

Compact spacelike surfaces in four-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime with a non-degenerate lightlike normal direction

Francisco J. Palomo* and Francisco J. Rodríguez
 Dep. Matemática Aplicada, Universidad de Málaga
 29071-Málaga (Spain)
 fjpgalomo@ctima.uma.es, fjrodri@ctima.uma.es

Alfonso Romero*
 Dep. Geometría y Topología, Universidad de Granada
 18071-Granada (Spain)
 aromero@ugr.es

Abstract

A spacelike surface in four-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime through the lightcone has a meaningful lightlike normal vector field η . Several sufficient assumptions on such a surface with non-degenerate η -second fundamental form are established to prove that it must be a totally umbilical round sphere. With this aim, a new formula which relates the Gauss curvatures of the induced metric and of the η -second fundamental form is developed. Then, totally umbilical round spheres are characterized as the only compact spacelike surfaces through the lightcone such that its η -second fundamental form is non-degenerate and has constant Gauss curvature two. Another characterizations of totally umbilical round spheres in terms of the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of η and the area of the η -second fundamental form are also given.

1 Introduction

The geometry of spacelike surfaces in 4-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime \mathbb{L}^4 through the future lightcone Λ is very rich and appealing. In fact, any 2-dimensional simply-connected Riemannian manifold may be isometrically immersed into Λ , [10], [12]. In particular, any Riemannian metric on the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 may be realized as the induced metric of a spacelike immersion of \mathbb{S}^2 in \mathbb{L}^4 through Λ . This situation is quite different when Λ is replaced by a (non-degenerate) hypersurface of \mathbb{L}^4 . For instance, there is no spacelike immersion of \mathbb{S}^2 in the unit De Sitter spacetime $\mathbb{S}_1^3 \subset \mathbb{L}^4$ such that the Gauss curvature of the induced metric satisfies $K > 1$, [3, Cor. 10]. On the other hand, the existence of an isometric immersion of an $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold in \mathbb{L}^{n+2} through the corresponding future lightcone has a clear geometric meaning; namely, an $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional simply-connected Riemannian manifold M^n admits an isometric immersion in \mathbb{L}^{n+2} through the lightcone if and only if M^n is conformally flat [4], which is a nice characterization of conformally flatness in terms of Lorentzian geometry.

Motivated in part by these results, spacelike surfaces through the lightcone in \mathbb{L}^4 have been studied from different viewpoints, [8], [9], [10]. Focusing our approach here, if $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$

*Partially supported by the Spanish MEC-FEDER Grant MTM2010-18099 and the Junta de Andalucía Regional Grant P09-FQM-4496 with FEDER funds.

is a spacelike immersion such that $\psi(M^2) \subset \Lambda$, the position vector field ψ is clearly normal and lightlike. The corresponding Weingarten operator satisfies $A_\psi = -I$, where I denotes the identity transformation, and, therefore, it provides no information on the extrinsic geometry of M^2 . However, there is another lightlike normal vector field η , uniquely defined by $\langle \eta, \eta \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \psi, \eta \rangle = 1$. The Weingarten operator A_η is closely related to both intrinsic and extrinsic geometry of M^2 by the equations

$$\text{tr}(A_\eta) = -K = -\langle \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H} \rangle,$$

where K is the Gauss curvature of the induce metric and \mathbf{H} the mean curvature vector field of ψ (Section 2). Moreover, the lightlike normal vector fields ψ and η are connected to the so-called \mathbb{S}^2 -valued Gauss maps \mathcal{G}^F and \mathcal{G}^P , introduced in a more general context in [7], by

$$\mathcal{G}^F = \frac{1}{\psi_0} \psi \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}^P = -\frac{1}{\eta_0} \eta,$$

where ψ_0 and η_0 are the time coordinates of ψ and η , respectively.

In that follows, given a spacelike surface M^2 in \mathbb{L}^4 through Λ , we will say that η is non-degenerate if the η -second fundamental form, II_η , is a non-degenerate metric on M^2 . The assumption η is non-degenerate has the following geometric meanings. On the one hand, η is non-degenerate if and only if the Gauss map \mathcal{G}^P is a local diffeomorphism from M^2 to \mathbb{S}^2 . On the other hand, η is non-degenerate if and only if $\tilde{\psi} := -\eta$ is also a spacelike immersion (Lemma 2.5). In this case, $\tilde{\psi}$ is said to be the conjugate immersion to ψ . It is remarkable that given a non-degenerate spacelike surface through Λ , it is totally umbilical if and only if its conjugated surface is also totally umbilical (Corollary 2.8).

A compact spacelike surface M^2 in Λ must be topologically a sphere \mathbb{S}^2 , [12]. It is then natural to wonder for some additional assumption in order to conclude that M^2 is a totally umbilical round sphere. Recall that all the totally umbilical compact spacelike immersions of \mathbb{S}^2 in \mathbb{L}^4 through Λ were explicitly constructed in [12] as follows. If ψ is such a immersion, there exist $u \in \mathbb{L}^4$, $\langle u, u \rangle = -1$, with $u_0 < 0$ and $r > 0$, such that,

$$\psi(\mathbb{S}^2) = \mathbb{S}^2(u, r) := \{ x \in \mathbb{L}^4 : \langle x, x \rangle = 0, \langle u, x \rangle = r \}.$$

In this case, $A_\eta = -(1/2r^2)I$ and the Riemannian metric on M^2 given by $\text{II}_\eta(X, Y) := -\langle A_\eta(X), Y \rangle$ has constant Gauss curvature $K^\eta = 2$, [12]. As shown in [12, Theor. 5.4] a compact spacelike surface M^2 in Λ with constant Gauss curvature is a totally umbilical round sphere in \mathbb{L}^4 . This result gives an answer to the previous question from an intrinsic point of view. In the same philosophy of [1], [2] and [3], the main aim of this paper is to obtain several extrinsic characterizations of the totally umbilical spacelike spheres in \mathbb{L}^4 among all the compact spacelike surfaces in \mathbb{L}^4 which factors through Λ .

When a spacelike surface M^2 in Λ is compact, the non-degeneracy of η implies that II_η is in fact Riemannian (Proposition 2.3). Our main goal here is (Theorem 4.1),

For a compact spacelike surface M^2 of \mathbb{L}^4 through Λ with η non-degenerate, the following assertions are equivalent:

1. M^2 is a totally umbilical round sphere,
2. The Gauss-Kronecker curvature $\mathfrak{d} = \det(A_\eta)$ is constant,
3. The Gauss curvature of the Riemannian metric II_η satisfies $K^\eta = 2$.

Moreover, each one of these assumptions is equivalent to the constancy of the Gauss curvature of the induced metric on M^2 [12, Theor. 5.4].

In order to prove this result, our main tool will be a new formula which, for any spacelike surface of \mathbb{L}^4 through Λ with non-degenerate η , relates the Gauss curvature K of the induced metric, the Gauss curvature K^η of Π_η and the Gauss-Kronecker curvature \mathfrak{d} (Theorem 3.1). Note that this extrinsic quantity is closely related to the notion of quartic curvature \mathcal{H} of the spacelike surface [7]. In fact, it is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{H} = 2\mathfrak{d}$.

The paper ends with the statement of two equivalent conditions each one equivalent to each of the three previously stated (Propositions 4.3, 4.4):

Each of the three equivalent assertions above is equivalent to,

4. The Π_η -area of M^2 satisfies, $\text{area}(M^2, \Pi_\eta) = 2\pi$, or

5. The first non-trivial eigenvalue, λ_1 , of the Laplacian of the induced metric on M^2 satisfies,

$$\lambda_1 = 2 \frac{\int_{M^2} \langle \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H} \rangle dA}{\text{area}(M^2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)}.$$

2 Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{L}^4 be the Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime, that is, \mathbb{R}^4 endowed with the Lorentzian metric,

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = -(dx_0)^2 + (dx_1)^2 + (dx_2)^2 + (dx_3)^2,$$

where (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) are the canonical coordinates of \mathbb{R}^4 . A smooth immersion $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ of a 2-dimensional (connected) manifold M^2 is said to be a spacelike if the induced metric via ψ (denoted also by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$) is a Riemannian metric on M^2 .

Let ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connections of M^2 and \mathbb{L}^4 , respectively, and let ∇^\perp be the normal connection. The Gauss and Weingarten formulas are,

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \psi_*(\nabla_X Y) + \text{II}(X, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\nabla}_X N = -\psi_*(A_N X) + \nabla_X^\perp N,$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$ and $N \in \mathfrak{X}^\perp(M^2)$, where II denotes the second fundamental form of ψ . The shape (or Weingarten) operator A_N corresponding to N is related to II by,

$$\langle A_N X, Y \rangle = \langle \text{II}(X, Y), N \rangle,$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$. The mean curvature vector field of ψ is given by $\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \text{II}$. For each $N \in \mathfrak{X}^\perp(M^2)$, the Codazzi equation gives,

$$(\nabla_X A_N)Y - (\nabla_Y A_N)X = A_{\nabla_X^\perp N} Y - A_{\nabla_Y^\perp N} X. \quad (1)$$

We denote by II_N the symmetric tensor field on M^2 defined by,

$$\text{II}_N(X, Y) = -\langle A_N X, Y \rangle.$$

We will call $\det(A_N)$ the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of M^2 with respect to the normal vector field N . The normal vector field N is said to be non-degenerate whenever $\det(A_N) \neq 0$ at every point $p \in M^2$, [5]. When N is non-degenerate, II_N is a semi-Riemannian metric on M^2 .

We write,

$$\Lambda = \{ v \in \mathbb{L}^4 : \langle v, v \rangle = 0, v_0 > 0 \},$$

for the future lightcone of \mathbb{L}^4 . A spacelike surface $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ factors through the lightcone if $\psi(M^2) \subset \Lambda$. Every spacelike surface in \mathbb{L}^4 which factors through the lightcone must be orientable [12, Lemma 3.2]. Therefore, we can globally take a lightlike vector field $\eta \in \mathfrak{X}^\perp(M^2)$ with $\langle \psi, \eta \rangle = 1$.

From now on, unless otherwise was stated, we will assume $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ is a spacelike surface which factors through the lightcone. Recall briefly several local geometric properties of such a surface. Proofs for these features can be found in [12]. First, the lightlike normal vector fields ψ and η are parallel with respect to the normal connection. The corresponding Weingarten operators are given by,

$$A_\psi = -I, \quad A_\eta = -\frac{1 + \|\nabla\psi_0\|^2}{2\psi_0^2}I + \frac{1}{\psi_0}\nabla^2\psi_0, \quad (2)$$

where $\nabla^2\psi_0(v) = \nabla_v(\nabla\psi_0)$ for every $v \in T_pM^2$, $p \in M^2$ and we have written ψ_0 for $x_0 \circ \psi$. Recall that the Gauss curvature for the induced metric on M^2 satisfies,

$$K = -\text{tr}(A_\eta) = \langle \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H} \rangle, \quad (3)$$

and therefore, the second fundamental form satisfies,

$$\langle \mathbf{II}, \mathbf{II} \rangle(p) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \langle \mathbf{II}(e_i, e_j), \mathbf{II}(e_i, e_j) \rangle = 2K(p),$$

where $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is an orthonormal basis of T_pM^2 , $p \in M^2$.

We write $\mathfrak{d} = \det(A_\eta)$ for the Gauss-Kronecker curvature with respect to η . From formula (3), we arrive to the following technical result which will be useful along this paper.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ . Then,*

$$4\mathfrak{d} \leq K^2 \leq 2\text{tr}(A_\eta^2), \quad (4)$$

and one equality holds (if and only if the other one also holds) if and only if M^2 is totally umbilical.

Remark 2.2. Note that if M^2 is assumed to be compact, there exists $p_0 \in M^2$ such that equalities (4) hold at p_0 . In fact, otherwise we can define two smooth functions f_1 and f_2 on M^2 with $f_1 < f_2$ and $\{f_1(p), f_2(p)\}$ are the eigenvalues of A_η at every point $p \in M^2$. Each one of the eigendirections provides a 1-dimensional distribution on M^2 . Since M^2 must be a topological sphere \mathbb{S}^2 , this is not possible.

On the contrary, the situation for noncompact complete spacelike surfaces is completely different. Consider the following isometric immersion ψ of the Euclidean plane \mathbb{E}^2 in \mathbb{L}^4 through the lightcone,

$$\psi(x, y) = (\cosh x, \sinh x, \cos y, \sin y),$$

$(x, y) \in \mathbb{E}^2$. The lightlike normal vector field η is given by $\eta(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(-\cosh x, -\sinh x, \cos y, \sin y)$. A direct computation shows $A_\eta(\partial_x) = -(1/2)\partial_x$ and $A_\eta(\partial_y) = (1/2)\partial_y$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{d} = -1/4$, $K = 0$ and $2\text{tr}(A_\eta^2) = 1$.

As a direct consequence of (2) we get.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ . If the function ψ_0 attains a maximum value at $p_0 \in M^2$, then Π_η is positive definite in a neighborhood of p_0 . In particular, if M^2 is compact and η is non-degenerate, Π_η is a Riemannian metric.*

Remark 2.4. (a) If Π_η is a Riemannian metric on M^2 , we get from (3) that $K > 0$ on all M^2 . (b) For a noncompact complete spacelike surface we can have even $A_\eta \equiv 0$. In fact, consider the isometric immersion ϕ of the Euclidean plane \mathbb{E}^2 in \mathbb{L}^4 given by,

$$\phi(x, y) = \left(\frac{x^2 + y^2 + 1}{2}, \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 1}{2}, x, y \right).$$

Clearly $\phi(\mathbb{E}^2) \subset \Lambda$, $\eta(x, y) = (-1, -1, 0, 0)$ and therefore $A_\eta = 0$ at every point $(x, y) \in \mathbb{E}^2$.

For every spacelike immersion $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ which factors through the lightcone Λ , we consider the smooth map $\tilde{\psi} : M^2 \rightarrow \Lambda$ given by $\tilde{\psi} = -\eta$. In general, $\tilde{\psi}$ fails to be an immersion (see previous Remark). In fact, for every $v \in T_p M^2$, we have that $\tilde{\psi}_*(v) = -\bar{\nabla}_v \eta = \psi_*(A_\eta(v))$. Hence we get the following result.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ . Then, $\tilde{\psi}$ is an immersion if and only if η is non-degenerate. In this case, the induced metric from $\tilde{\psi}$ is Riemannian and agrees with the third fundamental form corresponding to η , that is,*

$$\tilde{\psi}^* \langle u, v \rangle = \langle A_\eta^2(u), v \rangle,$$

for every $u, v \in T_p M^2$, $p \in M^2$.

If η is assumed to be non-degenerate, we will write $\tilde{\psi}^* \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \text{III}_\eta$ and $\tilde{\eta}$ will denote the lightlike normal vector field corresponding to $\tilde{\psi}$. Observe that $\tilde{\eta} = -\psi$, in particular $\psi = \tilde{\psi}$. The Weingarten operators and the second fundamental form for $\tilde{\psi}$ will be represented by \tilde{A} and $\tilde{\text{II}}$, respectively. Note that, in general, $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\eta}} = \tilde{A}_{-\psi} \neq I$. The spacelike surface $\tilde{\psi} : M^2 \rightarrow \Lambda$ is called the conjugated surface to ψ .

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ . Assume η is non-degenerate. Then we have,*

1. $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\eta}} = A_\eta^{-1}$.
2. $\tilde{\text{II}}_{\tilde{\eta}} = \text{II}_\eta$.

Proof. The Weingarten equation for $\tilde{\psi}$ can be written as follows,

$$\bar{\nabla}_v \tilde{\eta} = -\tilde{\psi}_* \left(\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\eta}}(v) \right) = -\psi_* \left(A_\eta(\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\eta}}(v)) \right),$$

for every $v \in T_p M^2$, $p \in M^2$. On the other hand, $\bar{\nabla}_v \tilde{\eta} = -\psi_*(v)$ and we deduce the first assertion. Now, for $u, v \in T_p M^2$,

$$\tilde{\text{II}}_{\tilde{\eta}}(u, v) = -\langle A_\eta^2(\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\eta}}(u)), v \rangle = \text{II}_\eta(u, v).$$

□

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ . If η is non-degenerate, then,*

$$K^{\text{III}_\eta} = \frac{K}{\vartheta}. \quad (5)$$

Proof. This easily follows from previous result taking into account (3) for $\tilde{\psi}$. \square

Now, Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.6 give.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ . Assume η is non-degenerate. Then ψ is totally umbilical if and only if $\tilde{\psi}$ is totally umbilical.*

Remark 2.9. An interesting question on spacelike surfaces which factor through the lightcone is the behavior under the effect of expansions. That is, let $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ . For every $\sigma \in C^\infty(M^2)$, consider the immersion $\psi_\sigma := e^\sigma \psi$. Clearly, ψ_σ factors through the lightcone and $g_\sigma = \psi_\sigma^* \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = e^{2\sigma} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, where as usual we have written $\psi^* \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Therefore, ψ_σ is spacelike and the Gauss curvature K_σ of g_σ satisfies,

$$K_\sigma = \frac{K - \Delta\sigma}{e^{2\sigma}}. \quad (6)$$

Let $\eta_\sigma = e^{-\sigma}\eta$ be the lightlike normal vector field such that $\langle \psi_\sigma, \eta_\sigma \rangle = 1$. A straightforward computation from (2) gives that for every $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$,

$$A_{\eta_\sigma}^\sigma(X) = \frac{1}{e^{2\sigma}} \left(A_\eta(X) + \nabla_X \nabla \sigma + \frac{\|\nabla \sigma\|^2}{2} X - X \sigma \cdot \nabla \sigma \right),$$

where $A_{\eta_\sigma}^\sigma$ denotes the Weingarten operator corresponding to η_σ with respect to the spacelike immersion ψ_σ . Observe that (6) also achieves from $K_\sigma = -\text{tr}(A_{\eta_\sigma}^\sigma)$. Assume now $A_{\eta_\sigma}^\sigma$ is non-degenerate, then

$$\text{II}_{\eta_\sigma}^\sigma = \text{II}_\eta + d\sigma \otimes d\sigma - \frac{\|\nabla \sigma\|^2}{2} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle - \text{Hess}^\sigma.$$

In particular, if σ is a constant $\text{II}_{\eta_\sigma}^\sigma = \text{II}_\eta$. The converse holds in the compact case. In fact, from $\text{II}_{\eta_\sigma}^\sigma = \text{II}_\eta$ we get $\Delta\sigma = 0$.

3 The Gauss curvature of II_η

Assume now II_η is a Riemannian metric on M^2 . This section is devoted to obtain a formula which relates the Gauss curvature K of the induced Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the Gauss curvature K^η of the metric II_η .

Let ∇^{II_η} be the Levi-Civita connection of the metric II_η . The difference tensor L between the Levi-Civita connections ∇^{II_η} and ∇ is the symmetric tensor given by,

$$L(X, Y) = \nabla_X^{\text{II}_\eta} Y - \nabla_X Y,$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$. The Koszul formula [11, p. 61] for II_η , the Codazzi equation (1) and $\nabla^\perp \eta = 0$ show,

$$L(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} A_\eta^{-1} \left[(\nabla_X A_\eta) Y \right]. \quad (7)$$

The Riemannian curvature tensor R^η of II_η is obtained as,

$$R^\eta = R + Q_1 + Q_2,$$

where R is the Riemannian curvature tensor of the induced metric and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(X, Y)Z &= (D_X L)(Y, Z) - (D_Y L)(X, Z), \\ Q_2(X, Y)Z &= L(Y, L(X, Z)) - L(X, L(Y, Z)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$. Therefore the Gauss curvature K^η satisfies,

$$2K^\eta = \text{tr}_{\Pi_\eta}(\text{Ric}) + \text{tr}_{\Pi_\eta}(\widehat{Q}_1) + \text{tr}_{\Pi_\eta}(\widehat{Q}_2), \quad (8)$$

where $\widehat{Q}_i(X, Y) = \text{tr}\{Z \mapsto Q_i(Z, X)Y\}$ and tr_{Π_η} stands for the trace of the $(1, 1)$ -tensor $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ defined by $\Pi_\eta(\overline{\mathcal{T}}(X), Y) = \mathcal{T}(X, Y)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ such that Π_η is a Riemannian metric. Then,*

$$2K^\eta = \frac{K^2}{\mathfrak{d}} + \Pi_\eta(L, L) - \frac{1}{4\mathfrak{d}^2} \Pi_\eta(\nabla^{\Pi_\eta} \mathfrak{d}, \nabla^{\Pi_\eta} \mathfrak{d}). \quad (9)$$

Proof. Fix $p \in M^2$ and let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_p M^2$ for $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ which satisfies $A_\eta(e_i) = -\lambda_i e_i$ with $\lambda_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $\{w_1, w_2\}$, where $w_i = (\lambda_i)^{-1/2} e_i$, is an orthonormal basis for Π_η . Taking into account (3), a direct computation shows that,

$$\text{tr}_{\Pi_\eta}(\text{Ric}) = \frac{K^2}{\mathfrak{d}}.$$

From (7), we obtain that $\Pi_\eta(L(X, Y), Z)$ is symmetric in all three variables and therefore,

$$\Pi_\eta(Q_1(X, Y)Y, X) = \Pi_\eta(Q_1(X, Y)X, Y).$$

Now, it is easily deduced that,

$$\text{tr}_{\Pi_\eta}(\widehat{Q}_1) = 0.$$

Taking into account (7), we obtain,

$$\Pi_\eta(L(X, Y), Z) = \Pi_\eta(L(X, Z), Y),$$

for every $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$. A straightforward computation shows,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_{\Pi_\eta}(\widehat{Q}_2) &= 2\left(\Pi_\eta(L(w_1, w_2), L(w_1, w_2)) - \Pi_\eta(L(w_1, w_1), L(w_2, w_2))\right) \\ &= \Pi_\eta(L, L) - \Pi_\eta(\text{tr}_{\Pi_\eta}(L), \text{tr}_{\Pi_\eta}(L)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Pi_\eta(L, L) = \sum_{i,j} \Pi_\eta(L(w_i, w_j), L(w_i, w_j)),$$

and

$$\text{tr}_{\Pi_\eta}(L) = -L(w_1, w_1) - L(w_2, w_2),$$

denotes the vector field obtained from the Π_η -contraction of L .

We end the proof with an explicit expression of $\text{tr}_{\Pi_\eta}(L)$. Let $\{E_1, E_2\}$ be a local orthonormal frame for $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ which satisfies $A_\eta(E_i) = -f_i E_i$ for smooth functions $f_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2$ (see comment

in [1, p. 1815]). Then, $\{W_1, W_2\}$, where $W_i = (f_i)^{-1/2}E_i$, is a local orthonormal frame for Π_η . Recall that $\nabla^\perp \eta = 0$. Now a direct computation shows that,

$$\langle (\nabla_X A_\eta)E_i, E_i \rangle = X(f_i),$$

for any $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M^2)$, and the Codazzi equation implies,

$$X(f_i) = \langle (\nabla_{E_i} A_\eta)E_i, X \rangle. \quad (10)$$

Finally, from (10) and (7) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} X(\log \mathfrak{d}) &= \langle (\nabla_{W_1} A_\eta)W_1, X \rangle + \langle (\nabla_{W_2} A_\eta)W_2, X \rangle \\ &= -\Pi_\eta \left(A_\eta^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^2 (\nabla_{W_j} A_\eta)W_j \right), X \right) = 2\Pi_\eta(\text{tr}_{\Pi_\eta}(L), X). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{tr}_{\Pi_\eta}(L) = \frac{\nabla^{\Pi_\eta} \mathfrak{d}}{2\mathfrak{d}}, \quad (11)$$

which completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. An alternative proof of this formula can be achieved, using a local computation, from [6, Exercise I.18]; compare with [3, Proposition 3.4]. On the other hand, a key fact in order to get formula (9) has been $\nabla^\perp \eta = 0$. For an arbitrary spacelike surface in \mathbb{L}^4 , every lightlike normal vector field η must be recurrent. That is, we have $\nabla^\perp \eta = \omega \otimes \eta$ where ω is a one form on M^2 . If in addition, η is assumed to be non-degenerate, a formula relating the Gauss curvatures K and K^η can be also obtained as a wide extension of (9).

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ and assume Π_η is a Riemannian metric. Then, M^2 is totally umbilical in \mathbb{L}^4 if and only if the Gauss-Kronecker curvature with respect to η is a constant and $K^\eta = 2$.*

Proof. Assume $A_\eta = \lambda I$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then (9) reduces to,

$$2K^\eta = \frac{K^2}{\lambda^2}.$$

Now from (3) we get that $K^\eta = 2$. For the converse, note that (9) implies that $K^2 \leq 4\mathfrak{d}$, and Lemma 2.1 applies to end the proof. \square

Remark 3.4. From Proposition 3.3 and Remark 2.4, every complete spacelike surface M^2 in the lightcone Λ with Π_η a Riemannian metric and totally umbilical satisfies $K = 2|\lambda| > 0$. As a consequence of the classical Myers theorem, if we assume M^2 geodesically complete, M^2 must be compact, and hence a round sphere.

4 Main results

For compact surfaces, Proposition 3.3 can be improved as the following result states.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a compact spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ . Assume η is non-degenerate. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. M^2 is a totally umbilical round sphere,
2. The Gauss-Kronecker curvature $\det(A_\eta)$ is constant,
3. The Gauss curvature of the Riemannian metric \mathbb{I}_η satisfies $K^\eta = 2$.

Proof. From Proposition 2.3, the metric \mathbb{I}_η is Riemannian. If we assume M^2 is totally umbilical, Proposition 3.3 gives that \mathfrak{d} is constant and $K^\eta = 2$. Assume now the Gauss-Kronecker curvature \mathfrak{d} is constant. Since M^2 is a topological 2-sphere [12], we have $\mathfrak{d} > 0$. Therefore, Lemma 2.1 assures that $K \geq 2\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}}$, with equality if and only if M^2 is totally umbilical. Now from Theorem 3.1,

$$2K^\eta \geq \frac{K^2}{\mathfrak{d}} \geq \frac{2K}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}}}. \quad (12)$$

The area elements corresponding to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and \mathbb{I}_η are related by $dA_{\mathbb{I}_\eta} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{d}} dA_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. Hence the Gauss-Bonnet formula and (12) imply,

$$8\pi = \int_{M^2} 2K^\eta dA_{\mathbb{I}_\eta} \geq 2 \int_{M^2} \frac{K}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}}} dA_{\mathbb{I}_\eta} = 2 \int_{M^2} K dA_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} = 8\pi.$$

We get the equality in (12) and so $K = 2\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}}$ and $K^\eta = 2$. Finally, under the assumption $K^\eta = 2$, Lemma 2.1 can be rewritten as follows: $K^\eta\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}} \leq K$, again equality holds if and only if M^2 is totally umbilical. From the Gauss-Bonnet formula,

$$4\pi = \int_{M^2} K^\eta dA_{\mathbb{I}_\eta} = \int_{M^2} K^\eta\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}} dA_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \leq \int_{M^2} K dA_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} = 4\pi,$$

and $K^\eta\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}} = K$. □

Remark 4.2. A compact spacelike immersion ψ which factors through the lightcone Λ with constant Gauss curvature must be totally umbilical [12, Theorem 5.4]. From (5) and Corollary 2.8 it follows that ψ is totally umbilical if and only if K/\mathfrak{d} is a constant.

We end the paper with the statement of two results which complement Theorem 4.1 from points of view.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a compact spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ . Assume η is non-degenerate. Then,*

$$\text{area}(M^2, \mathbb{I}_\eta) \leq 2\pi,$$

and equality holds if and only if M^2 is totally umbilical.

Proof. In Theorem 4.1 we have pointed out that $dA_{\mathbb{I}_\eta} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{d}} dA_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ and $2\sqrt{\mathfrak{d}} \leq K$. Therefore, the result follows as a consequence of the Gauss-Bonnet formula and Lemma 2.1. □

For a compact submanifold M^n of an Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+p} there is a well-known upper bound of the first non-trivial eigenvalue λ_1 of the Laplacian of M^n called the classical Reilly formula [13]. This upper bound depends on the integral of the square length of the mean curvature vector field and the n -dimensional area of M^n . It was shown in [12] that the same formula does not work for any compact spacelike surface in \mathbb{L}^4 . However,

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ be a compact spacelike immersion which factors through the lightcone Λ . We have the following inequality,*

$$\lambda_1 \leq 2 \frac{\int_{M^2} \langle \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H} \rangle dA}{\text{area}(M^2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)}, \quad (13)$$

and equality holds if and only if M^2 is totally umbilical.

Proof. The inequality was obtained in [12] as a consequence of the Hersch inequality [?] taking into account (3). The equality holds in (13) if and only if M^2 has constant Gauss curvature. Now, [12, Theorem 5.4] ends the proof. \square

A compact spacelike surface M^2 in the 3-dimensional de Sitter space \mathbb{S}_1^3 with non-degenerate second fundamental form is totally umbilical if and only if the Gauss curvature K^{II} of its second fundamental form is constant, [3]. A key tool in order to get this result is the Gauss formula $K = 1 - \det(A)$ where K and A are the Gauss curvature and the Weingarten operator of M^2 , respectively. This relationship permits to obtain a formula which relates K and K^{II} and involves different ingredients of (9). This makes that the technique in [3] does not work in order to show that a compact spacelike surface in Λ , with K^η a constant, must be totally umbilical. Note that from Theorem 4.1, this assertion is in fact equivalent to the following one: if K^η is a constant for such a spacelike surface, then $K^\eta = 2$. At the moment the authors have no argument to support this assertion, although we think that it holds true.

Note that M^2 compact, η non-degenerate and K^η constant imply $K^\eta \geq 2$. To prove this fact, take a point $q_0 \in M^2$ where the function \mathfrak{d} attains its maximum value. From Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.1 we deduce that, $2K^\eta \geq K^2(q_0)/\mathfrak{d}(q_0) \geq 4$.

In view of the previous discussion, we state the following

Conjecture. *Every compact spacelike surface in \mathbb{L}^4 which factors through the lightcone Λ such that η is non-degenerate and $K^\eta = \text{constant}$ must be totally umbilical (that is, $K^\eta = 2$).*

References

- [1] J.A. Aledo, L.J. Alías and A. Romero, A new proof of Liebmann classical rigidity theorem for surfaces in space forms, *Rocky Mt. J. Math.*, **35** (2005), 1811–1824.
- [2] J.A. Aledo, S. Haesen and A. Romero, Spacelike surfaces with positive definite second fundamental form in 3D spacetimes, *J. Geom. Physics*, **57** (2007), 913–923.
- [3] J.A. Aledo and A. Romero, Compact spacelike surfaces in the 3-dimensional de Sitter space with non-degenerate second fundamental form, *Differ. Geom. Appl.*, **19** (2003), 97–111.
- [4] A. Asperti and M. Dajczer, Conformally flat Riemannian manifolds as hypersurfaces of the light cone, *Can. Math. Bull.*, **32** (1989), 281–285.
- [5] B.Y. Chen, *Geometry of Submanifolds*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.
- [6] L.P. Eisenhart, *Riemannian Geometry*, 6th Edition, Princeton Univ. Press, 1996.
- [7] M. Kossowski, The S^2 -valued maps and split total curvature of a spacelike codimension-2 surface in Minkowski space, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **40** (1989), 179–192.
- [8] H.L. Liu, Surfaces in lightlike cone, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **325** (2007), 1171–1181.

- [9] H.L. Liu and S. D. Jung, Hypersurfaces in lightlike cone, *J. Geom. Phys.*, **58** (2008), 913–922.
- [10] H. Liu, M. Umehara and K. Yamada, The duality of conformally flat manifolds, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.*, **42** (2011), 131–152.
- [11] B. O’Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [12] F.J. Palomo and A. Romero, On spacelike surfaces in 4-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski spacetime through a lightcone, to appear in *P. Roy. Soc. Edinb. A Mat.*
- [13] R.C. Reilly, On the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact submanifolds of Euclidean space, *Comment. Mat. Helvetici*, **52** (1977), 525–533.