Accretion disc-corona and jet emission from the radio-loud Narrow Line Seyfert 1 galaxy RXJ1633.3+4719
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1 INTRODUCTION

Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) are the most luminous steady objects in the universe with power output ranging from $10^{45} - 10^{47}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The spectra of AGN generally consist of the following components: a big blue bump, a primary X-ray continuum with a high energy cut-off, a soft X-ray excess, blurred reflection and absorption (neutral or ionized). The big blue bump is thought to arise from the accretion disc. The thermal emission from the standard accretion disc blackbody ($kT_{bb} = 39.6^{+11.2}_{-5.5}$ eV) and a power-law due to the thermal Comptonization ($\Gamma = 1.96^{+0.24}_{-0.31}$) of the disc emission. The disc temperature inferred from the soft excess is at least a factor of two lower than that found for the canonical soft excess emission from radio-quiet NLS1s. The UV spectrum is described by a power-law with photon index $3.05^{+0.56}_{-0.33}$. The observed UV emission is too strong to arise from the accretion disc or the host galaxy, but can be attributed to a jet. The X-ray emission from RXJ1633.3+4719 is variable with fractional variability amplitude $F_{\text{var}} = 13.5 \pm 1.0\%$. In contrast to radio-quiet AGN, X-ray emission from the source becomes harder with increasing flux. The fractional RMS variability increases with energy and the RMS spectrum is well described by a constant disc component and a variable power-law continuum with the normalization and photon index being anti-correlated. Such spectral variability cannot be caused by variations in the absorption and must be intrinsic to the hot corona. Our finding of possible evidence for emission from the inner accretion disc, jet and hot corona from RXJ1633.3+4719 in the optical to X-ray bands makes this object an ideal target to probe the disc-jet connection in AGN.
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ABSTRACT

We perform X-ray/UV spectral and X-ray variability studies of the radio-loud Narrow Line Seyfert 1 (NLS1) galaxy RXJ1633.3+4719 using XMM-Newton and Suzaku observations from 2011 and 2012. The 0.3–10 keV spectra consist of an ultra-soft component described by an accretion disc blackbody ($kT_{bb} = 39.6^{+11.2}_{-5.5}$ eV) and a power-law due to the thermal Comptonization ($\Gamma = 1.96^{+0.24}_{-0.31}$) of the disc emission. The disc temperature inferred from the soft excess is at least a factor of two lower than that found for the canonical soft excess emission from radio-quiet NLS1s. The UV spectrum is described by a power-law with photon index $3.05^{+0.56}_{-0.33}$. The observed UV emission is too strong to arise from the accretion disc or the host galaxy, but can be attributed to a jet. The X-ray emission from RXJ1633.3+4719 is variable with fractional variability amplitude $F_{\text{var}} = 13.5 \pm 1.0\%$. In contrast to radio-quiet AGN, X-ray emission from the source becomes harder with increasing flux. The fractional RMS variability increases with energy and the RMS spectrum is well described by a constant disc component and a variable power-law continuum with the normalization and photon index being anti-correlated. Such spectral variability cannot be caused by variations in the absorption and must be intrinsic to the hot corona. Our finding of possible evidence for emission from the inner accretion disc, jet and hot corona from RXJ1633.3+4719 in the optical to X-ray bands makes this object an ideal target to probe the disc-jet connection in AGN.
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cess emission over the power-law continuum below ~ 2 keV. The origin of this soft excess (~ 0.1 – 2 keV) is not clear. It may arise due to the thermal Comptonization of the disc photons in a low temperature optically thick medium (Magdziarz et al. 1998; Dewangan et al. 2007; Done et al. 2012) or blurred reflection from the ionized accretion disc (Fabian et al. 2002; Crummy et al. 2006; Garcia et al. 2014). The broad iron Kα line (~ 4 – 7 keV) and a Compton reflection hump (~ 20 – 40 keV) are the main ingredients of the blurred reflection component. The nuclear emission is generally affected by neutral or ionized absorbers that may cover the nuclear source fully or partially and may be outflowing, such as the winds from the accretion disc or ‘pc-scale torus’ (Antonucci 1993).

Narrow-Line Seyfert 1 (NLS1s) galaxies are a subsample of Seyfert 1 galaxies with relatively narrow Balmer lines FWHM(Hβ) < 2000 km s⁻¹ (Osterbrok & Pogge 1985; Goodrich 1989), strong permitted optical/UV Fe II emission lines (Boroson & Green 1992; Grupe et al. 1999; Vérón-Cetty et al. 2001), weaker [OIII] emission λ5007 < 3 (Osterbrok & Pogge 1985; Goodrich 1989), steep soft X-ray spectra (Wang et al. 1996; Boyle et al. 1996; Grupe et al. 1998), rapid X-ray variability (Leighly 1999; Komossa & Meurerschen 2000), and smaller black hole masses (Zhou et al. 2006; Yuan et al. 2008) which partially accounts for their high Eddington ratios REd = Lbol/Edd ≲ 1 (Boroson 2002; Collin & Kawaguchi 2004). By virtue of their smaller black hole masses and high accretion rates, NLS1s are useful laboratories of an earlier stage of black hole mass build-up in AGN, also corroborated by the higher incidence of ‘pseudo-bulges’ in their host galaxies (Orban de Xivry et al. 2011).

In the spectral energy distributions (SED) of AGN ranging from radio to gamma rays, the disc emission usually peaks in the optical/UV regime. Radio-loud AGN generally exhibit collimated jets, sometimes dominating the emission from the disc/corona. The fundamental plane of black hole activity suggests that there exists a correlation between jet power, disc power and black hole mass (Merloni et al. 2003). Therefore physical processes governing the disc-accretion and the jet launching mechanism are universal across mass scales. Although there is a phenomenological understanding of the disc-jet paradigm in black-hole X-ray binaries, the disc-jet connection is not well established for AGN. Despite many efforts to understand radiative processes in large scale radio jets (Morganti et al. 2013; Dörr et al. 2013; Paliya et al. 2015; Schulz et al. 2015), the role of the innermost disc/corona region for the ejection of jets is not clearly understood in AGN. The aim of this work is to disentangle the disc/corona and jet emission and characterise the accretion disc emission by modelling the multiband (optical/UV and X-ray) data. The radio-loud Narrow Line Seyfert 1 (RLNLS1) galaxies are similar to the radio-quiet NLS1s except for their radio-loudness and are useful laboratories to study the formation of jets and their connection with the disc/corona.

RXJ1633.3+4719 is a flat spectrum radio-loud AGN at redshift z = 0.116 with radio-loudness parameter R5 ≡ fn(5 GHz)/fν(4400Å) > 100 and is optically classified as a NLS1 galaxy having FWHM(Hβ) < 1000 km s⁻¹ (Zhou et al. 2006). This AGN exhibits a relativistic jet aligned close to the line of sight (Yuan et al. 2008). In this paper, we analyse archival XMM-Newton (UV to hard X-ray), Suzaku (0.7–10 keV) data and study the spectral properties of the source, including the effect of partial covering absorption on the continuum as well as the disc/corona and jet emission. We apply different model-independent methods, including ‘flux-flux plot’, ‘hardness-flux plot’ and ‘RMS spectrum’ to study the X-ray variability in the source.

We describe the XMM-Newton and Suzaku observations and data reduction in Section 2. In Section 3, we present spectral fitting. In Section 4, we present timing analysis including the modelling of fractional RMS spectra. Finally, we discuss our results in Section 5. Throughout the paper, the cosmological parameters H₀ = 73 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹, Ω₀m = 0.27, Ω₀Λ = 0.73 are adopted. We have used the following convention to define power-law photon index: fE ∝ E⁻Γ, where fE is the photon number flux density.

2 OBSERVATION AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1 XMM-Newton Observations

RXJ1633.3+4719 was observed four times with the XMM-Newton telescope (Jansen et al. 2001). The European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC-pn) (Strüder et al. 2001) was operated in the large window mode using the thin filter during all the observations. The first two observations were performed in 2011 (Obs. ID 0673270101 and 0673270201) with good exposure times of 20.13 ks and 22.77 ks, respectively. The next two observations were performed in 2012 (Obs. ID 0673270301 and 0673270401) with net exposure times of 15.14 ks and 10.96 ks, respectively. The data sets were processed with the Scientific Analysis System (SAS v.14.0.0) and the most recent (as of 2014-12-25) calibration files. We have used unflagged single and double pixel events with PATTERN ~ 0 – 4 to filter the processed pn events.

To exclude soft proton background flaring, we used filters on time by creating a GTI (Good Time Interval) file above 10 keV for the full field with RATE < 2.0 Counts s⁻¹ using the task TABTIGEN. Then we extracted source spectra and light curves from filtered EPIC-pn event files with a source extraction radius of 25 arcsec. Similarly we extracted background spectra and light curves from nearby source free circular regions with radius of 50 arcsec. The source and background light curves for different energy bands and bin times were extracted using XSELECT V.2.4 c. The cleaned event files. We produced background subtracted light curves using the FTOOLS task LCMATH. We generated the RMF (Redistribution Matrix File) and ARF (Ancillary region File) for each EPIC-pn spectral data with the SAS tasks RMFGEN and ARFGEN, respectively. Finally, we grouped the spectra using the GRPPHA tool so that we had a minimum of 100 counts per energy channel.

The Optical Monitor (OM) was operated in the imaging mode using optical/UV (UVW2, UVW1, U, B, V) filters in four observations. We processed the OM data with the SAS task OMCHAIN. We identified the source in the sky aligned images in each filter. Then we examined the combined source list with the FTOOLS task LCV to find the RA,
We analysed the spectra of RXJ1633.3+4719 obtained from the XMM-Newton and Suzaku observations using XSPEC v.12.8.2 (Arnaud 1996). We used the $\chi^2$ statistic and quote the errors at the 90% confidence limit for a single parameter corresponding to $\Delta \chi^2 = 2.704$. 

3 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

We analysed the spectra of RXJ1633.3+4719 obtained from the XMM-Newton and Suzaku observations using XSPEC v.12.8.2 (Arnaud 1996). We used the $\chi^2$ statistic and quote the errors at the 90% confidence limit for a single parameter corresponding to $\Delta \chi^2 = 2.704$. 

### 3.1 Suzaku XIS 0+3 spectra

We analysed Suzaku 0.7–10 keV XIS 0+3 spectral data. Initially, we modelled the spectra with a continuum ($zpowerlw$) modified by the Galactic absorption over the energy range 0.7–10 keV. We fixed the Galactic column density at $N_H = 1.71 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Kalberla et al. 2005). This model provided an unacceptable fit with $\chi^2=143.4$ for 61 degrees of freedom (d.o.f) for Obs. ID 706027010. The deviations of the observed data from the $zpowerlw$ model are shown in Figure 1 (Left). We noticed some curvature in the energy range 1–5 keV, which may be caused by partial covering absorption (PCA). We multiplied a neutral PCA component $zpcfabs$ with the $zpowerlw$ model, which improved the fit from $\chi^2$/d.o.f = 143.4/61 to $\chi^2$/d.o.f = 64/59 ($\Delta \chi^2=-79.4$ for two additional parameters). To test whether the absorption is ionized, we replaced $zpcfabs$ by an ionized PCA component $zxipecf$ (Reeves et al. 2008). This improved the fit from $\chi^2$/d.o.f = 143.4/61 to $\chi^2$/d.o.f = 61/58 ($\Delta \chi^2=-82.4$ for three additional parameters). Thus the ionized absorber model provided marginal improvement over the neutral absorption model. As we do not have the data below 0.7 keV, we were not able to investigate possible presence of a soft X-ray excess component. Our final model in the 0.7–10 keV band $T_{bb} \times zxipecf \times zpowerlw$ applied to the four observations resulted in $\chi^2$/d.o.f = 61.8/58, 62.8/51, 78.4/77, 55.5/54 for Obs. ID 706027010, 706027020, 706027030, 706027040, respectively. The best-fitting model parameters are listed in Table 2. We also performed a joint fit to the four XIS 0+3 spectral datasets which resulted in $\chi^2$/d.o.f=267.5/248. The XIS 0+3 spectral datasets, the best-fitting model and the deviations of the observed data from the best-fitting model are shown in Fig. 1 (Right).

### 3.2 XMM-Newton EPIC-pn/OM spectra

We performed the EPIC-pn spectral analysis by fitting with a continuum ($zpowerlw$) modified by the Galactic absorption over the energy range 0.3–10 keV. This resulted in a statistically poor fit ($\chi^2$/d.o.f=283.5/109 for Obs. ID 0673270101). The deviations of the observed data from the $zpowerlw$ model are shown in Figure 2 (Left). We noticed a surplus of emission below 0.5 keV, slight spectral curvature in the 1–5 keV band and an absorption feature ~0.7 keV for Obs. ID 0673270401 (Fig. 2; Left). Therefore we used the $zxipecf$ model (Reeves et al. 2008) to account for the spectral curvature and the deficit of emission near ~0.7 keV. This improved the fit to $\chi^2$/d.o.f=127.0/106 ($\Delta \chi^2=-156.5$ for two additional parameters). Addition of a blackbody component ($zbbbody$) further improved the fit to $\chi^2$/d.o.f=110/104 ($\Delta \chi^2=-17$ for two additional parameters) with the temperature $kT_{bb} = 26.1^{+1.3}_{-0.1}$ eV which is in agreement with that found using ROSAT PSPC data by Yuan et al. (2010). This blackbody temperature is a factor of ~ 4 lower than the temperature of the soft X-ray excess ($kT \sim 100 - 200$ eV) found for radio-quiet NLS1s and quasars with a large range of black hole masses (Czerny et al. 2003; Gierliński & Done 2004; Crummt et al. 2006; Dewangan et al. 2007). While the temperature of the strong soft X-ray excess emission from NLS1 and quasars is too high to arise from a standard disc, the blackbody temperature of ~ 26 eV for RXJ1633.3+4719 is entirely consistent with the expectations from an accretion disc.

To describe the excess emission we replaced \( zpowerlw \) with a partially/fully covering, weakly ionized absorber along the line of sight. The circles, squares, triangles and crosses indicate spectral data for Obs. ID 0673270101, 0673270201, 0673270301 and 0673270401, respectively.

Figure 2. Left: Four XMM-Newton/EPIC-pn spectral data, the \( zpowerlw \) model (\( \Gamma = 1.68 \)) modified by the Galactic absorption and the ratio of data to model. Right: Four EPIC-pn spectral datasets, the joint best-fitting model and the data-to-model ratio. The best-fitting model consists of a simple power-law modified by a partially covering, weakly ionized absorber along the line of sight. The circles, squares, triangles and crosses represent spectral data for Obs. ID 0673270101, 0673270201, 0673270301 and 0673270401, respectively.

The power-law emission is believed to be produced by the thermal Comptonization of soft disc photons in a hot corona with electron temperature \( kT_e \sim 100 \) keV. Therefore, we replaced \( zpowerlw \) model by the thermal Comptonization model \( nthcomp \) (Zdziarski, Johnson & Magdziarz 1996; Zycki, Done & Smith 1999). To describe the excess emission below 0.5 keV, we have used the model \( diskpn \) which provides soft seed photons for inverse Compton scattering in the hot electron corona (Gierliński et al. 1999). We fixed the inner disc radius at \( r_{in} = 6r_g \) (\( r_g = GM/c^2 \)). We tied the temperature of the seed photons in \( nthcomp \) with the maximum temperature of the disc in the \( diskpn \) component. The electron temperature of the thermal plasma was fixed at 100 keV. The \( diskpn \) normalization is defined as: \( n_{diskpn} = \frac{\alpha_{diskpn}}{\alpha_{nthcomp}} \). We adopted SMBH mass \( \sim 3 \times 10^6 M_\odot \) (Yuan et al. 2008, 2010), disc inclination angle \( \lesssim 10^\circ \) (Yuan et al. 2010) and color-to-effective temperature ratio \( \beta = 2 \) (Ross et al. 1992; Shimura & Takahara 1995). Therefore we fixed the \( diskpn \) normalization at 2.06. We also explored the possibility with \( \beta = 1 \) (see e.g. Vasudevan & Fabian 2009). The best-fitting disc blackbody temperature is found to be 41.6\(^{+2.5}_{-2.1}\) eV and 31.8\(^{+1.4}_{-1.6}\) eV for \( \beta = 2 \) and 1, respectively.

The best-fitting values of the \( nthcomp \) photon index, absorption column density and covering fraction are \( \Gamma = 2.09^{+0.10}_{-0.08} \), \( N_H = 4.4^{+1.4}_{-1.0} \times 10^{21} \) cm\(^{-2} \) and \( C_f(\%)=67.6^{+5.4}_{-6.4} \), respectively. The best-fitting model \( T\beta_{abs} \times xzpcf(diskpn+nthcomp) \) in the 0.3–10 keV energy band applied to the four XMM-Newton/EPIC-pn observations resulted in \( \chi^2/d.o.f=113.53/105, 126.79/120, 77.98/93, 53.14/52 \) for Obs. ID 0673270101, 0673270201, 0673270301, 0673270401, respectively. We also performed a joint fit to the four EPIC-pn spectral datasets which resulted in \( \chi^2/d.o.f=407/381 \). The EPIC-pn spectral datasets, the best-
Table 2. The best-fitting spectral model parameters for Suzaku observations (0.7–10 keV) of RXJ1633.3+4719. The best-fitting model in the 0.7–10 keV band consists of a power-law component (zpowerlv) and an ionized absorption model (zxipcf). TBars is used for the Galactic absorption. The notation ‘(f)’ indicates that the parameter value was frozen. a Normalization in units of photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$ at 1 keV. b Flux in the energy range 0.7–10 keV in units of erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Suzaku</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TBars</td>
<td>$N_H(10^{20}\text{ cm}^{-2})$</td>
<td>1.71(f)</td>
<td>1.71(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$N_H(10^{23}\text{ cm}^{-2})$</td>
<td>6.3$^{+2.5}_{-1.4} +2.5$</td>
<td>5.1$^{+9.7}_{-4.5} +9.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\log \xi(\text{ erg cm s}^{-1})$</td>
<td>$-0.8^{+2.5}_{-2.1} -0.8$</td>
<td>$-0.6^{+2.3}_{-2.1} -0.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_f(%)$</td>
<td>75.4$^{+0.2}_{-1.2} +0.2$</td>
<td>67.6$^{+2.0}_{-9.2} +2.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>zpowerlv</td>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>2.3$^{+1.4}_{-0.15} +1.4$</td>
<td>2.2$^{+0.7}_{-0.15} +0.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$n_{pl}(10^{-4})^a$</td>
<td>9.6$^{+1.2}_{-1.5} +1.2$</td>
<td>7.4$^{+2.2}_{-1.5} +2.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FLUX</td>
<td>$f_{pl}(10^{-12})^b$</td>
<td>2.3$^{+0.3}_{-0.3} +0.3$</td>
<td>2.1$^{+0.3}_{-0.2} +0.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\chi^2/\nu$</td>
<td>61/58</td>
<td>62.8/51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The best-fitting spectral model parameters for XMM-Newton observations (3 eV–10 keV) of RXJ1633.3+4719. The best-fitting model in the 0.3–10 keV band consists of a thermally Comptonized continuum (nthcomp), blackbody spectrum of an accretion disc (diskpn) and an ionized absorption model (zxipcf). An unabsorbed power-law component (zpowerlv) is required to fit the UV spectra. uvredd and TBars are used for Galactic extinction and absorption respectively. The notation ‘(f)’ indicates that the parameter value was frozen. a Normalization in units of photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$ at 1 keV. b diskpn normalization in units of $n_{diskpn} = \frac{M_{disk}}{D^{2}\pi m_e c^2}$. Where $M$ is the central SMBH mass (in units of $M_{\odot}$), $D$ is the distance to the source (in units of kpc), $i$ is inclination of the disc and $\beta$ is the color/effective temperature ratio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>XMM-Newton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Obs. ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>uvredd</td>
<td>$E(B - V)$</td>
<td>0.018(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TBars</td>
<td>$N_H(10^{20}\text{ cm}^{-2})$</td>
<td>1.71(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$N_H(10^{23}\text{ cm}^{-2})$</td>
<td>4.4$^{+1.4}_{-1.6} +1.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\log \xi(\text{ erg cm s}^{-1})$</td>
<td>$-0.4^{+0.2}_{-0.6} -0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_f(%)$</td>
<td>69.2$^{+6.5}_{-6.5} +6.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>diskpn</td>
<td>$T_{max} (\text{eV})$</td>
<td>41.1$^{+13.9}_{-6.3} +13.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$r_{nl}(r_g)$</td>
<td>2.2$^{+7.2}_{-1.5} +7.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nthcomp</td>
<td>$n_{diskpn}$</td>
<td>6(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>2.09$^{+0.10}_{-0.08} +0.10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tbars(eV)</td>
<td>$T_{bars}(\text{eV})$</td>
<td>41.1$^{+13.9}_{-6.3} +13.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nthcomp</td>
<td>$n_{th}(10^{-4})^a$</td>
<td>5.0$^{+0.5}_{-0.7} +0.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>zpowerlv</td>
<td>$\Gamma_{UV}$</td>
<td>3.0$^{+0.40}_{-0.20} +0.40$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$n_{pl}(10^{-6})^a$</td>
<td>8.1$^{+16.6}_{-7.0} +16.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\chi^2/\nu$</td>
<td>125.4/105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
First, we attempted to model an accretion disc modified by a partially/fully covering, weakly ionized absorber along the line of sight and an unabsorbed power-law. The best-fitting model consists of thermal Comptonization and blackbody spectrum of the jet for Obs. ID 0673270101. Right panel: Four EPIC-pn and OM (U, UVW1, UVM2 filters) spectral datasets, the joint UV/X-ray best-fitting model and the data-to-model ratio. The best-fitting model uses Galactic absorption and reddening components and including the intrinsic absorption component. The best-fitting model (solid line) has the following main components: the absorbed thermal Comptonized continuum (dotted), absorbed disc component (dotted-dashed) and unabsorbed power-law (dashed). Theoretical models used in this work (excluding the reddening model) have the following main components: the absorbed thermal Comptonized continuum (dotted), absorbed disc component (dotted-dashed) and unabsorbed power-law (dashed). The triangle, square, circle and crosses represent XMM-Newton OM/UV filters U (UVW1, UVM2), EPIC-pn data respectively.

We then included the OM/UV filters U, UVW1, UVM2 to the thermal Comptonization model (nthcomp) improved the fit from 450/382 to 407/381 (Δχ^2 = −43 for 1 additional free parameter) in the joint fit to the four EPIC-pn data and the estimated F-statistic value is 39.71 with null hypothesis probability of 8.16 × 10^{-9}. Thus the presence of the disc emission as a soft X-ray tail is 6.1σ significant as suggested by Ftest.

We included the OM/UV filters U (λ_{eff} ∼ 3440 Å), UVW1 (λ_{eff} ∼ 2910 Å), UVM2 (λ_{eff} ∼ 2310 Å) and used the reddening model uredd to correct for the Galactic extinction. We fixed the color excess at E(B−V) = 0.018 (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011). First, we attempted to model the UV data alone using diskpn which provided large χ^2/d.o.f = 1060.6/2. Then we multiplied a reddening component zredden to the diskpn model in order to account for any intrinsic reddening. This resulted in poor fit with χ_red = 4.54 for β = 2 and χ_red = 4.51 for β = 1. We found unphysically high disc temperature kT_{max} ∼ 0.23 keV and 80.3 eV for β = 2 and 1, respectively with intrinsic reddening E(B−V) ∼ 0.28. Therefore the UV data cannot be explained by the reddened accretion disc model alone.

We extrapolated the 0.3−10 keV X-ray best-fitting model to the UV band which resulted in strong UV excess emission with large χ^2/d.o.f = 9921/114 for Obs. ID 0673270101. The deviation of the observed data from the extrapolated X-ray best-fitting model is shown in Figure 3 (Left panel) for Obs. ID 0673270101. The host galaxy contribution obtained from the SDSS optical spectrum of RXJ1633.3+4719 is ∼ 20% above 3600 Å and negligibly small (∼ 3−4%) below 3600 Å as shown in Figure 1 of Yuan et al. (2008). From that Figure it is also evident that the nuclear emission dominates the host galaxy contribution towards the UV band. The maximum percentage for the degree of host contamination in each OM/UV filter is estimated to be ∼ 3%. RXJ1633.3+4719 is not a local AGN (z = 0.116) and its UV luminosity is very high (L ∼ 10^{44} erg s^{-1}), so it is expected that the AGN would outshine its host galaxy in the UV band. Since the source is a flat-spectrum, radio-loud AGN, there would be significant contribution of the jet in the UV band and we use a power-law to account for any contribution from the jet. As the jet is away from the torus, any UV emission from the jet is not affected by the intrinsic absorption. The addition of a power-law (without intrinsic absorption, zpowerlw) to the X-ray best-fitting model can fit the broadband UV to hard X-ray data with χ^2/d.o.f = 125.4/105. The best-fitting value of the zpowerlw photon index is steep, Γ_{UV} = 3.05^{+0.40}_{-0.20}. Thus our final model from UV to hard X-ray band uredd×TBabs×[zpowerlw+zxpct(diskpn+nthcomp)] resulted in χ^2/d.o.f = 125.4/105, 150.3/121, 91.2/93, 61.6/52 for Obs. ID 0673270101, 0673270201, 0673270301, 0673270401, respectively. The best-fitting model parameters are listed in Table 3. We executed a joint fit to the
four EPIC-pn and OM spectral datasets which resulted in $\chi^2$/d.o.f=456/379. The OM/EPIC-pn spectra and the deviations of the observed data from the best-fitting model are shown in Figure 3 (Right panel). The best-fitting model is shown in Figure 4.

4 TIMING ANALYSIS

We study the energy dependent X-ray variability in the source by exploring different model-independent techniques. We extracted the source and background light curves in the full (0.3–10 keV), soft (0.3–1 keV) and hard (1–10 keV) bands using the same regions which were used for the spectral extraction. The upper panel of Figure 5 shows the background subtracted EPIC-pn light curve with 500 s bins. The source is variable with fractional variability amplitude $F_{\text{var}}=13.5\pm1.0\%$ for Obs. ID 0673270101. The hard band variability amplitude ($F_{\text{var,hard}}=17.9\pm1.4\%$) is large compared to that of the soft band ($F_{\text{var,soft}}=9.6\pm1.7\%$), which indicates the possible presence of multiple spectral components varying differently. In the lower panel of Fig. 5, we have shown the hardness ratio (defined as the ratio of count rate between 1–10 keV and 0.3–1 keV energy bands) as a function of time. For all four XMM-Newton observations, the hardness ratio is either greater than or equal to unity which means that the hard band (1–10 keV) flux dominates over the soft band (0.3–1 keV) flux.

One way to investigate the flux/spectral variability is to study the flux-flux plot which represents the relationship between count rates in two different energy bands as introduced by Churazov, Gilfanov & Revnivtsev (2001) and Taylor, Uttley & McHardy (2003). Figure 6 (Upper panel) shows the variation of the EPIC-pn count rate in the 1–10 keV energy band as a function of the count rate in the 0.3–1 keV energy band. We fit the flux-flux plot with a linear relation of the form, $y = mx + c$, where $y$ and $x$ represent the 1–10 keV and 0.3–1 keV band count rates respectively. A linear fit to the data provided a $\chi^2$/d.o.f=492/78 with slope $m \sim 1.26$ and soft offset $c \sim -0.03$ counts s$^{-1}$. The Spearman rank correlation coefficient between the soft and hard band flux is $\sim 0.56$ with null hypothesis probability of $6.2 \times 10^{-8}$. We find significant scatter around the best-fitting line in the flux-flux plot which implies that the spectral variability in the soft and hard bands are different from each other.

In order to search for X-ray spectral variability, we explore the hardness ratio versus EPIC-pn count rate plot as shown in Figure 6 (Lower panel). The source becomes harder as the X-ray (0.3–10 keV) flux increases which can happen in two possible ways: the soft band (0.3–1 keV) flux may decrease or the hard band (1–10 keV) flux can increase. If the soft band flux decreases due to absorption, then the overall 0.3–10 keV count rate will also decrease, which is not the case here. So we can rule out absorption induced variability and conclude that only the primary continuum is responsible for the X-ray variability on observed time-scales.

4.1 Fractional Variability or RMS Spectrum

Another useful perspective is to look into the fractional RMS variability amplitude $F_{\text{var}}$ as a function of energy or RMS...
spectrum, $F_{\text{var}}$ is the square root of the normalized excess variance $\sigma_{\text{XS}}^2$ which by definition is the excess variance $\sigma_{\text{XS}}^2$ divided by the square of the mean $\tau$ (Vaughan et al. 2003):

$$F_{\text{var}} = \sqrt{\sigma_{\text{XS}}^2} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\text{XS}}^2}{\tau}} \quad (1)$$

$$\sigma_{\text{XS}}^2 = S^2 - \sigma_{\text{err}}^2 \quad (2)$$

where $S^2$ is the sample variance and $\sigma_{\text{err}}$ is the mean square error which is defined by

$$\sigma_{\text{err}}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{\text{err},i}^2 \quad (3)$$

We derived the RMS variability spectra by generating background subtracted light curve with time bins of 500 s in different energy bands and then computed the fractional RMS variability in the light curve after subtracting the Poisson noise due to measurement errors. The RMS variability spectrum can be thought of as arising from variations in the spectral parameters.

The mean X-ray spectrum of RXJ1633.3+4719 is well described by the combination of a disc component and a power-law, both modified by the Galactic absorption and intrinsic ionized absorption. The hardness-intensity diagram (Fig. 6, Lower panel) suggested no significant absorption variability and the increasing $F_{\text{var}}$ with energy (see Figure 7) implies that dominant variability is due to the power-law component. If the observed X-ray variability is due to variation in the power-law normalization and slope while the disc component is steady, the fractional RMS can be written as:

$$F_{\text{var}} = \sqrt{\frac{\langle (\Delta f(A, \Gamma, E))^2 \rangle}{f(A, \Gamma, E)}} \quad (4)$$

where

$$f(A, \Gamma, E) = f_{\text{PL}}(A, \Gamma, E) + f_{\text{bbody}}(E) = AE^{-\Gamma} + f_{\text{bbody}}(E) \quad (5)$$

Here $A$ and $\Gamma$ are the normalization constant and photon index of the power-law respectively. We then set

$$F_{\text{var}} = \frac{f_{\text{PL}}(A, \Gamma, E)\sqrt{[\Delta \Gamma^2 \log^2(E) + (\Delta A)^2 - 2A \Delta \Gamma \Delta \log(E)]}}{f_{\text{PL}}(A, \Gamma, E) + f_{\text{bbody}}(E)} \quad (6)$$

where $\Delta \Gamma$ and $\Delta A$ are the variations in the slope and normalization of the power-law respectively, $\alpha$ is the correlation coefficient between the power-law normalization and index.

We used the best-fitting parameters $\Gamma = 2$, $A = 6.5 \times 10^{-2}$ ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$ and $T_{\text{bb}} = 25$ eV obtained from the averaging of four energy spectral fitting and fit the combined fractional RMS spectra using the above model (equation 6). This model provided an acceptable fit with $\chi^2/d.o.f = 35/40$. The model is shown as a solid line in Fig. 7. The variation in the normalization and photon index are $\Delta A \sim 12\%$ and $\Delta \Gamma \sim 6.5\%$ respectively.

5 DISCUSSION

In this paper, we study the broad-band (3 eV–10 keV) energy spectrum and the 0.3 – 10 keV fractional RMS variability spectrum of the radio-loud NLS1 galaxy RXJ1633.3+4719 using four XMM-Newton observations. Below we discuss the main results of this work.
5.1 Accretion disc emission

RXJ1633.3+4719 shows ultra-soft X-ray excess emission below 0.5 keV. This excess is well described by a low temperature blackbody \( kT_{\text{bb}} \sim 25 \text{ eV} \). For NLS1s and quasars, the temperature of the soft X-ray component is much higher, \( kT \sim 100 \text{–} 200 \text{ eV} \) (see e.g. Czerny et al. 2003; Gierliński & Done 2004; Crummy et al. 2006; Dewangan et al. 2007). In this regard, RXJ1633.3+4719 is different from other NLS1s. The best-fitting temperature of the blackbody component in RXJ1633.3+4719 is consistent with that expected from the standard accretion disc. We have derived a disc temperature \( T_{\text{bb}} \) for the soft excess. In case of a standard accretion disc, the local effective temperature \( T_{\text{disk}} \) is given by

\[
T_{\text{disk}} = \left( \frac{\dot{m}}{M_{\text{Edd}}} \right)^{1/4} \left( \frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{L_{\text{Edd}}} \right)^{1/4} \text{ eV}
\]

where \( T_{\text{disk}} \) is the temperature of the accretion disc, \( \dot{m} \) is the mass accretion rate, \( M_{\text{Edd}} \) is the Eddington mass accretion rate, \( L_{\text{bol}} \) is the bolometric luminosity, and \( L_{\text{Edd}} \) is the Eddington luminosity.

\[
L_{\text{Edd}} \approx 1.38 \times 10^{38} \left( \frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{M_{\odot}} \right) \text{ erg s}^{-1}
\]

For \( M_{\text{BH}} \sim 3 \times 10^6 M_{\odot} \) (Yuan et al. 2008, 2010), the Eddington luminosity is \( L_{\text{Edd}} = 4.14 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \). We obtained the bolometric luminosity \( L_{\text{bol}} \approx 1.51 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) by calculating the unabsorbed flux in the energy band 0.001–100 keV using the convolution model \texttt{cflux} in \textsc{xspec}. Thus the Eddington ratio or scaled mass accretion rate for this radio-loud AGN is \( \dot{m}_{\text{Edd}} = \frac{\dot{m}}{M_{\text{Edd}}} = \frac{\dot{m}}{L_{\text{Edd}}/L_{\text{bol}}} \approx 1.5/1.1 = 1.4 \).

37. The accretion disc temperature becomes maximum at \( r = \frac{a}{M_{\text{BH}}} \times r_{\text{in}} \), where \( r_{\text{in}} \) is the inner disc radius. For a non-spinning black hole, \( r_{\text{in}} = 3r_s \). Therefore the disc temperature reaches its peak value \( T_{\text{max}} \) at \( r = \frac{a}{M_{\text{BH}}} \times r_s \) and the expression for the peak disc temperature is

\[
T_{\text{max}} \approx 18.9 \left( \frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{10^6 M_{\odot}} \right)^{-1/4} \left( \frac{\dot{m}}{M_{\text{Edd}}} \right)^{1/4} \text{ eV}.
\]

For \( \dot{m}_{\text{Edd}} \approx 0.37 \) and \( M_{\text{BH}} \sim 3 \times 10^6 M_{\odot} \), Eqn. 9 gives \( T_{\text{max}} \approx 35 \text{ eV} \), which is close to the best-fitting value of the maximum disc temperature of \( \sim 40 \text{ eV} \).

5.2 Origin of UV emission

As shown above, the ultra-soft excess emission from RXJ1633.3+4719 is consistent with an accretion disc origin. However, the accretion disc component cannot ex-
plain the excess emission in UV and we need an additional steep power-law for the UV continuum. We have examined the strongest emission lines present in the OM bands–UVM2 (1970 – 2675 Å), UVW1 (2410 – 3565 Å) and U (3030 – 3890 Å). From the composite quasar spectrum (Vanden Berk et al. 2001), we find that the total equivalent widths of the strongest emission lines in the UVM2, UVW1 and U bands are < 50 Å, < 60 Å and < 25 Å respectively, which are much narrower than the widths of the broadband OM UV filters. Therefore, the excess UV emission from RXJ1633.3+4719 cannot be due to the contribution of the emission lines.

To understand whether the UV emission (OM bandpass) is from the accretion disc or jet, we estimate the optical-to-X-ray spectral index $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ which is defined as

$$\alpha_{\text{ox}} = -0.386 \log \left( \frac{L_{\nu}(2500 \text{ Å})}{L_{\nu}(2 \text{ keV})} \right)$$

(10)

where $L_{\nu}(2500 \text{ Å})$ and $L_{\nu}(2 \text{ keV})$ are the monochromatic luminosity at 2500 Å and 2 keV respectively. We fit a power-law $f_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$ to calculate the monochromatic flux $f_{\nu}$ at any desired frequency $\nu$.

$$f_{\nu} = \frac{h(1 + \alpha)E^\alpha F}{E_2^{(1+\alpha)} - E_1^{(1+\alpha)}}$$

(11)

where $h = 4.138 \times 10^{-18}$ is the Planck constant in keV-sec, $\alpha$ is the spectral index, $E_1$ and $E_2$ are respectively the lower and upper limits of energy over which flux density is integrated to get the broadband flux $F$. The optical-to-X-ray spectral index of the source is $-1.24$, shown as an asterisk in Figure 8 and is consistent with $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ values for radio-loud AGN (3C 390.3, 3C 120 and 3C 273) taken from Vasudevan & Fabian (2009).

To further investigate whether the excess UV flux is caused by the possible presence of a nuclear star-burst in the host galaxy, we constructed the spectral energy distribution (SED) of RXJ1633.3+4719 using data from 2MASS, WISE, IRAS 60 and 100 $\mu$m and compared it with the SED of M82, which is one of the best studied star-burst galaxies. The rest-frame 1.4 GHz luminosity of M82 ($z = 0.00068$) is $L_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} \sim 10^{22}$ W Hz$^{-1}$, which is two order of magnitude smaller than that of RXJ1633.3+4719. Figure 9 shows the SED of RXJ1633.3+4719 and M82. The SEDs were normalized at 5 $\times$ 10$^{12}$ Hz (60 $\mu$m) where the star-burst contribution peaks. The flux from the AGN dominates over the M82 flux above $7 \times 10^{22}$ Hz i.e. 2.9 eV. Thus we can conclude that the UV flux of RXJ1633.3+4719 is not affected by a putative nuclear star-burst.

The best diagnostic to distinguish between star-forming galaxies and radio-loud AGN is the FIR-radio correlation parameter $q$ defined by

$$q = \log \left[ \frac{S_{\text{FIR}}/(3.75 \times 10^{12})}{S_{1.4 \text{ GHz}}} \right]$$

(12)

where $S_{1.4 \text{ GHz}}$ is the 1.4 GHz flux density in units of W m$^{-2}$ Hz$^{-1}$ and $S_{\text{FIR}}$ is the far-infrared flux density in units of W m$^{-2}$ defined by

$$S_{\text{FIR}} = 1.26 \times 10^{-14} \left( 2.58 \times S_{60 \mu m} + S_{100 \mu m} \right).$$

(13)

The star-burst galaxies have $q > 1.8$. The estimated $q$ parameter for RXJ1633.3+4719 is 1.2 which is much lower than the cut-off of $q = 1.8$.

In order to examine whether the strong UV excess emission is due to the host galaxy contamination in the OM bandpass, we have taken one normal spiral galaxy (Sb) template from Kinney et al. (1996)$^3$ and compared its SED with our source RXJ1633.3+4719. The host template was normalized to the source flux at 5445 Å. We found that the template SED rises steeply with wavelength while the SED of RXJ1633.3+4719 is flat ($F_\nu \propto \nu^0$). The SED of RXJ1633.3+4719 and Sb template are shown in Figure 10. We have also shown that the maximum percentage for the degree of host galaxy contamination in UV is $\sim 3\%$. Therefore the excess UV emission cannot be attributed to the host contamination. The only possibility left then is the high energy tail of synchrotron emission from a jet, which can be described by a power-law as observed. The slope of the UV spectrum ($\Gamma_{\text{UV}} \approx 3$) is consistent with the slope for flat spectrum radio quasars (FSRQ) and originates from the high energy end of the synchrotron component. Further investigations using $\gamma$-ray data from Fermi-Large Area Telescope would be useful (see e.g. Paliya et al. 2014, 2015) to fully test the jet scenario in RXJ1633.3+4719.

5.3 Broadband Continuum

The broadband UV to hard X-ray spectral fitting for RXJ1633.3+4719 reveals the presence of jet emission in the UV, disc emission as ultra-soft X-ray excess below 0.5 keV, partial covering ionized absorption below 1 keV and variable primary emission in the hard X-ray band above 2 keV. We did not find any reflection signature including the Fe line or strong soft X-ray excess in the energy spectra. The spectral modelling using Suzaku XIS data in the 0.7 – 10 keV band agrees well with the modelling of XMM-Newton EPIC-pn data. Although the disc extends down to the innermost regions as implied by the ultra-soft excess emission, the absence of blurred reflection suggests lack of strong illumination of the disc by the hot corona. This could be possible if the corona acts as the base of the jet (Fender et al. 1999; Markoff, Nowak & Wilms 2005) and the coronal emission is beamed away from the disc.

From the modelling of the broadband spectrum, we estimated the relative contribution of different components to the observed flux in UV and X-ray bands. The UV luminosity integrated from 1700 Å to 4000 Å, covering three UV filters U, UVW1, UVM2, is $L_{\text{UV}} = L_{\text{UV,disc+jet}} \approx 7.9 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The jet luminosity $L_{\text{UV,jet}} \approx 7.2 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$ is $\sim 90\%$ of $L_{\text{UV}}$, considering negligible host galaxy starlight contribution below 4000 Å (Yuan et al. 2008). The X-ray (0.3–10 keV) luminosity is $L_X \approx 6.7 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$ where the jet component contributes only 1.5% with $L_X,jet \approx$...
10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}. Thus the jet contribution to the X-ray band is negligible compared to the disc/corona emission.

### 5.4 Steady disc and variable corona

The X-ray emission from RXJ1633.3+4719 is variable with fractional variability amplitude \( F_{\text{var}}=13.5\pm1.0\% \) and the variability is energy dependent (see Fig. 5 and Fig. 7). The fractional variability amplitude is nearly constant at energies below 1 keV and increases with energy above 1 keV (see Fig. 7). The soft band (0.3–1 keV) offset in the flux-flux plot and constant \( F_{\text{var}} \) below 1 keV indicate the presence of a soft constant component similar to that observed in IRAS 13224-3809 (Kammoun et al. 2015).

The source hardness increases with increasing 0.3–10 keV X-ray flux which is in contrast with radio-quiet AGN (Vaughan & Edelson 2001; Papadakis et al. 2007; Emmanoulopoulos et al. 2011). The hardening of the source with increasing 0.3–10 keV X-ray flux rules out absorption-induced variability and allows us to conclude that the X-ray spectral variability in RXJ1633.3+4719 is predominantly due to the primary continuum which may vary both in normalization and spectral shape. The modelling of the X-ray variability spectrum as a constant disc component and variable primary emission explains the observed fractional RMS(E) quite well. We find that the normalization and photon index of the primary continuum are anti-correlated with each other by 50 ± 5% with 1σ significance. Such X-ray spectral variability cannot be due to variations in the Comptonization seed photons alone, because that would lead to spectral steepening with flux. The dominant X-ray variability of RXJ1633.3+4719 must be intrinsic to the hot corona which may be related to the dynamic base of the jet. Simultaneous multiwavelength observations with ASTROSAT will be very useful to study disc/corona and jet connection in AGN. In Figure 11, we have shown the simulated broadband energy spectra of RXJ1633.3+4719 using our best-fitting model and response matrices of UVIT, SXT and LAXPC instruments onboard ASTROSAT with 50 ks exposure time.
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Figure 11. Simulated 50 ks ASTROSAT UVIT (1300 – 5300 Å), SXT (0.3 – 8 keV) and LAXPC (3 – 50 keV) spectra (folded and unfolded in the left and right panels respectively) of RXJ1633.3+4719 using the best-fitting model inferred from the XMM-Newton/OM and EPIC-pn data.