

Spanning trees and spanning closed walks with small degrees

MORTEZA HASANVAND

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

hasanvand@alum.sharif.edu

Abstract

Let G be a graph and let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. In this paper, we show that if for all $S \subseteq V(G)$, $\omega(G \setminus S) < \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2) + 2 + \omega(G[S])$, then G has a spanning tree T containing a given arbitrary matching such that for each vertex v , $d_T(v) \leq f(v)$, where $\omega(G \setminus S)$ denotes the number of components of $G \setminus S$ and $\omega(G[S])$ denotes the number of components of the induced subgraph $G[S]$ with the vertex set S . This is an improvement of several results. Next, we prove that if for all $S \subseteq V(G)$, $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 1$, then G admits a spanning closed walk passing through the edges of a given arbitrary matching meeting each vertex v at most $f(v)$ times. This result solves a long-standing conjecture due to Jackson and Wormald (1990).

Keywords:

Spanning tree; spanning closed walk; toughness; connected factor; matching.

1 Introduction

In this article, all graphs have no loop, but multiple edges are allowed and a simple graph is a graph without multiple edges. Let G be a graph. The vertex set, the edge set, the maximum degree, and the number of components of G are denoted by $V(G)$, $E(G)$, $\Delta(G)$, and $\omega(G)$, respectively. The degree $d_G(v)$ of a vertex v is the number of edges of G incident to v . Let F be a subgraph of G . For a vertex v , we denote by $d_G(v, F)$ the number of edges uv of G such that u and v are not in the same component of F . The graph F is said to be **trivial**, if it has no edge. The graph obtained from G by contracting any component of F is denoted by G/F . Let $S \subseteq V(G)$. We denote by $G[S]$ the induced subgraph of G with the vertex set S containing precisely those edges of G whose ends lie in S . The graph obtained from G by removing all vertices of S is denoted by $G \setminus S$. We denote by $G \setminus [S, F]$ the graph obtained from G by removing all edges incident to the vertices of S except the edges of F . Note that while the vertices of S are deleted in $G \setminus S$, no vertices are removed in $G \setminus [S, F]$. The set S is called **independent**, if there is no edge of G connecting vertices in S . We denote by $e_G(S)$ the number of edges of G with both ends in S . Moreover, the number of edges of G with both ends in S joining different components of F is denoted by $e_G(S, F)$. Let g and f be two

nonnegative integer-valued functions on $V(G)$. A (g, f) -**factor** of G is a spanning subgraph H such that for each vertex v , $g(v) \leq d_H(v) \leq f(v)$. For a set A of integers, an A -**factor** is a spanning subgraph with vertex degrees in A . A tree (forest) T is said to be an f -**tree** (f -**forest**), if for each vertex v , $d_T(v) \leq f(v)$. Likewise, an f -**walk** (f -**trail**) in a graph refers to a walk (trail) meeting each vertex v at most $f(v)$ times. A graph is called $K_{1,n}$ -**free**, if it has no induced subgraph isomorphic to the complete bipartite graph $K_{1,n}$. For a positive real number t , a graph G is said to be t -**tough**, if $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \max\{1, \frac{1}{t}|S|\}$ for all $S \subseteq V(G)$. A graph is called k -**tree-connected**, if it has k edge-disjoint spanning trees. Throughout this article, all variables k are integer and positive.

In 1976 Frank and Gyárfás investigated orientations of graphs with bounded out-degrees on certain connectivity properties. A special case of their result can conclude the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1.([5, 14]) *Let G be a graph with the independent set $X \subseteq V(G)$. If for all $S \subseteq X$, $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 1$, then G has a spanning tree T such that for each $v \in X$, $d_T(v) \leq f(v)$, where f is a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$.*

In 1989 Win [17] established a result related to spanning trees and toughness of graphs, and Ellingham, Nam, and Voss (2002) generalized it as the following. Former, Ellingham and Zha (2000) [3] found the following fact for constant function form.

Theorem 1.2.([2]) *Let G be a connected graph with the spanning forest F . If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$, $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2) + 2$, then G has a spanning tree T containing F such that for each vertex v ,*

$$d_T(v) \leq \begin{cases} f(v) + d_F(v), & \text{if } c = 1; \\ f(v) + d_F(v) - 1, & \text{if } c \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

where every component of F contains at least c vertices and f is a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$.

Liu and Xu (1998) and Ellingham, Nam, and Voss (2002) independently investigated spanning trees with small degrees in highly edge-connected graphs and found the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3.([2, 12]) *Every k -edge-connected simple graph G has a spanning tree T such that for each vertex v , $d_T(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{k} \rceil + 2$.*

Recently, the present author (2015) refined Theorem 1.3 and concluded the next theorems.

Theorem 1.4.([6]) *Every k -edge-connected graph G has a spanning tree T such that for each vertex v , $d_T(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_G(v)-2}{k} \rceil + 2$.*

Theorem 1.5.([6]) *Every k -tree-connected graph G has a spanning tree T such that for each vertex v , $d_T(v) \leq \lceil \frac{d_G(v)-1}{k} \rceil + 1$.*

In this paper, we improve Theorems 1.2 to the following stronger version which can conclude Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, and 1.5 (not necessarily directly). It also gives a number of new applications on connected factors.

Theorem 1.6. *Let G be a graph with the spanning forest F . Let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) < \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2) + 2 + \omega(G[S]),$$

then G has a spanning tree T containing F such that for each vertex v , $d_T(v) \leq f(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\}$.

Ellingham and Zha (2000) established a sufficient toughness condition for extending a spanning forest with non-trivial components to a spanning tree only by inserting a matching. Later, Ellingham, Nam, and Voss (2002) developed their result to the following theorem.

Theorem 1.7.([2]) *Let G be a connected graph with the spanning forest F which every component of it contains at least c vertices with $c \geq 2$. Let h be a nonnegative integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for every $S \subseteq V(G)$, at least one of the following conditions holds:*

- $\omega(G \setminus S) < \sum_{v \in S} (\frac{1}{2}h(v) - \frac{1}{c}) + 2$.
- $\omega(G \setminus S) < \sum_{v \in S} \frac{\rho-2}{2\rho-2}h(v) + 2 + \frac{1}{\rho-1}$, where $\rho = c \min\{h(v) : v \in S\} \geq c$.

then G has a spanning tree T containing F such that for each vertex v , $d_T(v) \leq h(v) + d_F(v)$.

In this paper, we provide a common improvement for both items of Theorem 1.7 as the following stronger version. More generally, we will introduce a combined version for this result and Theorem 1.6. Owing to its complicated form, we postpone it until Section 5.

Theorem 1.8. *Let G be a graph with the spanning forest F which every component of it contains at least c vertices with $c \geq 2$. Let h be a nonnegative integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) < \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{c}{2c-2}h(v) - \frac{1}{c-1} \right) + 2 + \frac{1}{c-1}\omega(G[S]),$$

then G has a spanning tree T containing F such that for each vertex v , $d_T(v) \leq h(v) + d_F(v)$.

In 1990 Jackson and Wormald [7] conjectured that every $\frac{1}{n-1}$ -tough graph with $n \geq 2$ admits a spanning closed n -walk. They also observed that this conjecture is true for $\frac{1}{n-2}$ -tough graphs, when $n \geq 3$. Later, Ellingham and Zha (2000) proved the remaining case $n = 2$ for 4-tough graphs by making the following result. In Section 6, we solve this conjecture completely as mentioned in the abstract.

Theorem 1.9.([3]) *Every 4-tough simple graph of order at least three admits a connected $\{2, 3\}$ -factor containing a given arbitrary 2-factor.*

2 Preliminary result

Here, we state the following fundamental theorem which was studied in [2, Theorem 1] for the case that M is the trivial matching.

Theorem 2.1.([2]) *Let G be a graph with the spanning forest F , and let M be a matching of G whose non-trivial components are vertex-disjoint from non-trivial components of F . Let h be a nonnegative integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If \mathcal{T} is a spanning $(h + d_F)$ -forest of G containing $F \cup M$ with the minimum $\omega(\mathcal{T})$, then there exists a subset S of $V(G)$ with the following properties:*

1. $\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) = \omega(\mathcal{T} \setminus [S, F])$.
2. For each vertex v of S , $d_{\mathcal{T}}(v) = h(v) + d_F(v)$.

Proof. Define $V_0 = \emptyset$. For any $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $u \in V(G) \setminus S$, let $\mathcal{A}(S, u)$ be the set of all spanning $(h + d_F)$ -forests \mathcal{T}' of G containing $F \cup M$ such that $\omega(\mathcal{T}') = \omega(\mathcal{T})$, and also \mathcal{T}' and \mathcal{T} have the same edges, except for some of the edges of G whose ends are in $V(C) \setminus S$, where C is the component of $\mathcal{T} \setminus [S, F]$ containing u . Now, for each positive integer n , recursively define V_n as follows:

$$V_n = V_{n-1} \cup \{v \in V(G) \setminus V_{n-1} : d_{\mathcal{T}'}(v) = h(v) + d_F(v), \text{ for all } \mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{A}(V_{n-1}, v)\}.$$

Now, we prove the following claim.

Claim. Let x and y be two vertices in different components of $\mathcal{T} \setminus [V_{n-1}, F]$. If $xy \in E(G) \setminus E(\mathcal{T})$, then $x \in V_n$ or $y \in V_n$.

Proof of Claim. By induction on n . Suppose otherwise that x and y are in different components of $\mathcal{T} \setminus [V_{n-1}, F]$, respectively, with the vertex sets X and Y , $xy \in E(G) \setminus E(\mathcal{T})$, and $x, y \notin V_n$. Since $x, y \notin V_n$, there exist $\mathcal{T}_x \in \mathcal{A}(V_{n-1}, x)$ and $\mathcal{T}_y \in \mathcal{A}(V_{n-1}, y)$ with $d_{\mathcal{T}_x}(x) < h(x) + d_F(x)$ and $d_{\mathcal{T}_y}(y) < h(y) + d_F(y)$. For $n = 1$, define \mathcal{T}' to be the spanning forest of G containing $F \cup M$ with

$$E(\mathcal{T}') = E(\mathcal{T}) + xy - E(\mathcal{T}[X]) + E(\mathcal{T}_x[X]) - E(\mathcal{T}[Y]) + E(\mathcal{T}_y[Y]).$$

Since \mathcal{T}' is a spanning $(h + d_F)$ -forest and $\omega(\mathcal{T}') < \omega(\mathcal{T})$, we arrive at a contradiction. Now, suppose $n \geq 2$. By the induction hypothesis, x and y are in the same component of $\mathcal{T} \setminus [V_{n-2}, F]$. Let P be the unique path connecting x and y in \mathcal{T} . Notice that the vertices of P lie in the same component of $\mathcal{T} \setminus [V_{n-2}, F]$. Pick $e \in E(P) \setminus E(F)$ such that e is incident to a vertex $z \in V_{n-1} \setminus V_{n-2}$. According to the assumption on M and F , if $e \in E(M)$ then for the other edge $e' \in E(P) \setminus \{e\}$ incident to z , we must have $e' \notin E(F) \cup E(M)$. We may therefore assume that $e \notin E(M)$. Now, let \mathcal{T}' be the spanning forest of G containing $F \cup M$ with

$$E(\mathcal{T}') = E(\mathcal{T}) - e + xy - E(\mathcal{T}[X]) + E(\mathcal{T}_x[X]) - E(\mathcal{T}[Y]) + E(\mathcal{T}_y[Y]).$$

It is not hard to check that $d_{\mathcal{T}'}(z) < d_{\mathcal{T}}(z) \leq h(z) + d_F(z)$ and \mathcal{T}' lies in $\mathcal{A}(V_{n-2}, z)$. Since $z \in V_{n-1}$, we arrive at a contradiction. Hence the claim holds.

Obviously, there exists a positive integer n with $V_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_{n-1} = V_n$. Put $S = V_n$. For each $v \in V_i \setminus V_{i-1}$, we have $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{A}(V_{i-1}, v)$ and so $d_{\mathcal{T}}(v) = h(v) + d_F(v)$. This implies Condition 2. In addition, by the previous claim, every edge of $E(G) \setminus E(\mathcal{T})$ joining different components of $\mathcal{T} \setminus [S, F]$ must be incident to S . This establishes Condition 1 and completes the proof. \square

When F is the trivial spanning forest, Theorem 2.1 can be reformulated to the following simpler version. For our purposes in Section 4, this special case would be sufficient.

Corollary 2.2. *Let G be a graph with the matching M and let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If \mathcal{T} is a spanning f -forest of G containing M with the minimum $\omega(\mathcal{T})$, then there exists a subset S of $V(G)$ with the following properties:*

1. $\omega(G \setminus S) = \omega(\mathcal{T} \setminus S)$.
2. For each vertex v of S , $d_{\mathcal{T}}(v) = f(v)$.

3 Connected $(d_F, f + d_F - 1)$ -factors

The following lemma establishes a simple but important property of forests.

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathcal{T} be a forest with the spanning forest F . If $S \subseteq V(\mathcal{T})$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{T} \setminus E(F)$, then*

$$\sum_{v \in S} d_{\mathcal{F}}(v) = \omega(\mathcal{T} \setminus [S, F]) - \omega(\mathcal{T}) + e_{\mathcal{F}}(S).$$

Proof. By induction on the number of edges of \mathcal{F} which are incident to the vertices in S . If there is no edge of \mathcal{F} incident to a vertex in S , then the proof is clear. Now, suppose that there exists an edge $e = uu' \in E(\mathcal{F})$ with $|S \cap \{u, u'\}| \geq 1$. Hence

1. $\omega(\mathcal{T}) = \omega(\mathcal{T} \setminus e) - 1$,
2. $\omega(\mathcal{T} \setminus [S, F]) = \omega((\mathcal{T} \setminus e) \setminus [S, F])$,
3. $e_{\mathcal{F}}(S) = e_{\mathcal{F} \setminus e}(S) + |S \cap \{u, u'\}| - 1$,
4. $\sum_{v \in S} d_{\mathcal{F}}(v) = \sum_{v \in S} d_{\mathcal{F} \setminus e}(v) + |S \cap \{u, u'\}|$.

Therefore, by the induction hypothesis on $\mathcal{T} \setminus e$ with the spanning forest F the lemma holds. \square

The following theorem is essential in this section.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a connected graph with $X \subseteq V(G)$ and with the factor F . Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ be a real number and let $\eta : X \rightarrow (\lambda, \infty)$ be a real function. If for all $S \subseteq X$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) < \sum_{v \in S} (\eta(v) - 2) + 2 - \lambda e_G(S, F) + 1 - \lambda,$$

then G has a connected factor H containing F such that for each $v \in X$, $d_H(v) \leq \lceil \eta(v) - \lambda \rceil + d_F(v) - 1$.

Proof. For each vertex v , define

$$h(v) = \begin{cases} d_G(v) + 1, & \text{if } v \notin X; \\ \lceil \eta(v) - \lambda \rceil - 1, & \text{if } v \in X. \end{cases}$$

First, suppose that F is forest. Let \mathcal{T} be a spanning $(h + d_F)$ -forest of G containing F with the minimum $\omega(\mathcal{T})$. Define S to be a subset of $V(G)$ with the properties described in Theorem 2.1. If S is empty, then $\omega(\mathcal{T}) = \omega(G) = 1$ and the theorem clearly holds. So, suppose S is nonempty. If $v \in V(G) \setminus X$, then $d_{\mathcal{T}}(v) \leq d_G(v) < h(v) + d_F(v)$. This implies that $S \subseteq X$. Put $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{T} \setminus E(F)$. By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.1,

$$\sum_{v \in S} h(v) = \sum_{v \in S} d_{\mathcal{F}}(v) = \omega(\mathcal{T} \setminus [S, F]) - \omega(\mathcal{T}) + e_{\mathcal{F}}(S),$$

and so

$$\omega(\mathcal{T}) = \omega(G \setminus [S, F]) - \sum_{v \in S} h(v) + (1 - \lambda)e_{\mathcal{F}}(S) + \lambda e_{\mathcal{F}}(S).$$

Since $e_{\mathcal{F}}(S) \leq |S| - 1$ and $e_{\mathcal{F}}(S) \leq e_G(S, F)$, by the assumption, we therefore have

$$\omega(\mathcal{T}) \leq \omega(G \setminus [S, F]) - \sum_{v \in S} (\eta(v) - \lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)(|S| - 1) + \lambda e_G(S, F) < 2.$$

Hence $\omega(\mathcal{T}) = 1$ and the theorem holds. Now, suppose that F is not forest. Remove some of the edges of the components of F until the resulting graph F' becomes a forest with the same components. It is enough, now, to apply the theorem on F' and finally add the edges of $E(F) \setminus E(F')$ to that explored tree. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let G be a connected graph and let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2) + 2,$$

then G has a connected factor H containing F such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq f(v) + d_F(v) - 1$.

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.2 with $\eta = f$ and $\lambda = 0$. \square

3.1 Graphs with high essential edge-connectivity

The following lemma provides two upper bounds on $\omega(G \setminus [S, F])$ depending on two parameters of connectivity of G/F and $d_G(v, F)$ of the vertices v in S .

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a graph with the factor F and let $S \subseteq V(G)$. Then*

$$\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) \leq \begin{cases} \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{d_G(v, F)}{k} + 1 \right) - \frac{2}{k} e_G(S, F), & \text{if } G/F \text{ is } k\text{-edge-connected and } S \neq \emptyset; \\ \sum_{v \in S} \frac{d_G(v, F)}{k} + 1 - \frac{1}{k} e_G(S, F), & \text{if } G/F \text{ is } k\text{-tree-connected.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. First, assume that G/F is k -edge-connected and S is nonempty. Thus there are at least $k(\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) - |S|)$ edges of G with exactly one end in S joining different components of $G \setminus [S, F]$, because S is nonempty and there are at least $\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) - |S|$ components of $G \setminus [S, F]$ without any vertex of S . Note that we might have $\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) < |S|$. On the other hand, there are $\sum_{v \in S} d_G(v, F) - 2e_G(S, F)$ edges of G with exactly one end in S joining different components of F . Hence we have

$$k(\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) - |S|) \leq \sum_{v \in S} d_G(v, F) - 2e_G(S, F).$$

Next, assume that G/F is k -tree-connected. Thus there are at least $k(\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) - 1)$ edges of G with at least one end in S joining different components of $G \setminus [S, F]$. On the other hand, there are $\sum_{v \in S} d_G(v, F) - e_G(S, F)$ edges of G with at least one end in S joining different components of F . Hence we have

$$k(\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) - 1) \leq \sum_{v \in S} d_G(v, F) - e_G(S, F).$$

These inequalities complete the proof. \square

The following theorem generalizes Theorems 1.4 and 1.5.

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a graph with the factor F . Then G has a connected factor H containing F such that for each vertex v ,*

$$d_H(v) \leq \begin{cases} \left\lceil \frac{d_G(v) - d_F(v) - 2}{k} \right\rceil + d_F(v) + 2, & \text{if } G/F \text{ is } k\text{-edge-connected;} \\ \left\lceil \frac{d_G(v) - d_F(v) - 1}{k} \right\rceil + d_F(v) + 1, & \text{if } G/F \text{ is } k\text{-tree-connected.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for a given arbitrary vertex u , the upper bound can be reduced to $\lfloor (d_G(u) - d_F(u))/k \rfloor + d_F(u)$.

Proof. We may assume that $k \geq 2$, as the assertions trivially hold when $k = 1$. Since G is connected, it is obvious that $\omega(G \setminus [\emptyset, F]) = 1$. Let S be a nonempty subset of $V(G)$. If G/F is k -edge-connected, then by Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) \leq \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{d_G(v, F)}{k} + 1 \right) - \frac{2}{k} e_G(S, F) = \begin{cases} \sum_{v \in S} (\eta(v) - 2) + 2 - \frac{2}{k} e_G(S, F) + 1 - \frac{3}{k}, & \text{if } u \in S; \\ \sum_{v \in S} (\eta(v) - 2) - \frac{2}{k} e_G(S, F), & \text{if } u \notin S. \end{cases}$$

where $\eta(u) = \frac{d_G(u) - d_F(u) + 3}{k}$ and $\eta(v) = \frac{d_G(v) - d_F(v)}{k} + 3$ for all $v \in V(G) \setminus u$. If G is k -tree-connected, then by Lemma 3.4, we also have

$$\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) \leq \sum_{v \in S} \frac{d_G(v, F)}{k} + 1 - \frac{1}{k} e_G(S, F) = \begin{cases} \sum_{v \in S} (\eta(v) - 2) + 2 - \frac{1}{k} e_G(S, F) + 1 - \frac{2}{k}, & \text{if } u \in S; \\ \sum_{v \in S} (\eta(v) - 2) + 1 - \frac{1}{k} e_G(S, F), & \text{if } u \notin S. \end{cases}$$

where $\eta(u) = \frac{d_G(u) - d_F(u) + 2}{k}$ and $\eta(v) = \frac{d_G(v) - d_F(v)}{k} + 2$ for all $v \in V(G) \setminus u$. Hence the assertions follow from Theorem 3.2 with $\lambda \in \{2/k, 1/k\}$. \square

3.2 A necessary and sufficient condition

The following theorem provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a spanning tree with the described properties.

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be a graph with the spanning forest F and let $X \subseteq V(G)$ with $e_G(X, F) = 0$. Then G has a spanning tree T containing F such that for each $v \in X$, $d_T(v) \leq h(v) + d_F(v)$, if and only if for all $S \subseteq X$, $\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) \leq \sum_{v \in S} h(v) + 1$, where h is a nonnegative integer-valued function on X .*

Proof. Assume that G has a spanning tree T containing F such that for each $v \in X$, $d_T(v) \leq h(v) + d_F(v)$. Put $\mathcal{F} = T \setminus E(F)$ and let $S \subseteq X$. According to the assumption on X , one can conclude that $e_{\mathcal{F}}(S) = 0$. Since for each $v \in S$, $d_{\mathcal{F}}(v) \leq h(v)$, and $\omega(T) = 1$, with respect to Lemma 3.1, $\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) \leq \omega(T \setminus [S, F]) = \sum_{v \in S} d_{\mathcal{F}}(v) + 1 \leq \sum_{v \in S} h(v) + 1$. To prove the converse, one can apply Theorem 3.2 with $\lambda = 1$ and $\eta(v) = h(v) + 2$. Note that G is connected, because $\omega(G \setminus [\emptyset, F]) \leq 1$. \square

Corollary 3.7. ([5], see Page 5 in [14]) *Let G be a graph with the independent set $X \subseteq V(G)$. Then G has a spanning tree T such that for each $v \in X$, $d_T(v) \leq f(v)$, if and only if $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 1$ for all $S \subseteq X$, where f is a positive integer-valued function on X .*

Proof. Apply this fact $\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) = \omega(G \setminus S) + |S|$ when F is the trivial spanning forest. \square

4 Connected $(d_F, f + \max\{0, d_F - 1\})$ -factors

Our aim in this section is to prove Theorem 1.6 and give several applications of it on connected factors. We begin with the following lemma that allows us to make the proof simpler. This lemma can also develop a result due to Rivera-Campo [15], who gave a sufficient condition for the existence of a spanning tree with bounded maximum degree containing a given arbitrary matching.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a graph with the factor F . If a maximal matching of F can be extended to a spanning tree T , then F itself can be extended to a connected factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$d_H(v) \leq d_T(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\}.$$

Proof. Define $G_0 = F \cup T$. Choose a maximal matching M of F which can be extended to the spanning tree T . By the definition, $E(M) \subseteq E(F) \cap E(T)$. Let T_0 be a spanning tree of G_0 containing M such that $d_{T_0}(v) \leq d_T(v)$, for all vertices v with $d_M(v) = 0$. Note that T is a natural candidate for T_0 . Consider T_0 with the maximum $|E(F) \cap E(T_0)|$ and define $H = F \cup T_0$. We claim that H is the desired factor we are looking for. Let $v \in V(H)$. If $d_M(v) = 1$ or $d_F(v) = 0$, then

$$d_H(v) \leq d_{G_0}(v) \leq d_T(v) + d_F(v) - d_M(v) \leq d_T(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\}.$$

So, suppose that $d_M(v) = 0$ and $d_F(v) > 0$. Define F_0 to be the factor of F with $E(F_0) = E(F) \cap E(T_0)$ containing $E(M)$. To complete the proof, we are going to show that $d_{F_0}(v) > 0$, which can imply that $d_{H_0}(v) \leq d_{T_0}(v) + d_F(v) - d_{F_0}(v) \leq d_T(v) + d_F(v) - 1$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $d_{F_0}(v) = 0$. Pick $vx \in E(F) \setminus E(F_0)$. Obviously, there exists an edge $vy \in E(T_0)$ such that the graph $T'_0 = T_0 - vy + vx$ is still connected. Since $d_{F_0}(v) = 0$, we must have $vy \notin E(F)$. Also, since $vx \notin E(M)$, the spanning tree T'_0 must contain $E(M)$. According to this construction, $d_{T'_0}(x) = d_T(x) + 1$ and $d_{T'_0}(y) = d_T(y) - 1$, and also $d_{T'_0}(u) = d_T(u)$ for all vertices $u \in V(G) \setminus \{x, y\}$. By the maximality of M , since $vx \in E(F)$ and $d_M(v) = 0$, we must have $d_M(x) = 1$; otherwise, one can add vx into M to expand it to a large matching of F . Therefore, $d_{T'_0}(u) \leq d_{T_0}(u) \leq d_T(u)$ for all vertices u with $d_M(u) = 0$. Since $|E(F) \cap E(T'_0)| = |E(F) \cap E(T_0)| + 1$, we derive a contradiction to the maximality of T_0 , as desired. \square

The following theorem is essential in this section.

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a graph with $X \subseteq V(G)$ and with the factor F . Let f be a positive integer-valued function on X . If for all $S \subseteq X$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) < \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2) + 2 + \omega(G[S]),$$

then G has a connected factor H containing F such that for each $v \in X$, $d_H(v) \leq f(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\}$.

Proof. For each $v \in V(G) \setminus X$, define $f(v) = d_G(v) + 1$. Choose a matching M of F and let \mathcal{T} be a spanning f -forest of G containing M with the minimum $\omega(\mathcal{T})$. Define S to be a subset of $V(G)$ with the properties described in Corollary 2.2. If $v \in V(G) \setminus X$, then $d_{\mathcal{T}}(v) \leq d_G(v) < f(v)$. This implies that $S \subseteq X$. By Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 2.2,

$$\sum_{v \in S} f(v) = \sum_{v \in S} d_{\mathcal{T}}(v) = \omega(\mathcal{T} \setminus S) + |S| - \omega(\mathcal{T}) + e_{\mathcal{T}}(S),$$

and hence

$$\omega(\mathcal{T}) = \omega(G \setminus S) - \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + e_{\mathcal{T}}(S).$$

Since $e_{\mathcal{T}}(S) \leq |S| - \omega(G[S])$, by the assumption, we therefore have

$$\omega(\mathcal{T}) \leq \omega(G \setminus S) - \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2) - \omega(G[S]) < 2.$$

Thus \mathcal{T} is a spanning f -tree of G containing M . Since M is an arbitrary matching, by Lemma 4.1, one can conclude that the factor F itself can be extended to a connected factor H such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq f(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\}$. Hence the theorem is proved. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let G be a graph with the independent set $X \subseteq V(G)$. Let f be a positive integer-valued function on X . If for all $S \subseteq X$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 1,$$

then every factor F can be extended to a connected factor H such that for each $v \in X$, $d_H(v) \leq f(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\}$.

Proof. Let S be a subset of X . Since X is an independent set, we must have $\omega(G[S]) = |S|$ which implies that $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 1 < \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2) + 2 + \omega(G[S])$. Now, it is enough to apply Theorem 4.2. \square

Ellingham, Nam, and Voss [2] discovered the following result, when g' is a positive function.

Corollary 4.4. *Let G be a connected graph. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$, $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2) + 2$, then every (g', f') -factor can be extended to a connected $(g', f' + f - 1)$ -factor, where g' is a nonnegative integer-valued function on $V(G)$, and f' and f are positive integer-valued functions on $V(G)$.*

Proof. Since G is connected, it is obvious that $\omega(G \setminus \emptyset) < 2 + \omega(G[\emptyset])$. Let S be a nonempty subset of $V(G)$. Since $\omega(G[S]) \geq 1$, we must have $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2) + 2 < \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2) + 2 + \omega(G[S])$. Thus by Theorem 4.2, we can extend a given arbitrary (g', f') -factor F to a connected factor H such that for each vertex v , $g'(v) \leq d_F(v) \leq d_H(v) \leq f(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\}$. Since $f'(v)$ is positive, we have $d_H(v) \leq f(v) + f'(v) - 1$, whether $d_F(v) = 0$ or not. Thus H is the desired connected factor. \square

When we consider the special case $(g', f') = (0, 1)$, Corollary 4.4 becomes simpler as the following result.

Corollary 4.5. *Let G be a connected graph. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$, $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2) + 2$, then G has a spanning f -tree containing a given arbitrary matching, where f is a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$.*

The following lemma allows us to establish the next result, and also will be employed in the last section.

Lemma 4.6. (Ellingham, Nam, and Voss [2]) *If G is a connected $K_{1,n}$ -free simple graph with $n \geq 2$, then $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq (n - 2)|S| + 1$ for all $S \subseteq V(G)$.*

Xu, Liu, and Tokuda [18] discovered the following result, when g' is a positive function.

Corollary 4.7. *If G is a connected $K_{1,n}$ -free simple graph with $n \geq 2$, then every (g', f') -factor can be extended to a connected $(g', f' + n - 1)$ -factor, where g' is a nonnegative integer-valued function on $V(G)$ and f' is a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 4.6 and Corollary 4.4 with $f(v) = n$. \square

Remark 4.8. Note that if a graph G has a spanning f -tree T containing a given forest M , then by Lemma 3.1, we clearly have $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \omega(T \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 1 - e_M(S)$ for all $S \subseteq V(G)$.

4.1 Graphs with high edge-connectivity

A special case of Lemmas 3.4 can easily conclude the following lemma, because $e_G(S, F) = e_G(S) \leq |S| - \omega(G[S])$ and $\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) = \omega(G \setminus S) + |S|$ when F is the trivial spanning forest.

Lemma 4.9. *Let G be a graph with $S \subseteq V(G)$. Then*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \begin{cases} \sum_{v \in S} \frac{d_G(v)-2}{k} + \frac{2}{k}\omega(G[S]), & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-edge-connected and } S \neq \emptyset; \\ \sum_{v \in S} (\frac{d_G(v)-1}{k} - 1) + 1 + \frac{1}{k}\omega(G[S]), & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-tree-connected.} \end{cases}$$

Another generalization of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 is given in the next theorem.

Theorem 4.10. *Let G be a graph with $X \subseteq V(G)$. Then every factor F can be extended to a connected factor H such that for each $v \in X$,*

$$d_H(v) \leq \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\} + \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{d_G(v)-2}{k} \rceil + 2, & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-edge-connected;} \\ \lceil \frac{d_G(v)-1}{k} \rceil + 1, & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-tree-connected;} \\ \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{k} \rceil + 1, & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-edge-connected and } X \text{ is independent;} \\ \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{k} \rceil, & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-tree-connected and } X \text{ is independent.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for a given arbitrary vertex u , the upper bound can be reduced to $\lfloor d_G(u)/k \rfloor + \max\{0, d_F(u) - 1\}$.

Proof. We may assume that $k \geq 2$, as the assertions trivially hold when $k = 1$. Since G is connected, it is obvious that $\omega(G \setminus \emptyset) < 2 + \omega(G[\emptyset])$. Let S be a nonempty subset of X so that $\omega(G[S]) \geq 1$. If G is k -edge-connected, then by Lemma 4.9, we have

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} \frac{d_G(v) - 2}{k} + \frac{2}{k}\omega(G[S]) < \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2) + 2 + \omega(G[S]),$$

where $f(u) = \lceil \frac{d_G(u)+1}{k} \rceil - 1$ and $f(v) = \lceil \frac{d_G(v)-2}{k} \rceil + 2$ for all $v \in V(G) \setminus u$. Note that $d_G(u) \geq k$ and so $f(u) \geq 1$. If G is k -tree-connected, then by Lemma 4.9, we also have

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (\frac{d_G(v) - 1}{k} - 1) + 1 + \frac{1}{k}\omega(G[S]) < \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2) + 2 + \omega(G[S]),$$

where $f(u) = \lceil \frac{d_G(u)+1}{k} \rceil - 1$ and $f(v) = \lceil \frac{d_G(v)-1}{k} \rceil + 1$ for all $v \in V(G) \setminus u$. Thus the first two assertions follow from Theorem 4.2. Now, suppose that X is an independent set. If G is k -edge-connected, then by Lemma 4.9, we have

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} \frac{d_G(v)}{k} < \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 2,$$

where $f(u) = \lceil \frac{d_G(u)+1}{k} \rceil - 1$ and $f(v) = \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{k} \rceil + 1$ for all $v \in X \setminus u$. If G is k -tree-connected, then by Lemma 4.9, we also have

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (\frac{d_G(v)}{k} - 1) + 1 < \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 2,$$

where $f(u) = \lceil \frac{d_G(u)+1}{k} \rceil - 1$ and $f(v) = \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{k} \rceil$ for all $v \in X \setminus u$. Thus the second two assertions follow from Corollary 4.3. \square

Corollary 4.11. *If G is a k -edge-connected graph with $\Delta(G) \leq k(n-2) + 2$ and $n \geq 2$, then every (g', f') -factor can be extended to a connected $(g', f' + n - 1)$ -factor, where g' is a nonnegative integer-valued function on $V(G)$ and f' is a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$.*

Proof. Let F be a (g', f') -factor of G . By Theorem 4.10, we can extend F to a connected factor H such that for each vertex v , $g'(v) \leq d_F(v) \leq d_H(v) \leq n + \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\}$. Since $f'(v)$ is positive, we have $d_H(v) \leq n + f'(v) - 1$, whether $d_F(v) = 0$ or not. Hence H is the desired connected factor. \square

The following corollary makes a strengthened version for Lemma 2.2 (ii) in [7].

Corollary 4.12. *Let G be a graph with the matching M and let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If G admits a spanning closed f -walk passing through the edges of M , then it has a spanning $(f+1)$ -tree T containing the edges of M . Furthermore, for a given arbitrary vertex u , we can have $d_T(u) \leq f(u)$.*

Proof. Let H be the Eulerian graph with $V(H) = V(G)$ obtained from a spanning closed f -walk of G passing through the edges of M , by considering multiplicity of each edge. Since H is Eulerian, it is 2-edge-connected. Thus by Theorem 4.10, the graph H has a spanning $(f+1)$ -tree T containing the edges of M with $d_T(u) \leq f(u)$, and so does G . \square

Another strengthened version of Lemma 2.2 (ii) in [7] is given in the following theorem. This result allows one to deduce Corollary 4.5 from Theorem 6.6, and the two are therefore equivalent. In fact, the proof of Theorem 6.6 depends on Corollary 4.5.

Theorem 4.13. *Let G be a graph with the matching M and let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If G admits a spanning f -walk (not necessarily closed) passing through the edges of M , then it has a spanning $(f+1)$ -tree containing the edges of M .*

Proof. Let H be the graph with $V(H) = V(G)$ obtained from a spanning f -walk of G passing through the edges of M , by considering multiplicity of each edge. Since H whose degrees are even (except possibly for two vertices), it can be made 2-edge-connected by adding at most one edge. Thus for every vertex set S , there are at least $2\omega(H \setminus S) - 2$ edges of H with exactly one edge in S . This implies that $2\omega(H \setminus S) - 2 \leq \sum_{v \in S} d_H(v) - 2e_H(S)$ and hence $\omega(H \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (d_H(v)/2 - 1) + 1 + \omega(H[S])$. Thus by Theorem 4.2, one can conclude that the graph H has a spanning $(f+1)$ -tree T containing the edges of M , and so does G . \square

4.2 Connected factors, matchings, and spanning trees

In this subsection, we devote a stronger version to Theorem 1 in [16] that provides another relationship between connected factors, matchings, and spanning trees.

Theorem 4.14. *Let G be a graph with the factor F . Let M be a matching of F having exactly one edge of every non-trivial component of F which the edge is incident to a non-cut vertex of F . If T is spanning tree of G , then the factor $F \setminus E(M)$ of G can be extended to a connected factor H such that for each vertex v ,*

$$d_F(v) \leq d_H(v) \leq d_T(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\}.$$

Proof. We may assume that $G = T \cup F$. Set $M = \{x_1y_1, \dots, x_t y_t\}$, $X = \{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$, and $Y = \{y_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$. Assume that each $x_i \in X$ is not a cut vertex in F . For a graph G' and a vertex v , we denote by $E_{G'}(v)$ the set of edges of G' that are incident to v . Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all 2-tuples (H, T') such that H is a connected factor of G containing $E(F) \setminus E(M)$ and T_0 is a spanning tree of H in which for each $v \in V(H)$ the following condition holds: if (i) $d_H(v) = d_T(v) + d_F(v)$ or (ii) $v = x_i$ and $x_i y_i \notin E(H)$, then $E_{T_0}(v) \cap E_F(v) = \emptyset$. Note that $(G, T) \in \mathcal{A}$. Define \mathcal{A}_0 to be the set of all 2-tuples $(H, T_0) \in \mathcal{A}$ with the minimum $|E(H)|$. Now, we prove the following claim.

Claim A. If $(H, T_0) \in \mathcal{A}_0$, then for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq d_T(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\}$.

Proof of Claim A. Suppose, to the contrary, that $d_H(u) = d_T(u) + d_F(u)$ and $d_F(u) > 0$, for some vertex u . Let C be the non-trivial component of F containing u and let $x_i y_i$ be the single edge in $E(C) \cap E(M)$. For convenience, let us set $x = x_i$ and $y = y_i$. First, assume that $d_H(v) = d_T(v) + d_F(v)$, for all $v \in V(C)$. Since $d_H(x) = d_G(x)$, we have $xy \in E(H)$ and also item (i) implies that $E_{T_0}(x) \cap E_F(x) = \emptyset$ and $xy \notin E(T_0)$. Define $H' = H - xy$ and $T'_0 = T_0$. Note that H' contains $E(F) \setminus E(M)$, $d_{H'}(y) < d_G(y) \leq d_T(y) + d_F(y)$, and $E_{T'_0}(x) \cap E_F(x) = \emptyset$. It is easy check that $(H', T'_0) \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Since $|E(H')| = |E(H)| - 1$, we arrive at a contradiction.

Now, assume that $d_H(w) < d_T(w) + d_F(w)$, for some vertex w in $V(C)$. If $xy \in E(H)$, we take ab to be an edge on a wu -path in the connected graph C such that $d_H(a) < d_T(a) + d_F(a)$ and $d_H(b) = d_T(b) + d_F(b)$. If $xy \notin E(H)$, then $d_H(y) < d_G(y) \leq d_T(y) + d_F(y)$ and also $x \neq u$. In this case, we can take ab to be an edge on a yu -path in the connected graph $C - x$ such that $d_H(a) < d_T(a) + d_F(a)$ and $d_H(b) = d_T(b) + d_F(b)$. In both cases, since $d_H(b) = d_G(b)$, we must have $ab \in E(H)$ and also item (i) implies that $E_{T_0}(b) \cap E_F(b) = \emptyset$ and $ab \notin E(T_0)$. Thus there is an edge $bc \in E(T_0)$ such that $T_0 - bc + ab$ is connected. Define $H' = H - bc$ and $T'_0 = T_0 - bc + ab$. Since $E_{T_0}(b) \cap E_F(b) = \emptyset$, we have $bc \notin E(F)$ and so the graph H' contains $E(F) \setminus E(M)$. Moreover, $d_{H'}(a) = d_H(a) < d_T(a) + d_F(a)$, $d_{H'}(b) < d_G(b) \leq d_T(b) + d_F(b)$, and $d_{H'}(c) < d_G(c) \leq d_T(c) + d_F(c)$. According to the construction, if $xy \notin E(H')$ then we must have $xy \notin E(H)$ and $x \notin \{a, b\}$; moreover, by item (ii), $E_{T_0}(x) \cap E_F(x) = \emptyset$ and so $E_{T'_0}(x) \cap E_F(x) = \emptyset$. It is easy to check that $(H', T'_0) \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Since $|E(H')| = |E(H)| - 1$, we again arrive at a contradiction and so the claim holds.

Claim B. If (H, T_0) is a 2-tuple of \mathcal{A}_0 with the maximum $|E(H) \cap E(M)|$, then for all vertices v , $d_H(v) \geq d_F(v)$.

Proof of Claim B. Suppose, to the contrary, that $d_H(u) < d_F(u)$ for some vertex u . Hence u must not be incident to the edges in $E(M) \setminus E(H)$. We may assume that $u \in \{x_i, y_i\}$ and $x_i y_i \notin E(H)$, and also $d_H(u) = d_F(u) - 1$. If $u = x_i \in X$, then by item (ii), $E_{T_0}(u) \cap E_F(u) = \emptyset$ and so $d_H(u) \geq$

$d_{F \setminus E(M)}(u) + d_{T_0}(u) \geq d_F(u)$. Thus $u = y_i \in Y$. For convenience, let us again set $x = x_i$ and $y = y_i$. Since $xy \notin E(T_0)$, there is an edge $xz \in E(T_0)$ such that $T_0 - xz + xy$ is connected. Define $H' = H - xz + xy$ and $T'_0 = T_0 - xz + xy$. By item (ii), $E_{T_0}(x) \cap E_F(x) = \emptyset$, and so $xz \notin E(F)$. This implies that H' contains $E(F) \setminus E(M)$. Moreover, $d_{H'}(x) < d_G(x) \leq d_T(x) + d_F(x)$, $d_{H'}(z) < d_G(z) \leq d_T(z) + d_F(z)$, and $d_{H'}(y) = d_F(y) < d_T(y) + d_F(y)$, and also $xy \in E(H')$. It is easy check that $(H', T'_0) \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Since $|E(H')| = |E(H)|$ and $|E(H') \cap E(M)| = |E(H) \cap E(M)| + 1$, we arrive at a contradiction. Hence the claim holds.

Since \mathcal{A}_0 is not empty, we can consider (H, T') as a 2-tuple of \mathcal{A}_0 with the maximum $|E(H) \cap E(M)|$. By Claims A and B, the graph H is the desired connected factor we are looking for. \square

Tokuda, Xu, and Wang [16] discovered the following result, when g' is a positive function.

Corollary 4.15. *Let G be a graph. If G contains a (g', f') -factor and a spanning f -tree, then G has a connected $(g', f' + f - 1)$ -factor, where g' is a nonnegative integer-valued function on $V(G)$, and f' and f are positive integer-valued functions on $V(G)$.*

Proof. Let F be a (g', f') -factor and let T be a spanning f -tree of G . Consider M as an arbitrary matching of F satisfying the assumption of Theorem 4.14. Note that every non-trivial component of F has a non-cut vertex. For finding such a vertex, it is enough to select a vertex with degree one from a spanning tree of that component. Now, by Theorem 4.14, the graph G has a connected factor H such that for each vertex v , $g'(v) \leq d_F(v) \leq d_H(v) \leq d_T(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\} \leq f(v) + f'(v) - 1$. \square

The following special case of Lemma 4.1 played an important role in the proof of Theorem 4.2. Here, we give another proof for it based on Theorem 4.14.

Corollary 4.16. *If every matching of a graph G can be extended to a spanning f -tree, then every (g', f') -factor can also be extended to a connected $(g', f' + f - 1)$ -factor, where g' is a nonnegative integer-valued function on $V(G)$, and f' and f are positive integer-valued functions on $V(G)$.*

Proof. Let F be a (g', f') -factor of G and consider M as an arbitrary matching of F satisfying the assumption of Theorem 4.14. Note that every non-trivial component of F has a non-cut vertex. By the assumption, the graph G has a spanning f -tree T containing M . Thus Theorem 4.14 implies that $T \cup F$ has a connected factor H_0 containing $E(F) \setminus E(M)$ such that for each vertex v , $d_F(v) \leq d_{H_0}(v) \leq d_T(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\}$. Define $H = H_0 \cup M$. Note that H is connected and contains F . Let $v \in V(H)$. If v is not incident to the edges of M , then we still have $d_H(v) = d_{H_0}(v) \leq d_T(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\}$. Otherwise, since $E(M) \subseteq E(T) \cap E(F)$, we must automatically have $d_H(v) \leq d_T(v) + d_F(v) - 1$. Hence H is the desired connected $(g', f' + f - 1)$ -factor we are looking for. \square

5 Toughness and the existence of connected $\{r, r + 1\}$ -factors

In this section, we shall introduce a combined stronger version for Theorems 1.6 and 1.8. For this purpose, we need to establish the following lemma that provides a relationship between $\omega(G \setminus S)$ and $\omega(G \setminus [S, F])$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a graph with the spanning forest F . Let $c \in [2, \infty)$ be a real number and let $\xi : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a real function in which for every component C of F , $\sum_{v \in V(C)} \xi(v) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{c-1}(|V(C)| - 1)$. If $S \subseteq V(G)$, then*

$$\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) \leq \omega(G \setminus S) + \frac{1}{c-1}e_F(S) + \sum_{v \in S} \xi(v).$$

Proof. Since every component C of F whose vertices entirely lie in the set S has exactly $|V(C)| - 1$ edges with both ends in S , we must have $1 \leq \sum_{v \in V(C)} \xi(v) + \frac{1}{c-1}e_C(S)$. Thus $t_s \leq \sum_{v \in S'} \xi(v) + \frac{1}{c-1}e_F(S') \leq \sum_{v \in S} \xi(v) + \frac{1}{c-1}e_F(S)$, where S' is the set of all vertices belonging to the components of F whose vertices entirely lie in the set S , and t_s is the number of those components. Therefore, $\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) \leq \omega(G \setminus S) + t_s \leq \sum_{v \in S} \xi(v) + \frac{1}{c-1}e_F(S)$. Hence the lemma holds. \square

The following theorem is essential in this section.

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be a graph with the factor F and let h be a nonnegative integer-valued function on $V(G)$. Let $c \in [2, \infty)$ be a real number and let $\xi : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a real function in which for every component C of F , $\sum_{v \in V(C)} \xi(v) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{c-1}(|V(C)| - 1)$. If for every $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) < \sum_{v \in S} \left(h(v) - \frac{1}{c-1} - \xi(v) \right) + 2 + \frac{1}{c-1}\omega(G[S]) - \frac{c-2}{c-1} \min \left\{ \left\lfloor \sum_{v \in S} \frac{h(v)}{2} \right\rfloor, |S| - \omega(G[S]) \right\},$$

then G has a connected factor H containing F such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq h(v) + d_F(v)$.

Proof. First, suppose that F is forest. Let \mathcal{T} be a spanning $(h + d_F)$ -forest of G containing F with the minimum $\omega(\mathcal{T})$. Define S to be a subset of $V(G)$ with the properties described in Theorem 2.1. Put $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{T} \setminus E(F)$. By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.1,

$$\sum_{v \in S} h(v) = \sum_{v \in S} d_{\mathcal{F}}(v) = \omega(\mathcal{T} \setminus [S, F]) - \omega(\mathcal{T}) + e_{\mathcal{F}}(S),$$

and so

$$\omega(\mathcal{T}) = \omega(G \setminus [S, F]) - \sum_{v \in S} h(v) + e_{\mathcal{F}}(S). \quad (1)$$

Also, by Lemma 5.1,

$$\omega(G \setminus [S, F]) \leq \omega(G \setminus S) + \frac{1}{c-1}e_F(S) + \sum_{v \in S} \xi(v). \quad (2)$$

Since $e_{\mathcal{F}}(S) + e_F(S) = e_{\mathcal{T}}(S) \leq |S| - \omega(G[S])$,

$$e_{\mathcal{F}}(S) + \frac{1}{c-1}e_F(S) \leq \frac{c-2}{c-1}e_{\mathcal{F}}(S) + \frac{1}{c-1}(|S| - \omega(G[S])).$$

In addition, since $e_{\mathcal{F}}(S) \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in S} d_{\mathcal{F}}(v) \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in S} h(v) \rfloor$, we must have

$$e_{\mathcal{F}}(S) + \frac{1}{c-1} e_F(S) \leq \frac{c-2}{c-1} \min\{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in S} h(v) \rfloor, |S| - \omega(G[S])\} + \frac{1}{c-1} (|S| - \omega(G[S])). \quad (3)$$

Therefore, Relations (1), (2), and (3) can conclude that

$$\omega(\mathcal{T}) \leq \omega(G \setminus S) - \left(\sum_{v \in S} \left(h(v) - \frac{1}{c-1} - \xi(v) \right) + \frac{1}{c-1} \omega(G[S]) - \frac{c-2}{c-1} \min\{\lfloor \sum_{v \in S} \frac{h(v)}{2} \rfloor, |S| - \omega(G[S])\} \right) < 2.$$

Hence $\omega(\mathcal{T}) = 1$ and the theorem holds. Now, suppose that F is not forest. Remove some of the edges of the components of F until the resulting graph F' becomes a forest with the same components. It is enough, now, to apply the theorem on F' and finally add the edges of $E(F) \setminus E(F')$ to that explored tree. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let G be a simple graph and let F be a factor of G with $\Delta(F) \leq 2$. Then F can be extended to connected factor H with $\Delta(H) \leq 3$, if for all $S \subseteq V(G)$, $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \frac{1}{4}|S| + 1$.*

Proof. For each vertex v , define $h(v) = 3 - d_F(v)$. Also, define $\xi(v) = 1$ when $d_F(v) = 0$, and define $\xi(v) = 1/4$ when $d_F(v) = 1$, and $\xi(v) = 0$ otherwise. According to these definitions, $3h(v)/4 - 1/2 - \xi(v) \leq 1/4$. Let C be a component of F . Since F has no multiple edges, if C has a vertex with degree two, then it must contain at least three vertices, which implies that $\sum_{v \in V(C)} \xi(v) \geq 0 \geq 1 - (|V(C)| - 1)/2$. Otherwise, we again have $\sum_{v \in V(C)} \xi(v) \geq 1 - (|V(C)| - 1)/2$ whether C has two vertices with degree one or not. Hence it is enough to apply Theorem 5.2 with $c = 3$ to complete the proof. \square

The following corollary improves Theorem 1.8 and implies Theorem 1.6.

Corollary 5.4. *Let G be a graph with the factor F which every non-trivial component of it contains at least c vertices with $c \geq 2$. Let h be a nonnegative integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) < \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{c}{2c-2} h(v) - \frac{1}{c-1} \right) + 2 + \frac{1}{c-1} \omega(G[S]) - |\{v \in S : d_F(v) = 0\}|,$$

then G has a connected factor H containing F such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq h(v) + d_F(v)$.

Proof. For each vertex v , define $\xi(v) = 1$ when $d_F(v) = 0$, and define $\xi(v) = 0$ otherwise. Let C be a component of F . If C is a non-trivial component, then by the assumption, we must have $\sum_{v \in V(C)} \xi(v) \geq 0 \geq 1 - (|V(C)| - 1)/(c-1)$. Thus $\sum_{v \in V(C)} \xi(v) \geq 1 - (|V(C)| - 1)/2$ whether C is a trivial component or not. Hence it is enough to apply Theorem 5.2 to complete the proof. \square

Corollary 5.5. *Let G be a graph with the factor F . Let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) < \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 2) + 2 + \omega(G[S]),$$

then G has a connected factor H containing F such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq f(v) + \max\{0, d_F(v) - 1\}$.

Proof. Apply Corollary 5.4 with $c = 2$ and $h(v) = f(v) - 1 + \max\{0, 1 - d_F(v)\}$. \square

We here derive the following corollary from Corollary 5.4 by comparing their conditions.

Corollary 5.6.([2]) *Let G be a connected graph with the factor F which every component of it contains at least c vertices with $c \geq 2$. Let h be a nonnegative integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for every $S \subseteq V(G)$, at least one of the following conditions holds:*

- $\omega(G \setminus S) < \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{1}{2}h(v) - \frac{1}{c}\right) + 2$.
- $\omega(G \setminus S) < \sum_{v \in S} \frac{\rho-2}{2\rho-2}h(v) + 2 + \frac{1}{\rho-1}$, where $\rho = c \min\{h(v) : v \in S\} \geq c$.

then G has a connected factor H containing F such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq h(v) + d_F(v)$.

Proof. Since G is connected, it is obvious that $\omega(G \setminus \emptyset) < 2 + \frac{1}{c-1}\omega(G[\emptyset])$. Let S be a nonempty subset of $V(G)$ and set $S_0 = \{v \in S : h(v) = 0\}$. Take S'_0 to be a subset of S_0 with $|S_0| - 1 \leq |S'_0| < |V(G)|$. If $|S_0| \geq 1$, then $\rho = 0$ and so the first condition of the theorem must hold for the vertex set S'_0 . Thus $1 \leq \omega(G \setminus S'_0) < -|S'_0|/c + 2$. This implies that $|S_0| \leq c$ and hence

$$\sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{1}{2}h(v) - \frac{1}{c}\right) \leq \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{c}{2c-2}h(v) - \frac{1}{c-1}\right) + \frac{|S_0|}{c(c-1)} \leq \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{c}{2c-2}h(v) - \frac{1}{c-1}\right) + \frac{1}{c-1}.$$

In addition, if $\rho > 0$ then

$$\sum_{v \in S} \frac{\rho-2}{2\rho-2}h(v) = \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{c}{2c-2} - \frac{1}{2c-2} - \frac{1}{2\rho-2}\right)h(v) \leq \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{c}{2c-2}h(v) - \frac{1}{c-1}\right),$$

whether $\rho = c \min\{h(v) : v \in S\} = c$ or $\rho = c \min\{h(v) : v \in S\} \geq 2c$. Therefore, by the assumption, one can conclude that $\omega(G \setminus S) < \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{c}{2c-2}h(v) - \frac{1}{c-1}\right) + 2 + \frac{1}{c-1}\omega(G[S])$. Hence it is enough to apply Corollary 5.4 to complete the proof. \square

When we consider the special case $h = 1$, Corollary 5.6 becomes simpler as the following version.

Corollary 5.7.([3]) *Let G be a connected graph with the factor F which every component of it contains at least c vertices with $c \geq 2$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \frac{c-2}{2c-2}|S| + 2 + \frac{1}{2c-2},$$

then G has a connected factor H containing F such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \in \{d_F(v), d_F(v) + 1\}$.

Enomoto, Jackson, Katerinis, and Saito (1985) [4] showed that every r -tough graph G of order at least $r+1$ with $r|V(G)|$ even admits an r -factor. For the case that $r|V(G)|$ is odd, the same arguments can imply that the graph G admits a factor such that whose degrees are r , except for a vertex with degree $r+1$. A combination of Corollary 5.4 and this result can conclude the following corollary.

Corollary 5.8.([2, 3]) *Every r -tough graph of order at least $r + 1$ with $r \geq 3$ admits a connected $\{r, r + 1\}$ -factor.*

Proof. We may assume that G is an r -tough simple graph, by deleting multiple edges from G (if necessary). Let F be an $\{r, r + 1\}$ -factor of G such that each of whose vertices has degree r , except for at most one vertex u with degree $r + 1$ [4]. Note that every component of F must contain at least $r + 1$ vertices. Let S be a subset of $V(G)$. Since G is r -tough,

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \frac{1}{r}|S| + 1 \leq \frac{r-1}{2r}|S| + 1 < \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{c}{2c-2}h(v) - \frac{1}{c-1} \right) + 2 + \frac{1}{c-1}\omega(G[S]),$$

where $c = r + 1$, $h(u) = 0$, and $h(v) = 1$ for each vertex v with $v \neq u$. Thus by applying Corollary 5.4, the graph G has a connected factor H containing F such that for each vertex v , $d_H(v) \leq h(v) + d_F(v)$, and also $d_H(u) = d_F(u)$. This implies that H is a connected $\{r, r + 1\}$ -factor. \square

Remark 5.9. Note that Corollary 5.8 can be proved by Corollary 5.7, except for the case that $r|V(G)|$ is odd, and it can be proved by Corollary 5.6, except for the case that $r = 3$ and $|V(G)|$ is odd.

6 Applications to spanning closed walks

Our aim in this section is to prove a long-standing conjecture due to Jackson and Wormald [7] with a stronger version. Before doing so, we state some results on spanning parity forests.

6.1 Spanning parity f -forests

In 1985 Amahashi [1] introduced a criterion for the existence of a spanning forest with bounded maximum degree in which all vertices have odd degree. Later, Yuting and Kano (1988) generalized it by establishing the following theorem. We denote below by $odd(G)$ the number of components of G with odd order.

Theorem 6.1.([19]) *Let G be a graph and let f be an odd positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. Then G has a spanning f -forest with odd degree vertices if and only if for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$odd(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} f(v).$$

Kano, Katona, and Szabó (2009) studied a more general version for Theorem 6.1 which gives a criterion for the existence of parity f -forests. We denote below by $odd_h(G)$ the number of components of G with odd number of vertices v with $h(v)$ odd.

Theorem 6.2.([9]) *Let G be a graph and let h be a nonnegative integer-valued function on $V(G)$. Then G has a spanning h -forest F such that for each vertex v , $d_F(v)$ and $h(v)$ have the same parity, if and only if*

for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,

$$\text{odd}_h(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} h(v).$$

We shall below derive a conclusion of Theorem 6.2, which will be used in the subsequent subsection.

Corollary 6.3. *Let G be a graph with the positive integer-valued function f on $V(G)$, and let $Q \subseteq V(G)$, where $|Q|$ is even. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 1,$$

then G has a spanning f -forest F such that for each vertex v , $d_F(v)$ is odd if and only if $v \in Q$.

Proof. For each v , define $h(v)$ to be either $f(v)$ or $f(v) - 1$ such that $h(v)$ is odd if and only if $v \in Q$. Let $S \subseteq V(G)$. By the assumption, $\text{odd}_h(G \setminus S) \leq \omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} h(v) + 1$. Clearly, $\sum_{v \in V(G)} h(v)$ is even. It is easy to check that $\text{odd}_h(G \setminus S) + \sum_{v \in S} h(v)$ and $\sum_{v \in V(G)} h(v)$ have the same parity and so $\text{odd}_h(G \setminus S)$ and $\sum_{v \in S} h(v)$ have the same parity. Thus $\text{odd}_h(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} h(v)$. By Theorem 6.2, the graph G has a spanning h -forest F such that for each vertex v , $d_F(v)$ and $h(v)$ have the same parity. Hence the proof is completed. \square

Remark 6.4. Note that a converse statement of Corollary 6.3 is proved in [10], when f is an odd positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. More precisely, they proved that if a connected graph G admits all spanning f -forests with arbitrary specified odd vertices, then for all vertex sets S , we must have $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 1$.

The following result improves the upper bounds in Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 when the existence of parity forests are considered.

Corollary 6.5. *Let G be a connected graph with $Q \subseteq V(G)$, where $|Q|$ is even. Then G has a spanning forest F such that for each vertex v , $d_F(v)$ is odd if and only if $v \in Q$, and also*

$$d_F(v) \leq \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{k} \rceil + 1, & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-edge-connected;} \\ \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{k} \rceil, & \text{if } G \text{ is } k\text{-tree-connected.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for a given arbitrary vertex u , the upper bound can be reduced to $\lfloor d_G(u)/k \rfloor$.

Proof. Since G is connected, it is obvious that $\omega(G \setminus \emptyset) = 1$. Let S be a nonempty subset of $V(G)$. If G is k -edge-connected, then by Lemma 4.9, we have

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} \frac{d_G(v)}{k} < \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 2,$$

where $f(u) = \lceil \frac{d_G(u)+1}{k} \rceil - 1$ and $f(v) = \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{k} \rceil + 1$ for all $v \in V(G) \setminus u$. If G is k -tree-connected, then by Lemma 4.9, we also have

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} \left(\frac{d_G(v)}{k} - 1 \right) + 1 < \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 2,$$

where $f(u) = \lceil \frac{d_G(u)+1}{k} \rceil - 1$ and $f(v) = \lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{k} \rceil$ for all $v \in V(G) \setminus u$. Hence the assertions follow from Corollary 6.3. \square

6.2 Jackson-Wormald Conjecture is true

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for the existence of spanning f -walks. Note that under this condition, the desired spanning f -walk is not necessarily closed. For example, consider two copies of the complete graph of odd order n with $n \geq 3$ and add a perfect matching M between them. The resulting connected graph G has not a spanning closed 1-walk passing through the edges M , while satisfies $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq 2$ for all vertex sets S .

Theorem 6.6. *Let G be a connected graph and let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 2,$$

then G admits a spanning f -walk passing through the edges of a given arbitrary matching (even a given arbitrary spanning $(f+1)$ -tree).

Proof. By Corollary 4.5, the graph G has a spanning $(f+1)$ -tree T containing a given arbitrary matching. Let G_0 be the graph obtained from G by adding a new vertex v_0 and joining it to all other vertices. Define $f(v_0) = 2$. It is easy to check that for every $S \subseteq V(G_0)$, $\omega(G_0 \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 1$, whether $v_0 \in S$ or not. Thus by Corollary 6.3, the graph G_0 contains a spanning f -forest F_0 such that $d_{F_0}(z) \in \{0, 2\}$ and for each $v \in V(G)$, $d_{F_0}(v)$ and $d_T(v)$ have the same parity. Let F be the factor of G obtained from F_0 by removing the vertex v_0 . Add a copy of F to T and call the resulting connected graph H . Obviously, for each $v \in V(G) \setminus A$, $d_H(v)$ must be even and $d_H(v) = d_F(v) + d_T(v) \leq 2f(v) + 1$, where A is the set of neighbours of v_0 in F_0 . Note that $|A| \in \{0, 2\}$. Moreover, for each $v \in A$, $d_F(v) \leq f(v) - 1$ and so $d_H(v) = d_F(v) + d_T(v) \leq 2f(v)$. Therefore, the graph H admits a spanning f -trail and so G admits a spanning f -walk. \square

To guarantee the existence of spanning closed f -walks, we need to push down the upper bound in Theorem 6.6 only by one as the next theorem.

Theorem 6.7. *Let G be a graph and let f be a positive integer-valued function on $V(G)$. If for all $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \sum_{v \in S} (f(v) - 1) + 1,$$

then G admits a spanning closed f -walk passing through the edges of a given arbitrary matching (even a given arbitrary spanning $(f + 1)$ -tree).

Proof. The graph G must automatically be connected, because $\omega(G \setminus \emptyset) = 1$. Thus by Corollary 4.5, the graph G has a spanning $(f + 1)$ -tree T containing a given arbitrary matching. By Corollary 6.3, the graph G contains a spanning f -forest F such that for each vertex v , $d_F(v)$ and $d_T(v)$ have the same parity. Add a copy of F to T and call the resulting connected graph H . For each vertex v , $d_H(v)$ must be even and $d_H(v) = d_F(v) + d_T(v) \leq 2f(v) + 1$. Therefore, the graph H admits a spanning closed f -trail and so G admits a spanning closed f -walk. \square

Corollary 6.8. *A simple graph G admits a spanning closed 2-walk passing through the edges of given arbitrary factor F with maximum degree at most 2, if for all $S \subseteq V(G)$, $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq \frac{1}{4}|S| + 1$.*

Proof. By applying Corollary 5.3, the graph G has a spanning 3-tree T containing F . Thus by Theorem 6.7, the graph G admits a spanning closed 2-walk passing through the edges of T and so does F . \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 6.7.

Corollary 6.9. ([7]) *Every connected $K_{1,n}$ -free simple graph with $n \geq 2$ has a spanning closed $(n - 1)$ -walk.*

The next corollary improves Theorem 4.2 in [7] and implies Corollary 3.1 in [11]. Note that there are infinitely many k -connected $K_{1,n}$ -free simple graphs with $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$ having no spanning closed $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{k} \rfloor$ -walks, which were constructed by Jin and Li [8].

Corollary 6.10. *Every k -connected $K_{1,n}$ -free simple graph with $n \geq 2$ has a spanning closed $(\lceil \frac{n-1}{k} \rceil + 1)$ -walk.*

Proof. We repeat the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [7]. Let S be a cutset of G . Since G is k -connected, every component of $G \setminus S$ is joined to at least k vertices in S . Since G is $K_{1,n}$ -free, every vertex of S is joined to at most $n - 1$ components of $G \setminus S$. Hence $\omega(G \setminus S)k \leq (n - 1)|S|$. Therefore, for all vertex sets S , $\omega(G \setminus S) \leq (n - 1)|S|/k + 1$, whether S is a cutset or not. Hence the assertion follows from Theorem 6.7 with replacing $\lceil (n - 1)/k \rceil + 1$ instead of $f(v)$. \square

The following result confirms Conjecture 2.1 in [7]. Note that there are infinitely many graphs with toughness approaching $\frac{1}{n-5/8}$ having no spanning closed n -walks, which were constructed by Ellingham and Zha [3].

Corollary 6.11. *Every $\frac{1}{n-1}$ -tough graph with $n \geq 2$ admits a spanning closed n -walk.*

The next result confirms Conjecture 23 in [2]. Note that there are infinitely many r -edge-connected r -regular simple graphs with $r \geq 3$ having no spanning closed 1-walks, which were constructed by Meredith [13].

Corollary 6.12. *Every r -edge-connected r -regular graph admits a spanning closed 2-walk.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 4.9 and Corollary 6.11. □

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Ahmadreza G. Chegini and the referees for their helpful comments.

References

- [1] A. Amahashi, On factors with all degrees odd, *Graphs Combin.* 1 (1985), 111–114.
- [2] M.N. Ellingham, Y. Nam, and H.-J. Voss, Connected (g, f) -factors, *J. Graph Theory* 39 (2002), 62–75.
- [3] M.N. Ellingham and X. Zha, Toughness, trees, and walks, *J. Graph Theory* 33 (2000), 125–137.
- [4] H. Enomoto, B. Jackson, P. Katerinis, and A. Saito, Toughness and the existence of k -factors, *J. Graph Theory* 9 (1985), 87–95.
- [5] A. Frank and A. Gyárfás, How to orient the edges of a graph? in *Combinatorics*, Coll Math Soc J Bolyai 18 (1976), 353–364.
- [6] M. Hasanvand, Spanning trees and spanning Eulerian subgraphs with small degrees, *Discrete Math.* 338 (2015), 1317–1321.
- [7] B. Jackson and N.C. Wormald, k -walks of graphs, *Australas. J. Combin.* 2 (1990), 135–146.
- [8] Z. Jin and X. Li, On a conjecture on k -walks of graphs, *Australas. J. Combin.* 29 (2004), 135–142.
- [9] M. Kano, G.Y. Katona, and J. Szabó, Elementary graphs with respect to f -parity factors, *Graphs Combin.* 25 (2009), 717–726.
- [10] M. Kano and H. Lu, Characterization of 1-tough graphs using factors, arXiv:1702.05873 (2017).
- [11] R. Kužel and J. Teska, On 2-connected spanning subgraphs with bounded degree in $K_{1,r}$ -free graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 27 (2011), 199–206.
- [12] Z. Liu and B. Xu, On low bound of degree sequences of spanning trees in K -edge-connected graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 28 (1998), 87–95.
- [13] G.H.J. Meredith, Regular n -valent n -connected nonHamiltonian non- n -edge-colorable graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 14 (1973), 55–60.
- [14] K. Ozeki and T. Yamashita, Spanning trees: a survey, *Graphs Combin.* 27 (2011), 1–26.

- [15] E. Rivera-Campo, A note on matchings and spanning trees with bounded degrees, *Graphs Combin.* 13 (1997), 159–165.
- [16] T. Tokuda, B. Xu, and J. Wang, Connected factors and spanning trees in graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 19 (2003), 59–262.
- [17] S. Win, On a connection between the existence of k -trees and the toughness of a graph, *Graphs Combin.* 5 (1989), 201–205.
- [18] B. Xu, Z. Liu, and T. Tokuda, Connected factors in $K_{1,n}$ -free graphs containing a (g, f) -factor, *Graphs Combin.* 14 (1998), 393–395.
- [19] C. Yuting and M. Kano, Some results on odd factors of graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 12 (1988), 327–333.