

A formula for the partition function of the $\beta\gamma$ system on the cone pure spinors

M.V. Movshev
 Mathematics Department, Stony Brook University,
 Stony Brook NY, 11794-3651, USA
 mmovshev@math.sunysb.edu

March 7, 2022

Abstract

In this note, we propose a closed formula for the partition function $Z(t, q)$ of the $\beta\gamma$ system on the cone of pure spinors. We give the answer in terms of theta functions, q -Pochhammer symbols and Eisenstein series.

1 Introduction

The $\beta\gamma$ system on the cone of pure spinors \mathcal{C} is an integral part of the version of the string theory invented by N. Berkovits [5]. \mathcal{C} is an eleven-dimensional subvariety in 16-dimensional linear space with coordinates λ, p_i, w_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq 5, w_{ij} = -w_{ji}$ defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda p_i - \text{Pf}_i(w) &= 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, 5, \\ pw &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$\text{Pf}_i(w), 1 \leq i \leq 5$ are the principal Pfaffians of w . The action is common to all $\beta\gamma$ systems:

$$S(\beta, \gamma) = \int_{\Sigma} \langle \bar{\partial}\beta, \gamma \rangle.$$

The field β is a smooth map $\beta : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where Σ is a Riemann surface, γ is a smooth section of the pullback $\beta^*T_{\mathcal{C}}^* \otimes T_{\Sigma}^*$. We advise the reader to consult [7] for the notation and discussion of issues related to definition of a $\beta\gamma$ systems on the nonsmooth \mathcal{C} .

In [7], a geometric construction of the space of states $H^{i+\frac{\infty}{2}}, i = 0, \dots, 3$ of this system was presented. It is a properly regularized space of the semi-infinite local cohomology of the space of polynomial maps $\mathcal{M}aps(\mathbb{C}^{\times}, \mathcal{C})$. The support of the local cohomology lies at $\mathcal{M}aps(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{C})$. The space \mathcal{C} is an affine cone over $\text{OGr}(5, 10)$. $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \text{Spin}(10)$ is the groups of symmetries \mathcal{C} , where \mathbb{C}^{\times} acts by dilations. The group $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \mathbf{T} \times \text{Spin}(10)$ acts by the symmetries of the pair $\mathcal{M}aps(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{M}aps(\mathbb{C}^{\times}, \mathcal{C})$. The factor $\mathbf{T} \cong \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ corresponds to loop rotations. The action of $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \mathbf{T} \times \text{Spin}(10)$ survive the regularization and continue to act on $H^{i+\frac{\infty}{2}}$. It turns out (see [7]) that the formal character

$$Z(t, q, z) = \sum_{i=0}^3 (-1)^i \chi_{H^{i+\frac{\infty}{2}}}(t, q, z) \tag{2}$$

is well defined as an element in $\mathbb{Z}((t, z_1, \dots, z_5))((q)) \cap \mathbb{Q}(t, z_1, \dots, z_5)((q))$, where z_1, \dots, z_5 are the coordinates on the Cartan subgroup $\mathbf{T}^5 \subset \text{Spin}(10)$. More precisely, $Z(t, q, z)$ is a limit of coefficients of an infinite matrix product [7]. The matrix product simplifies when $z = 1$ (10). We use it to derive the formula for $Z(t, q) := Z(t, q, 1)$.

It is necessary to state from the outset that the analysis presented in this note is based on experiments with the formula for $Z_N^{N'}(t, q)$ using *Mathematica* for finite N, N' and extrapolation of the found structures to infinite N s. Though somewhat loose in justification, the result looks convincing because it passes a number of consistency checks.

Acknowledgment The author would like thank N.Berkovits, A.S. Schwarz, E. Witten for their interest and helpful conversations about this work.

2 The formula

As it is mentioned in the abstract, $Z(t, q)$ will be expressed in terms of some standard special functions. We start with reviewing their definitions.

The functions used in the formula Recall that the q -Pochhammer symbol is an infinite product

$$(t; q)_\infty := \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 - tq^n).$$

It is used to write concisely the three-term identity

$$\theta(t, q) = (1 - t^{-1})(q, q)_\infty (q/t, q)_\infty (qt, q)_\infty \quad (3)$$

for the theta function

$$\theta(q, t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} t^n.$$

Another ingredient of the formula are theta functions with characteristics:

$$\epsilon_k(t, q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{\frac{7n(n+1)}{2} + kn} t^{7n+k} = t^k \left(1 - q^{-k} t^{-7}\right) (q^7, q^7)_\infty \left(q^{7-k} t^{-7}, q^7\right)_\infty \left(q^{k+7} t^7, q^7\right)_\infty, k = 0, \dots, 6. \quad (4)$$

The proposed answer will also depend on the Eisenstein series

$$E_2(q) := 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{nq^n}{1 - q^n}, \quad E_4(q) := 1 + 240 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^3 q^n}{1 - q^n}.$$

Define linear combinations of $\epsilon_k(q, t)$:

$$\theta_1(t, q) := -q^3 \epsilon_3(q, t) - q^4 \epsilon_4(q, t),$$

$$\theta_2(t, q) := q^2 \epsilon_2(q, t) + q^5 \epsilon_5(q, t), \text{ and}$$

$$\theta_3(t, q) := -q \epsilon_1(q, t) - q^6 \epsilon_6(q, t)$$

and introduce abbreviation $\theta_k(q) := \theta_k(1, q), k = 1, 2, 3$.

The following conjecture contains the promised formula.

Conjecture 1 *The partition function $Z(t, q)$ has the form*

$$Z(t, q) = \frac{a(q)\theta_1(t, q) + b(q)\theta_2(t, q) + c(q)\theta_3(t, q)}{t^6\theta(t, q)^{11}} =: \Psi(t, q) \quad (5)$$

where the string functions a, b, c are

$$\begin{aligned} a := & \frac{1}{768(q, q)_\infty^4} (2744q^2 E_2(q)\theta_3(q)\theta_2''(q) - 2744q^2 E_2(q)\theta_2(q)\theta_3''(q) - 343q E_2(q)^2\theta_3(q)\theta_2'(q) + 3626q E_2(q)\theta_3(q)\theta_2'(q) \\ & + 343q E_2(q)^2\theta_2(q)\theta_3'(q) - 5194q E_2(q)\theta_2(q)\theta_3'(q) + 98 E_2(q)^2\theta_2(q)\theta_3(q) - 476 E_2(q)\theta_2(q)\theta_3(q) \\ & + 42q E_4(q)\theta_3(q)\theta_2'(q) - 42q E_4(q)\theta_2(q)\theta_3'(q) - 12 E_4(q)\theta_2(q)\theta_3(q) - 65856q^3\theta_2''(q)\theta_3'(q) \\ & + 65856q^3\theta_2'(q)\theta_3''(q) - 29400q^2\theta_3(q)\theta_2''(q) + 37632q^2\theta_2'(q)\theta_3'(q) + 10584q^2\theta_2(q)\theta_3''(q) \\ & - 25725q\theta_3(q)\theta_2'(q) + 18333q\theta_2(q)\theta_3'(q) + 1350\theta_2(q)\theta_3(q)), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} b := & -\frac{1}{768(q, q)_\infty^4} (2744q^2 E_2(q)\theta_3(q)\theta_1''(q) - 2744q^2 E_2(q)\theta_1(q)\theta_3''(q) - 343q E_2(q)^2\theta_3(q)\theta_1'(q) + 2842q E_2(q)\theta_3(q)\theta_1'(q) \\ & + 343q E_2(q)^2\theta_1(q)\theta_3'(q) - 5194q E_2(q)\theta_1(q)\theta_3'(q) + 147 E_2(q)^2\theta_1(q)\theta_3(q) - 546 E_2(q)\theta_1(q)\theta_3(q) \\ & + 42q E_4(q)\theta_3(q)\theta_1'(q) - 42q E_4(q)\theta_1(q)\theta_3'(q) - 18 E_4(q)\theta_1(q)\theta_3(q) - 65856q^3\theta_1''(q)\theta_3'(q) \\ & + 65856q^3\theta_1'(q)\theta_3''(q) - 29400q^2\theta_3(q)\theta_1''(q) + 56448q^2\theta_1'(q)\theta_3'(q) + 1176q^2\theta_1(q)\theta_3''(q) \\ & - 17325q\theta_3(q)\theta_1'(q) + 2205q\theta_1(q)\theta_3'(q) + 225\theta_1(q)\theta_3(q)), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c := & \frac{1}{768(q, q)_\infty^4} (2744q^2 E_2(q)\theta_2(q)\theta_1''(q) - 2744q^2 E_2(q)\theta_1(q)\theta_2''(q) - 343q E_2(q)^2\theta_2(q)\theta_1'(q) + 2842q E_2(q)\theta_2(q)\theta_1'(q) \\ & + 343q E_2(q)^2\theta_1(q)\theta_2'(q) - 3626q E_2(q)\theta_1(q)\theta_2'(q) + 49 E_2(q)^2\theta_1(q)\theta_2(q) - 70 E_2(q)\theta_1(q)\theta_2(q) \\ & + 42q E_4(q)\theta_2(q)\theta_1'(q) - 42q E_4(q)\theta_1(q)\theta_2'(q) - 6 E_4(q)\theta_1(q)\theta_2(q) - 65856q^3\theta_1''(q)\theta_2'(q) \\ & + 65856q^3\theta_1'(q)\theta_2''(q) - 10584q^2\theta_2(q)\theta_1''(q) + 18816q^2\theta_1'(q)\theta_2'(q) + 1176q^2\theta_1(q)\theta_2''(q) \\ & - 9261q\theta_2(q)\theta_1'(q) + 1533q\theta_1(q)\theta_2'(q) + 27\theta_1(q)\theta_2(q)). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

3 Supporting evidences

The matrix product presentation for $Z(t, q)$ It was established in [7] that $Z(t, q)$ is the limit in the sense of formal power series convergence of a certain infinite matrix product. To state the result let us fix some additional notations:

$$\begin{aligned} B_0^1 & := \frac{1 + 3t + t^2}{(1-t)^8(1-qt)}, & A_0^0 & := \frac{1 + 5t + 5t^2 + t^3}{(1-t)^{11}}, \\ K(t, q) & := \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{t(t^2+3t+1)}{(t-1)^7(qt-1)} & \frac{(t^2+3t+1)(t^3+q^2)-5q(t+1)t^2}{q^2(t-1)^7(qt-1)} \\ \frac{t(t+1)(t^2+4t+1)}{(t-1)^{10}} & \frac{(t^3+5t^2+5t+1)(t^3+q^2)-q(5t^2+14t+5)t^2}{q^2(t-1)^{10}} \end{array} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_0^{r+1} \\ A_0^r \end{pmatrix} := K(q^r t, q) \cdots K(qt, q) \begin{pmatrix} B_0^1 \\ A_0^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

$$A_N^{N'}(t, q) := A_0^{N'-N}(tq^N, q).$$

It was verified in [7] that the limit of

$$Z_N^{N'}(t, q) := A_N^{N'}(t, q)t^{4-4N}q^{-2+4N-2N^2}, \quad N < 0 \quad (11)$$

$N \rightarrow -\infty, N' \rightarrow \infty$ coincides with $Z(t, q)$ (2).

Poles of $Z(t, q)$ The rational function $Z_N^{N'}(t, q)$ has a fairly complicated structure. Still, experiments with *Mathematica* show that $Z_N^{N'}(t, q)$ has poles of multiplicity $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C} = 11$ precisely at $q^{-N}, \dots, q^{-N'}$. It is natural to conjecture that in the limit $N \rightarrow -\infty, N' \rightarrow \infty$ this pattern persists and Z is a meromorphic function for $|q| < 1$ with poles at $t = q^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ of multiplicity 11.

$Z(t, q)$ and a line bundle of degree 7 If this conjecture is true, then the product

$$\Theta(t, q) := t^6 Z(t, q) \theta(t, q)^{11} \quad (12)$$

is an analytic function for $t \neq 0, |q| < 1$.

One of the results of [7] is that $Z(t, q, g)$ as a formal power series in q satisfies

$$\frac{Z(qt, q, g)}{Z(t, q, g)} = \frac{t^4}{q^2}, \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{Z(1/t, q, g^{-1})}{Z(t, q, g)} = -t^8. \quad (14)$$

It follows from the functional equations

$$\theta(qt, q) = -\theta(t, q)/(qt), \quad \theta(1/t, q) = -t\theta(t, q) \quad (15)$$

that $\Theta(t, q)$ obeys

$$\frac{\Theta(tq, q)}{\Theta(t, q)} = -\frac{1}{q^7 t^7}, \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{\Theta(1/t, q)}{\Theta(t, q)} = t^7 \quad (17)$$

Stated differently, equation (15) says that θ is a holomorphic section of a line bundle \mathcal{L} of degree one on the elliptic curve $\mathbb{C}^\times / \{q^k\}$. To say that Θ satisfy (16) is equivalent to saying that Θ is a section of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 7}$. The space of global sections of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 7}$ has a basis $\epsilon_k, k = 0, \dots, 6$ (4). Symmetry condition (17) determines a subspace in the span of ϵ_k of dimension three with a basis $\theta_i, i = 1, 2, 3$. As a consequence, we get

$$\Theta(t, q) = a(q)\theta_1(t, q) + b(q)\theta_2(t, q) + c(q)\theta_3(t, q), \quad (18)$$

which is equivalent to (5).

Equations on coefficients a, b, c It remains to determine a, b, c . Denote the right-hand side in (5) by $\Psi(t, q)$. Identity

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t^k Z(t, q)(1-t)^{11} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t^k \Psi(t, q)(1-t)^{11}, \quad k = k_1, k_2, k_3 \quad (19)$$

produces three linear equations for $a(q), b(q), c(q)$. Denote by x the vector (a, b, c) . We can write the system of equations on x in the matrix form

$$z^t = Ax^t. \quad (20)$$

The coefficients of the matrix A depend only on the functions θ_i and can be explicitly computed. The vector z is more complicated because it depends on the unknown function Z . But if we manage to compute three Taylor coefficients of $Z(t, q)$ with respect to the variable t , we can easily find the functions a, b, c .

Coefficients of the matrix A Let us find the matrix A first. The convenient choice for k_i in (19) is $0, 2, 4$. The function $\theta(t, q)$ has a zero of order one at $t = 1$ so that $\frac{\theta(t, q)}{t-1}$ is regular. Denote

$$\theta_0(q) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\theta(t, q)}{1-t}.$$

The right-hand-side of fist equation Eq₀ (19), the $k = 0$ case, becomes

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \Psi(t, q)(1-t)^{11} = \frac{a\theta_1 + b\theta_2 + c\theta_3}{\theta_0^{11}}. \quad (21)$$

The second equation Eq₂, corresponding to $k = 2$ ¹, contain t -partial derivatives of functions at $t = 1$. Note that θ and ϵ_k satisfy the heat equations:

$$t^2 \partial_t^2 \theta + t \partial_t \theta = 2q \partial_q \theta, \quad t^2 \partial_t^2 \epsilon_k + 8t \partial_t \epsilon_k - k(k+7)\epsilon_k = 14q \partial_q \epsilon_k.$$

In addition, linear combinations θ_i of ϵ_k satisfy (17). These equations allow to express t -derivatives of θ_i at $t = 1$ in terms of q -derivatives of θ_i . Thus equations 3 and the results of [4] imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_0(q) &= -(q, q)_\infty^3, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t \frac{\theta(t, q)}{1-t} = -\theta_0(q), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t^2 \frac{\theta(t, q)}{1-t} = \theta_0 \times \left(\frac{23}{12} + \frac{E_2}{12} \right), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t^3 \frac{\theta(t, q)}{1-t} &= \theta_0 \times \left(-\frac{11}{2} - \frac{1}{2} E_2 \right), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t^4 \frac{\theta(t, q)}{1-t} &= \theta_0 \times \left(\frac{1689}{80} + \frac{23}{8} E_2 + \frac{1}{48} E_2^2 - \frac{1}{120} E_4 \right), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t^5 \frac{\theta(t, q)}{1-t} &= \theta_0 \times \left(-\frac{1627}{16} - \frac{145}{8} E_2 - \frac{5}{16} E_2^2 + \frac{1}{8} E_4 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\theta_1(q) := \theta_1(1, q) = 2(q^3, q^7)_\infty (q^4, q^7)_\infty (q^7, q^7)_\infty, \quad \partial_t \theta_1(1, q) = -7/2 \theta_1(q), \quad \partial_t^2 \theta_1(1, q) = 16 \theta_1(q) + 14q \partial_q \theta_1(q),$$

¹Eq₁ is proportional to Eq₀

$$\partial_t^3 \theta_1(1, q) = -90\theta_1 - 189q\partial_q \theta_1, \quad \partial_t^4 \theta_1(1, q) = 600\theta_1 + 2268q\partial_q \theta_1 + 196q^2 \partial_q^2 \theta_1,$$

$$\theta_2(q) := \theta_2(1, q) = -2(q^2, q^7)_\infty (q^5, q^7)_\infty (q^7, q^7)_\infty, \quad \partial_t \theta_2(1, q) = -7/2\theta_2, \quad \partial_t^2 \theta_2(1, q) = 18\theta_2 + 14q\partial_q \theta_2,$$

$$\partial_t^3 \theta_2(1, q) = -117\theta_2 - 189q\partial_q \theta_2, \quad \partial_t^4 \theta_2(1, q) = 900\theta_2 + 2324q\partial_q \theta_2 + 196q^2 \partial_q^2 \theta_2,$$

$$\theta_3(q) := \theta_3(1, q) = 2(q, q^7)_\infty (q^6, q^7)_\infty (q^7, q^7)_\infty, \quad \partial_t \theta_3(1, q) = -7/2\theta_3, \quad \partial_t^2 \theta_3(1, q) = 22\theta_3 + 14q\partial_q \theta_3,$$

$$\partial_t^3 \theta_3(1, q) = -171\theta_3 - 189q\partial_q \theta_3, \quad \partial_t^4 \theta_3(1, q) = 1524\theta_3 + 2436q\partial_q \theta_3 + 196q^2 \partial_q^2 \theta_3.$$

After simplifications Eq₂ and Eq₄ become

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t^2 \Psi(t, q)(1-t)^{11} &= -\frac{1}{12\theta_0^{11}} \times \\ &\times ((11E_2\theta_1 - 168q\theta'_1 - 23\theta_1)a + (11E_2\theta_2 - 168q\theta'_2 - 47\theta_2)b + (11E_2\theta_3 - 168q\theta'_3 - 95\theta_3)c), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t^4 \Psi(t, q)(1-t)^{11} &= \frac{1}{240\theta_0^{11}} \times \\ &\times ((-18480qE_2\theta'_1 + 605E_2^2\theta_1 - 990E_2\theta_1 + 22E_4\theta_1 + 47040q^2\theta''_1 + 58800q\theta'_1 + 363\theta_1)a \\ &(-18480qE_2\theta'_2 + 605E_2^2\theta_2 - 3630E_2\theta_2 + 22E_4\theta_2 + 47040q^2\theta''_2 + 72240q\theta'_2 + 3003\theta_2)b \\ &(-18480qE_2\theta'_3 + 605E_2^2\theta_3 - 8910E_2\theta_3 + 22E_4\theta_3 + 47040q^2\theta''_3 + 99120q\theta'_3 + 14043\theta_3)c). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The matrix A consists of coefficients of a, b, c from equations (21,22,23).

The vector z components Computation of the vector z from (20) relies on the extrapolation of the results obtained with *Mathematica*. We use that $Z(t, q)$ has presentation as a limit of (11), which makes it possible computation of the q -series $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t^i Z(t, q)(1-t)^{11}$ with arbitrary precision. Due to integrality of the coefficients, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t^i Z_N^{N'}(t, q)(1-t)^{11} \pmod{q^r}$ stabilizes for sufficiently large N and N' . The first computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{12} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} Z(t, q)(1-t)^{11} &= \\ &= 1 + 22q + 275q^2 + 2530q^3 + 18975q^4 + 122430q^5 + 702328q^6 + 3661900q^7 + 17627775q^8 + \dots O(q^{20}). \end{aligned}$$

The database <http://oeis.org> hints that these series is the generating function for the sequence A023020 . a_n is the number of partitions of n into parts of 22 kinds. The generating function coincides with the Taylor expansion of $\frac{1}{(q, q)_\infty^{22}}$.

Another computation with *Mathematica* shows that

$$\frac{1}{98} (q, q)_\infty^{22} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t^2 Z(t, q)(1-t)^{11} = 1/6 + q + 3q^2 + 4q^3 + 7q^4 + 6q^5 + 12q^6 + 8q^7 + 15q^8 + \dots O(q^{20}).$$

If we drop the term $1/6$, the sequence a_n of Taylor coefficients of the remaining series is A000203 . $a(n) = \sigma(n)$, the sum of the divisors of n . The generating function for a_n is $(1 - E_2(q))/24$. The same way we find that

$$(q, q)_\infty^{22} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t^4 Z(t, q)(1-t)^{11} = \frac{42}{5} - 12E_2(q) + 4E_2^2(q) - \frac{2}{5}E_4 + O(q^{20}).$$

To summarize, the vector z in (20) is conjecturally equal

$$\left(\frac{12}{(q, q)_{\infty}^{22}}, \frac{20 - 4E_2(q)}{(q, q)_{\infty}^{22}}, \frac{\frac{42}{5} - 12E_2(q) + 4E_2^2(q) - \frac{2}{5}E_4}{(q, q)_{\infty}^{22}} \right)$$

The matrix A has the determinant

$$\Delta = \frac{8\tilde{\Delta}}{\theta_0^{33}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta} = & (-343q^3\theta_3\theta_1''\theta_2' + 343q^3\theta_2\theta_1''\theta_3' + 343q^3\theta_3\theta_1'\theta_2'' \\ & - 343q^3\theta_2\theta_1'\theta_3'' - 343q^3\theta_1\theta_2''\theta_3' + 343q^3\theta_1\theta_2'\theta_3'' + 98q^2\theta_2\theta_3\theta_1'' \\ & + 98q^2\theta_3\theta_1'\theta_2' - 294q^2\theta_2\theta_1'\theta_3' - 147q^2\theta_1\theta_3\theta_2'' + 196q^2\theta_1\theta_2'\theta_3'' \\ & + 49q^2\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3'' + 42q\theta_2\theta_3\theta_1' - 126q\theta_1\theta_3\theta_2' + 84q\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3' + 6\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3). \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to check with *Mathematica* that

$$\tilde{\Delta} = -48(q, q)_{\infty}^{15} + O(q^{300}).$$

We conjecture that this is an exact equality. We use it and the Kramer's rule to derive from (20) the formulas (6,7,8).

4 Some consistency checks

Note that by construction the function Ψ (5) satisfies equations (13,14). $Z(t, q)$ is the solution of the same set of equations. The q -expansion of Ψ is

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t, q) = & -\frac{t^3 + 5t^2 + 5t + 1}{(t-1)^{11}} \\ & - \frac{q(46t^3 + 86t^2 + 86t + 46)}{(t-1)^{11}} \\ & + \frac{q^2(t^{11} - 11t^{10} + 55t^9 - 181t^8 - 567t^7 - 947t^6 - 947t^5 - 567t^4 - 181t^3 + 55t^2 - 11t + 1)}{(t-1)^{11}t^4} \\ & + \frac{2q^3(8t^{13} - 65t^{12} + 195t^{11} - 143t^{10} - 1011t^9 - 2657t^8 - 3917t^7 - 3917t^6 - 2657t^5 - 1011t^4 - 143t^3 + 195t^2 - 65t + 1)}{(t-1)^{11}t^5} \\ & - \frac{q^4(-126t^{15} + 794t^{14} - 1491t^{13} - 559t^{12} + 3597t^{11} + 18745t^{10} + 38767t^9 + 54123t^8 + 54123t^7 + 38767t^6 + 18745t^5 + 126t^4 - 794t^3 + 1491t^2 - 559t + 1)}{(t-1)^{11}t^6} \\ & + \frac{2q^5(336t^{17} - 1662t^{16} + 1810t^{15} + 2173t^{14} + 1337t^{13} - 21131t^{12} - 65159t^{11} - 122387t^{10} - 162607t^9 - 162607t^8 - 122387t^7 + 21131t^6 - 65159t^5 + 122387t^4 - 162607t^3 - 162607t^2 + 1810t - 336)}{(t-1)^{11}t^7} \\ & + O(q^6) \end{aligned}$$

It agrees with the expansion from [2].

Another interesting consistency check gives comparison of the functions $Z(t, q)$ and $\Psi(t, q)$ at $t = -1$. Literal comparison is not very fruitful because by virtue of (17) $Z(-1, q) = \Psi(-1, q) = 0$. To get a nonzero

result, we used *Mathematica* to compute the derivatives $\partial_t Z(-1, q)$ and $\partial_t \Psi(-1, q)$. Two values agree by giving

$$-1024(q, q)_\infty^{22} \partial_t Z(-1, q) = -1024(q, q)_\infty^{22} \partial_t \Psi(-1, q) = 1 - 48q + 1104q^2 - 16192q^3 + 170064q^4 - 1362336q^5 + 8662720q^6 - 44981376q^7 + 195082320q^8 + O(q^9). \quad (24)$$

The coefficients a_n (up to a sign) coincide with the sequence A000156 . a_n , according to the database, is the number of ways of writing n as a sum of 24 squares. This is why it is very plausible that the series (24) is the expansion of

$$\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{n^2} \right)^{24} = (1 - q)^{24} (q, q^2)_\infty^{24} (q^2, q^2)_\infty^{24} (q^3, q^2)_\infty^{24}.$$

5 Functions θ_k

$\theta_k, k = 1, 2, 3$ are closely related to Rogers-Selberg functions (see e.g. [8],[3][6]). They satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} A(q) &:= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{2n^2}}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^4) \cdots (1 - q^{2n})(1 + q)(1 + q^2) \cdots (1 + q^{2n})} = \frac{(q^3, q^7)_\infty (q^4, q^7)_\infty (q^7, q^7)_\infty}{(q^2, q^2)_\infty} = \frac{\theta_1}{2(q^2, q^2)_\infty}, \\ B(q) &:= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{2n^2+2n}}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^4) \cdots (1 - q^{2n})(1 + q)(1 + q^2) \cdots (1 + q^{2n})} = \frac{(q^2, q^7)_\infty (q^5, q^7)_\infty (q^7, q^7)_\infty}{(q^2, q^2)_\infty} = -\frac{\theta_2}{2(q^2, q^2)_\infty}, \\ C(q) &:= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{2n^2+2n}}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^4) \cdots (1 - q^{2n})(1 + q)(1 + q^2) \cdots (1 + q^{2n+1})} = \frac{(q, q^7)_\infty (q^6, q^7)_\infty (q^7, q^7)_\infty}{(q^2, q^2)_\infty} = \frac{\theta_3}{2(q^2, q^2)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

For a list known identities these functions obey see [6].

6 $Z(t, q, g)$ in the general case

Some of the above arguments extend to $Z(t, q, g)$. In particular the divisor of poles of $Z(t, q, g)$ satisfies equations

$$1 - q^n t v_\alpha(z) = 0$$

where $v_\alpha(z)$ are the weights of the spinor representation. In order to be more explicit recall (see see e.g. [7]) that coordinates λ^α on the spinor representation of $\text{Spin}(10)$ can be labelled by elements of the set

$$\alpha \in E := \{(0), (ij), (k) | 1 \leq i < j \leq 5, 1 \leq k \leq 5\}$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}^5$ be the two sheeted cover of the maximal torus $\mathbf{T}^5 \subset \mathrm{SO}(10)$ and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_5)$ be the image of g under projection $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}^5 \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^5$. The action Π of $g \in \widetilde{\mathbf{T}}^5$ on λ^α is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pi(g)\lambda^\alpha v_\alpha(z)\lambda^\alpha, \\ & \text{or in more details:} \\ & \Pi(g)\lambda^{(0)} = \det^{-\frac{1}{2}}(z)\lambda^{(0)}, \\ & \Pi(g)\lambda^{(ij)} = \det^{-\frac{1}{2}}(z)z_i z_j \lambda^{(ij)}, \\ & \Pi(g)\lambda^{(k)} = \det^{\frac{1}{2}}(z)z_k^{-1}\lambda^{(k)}, \\ & \det^{\frac{1}{2}}(z) = \sqrt{z_1 \cdots z_5}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Introduce the product

$$\theta_E(t, q, z) := \prod_{\alpha \in E} \theta(tv_\alpha(z), q)$$

If the conjecture about the structure of the poles of $Z(t, q, z)$ is correct, then

$$\Xi(t, q, z) := t^6 Z(t, q, z)\theta_E(t, q, z)$$

is an analytic function for $|q| < 1$ and $t, z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times \widetilde{\mathbf{T}}^5$. Equations (13) (15) imply that $\Theta(t, q, z)$ satisfies

$$\Xi(qt, q, z) = \frac{1}{t^{12}q^{12}}\Xi(t, q, z)$$

That is $\Xi(t, q, z)$ is a section of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 12}$. Let us fix a basis

$$\eta_k(t, q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{12n(n+1)}{2} + kn} t^{12n+k}, k = 0, \dots, 11$$

in the space of global sections of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 12}$. Functions η_k satisfy

$$\eta_k(qt, q) = 1/(tq)^{12}\eta_k(t, q), \quad \eta_k(1/t, q) = q^{12-2k}t^{12}\eta_{12-k}(t, q), \quad \eta_k(t, q) = q^{k+12}\eta_{k+12}(t, q)$$

The function $\Xi(t, q, z)$ is a linear combination

$$\Xi(t, q, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{11} c_k(q, z)\eta_k(t, q).$$

(17) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} c_k(q, z) &= -q^{12-2k}c_{12-k}(q, z^{-1}), k \neq 0, 6, \\ c_0(q, z) &= -c_0(q, z^{-1}), \quad c_6(q, z) = -c_6(q, z^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Determination of the coefficients $c_i(q, z)$ is more difficult than in the case $z = 1$ and will be postponed for the future publications.

Note that after specialization $g = 1$ $\Xi(t, q, 1) = \Theta(t, q)\theta(t, q)^5$ and $\theta_E(t, q, 1) = \theta(t, q)^{16}$ giving as a fraction the function $Z(t, q)$.

7 Concluding remarks

Partition function $Z_{\mathcal{X}}$ for $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Q}$ a smooth affine quadric of dimension $n - 1$ is known [1]:

$$Z_{\mathcal{Q}}(t, q) = \frac{1 - t^2}{(1 - t)^n} \frac{(qt^2, q)_{\infty} (qt^{-2}, q)_{\infty}}{(qt, q)_{\infty}^n (qt^{-1}, q)_{\infty}^n}$$

It satisfies

$$\frac{Z_{\mathcal{Q}}(qt, q)}{Z_{\mathcal{Q}}(t, q)} = (-1)^n t^{n-4} q^{-1}, \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{Z_{\mathcal{Q}}(t^{-1}, q)}{Z_{\mathcal{Q}}(t, q)} = -(-t)^{n-2}. \quad (27)$$

Functions $Z_{\mathcal{C}}(t, q)$, $Z_{\mathcal{Q}}(t, q)$ have some common features. In both cases $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} Z_{\mathcal{X}}(t, q)(1-t)^{\dim \mathcal{X}} = c_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{(q, q)^{\dim \mathcal{X}}}$, where $c_{\mathcal{X}}$ is some constant. Functions $Z_{\mathcal{X}}(t, q)$ have poles of multiplicity $\dim \mathcal{X}$ at points $\{q^k\}$.

To further extend the analogy we need to digress. The spaces of polynomial maps $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of degree N is a cone over the space of Drinfeld's quasimaps $QMaps_N(\mathcal{X})$ to projectivization of \mathcal{X} . The space $QMaps_N(\mathcal{X})$ is not smooth but still has a well defined line bundle of algebraic volume forms \mathcal{K} . $\mathcal{K}^* = \mathcal{O}(a(\mathcal{X}) + Nb(\mathcal{X}))$ in for some constants $a(\mathcal{X})$, $b(\mathcal{X})$. As usual $\mathcal{O}(n)$ is the power of the tautological line bundle. Exponents of t in (13) and (26) coincide with $b(\mathcal{C})$ and $b(\mathcal{Q})$. Thus functions $\Theta_{\mathcal{C}}(t, q)$ and $\Theta_{\mathcal{Q}}(t, q)$ are sections of $\mathcal{L}^{\dim \mathcal{C} - a(\mathcal{C})} = \mathcal{L}^7$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\dim \mathcal{Q} - a(\mathcal{Q})} = \mathcal{L}^3$ respectively. Finally $P_{\mathcal{X}}(t) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} Z_{\mathcal{X}}(t, q)$ is the classical Poincaré series of the algebra of homogeneous functions on \mathcal{X} .

It is tempting to say that this is a common features of an elliptic generalization of Poincaré series of an algebra of functions \mathcal{X} that should exist for a class of conical varieties whose members are \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{Q} . This class contains in the class of local conical Calabi-Yao varieties \mathcal{X} , whose base $B(\mathcal{X})$ is Fano of sufficiently large index. In this generalization

$$Z_{\mathcal{X}}(t, q) = \frac{\Theta_{\mathcal{X}}(t, q)}{t^{l(\mathcal{X})} \theta(t, q)^{\dim \mathcal{X}}}.$$

$l(\mathcal{X}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$, $\Theta_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a section of $\mathcal{L}^{\dim \mathcal{X} - a(\mathcal{X})}$. The denominator in this formula is similar to the denominator in the Kac formula for the character of an integrable representations of an affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ at a positive level.

It appears that the first nontrivial Laurent coefficients of $Z_{\mathcal{Q}_n}(t, q)$ for a quadric at $t = 1$ can be expressed through algebraic combinations of $(q, q)_{\infty}$, E_2 , E_4 and probably E_6 . The formulas are similar to the ones that have already appeared in Section 3:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} Z_{\mathcal{Q}_n}(t, q)(1-t)^{n-1} &= 2(q, q)_{\infty}^{2-2n} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t(Z_{\mathcal{Q}_n}(t, q)(1-t)^{n-1}) &= (q, q)_{\infty}^{2-2n} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t^2(Z_{\mathcal{Q}_n}(t, q)(1-t)^{n-1}) &= (q, q)_{\infty}^{2-2n} \frac{(n-4)(1-E_2)}{6} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t^3(Z_{\mathcal{Q}_n}(t, q)(1-t)^{n-1}) &= (q, q)_{\infty}^{2-2n} \frac{(4-n)(1-E_2)}{4} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \partial_t^4(Z_{\mathcal{Q}_n}(t, q)(1-t)^{n-1}) &= (q, q)_{\infty}^{2-2n} \left(\frac{(n-4)^2 E_2^2}{24} - \frac{(n-4)(n+6)E_2}{12} + \frac{(n-16)E_4}{60} + \frac{5n^2 + 58n - 288}{120} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Introduce an increasing multiplicative filtration on the algebra of function generated by E_2, E_4, E_6 . The n -th derivative of $Z_{\mathcal{Q}_n}(t, q)(1-t)^{n-1}$ at $t = 1$ is a multiple of $(q, q)_{\infty}^{-2 \dim \mathcal{Q}}$. The factor belongs to n filtration space of the algebra. This parallels between $Z_{\mathcal{Q}_n}$ and $Z_{\mathcal{C}}$ suggests that this also holds for a more general \mathcal{X} . It raises a question whether coefficients of the E_2, E_4, E_6 -monomials in the above formulas can be computed in terms of the characteristic classes of some bundles on the base of the cone \mathcal{X} .

References

- [1] Y. Aisaka and E. A. Arroyo. Hilbert space of curved $\beta\gamma$ systems on quadric cones. *JHEP*, 0808(052), 2008.
- [2] Y. Aisaka, E. A. Arroyo, N. Berkovits, and N. Nekrasov. Pure spinor partition function and the massive superstring spectrum. *JHEP*, 0907(062), 2009. arXiv:0806.0584v1 [hep-th].
- [3] G. E. Andrews. Gap-frequency partitions and the Rogers-Relberg identities. *Ars. Combin.*, 9:201–210, 1980.
- [4] Nikos Bagis. Evaluations of derivatives of Jacobi theta functions in the origin. arXiv:1105.6279 [math.GM], 2011.
- [5] Nathan Berkovits. Covariant quantization of the superstring. *Int.J.Mod.Phys.A*, 16:801–811, 2001.
- [6] H. Hahn. Septic analogues of the Rogers-Ramanujan functions. *Acta Arith.*, 110:381–399, 2003.
- [7] M.V. Movshev. Local algebra and string theory. arXiv:1511.04743 [math.QA].
- [8] L.J. Slater. Further identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type. *Proc. London Math. Soc. Ser. 2*, 54:147–167, 1952.