

# A QUANTITATIVE OBSTRUCTION TO COLLAPSING SURFACES

MIKHAIL G. KATZ

ABSTRACT. We provide a quantitative obstruction to collapsing surfaces of genus at least 2 under a lower curvature bound and an upper diameter bound.

Keywords: curvature; diameter; volume; filling radius; systole; Gromov–Hausdorff distance

## 1. INTRODUCTION

S. Alesker posed the following question at MathOverflow [1]. Let  $(M_i)$  be a sequence of 2-dimensional orientable closed surfaces of genus  $g \geq 2$  endowed with smooth Riemannian metrics of Gaussian curvature at least  $-1$  and diameter at most  $D$ . By the Gromov compactness theorem, one can choose a subsequence converging in the Gromov–Hausdorff (GH) sense to a compact Alexandrov space with curvature at least  $-1$  and Hausdorff dimension 0, 1, or 2. Let us assume that the limit space has dimension 1. Then it is either a circle or a segment. Can these possibilities (circle and segment) be obtained in the limit  $M$  of  $(M_i)$ ? We show that these possibilities cannot occur, and quantify this statement by providing an explicit lower bound for the filling radius of  $M$ . For related results see [7].

## 2. IMPOSSIBILITY OF COLLAPSE

We prove the impossibility of collapse in dimension 2, in the following sense.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The distance between a strongly isometric map from a closed orientable surface  $M$  of genus  $g \geq 2$  of Gaussian curvature  $K \geq -1$  and diameter at most  $D$  to a metric space  $Z$ , and a map from  $M$  to a graph in  $Z$ , is at least  $\frac{\pi(g-1)}{3 \sinh D}$ .*

Thus we obtain a quantitative lower bound rather than merely the nonexistence of Shioya–Yamaguchi-type collapse to spaces of positive codimension (see [9], [8]).

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $D > 0$ . GH limits of metrics on a closed orientable surface of genus  $g \geq 2$  with Gaussian curvature at least  $-1$  and diameter at most  $D$  are necessarily 2-dimensional.*

Recall that the *systole* of a Riemannian manifold  $M$  is the least length of a noncontractible loop of  $M$ . For an overview of systolic geometry see [5].

The *filling radius*  $\text{FillRad } M$  of a closed  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  is defined as the infimum of all  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the inclusion of  $M$  in its  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood in any strongly isometric embedding of  $M$  in a Banach space sends the fundamental homology class  $[M]$  of  $M$  to the zero class, by means of the induced homomorphism on  $H_n(M)$ . Here the embedding can be taken to be into the space of bounded functions on  $M$  which sends a point  $p \in M$  to the distance function from  $p$ . This embedding is strongly isometric (ambient distance restricted to  $M$  coincides with intrinsic distance on  $M$ ) if the function space is equipped with the sup-norm.

**Lemma 2.3** (Gromov’s lemma). *The systole of an aspherical manifold  $M$  is at most six times the filling radius of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a strongly isometric embedding of the surface  $M$  into a Banach space  $B$ . The space  $B$  can be assumed finite-dimensional if the metric condition is relaxed to a requirement of being bilipschitz with to a bilipschitz factor arbitrarily close to 1; see [4]. Suppose  $M$  is “filled” (in the homological sense) by a chain  $C$  (in the sense that  $M$  is the boundary of  $C$ ). Then the induced homomorphism  $H_n(M) \rightarrow H_n(C)$  sends  $[M]$  to the zero class. Consider a triangulation of  $C$  into infinitesimal simplices (here the term “infinitesimal” is used informally in its meaning “sufficiently small” though this could be rendered rigorous as in [6]).

We argue by contradiction. Let  $R > 0$  be strictly smaller than a sixth of the systole. Suppose the chain  $C$  is contained in an open  $R$ -neighborhood of  $M$  in  $B$ . We will retract  $C$  back to  $M$ , while fixing the subset  $M \subseteq C$ , contradicting the fact that the nonvanishing fundamental class  $[M]$  is sent to a zero class in  $C$ .

For each vertex of the triangulation of  $C$ , we choose a nearest point of  $M$ . To extend the retraction to the 1-skeleton of  $C$ , we map each edge (of a triangle of the triangulation) to a minimizing path joining the images of the two vertices in  $M$ . The length of such a minimizing path is less than  $2R$  (plus the infinitesimal sidelength of the triangle) by the triangle inequality. Hence the boundary of each 2-cell of the

triangulation is sent to a loop of length at most  $6R$  (plus an infinitesimal). Since this length is less than the systole of  $M$ , the map can now be extended to the 2-skeleton of  $C$ .

To extend the map to the 3 skeleton, note that the universal cover of  $M$  is contractible and hence  $\pi_2(M) = 0$ , and similarly for the higher homotopy groups. Therefore the skeletal retraction extends to all of  $C$  inductively. The contradiction completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* We exploit Gromov’s notion of the filling radius of a manifold [3]. The argument relies only on basic Jacobi field estimates and basic homotopy theory. We seek a suitable lower bound so as to rule out positive-codimension collapse. Choose a noncontractible closed geodesic  $\gamma \subseteq M$  of length equal to the systole  $\text{sys}(M)$ . Consider the normal exponential map along  $\gamma$ . Using the lower curvature bound, we obtain an upper bound on the total area of  $M$  as  $2 \text{sys}(M) \sinh(D)$  where  $D$  is the diameter. The bound follows by applying Rauch bounds on Jacobi fields (this is an ingredient in the proof of Toponogov’s theorem); see e.g., Cheeger–Ebin [2, Theorem 5.8, pp. 97–98]. The bound results from comparison with the area of a hyperbolic collar of width  $D$  around a closed geodesic of the same length as  $\gamma$ . Therefore the systole is bounded below as follows:

$$\text{sys}(M) \geq \frac{\text{area}(M)}{2 \sinh D}. \tag{2.1}$$

Meanwhile the area is bounded below by the Gauss–Bonnet theorem:

$$\text{area}(M) \geq - \int_M K = 2\pi(2g - 2)$$

where  $g$  is the genus. Furthermore the filling radius of  $M$  is bounded below by a sixth of the systole by Gromov’s Lemma 2.3. Therefore the bound (2.1) implies

$$\text{FillRad}(M) \geq \frac{1}{6} \text{sys}(M) \geq \frac{\text{area}(M)}{12 \sinh D} \geq \frac{\pi(g - 1)}{3 \sinh D}. \tag{2.2}$$

The theorem now follows from the fact the distance between a strongly isometric map from  $M$  to a metric space  $Z$  and a map from  $M$  to a graph in  $Z$  is bounded below by the filling radius; see e.g., [3, p. 127, Example]. This proves that aspherical surfaces of curvature bounded below by  $-1$  with diameter bounded above by  $D$  cannot collapse, so that a GH limit is necessarily 2-dimensional, as follows.  $\square$

To prove Corollary 2.2, note that if a metric on  $M$  is sufficiently close to a finite graph  $\Gamma$  in the sense of the GH distance, then the construction of the proof of Lemma 2.3 produces a map from  $M$  to  $\Gamma$

which is close to the embedding of  $M$  in  $Z$ , contradicting the lower bound (2.2).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Alesker S., MathOverflow question, 2016. See <https://mathoverflow.net/q/236001>
- [2] Cheeger J., Ebin D., *Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry*, Revised reprint of the 1975 original, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [3] Gromov M., Filling Riemannian manifolds, *J. Differential Geom.*, 1983, **18**, no. 1, 1–147.
- [4] Katz K., Katz M., Bi-Lipschitz approximation by finite-dimensional imbeddings. *Geom. Dedicata*, 2011, **150**, 131–136.
- [5] Katz M., *Systolic geometry and topology*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 137, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [6] Nowik T., Katz M., Differential geometry via infinitesimal displacements, *Journal of Logic and Analysis*, 2015, **7**:5, 1–44.
- [7] Sabourau S., Small volume of balls, large volume entropy and the Margulis constant, *Math. Ann.*, 2017, **369**, no. 3–4, 1557–1571.
- [8] Shioya T., Yamaguchi T., Collapsing three-manifolds under a lower curvature bound, *J. Differential Geom.*, 2000, **56**, no. 1, 1–66.
- [9] Yamaguchi T., Collapsing and pinching under a lower curvature bound, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 1991, **133**, no. 2, 317–357.

M. KATZ, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR ILAN UNIVERSITY, RAMAT GAN 5290002 ISRAEL

*E-mail address:* katzmik@macs.biu.ac.il