

A SIMPLE PROOF OF CAUCHY'S SURFACE AREA FORMULA

EMMANUEL TSUKERMAN AND ELLEN VEOMETT

ABSTRACT. We give a short and simple proof of Cauchy's surface area formula, which states that the average area of a projection of a convex body is equal to its surface area up to a multiplicative constant in the dimension.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cauchy's surface area formula is a beautiful and well-known result in integral geometry. It states that the average area of the projections of a convex body is equal to the surface area of the body, up to a multiplicative constant in the dimension. That is, let μ_{n-1} be $(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , and \mathbb{S}^{n-1} be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . For a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we denote by $K|u^\perp$ the projection of K onto the $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^n perpendicular to u . Then we have:

Theorem 1 (Cauchy's surface area formula). *Suppose $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex body. Then*

$$(1) \quad S(K) = \frac{1}{\mu_{n-1}(\mathbb{B}^{n-1})} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \mu_{n-1}(K|u^\perp) du.$$

where $S(K)$ denotes the volume of the surface of K .

We note that $S(K)$ is succinctly defined as follows:

$$(2) \quad S(K) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu_n(K + \epsilon \mathbb{B}_n) - \mu_n(K)}{\epsilon}$$

Where \mathbb{B}_n is the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n and $K + \epsilon \mathbb{B}_n$ indicates the Minkowski sum of K and $\epsilon \mathbb{B}_n$:

$$K + \epsilon \mathbb{B}_n = \{x + \epsilon v : x \in K, v \in \mathbb{B}_n\}.$$

Cauchy's surface area formula was first proved by Cauchy for $n = 2, 3$ in 1841 and 1850 [Cau41], [Cau50]. The general formula was proved in work by Kubota [Kub25], and also Minkowski [Min] and Bonnesen [Bon29]. Klain and Rota give a lovely proof in Chapter 5 of [KR97], which uses calculus-like arguments and approximations of convex bodies by polytopes. (We draw much of our notation from the same text). In this short note we give an alternative proof, which we believe is new. This new proof has the benefit of the fact that the projection is calculated using a Minkowski sum of sets, just as the surface area formula is calculated using a Minkowski sum of sets as in equation (2). Thus, the two sides of Theorem 1 are seen to be calculated using Minkowski sums. This technique allows a straightforward extension to intrinsic moment vectors of a convex body (which we will define in Section 3).

2. NEW PROOF FOR CAUCHY'S SURFACE AREA FORMULA

First we give our definition, which uses the Minkowski sum of sets as discussed in the Introduction.

Definition. *Let $u, P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Define*

$$(3) \quad D_u(\mu_n)(P) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu_n(P + \epsilon u) - \mu_n(P)}{\epsilon}.$$

The special case $D_{\mathbb{B}^n}(\mu_n)(P)$ gives the surface volume:

$$(4) \quad D_{\mathbb{B}^n}(\mu_n)(P) = S(P)$$

The key to our proof is Minkowski's theorem on mixed volumes, which can be found, for example, in Chapter 5 of Schneider's text [Sch14]. This theorem says that the volume of a Minkowski sum of convex bodies can

be written as a polynomial in the coefficients of the Minkowski sum, where the coefficients of the polynomial depend only on the convex bodies. Specifically:

Theorem 2. *Suppose K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m are convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Then*

$$\mu_n(\lambda_1 K_1 + \lambda_2 K_2 + \dots + \lambda_m K_m) = \sum \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \dots \lambda_{i_n} V(K_{i_1}, K_{i_2}, \dots, K_{i_n})$$

where the sum on the left-hand side is the Minkowski sum, and the sum on the right-hand side is over all multisets of size n whose elements are in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. The functions V are nonnegative, symmetric, and depend only on the convex bodies $K_{i_1}, K_{i_2}, \dots, K_{i_n}$.

From Minkowski's Theorem it follows that if P and u are convex, then $D_u(\mu_n)(P)$ is linear in u :

Lemma 1. *Suppose $P, u, v \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with P, u , and v convex bodies, and suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$(5) \quad D_{\alpha u + \beta v}(\mu_n)(P) = \alpha D_u(\mu_n)(P) + \beta D_v(\mu_n)(P)$$

Proof. By definition we have

$$D_{\alpha u + \beta v}(\mu_n)(P) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu_n(P + \epsilon(\alpha u + \beta v)) - \mu_n(P)}{\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu_n(P + \epsilon \alpha u + \epsilon \beta v) - \mu_n(P)}{\epsilon}$$

From Theorem 2, we can see that

$$D_{\alpha u + \beta v}(\mu_n)(P) = \alpha V(\underbrace{P, P, \dots, P}_{n-1 \text{ times}}, u) + \beta V(\underbrace{P, P, \dots, P}_{n-1 \text{ times}}, v)$$

where V represents the function in the statement of Theorem 2. Similarly, one can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} D_u(\mu_n)(P) &= V(\underbrace{P, P, \dots, P}_{n-1 \text{ times}}, u) \\ D_v(\mu_n)(P) &= V(\underbrace{P, P, \dots, P}_{n-1 \text{ times}}, v), \end{aligned}$$

and our Lemma is proved. \square

For the following Lemma, we prove that the derivative we've defined in equation (3) calculates the projection of a convex body in the case where u is a segment of length 1. We use the same notation as in the Introduction.

Lemma 2. *Let u be a segment of length 1 and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ convex. Then*

$$(6) \quad \mu_{n-1}(K|u^\perp) = D_u(\mu_n)(K).$$

Proof. Let L_u be the set of lines parallel to u . Note that each $l \in L_u$ corresponds uniquely to a single point in l^\perp , so that L_u is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} (and thus we can define the measure μ_{n-1} on L_u). Then

$$\mu_n(K) = \int_{l \in L_u} \mu_1(l \cap K) d\mu_{n-1}.$$

Also,

$$\mu_{n-1}(K|u^\perp) = \int_{\substack{l \cap K \neq \emptyset \\ l \in L_u}} 1 d\mu_{n-1}.$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\mu_n(K + \epsilon u) - \mu_n(K) = \int_{l \in L_u} (\mu_1(l \cap (K + \epsilon u)) - \mu_1(l \cap K)) d\mu_{n-1}.$$

Convexity implies that

$$\mu_1(l \cap (K + \epsilon u)) - \mu_1(l \cap K) = \epsilon$$

whenever l intersects K , and zero otherwise. Therefore the last integral is equal to

$$\int_{\substack{l \cap K \neq \emptyset \\ l \in L_u}} \epsilon d\mu_{n-1} = \epsilon \mu_{n-1}(K|u^\perp)$$

and hence,

$$D_u(\mu_n)(K) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu_n(K + \epsilon u) - \mu_n(K)}{\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\epsilon \mu_{n-1}(K|u^\perp)}{\epsilon} = \mu_{n-1}(K|u^\perp).$$

□

We can now give a short proof of Cauchy's surface area formula:

Proof. (of Theorem 1) Using Lemmas 1,2, and equation (4), we have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \mu_{n-1}(K|u^\perp) du = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} D_{[0,u]}(\mu_n)(K) du = D_{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} [0,u] du}(\mu_n)(K) = D_{c(n)\mathbb{B}^n}(\mu_n)(K) = c(n)S(K).$$

Specializing K to be the unit ball \mathbb{B}^n yields the result. □

3. APPLICATION OF THIS TECHNIQUE TO MOMENT VECTORS

The proof can be extended readily to intrinsic moment vectors of a convex body [Sch14, Sec. 5.4]. We introduce the following notation, modeled on the notation from [Sch14, Sec. 5.4]. Let H^r denote the r -dimensional Hausdorff measure on \mathbb{R}^n . For an r dimensional set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$z_{r+1}(K) = \int_K x dH^r(x)$$

denote the moment vector of the set K . It is related to the familiar centroid $p_0(K)$ via $z_{r+1}(K) = H^r(K)p_0(K)$. We will abuse notation and denote the boundary of K by $S(K)$, when no confusion with the surface area can occur. For a segment u , let

$$K_u = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} S(K) \cap (K + \epsilon u).$$

Intuitively, this is the portion of the boundary that is seen by a projection in direction u .




FIGURE 1. The subset K_u of the boundary ∂K of K .

Let K be an n -dimensional subset of \mathbb{R}^n . The following Theorem now has a very similar flavor to that of the Cauchy surface area formula:

Theorem 3. *Suppose $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex body. Then*

$$z_n(S(K)) = \frac{1}{\mu_{n-1}(\mathbb{B}_{n-1})} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} z_n(K_u) du.$$

Before we prove this, we need a definition and a few observations. If K is an n -dimensional subset of \mathbb{R}^n and u any subset of \mathbb{R}^n , we now define

$$(7) \quad D_u(z_{n+1})(K) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{z_{n+1}(K + \epsilon u) - z_{n+1}(K)}{\epsilon}.$$

We note that, as one can find in [Sch14, Sec. 5.4], there is a Theorem parallel to Theorem 2 but for the moment vector. Thus, just as we showed in Lemma 1, the operator D_u defined in equation (7) is also linear in u (if K , u , and v are convex just as in Lemma 1). We can now proceed with our proof of Theorem 3:

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 3) It is not hard to see from the definition of the moment vector that

$$z_{n+1}(K + \epsilon u) = z_{n+1}(K) + z_{n+1}((K + \epsilon u) \setminus K)$$

Therefore if $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and as above we let $[0, u]$ denote the segment from the origin to u , we have

$$D_{[0, u]}(z_{n+1})(K) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{z_{n+1}((K + \epsilon u) \setminus K)}{\epsilon} = z_n(K_u).$$

which gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} D_{[0, u]}(z_{n+1})(K) du = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} z_n(K_u) du.$$

Similarly, we note that

$$z_{n+1}(K + \epsilon B^n) = z_{n+1}(K) + z_{n+1}((K + \epsilon B^n) \setminus K)$$

so that

$$(8) \quad D_{\mathbb{B}^n}(z_{n+1})(K) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{z_{n+1}((K + \epsilon \mathbb{B}^n) \setminus K)}{\epsilon} = z_n(S(K)).$$

Note that K and $[0, u]$ are convex bodies so that we can use the linearity of the D operator defined in (7). Using this linearity, our calculations from the proof of Theorem 1, and equation (8), we now have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} D_{[0, u]}(z_{n+1})(K) du = D_{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} [0, u] du}(z_{n+1})(K) = \mu_{n-1}(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}) D_{\mathbb{B}^n}(z_{n+1})(K) = \mu_{n-1}(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}) z_n(S(K)).$$

Thus the Theorem is proved. \square

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Alexander Barvinok for his comments and Sergei Tabachnikov for his advice and encouragement.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant No. DGE 1106400. Any opinion, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

- [Bon29] T Bonnesen. *Les problèmes des isopérimètres et des isépiphanes*. 1929.
- [Cau41] Augustin Cauchy. Note sur divers théorèmes relatifs à la rectification des courbes et à la quadrature des surfaces. *C.R. Acad. Sci.*, 13:1060–1065, 1841.
- [Cau50] Augustin Cauchy. Mémoire sur la rectification des courbes et la quadrature des surfaces courbes. *Mém. Acad. Sci.*, 22(3), 1850.
- [KR97] Daniel A. Klain and Gian-Carlo Rota. *Introduction to geometric probability*. Lezioni Lincee. [Lincei Lectures]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [Kub25] T Kubota. über konvex-geschlossene manningfaltigkeiten im n-dimensionalen raume. *Sci. Rep. Tôhoku Univ.*, 14:85–99, 1925.
- [Min] H Minkowski. Theorie der konvexen körper, insbesondere begründung ihres oberflächenbegriffs. *Ges. Abh.*, 2:131–229.
- [Sch14] Rolf Schneider. *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, volume 151 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, expanded edition, 2014.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720-3840
E-mail address: e.tsukerman@berkeley.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, SAINT MARY'S COLLEGE OF CALIFORNIA, MORAGA, CA, 94575
E-mail address: erv2@stmarys-ca.edu