^2 - \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{4} + \frac{C}{\gamma^2}\right) \frac{1}{x^2} \mp 2\hbar\gamma$. With the choice $C = -\frac{\hbar^2\gamma^2}{4} < 0$ both potentials $V_{\mp}(x) = \gamma^2 x^2 \mp 2\hbar\gamma$ are regular on \mathbb{R} functions which correspond to a pair of harmonic oscillators with mutually shifted spectra $E_n^- = \hbar\gamma n$, $E_n^+ = \hbar\gamma(n+2)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, where we set additive constant $a = 0$. We have here $w_{\pm} = \gamma x \pm \frac{\hbar}{2x}$, and so, the first order operators A_{\pm} given by Eq. (2.20) are singular at $x = 0$. With $\alpha = 2\hbar\gamma$ and $\lambda(x) = -\frac{\hbar}{2x}$, see Eq. (2.27), we find that operators \mathcal{A}_{\pm} are equal and coincide (up to inessential constant factor) with the annihilation harmonic oscillator operator: $\mathcal{A}_- = \mathcal{A}_+ = \hbar \frac{d}{dx} + \gamma x$. The superpartner Hamiltonians are represented in terms of these and conjugate operators as $2\hat{H}_- = \mathcal{A}_-^\dagger \mathcal{A}_-$, $2\hat{H}_+ = \mathcal{A}_- \mathcal{A}_-^\dagger + 2\hbar\gamma$. They are intertwined by $2\hat{q}_+ = \mathcal{A}_-^2 = \left(\hbar \frac{d}{dx} + \gamma x\right)^2$. The intermediate, virtual system H_{\otimes} is given by $2H_{\otimes} = \mathcal{A}_- \mathcal{A}_-^\dagger = 2\hat{H}_- + \hbar\gamma$ that corresponds to the harmonic oscillator with potential minimum shifted exactly to the middle between the minima of potentials $V_-(x)$ and $V_+(x)$.

For the alternative choice $\alpha = -2\hbar\gamma$, we have $\lambda(x) = +\frac{\hbar}{2x}$, and then the factorizing operators \mathcal{A}_{\mp} are different and singular: $\mathcal{A}_{\mp} = \hbar \frac{d}{dx} + \gamma x \mp \frac{\hbar}{x}$. In this case in correspondence with relation $\mathcal{A}_- \mathcal{A}_-^\dagger = -\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \gamma^2 x^2 + 2\frac{\hbar^2}{x^2} - \gamma\hbar$, the same mutually shifted superpartner harmonic oscillators given by potentials $V_{\mp}(x) = \gamma^2 x^2 \mp 2\hbar\gamma$ are intertwined through the isotonic oscillator system, see [14, 51, 52, 53]. It could seem that there is no sense to consider such alternative singular (at $x = 0$ here) factorizing operators for regular on all the real line \mathbb{R} superpartner Hamiltonians. Nevertheless, the alternative singular factorizing operators had prove to be very important for instance under analysis of the phenomenon of supersymmetry transmutations in exotic supersymmetric structure associated with soliton (reflectionless) systems, see refs. [56, 57].

If x is treated as a radial variable, then with the choice of $C < -\frac{\hbar^2\gamma^2}{4}$ one can relate the picture to a supersymmetric pair of the 3D isotropic oscillators [4].

In the context of the coupling-constant metamorphosis mechanism, as a dual supersymmetric system with the first order supercharges we obtain the system with a pair of Morse potentials of the form $U_{\mp}(z) = \gamma^4 e^{4\gamma z} - 2\gamma^2(\kappa \pm \hbar\gamma)e^{2\gamma z} + \kappa^2$ given in terms of a superpotential $\mathcal{W} = \gamma^2 e^{2\gamma z} - \kappa$. For the discussion of such a supersymmetric system see, e.g. [2, 4].

- For $W = \frac{\gamma}{x}$, we find $\Delta = \frac{3}{4}\hbar^2 \frac{1}{x^2} > 0$, $V_{\mp} = \frac{1}{x^2}((\gamma \pm \hbar)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\hbar^2) - \frac{C}{\gamma^2} x^2$, $w_{\mp} = (\gamma \pm \frac{\hbar}{2}) \frac{1}{x}$. The choice $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\hbar$ or $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}\hbar$ gives $V_+ = -\frac{C}{\gamma^2} x^2$ and $V_- = -\frac{C}{\gamma^2} x^2 + n(n+1)\hbar^2 \frac{1}{x^2}$ with $n = 1$ or $n = 2$ in these two cases, for which $A_- = \hbar \left(\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{n}{x}\right)$ and $A_+ = \hbar \left(\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{n-1}{x}\right)$. So, for $C < 0$, $C > 0$ and $C = 0$, V_- corresponds to the harmonic oscillator potential, the inverted oscillator potential, or to the free particle zero potential which are corrected by the additive inverse square term. In the case $C < 0$ the system \hat{H}_- corresponds to the isotonic oscillator. In the last case $C = 0$, the partner V_- corresponds to the potential of the two-body Calogero system with special values of the coupling constant [54]. A dual supersymmetric system with the first order supercharges is given by the superpotential $\mathcal{W} = \frac{\gamma}{2z} - \kappa$, and the partner potentials $U_{\pm}(z) = \frac{\gamma}{2}(\frac{\gamma}{2} - 1) \frac{1}{z^2} - \gamma\kappa \frac{1}{z} + \kappa^2$. If one considers x as a radial variable, then the picture can be understood in the context of the well known relationship between the Coulomb and oscillator problems [4, 55].

• Take now $W = \gamma \tanh \kappa x$. Then $w_{\pm} = (\gamma \mp \frac{1}{2}\hbar\kappa) \tanh \kappa x \pm \frac{1}{2}\hbar\kappa \coth \kappa x$ and $\Delta = -\hbar^2\kappa^2 \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \kappa x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\sinh^2 \kappa x}\right) < 0$. With the choice $C = -\frac{1}{4}\hbar^2\gamma^2\kappa^2$, both potentials $V_{\pm}(x)$ will be regular functions on the real line, $V_{\mp} = \gamma^2 + \frac{1}{4}\hbar^2\kappa^2 - \frac{\beta_{\mp}}{\cosh^2 \kappa x}$, where $\beta_{\mp} = ((\gamma \pm \hbar\kappa)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\hbar^2\kappa^2)$. When one of the coefficients β_- or β_+ is equal to zero, one of the corresponding superpartner systems will be the free particle. For $\gamma = -\frac{1}{2}\hbar\kappa$, we have $V_- = \frac{1}{2}\hbar^2\kappa^2$ and $V_+ = \frac{1}{2}\hbar^2\kappa^2 - 2\hbar^2\kappa^2 \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \kappa x}$. This V_+ corresponds to the reflectionless Pöschl-Teller system with one bound state in the spectrum [13]. Both first order operators A_- and A_+ in this case are singular, $A_- = \hbar \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \frac{1}{2}\kappa \coth \kappa x\right)$, $A_+ = \hbar \left(\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa \coth \kappa x - \kappa \tanh \kappa x\right)$. In spite of this, the second order intertwining operator (2.21) is regular and can be presented in the factorized form

$$2\hat{q}_+ = \hbar^2 \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \kappa \tanh \kappa x\right) \frac{d}{dx}. \quad (5.1)$$

Let us find the operators \mathcal{A}_{\pm} and their conjugate operators which factorize the superpartner systems. In correspondence with the relation $\alpha^2 = -16C$, see Eq. (2.27), we have two possibilities: $\alpha = \hbar^2\kappa^2$ and $\alpha = -\hbar^2\kappa^2$. The first choice gives $\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\kappa \coth \kappa x$, and we obtain the factorizing operators in the form

$$\mathcal{A}_- = \hbar \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \kappa \coth \kappa x\right), \quad \mathcal{A}_+ = \hbar \left(\frac{d}{dx} + \kappa \coth \kappa x - \kappa \tanh \kappa x\right).$$

Both these operators similarly to A_- and A_+ are singular at $x = 0$. In correspondence with relations (2.26) we have $\mathcal{A}_-^\dagger \mathcal{A}_- = \hbar^2 \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \kappa^2\right)$, $\mathcal{A}_+ \mathcal{A}_+^\dagger = \hbar^2 \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 2\kappa^2 \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \kappa x}\right)$, and the product $\mathcal{A}_+ \mathcal{A}_-$ gives us the intertwining second order operator which can be presented in the equivalent form (5.1). The intermediate system here is singular, $\mathcal{A}_- \mathcal{A}_-^\dagger = \hbar^2 \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \kappa^2 + 2\kappa^2 \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \kappa x}\right)$, see Eq. (2.29).

For $\alpha = -\hbar^2\kappa^2$, we have $\lambda(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\hbar\kappa \coth \kappa x$, that gives us, instead, the non-singular factorizing operators

$$\mathcal{A}_- = \hbar \frac{d}{dx}, \quad \mathcal{A}_+ = \hbar \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \kappa \tanh \kappa x\right).$$

It is these operators that factorize the second order intertwining operator in (5.1). The intermediate system in this case is the same free particle with the Hamiltonian operator shifted for another additive constant, $\mathcal{A}_- \mathcal{A}_-^\dagger = -\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} = \mathcal{A}_+^\dagger \mathcal{A}_+ - \hbar^2\kappa^2$. This reflects also the fact that the pair of the systems \hat{H}_- and \hat{H}_+ being reflectionless, in the present case is described simultaneously by supersymmetry with the linear supercharges constructed from the first order intertwining operators⁴ \mathcal{A}_+ and \mathcal{A}_+^\dagger . In correspondence with this property, in the construction of the associated dual system with the first order supercharges the additive constant in superpotential can be chosen in such a way that we obtain $\mathcal{W} = -\hbar\kappa \tanh z$, see Section 3, and the associated supersymmetric system will coincide exactly with our system (with the variable x changed for z).

Another choice of the parameter $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}\hbar\kappa$, which gives the free particle potential $V_+ = \frac{5}{2}\hbar^2\kappa^2$ and $V_- = \frac{5}{2}\hbar^2\kappa^2 - 6\hbar^2\kappa^2 \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \kappa x}$, is also interesting. In this case the \hat{H}_- is the reflectionless Pöschl-Teller system with two bound states in the spectrum [13], and both operators A_- and A_+ , again, are singular, $A_- = \hbar \left(\frac{d}{dx} + 2\kappa \tanh \kappa x - \frac{1}{2}\kappa \coth \kappa x\right)$, $A_+ = \hbar \left(\frac{d}{dx} + \kappa \tanh \kappa x + \frac{1}{2}\kappa \coth \kappa x\right)$. Here the choice $\alpha = 3\hbar^2\kappa^2$ leads to nonsingular factorizing operators,

$$\mathcal{A}_- = \hbar \left(\frac{d}{dx} + 2\kappa \tanh \kappa x\right), \quad \mathcal{A}_+ = \hbar \left(\frac{d}{dx} + \kappa \tanh \kappa x\right), \quad (5.2)$$

⁴Being reflectionless systems, they are characterized also by the integrals of motion which are $\hat{p} = -\hbar \frac{d}{dx}$ and $\mathcal{A}_- \hat{p} \mathcal{A}_-^\dagger$ [13].

in terms of which we have $\mathcal{A}_+\mathcal{A}_+^\dagger = -\hbar^2\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \hbar^2\kappa^2$, $\mathcal{A}_-^\dagger\mathcal{A}_- = -\hbar^2\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 4\hbar^2\kappa^2 - 6\hbar^2\kappa^2\frac{1}{\cosh^2\kappa x}$. The Hamiltonians are intertwined by the second order operator $2\hat{q}_+ = \mathcal{A}_+\mathcal{A}_-$. The intermediate system in this case is the reflectionless Pöschl-Teller system with one bound state, $\mathcal{A}_+^\dagger\mathcal{A}_+ = -\hbar^2\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \hbar^2\kappa^2 - 2\hbar^2\kappa^2\frac{1}{\cosh^2\kappa x}$.

Another choice $\alpha = -3\hbar^2\kappa^2$ leads to the singular factorizing operators,

$$\mathcal{A}_- = \hbar \left(\frac{d}{dx} + 2\kappa \tanh \kappa x - \kappa \coth \kappa x \right), \quad \mathcal{A}_+ = \hbar \left(\frac{d}{dx} + 2\kappa \coth 2\kappa x \right). \quad (5.3)$$

Here $\mathcal{A}_+\mathcal{A}_+^\dagger = -\hbar^2\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 4\hbar^2\kappa^2$, $\mathcal{A}_-^\dagger\mathcal{A}_- = -\hbar^2\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \hbar^2\kappa^2 - 6\hbar^2\kappa^2\frac{1}{\cosh^2\kappa x}$. The peculiarity is that for intermediate, virtual system we have here $\mathcal{A}_+^\dagger\mathcal{A}_+ = 4\hbar^2 \left(\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + \hbar^2\kappa^2 + 2\kappa^2\frac{1}{\sinh^2\kappa\xi} \right)$, where $\xi = 2x$. The intermediate system is also finite-gap⁵, but to see this explicitly requires rescaling of the variable. Since \hat{H}_- and \hat{H}_+ are reflectionless, the system composed from them is characterized also by the supercharges which are differential operators of the third order constructed from the intertwining operator $\hbar\hat{q}_+\frac{d}{dx}$ and its conjugate, where $2\hat{q}_+ = \mathcal{A}_+\mathcal{A}_-$ with \mathcal{A}_\pm given either by (5.2) or (5.3). The reflectionless Pöschl-Teller subsystem \hat{H}_- is characterized also by a nontrivial Lax-Novikov integral which is the fifth order differential operator $\mathcal{A}_-^\dagger\mathcal{A}_+^\dagger\hat{p}\mathcal{A}_+\mathcal{A}_-$ [13].

6 Conclusion

We have investigated the problem of the quantum anomaly in the systems with the second order supersymmetry and found that it is treated universally by modifying the quantization prescription for the quadratic in momentum terms both in the Hamiltonian and in the supercharges. Such a modification generates a specific quadratic in Planck constant term which in a hidden form has a nature of the Schwarzian derivative. Though the quantization prescription we employed is somewhat similar to that which is used in the quantum problem of a particle in a curved space [40, 41], there is an essential difference. Namely, in the case of the particle in curved space described by external metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$, the classical kinetic term has a form $p_\mu g^{\mu\nu} p_\nu$, and there appears a problem of ordering ambiguity under construction of its quantum analog due to non-commutativity of the momentum operators with metric tensor. The ordering problem is fixed there by requiring the preservation of the invariance of the theory under general coordinate transformations, that is achieved by taking the quantization prescription for the kinetic term in the form $p_\mu^- g^{\mu\nu} p_\nu^+$, where $p_\mu^\pm = g^{\pm 1/4} p_\mu g^{\mp 1/4}$ [40]. In Hamiltonian of the classical system with the second order supercharges that we considered, the kinetic term appears in the form p^2 , and so, there is no ordering ambiguity in it under transition to the quantum case. However, if we take its quantum analog in the form $-\hbar^2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$, with such a natural quantization prescription the second order supersymmetry will be lost at the quantum level and we face the problem of the quantum anomaly. We ‘cure’ this problem by introducing at the classical level a fictitious similarity transformation (2.41) into the kinetic term of the Hamiltonian and a similar fictitious transformation is introduced into the classical supercharges. Fixing then the generating function of the similarity transformation in a special form in terms of the superpotential and taking the ordering prescription similarly to the case of the particle in the curved space, we recuperate the second order supersymmetry at the quantum level by generating the necessary term (2.34), which is quadratic in the quantum constant \hbar and can be presented in the form of the Schwarzian derivative (2.36). It would be interesting to analyze the indicated similarity with the particle in the curved space in more detail. This could be helpful in investigation of the problem of

⁵In the sense that for it, a nontrivial Lax-Novikov integral exists and is given by $\mathcal{A}_-^\dagger\hat{p}\mathcal{A}_+$, but this integral and the Hamiltonian \hat{H}_\otimes are singular operators.

the quantum anomaly in the systems with nonlinear supersymmetries of the order higher than two, particularly, of the order three [11]. This analogy as well as a noted at the beginning similarity with finite W-algebras may indicate that the one-dimensional quantum mechanical systems with higher order supersymmetry could be generated by application of some reduction procedure to a particle with linear supersymmetry but ‘living’ in a space of dimension $d > 1$. In such a case the peculiar and seemingly unnatural quantization prescription used here to maintain the second order supersymmetry could find a reasonable explanation from the point of view of quantization in a higher-dimensional curved space.

When the constant C that appears in the structure of the Hamiltonian operators (2.19) and supercharges (2.21) is positive, the partner Hamiltonians are factorized in terms of the first order operators which are not Hermitian conjugate, and in this case the factorization relations (2.26) involve a complex shift parameter. It would be interesting to investigate the properties of such a class of supersymmetric systems in more detail, particularly, from the perspective of their possible physical applications.

Finally we note that the phenomenon of the coupling-constant metamorphosis and related duality between the systems with the second and the first order supersymmetries that we observed in Section 3 deserves a further investigation. This is interesting particularly from the point of view of the finite-gap and reflectionless systems which reveal a peculiar, exotic supersymmetric structure associated with the presence in them of Lax-Novikov integrals [12, 13, 26, 27, 56, 57, 58]. The results presented here may also be useful for better understanding of a peculiar nature of the recently discovered class of the quantum mechanical exactly solvable systems related to exceptional orthogonal polynomials [31, 32, 33, 59, 60].

Acknowledgements. The work has been partially supported by FONDECYT Grant No. 1130017 and Proyecto Basal USA1555.

7 Appendix. Factorizing operators

Here we show that the first order operators (2.25) corresponding to factorization (2.26) are not fixed completely by relations (2.27) and by the choice of the sign of parameter α , and we find their one-parameter generalizations which are doing the same job. This is analogous to a simple picture that we have in the case of the free particle whose Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ admits a family of factorizing operators, $\hat{H}_0 = \mathcal{A}_\zeta^\# \mathcal{A}_\zeta$, $\mathcal{A}_\zeta = \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{x+\zeta}$, $\mathcal{A}_\zeta^\# = -\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{x+\zeta}$, where ζ is an arbitrary complex parameter (of a dimension of length), and $\mathcal{A}_\infty = -\mathcal{A}_\infty^\# = \frac{d}{dx}$. Below, as in example of the free particle, we are not preoccupied with the questions of regularity of operators and their conjugation properties.

Let $\psi(x)$ be a solution of the stationary Schrödinger equation $(\hat{H} - E)\psi = 0$, where E in general can be complex. We have then a natural factorization $(\hat{H} - E) = \mathcal{A}^\# \mathcal{A}$ with

$$\mathcal{A} = \psi(x) \frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{\psi(x)} = \frac{d}{dx} - w(x), \quad \mathcal{A}^\# = -\frac{1}{\psi(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \psi(x) = -\frac{d}{dx} - w(x), \quad (7.1)$$

and $w(x) = (\ln \psi(x))'$. A linear independent solution of the second order differential equation $(\hat{H} - E)\psi = 0$ can be taken in the form $\psi(x; C) = \psi(x) \left(1 + C \int_{x_0}^x \frac{ds}{\psi^2(s)}\right)$, where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is some fixed point and $C \neq 0$ is an arbitrary complex constant. The action of \mathcal{A} on $\psi(x; C)$ produces zero mode of $\mathcal{A}^\#$, $\mathcal{A}\psi(x; C) = \frac{C}{\psi(x)}$, and as a result, $(\hat{H} - E)\psi(x; C) = \mathcal{A}^\# \mathcal{A}\psi(x; C) = 0$. Obviously, instead of \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{A}^\#$, we can define the first order factorization operators $\mathcal{A}(C)$ and $\mathcal{A}^\#(C)$ given by relations (7.1) but with $\psi(x)$ changed for $\psi(x; C)$. As a result, we obtain $(\hat{H} - E) = \mathcal{A}^\#(C) \mathcal{A}(C)$

with

$$\mathcal{A}(C) = \frac{d}{dx} - w(x; C), \quad \mathcal{A}^\sharp(C) = -\frac{d}{dx} - w(x; C), \quad (7.2)$$

where

$$w(x; C) = w(x) + \frac{C \exp(-2\Xi(x))}{1 + C \int_{x_0}^x \exp(-2\Xi(s)) ds}, \quad (7.3)$$

and $\Xi(x) = \int_{x_0}^x w(s) ds$ so that $\exp(-2\Xi(x)) = \frac{1}{\psi^2(x)}$. At $C = 0$, $w(x; C)$ transforms into $w(x)$, and (7.2) transforms into (7.1). The partner Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{A}(C)\mathcal{A}^\sharp(C)$ here is C -dependent. For instance, in the above mentioned example of the free particle we have $\mathcal{A}_\zeta \mathcal{A}_\zeta^\sharp = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{2}{(x+\zeta)^2}$.

Using Eqs. (2.25) and (2.26), one can find zero modes $\Psi_-(x)$ and $\Psi_+(x)$ of operators \mathcal{A}_- and \mathcal{A}_+^\sharp being also zero modes of $2(\hat{H}_- - b)$ and $2(\hat{H}_+ - b) - \alpha$, respectively. Then, following the same reasoning, as a generalization of \mathcal{A}_- and \mathcal{A}_+^\sharp we obtain the factorizing operators $\mathcal{A}_-(C_-) = \mathcal{A}_- + \Lambda_-(W; C_-)$ and $\mathcal{A}_+^\sharp(C_-) = \mathcal{A}_+^\sharp + \Lambda_-(W; C_-)$, where $C_- \in \mathbb{C}$, and

$$\Lambda_-(W; C_-) = \frac{C_- \Omega_-(x)}{1 + C_- \int_{x_0}^x \Omega_-(s) ds}, \quad \Omega_-(x) = \frac{1}{W(x)} \exp\left(2 \int_{x_0}^x (W(s) - \lambda(s)) ds\right). \quad (7.4)$$

For these operators we have $2(\hat{H}_- - b) = \mathcal{A}_+^\sharp(C_-)\mathcal{A}_-(C_-)$.

As a generalization of \mathcal{A}_+ and \mathcal{A}_+^\sharp we obtain $\mathcal{A}_+(C_+) = \mathcal{A}_+ + \Lambda_+(W; C_+)$ and $\mathcal{A}_+^\sharp(C_+) = \mathcal{A}_+^\sharp + \Lambda_+(W; C_+)$, with $C_+ \in \mathbb{C}$, and

$$\Lambda_+(W; C_+) = \frac{C_+ \Omega_+(x)}{1 + C_+ \int_{x_0}^x \Omega_+(s) ds}, \quad \Omega_+(x) = \frac{1}{W(x)} \exp\left(-2 \int_{x_0}^x (W(s) + \lambda(s)) ds\right). \quad (7.5)$$

We have $2(\hat{H}_+ - b) - \alpha = \mathcal{A}_+(C_+)\mathcal{A}_+^\sharp(C_+)$. Functions $\Omega_-(x)$ and $\Omega_+(x)$ that appear in (7.4) and (7.5) are nothing else as the inverse squares of zero modes of the first order operators \mathcal{A}_- and \mathcal{A}_+^\sharp , $\Omega_\mp(x) = \frac{1}{\Psi_\mp^2(x)}$.

References

- [1] E. Witten, “*Dynamical breaking of supersymmetry*,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **188**, 513 (1981).
- [2] F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, “*Supersymmetry and quantum mechanics*,” *Phys. Rept.* **251**, 267 (1995) [[hep-th/9405029](#)].
- [3] G. Junker, *Supersymmetric Methods in Quantum and Statistical Physics* (Springer, Berlin, 1996).
- [4] F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, *Supersymmetry in Quantum Mechanics*, (Singapore, World Scientific, 2001).
- [5] A. A. Andrianov, M. V. Ioffe and V. P. Spiridonov, “*Higher derivative supersymmetry and the Witten index*,” *Phys. Lett. A* **174**, 273 (1993) [[hep-th/9303005](#)].
- [6] A. A. Andrianov, F. Cannata, J. P. Dedonder and M. V. Ioffe, “*Second order derivative supersymmetry, q deformations and scattering problem*,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **10**, 2683 (1995) [[hep-th/9404061](#)].
- [7] D. J. Fernandez C, “*SUSUSY quantum mechanics*,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **12**, 171 (1997) [[quant-ph/9609009](#)].

- [8] B. Bagchi, A. Ganguly, D. Bhaumik and A. Mitra, “Higher derivative supersymmetry, a modified Crum-Darboux transformation and coherent state,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **14**, 27 (1999).
- [9] M. Plyushchay, “Hidden nonlinear supersymmetries in pure parabosonic systems,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **15**, 3679 (2000) [[hep-th/9903130](#)].
- [10] S. M. Klishevich and M. S. Plyushchay, “Nonlinear supersymmetry, quantum anomaly and quasireactly solvable systems,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **606**, 583 (2001) [[hep-th/0012023](#)].
- [11] M. V. Ioffe and D. N. Nishnianidze, “SUSY intertwining relations of third order in derivatives,” *Phys. Lett. A* **327**, 425 (2004) [[hep-th/0404078](#)].
- [12] F. Correa, V. Jakubsky, L. M. Nieto and M. S. Plyushchay, “Self-isospectrality, special supersymmetry, and their effect on the band structure,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 030403 (2008) [[arXiv:0801.1671](#) [[hep-th](#)]].
- [13] F. Correa, V. Jakubsky and M. S. Plyushchay, “Aharonov-Bohm effect on AdS(2) and nonlinear supersymmetry of reflectionless Poschl-Teller system,” *Annals Phys.* **324**, 1078 (2009) [[arXiv:0809.2854](#) [[hep-th](#)]].
- [14] M. S. Berger and N. S. Ussembayev, “Second-order supersymmetric operators and excited states,” *J. Phys. A* **43**, 385309 (2010) [[arXiv:1007.5116](#) [[quant-ph](#)]].
- [15] M. S. Berger and N. S. Ussembayev, “Isospectral Potentials from Modified Factorization,” *Phys. Rev. A* **82**, 022121 (2010) [[arXiv:1008.1528](#) [[quant-ph](#)]].
- [16] M. Roček, “Representation theory of the nonlinear $SU(2)$ algebra,” *Phys. Lett. B* **255**, 554 (1991).
- [17] J. de Boer, F. Harmsze and T. Tjin, “Nonlinear finite W symmetries and applications in elementary systems,” *Phys. Rept.* **272**, 139 (1996) [[hep-th/9503161](#)].
- [18] V. G. Drinfeld and V. V. Sokolov, “Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type,” *J. Sov. Math.* **30**, 1975 (1984).
- [19] V. G. Drinfeld, “Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation,” *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **32**, 254 (1985) [*Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ser. Fiz.* **283**, 1060 (1985)].
- [20] M. Jimbo, “A q difference analog of $U(g)$ and the Yang-Baxter equation,” *Lett. Math. Phys.* **10**, 63 (1985); “A q Analog of $u(Gl(n+1))$, Hecke Algebra and the Yang-Baxter Equation,” *Lett. Math. Phys.* **11**, 247 (1986).
- [21] A. B. Zamolodchikov, “Infinite Additional Symmetries in Two-Dimensional Conformal Quantum Field Theory,” *Theor. Math. Phys.* **65**, 1205 (1985) [*Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **65**, 347 (1985)].
- [22] V. A. Fateev and S. L. Lukyanov, “The Models of Two-Dimensional Conformal Quantum Field Theory with $Z(n)$ Symmetry,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **3**, 507 (1988).
- [23] P. Bouwknegt and K. Schoutens, “ W symmetry in conformal field theory,” *Phys. Rept.* **223**, 183 (1993) [[hep-th/9210010](#)].
- [24] L. A. Dickey, “Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems” (2nd Edition), *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.* **26** (World Scientific, Singapore, 2003).

- [25] C. Leiva and M. S. Plyushchay, “*Superconformal mechanics and nonlinear supersymmetry*,” *JHEP* **0310**, 069 (2003) [[hep-th/0304257](#)].
- [26] F. Correa, L. M. Nieto and M. S. Plyushchay, “*Hidden nonlinear supersymmetry of finite-gap Lamé equation*,” *Phys. Lett. B* **644**, 94 (2007) [[hep-th/0608096](#)].
- [27] F. Correa, G. V. Dunne and M. S. Plyushchay, “*The Bogoliubov/de Gennes system, the AKNS hierarchy, and nonlinear quantum mechanical supersymmetry*,” *Annals Phys.* **324**, 2522 (2009) [[arXiv:0904.2768](#) [[hep-th](#)]].
- [28] F. Correa, O. Lechtenfeld and M. Plyushchay, “*Nonlinear supersymmetry in the quantum Calogero model*,” *JHEP* **1404**, 151 (2014) [[arXiv:1312.5749](#) [[hep-th](#)]].
- [29] A. Arancibia and M. S. Plyushchay, “*Chiral asymmetry in propagation of soliton defects in crystalline backgrounds*,” *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, no. 10, 105009 (2015) [[arXiv:1507.07060](#) [[hep-th](#)]].
- [30] F. Correa, V. Jakubsky and M. S. Plyushchay, “*PT-symmetric invisible defects and confluent Darboux-Crum transformations*,” *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, no. 2, 023839 (2015) [[arXiv:1506.00991](#) [[hep-th](#)]].
- [31] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, “*Infinitely many shape invariant potentials and new orthogonal polynomials*,” *Phys. Lett. B* **679**, 414 (2009) [[arXiv:0906.0142](#) [[math-ph](#)]].
- [32] C. Quesne, “*Higher-order SUSY, exactly solvable potentials, and exceptional orthogonal polynomials*,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **26**, 1843 (2011) [[arXiv:1106.1990](#) [[math-ph](#)]]; “*Rationally-extended radial oscillators and Laguerre exceptional orthogonal polynomials in kth-order SUSYQM*,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **26**, 5337 (2011) [[arXiv:1110.3958](#) [[math-ph](#)]].
- [33] D. Gómez-Ullate, N. Kamran, R. Milson, “*Exceptional orthogonal polynomials and the Darboux transformation*,” *J. Phys. A* **43**, 434016 (2010) [[arXiv:1002.2666](#) [[math-ph](#)]]; “*Two-step Darboux transformations and exceptional Laguerre polynomials*,” *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **387** (2012) 410 [[arXiv:1103.5724](#) [[math-ph](#)]]; D. Gomez-Ullate, Y. Grandidi and R. Milson, “*Extended Krein-Adler theorem for the translationally shape invariant potentials*,” *J. Math. Phys.* **55**, 043510 (2014) [[arXiv:1309.3756](#) [[nlin.SI](#)]].
- [34] J. Hietarinta, B. Grammaticos, B. Dorizzi and A. Ramani, “*Coupling Constant Metamorphosis and Duality Between Integrable Hamiltonian Systems*,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 1707 (1984).
- [35] J. Hietarinta and B. Grammaticos, “*On the \hbar^2 correction terms in quantum integrability*,” *J. Phys. A* **22**, 1315 (1989).
- [36] F. Cabral and J. A. C. Gallas, “*Metamorphosis and duality between quantum systems*,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 2611 (1987).
- [37] M. Cariglia, “*Null lifts and projective dynamics*,” *Annals Phys.* **362**, 642 (2015) [[arXiv:1506.00714](#) [[math-ph](#)]].
- [38] R. E. Wyatt, *Quantum Dynamics with Trajectories. Introduction to Quantum Hydrodynamics*, (Springer, 2005).
- [39] R. Bravo and M. S. Plyushchay, “*Position-dependent mass, finite-gap systems, and supersymmetry*,” *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, no. 10, 105023 (2016) [[arXiv:1512.09220](#) [[hep-th](#)]].

- [40] B. S. DeWitt, “*Point transformations in quantum mechanics*,” *Phys. Rev.* **85**, 653 (1952); “*Dynamical theory in curved spaces. 1. A Review of the classical and quantum action principles*,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **29**, 377 (1957).
- [41] V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini, G. Furlan and M. Roncadelli, “*Operator ordering and supersymmetry*,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **296**, 402 (1988); “*Quantum spinning particle in a curved metric*,” *Phys. Lett. B* **200**, 323 (1988).
- [42] C. Duval, V. Ovsienko, “*Lorentzian worldlines and the Schwarzian derivative*,” *Functional Analysis and its Applications*, **34**, 135 (2000).
- [43] V. Ovsienko and S. Tabachnikov, *Projective Differential Geometry Old and New: From the Schwarzian Derivative to the Cohomology of Diffeomorphism Groups*, *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, vol. **165**, (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004); “*What is ... the Schwarzian derivative?*”, *Notices of the AMS* **56**, 34 (2009).
- [44] M. Chuaqui, P. Duren and B. Osgood, “*The Schwarzian derivative for harmonic mappings*,” *J. d’Anal. Mat.* **91**, 329 (2003).
- [45] B. Osgood, “*Old and new on the Schwarzian derivative*,” In: *Quasiconformal Mappings and Analysis: A Collection of Papers Honoring F.W. Gehring*, Peter L. Duren, Juha M. Heinonen, Brad G. Osgood, Bruce P. Palka (Eds.), Springer-Verlag New York, 1998.
- [46] G. W. Gibbons, “*Dark Energy and the Schwarzian Derivative*,” [arXiv:1403.5431 \[hep-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.5431).
- [47] J. F. Cariñena, J. Grabowski, J. de Lucas and C. Sardón, “*Dirac–Lie systems and Schwarzian equations*,” *J. Dif. Eqns.* **257**, 2303 (2014) [[arXiv:1305.6276 \[math-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.6276)].
- [48] K. Toda and S.-J. Yu, “*The investigation into the Schwarz–Korteweg–de Vries equation and the Schwarz derivative in (2+1) dimensions*,” *J. Math. Phys.* **41**, 4747 (2000).
- [49] J. Weiss, “*The Painlevé property for partial differential equations. II: Bäcklund transformation, Lax pairs, and the Schwarzian derivative*,” *J. Math. Phys.* **24**, 1405 (1983).
- [50] R. L. Devaney, *A First Course In Chaotic Dynamical Systems: Theory And Experiment (Studies in Nonlinearity)*, Perseus Books Publishing, 1992.
- [51] I. I. Goldman and V. D. Krivchenkov, *Problems in Quantum Mechanics* (Pergamon, London, 1961).
- [52] Y. Weissman and J. Jortner, “*The isotonic oscillator*,” *Phys. Lett. A* **70**, 177 (1979).
- [53] I. Marquette, “*Singular isotonic oscillator, supersymmetry and superintegrability*,” *SIGMA* **8**, 063 (2012) [[arXiv:1209.4151 \[math-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.4151)].
- [54] F. Correa, M. A. del Olmo and M. S. Plyushchay, “*On hidden broken nonlinear superconformal symmetry of conformal mechanics and nature of double nonlinear superconformal symmetry*,” *Phys. Lett. B* **628**, 157 (2005) [[hep-th/0508223](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0508223)].
- [55] V. A. Kostelecky, M. M. Nieto and D. R. Truax, “*Supersymmetry and the relationship between the Coulomb and oscillator problems in arbitrary dimensions*,” *Phys. Rev. D* **32**, 2627 (1985).

- [56] A. Arancibia, J. M. Guilarte and M. S. Plyushchay, “*Effect of scalings and translations on the supersymmetric quantum mechanical structure of soliton systems,*” *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, no. 4, 045009 (2013) [[arXiv:1210.3666 \[math-ph\]](#)].
- [57] A. Arancibia and M. S. Plyushchay, “*Transmutations of supersymmetry through soliton scattering, and self-consistent condensates,*” *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no. 2, 025008 (2014) [[arXiv:1401.6709 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [58] A. Arancibia, F. Correa, V. Jakubský, J. M. Guilarte and M. S. Plyushchay, “*Soliton defects in one-gap periodic system and exotic supersymmetry,*” *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no. 12, 125041 (2014) [[arXiv:1410.3565 \[hep-th\]](#)].
- [59] J. F. Cariñena, A. M. Perelomov, M. F. Rañada, and M. Santander, “*A quantum exactly solvable nonlinear oscillator related to the isotonic oscillator*”, *J. Phys. A* **41** 085301 (2008) [[arXiv:0711.4899 \[quant-ph\]](#)].
- [60] J. M. Fellows and R. A. Smith, “*Factorization solution of a family of quantum nonlinear oscillators,*” *J. Phys. A* **42** 335303 (2009).