

EIGENVALUE PINCHING ON SPIN^C MANIFOLDS

SASKIA ROOS

ABSTRACT. We derive various pinching results for small Dirac eigenvalues using the classification of spin^c and spin manifolds admitting nontrivial Killing spinors. For this, we introduce a notion of convergence for spin^c manifolds which involves a general study on convergence of Riemannian manifolds with a principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle. We also analyze the relation between the regularity of the Riemannian metric and the regularity of the curvature of the associated principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle on spin^c manifolds with Killing spinors.

1. INTRODUCTION

Eigenvalue pinching on closed manifolds is an important and widely studied topic in Riemannian geometry. It gives insight into the relation between the spectrum of an operator and the topology of the manifold. One of these studied operators is the Dirac operator on spin and spin^c manifolds. For example, Ammann and Sprouse have shown in [AS07, Theorem 1.8] that a spin manifold M with $r(n)$ Dirac eigenvalues close to the Friedrich bound and an appropriate lower bound on the scalar curvature implies that M is diffeomorphic to a manifold of constant curvature. Here $r(n) = 1$ if $n = 2, 3$ and $r(n) = \exp(\log(2)(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1)) + 1$ if $n > 3$. The limit case of the Friedrich inequality only contains spin manifolds with real Killing spinors whose geometry was described by Bär [Bär93] after a series of partial result of Friedrich, Grunewald, Kath and Hijazi (cf. [Fri81b] [FG85] [FK89] [FK90b] [FK88] [Hij86]). Hence, Ammann and Sprouse conjectured that [AS07, Theorem 1.8] should also be valid with a lower value $\tilde{r}(n) < r(n)$.

This problem was considered by Vargas [Var07]. He introduced the concept of almost Killing spinor sequences which describes a sequence of spinors together with a sequence of metrics on a spin manifold converging to a nontrivial Killing spinor. Studying the convergence of this sequence and combining it with Gromov's compactness theorem for manifolds he derived an improved version of [AS07, Theorem 1.8] for simply-connected spin manifolds, [Var07, Theorem 5.4.1].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary: 53C27, 58C40; secondary: 53C20, 58J60.

In this paper we define almost Killing spinors sequences on the larger class of spin^c manifolds. These will be used to derive pinching results on spin^c and spin manifolds with small spinorial Laplace eigenvalues or Dirac eigenvalues close to the Friedrich bound.

After recalling the basic definitions and properties of spin^c manifolds and Killing spinors, we shortly explain how to identify spinors of different metric spin^c structures following [BG92] in Section 3.

In Section 4 we will define almost Killing spinor sequences on spin^c manifolds. One of the main points we need to deal with is to derive an applicable notion of convergence of spin^c manifolds. As the spin^c structure depends on an associated principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle we first study the convergence of principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles with connection over closed Riemannian manifolds. This leads to one of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $(P_j, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles with connection over a fixed compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) . For each j let Ω_j be the 2-form representing the curvature of A_j . If there is a non-negative K such that $\|\Omega_j\|_{C^{k,\alpha}} \leq K$ for all j , then for any $\beta < \alpha$ there are a principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle P with a $C^{k+1,\beta}$ -connection A and a subsequence, again denoted by $(P_j, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ together with principal bundle isomorphisms $\Phi_j : P \rightarrow P_j$ such that $\Phi_j^* A_j$ converges to A in the $C^{k+1,\beta}$ -norm*

Afterwards we define almost Killing spinor sequences on spin^c manifolds and study their convergence behavior.

In Section 5 we analyze the regularity of spin^c manifolds with Killing spinors as spin^c manifolds with a Killing spinor are, in contrast to the spin case, in general not Einstein. We show that the existence of a nontrivial Killing spinor leads to an equation for the Ricci curvature of the manifold. Using harmonic coordinates we conclude with the results of [DK81]:

Theorem 5.4. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian spin^c manifold with a $C^{1,\alpha}$ -metric g , $C^{l,\alpha}$ -curvature form Ω on the associated principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle P , $l \geq 0$, and a nontrivial Killing spinor φ . Then g is $C^{l+2,\alpha}$ in harmonic coordinates.*

Outgoing from Theorem 4.4 we define the space $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K)$, see Definition 4.7, and prove in Section 6

Proposition 6.1. *Let Λ, i_0, d, K and k be given positive real numbers, μ a given real number and n a given natural number. Let (M, g) be a spin^c manifold in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K)$. For every $\delta > 0$ there exists a positive $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K, k, \mu, \delta) > 0$ such that $\lambda_k(\nabla^{\mu*} \nabla^\mu) < \varepsilon$ implies that (M, g) has $C^{1,\alpha}$ -distance smaller than δ to a spin^c manifold with k linearly independent Killing spinors with Killing number μ . Furthermore, g is at least $C^{2,\alpha}$ in harmonic coordinates.*

This proposition is the basis for all pinching results in this section. For $\mu = 0$ we combine this proposition with the geometric description of spin^c and spin manifolds with parallel spinors obtained in [Wan89], [Wan95] and [Mor97].

Using the Schrödinger-Lichnerowicz formula we prove a similar result to Proposition 6.1 for Dirac eigenvalues which leads again to eigenvalue pinching results for Dirac eigenvalues close to the Friedrich bound. For example, we show that even resp. odd dimensional simply-connected spin^c manifolds with one resp. two Dirac eigenvalues close to the Friedrich bound are already spin . Combining this with the geometric description of spin manifolds with real Killing spinors in [Bär93] we show that simply-connected spin^c manifolds with a specified number of Dirac eigenvalues close to the Friedrich bound are already diffeomorphic to the sphere.

As an application of our results, we show in Section 7 that using [DWW05, Theorem 3.1], the absolute value of the Killing number of a real Killing spinor is bounded from below by a positive constant in the class of n -dimensional Riemannian manifolds with bounded Ricci-curvature and diameter and with injectivity radius bounded from below by a positive constant.

Acknowledgment. It is a great pleasure for me to thank my PhD supervisors Werner Ballmann and Bernd Ammann for suggesting this problem and many helpful mathematical discussions. Furthermore I want to thank Bernd Ammann and the SFB 1085 for inviting me to Regensburg. I would also like to thank Christian Blohmann, Asma Hassannezhad, Henrik Matthiesen and Fabian Spiegel for interesting mathematical discussions and their advisory support. Moreover, I am very grateful for the support and hospitality of the Max-Planck-Intitut for Mathematics in Bonn.

2. SPIN^c MANIFOLDS AND KILLING SPINORS

For the reader's convenience we first collect some well-known facts about spin^c manifolds. For more detail see [LM89], [Fri00] and [BHM⁺15].

Definition 2.1 (spin^c structure). Let $\xi : \widetilde{\text{GL}}(n) \rightarrow \text{GL}(n)$ denote the nontrivial two-fold covering of $\text{GL}(n)$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\text{GL}}^c(n) &= \widetilde{\text{GL}}(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \text{GL}(n) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \\ [A, u] &\mapsto (\xi(A), u^2). \end{aligned}$$

A manifold M with frame bundle $P_{\text{GL}}M$ admits a *topological spin^c structure* if there is a principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle P such that there exists a principal $\widetilde{\text{GL}}^c$ -bundle $P_{\widetilde{\text{GL}}^c}M$ that is a two-fold covering of $P_{\text{GL}}M \times P$ compatible with the associated two-fold group covering.

On a Riemannian manifold (M, g) a *metric spin^c structure* is the preimage of $P_{SO}M \times P$ of the topological spin^c structure, where $P_{SO}M$ consists of positive oriented orthonormal frames of TM . This preimage defines a principal Spin^c-bundle $P_{\text{Spin}^c}M$ with

$$\text{Spin}^c(n) := \text{Spin}(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{S}^1 \subset \widetilde{\text{GL}}^c(n).$$

Since any metric spin^c structure extends uniquely to a topological spin^c structure, they have the same equivalence classes.

We now introduce *spinors* on a spin^c manifold as sections of the spin^c bundle $\Sigma^c M = P_{\text{Spin}^c}M \times_{\delta} \Sigma_n$, where $\delta : \text{Spin}^c(n) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\Sigma_n)$ denotes the canonical complex spin^c representation on the complex vector space Σ_n . The spin^c bundle $\Sigma^c M$ is endowed with a natural Hermitian inner product.

A connection ∇^A on $\Sigma^c M$ is determined by a lift of the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g) together with a connection 1-form A on P to $\Sigma^c M$. The Hermitian inner product and Clifford multiplication is parallel with respect to ∇^A .

The *spinorial Laplacian* is defined as $\nabla^{A*} \nabla^A$ where ∇^{A*} is the L^2 -adjoint of ∇^A . On the other hand, the *Dirac operator* is defined by its action on spinors φ , given by $D^A \varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \cdot \nabla_{e_i}^A \varphi$ in any orthonormal frame (e_1, \dots, e_n) . These two operators are closely related by the Schrödinger-Lichnerowicz formula.

$$(1) \quad (D^A)^2 \varphi = \nabla^{A*} \nabla^A \varphi + \frac{1}{4} \text{Scal} \varphi + \frac{i}{2} \Omega \cdot \varphi$$

for all spinors φ , where Scal denotes the scalar curvature of the manifold. Here $\Omega = -iF_A \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 T^*M)$, where F_A is the curvature of the connection 1-form A . The action of a k -form ω on a spinor φ is defined by

$$\omega \cdot \varphi := \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \omega(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}) e_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot e_{i_k} \cdot \varphi,$$

in any orthonormal frame (e_1, \dots, e_n) .

At this point, we remark that any spin manifold is spin^c. To see this, take the trivial principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle P and extend the spin bundle via the inclusion $\text{Spin}(n) \hookrightarrow \text{Spin}^c(n)$. By choosing $A = 0$ the spinorial connection extends canonically to the spin^c bundle $\Sigma^c M$. Thus, all results stated for spin^c manifolds are also valid for spin manifolds.

As mentioned in the introduction we are mainly interested in Killing spinors. A spinor φ is called a *Killing spinor* if there exists a complex number μ such that $\nabla_X^A \varphi = \mu X \cdot \varphi$ for any vector field X . The number μ is called Killing number. Obviously, $D^A \varphi = -\mu n \varphi$.

Starting from the identity

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \cdot \text{R}^A(X, e_i) \varphi = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}(X) \cdot \varphi + \frac{i}{2} (X \lrcorner \Omega) \cdot \varphi$$

which holds for any vector field X and spinor φ in any orthonormal frame, we obtain the following relation for Killing spinors.

Lemma 2.2. *Let φ be a Killing spinor with Killing number μ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(X, Y)|\varphi|^2 &= 4\mu^2(n-1)g(X, Y)|\varphi|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2}\langle ((X \lrcorner \Omega) \cdot Y) - Y \cdot (X \lrcorner \Omega) \cdot \varphi, \varphi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all vector fields X, Y .

In particular, any Riemannian spin manifold (M, g) admitting a Killing spinor with Killing number μ is Einstein with $\text{Ric} = 4\mu^2(n-1)g$. Another interesting aspect of Killing spinors is that they correspond to the limit case of the Friedrich spin^c inequality for Dirac eigenvalues.

Theorem 2.3 (Friedrich spin^c inequality). *On a compact Riemannian spin^c manifold (M, g) the square of any eigenvalue λ of D^A is bounded from below by*

$$(3) \quad \lambda^2 \geq \frac{n}{4(n-1)} \inf_M (\text{Scal} - c_n |\Omega|_g),$$

with $c_n = 2 \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Here the norm of a 2-form ω is defined by

$$|\omega|_g^2 := \sum_{i < j} |\omega(e_i, e_j)|^2$$

in any orthonormal frame. Furthermore, equality holds if and only if the corresponding eigenspinor φ is a Killing spinor and $\Omega \cdot \varphi = i \frac{c_n}{2} |\Omega|_g \varphi$.

This bound follows immediately from [HM99, Lemma 3.3] and the Schrödinger-Lichnerowicz formula (1).

For later use we modify the connection ∇^A in the following way:

Definition 2.4 (Friedrich connection). The Friedrich connection associated to $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ is defined as

$$\nabla_X^\mu \varphi := \nabla_X^A \varphi - \mu X \cdot \varphi,$$

for any spinor φ and vector field X .

The Friedrich connection is metric if and only if μ is real. In particular Killing spinors with Killing number μ are parallel with respect to ∇^μ . A straight-forward calculation leads to a version of (1) for the Friedrich connection.

Lemma 2.5. *For any real number μ and any spinor φ*

$$(D^A + \mu)^2 \varphi = \nabla^{\mu*} \nabla^\mu \varphi + \frac{1}{4} \text{Scal} \varphi + \frac{i}{2} \Omega \cdot \varphi - \mu^2(n-1)\varphi.$$

3. IDENTIFYING METRIC Spin^c STRUCTURES

Let M be a spin^c manifold with a fixed topological spin^c structure. It induces for any Riemannian metric g on M a metric spin^c structure. Assume now that we have two different metrics g and h on M . Then the topological spin^c structure on M descends to two different metric spin^c structures. Following [BG92], we construct an isomorphism between them and study its properties.

For two Riemannian metrics g and h on M there exists a unique positive definite endomorphism field H_g such that $g(H_g X, Y) = h(X, Y)$ for all vector fields X and Y . Its unique positive definite square root $b_g^h := \sqrt{H_g}$ satisfies $g(b_g^h X, b_g^h Y) = h(X, Y)$ for all vector fields X, Y .

Since the topological spin^c structure on M is fixed, both induced metric spin^c structures are built with the same principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle P . Therefore, the map

$$\begin{aligned} (b_g^h)^n \times \text{Id} : P_{\text{SO}}(M, h) \times P &\longrightarrow P_{\text{SO}}(M, g) \times P \\ (e_1, \dots, e_n, s) &\longmapsto (b_g^h e_1, \dots, b_g^h e_n, s) \end{aligned}$$

lifts to a $\text{Spin}^c(n)$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\widetilde{(b_g^h)^n} \times \text{Id} : P_{\text{Spin}^c}(M, h) \rightarrow P_{\text{Spin}^c}(M, g).$$

This induces the following isomorphism of spin^c bundles.

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_g^h : \Sigma_h^c M = P_{\text{Spin}^c}(M, h) \times_{\delta} \Sigma_n &\rightarrow \Sigma_g^c M = P_{\text{Spin}^c}(M, g) \times_{\delta} \Sigma_n \\ \varphi = [s, \psi] &\mapsto \beta_g^h \varphi := [\widetilde{(b_g^h)^n} \times \text{Id}(s), \psi]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to compare the action of the Levi-Civita connections ∇^g and ∇^h . Define the connection ${}^h\nabla_Y^g X := b_h^g(\nabla_Y^g(b_h^g X))$ for any vector field X . Note that $(b_h^g)^{-1} = b_h^g$. Straight-forward calculations lead to:

Lemma 3.1. *The torsion ${}^gT^h$ of ${}^h\nabla^g$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^gT^h(X, Y) &= b_h^g((\nabla_X^g b_h^g)Y - (\nabla_Y^g b_h^g)X), \text{ and} \\ 2h({}^h\nabla_X^g Y - \nabla_X^h Y, Z) &= h({}^gT^h(X, Y), Z) - h({}^gT^h(Y, Z), X) \\ &\quad - h({}^gT^h(Z, X), Y). \end{aligned}$$

Assuming M to be spin^c the spinorial connection ∇^{A_g} on $\Sigma_g^c M$ is built with the connection 1-form A_g on P and the spinorial connection on $\Sigma_h^c M$ with the connection 1-form A_h . Define the connection ${}^{A_h}\nabla_X^{A_g} \varphi := \beta_h^g(\nabla_X^{A_g}(\beta_h^g \varphi))$.

Proposition 3.2. *Given two metrics g and h on a fixed n -dimensional spin^c manifold M , there is a positive constant $C(n)$ such that*

$$|{}^{A_h}\nabla_X^{A_g} \varphi - \nabla^{A_h} \varphi|_h \leq C(n) \|X\|_h \|\varphi\|_h (\|\beta_h^g\|_h \|\nabla^g \beta_h^g\|_h + \|A_h - A_g\|_h)$$

for all vector fields X and spinors φ .

Proof. Let (e_1, \dots, e_n) be a local orthonormal frame with respect to h . Then any vector field can be written as $Y = \sum_{a=1}^n y^a e_a$. For the comparison of the associated spinorial connections we need to choose a local section s of P . Using the local structure of spinorial connections, see for instance [Fri00, p. 60], we find

$$\begin{aligned} |{}^{A_h}\nabla_X^{A_g}\varphi - \nabla_X^{A_h}\varphi|_h &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a,b=1}^n |h({}^h\nabla_X^g e_a - \nabla_X^h e_a)e_a \cdot e_b \cdot \varphi|_h \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} |s^*(A_g - A_h)(X)\varphi|_h \\ &\leq C(n) |X|_h |\varphi|_h (\|\beta_h^g\|_h \|\nabla^g \beta_g^h\|_h + \|A_g - A_h\|_h), \end{aligned}$$

for all vector fields X and spinors φ . The last inequality follows from Lemma 3.1. \square

Remark 3.3. Similarly, one proves that for any two given Riemannian metrics g and h on an n -dimensional manifold there is a positive constant $C'(n)$ such that

$$|{}^h\nabla_X^g Y - \nabla_X^h Y|_h \leq C'(n) \|b_h^g\|_h \|\nabla^g b_g^h\|_h \|X\|_h \|Y\|_h$$

for all vector fields X and Y .

4. CONVERGENCE

The goal of this section is to understand the convergence of almost Killing spinor sequences on spin^c manifolds. For this we need to establish a notion of convergence for spin^c manifolds. Since spin^c structures are built over the product of the frame bundle and a given principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle we first need to develop a notion of convergence for sequences of manifolds with principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles. This will be the content of Section 4.1. There we show that a convenient uniform bound on the curvature of the principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle leads to the existence of a subsequence such that the corresponding connection 1-forms converge after suitable choices of gauge transformations. Combining this with the known compactness results of manifolds we derive a useful notion of convergence.

In Section 4.2, we define almost Killing spinor sequences on spin^c manifolds. By the convergence results of Section 4.1 it is enough to consider a manifold with a fixed topological spin^c structure. Therefore, we only need a few modifications due to the chosen connection 1-form A on the principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle.

4.1. Convergence of principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles. The goal of this section is to establish a general notion of convergence for principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles with connection. Note that we do not assume the manifold to be spin^c . First we show that for a suitable bound on the curvature of the principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle there are only finitely many possibilities of isomorphism

classes of principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles satisfying it. Thus, we can focus on a sequence of connection 1-forms on a fixed principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle where we obtain a converging subsequence by applying suitable gauge transformations.

In the beginning, we recall the basic classification results for principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles. For more details see e.g. [Bla10, Chapter 2] and [Bry08, Chapter VI]. These results are the main ingredient to prove the desired convergence results.

Although this section does not require manifolds to be spin^c , we will stick to the notation used so far. Recall the following terminology: Two principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles P and P' together with connections A resp. A' are *isomorphic with connections* if there is a principal bundle isomorphism $\Phi : P \rightarrow P'$ such that $\Phi^*A' = A$.

Isomorphism classes of principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles as well as gauge equivalence classes are classified by the Čech-cohomology of the underlying base manifold M . Especially the classification of isomorphism classes is a well-known result which we restate here.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a compact manifold. Then there is a bijection between the Čech-cohomology group $\check{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and the isomorphism classes of principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles over M .*

Let P be a principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle over a compact manifold M . Then P defines a unique class in $\check{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. This class is called the *first Chern class* of P .

The curvature of a connection 1-form A on P is given by a closed 2-form Ω on M , namely

$$dA = F_A = i\Omega.$$

The de Rham class $[-\frac{1}{2\pi}\Omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ is the image of the first Chern class of P under the Čech-de Rham isomorphism. A short calculation shows that $[-\frac{1}{2\pi}\Omega]$ is independent of the choice of the connection 1-form A on P . Thus, it depends only on the isomorphism class of the principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle.

As mentioned in the introduction, we want to show that there is a suitable bound on the curvature such that there are only finitely many principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles up to isomorphism satisfying it. For this recall from Hodge theory that on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) each de Rham class $[\omega]$ admits a unique harmonic representative $\tilde{\omega}$. Moreover, $\tilde{\omega}$ minimizes the L^2 -norm in the class $[\omega]$. In addition, the projection from closed to harmonic forms is continuous in L^2 . Thus, it is a natural choice to assume an L^2 -bound on the curvature for our purpose .

Lemma 4.2. *Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold and K a fixed non-negative number. Then there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles P with connection over M whose curvature satisfies $\|\Omega\|_{L^2} \leq K$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.1 the isomorphism classes of principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles over M are classified by $\check{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. By the universal coefficient theorem, we have $\check{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{b_2(M)} \oplus T_1$, where T_1 is the torsion of $\check{H}_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ which is finite, and $b_2(M)$ the second Betti number of M . Furthermore, the kernel of the homeomorphism $h : \check{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ is given by T_1 . The cohomology class $[-\frac{1}{2\pi}\Omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ is an integral class, i.e. it lies in the image of h .

Thus, the set of isomorphism classes of principal bundles whose curvature satisfies $\|\Omega\|_{L^2} \leq K$ is given by $h^{-1}(C)$, where

$$C := \left\{ [\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) : \|\tilde{\omega}\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi}K \right\}.$$

Here $\tilde{\omega}$ denotes the unique harmonic representative of $[\omega]$.

Since $H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathcal{H}^2(M) \cong \mathbb{R}^{b_2(M)}$, with $\mathcal{H}^2(M)$ denoting the space of harmonic 2-forms, is a finite dimensional vector space and the projection from closed to harmonic forms is continuous in L^2 , it follows that C is compact. In particular, $\text{Im}(h) \cap C$ is compact, hence finite. Since the kernel of h is also finite the claim follows. \square

We recall now the characterization of the gauge equivalence classes of connections on a fixed principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle P over M which can be found in the standard literature.

Theorem 4.3. *For a fixed principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle P over a compact Riemannian manifold M two principal connections are gauge equivalent if and only if their difference is represented by a closed integral 1-form. In particular, the space of gauge equivalence classes of connections with fixed curvature Ω is given by the Jacobi torus $\check{H}^1(M, \mathbb{R})/\check{H}^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Using this theorem we are able to prove the following convergence result. However, note that we will in general not obtain C^∞ -convergence. Therefore, we establish here the following notion: A connection 1-form A is called $C^{k,\alpha}$ if its associated Christoffel symbols are $C^{k,\alpha}$. Further on, we only consider $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $(P_j, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles with connection over a fixed compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) . For each j let Ω_j be the 2-form representing the curvature of A_j . If there is a non-negative K such that $\|\Omega_j\|_{C^{k,\alpha}} \leq K$ for all j , then for any $\beta < \alpha$ there are a principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle P with a $C^{k+1,\beta}$ -connection A and a subsequence, again denoted by $(P_j, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ together with principal bundle isomorphisms $\Phi_j : P \rightarrow P_j$ such that $\Phi_j^* A_j$ converges to A in the $C^{k+1,\beta}$ -norm*

Proof. Since the $C^{k,\alpha}$ -norm of the curvatures of the principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle P_j is uniformly bounded in j , the L^2 -norm of the curvatures is also uniformly bounded. Applying Lemma 4.2 we conclude that this sequence of principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles only contains finitely many isomorphism classes. Hence, we find a subsequence $(P_j, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that there is for each j an isomorphism $\Psi_j : P_j \rightarrow P$ for some fixed P . Therefore, it is

Using that the connections on P form an affine space over $\Gamma(\Lambda^1 T^*M)$, we will fix A_1 as a reference connection. The difference $\Psi_j^* A_j - \Psi_1^* A_1$ is given by a unique $i\eta_j$ with $\eta_j \in \Gamma(\Lambda^1 T^*M)$. We will apply the Hodge decomposition various times and show for each part separately how we obtain a converging subsequence.

Since P is fixed $[-\frac{1}{2\pi}\Psi_j^* \Omega_j] = [-\frac{1}{2\pi}\Psi_k^* \Omega_k]$ for all j, k . Hence, for each j there is a 1-form ζ_j such that

$$\Psi_j^* \Omega_j = \Psi_1^* \Omega_1 + d\zeta_j.$$

By our assumptions on the curvatures there is a positive constant \tilde{K} such that $\|d\zeta_j\|_{C^{k,\alpha}} \leq \tilde{K}$ uniformly j .

By Hodge decomposition we can choose $\zeta_j = \delta\xi_j$ for some closed 2-form ξ_j which is orthogonal to $\ker(\Delta)$ in L^2 . Thus, $d\zeta_j = \Delta\xi_j$. By Schauder's estimate we find a positive constant C such that for all j

$$\|\xi_j\|_{C^{k+2,\alpha}} \leq C\|\Delta\xi_j\|_{C^{k,\alpha}} \leq C\tilde{K}.$$

For any $\beta < \alpha$ there is a subsequence $(\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging in $C^{k+2,\beta}$. Thus, $(\delta\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $C^{k+1,\beta}$. In general, the limit is not smooth.

For each j the connections $\Psi_j^* A_j$ and $\Psi_1^* A_1 + i\delta\xi_j$ have the same curvature. Thus, for each j , there is a unique closed 1-form η_j such that

$$\Psi_j^* A_j = \Psi_1^* A_1 + i\delta\xi_j + i\eta_j.$$

Again we apply the Hodge decomposition and obtain for each j a smooth function f_j and a harmonic 1-form ν_j such that

$$\eta_j = df_j + \nu_j.$$

If $df_j \neq 0$ we apply the gauge transformation $G_j = e^{-if_j}$ and obtain

$$G_j^* \Psi_j^* A_j = \Psi_1^* A_1 + i\delta\xi_j + i\nu_j.$$

Now, we need to find a subsequence and suitable gauge transformations such that the remaining harmonic parts converge. To obtain these we take a closer look at the classification of connections on a principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle. By Theorem 4.3, the gauge equivalence classes of connections for a fixed curvature form are classified by the Jacobi torus $\check{H}^1(M, \mathbb{R})/\check{H}^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$. By Hodge theory, there is exactly one harmonic representative in each de Rham class. Since $\check{H}^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ has no torsion elements it is embedded in $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ via the Čech-de Rham isomorphism. Hence, we obtain the quotient of harmonic forms divided by

harmonic integral forms which is isomorphic to the torus $\mathbb{T}^{b_1(M)}$. As the projection from closed to harmonic forms is continuous in L^2 the Jacobi torus is compact in the L^2 -topology.

The sequence $(\nu_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ induces a sequence in the Jacobi torus. Since it is a compact quotient in the L^2 -topology there is a subsequence of harmonic representatives $(\tilde{\nu}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging in L^2 to a smooth harmonic 1-form $\tilde{\nu}$. Note that each ν_j is equivalent to $\tilde{\nu}_j$. By standard elliptic estimates it follows that $(\tilde{\nu}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in C^l for any $l > 0$.

Taking the corresponding gauge transformations H_j , we obtain the sequence

$$(H_j^* G_j^* \Psi_j^* A_j = \Psi_1^* A_1 + i\delta_1 \xi_j + i\tilde{\nu}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}},$$

which converges in $C^{k+1, \beta}$. Setting $\Phi_j := \Psi_j \circ G_j \circ H_j$ finishes the proof. \square

Remark 4.5. Similarly a uniform upper bound on the $W^{k,2}$ -norm of the curvature leads to a $W^{l+1,2}$ -converging subsequence of the underlying connection 1-forms for any $l < k$.

This theorem shows that the space of principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles over a fixed compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) with a uniform bound on the $C^{k, \alpha}$ -norm of the curvature is “precompact in the $C^{k+1, \beta}$ -topology” for any $\beta < \alpha$. Now we also want to vary the base manifold (M, g) . For this we use the following compactness theorem by Anderson, [And90, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 4.6. *For given positive numbers Λ , i_0 , and d the class $\mathcal{M}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d)$ of Riemannian n -manifolds with*

$$|\text{Ric}| \leq \Lambda, \quad \text{inj} \geq i_0, \quad \text{diam} \leq d,$$

is compact in the $C^{1, \alpha}$ -topology for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Furthermore, the subspace consisting of Einstein manifolds is compact in the C^∞ -topology.

Combining this class of manifolds with the assumptions in Theorem 4.4 we define the following class of manifolds with principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles.

Definition 4.7. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K)$ be the space of principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles $P \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ with principal connection A such that (M, g) lies in $\mathcal{M}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d)$ and $\|\Omega\|_{C^{0,1}(g)} \leq K$ where $\Omega \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 T^*M)$ is the curvature of A , i.e. $i\Omega = F_A$.

Theorem 4.8. *Any sequence $(M_j, g_j, P_j, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K)$ admits a subsequence, again denoted by $(M_j, g_j, P_j, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ there is a principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle P over a closed Riemannian manifold M with a $C^{1, \alpha}$ -metric g and a $C^{1, \alpha}$ -connection A*

such that for each j there is a principal bundle isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\Phi_j} & P_j \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\phi_j} & M_j \end{array}$$

with $\Phi_j^* A_j$ and $\phi_j^* g_j$ converging to A resp. g in $C^{1,\alpha}$.

Proof. Let $(M_j, g_j, P_j, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K)$. Since any manifold in this sequence lies in $\mathcal{M}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d)$ there exists a subsequence again denoted by $(M_j, g_j, P_j, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a Riemannian manifold (M, g) such that for each j there exists a diffeomorphism $\phi_j : M \rightarrow M_j$ with $\phi_j^* g_j$ converging to g in $C^{1,\alpha}$ by Theorem 4.6.

By pulling back each element in $(M_j, g_j, P_j, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the diffeomorphism ϕ_j we obtain a sequence of metrics and principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles with connections over a fixed compact manifold M which we call $(M, g_j, P_j, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ for simplicity.

We fix the initial metric g_1 as our background metric. Applying Theorem 4.4 to the sequence $(P_j, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ viewed over (M, g_1) we obtain a subsequence together with principal bundle isomorphism $\Psi_j : P \rightarrow P_j$ such that $\Psi_j^* A_j$ converges in $C^{1,\alpha}(g_1)$.

Since $(g_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to g in $C^{1,\alpha}$ the claim follows. \square

4.2. Almost Killing spinor sequences. An almost Killing spinor sequence describes a converging sequence of metrics on a fixed spin manifold together with a sequence of spinors converging to a nontrivial Killing spinor. This concept was first introduced for spin manifolds in [Var07]. We extend it to spin^c manifolds. By the results of Section 4.1, it is enough to look at manifolds with fixed topological spin^c structure.

Definition 4.9 (Almost Killing spinor sequence). Let $(M, g_j, P, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence on a fixed Riemannian spin^c manifold whose topological spin^c structure is built with P such that $(g_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in C^1 and $(A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in C^0 . A sequence $(\varphi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of spinors $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma_j^c M)$ is an *almost Killing spinor sequence* if there exists a real μ and a vanishing sequence $(\varepsilon_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\|\nabla^{\mu, A_j} \varphi_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)} \leq O(\varepsilon_j) \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)}$$

for all j . An almost Killing spinor sequence called *L^2 -normalized* if $\|\varphi_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)} = 1$ for all j .

In the end, we want to show that an L^2 -normalized almost Killing spinor sequence converges strongly in $W^{1,2}$ to a nontrivial Killing spinor. Since we only need the embedding $W^{1,2}(\Sigma^c M) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Sigma^c M)$ to be compact these convergence results apply to any Riemannian spin^c manifold on which the Sobolev embedding theorems hold.

Since almost Killing spinor sequences are defined via the Friedrich connection we define the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{W_\mu^{1,2}(\Sigma^c M)}^2 := \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma^c M)}^2 + \|\nabla^\mu \varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma^c M)}^2$$

on $W^{1,2}(\Sigma^c M)$. This norm is equivalent to the Sobolev norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{W^{1,2}(\Sigma^c M)}^2 = \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma^c M)}^2 + \|\nabla^A \varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma^c M)}^2.$$

The next two results generalize Lemma 4.5.1 and Theorem 4.5.2 in [Var07]. We follow the original proofs and generalize them to the spin^c case.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $(\varphi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an almost Killing spinor sequence. Then $(\beta_g^{g_j} \varphi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma^c M)$, where $\beta_g^{g_j}$ is the endomorphism field relating g_j with g . Moreover, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\beta_g^{g_j} \varphi_j\|_{W_\mu^{1,2}(\Sigma^c M)} = 1$.*

Proof. Since the norms $\|\cdot\|_{W_\mu^{1,2}(\Sigma^c M)}$ and $\|\varphi\|_{W^{1,2}(\Sigma^c M)}$ are equivalent, we only need to prove that $(\beta_g^{g_j} \varphi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $\|\cdot\|_{W_\mu^{1,2}(\Sigma^c M)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\beta_g^{g_j} \varphi_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma^c M)}^2 &= \int_M \langle \beta_g^{g_j} \varphi_j, \beta_g^{g_j} \varphi_j \rangle_g \, \text{dvol}_g \\ &= \int_M \langle \varphi_j, \varphi_j \rangle_{g_j} |\det(\beta_g^{g_j})|^{-1} \, \text{dvol}_{g_j} \\ &\leq (1 + O(\varepsilon_j)) \|\varphi_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

as $\beta_g^{g_j}$ converges to the identity in C^1 . Similarly we obtain the estimate $\|\beta_g^{g_j} \varphi_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma^c M)}^2 \geq (1 - O(\varepsilon_j)) \|\varphi_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)}^2$.

Now we need an upper bound on the second part of $\|\cdot\|_{W_\mu^{1,2}(\Sigma^c M)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^\mu(\beta_g^{g_j} \varphi_j)\|_{L^2(\Sigma^c M)}^2 &= \int_M \langle \nabla^\mu(\beta_g^{g_j} \varphi_j), \nabla^\mu(\beta_g^{g_j} \varphi_j) \rangle_g \, \text{dvol}_g \\ &= \int_M \langle \beta_{g_j}^g \nabla^\mu(\beta_g^{g_j} \varphi_j), \beta_{g_j}^g \nabla^\mu(\beta_g^{g_j} \varphi_j) \rangle_{g_j} \, \text{dvol}_{g_j} \\ &\leq (1 + O(\varepsilon_j)) \|{}^{A_j} \nabla^{\mu, A_g} \varphi_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we define ${}^{A_j} \nabla_X^{\mu, A_g} \psi := \beta_{g_j}^g \nabla_X^\mu(\beta_g^{g_j} \psi)$ for all vector fields X and spinors ψ . We estimate further

$$\begin{aligned} &\|{}^{A_j} \nabla^{\mu, A_g} \varphi_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)} \\ &\leq \|{}^{A_j} \nabla^{\mu, A_g} \varphi_j - \nabla^{\mu, A_j} \varphi_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)} + \|\nabla^{\mu, A_j} \varphi_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)} \\ &\leq \|{}^{A_j} \nabla^A \varphi_j - \nabla^{A_j} \varphi_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)} + \|\beta_{g_j}^g - \text{Id}\|_{g_j} \|\varphi_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)} \\ &\quad + O(\varepsilon_j) \|\varphi_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)} \\ &\leq C \left(\|\beta_{g_j}^g\|_{g_j} \|\nabla^g \beta_{g_j}^{g_j}\|_{g_j} + \|A - A_j\|_{g_j} + O(\varepsilon_j) \right) \|\varphi_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)} \\ &\leq O(\varepsilon_j) \|\varphi_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we applied Proposition 3.2 and used the C^1 -convergence of $(\beta_g^{g_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. \square

This lemma together with the Sobolev embedding theorem leads to the following convergence result in $W^{1,2}$ generalizing [Var07, Theorem 4.5.2] which we just state, as the proof is the same.

Proposition 4.11. *Any L^2 -normalized almost Killing spinor sequence admits a subsequence converging strongly in $W^{1,2}$ to a nontrivial L^2 -Killing spinor φ , i.e. $\|\nabla^\mu \varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma^c M)} = 0$.*

5. REGULARITY

In this section we study the relation between Killing spinors and the regularity of the Riemannian metric g and the connection 1-form A . We mostly use elliptic regularity.

We start by observing that the regularity of a Killing spinor depends on the regularity of the connection ∇^A and therefore on the regularity of the metric g and the connection 1-form A . A Killing spinor with Killing number μ lies in the kernel of the elliptic second order operator $\nabla^{\mu*} \nabla^\mu$ whose coefficients contain the first derivatives of the coefficients of g and A . Therefore we apply elliptic regularity and obtain:

Proposition 5.1. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian spin^c manifold with g and A being $C^{k,\alpha}$, $k \geq 1$. Then any L^2 -Killing spinor φ on (M, g) is $C^{k+1,\alpha}$.*

Recall that any Riemannian spin manifold admitting a nontrivial Killing spinor is Einstein. Therefore, its metric is real analytic in harmonic coordinates by [DK81, Theorem 5.2]. However, on Riemannian spin^c manifolds with a Killing spinor the Ricci curvature is given by the identity in Lemma 2.2. We use the rest of this section to study the relation between the regularity of A , or more precisely the regularity of its curvature form $\Omega = -iF_A$, and the regularity of the metric g on a spin^c manifold with a Killing spinor in harmonic coordinates.

Since we want to include $C^{1,\alpha}$ -metrics we need to generalize the required equations to a weak context. In particular, we want to obtain an analogue of Lemma 2.2 for $C^{1,\alpha}$ -metrics. As the Ricci curvature and the spinorial curvature involve second derivatives of the metric we need to redefine these objects in a weak context. Further on, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U$ denotes the pairing between a distribution and a test function compactly supported on $U \subset M$.

Using the coordinate description of the Ricci curvature (see [DK81, Lemma 4.1]) and Stokes' theorem we define a weak version of the Ricci curvature as follows. In a local chart U with coordinate vector fields $(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$ the weak Ricci curvature acts on a test function $\eta \in C_c^1(U)$

by

$$\langle \text{Ric}(X, Y), \eta \rangle_U := \sum_{a,b=1}^n \langle \text{Ric}_{ab}, X^a Y^b \eta \rangle_U.$$

Here $X = \sum_{a=1}^n X^a \partial_a$ and $Y = \sum_{a=1}^n Y^a \partial_a$ are local vector fields. The right hand side is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Ric}_{ab}, \eta \rangle_U := & \int_U \left(\partial_s g_{ab} \partial_r (g^{rs} \sqrt{g} \eta) - \Gamma^r \partial_b (g_{ra} \sqrt{g} \eta) - \Gamma^r \partial_a (g_{rb} \sqrt{g} \eta) \right. \\ & \left. + Q_{ab} \sqrt{g} \eta \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where \sqrt{g} denotes the square root of the determinant of the metric g in these coordinates and Q_{ab} denotes a quadratic form depending only on g and its derivatives ∂g .

For the spinorial curvature, we again use Stokes' theorem to obtain a weak version. Since the inner product on $\Sigma^c M$ is Hermitian, we consider each entry separately. This leads to the following definition for the weak spinorial curvature for vector fields X, Y , and a spinor $\varphi \in C^1(\Sigma^c M)$ acting on a test spinor $\psi \in C_c^1(\Sigma^c M|_U)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbb{R}^A(X, Y)\varphi, \psi \rangle_U &:= \int_U \langle \nabla_X^A \varphi, \nabla_Y^A \hat{\psi} \rangle - \langle \nabla_Y^A \varphi, \nabla_X^A \hat{\psi} \rangle - \langle \nabla_{[X, Y]}^A \varphi, \hat{\psi} \rangle dx, \\ \langle \psi, \mathbb{R}^A(X, Y)\varphi \rangle_U &:= \int_U \langle \nabla_Y^A \hat{\psi}, \nabla_X^A \varphi \rangle - \langle \nabla_X^A \hat{\psi}, \nabla_Y^A \varphi \rangle - \langle \hat{\psi}, \nabla_{[X, Y]}^A \varphi \rangle dx, \end{aligned}$$

in any local chart U of M . Here we set $\hat{\psi} := \sqrt{g} \psi$.

Now, we show that the relation (2) in Section 2 between Ricci and spinorial curvature also holds in the weak context. This generalizes Lemma 3.4.1 in [Var07].

Lemma 5.2. *On a Riemannian spin^c manifold M with a C^1 -metric g and C^0 -curvature form Ω*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Ric}(X) \cdot \varphi, \psi \rangle_U &:= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \text{Ric}(X, e_i), \langle e_i \cdot \varphi, \psi \rangle \rangle_U \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mathbb{R}^A(X, e_i)\varphi, e_i \cdot \psi \rangle_U + i \int_U \langle (X \lrcorner \Omega) \cdot \varphi, \psi \rangle d\text{vol}_g \end{aligned}$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $\varphi \in C^1(\Sigma^c M)$ and $\psi \in C_c^1(\Sigma^c M|_U)$ in any orthonormal frame (e_1, \dots, e_n) . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, \text{Ric}(X) \cdot \varphi \rangle_U &:= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \text{Ric}(X, e_i), \langle \psi, e_i \cdot \varphi \rangle \rangle_U \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i \cdot \psi, \mathbb{R}^A(X, e_i)\varphi \rangle_U + i \int_U \langle (X \lrcorner \Omega) \cdot \psi, \varphi \rangle d\text{vol}_g. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We integrate equation (2) in Section 2 against a test spinor $\psi \in C_c^1(\Sigma^c M|_U)$ and obtain

$$(4) \quad \int_U \langle \text{Ric}(X) \cdot \varphi, \psi \rangle d\text{vol}_g = \int_U 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \text{R}^A(X, e_i) \varphi, e_i \cdot \psi \rangle d\text{vol}_g \\ + i \int_U \langle (X \lrcorner \Omega) \cdot \varphi, \psi \rangle d\text{vol}_g$$

for any Riemannian spin^c manifold with a C^2 -metric and Ω being C^0 .

We rewrite the left hand side as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int_U \text{Ric}(X, e_i) \langle e_i \cdot \varphi, \psi \rangle d\text{vol}_g = \langle \text{Ric}(X) \cdot \varphi, \psi \rangle_U$$

and the first term on the right hand side of (4) as

$$\int_U 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \text{R}^A(X, e_i) \varphi, e_i \cdot \psi \rangle d\text{vol}_g = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \text{R}^A(X, e_i) \varphi, e_i \cdot \psi \rangle_U,$$

to obtain the desired identity.

Each term is well defined for C^1 -metrics and spinors but so far we only know that this identity holds for C^2 -metrics. Let $C^1(\text{Met}(M))$ denote the class of C^1 -metrics. Now, we consider each term separately as a map:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\text{R}^A} : C^1(\Sigma^c M) \times C_c^1(\Sigma^c M) \times C^1(\text{Met}(M)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\ (\varphi, \psi, g) \mapsto \langle \text{R}^A(X, e_i) \varphi, e_i \cdot \psi \rangle_U,$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\text{Ric}} : C^1(\Sigma^c M) \times C_c^1(\Sigma^c M) \times C^1(\text{Met}(M)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\ (\varphi, \psi, g) \mapsto \langle \text{Ric}(X) \cdot \varphi, \psi \rangle_U,$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\Omega} : C^1(\Sigma^c M) \times C_c^1(\Sigma^c M) \times C^1(\text{Met}(M)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\ (\varphi, \psi, g) \mapsto \int_U \langle (X \lrcorner \Omega) \cdot \varphi, \psi \rangle d\text{vol}_g.$$

By Appendix B in [Var07], \mathcal{W}_{R^A} and \mathcal{W}_{Ric} are continuous in the C^1 -topology. The proofs are given for spin manifolds but extend easily to the spin^c case. The continuity in the C^1 -topology of \mathcal{W}_{Ω} in the first two variables is obvious. A short calculation using the identification of spin^c structures with Ω explained in Section 3 shows that \mathcal{W}_{Ω} is also C^1 -continuous in the third variable. Since smooth sections are dense in the considered domains the claim follows. \square

Combining these two identities and inserting a Killing spinor generalizes Lemma 2.2 to a weak context. The statement is analogous to [Var07, Theorem 3.4.2] but we choose another way of proving as there is a problem with the double usage of the index i in [Var07, p. 43].

Lemma 5.3. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian spin^c manifold with g being C^1 , Ω being C^0 and a nontrivial Killing spinor φ with Killing number μ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Ric}_{ab}, \eta \rangle_U &= 4\mu^2(n-1) \int_U g_{ab} \eta \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2} \int_U \frac{\eta}{|\varphi|^2} \langle ((\partial_a \lrcorner \Omega) \cdot \partial_b - \partial_b \cdot (\partial_a \lrcorner \Omega)) \cdot \varphi, \varphi \rangle d\text{vol}_g \end{aligned}$$

for any $\eta \in C_c^\infty(U)$ and $1 \leq a, b \leq n$ in any local chart U with coordinate vector fields $(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$.

Proof. On Riemannian spin^c manifolds with a C^2 -metric

$$\text{Ric}(\partial_a, \partial_b) = \frac{1}{2|\varphi|^2} (\langle \text{Ric}(\partial_a) \cdot \varphi, \partial_b \cdot \varphi \rangle + \langle \partial_b \cdot \varphi, \text{Ric}(\partial_a) \cdot \varphi \rangle).$$

Using the same method as in the proof of Lemma 5.2, we conclude that for C^1 -metrics we have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} |\varphi|^2 \langle \text{Ric}_{ab}, \eta \rangle_U &= \frac{1}{2} (\langle \text{Ric}(\partial_a) \cdot \varphi, \eta \partial_b \cdot \varphi \rangle_U \\ &\quad + \langle \eta \partial_b \cdot \varphi, \text{Ric}(\partial_a) \cdot \varphi \rangle_U) \end{aligned}$$

for any test function $\eta \in C_c^\infty(U)$, spinor φ , and $1 \leq a, b \leq n$.

By Lemma 5.2, we first study how the weak spinorial curvature acts on a Killing spinor φ with Killing number μ . A short calculation shows that

$$\langle R^A(X, Y)\varphi, \psi \rangle_U = \int_U \mu^2 \langle (Y \cdot X - X \cdot Y) \cdot \varphi, \psi \rangle_U \, d\text{vol}_g,$$

for any vector fields X and Y and test spinor $\psi \in C_c^1(\Sigma^c M|_U)$.

Inserting this into Lemma 5.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \sum_{i=1}^n \langle R^A(\partial_a, e_i)\varphi, e_i \cdot \eta \partial_b \cdot \varphi \rangle_U \\ &= 2\mu^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_U \eta \langle (e_i \cdot \partial_a \cdot e_i - e_i \cdot e_i \cdot \partial_a) \cdot \varphi, \partial_b \cdot \varphi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &= 4\mu^2 \int_U \eta \langle \left(n\partial_a - \sum_{i=1}^n g(\partial_a, e_i) \right) \cdot \varphi, \varphi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &= 4\mu^2(n-1) \int_U \eta \langle \partial_a \cdot \varphi, \partial_b \cdot \varphi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Ric}(\partial_a) \cdot \varphi, \eta \partial_b \cdot \varphi \rangle_U &= 4\mu^2(n-1) \int_U \eta \langle \partial_a \cdot \varphi, \partial_b \cdot \varphi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &\quad - i \int_U \eta \langle \partial_b \cdot (\partial_a \lrcorner \Omega) \cdot \varphi, \varphi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g. \end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta \partial_b \cdot \varphi, \text{Ric}(\partial_a) \cdot \varphi \rangle_U &= 4\mu^2(n-1) \int_U \eta \langle \partial_b \cdot \varphi, \partial_a \cdot \varphi \rangle \text{dvol}_g \\ &\quad + i \int_U \eta \langle (\partial_a \lrcorner \Omega) \cdot \partial_b \cdot \varphi, \varphi \rangle \eta \text{dvol}_g. \end{aligned}$$

Since φ is a nontrivial Killing spinor it never vanishes. Thus, inserting these two identities into (5) finishes the proof. \square

Now, we switch to harmonic coordinates in which $g \mapsto \text{Ric}(g)$ is an elliptic operator of second order. Applying elliptic regularity leads to:

Theorem 5.4. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian spin^c manifold with a $C^{1,\alpha}$ -metric g , a $C^{l,\alpha}$ -curvature form Ω on P , $l \geq 0$, and a nontrivial Killing spinor φ . Then g is $C^{l+2,\alpha}$ in harmonic coordinates.*

Proof. Let (x_1, \dots, x_n) be harmonic coordinates in $U \subset M$. Then the components of the Ricci tensor are given by

$$\text{Ric}_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{rs}\partial_r\partial_s g_{ab} + Q_{ab}(g, \partial g),$$

By Lemma 5.3 g_{ab} is a weak solution of the elliptic differential equation.

$$\int_U \left(\partial_s(g_{ab})\partial_r(g^{rs}\sqrt{g}\eta) - f_{ab}\sqrt{g}\eta \right) dx = 0,$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ab} &:= -8\mu^2(n-1)g_{ab} - \frac{i}{|\varphi|^2} \langle ((\partial_a \lrcorner \Omega) \cdot \partial_b - \partial_b \cdot (\partial_a \lrcorner \Omega)) \cdot \varphi, \varphi \rangle \\ &\quad + 2Q(g, \partial g). \end{aligned}$$

Note that φ is $C^{2,\alpha}$ by Proposition 5.1. Therefore f_{ab} is $C^{0,\alpha}$ by our assumptions. By elliptic regularity (cf. [Mor66, Theorem 6.4.3]), g_{ab} is in fact $C^{2,\alpha}$ in harmonic coordinates for all $1 \leq a, b \leq n$. In particular, the Ricci tensor is now well-defined by

$$\text{Ric}_{ab} = 4\mu^2(n-1)g_{ab} + \frac{i}{2|\varphi|^2} \langle ((\partial_a \lrcorner \Omega) \cdot \partial_b - \partial_b \cdot (\partial_a \lrcorner \Omega)) \cdot \varphi, \varphi \rangle.$$

[DK81, Theorem 4.5 b)] and bootstrapping finish the proof, since in the right-hand side the best regularity that can be reached is $C^{l,\alpha}$. \square

Remark 5.5. In normal coordinates g is $C^{l,\alpha}$ by [DK81, Theorem 2.1].

6. EIGENVALUE PINCHING

Recall that Killing spinors characterize the limit case of the Friedrich spin^c inequality. Furthermore, simply connected spin^c manifolds and spin manifolds admitting nontrivial Killing spinors are completely described in [Wan89], [Wan89], [Bär93] and [Mor97]. We will combine

these two facts to obtain pinching results for Dirac eigenvalues close to the lower bound given by the Friedrich spin^c inequality (3).

The pinching results will be proven by contradiction using the convergence results of Section 4.

First, we start to pinch eigenvalues of the Friedrich Laplacian $\nabla^{\mu*} \nabla^{\mu}$. This result is the basis of the pinching results in this section.

Proposition 6.1. *Let Λ, i_0, d, K and k be given positive real numbers, μ a given real number and n a given natural number. Let (M, g) be a spin^c manifold in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K)$. For every $\delta > 0$ there exists a positive $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K, k, \mu, \delta) > 0$ such that $\lambda_k(\nabla^{\mu*} \nabla^{\mu}) < \varepsilon$ implies that (M, g) has $C^{1,\alpha}$ -distance smaller than δ to a spin^c manifold with k linearly independent Killing spinors with Killing number μ . Furthermore, g is at least $C^{2,\alpha}$ in harmonic coordinates.*

Proof. Assume the theorem to be wrong. Thus, we obtain a sequence $(M_j, g_j, P_j, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Riemannian spin^c manifolds (M_i, g_i) with principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles P_j with connection 1-forms A_j such that we have $\lambda_k(\nabla^{\mu, A_j*} \nabla^{\mu, A_j}) < \varepsilon_j$ for a vanishing sequence $(\varepsilon_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and such that each (M_j, g_j) is at least δ far to any spin^c manifold with k linearly independent Killing spinors with Killing number μ in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ -topology.

By Theorem 4.8 we obtain a subsequence $(M, g_j, P, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ for some fixed spin^c manifold M and a principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle P such that the metrics g_j and the connection 1-forms A_j converge in $C^{1,\alpha}$. Since for a fixed principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle on M there are only finitely many equivalence classes of spin^c structures we can choose a subsequence, such that all elements have the same topological spin^c structure.

For each j let $(\varphi_j^1, \dots, \varphi_j^k)$ be an L^2 -orthonormal family of eigen-spinors for the first k eigenvalues of $\nabla^{\mu, A_j*} \nabla^{\mu, A_j}$. Then for each $1 \leq l \leq k$ the sequence $\{\varphi_j^l\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an L^2 -normalized almost Killing spinor sequence converging to a Killing spinor with Killing number μ by Proposition 4.11. Taking appropriate subsequences, $\{(\varphi_j^1, \dots, \varphi_j^k)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to k linearly independent Killing spinors with Killing number μ which contradicts the assumption.

The regularity of g follows from Theorem 5.4. \square

Now, we turn our attention to the special case of spin manifolds. Recall that the existence of a nontrivial Killing spinor with Killing number μ implies that the underlying spin manifold is Einstein with Einstein constant $4\mu^2(n-1)$. Since spin manifolds are a special case of spin^c manifolds, the same conclusion holds.

Proposition 6.2. *Let Λ, i_0, d and k be given positive numbers, μ a given real number and n a given natural number. Then for any $\delta > 0$ there is a positive $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, k, \mu, \delta)$ such that any spin manifold in $\mathcal{M}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d)$ with $\lambda_k(\nabla^{\mu*} \nabla^{\mu}) < \varepsilon$ has a $C^{1,\alpha}$ -distance less than δ*

to a spin manifold (M, g) with k linearly independent Killing spinors with Killing number μ . In particular, M is an Einstein manifold.

Remark 6.3. For $\mu \neq 0$ and $k = 1$ this result is analogous to Theorem 1.6. in [AS07] albeit with a different proof strategy.

If we assume $\mu \neq 0$, then the limit manifold is compact by Myers' theorem. Furthermore, if we remove the diameter bound in $\mathcal{M}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d)$, we obtain a class of manifolds which is still precompact in the pointed $C^{1,\alpha}$ -topology. Thus, we conjecture that for $\mu \neq 0$ the above proposition should also hold without assuming a diameter bound.

It is essential to assume $\mu \neq 0$ to obtain a compact manifold in the limit. To see this let (\mathbb{S}^n, g) be the n -sphere with its standard metric on which we can find a nontrivial Killing spinor with Killing number $\frac{1}{2}$. Consider the sequence $(\mathbb{S}^n, j^2 \cdot g)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. This sequence lies in $\mathcal{M}(n, 1, \pi)$. Furthermore, $(\mathbb{S}^n, j^2 \cdot g)$ admits a nontrivial Killing spinor with Killing number $\frac{1}{2j}$. Then this sequence converges to \mathbb{R}^n with the standard metric in the pointed $C^{1,\alpha}$ -topology. In particular, \mathbb{S}^n is not diffeomorphic to the limit space.

In the case $\mu = 0$, we combine Proposition 6.1 with the results for simply-connected spin^c and spin manifolds with parallel spinors in [Mor97] and [Wan89].

Theorem 6.4. *Let n, Λ, i_0, d , and K be given positive numbers. For every $\delta > 0$ there exists a positive $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K, \delta)$ such that any irreducible simply-connected spin^c manifold in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K)$ with $\lambda_2(\nabla^A \cdot \nabla^A) < \varepsilon$ has a $C^{1,\alpha}$ -distance less than δ to a Ricci-flat Kähler spin manifold with two nontrivial parallel spinors.*

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ be given. Applying Proposition 6.1 with $\mu = 0$ and $k = 2$ we obtain an $\varepsilon(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K, \delta)$ such that any irreducible simply-connected spin^c manifold satisfying the assumptions of the theorem with this ε has $C^{1,\alpha}$ -distance less than δ to an irreducible simply-connected Riemannian manifold (M, g) with two linearly independent parallel spinors.

Since g is at least $C^{2,\alpha}$ in harmonic coordinates, we can apply [Mor97, Theorem 3.1] and obtain that (M, g) is a spin manifold with two linearly independent parallel spinors. Thus, it is Ricci-flat and by the main result in [Wan89] it is also Kähler. \square

Applying the Schrödinger-Lichnerowicz formula, we obtain as a corollary a similar result for small Dirac eigenvalues for simply-connected spin^c manifolds with nearly non-negative scalar curvature. In addition, the theorem gives a lower bound on the second Laplace eigenvalue for compact irreducible simply-connected spin^c manifolds which are not spin. In particular, since Kähler manifolds are even dimensional this shows that compact irreducible simply-connected spin^c manifolds

of odd dimension cannot have two arbitrary small spinorial Laplace eigenvalues.

If we consider only spin manifolds we can state a similar result for non-simply-connected spin manifolds using [Wan95, Theorem 1].

Theorem 6.5. *Let n , Λ , i_0 , and d be given positive numbers. Then for any positive δ , there exists a positive $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, \delta)$ such that any non-simply-connected locally irreducible spin manifold (M, g) in $\mathcal{M}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d)$ with $\lambda_2(\nabla^*\nabla) \leq \varepsilon$ has a $C^{1,\alpha}$ -distance less than δ to some Ricci-flat Kähler spin manifold with two nontrivial parallel spinors.*

Proof. Proposition 6.2 with $\mu = 0$ and $k = 2$ already gives us a suitable ε and shows that the limit manifold is non-simply-connected and admits two nontrivial parallel spinors. Thus, applying [Wan95, Theorem 1] finishes the proof. \square

Again we obtain corollaries for Dirac eigenvalues and a lower bound for the second eigenvalue if the spin manifold is not diffeomorphic to a Ricci-flat Kähler manifold with two nontrivial parallel spinors. This is, in particular, the case for all odd dimensional manifolds.

Next, we pinch Dirac eigenvalues and consider the description of simply-connected spin^c and spin manifolds with real Killing spinors in [Mor97] and [Bär93]. Thus, we reformulate Proposition 6.1 for Dirac eigenvalues. This can be done by using the modified Schrödinger-Lichnerowicz formula of Lemma 2.5. For brevity we set

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^+ &:= [0, n\mu + \varepsilon] \cap \sigma(D^A), \\ I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^- &:= [-n\mu - \varepsilon, 0] \cap \sigma(D^A), \end{aligned}$$

for any positive numbers ε and μ . In addition, we define the notion of μ -Killing type.

Definition 6.6 (μ -Killing type). A spin^c manifold (M, g) is of μ -Killing type (p, q) for some positive μ if M admits p linearly independent Killing spinors with Killing number μ and q linearly independent Killing spinors with Killing number $-\mu$.

Proposition 6.7. *Let n , Λ , i_0 , d , K , μ , k_+ , and k_- be given positive numbers. Let (M, g) be a spin^c manifold in $\mathcal{M}^{\text{S}^1}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K)$. For every $\delta > 0$ there exists an $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K, \mu, k_+, k_-, \delta) > 0$ such that $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^+| \geq k_+$, $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^-| \geq k_-$ and $\inf_M(\text{Scal} - c_n|\Omega|_g) \geq 4\mu^2n(n-1) - \varepsilon$ imply that (M, g) has $C^{1,\alpha}$ -distance less than δ to some spin^c manifold of μ -Killing type (k_-, k_+) whose metric is at least $C^{2,\alpha}$ in harmonic coordinates.*

Proof. Assume that the theorem does not hold. Hence, we obtain, similarly to the proof of Proposition 6.1, a subsequence $(M, g_j, P, A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging in $C^{1,\alpha}$ such that $\inf_M(\text{Scal}_j - c_n|\Omega_j|_{g_j}) \geq 4\mu^2n(n-1) - \varepsilon_j$ for

a vanishing sequence $(\varepsilon_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. In addition, $|I_{\varepsilon_j, \mu}^+| \geq k_+$ and $|I_{\varepsilon_j, \mu}^-| \geq k_-$ for each j and each (M_j, g_j) has a $C^{1, \alpha}$ -distance more than δ to any spin^c manifold of μ -Killing type (k_-, k_+) .

Let $(\varphi_j^1, \dots, \varphi_j^{k_- + k_+})$ be an L^2 -orthonormal family of corresponding eigenspinors on (M, g_j) . We show that each $(\varphi_j^k)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an L^2 -normalized almost Killing spinor sequence for μ if λ_k is negative and $-\mu$ else. We consider only the case $\lambda_k(D^{A_j}) < 0$ since the other case works similarly. By using the modified Schrödinger-Lichnerowicz formula of Lemma 2.5 we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla^{\mu, A_j} \varphi_j^k\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)}^2 \\
&= \langle \nabla^{\mu, A_j} \varphi_j^k, \nabla^{\mu, A_j} \varphi_j^k \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)} \\
&= \langle (D^{A_j} - \mu)^2 \varphi_j^k - \frac{1}{4}(\text{Scal}_j + 2i\Omega_j)\varphi_j^k + \mu^2(n-1)\varphi_j^k, \varphi_j^k \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)} \\
&\leq \sup_{(M, g_j)} \left((\lambda_k(D^{A_j}) - \mu)^2 - \mu^2 n(n-1) + \mu^2(n-1) + \frac{\varepsilon_j}{4} \right) \|\varphi_j^k\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)}^2 \\
&\leq \left((n\mu + \varepsilon_j - \mu)^2 - \mu^2(n-1)^2 + \frac{\varepsilon_j}{4} \right) \|\varphi_j^k\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)}^2 \\
&= \left(\varepsilon_j^2 - 2\mu(n-1)\varepsilon_j + \mu^2(n-1)^2 - \mu^2(n-1)^2 + \frac{\varepsilon_j}{4} \right) \|\varphi_j^k\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)}^2 \\
&\leq O(\varepsilon_j) \|\varphi_j^k\|_{L^2(\Sigma_j^c M)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\varphi_j^k)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an L^2 -normalized almost Killing spinor sequence and Proposition 4.11 applies. After we choose appropriate subsequences the family $\left((\varphi_j^1, \dots, \varphi_j^{k_- + k_+}) \right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to k_+ linearly independent Killing spinors with Killing number $-\mu$ and k_- linearly independent Killing spinors with Killing number μ . This contradicts the assumption and the claim follows. \square

Proposition 6.8. *Let $n, \Lambda, i_0, d, \mu, k_+$, and k_- be given positive numbers. For every δ there exists a positive $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, \mu, k_+, k_-, \delta)$ such that any spin manifold $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d)$ with $|I_{\varepsilon, \mu}^+| \geq k_+$, $|I_{\varepsilon, \mu}^-| \geq k_-$ and $\min_{(M, g)} \text{Scal} \geq 4\mu^2 n(n-1) - \varepsilon$ is at most δ far from a spin manifold of μ -Killing type (k_-, k_+) in the $C^{1, \alpha}$ -norm. \square*

Combining Proposition 6.7 and Corollary 4.2 in [Mor97], we obtain the following pinching result.

Theorem 6.9. *Let n, Λ, i_0, d, K , and μ be given positive numbers. Then for every $\delta > 0$ there exists an $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K, \mu, \delta) > 0$ such that any simply-connected spin^c manifold $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{S}^1}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K)$ with $\inf_{(M, g)} (\text{Scal} - c_n |\Omega|_g) \geq 4\mu^2 n(n-1) - \varepsilon$ and*

- i) $|I_{\varepsilon, \mu}^+ \cup I_{\varepsilon, \mu}^-| \geq 1$ if n is even,
- ii) $|I_{\varepsilon, \mu}^+ \cup I_{\varepsilon, \mu}^-| \geq 2$ if n is odd,

admits a $C^{1,\alpha}$ -distance at most δ to a spin manifold with one resp. two Killing spinors with Killing number $\pm\mu$.

Proof. Fix a positive δ . We apply Proposition 6.7 with $k_+ + k_- = 1$ (resp. 2) and obtain a positive ε such that the limit manifold (M, \tilde{g}) has 1 resp. 2 real Killing spinors. Then [Mor97, Corolary 4.2] implies that this has to be a spin manifold. \square

This theorem immediately proves the existence of a lower bound on the first, resp. second small Dirac eigenvalue, in the sense that it is close to the Friedrich bound, for any simply-connected spin^c manifold which is not spin.

Combining this theorem with the geometric description of spin manifolds with real Killing spinors in [Bär93], we generalize Theorem 5.12 in [Var07] to simply-connected spin^c manifolds.

Theorem 6.10. *Let n, Λ, i_0, d, K and μ be given positive numbers. Then for every $\delta > 0$ there is an $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K, \mu, \delta) > 0$ such that any simply-connected spin^c manifold $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{S}^1}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, K)$ with $\inf_{(M,g)}(\text{Scal} - c_n|\Omega|_g) \geq 4\mu^2n(n-1) - \varepsilon$ and*

- i) $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^+ \cup I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^-| \geq 1$, if n is even and $n \neq 6$,
- ii) $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^+| \geq 2$ or $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^-| \geq 2$, if $n = 6$ or $n = 1 \pmod{4}$,
- iii) $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^+| \geq \frac{n+9}{4}$ or $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^-| \geq \frac{n+9}{4}$ or $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^+| \geq 1$, $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^-| \geq 1$, if $n = 3 \pmod{4}$,

has $C^{1,\alpha}$ -distance less than δ to the sphere of constant sectional curvature $\text{sec} = 4\mu^2$.

Proof. Fix a positive δ . By Proposition 6.7 we obtain a positive ε such that (M, g) is δ -close to a spin^c manifold (M, \tilde{g}) which is of the respective μ -Killing type in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ -topology.

With Corollary 4.2 of [Mor97], it follows that (M, \tilde{g}) is in fact a spin manifold of the respective μ -Killing type. Then by [Bär93, Theorems 1 to 5] (M, \tilde{g}) has to be the sphere with $\text{sec} = 4\mu^2$. \square

As before, we find a lower bound on the Dirac eigenvalues for all simply-connected spin^c manifolds not diffeomorphic to the sphere.

Recall that a spin manifold M admitting at least one nontrivial real Killing spinor is positive Einstein. Thus, M and its universal covering are compact by Myers' theorem. Applying this fact, we reformulate [Var07, Theorem 5.4.1] to all spin manifolds and all Killing numbers μ .

Theorem 6.11. *Let n, Λ, i_0, d , and μ be given positive numbers. Then for every $\delta > 0$ there exists an $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda, i_0, d, \mu, \delta) > 0$ such that any spin manifold $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d)$ satisfying $\min_{(M,g)}(\text{Scal}) \geq 4\mu^2n(n-1) - \varepsilon$ and*

- i) $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^+ \cup I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^-| \geq 1$, if n is even and $n \neq 6$,
- ii) $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^+| \geq 2$ or $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^-| \geq 2$, if $n = 6$ or $n = 1 \pmod{4}$,

iii) $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^+| \geq \frac{n+9}{4}$ or $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^-| \geq \frac{n+9}{4}$ or $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^+| \geq 1$, $|I_{\varepsilon,\mu}^-| \geq 1$, if $n = 3 \pmod{4}$,

has $C^{1,\alpha}$ -distance less than δ to a manifold of constant sectional curvature $\text{sec} = 4\mu^2$.

Proof. Fix a positive δ . Then by Corollary 6.8 there is a positive ε such that any spin manifold (M, g) is δ -close to a spin manifold (M, \bar{g}) of the respective μ -Killing type in the $C^{1,\alpha}$ -topology. Since \bar{g} is a positive Einstein metric, the universal covering manifold \tilde{M} is compact and has at least the same μ -Killing type as (M, \bar{g}) . Then [Bär93, Theorems 1 to 5] applied to \tilde{M} finishes the proof. \square

7. THE FIRST NONTRIVIAL KILLING NUMBER

As another interesting application of the methods used in Proposition 6.1 we obtain a lower bound on the absolute value of non-vanishing Killing numbers of spin manifolds in $\mathcal{M}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d)$. This is achieved by using [DWW05, Theorem 3.1] which states that if a compact simply-connected spin manifold (M, g) admits a parallel spinor, there is a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of g in the space of smooth Riemannian metrics on M such that there exists no metric of positive scalar curvature in \mathcal{U} .

Theorem 7.1. *For given positive number n , Λ , i_0 , and d there is a positive $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda, i_0, d)$ such that any spin manifold (M, g) in $\mathcal{M}(n, \Lambda, i_0, d)$ with a real Killing spinor with Killing number $|\mu| \leq \varepsilon$ has in fact a nontrivial parallel spinor.*

Proof. By assuming the theorem to be wrong we obtain a sequence of spin manifolds (M_j, g_j) each of them admitting an L^2 -normalized Killing spinor φ_j with Killing number $0 < |\mu_j| \leq \varepsilon_j$ for a vanishing sequence $(\varepsilon_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$.

This sequence consists only of positive Einstein manifolds. Hence, there is a subsequence (M, g_j) such that the sequence $(g_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a metric g in the C^∞ -topology by Theorem 4.6.

Denote by (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}_j) the universal covering of (M, g_j) for each j . Since for each j , (M, g_j) is a positive Einstein manifold, \tilde{M} is compact. Note that $(\tilde{g}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in the C^∞ -topology as $(g_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ does so. Furthermore, each (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}_j) admits an L^2 -normalized Killing spinor with Killing number $0 < |\mu_j| \leq \varepsilon_j$.

As φ_j is a Killing spinor with Killing number μ_j it follows that $\nabla^{j*} \nabla^j \varphi_j = \mu_j^2 n \varphi_j$. Thus, $(\varphi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an L^2 -normalized almost Killing spinor sequence for $\mu = 0$. Therefore, (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}_j) converges in C^∞ to a spin manifold (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) with a nontrivial parallel spinor.

By [DWW05, Theorem 3.1] there is an open neighborhood \mathcal{U} around \tilde{g} in the space of smooth Riemannian metrics on \tilde{M} which contains no metric of positive scalar curvature. But since $(\tilde{g}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in the

C^∞ -topology to \tilde{g} there is an $J > 0$ such that $\tilde{g}_j \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $j > J$. This contradicts the assumption on \mathcal{U} since the sequence $(\tilde{g}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ consists only of metrics of positive scalar curvature. \square

REFERENCES

- [And90] Michael T. Anderson, *Convergence and rigidity of manifolds under Ricci curvature bounds*, Invent. Math. **102** (1990), no. 2, 429–445.
- [AS07] Bernd Ammann and Chad Sprouse, *Manifolds with small Dirac eigenvalues are nilmanifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **31** (2007), no. 4, 409–425.
- [Bär93] Christian Bär, *Real Killing spinors and holonomy*, Comm. Math. Phys. **154** (1993), no. 3, 509–521.
- [Bes08] Arthur L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008, Reprint of the 1987 edition.
- [BFGK91] Helga Baum, Thomas Friedrich, Ralf Grunewald, and Ines Kath, *Twistors and Killing spinors on Riemannian manifolds*, Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik [Teubner Texts in Mathematics], vol. 124, B. G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Stuttgart, 1991, With German, French and Russian summaries.
- [BG92] Jean-Pierre Bourguignon and Paul Gauduchon, *Spineurs, opérateurs de Dirac et variations de métriques*, Comm. Math. Phys. **144** (1992), no. 3, 581–599.
- [BHM⁺15] Jean-Pierre Bourguignon, Oussama Hijazi, Jean-Louis Milhorat, Andrei Moroianu, and Sergiu Moroianu, *A spinorial approach to Riemannian and conformal geometry*, EMS Monographs in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2015. MR 3410545
- [Bla10] David E. Blair, *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, second ed., Progress in Mathematics, vol. 203, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2010.
- [Bry08] Jean-Luc Brylinski, *Loop spaces, characteristic classes and geometric quantization*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008, Reprint of the 1993 edition.
- [DK81] Dennis M. DeTurck and Jerry L. Kazdan, *Some regularity theorems in Riemannian geometry*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **14** (1981), no. 3, 249–260.
- [DWW05] Xianzhe Dai, Xiaodong Wadmittingng, and Guofang Wei, *On the stability of Riemannian manifold with parallel spinors*, Invent. Math. **161** (2005), no. 1, 151–176.
- [FG85] Thomas Friedrich and Ralf Grunewald, *On the first eigenvalue of the Dirac operator on 6-dimensional manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **3** (1985), no. 3, 265–273.
- [FK88] Thomas Friedrich and Ines Kath, *Variétés riemanniennes compactes de dimension 7 admettant des spineurs de Killing*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **307** (1988), no. 19, 967–969.
- [FK89] Th. Friedrich and I. Kath, *Einstein manifolds of dimension five with small first eigenvalue of the Dirac operator*, J. Differential Geom. **29** (1989), no. 2, 263–279.
- [FK90a] ———, *Compact 5-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with parallel spinors*, Math. Nachr. **147** (1990), 161–165.

- [FK90b] Thomas Friedrich and Ines Kath, *7-dimensional compact Riemannian manifolds with Killing spinors*, Comm. Math. Phys. **133** (1990), no. 3, 543–561.
- [Fri80] Th. Friedrich, *Der erste Eigenwert des Dirac-Operators einer kompakten, Riemannschen Mannigfaltigkeit nichtnegativer Skalarkrümmung*, Math. Nachr. **97** (1980), 117–146.
- [Fri81a] ———, *Zur Existenz paralleler Spinorfelder über Riemannschen Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Colloq. Math. **44** (1981), no. 2, 277–290 (1982). MR 652586
- [Fri81b] Thomas Friedrich, *A remark on the first eigenvalue of the Dirac operator on 4-dimensional manifolds*, Math. Nachr. **102** (1981), 53–56.
- [Fri00] ———, *Dirac operators in Riemannian geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 25, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000, Translated from the 1997 German original by Andreas Nestke.
- [GGK02] Victor Guillemin, Viktor Ginzburg, and Yael Karshon, *Moment maps, cobordisms, and Hamiltonian group actions*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 98, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002, Appendix J by Maxim Braverman.
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [Hij86] Oussama Hijazi, *Caractérisation de la sphère par les premières valeurs propres de l'opérateur de Dirac en dimensions 3,4, 7 et 8*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **303** (1986), no. 9, 417–419.
- [Hit74] Nigel Hitchin, *Harmonic spinors*, Advances in Math. **14** (1974), 1–55.
- [HM99] Marc Herzlich and Andrei Moroianu, *Generalized Killing spinors and conformal eigenvalue estimates for Spin^c manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **17** (1999), no. 4, 341–370.
- [LM89] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. and Marie-Louise Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 38, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
- [Mor66] Charles B. Morrey, Jr., *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 130, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [Mor97] Andrei Moroianu, *Parallel and Killing spinors on Spin^c manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **187** (1997), no. 2, 417–427.
- [PS99] Peter Petersen and Chadwick Sprouse, *Eigenvalue pinching for Riemannian vector bundles*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **511** (1999), 73–86.
- [Var07] Andrés Vargas Domínguez, *Manifolds with Killing spinors and pinching of first Dirac eigenvalues*, Ph.D. thesis, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, February 2007, <http://hss.ulb.uni-bonn.de/2007/1001/1001.htm>.
- [Wan89] McKenzie Y. Wang, *Parallel spinors and parallel forms*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **7** (1989), no. 1, 59–68.
- [Wan95] ———, *On non-simply connected manifolds with non-trivial parallel spinors*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **13** (1995), no. 1, 31–42.
- [Wei58] André Weil, *Introduction à l'étude des variétés kählériennes*, Publications de l'Institut de Mathématique de l'Université de Nancago, VI. Actualités Sci. Ind. no. 1267, Hermann, Paris, 1958.