

# VEV of Baxter's $Q$ -operator in $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theory and the BPZ differential equation

---

**Gabriel Poghosyan and Rubik Poghosian**

*Yerevan Physics Institute,  
Alikhanian Br. 2, AM-0036 Yerevan, Armenia*

*E-mail:* [gabrielpoghos@gmail.com](mailto:gabrielpoghos@gmail.com), [poghos@yerphi.am](mailto:poghos@yerphi.am)

**ABSTRACT:** In this short notes using AGT correspondence we express simplest fully degenerate primary fields of Toda field theory in terms an analogue of Baxter's  $Q$ -operator naturally emerging in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge theory side. This quantity can be considered as a generating function of simple trace chiral operators constructed from the scalars of the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  vector multiplets. In the special case of Liouville theory, exploring the second order differential equation satisfied by conformal blocks including a degenerate at the second level primary field (BPZ equation) we derive a mixed difference-differential relation for  $Q$ -operator. Thus we generalize the  $T$ - $Q$  difference equation known in Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit of the  $\Omega$ -background to the generic case.

**KEYWORDS:** AGT, Deformed Seiberg-Witten equation, Toda and Liouville field theories

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>A special choice of parameters, leading to <math>Q_{\bar{y}}</math> insertion</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Degenerate field decoupling equation in Liouville theory</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>8</b>

---

## 1 Introduction

Instanton [1] partition function of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric gauge theory in  $\Omega$ -background admits exact investigation by localization methods [2–6]. In the limit when the background parameters  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$  vanish, the famous Seiberg-Witten solution [7, 8] is recovered. The case of non-trivial  $\Omega$ -background has surprisingly rich area of applications. In particular when one of parameters is set to zero (Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit [9]), deep relations to quantum integrable system emerge (see e.g. [10–17] to quote a few from many important works). These are quantum versions of classical integrable systems, which played central role already in Seiberg-Witten theory on trivial background [18, 19]. The remaining non-zero  $\Omega$ -background parameter just plays the role of Plank’s constant. Many familiar concepts of exactly integrable models of statistical mechanics and quantum field theory such as Bethe-ansatz or Baxters  $T - Q$  equations [20, 21] naturally emerge in this context [13]. In the case of generic  $\Omega$ -background instanton partition function is directly related to the conformal blocks of a 2d CFT (AGT correspondence) [22–26]. In this context the NS limit corresponds to the semi-classical limit of the related CFT [12, 17, 27–31].

In [31] one of present authors (R.P.) has investigated the link between Deformed Seiberg-Witten curve equation and underlying Baxter’s  $T - Q$  equation in gauge theory side and the null-vector decoupling equation [32] of 2d CFT in quite general setting of linear quiver gauge theories with  $U(n)$  gauge groups and 2d  $A_{n-1}$  Toda field theory multi-point conformal blocks in semi-classical limit (see also [33] for an earlier discussion on the role of degenerate fields in AGT correspondence).

In this short notes we’ll extend some of the results of [31] to the case of generic  $\Omega$ -background corresponding to the genuine quantum conformal blocks. For technical reasons we’ll restrict ourselves to the case of  $U(2)$  gauge groups corresponding to the Liouville theory leaving Toda field theory case for future work.

In Section 2 we show that an appropriate choice of parameters [34] in  $A_{r+1}$  linear quiver theory with  $U(n)$  gauge groups is equivalent to insertion of the analogue of Baxters  $Q$ -operator into the partition function of a theory with one gauge node less  $A_r$  theory with generic parameters. In the 2d CFT side such special choice corresponds to insertion of a degenerated primary field in the conformal block [34]. In Section 2 restricting to the case of Liouville theory, starting from the second order differential equation satisfied by the multi-points conformal blocks including a degenerate field  $V_{-b/2}$  [32] we derive the analogues equation satisfied by the gauge theory partition function with  $Q$  operator insertion. Then we show that this equation leads to a mixed linear difference-differential equation for  $Q$  operators which is a direct generalization of the  $T - Q$  equation from NS limit to the case of generic  $\Omega$ -Background. Finally we summarize our results and discuss a couple of further directions which we think are worth pursuing.

## 2 A special choice of parameters, leading to $Q_{\bar{Y}}$ insertion

Consider the instanton partition function of the linear quiver theory  $A_{r+1}$  with gauge groups  $U(n)$  with parameters specified as in Fig.1a. Note that the parameters of the first gauge factor (depicted as a dashed circle) are chosen to be  $\tilde{a}_{0,u} = a_{0,u} - \epsilon_1 \delta_{1,u}$ , where  $a_{0,u}$  are the parameters of the "frozen" node corresponding to the  $n$  antifundamental hypermultiplets. It has been shown in [34] that under such choice of parameters all  $n$ -tuples of Young diagrams  $Y_{\tilde{0},u}$  corresponding to the special node  $\tilde{0}$  (the dashed circle) give no contribution in partition function unless the first diagram  $Y_{\tilde{0},1}$  consists of a single column while the remaining  $n-1$  diagrams are empty. Taking into account this huge simplification we'll be able to separate the contribution of the special node explicitly. According to the rules of construction of the partition function for this contribution we have

$$\prod_{u,v=1}^n \frac{Z_{bf}(a_{0,u}, \emptyset | \tilde{a}_{0,v}, Y_{\tilde{0},v}) Z_{bf}(\tilde{a}_{0,u}, Y_{\tilde{0},u} | a_{1,v}, Y_{1,v})}{Z_{bf}(\tilde{a}_{0,u}, Y_{\tilde{0},u} | \tilde{a}_{0,v}, Y_{\tilde{0},v})} \quad (2.1)$$


where for a pair of Young diagrams  $\lambda, \mu$  the bifundamental contribution is given by

$$Z_{bf}(a, \lambda | b, \mu) = \prod_{s \in \lambda} (a - b - \epsilon_1 L_\mu(s) + \epsilon_2 (1 + A_\lambda(s))) \prod_{s \in \mu} (a - b + \epsilon_1 (1 + L_\lambda(s)) - \epsilon_2 A_\mu(s)), \quad (2.2)$$


where the arm and leg lengths of a box  $s$   $A_\lambda(s)$  and  $L_\lambda(s)$  towards a Young diagram  $\lambda$  are defined as

$$A_\lambda(s) = \lambda_i - j; \quad L_\lambda(s) = \lambda'_j - i, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $(i, j)$  are coordinates of the box  $s$  with respect to the center of the corner box and  $\lambda_i$  ( $\lambda'_j$ ) is the  $i$ -th column length ( $j$ -th row length) of  $\lambda$  as shown in Fig.2.



**Figure 1.** (a) The quiver diagram for the conformal linear quiver  $U(n)$  gauge theory:  $r$  circles stand for gauge multiplets; two squares represent  $n$  anti-fundamental (on the left edge) and  $n$  fundamental (the right edge) hypermultiplets; the lines connecting adjacent circles are the bi-fundamentals. (b) The AGT dual conformal block of the Toda field theory.



**Figure 2.** Arm and leg length with respect to a Young diagram  $\lambda = \{4, 3, 3, 1, 1\}$  (the gray area):  $A_\lambda(s_1) = 1$ ,  $L_\lambda(s_1) = 2$ ,  $A_\lambda(s_2) = -2$ ,  $L_\lambda(s_2) = -3$ ,  $A_\lambda(s_3) = -2$ ,  $L_\lambda(s_3) = -4$ .

Using (2.2) It is not difficult to compute the factors  $Z_{bf}$  present in (2.1). In particular

$$Z_{bf}(a, \emptyset | b, \lambda) = \prod_{s \in \lambda} (a - b - \varphi(s)) \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\varphi(s) = \epsilon_1(i_s - 1) + \epsilon_2(j_s - 1) \quad (2.5)$$

(e.g. in Fig.2  $\varphi(s_3) = 6\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$ ). To present the final result for the contribution (2.1) it is convenient to introduce the notation

$$\mathbf{Q}(v | \lambda) = \frac{(-\epsilon_2)^{\frac{v}{\epsilon_2}}}{\Gamma(-\frac{v}{\epsilon_2})} \prod_{s \in \lambda} \frac{v - \varphi(s) + \epsilon_1}{v - \varphi(s)} \quad (2.6)$$

The analogous quantity was instrumental in construction of Baxters T-Q relation in the context of Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge theories [13]. Recently the importance of this quantity in the case generic  $\Omega$ -background was emphasized in [35]. A careful examination shows that the contribution (2.1) can be conveniently represented as

$$\prod_{u=1}^n \frac{\mathbf{Q}(a_{0,1} - a_{1,u} + \epsilon_2 k | Y_{1,u})}{\epsilon_2^k \binom{a_{0,1} - a_{0,u} + \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2}} \mathbf{Q}(a_{0,1} - a_{1,u} | Y_{1,u}) \prod_{u,v=1}^n Z_{bf}(\tilde{a}_{0,u}, \emptyset | a_{1,v}, Y_{1,v}) \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$(x)_k = x(x+1)\cdots(x+k-1) = \frac{\Gamma(x+k)}{\Gamma(x)} \quad (2.8)$$

is the Pochhammer's symbol. Using (2.4) we can see that the Young diagram dependent part of factor  $Q$  in the denominator can be absorbed in the double product. The net effect is a simple replacement of parameters  $\tilde{a}_{u,0}$  by  $a_{u,0}$  in arguments of the functions  $Z_{bf}$ :

$$\prod_{u=1}^n \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{a_{0,1}-a_{1,u}}{\epsilon_2}\right) \mathbf{Q}(a_{0,1}-a_{1,u}+\epsilon_2 k|Y_{1,u})}{\epsilon_2^k (-\epsilon_2)^{\frac{a_{0,1}-a_{1,u}}{\epsilon_2}} \left(\frac{a_{0,1}-a_{0,u}+\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2}\right)_k} \prod_{u,v=1}^n Z_{bf}(a_{0,u}, \emptyset|a_{1,v}, Y_{1,v}) \quad (2.9)$$

Thus we conclude that  $k$ -instanton sector of the dashed circle in  $A_{r+1}$  linear quiver theory can be treated as insertion of the operator

$$\mathbf{Q}_{Y_1}(a_{0,1}+k\epsilon_2) = \prod_{u=1}^n \mathbf{Q}(a_{0,1}-a_{1,u}+\epsilon_2 k|Y_{1,u}) \quad (2.10)$$

in a generic  $A_r$  theory. It was already known [34], that the special choice of parameters  $\tilde{a}_{0,u} = a_{0,u} - \epsilon_1 \delta_{u,1}$  corresponds to the insertion of the completely degenerate field  $V_{-b\omega_1(z)}$  in AGT dual Toda CFT conformal block. Thus (2.10) gives an explicit realization of this field in terms of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge theory notions.

Until now we were discussing arbitrary gauge  $U(n)$  gauge factors. In what follows, we'll restrict ourselves with the case  $n = 2$ , corresponding to the Liouville theory in AGT dual side. The reason is that in Liouville theory conformal blocks including this degenerate field, satisfy second order differential equation<sup>1</sup>. In remaining part of the paper we'll translate this differential equation in gauge theory terms, finding a linear difference-differential equation, satisfied by the expectation values of the operators  $\mathbf{Q}(v)$ . Since the equation is valid for infinitely many discrete values of the spectral parameter  $v = a_{0,1} + k\epsilon_2$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , it can be argued that it is valid for generic values of  $v$  as well. The last statement we have checked also by explicit low order instanton computations.

### 3 Degenerate field decoupling equation in Liouville theory

Let us briefly remind that the Liouville theory (see e.g. [36]) is characterized by the central charge  $c$  of Virasoro algebra parameterized as

$$c = 1 + 6Q^2 \quad Q = b + \frac{1}{b} \quad (3.1)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In generic Toda theory, the analogous null vector decoupling equation is not investigated in full details yet. Instead there is a recent progress in the case of quasi-classical limit [31].

where  $b$  is the Liouville's dimensionless coupling constant related to the  $\Omega$ -background parameters via

$$b = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2}} \quad (3.2)$$

The conformal dimensions of primary fields  $V_\lambda$  are given by

$$h(\lambda) = \lambda(Q - \lambda). \quad (3.3)$$

The parameters  $\alpha$  are usually referred as charges. One alternatively uses the Liouville momenta  $P = Q/2 - \lambda$ . In Fig.1b we found it convenient to specify the fields associated to the horizontal lines by their momenta, while those of vertical lines by charges. The relations between this parameters and the gauge theory VEV's are very simple<sup>2</sup>

$$p_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}} \frac{a_{\alpha,1} - a_{\alpha,2}}{2}; \quad \lambda_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}} \left( \frac{a_{\alpha,1} + a_{\alpha,2}}{2} - \frac{a_{\alpha-1,1} + a_{\alpha-1,2}}{2} \right) \quad (3.4)$$

for  $\alpha = 2, 3, \dots, r+1$ . With the same logic we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}} \frac{a_{0,1} - a_{0,2}}{2}; & p_{\bar{0}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}} \frac{a_{0,1} - \epsilon_1 - a_{0,2}}{2} \\ \lambda_{\bar{0}} &= -\frac{\epsilon_1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}} = -\frac{b}{2}; & \lambda_1 &= \frac{\epsilon_1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}} \left( \frac{a_{1,1} + a_{1,2}}{2} - \frac{a_{0,1} - \epsilon_1 + a_{0,2}}{2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Notice that the field  $V_{\lambda_{\bar{0}}} = V_{-b/2}$  is indeed a degenerate field satisfying second order differential equation due to the null vector decoupling condition (below  $L_m$  are the Virasoro generators)

$$(b^{-2}L_{-1}^2 + L_{-2})V_{-b/2} = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

The differential equation satisfied by our  $r+4$ -point conformal block

$$G(z|z_\alpha) = \langle p_0 | V_{-b/2}(z) V_{\lambda_1}(1) V_{\lambda_2}(z_2) \cdots V_{\lambda_{r+1}}(z_{r+1}) | p_{r+1} \rangle_{\{\bar{p}_0, \dots, p_r\}} \quad (3.7)$$

reads [32]

$$\begin{aligned} \left( b^{-2} \partial_z^2 - \frac{2z-1}{z(z-1)} \partial_z + \frac{\delta}{z(z-1)} + \sum_{\alpha=2}^{r+1} \frac{z_\alpha(z_\alpha-1)}{z(z-1)(z-z_\alpha)} \partial_{z_\alpha} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r+2} \frac{h(\lambda_\alpha)}{(z-z_\alpha)^2} \right) G(z|z_\alpha) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>The reader should be careful, there are various factors of 2 between specialized to  $n=2$  Toda notations compared to the standard Liouville theory conventions, adopted also in this paper.

where

$$\delta = h(Q/2 - p_0) - h(-b/2) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r+2} h(\lambda_\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{r+2} = Q/2 - p_{r+1}. \quad (3.9)$$

According to AGT correspondence the instanton part of the partition function of the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  theory considered in previous section with  $U(2)$  gauge group factors is related to the conformal block (3.7) as

$$G(z|z_\alpha) = Z_{inst} z^{h(Q/2-p_0)-h(-b/2)-b\sum_{\alpha=1}^{r+1}(Q-\lambda_\alpha)} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{r+1} (z - z_\alpha)^{b(Q-\lambda_\alpha)} \\ \times \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq r+1} (z_\alpha - z_\beta)^{-2\lambda_\alpha(Q-\lambda_\beta)} \prod_{\alpha=2}^{r+1} z_\alpha^{p_\alpha^2 - p_{\alpha-1}^2 - h(\lambda_\alpha) + 2\lambda_\alpha \sum_{\beta=\alpha+1}^{r+1} (Q-\lambda_\beta)}. \quad (3.10)$$

To complete the map (3.4), (3.4) between two sides let us mention also that the exponentiated gauge couplings (instanton counting parameters) are related to the insertion points as [22]

$$q_\alpha = z_{\alpha+1}/z_\alpha; \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, r, \quad (3.11)$$

the remaining coupling associated to the special node  $\tilde{0}$  is just  $1/z$  and  $z_1 = 1$ .

In (3.10) besides standard AGT  $U(1)$  factors an extra power of  $z$  responsible for scale transformation (with scaling factor  $z$ ) mapping the insertion points shown in Fig.1b to those of the conformal block (3.7). Inserting (3.10) into (3.8) and replacing CFT parameters by their gauge theory counterparts we'll find a differential equation satisfied by the partition function. After tedious but straightforward transformations it is possible to represent this equation as (for more details on calculations of this kind see [31])

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{r+1} (-)^\alpha \chi_\alpha(-\epsilon_2 z \partial_z; \hat{u}_1, \dots, \hat{u}_{r+1}) z^{-\alpha - a_{0,1}/\epsilon_2} Z_{inst} = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\hat{u}_1 = -\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \sum_{\alpha=2}^{r+1} z_\alpha \partial_{z_\alpha}; \quad \hat{u}_\alpha = \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 z_\alpha \partial_{z_\alpha} \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha = 2, \dots, r+1 \quad (3.13)$$

and  $\chi_\alpha(v; u_1, \dots, u_{r+1})$  are quadratic in  $v$  and linear in  $u_1, \dots, u_{r+1}$  polynomials (we use notation  $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$ )

$$\chi_\alpha(v; u_1, \dots, u_{r+1}) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_\alpha \leq r+1} \left( \prod_{\beta=1}^{\alpha} z_{k_\beta} \right) \left( y_0(v + \alpha\epsilon + (\alpha - \delta_{k_1,1})\epsilon_1) \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{\beta=1}^{\alpha} \left( y_{k_{\beta-1}}(v + (\alpha - \beta + 1)\epsilon + (\alpha - \delta_{k_1,1})\epsilon_1) - y_{k_\beta}(v + (\alpha - \beta)\epsilon + (\alpha - \delta_{k_1,1})\epsilon_1) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + u_{k_\beta} + (c_{0,1} - c_{k_{\beta-1},1})(c_{k_{\beta-1},1} - c_{k_\beta,1}) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{1 \leq \beta < \gamma \leq \alpha} (c_{k_{\beta-1},1} - c_{k_\beta,1})(c_{k_{\gamma-1},1} - c_{k_\gamma,1}) \right), \quad (3.14)$$

where for  $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, r + 1$

$$y_\alpha(v) = (v - a_{\alpha,1})(v - a_{\alpha,2}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v^2 - c_{\alpha,1}v + c_{\alpha,2}. \quad (3.15)$$

We set by definition

$$\chi_0(v) = y_0(v) \quad (3.16)$$

and for the other extreme value  $\alpha = r + 1$  it is easy to see that

$$\chi_{r+1}(v) = y_{r+1}(v) \prod_{\beta=1}^r z_\beta. \quad (3.17)$$

Representing  $Z_{inst}$  as a power series in  $1/z$ ,

$$Z_{inst} = \sum_{v \in a_{0,1} + \epsilon_2 \mathbb{Z}} Q(v) z^{-(v - a_{0,1})/\epsilon_2} \quad (3.18)$$

from eq. (3.12) for the coefficients  $Q(v)$  we get the relation

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{r+1} (-)^{\alpha} \chi_\alpha(v; \hat{u}_1, \dots, \hat{u}_{r+1}) Q(v - \alpha \epsilon_2) = 0, \quad (3.19)$$

which is valid for infinitely many values  $v \in a_{0,1} + \epsilon_2 \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $Z_{inst}$  is regular at  $z = \infty$ , in fact we have nontrivial equations only for  $v_k = a_{0,1} + \epsilon_2 k$ , with  $k \geq 0$ .

Remind now that as discussed in previous section, due to eqs. (2.9), (2.10),  $Z_{inst}$  of the  $A_{r+1}$  theory up to a simple factor is the same as VEV of the quantity  $\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{Y}_1}$  (2.10) calculated in the framework of  $A_r$  gauge theory (i.e. in theory without the dashed circle in Fig.1a). Explicitly

$$Q(v_k) = C \prod_{u=1}^2 \frac{\epsilon_2^{(a_{0,1} - v_k)/\epsilon_2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v_k - a_{0,u}}{\epsilon_2} + 1\right)} \langle \mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{Y}_1}(v_k) \rangle_{A_r}, \quad (3.20)$$

where the constant  $C$  takes the value

$$C = \prod_{u=1}^2 \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{a_{1,u} - a_{0,1}}{\epsilon_2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{a_{0,1} - a_{0,u}}{\epsilon_2} + 1\right)}{(-\epsilon_2)^{\frac{a_{0,1} - a_{0,u}}{\epsilon_2}}}, \quad (3.21)$$

if one adopts conventional unit normalization for both partition function and the conformal block. The right hand side of this equation can be calculated by means of gauge theory for arbitrary  $v \in \mathbb{C}$ . There are all reasons to believe that also for generic values of  $v$  the equation (3.19) still holds. Indeed, for a given instanton order, the equation (3.19) states, that some combination of rational functions<sup>3</sup> of  $v$  vanish for all values  $v = v_k$ , but this is possible only if this combination vanishes identically.

A simple inspection ensures that the equation (3.19) in Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit completely agrees with the analogous difference equation investigated in details in [31].

---

<sup>3</sup>Evidently, by multiplying with suitable gamma and exponential functions it is easy to get rid of non-rational prefactors of (2.6), (3.20).

## 4 Summary

Thus we made an explicit link between the insertion of the  $\mathbf{Q}$  operator in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge theory and insertion of simplest degenerate field in AGT dual 2d CFT.

In the special case of the gauge groups  $U(2)$  we found analog of the Baxter's  $T - Q$  equation, previously known only in the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit of the  $\Omega$ -background [13–17].

To conclude let us mention that a "microscopic" proof of this statement e.g. along the line presented in [37] to prove qq-character identities of [35] would be highly desirable.

Another important contribution would be generalization of our analysis to the case of arbitrary  $U(n)$  or other choices of gauge groups.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Armenian State Committee of Science in the framework of the research projects 15T-1C233 and 15T-1C308. In part of R.P. this work was partially supported also by the Volkswagen Foundation of Germany. R.P. is grateful to A. Morozov for a communication on the reference [33].

## References

- [1] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, A. S. Schwartz, and Yu. S. Tyupkin, *Pseudoparticle Solutions of the Yang-Mills Equations*, *Phys. Lett.* **B59** (1975) 85–87.
- [2] A. Losev, N. Nekrasov, and S. L. Shatashvili, *Testing Seiberg-Witten solution*, in *Strings, branes and dualities. Proceedings, NATO Advanced Study Institute, Cargese, France, May 26-June 14, 1997*, 1997. [hep-th/9801061](#).
- [3] N. A. Nekrasov, *Seiberg-Witten prepotential from instanton counting*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **7** (2003), no. 5 831–864, [[hep-th/0206161](#)].
- [4] R. Flume and R. Poghossian, *An Algorithm for the microscopic evaluation of the coefficients of the Seiberg-Witten prepotential*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A18** (2003) 2541, [[hep-th/0208176](#)].
- [5] U. Bruzzo, F. Fucito, J. F. Morales, and A. Tanzini, *Multiinstanton calculus and equivariant cohomology*, *JHEP* **05** (2003) 054, [[hep-th/0211108](#)].
- [6] N. Nekrasov and A. Okounkov, *Seiberg-Witten theory and random partitions*, *Prog. Math.* **244** (2006) 525–596, [[hep-th/0306238](#)].
- [7] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Monopoles, duality and chiral symmetry breaking in  $N=2$  supersymmetric QCD*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B431** (1994) 484–550, [[hep-th/9408099](#)].
- [8] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Electric - magnetic duality, monopole condensation, and confinement in  $N=2$  supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B426** (1994) 19–52, [[hep-th/9407087](#)]. [Erratum: *Nucl. Phys.*B430,485(1994)].
- [9] N. A. Nekrasov and S. L. Shatashvili, *Quantization of Integrable Systems and Four Dimensional Gauge Theories*, in *Proceedings, 16th International Congress on Mathematical Physics (ICMP09)*, 2009. [arXiv:0908.4052](#).
- [10] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Nekrasov Functions and Exact Bohr-Zommerfeld Integrals*, *JHEP* **04** (2010) 040, [[arXiv:0910.5670](#)].
- [11] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Nekrasov Functions from Exact BS Periods: The Case of  $SU(N)$* , *J. Phys.* **A43** (2010) 195401, [[arXiv:0911.2396](#)].
- [12] K. Maruyoshi and M. Taki, *Deformed Prepotential, Quantum Integrable System and Liouville Field Theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B841** (2010) 388–425, [[arXiv:1006.4505](#)].
- [13] R. Poghossian, *Deforming SW curve*, *JHEP* **04** (2011) 033, [[arXiv:1006.4822](#)].
- [14] F. Fucito, J. F. Morales, D. R. Pacifici, and R. Poghossian, *Gauge theories on  $\Omega$ -backgrounds from non commutative Seiberg-Witten curves*, *JHEP* **05** (2011) 098, [[arXiv:1103.4495](#)].
- [15] F. Fucito, J. F. Morales, and D. R. Pacifici, *Deformed Seiberg-Witten Curves for ADE Quivers*, *JHEP* **01** (2013) 091, [[arXiv:1210.3580](#)].
- [16] N. Nekrasov and V. Pestun, *Seiberg-Witten geometry of four dimensional  $N=2$  quiver gauge theories*, [arXiv:1211.2240](#).

- [17] N. Nekrasov, V. Pestun, and S. Shatashvili, *Quantum geometry and quiver gauge theories*, [arXiv:1312.6689](#).
- [18] A. Gorsky, I. Krichever, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, and A. Morozov, *Integrability and Seiberg-Witten exact solution*, *Phys. Lett.* **B355** (1995) 466–474, [[hep-th/9505035](#)].
- [19] E. J. Martinec and N. P. Warner, *Integrable systems and supersymmetric gauge theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B459** (1996) 97–112, [[hep-th/9509161](#)].
- [20] R. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics*. Academic Press, London, 1982.
- [21] V. V. Bazhanov, S. L. Lukyanov, and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Integrable structure of conformal field theory. 3. The Yang-Baxter relation*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **200** (1999) 297–324, [[hep-th/9805008](#)].
- [22] L. F. Alday, D. Gaiotto, and Y. Tachikawa, *Liouville Correlation Functions from Four-dimensional Gauge Theories*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **91** (2010) 167–197, [[arXiv:0906.3219](#)].
- [23] N. Wyllard,  *$A(N-1)$  conformal Toda field theory correlation functions from conformal  $N = 2$   $SU(N)$  quiver gauge theories*, *JHEP* **11** (2009) 002, [[arXiv:0907.2189](#)].
- [24] R. Poghossian, *Recursion relations in CFT and  $N=2$  SYM theory*, *JHEP* **12** (2009) 038, [[arXiv:0909.3412](#)].
- [25] V. A. Alba, V. A. Fateev, A. V. Litvinov, and G. M. Tarnopolskiy, *On combinatorial expansion of the conformal blocks arising from AGT conjecture*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **98** (2011) 33–64, [[arXiv:1012.1312](#)].
- [26] V. A. Fateev and A. V. Litvinov, *Integrable structure,  $W$ -symmetry and AGT relation*, *JHEP* **01** (2012) 051, [[arXiv:1109.4042](#)].
- [27] L. F. Alday, D. Gaiotto, S. Gukov, Y. Tachikawa, and H. Verlinde, *Loop and surface operators in  $N=2$  gauge theory and Liouville modular geometry*, *JHEP* **01** (2010) 113, [[arXiv:0909.0945](#)].
- [28] M. Piatek, *Classical conformal blocks from TBA for the elliptic Calogero-Moser system*, *JHEP* **06** (2011) 050, [[arXiv:1102.5403](#)].
- [29] M. Piatek, *Classical torus conformal block,  $N = 2^*$  twisted superpotential and the accessory parameter of Lam equation*, *JHEP* **03** (2014) 124, [[arXiv:1309.7672](#)].
- [30] S. K. Ashok, M. Bill, E. Dell’Aquila, M. Frau, R. R. John, and A. Lerda, *Non-perturbative studies of  $N=2$  conformal quiver gauge theories*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **63** (2015) 259–293, [[arXiv:1502.05581](#)].
- [31] R. Poghossian, *Deformed SW curve and the null vector decoupling equation in Toda field theory*, [arXiv:1601.05096](#).
- [32] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Infinite Conformal*

- Symmetry in Two-Dimensional Quantum Field Theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B241** (1984) 333–380.
- [33] A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, and A. Morozov, *On AGT Relations with Surface Operator Insertion and Stationary Limit of Beta-Ensembles*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **61** (2011) 1203–1222, [[arXiv:1011.4491](#)].
- [34] F. Fucito, J. F. Morales, R. Poghossian, and D. Ricci Pacifici, *Exact results in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge theories*, *JHEP* **10** (2013) 178, [[arXiv:1307.6612](#)].
- [35] N. Nekrasov, *BPS/CFT correspondence: non-perturbative Dyson-Schwinger equations and qq-characters*, [arXiv:1512.05388](#).
- [36] A. B. Zamolodchikov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Structure constants and conformal bootstrap in Liouville field theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B477** (1996) 577–605, [[hep-th/9506136](#)].
- [37] J.-E. Bourgin, Y. Mastuo, and H. Zhang, *Holomorphic field realization of  $SH^c$  and quantum geometry of quiver gauge theories*, [arXiv:1512.02492](#).