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Abstract

We prove that the redundancy of a $k$-server PIR code of dimension $s$ is $\Omega(\sqrt{s})$ for all $k \geq 3$. This coincides with a known upper bound of $O(\sqrt{s})$ on the redundancy of PIR codes. Moreover, for $k = 3$ and $k = 4$, we determine the lowest possible redundancy of $k$-server PIR codes exactly. Similar results were proved independently by Mary Wootters using a different method.

Given two binary vectors $u = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n)$ and $v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$, we define their product $uv$ componentwise, namely

$$uv \overset{\text{def}}{=} (u_1v_1, u_2v_2, \ldots, u_nv_n)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $u_1v_1, u_2v_2, \ldots, u_nv_n$ are computed in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$. Note that the product operation in (1) distributes over addition in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$. Thus (1) turns the vector space $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ into an algebra $A_n$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$. This algebra $A_n$ is unital, associative, and commutative.

Given a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$, we define the square of $X$ as the set of products of the elements in $X$. Explicitly, $X^2$ is defined as follows:

$$X^2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ uv : u, v \in X \text{ and } u \neq v \}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The following lemmas follow straightforwardly from the definitions in (1) and (2), along with the fact that $A_n$ is a commutative algebra. We let $\langle X \rangle$ denote the linear span over $\mathbb{F}_2$ of a set $X \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$.

**Lemma 1.** $|X^2| \leq |X|(|X| - 1)/2$.

*Proof.* If $|X| = r$, then $X^2$ consists of the $\binom{r}{2}$ vectors $uv = vu$ for some $u \neq v$ in $X$. Some of these vectors may coincide. \hfill $\Box$

**Lemma 2.** Let $u, v_1, v_2, v_3 \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$. If $v_1v_2 + v_1v_3 + v_2v_3 = 0$, then

$$(u + v_1)(u + v_2) + (u + v_2)(u + v_3) + (u + v_3)(u + v_1) = u$$

*Proof.* Follows by straightforward verification using distributivity and commutativity in $A_n$. \hfill $\Box$

We now show how the foregoing lemmas can be used to establish a bound on the redundancy of binary $k$-server PIR codes for $k \geq 3$. These codes are defined in [1][2] as follows.

**Definition 1.** Let $e_i$ denote the binary (column) vector with 1 in position $i$ and zeros elsewhere. We say that an $s \times n$ binary matrix $G$ has property $\mathcal{P}_k$ if for all $i \in [s]$, there exist $k$ disjoint sets of columns of $G$ that add up to $e_i$. A matrix that has property $\mathcal{P}_k$ is also said to be a $k$-server PIR matrix. A binary linear code $C$ of length $n$ and dimension $s$ is called a $k$-server PIR code if there exists a generator matrix $G$ for $C$ with property $\mathcal{P}_k$. 


For much more on $k$-server PIR codes and their applications in reducing the storage overhead of private information retrieval, see [1,2]. In particular, it is shown in [2] that, given a $k$-server PIR code of length $s + r$ and dimension $s$, the storage overhead of any linear $k$-server PIR protocol can be reduced from $k$ to $(s + r) / s$. Moreover, for every fixed $k$, there exist $k$-server PIR codes whose rate (and, hence, storage overhead) approaches 1 as their dimension $s$ grows. However, exactly how fast the resulting storage overhead tends to 1 as $s \to \infty$ was heretofore unknown. For every fixed $k$, Fazeli, Vardy, and Yaakobi [1,2] construct $k$-server PIR codes with redundancy $r$ bounded by $r \leq k\sqrt{s}(1 + o(1))$. But the question of whether codes with even smaller redundancy exist was left open in [1,2]. The following theorem shows that the redundancy $O(\sqrt{s})$ of the codes constructed in [1,2] is asymptotically optimal.

**Theorem 3.** Let $C$ be a 3-server PIR code of length $n$ and dimension $s$. Let $r = n - s$ denote the redundancy of $C$. Then $r(r - 1) \geq 2s$.

**Proof.** Let $G$ be an $s \times n$ generator matrix for $C$ with property $P_3$, and let $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ denote the columns of $G$. By definition, for each $i \in [s]$, there exist 3 disjoint subsets of $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ that add up to $e_i$. Let $R_1, R_2, R_3 \subset [n]$ denote the corresponding sets of indices. Then we can write

$$e_i = \sum_{j \in R_1} x_j = \sum_{j \in R_2} x_j = \sum_{j \in R_3} x_j \quad (3)$$

It is easy to see from Definition 1 that $G$ has full column rank. Hence some $s$ columns of $G$ are linearly independent, and we assume w.l.o.g. that these are the first $s$ columns. Consequently, there exists a nonsingular $s \times s$ matrix $A$ such that

$$G' \overset{\text{def}}{=} AG = \begin{bmatrix} I_s & P \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

where $I_s$ is the $s \times s$ identity matrix and $P$ is an $s \times r$ matrix. Let $x'_1, x'_2, \ldots, x'_n$ denote the columns of $G'$, with $x'_j = e_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$. Then it follows from (3) that

$$a_i = \sum_{j \in R_1} x'_j = \sum_{j \in R_2} x'_j = \sum_{j \in R_3} x'_j \quad (5)$$

where $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s$ are the columns of $A$. Note that $\dim \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s \rangle = s$, since the matrix $A$ is nonsingular. Let us now further define

$$S_1 = R_1 \cap [s], \quad S_2 = R_2 \cap [s], \quad S_3 = R_3 \cap [s] \quad (6)$$

$$T_1 = R_1 \cap ([n] \setminus [s]), \quad T_2 = R_2 \cap ([n] \setminus [s]), \quad T_3 = R_3 \cap ([n] \setminus [s]) \quad (7)$$

$$v_1 = \sum_{j \in S_1} x'_j = \sum_{j \in S_1} e_j, \quad v_2 = \sum_{j \in S_2} x'_j = \sum_{j \in S_2} e_j, \quad v_3 = \sum_{j \in S_3} x'_j = \sum_{j \in S_3} e_j \quad (8)$$

With this notation, we can rewrite (5) as follows:

$$a_i + v_1 = \sum_{j \in T_1} x'_j \quad a_i + v_2 = \sum_{j \in T_2} x'_j \quad a_i + v_3 = \sum_{j \in T_3} x'_j \quad (9)$$

Finally, let us define $X \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{x'_{s+1}, x'_{s+2}, \ldots, x'_n\}$. Then it follows from (9) that $a_i + v_1, a_i + v_2$, and $a_i + v_3$ belong to $\langle X \rangle$. We are now ready to use Lemmas [1] and [2] in order to complete the proof.
Since the sets $S_1, S_2, S_3$ are disjoint, it follows from (8) that the supports of $v_1, v_2, v_3$ are also disjoint. In other words, $v_1v_2 = v_1v_3 = v_2v_3 = 0$. Using Lemma[2] we conclude that

$$a_i = (a_i + v_1)(a_i + v_2) + (a_i + v_2)(a_i + v_3) + (a_i + v_3)(a_i + v_1)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{j \in T_1} x'_j \right) \left( \sum_{j \in T_2} x'_j \right) + \left( \sum_{j \in T_2} x'_j \right) \left( \sum_{j \in T_3} x'_j \right) + \left( \sum_{j \in T_3} x'_j \right) \left( \sum_{j \in T_1} x'_j \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j \in T_1} \sum_{k \in T_2} x'_j x'_k + \sum_{j \in T_2} \sum_{k \in T_3} x'_j x'_k + \sum_{j \in T_3} \sum_{k \in T_1} x'_j x'_k$$

Since the sets $T_1, T_2, T_3$ are disjoint subsets of $[n] \setminus [s]$, all of the products $x'_j x'_k$ above belong to $X^2$. Consequently, it follows that $a_i \in \langle X^2 \rangle$ for all $i$. Hence

$$\dim \langle X^2 \rangle \geq \dim \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s \rangle = s$$

But $\dim \langle X^2 \rangle \leq |X^2| = r(r - 1)/2$, where we have used Lemma[1] Thus $r(r - 1)/2 \geq s$, which completes the proof of the theorem.

It is shown in [1,2] that the redundancy of $k$-server PIR codes is non-decreasing in $k$. That is, if $\rho(s, k)$ denotes the lowest possible redundancy of a $k$-server PIR code of dimension $s$, then

$$\rho(s, k + 1) \geq \rho(s, k) \quad \text{for all } s \geq 1 \text{ and all } k \geq 2$$

Consequently, the lower bound of Theorem[3] trivially extends from 3-server PIR codes to general $k$-server PIR codes with $k \geq 3$.

The following simple construction achieves the lower bound of Theorem[3] for $k = 3$. Let $r$ be the smallest integer such that $\binom{r}{2} \geq s$. Take $G = [I_s \mid P]$, where $P$ is an $s \times r$ matrix whose rows are distinct binary vectors of weight 2. Clearly, the rows of $P$ form a constant-weight binary code with distance 2. By the results of [1,2], this implies that $G$ is a 3-server PIR matrix, and therefore

$$\rho(s, 3) = \text{the smallest integer } r \text{ such that } r(r - 1) \geq 2s = \left\lceil \sqrt{2s + \frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil$$

(10)

It is also shown in [1,2] that for all even $k$, we have $\rho(s, k) = \rho(s, k - 1) + 1$. Consequently, (10) determines the lowest possible redundancy of 4-server PIR codes as well.
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