

# DECOMPOSITIONS OF SURFACE FLOWS

TOMOO YOKOYAMA

**ABSTRACT.** We construct a complete invariant for surface flows of finite type. In fact, although the set of topological equivalence classes of minimal flows (resp. Denjoy flows) on a torus is uncountable, we enumerate the set of topological equivalence classes of flows with at most finitely many limit cycles but without Q-sets and non-degenerate singular points on a compact surface using finite labelled graphs. To enumerate such flows, we describe properties of border points of a flow without degenerate singular points on a compact surface. In particular, we show that each connected component of the complement of the “saddle connection diagram” is either an open disk, an open annulus, a torus, a Klein bottle, an open Möbius band, or an open essential subset. Moreover, we generalize the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with arbitrarily many singular points on a compact surface. In fact, the  $\omega$ -limit set of any non-closed orbit is either a nowhere dense subset of singular points, a limit cycle, a limit “quasi-circuit”, a locally dense Q-set, or a “quasi-Q-set”. In addition, for such a surface flow, we characterize the necessary and sufficient conditions for the closure of the union of closed orbits corresponding to the non-wandering set.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Poincaré-Bendixson theorem is generalized in several ways. For instance, a following statement holds (see for example [14]): The  $\omega$ -limit set of an orbit of a flow with finitely many fixed points on a compact surface is either a closed orbit, an attracting limit circuit, or a Q-set.

Peixoto [15] showed that a vector field  $v \in \chi^r(S)$  is structurally stable if and only if  $v \in \Sigma^r(S)$ , where  $\chi^r(S)$  ( $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ ) is the set of  $C^r$ -vector fields (with the  $C^r$ -topology) on an orientable closed connected surface  $S$  and  $\Sigma^r(S)$  is the subset of  $\chi^r(S)$  formed by the Morse-Smale  $C^r$ -vector fields. Moreover,  $\Sigma^r(S)$  is open and dense in  $\chi^r(S)$ . Recall that a  $C^r$  vector field ( $r \geq 1$ ) on  $S$  with finitely many singular points is Morse-Smale if 1) each singular point is hyperbolic (i.e. a sink, a source, or a saddle); 2) each periodic orbit is a hyperbolic limit cycle; 3) there are no heteroclinic multi-saddle separatrices; and 4) the  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set of a point is a closed orbit. When  $S$  is non-orientable, Pugh’s  $C^1$ -Closing Lemma implies [16, 17] that  $\Sigma^1(S)$  is dense and that the Peixoto’s work holds for the case in which the non-orientable genus of  $S$  is less than five [6, 10]. On Hamiltonian vector fields, a Hamiltonian vector field  $v \in \mathcal{H}^r(S)$  is structurally stable in  $\mathcal{H}^r(S)$  if and only if  $v \in \mathcal{H}_*^r(S)$ , and that  $\mathcal{H}_*^r(S)$  is open dense in  $\mathcal{H}^r(S)$  [8], where  $\mathcal{H}^r(S)$  is the set of Hamiltonian  $C^r$  vector fields on an orientable closed connected surface  $S$

---

*Date:* April 11, 2022.

*Key words and phrases.* Surface flows, Poincaré-Bendixson theorem, complete invariant.

The author is partially supported by the JST PRESTO Grant Number JPMJPR16ED at the Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University of Education.

and  $\mathcal{H}_*^r(S)$  is the set of regular Hamiltonian  $C^r$  vector fields each of whose saddle connection is self-connected.

In this paper, we describe the properties of the border points of a flow  $v$  without degenerate singular points on a compact surface and characterize the necessary and sufficient conditions for the closure of the union of closed orbits corresponding to the non-wandering set. Moreover, each connected component of the complement of the “saddle connection diagram” is either an open disk, an open annulus, a torus, a Klein bottle, an open Möbius band, or an open essential subset. In addition, although the set of topological equivalence classes of minimal flows (resp. Denjoy flows) on a torus is uncountable, a flow with at most finitely many limit cycles but without non-degenerate singular points and Q-sets on a compact surface can be reconstructed from the finite data. In other words, we construct a complete invariant for surface flows of finite type.

By [3], any connected finite poset can be realized by the singular part of the leaf class space of a transversally oriented codimension one  $C^1$ -foliation on a closed oriented three manifold. In [4], Bonatti et al. showed that any finite connected poset can be realized by the orbit class space of a finitely generated group of homeomorphisms of a compact connected topological space. They also state the question of whether any finite Hasse diagram can be realized on a compact manifold. On the other hand, we show that the heights of the orbit spaces of flows on orientable (resp. non-orientable) compact surfaces with genus  $g$  (resp.  $p$ ) are at most  $2g + 3$  (resp.  $2p + 1$ ). In particular, no posets whose heights are at least  $2g + 4$  (resp.  $2p + 2$ ) can be realized on a compact orientable (resp. non-orientable) surface with genus  $g$  (resp.  $p$ ).

The present paper consists of thirteen sections. In the next section, as preliminaries, we introduce some fundamental notions. In §3, properties of surface flows are described in general. In §4, we study heights of orbits. In §5, we show the finiteness of singular points implies that a quasi-Q-set is a Q-set and that a limit quasi-circuit is a limit circuit. In §6, we generalize the Poincare-Bendixson theorem for a flow with arbitrarily many singular points on a compact surface. In §7, properties of surface flows with finitely many singular points are described. In §8, we study the border point set. In §9, structures of orbits are described. In particular, we show that the heights of the orbit spaces of flows with finitely many singular points on compact surfaces are at most three. In §10, decompositions of flows are constructed. In §11, we characterize the necessary and sufficient conditions for the closure of the union of closed orbits corresponding to the non-wandering set (i.e.  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$ ). In §12, a complete invariant for surface flows of finite type are constructed. In §13, we note that each of conditions of “finite type” (i.e. quasi-regularity, finite condition of limit cycles, non-existence of Q-sets) is necessary to construct a complete invariant using finite data.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Notions of dynamical systems.** By a surface, we mean a compact two dimensional manifold, that dose not need to be orientable. A flow is a continuous  $\mathbb{R}$ -action on a manifold. From now on, we suppose that flows are on surfaces. Let  $v : \mathbb{R} \times S \rightarrow S$  be a flow on a surface  $S$ . For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , define  $v_t : S \rightarrow S$  by  $v_t := v(t, \cdot)$ . For a point  $x$  of  $S$ , we denote by  $O(x)$  the orbit of  $x$  and  $O^+(x)$  the positive orbit (i.e.  $O^+(x) := \{v_t(x) \mid t > 0\}$ ). Recall that a point  $x$  of  $S$  is singular if  $x = v_t(x)$

for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , is regular if  $x$  is not singular, and is periodic if there is a positive number  $T > 0$  such that  $x = v_T(x)$  and  $x \neq v_t(x)$  for any  $t \in (0, T)$ . An orbit is closed if it is singular or periodic. Denote by  $\text{Sing}(v)$  the set of singular points and by  $\text{Per}(v)$  (resp.  $\text{Cl}(v)$ ) the union of periodic (resp. closed) orbits. Recall that the  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set of a point  $x$  is  $\omega(x) := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{R}} \overline{\{v_t(x) \mid t > n\}}$  (resp.  $\alpha(x) := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{R}} \overline{\{v_t(x) \mid t < -n\}}$ ), where the closure of a subset  $A$  is denoted by  $\overline{A}$ . A point is wandering if there are its neighborhood  $U$  and a positive number  $N$  such that  $v_t(U) \cap U = \emptyset$  for any  $t > N$ . A point is non-wandering if it is not wandering (i.e. for any its neighborhood  $U$  and for any positive number  $N$ , there is a number  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|t| > N$  such that  $v_t(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ ). Denote by  $\Omega$  the set of non-wandering points, called the non-wandering set. For an orbit  $O$ , define  $\omega(O) := \omega(x)$  and  $\alpha(O) := \alpha(x)$  for some point  $x \in O$ . Note that an  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set of an orbit is independent of the choice of a point in the orbit. A subset is saturated (or invariant) if it is a union of orbits. The saturation of a subset is the union of orbits intersecting it. Denote by  $\text{Sat}_v(A)$  the saturation of a subset  $A$ . Then  $\text{Sat}_v(A) = \bigcup_{a \in A} O(a)$ . A point  $x$  of  $S$  is recurrent (resp. weakly recurrent) if  $x \in \omega(x) \cap \alpha(x)$  (resp.  $x \in \omega(x) \cup \alpha(x)$ ). A quasi-minimal set (or a Q-set for brevity) is defined to be the orbit closure of a non-closed weakly recurrent point. It is known that a total number of Q-sets for  $v$  cannot exceed  $g$  if  $M$  is an orientable surface of genus  $g$  [9], and  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  if  $M$  is a non-orientable surface of genus  $p$  [11]. Therefore the closure  $\overline{\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}}$  is a finite union of Q-sets.

**2.2. Types of singular point.** A singular point  $x$  on (resp. outside of) the boundary  $\partial S$  of a surface  $S$  is a  $\partial$ -sink (resp. sink) if there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $\omega(y) = \{x\}$  for any  $y \in U$ , is a  $\partial$ -source (resp. source) if there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $\alpha(y) = \{x\}$  for any  $y \in U$ , is a center if there is a saturated neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $U - \{x\}$  consists of periodic orbits. A separatrix is a regular orbit whose  $\alpha$ -limit or  $\omega$ -limit set is a singular point. A separatrix is connecting if each of its  $\omega$ -limit set and  $\alpha$ -limit sets is a singular point. A  $\partial$ - $k$ -saddle (resp.  $k$ -saddle) is an isolated singular point on (resp. outside of)  $\partial S$  with exactly  $(2k + 2)$ -separatrices, counted with multiplicity. A multi-saddle is a  $k$ -saddle or a  $\partial$ - $(k/2)$ -saddle for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . The degree of a multi-saddle  $x$  is the number of its separatrices counted with multiplicity and is denoted by  $\text{deg}(x)$ . A 1-saddle is topologically an ordinary saddle.

**2.3. (Quasi-)Regularity.** A flow is quasi-regular (resp. regular) if each singular point is either a center, a multi-saddle (resp. a saddle, a  $\partial$ -saddle), a sink, a  $\partial$ -sink, a source, or a  $\partial$ -source. Note that a non-wandering flow with finitely many singular points on a compact surface is quasi-regular [5]. Conversely, a quasi-regular flow on a compact surface has finitely many singular points.

**2.4. Topological properties of orbits.** An orbit is proper if it is embedded (i.e. it has a neighborhood in which the orbit is closed), locally dense if its closure has nonempty interior, and exceptional if it is neither proper nor locally dense. A point is proper (resp. locally dense, exceptional) if so is its orbit. Denote by LD (resp. E, P) the union of locally dense orbits (resp. exceptional orbits, non-closed proper orbits). Note that P is the complement of the set of weakly recurrent points and that  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}$  is the union of non-closed weakly recurrent orbits. By definition, we have a decomposition  $S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{P} \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}$ , where  $\sqcup$  is the disjoint

union symbol. For a closed invariant set  $\gamma$ , define the stable manifold  $W^s(\gamma) := \{y \in S \mid \omega(y) \subseteq \gamma\}$  and the unstable manifold  $W^u(\gamma) := \{y \in S \mid \alpha(y) \subseteq \gamma\}$ .

**2.5. Topological notions.** A (quasi-)minimal set is exceptional (resp. locally dense) if it is a closure of an orbit intersecting E (resp. LD). Note that exceptional (quasi-)minimal set is transversely a Cantor set (i.e. there is a small neighborhood  $U$  of a weakly recurrent point of the minimal set  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \cap U$  is a product of an open interval and a Cantor set). Recall that the (orbit) class  $\hat{O}$  of an orbit  $O$  is the union of orbits each of whose orbit closure corresponds to  $\overline{O}$  (i.e.  $\hat{O} = \{y \in S \mid \overline{O(y)} = \overline{O}\}$ ). Denote by  $\partial A := \overline{A} - \text{int}A$  the boundary of a subset  $A \subseteq S$ . Define the border  $\delta A := A - \text{int}A$  of a subset  $A \subseteq S$ . Denote by  $\sigma A := \overline{A} - A$  the shell of a subset  $A \subseteq S$ . Then  $\partial A = \delta A \sqcup \sigma A$ . A one-dimensional cell complex is essential if it is not null homologous with respect to the relative homology group  $H_1(S, \partial S; \mathbb{Z})$ . Note that a one-dimensional cell complex  $\gamma$  is essential in a compact surface  $S$  if and only if  $\gamma$  is not null homotopic in  $S^*$ , where  $S^*$  is the resulting closed surface from  $S$  by collapsing all boundaries into singletons. A subset of  $S$  is essential if it is not null homotopic in  $S^*$ . We call that a singular point is a compressed center if for any of its neighborhood  $U$ , there is an open neighborhood  $V \subset U$  whose boundary is a periodic orbit. Note that a center is a compressed center.

A subset  $\mathbb{A}$  of a surface is a collar of an immersed closed curve  $\gamma$  if there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\gamma$  such that  $\mathbb{A}$  is a connected component of the complement  $U - \gamma$ .

**2.6. Transversality.** Recall that a curve is a continuous mapping  $C : I \rightarrow S$  where  $I$  is a non-degenerate connected closed subset of  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . A curve is simple if it is injective. We also denote by  $C$  the image of a curve  $C$ . Denote by  $\partial C := C(\partial I)$  the boundary of a curve  $C$ , where  $\partial I$  is the boundary of  $I \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ . A simple curve is a simple closed curve if its domain is  $\mathbb{S}^1$  (i.e.  $I = \mathbb{S}^1$ ). A curve  $C$  is transverse to  $v$  at a point  $p$  if there are an orbit arc  $\gamma$  containing  $p$  and a small open disk  $U$  centered at  $p$  such that  $C \cap \gamma = \{p\}$ ,  $\gamma - \{p\} \subset U \setminus C$ , and that  $U \setminus C$  is a disjoint union of two open disks each of which intersects  $\gamma$ . A simple curve  $C$  is transverse to  $v$  if it is transverse to  $v$  at each point in  $C - \partial C$ . A simple curve  $C$  is transverse to  $v$  is called a transverse arc. A simple closed curve is a closed transversal if it transverses to  $v$ .

An  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set of a point is a quasi-Q-set if it intersects an essential closed transversal infinitely many times. Note that a quasi-Q-set need not be arcwise-connected (see Figure 12). We will show that a Q-set is a quasi-Q-set (see Lemma 3.4).

**2.7. One- and two-sided loops.** By a loop, we mean a simple closed curve in a surface. Recall that a boundary component is a connected component of the boundary of a surface. A loop is one-sided if it is either a boundary component of a surface or has a small neighborhood which is a Möbius band. A loop  $\gamma$  which is not a boundary component is two-sided if it has an open small annular neighborhood  $\mathbb{A}$  such that the complement  $\mathbb{A} - \gamma$  consists of two open annuli.

**2.8. Multi-saddle connection diagrams.** A connecting separatrix is a multi-saddle separatrix if each of its  $\alpha$ -limit and  $\omega$ -limit set is a multi-saddle. The multi-saddle connection diagram  $D$  is the union of multi-saddles and multi-saddle separatrices. A (multi-)saddle connection is a connected component of the (multi-)saddle

connection diagram. Note that a multi-saddle connection is also called a poly-cycle. A connecting separatrix is a self-connected separatrix if either its  $\omega$ -limit set and  $\alpha$ -limit set correspond or it connects multi-saddles on the same boundary component. Note that a homoclinic multi-saddle separatrix is self-connected and a heteroclinic multi-saddle separatrix outside of the boundary is not self-connected.

**2.9. Self-connectedness.** A (multi-)saddle connection is self-connected if all the separatrices are self-connected. The (multi-)saddle connection diagram is self-connected if so is each connected component.

**2.10. Circuits.** A trivial circuit is a singular point. By a cycle or a periodic circuit, we mean a periodic orbit. By a non-trivial circuit, we mean either a cycle or an image of a circle which is a graph and which is the union of separatrices and finite singular points. A circuit is either a trivial or non-trivial circuit. A trivial quasi-circuit is a cycle or a singular point. A closed connected invariant subset is a non-trivial quasi-circuit if it is a boundary component of an open annulus, and contains a non-closed proper orbit, and consists of non-closed proper orbits and singular points. A quasi-circuit is either a trivial or non-trivial quasi-circuit. A non-trivial (quasi-)circuit  $\gamma$  is said to be limit if either  $\alpha(x) = \gamma$  or  $\omega(x) = \gamma$  for some point  $x \notin \gamma$ . A (quasi-)circuit  $\gamma$  is attracting (resp. repelling) if there is an open annulus  $\mathbb{A}$  such that a boundary component of  $\mathbb{A}$  is  $\gamma$  and that  $\mathbb{A} \subseteq W^s(\gamma)$  (resp.  $\mathbb{A} \subseteq W^u(\gamma)$ ). Then  $\mathbb{A}$  is called an attracting (resp. a repelling) collar basin of  $\gamma$ .

**2.11. Ss-multi-saddle connection diagrams.** An invariant subset is an ss-component if it is either a sink, a  $\partial$ -sink, a source, a  $\partial$ -source, a limit circuit, or an exceptional Q-set. A separatrix is an ss-separatrix if it connects a multi-saddle and an ss-component. In other words, a separatrix whose  $\omega$ -limit set is a multi-saddle is an ss-separatrix if its  $\alpha$ -limit set is an ss-component. A separatrix whose  $\alpha$ -limit set is a multi-saddle is an ss-separatrix if its  $\omega$ -limit set is an ss-component. The degree of an ss-component  $\gamma$  is the number of its ss-separatrices counted with multiplicity and is denoted by  $\deg(\gamma)$ . The ss-multi-saddle connection diagram  $D_{ss}$  is the union of multi-saddles, multi-saddle separatrices, ss-separatrices, and ss-components. An ss-multi-saddle connection is a connected component of the ss-multi-saddle connection diagram. Note that  $D_{ss}$  is the union of multi-saddle connection diagram  $D$ , ss-separatrices, and ss-components.

**2.12. Properties of (quasi-)circuits.** Define the degree of a limit (quasi-)circuit  $\gamma$  with a attracting (resp. repelling) collar basin  $\mathbb{A}$  as the number of the ss-separatrices intersecting  $\mathbb{A}$  whose  $\omega$ -limit set (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set is  $\gamma$  and denote it by  $\deg_{\mathbb{A}}(\gamma)$ . Note that a ( $\partial$ -)source is an attracting trivial circuit and a ( $\partial$ -)sink is a repelling trivial circuit. A non-periodic limit (quasi-)circuit  $\gamma$  is a strict limit (quasi-)circuit if either  $\gamma$  is one-sided or there are a collar basin  $\mathbb{A}$  of  $\gamma$  and a collar basin  $\mathbb{A}'$  of a limit (quasi-)circuit  $\mu$  with  $\mu \cap \gamma \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{A}' = \emptyset$ . In other words, a non-periodic limit (quasi-)circuit  $\gamma$  is strict limit (quasi-)circuit if either  $\gamma$  is one-sided or there are a separatrix  $\mu \subseteq \gamma$  and an open transverse arc  $T$  intersecting  $\mu$  such that  $f_v|_{\overline{T}_-}$  (resp.  $f_v|_{\overline{T}_+}$ ) is either contracting or repelling, where  $f_v : T \rightarrow T$  is the first return map,  $T_-$  and  $T_+$  are the two connected components of  $T \setminus \mu$ . A limit cycle is a limit (quasi-)circuit in  $\text{Per}(v)$ . A non-trivial circuit  $\gamma$  is a directed circuit if there are an open annulus  $\mathbb{A}$  and a projection  $p : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$

such that the circuit  $\gamma$  is a boundary component of  $\mathbb{A}$  and each fiber of  $p$  (i.e. the inverse image by  $p$  of a point) is an open transverse arc to  $v$ . Then the annulus  $\mathbb{A}$  is called an associated collar of  $\gamma$ . In other words, a (quasi-)circuit  $\gamma$  is directed if there is an open annulus  $\mathbb{A}$  such that a boundary component of  $\mathbb{A}$  is  $\gamma$  and that the orientation of the immersed circle  $\gamma$  corresponds to one of non-singular orbits in the (quasi-)circuit  $\gamma$ . Note that each limit circuit is a directed circuit and that each collar basin is an associated collar.

**2.13. Flows of finite type.** A flow is of finite type if 1) it is quasi-regular, 2) there are at most finitely many limit cycles, and 3)  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} = \emptyset$  (i.e. there are no Q-sets).

**2.14. Border points.** Denote by  $\partial_{\text{Per}}$  the union of periodic orbits in  $\partial S \cap \text{int Per}(v)$ . Moreover, denote by  $\text{P}_{\text{ms}}$  (resp.  $\text{P}_{\text{ss}}$ ) the union of multi-saddle separatrices (resp. ss-separatrices) in  $\text{intP}$ . Define  $\text{P}_{\text{lc}} := \bigcup \{ \gamma \subset \text{intP} \sqcup \text{Sing}(v) : \text{limit circuit} \} \setminus \text{Sing}(v)$ . In other words, the set  $\text{P}_{\text{lc}}$  is the intersection of  $\text{intP}$  and the union of limit circuits. Put  $\text{P}_{\text{sep}} = (\text{P}_{\text{lc}} \cup \text{P}_{\text{ms}}) \sqcup \text{P}_{\text{ss}}$ . If  $v$  is quasi-regular, then  $\text{P}_{\text{lc}} \subseteq \text{P}_{\text{ms}}$ . Define the set  $\text{Bd}$  of border points by  $\text{Bd} := \partial \text{Sing}(v) \cup \partial \text{Per}(v) \cup \partial \text{P} \cup \partial \text{LD} \cup \partial \text{E} \cup \text{P}_{\text{sep}} \cup \partial_{\text{Per}}$ . Denote by  $\text{Per}_1$  the union of one-sided periodic orbits in  $\text{int}(\text{Per}(v) - \partial_{\text{Per}})$ . Define  $\text{BD} := \text{Bd} \sqcup \text{Per}_1$ .

**2.15. Orders.** A binary relation on a set is a pre-order if it is antisymmetric and transitive. A binary relation on a set is a partial order if it is reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive. A poset is a set with a partial order.

**2.16. A cyclic order near a sink (resp. source).** Define a cyclic relation  $\sim_c$  on a finite set  $F$  with  $n$  points as follows:  $(O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n) \sim_c (O_{i_1}, O_{i_2}, \dots, O_{i_n})$  if  $F = \{O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n\}$  and there is an integer  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  such that  $j - i_j \equiv k \pmod n$ . An equivalence class is called a cyclic class of  $F$ .

Fix a multi-saddle (resp. a sink or a source)  $x$  outside of the boundary of a surface  $S$ . Let  $E_x$  be the set of separatrices of  $x$  (resp. between  $x$  and multi-saddles) and  $n := \deg(x) = |E_x|$ . Define a cyclic order  $<_c$  on  $E_x$  as follows: For an orientation  $\mu$  near  $x$ , a cyclic class  $[O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n]$  of  $E_x$  is counterclockwise with respect to  $\mu$  if the separatrices  $O_1, \dots, O_n$  are arranged in the counterclockwise direction around  $x$  with respect to  $\mu$ . Denote by  $[O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n]_\mu$  a counterclockwise cyclic class with respect to  $\mu$ . Define an equivalent relation  $\approx_c$  for counterclockwise cyclic classes as follows:  $[O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n]_\mu \approx_c [O'_1, O'_2, \dots, O'_n]_{\mu'}$  if one of the following conditions holds

- 1)  $\mu = \mu'$  and  $[O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n]_\mu = [O'_1, O'_2, \dots, O'_n]_{\mu'}$
- 2)  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  are opposite and  $[O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n]_\mu = [O'_n, O'_{n-1}, \dots, O'_1]_{\mu'}$ .

The equivalence class is called a cyclic class of  $x$  and denoted by  $[O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n]_x$ . Then a cyclic order  $<_c$  near  $x$  is defined by  $O_1 <_c O_2 <_c \dots <_c O_n <_c O_1$  (i.e.  $O_i <_c O_j$  if  $j - i \equiv 1 \pmod n$ ), where  $[O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n]_x$  is a cyclic class of  $x$ .

**2.17. Total order near a  $\partial$ -sink (resp.  $\partial$ -source).** Fix a multi-saddle (resp. a  $\partial$ -sink or a  $\partial$ -source)  $x$  on the boundary of a surface  $S$ . Let  $E_x$  be the set of separatrices of  $x$  (resp. between  $x$  and multi-saddles) and  $n := \deg x = |E_x|$ . Define a total order  $<_t$  on  $E_x$  as follows: For an orientation  $\mu$  near  $x$ , a tuple  $(O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n)$  of  $E_x$  is counterclockwise with respect to  $\mu$  if  $O_1 \sqcup O_n \subset \partial S$  and the separatrices  $O_1, \dots, O_n$  are arranged in the counterclockwise direction around  $x$  with respect to  $\mu$ . Denote by  $[O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n]_\mu$  a counterclockwise class with

respect to  $\mu$ . Define an equivalent relation  $\approx_t$  for counterclockwise classes as follows:

$[O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n]_\mu \approx_t [O'_1, O'_2, \dots, O'_n]_{\mu'}$  if one of the following conditions holds

1)  $\mu = \mu'$  and  $[O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n]_\mu = [O'_1, O'_2, \dots, O'_n]_{\mu'}$

2)  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  are opposite and  $[O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n]_\mu = [O'_n, O'_{n-1}, \dots, O'_1]_{\mu'}$ .

The equivalence class is called a totally ordered class of  $x$  and denoted by  $[O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n]_x$ .

Then a total order  $<_t$  near  $x$  is defined by  $O_1 <_t O_2 <_t \dots <_t O_n$  (i.e.  $O_i <_t O_j$  if  $i < j$ ), where  $[O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n]_x$  is a totally ordered class of  $x$ .

**2.18. Cyclic order near a one-sided limit circuit.** Fix a one-sided limit circuit  $\gamma$  with the collar direction on a surface  $S$ . Let  $E_\gamma$  be the set of ss-separatrices each of whose  $\omega$ -limit or  $\alpha$ -limit set is  $\gamma$ . Put  $n := \deg(\gamma) = |E_\gamma|$ . Define a cyclic order  $<_c$  on  $E_\gamma$  as follows: A tuple  $(O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n)$  of  $E_\gamma$  is counterclockwise with respect to  $\gamma$  if there is a closed transversal  $C$  near and parallel to  $\gamma$  and the intersections of  $C$  and the separatrices  $O_1, \dots, O_n$  are arranged in the counterclockwise direction of  $\gamma$  on  $C$ . Then a cyclic order  $<_c$  near  $\gamma$  is defined by  $O_1 <_c O_2 <_c \dots <_c O_n <_c O_1$  (i.e.  $O_i <_c O_j$  if  $j - i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ ), where  $(O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n)$  is counterclockwise with respect to  $\gamma$ .

**2.19. A two-sided limit circuit with the collar direction.** Let  $\gamma$  be a two-sided limit circuit with two disjoint collars  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{A}'$  and let  $L_\gamma$  be the set of connected components of  $S - D_{ss}$  each of which intersects  $\mathbb{A} \sqcup \mathbb{A}'$ . Then the pair  $(\gamma, L_\gamma)$  is called a two-sided limit circuit with the collar direction.

**2.20. Cyclic order near a two-sided limit circuit.** Fix a two-sided limit circuit  $\gamma$  with the collar direction on a surface  $S$  and with an attracting (resp. repelling) collar basin  $\mathbb{A}$  of  $\gamma$ . Let  $E_\gamma$  be the set of ss-separatrices which intersect  $\mathbb{A}$  and each of whose  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set is  $\gamma$ . Put  $n := |E_\gamma|$ . Define a cyclic order  $<_c$  on  $E_\gamma$  as follows: A tuple  $(O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n)$  of  $E_\gamma$  is counterclockwise with respect to  $\gamma$  if there is a closed transversal  $C$  near and parallel to  $\gamma$  such that the intersections of  $C$  and the separatrices  $O_1, \dots, O_n$  are arranged in the counterclockwise direction of  $\gamma$  on  $C$ . Then a cyclic order  $<_c$  near  $\gamma$  is defined by  $O_1 <_c O_2 <_c \dots <_c O_n <_c O_1$  (i.e.  $O_i <_c O_j$  if  $j - i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ ), where  $(O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n)$  is counterclockwise with respect to  $\gamma$ .

**2.21. Heights of orbits.** For a subset  $A$  and a point  $x$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , an abbreviated form of the singleton  $\{x\}$  (resp. the difference  $A - \{x\}$ , the point closure  $\overline{\{x\}}$ ) will be  $x$  (resp.  $A - x, \bar{x}$ ). The specialization pre-order  $\leq_\tau$  on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is defined as follows:  $x \leq_\tau y$  if  $x \in \bar{y}$ . Define the upset  $\uparrow x := \{y \in P \mid x \leq_\tau y\}$ , the downset  $\downarrow x := \{y \in P \mid y \leq_\tau x\}$ , and the class  $\hat{x} := \downarrow x \cap \uparrow x$ . Then the set of classes is a decomposition and so its quotient space is a  $T_0$  space, which is called the  $T_0$ -tification of  $X$  and denoted by  $\hat{X}$ . Denote by  $\max X$  (resp.  $\min X$ ) the set of maximal (resp. minimal) points. Note that  $\downarrow x = \bar{x}$  and that the set  $\min X$  of minimal points in  $X$  is the set of points whose classes are closed. Define the upset  $\uparrow A := \bigcup_{x \in A} \uparrow x$ , the downset  $\downarrow A := \bigcup_{x \in A} \downarrow x$ , the class  $\hat{A} := \bigcup_{x \in A} \hat{x}$ ,  $A^\uparrow = \uparrow A - \hat{A}$ , and  $A^\downarrow = \downarrow A - \hat{A}$ . Notice that  $x^\uparrow = \uparrow x - \hat{x}$ ,  $x^\downarrow = \downarrow x - \hat{x}$ ,  $\downarrow A \subseteq \bar{A}$ , and  $A^\downarrow \subseteq \bar{A} - A = \sigma A$ . Define the height  $\text{ht}_\tau x$  of  $x$  by  $\text{ht}_\tau x := \sup\{\#C - 1 \mid C : \text{chain containing } x \text{ as the maximal point}\}$ . Recall that a chain is a totally ordered subset of a pre-ordered set. The height  $\text{ht}_\tau A$  of a nonempty subset  $A \subseteq X$  is defined by  $\text{ht}_\tau A := \sup_{x \in A} \text{ht}_\tau x$ . Define  $\text{ht}_\tau \emptyset = -1$ .





FIGURE 1. A center disk, a sink disk, and a source disk


 FIGURE 2. An operation  $C_t$ , an operation  $C_o$ , and an operation  $C_d$ 

**2.22. Orbit (class) spaces.** For a flow  $v$  on a compact surface  $S$ , denote by  $(S/v, \tau_v)$  (resp.  $(S/\hat{v}, \tau_{\hat{v}})$ ) the orbit space (resp. orbit class space). Note that the orbit class space  $S/\hat{v}$  is the  $T_0$ -tification of the orbit space  $S/v$ . For a point  $x \in S$ , define the height of  $x$  by the height of the point  $\hat{x}$  in  $S/\hat{v}$  and write  $\text{ht}(x) := \text{ht}_{\tau_{\hat{v}}}(\hat{x})$ . Then the compatible pre-order  $\leq$  on  $S$ , called the specialization pre-order of the quotient topology  $\tau_{\hat{v}}$ , is defined as follows:  $x \leq y$  if  $\hat{x} \leq_{\tau_{\hat{v}}} \hat{y}$ . Denote by  $S_k$  the set of points of height  $k$ . The height  $\text{ht} A$  of a subset  $A \subseteq S$  is defined by  $\text{ht} A := \sup_{x \in A} \text{ht}(x)$ . The height of the flow  $v$  is defined by  $\text{ht}(v) := \text{ht}(S)$ . The orbit space  $T/v$  (resp. orbit class space  $T/\hat{v}$ ) of a saturated subset  $T$  of  $S$  is a subset of the orbit space  $S/v$  (resp. orbit class space  $S/\hat{v}$ ) and a quotient space  $T/\sim$  defined by  $x \sim y$  if  $O(x) = O(y)$  (resp.  $\overline{O(x)} = \overline{O(y)}$ ).

**2.23. Center disks, sink disks, source disks.** A closed disk is a center disk if it is a union of one center and periodic orbits. A closed disk is a sink (resp. source) disk if its boundary is a closed transversal and its interior consists of one sink (resp. source) and of subsets of non-closed proper orbits (see Figure 1).

**2.24. Operations.** Define an operation  $C_t$  as cutting an essential closed transversal and pasting one sink disk and one source disk, an operation  $C_o$  as cutting an essential periodic orbit and pasting one or two center disks, and an operation  $C_d$  as removing an essential one-sided (resp. two-sided) loop in  $D$  and pasting a double covering (resp. two copies) of the loop to the new boundary (resp. new two boundaries) (see Figure 2). Recall that a Cherry blow-up operation replaces a flow box with a Cherry flow box as shown in Figure 3. Denote by  $\text{Ch}_l$  a Cherry blow-up operation to a limit cycle (i.e. replacing a limit cycle by a Cherry flow with a homoclinic separatrix of the saddle) as shown in Figure 4. We can define an Cherry blow-up operation to a directed circuit as shown in Figure 4 and denote it by  $\text{Ch}_l$ .

**2.25. Graphs.** Recall an ordered triple  $G := (V, E, r)$  is an abstract multi-graph if  $V$  and  $E$  are sets and  $r : E \rightarrow \{\{x, y\} \mid x, y \in V\}$ . An ordered triple  $G =$






FIGURE 3. A flow box and a Cherry flow box




FIGURE 4. A Cherry blow-up operation to an attracting directed circuit

$(V, E, r)$  is an abstract multi-graph with 0-hyper-edges if  $V$  and  $E$  are sets and  $r : E \rightarrow \{\{x, y\} \mid x, y \in V\} \sqcup \{\emptyset\}$ . A graph is a cell complex whose dimension is at most one and which is a geometric realization of an abstract multi-graph. Note that a finite (directed) multi-graph can be embedded in a surface. In other words, it can be drawn such that no edges cross each other. Such a drawing is called a surface (directed) graph. Moreover a disjoint union of a surface directed graph and finite simple closed directed curves embedded in a surface is called a generalized surface directed graph. Note that a generalized surface directed graph is a geometric realization of an abstract multi-graph with 0-hyper-edges on a surface such that 0-hyper-edges are realized by disjoint simple closed directed curves.

**2.26. Multi-graphs as posets.** A poset  $P$  is said to be multi-graph-like if the height of  $P$  is at most one and  $|\downarrow x| \leq 3$  for any element  $x \in P$ . For a multi-graph-like poset  $P$ , each element of  $P_0$  is called a vertex and each element of  $P_1$  is called an edge. Then an abstract multi-graph  $G$  can be considered as a multi-graph-like poset  $(P, \leq_G)$  with  $V = P_0$  and  $E = P_1$  as follows:  $P = V \sqcup E$  and  $x <_G e$  if  $x \in r(e)$ . Conversely, a multi-graph-like poset  $P$  can be considered as an abstract multi-graph with  $V = P_0$ ,  $E = P_1$ , and  $r : P_1 \rightarrow \{\{x, y\} \mid x, y \in V\}$  defined by  $r(e) := \downarrow e - \{e\}$ .

**2.27. Reeb domains.** An open saturated annulus  $\mathbb{A} \subseteq P$  of a surface  $S$  is called a Reeb domain with respect to a flow on  $S$  (see Figure 5) if there are two limit circuits  $\gamma_-, \gamma_+$  with  $\partial\mathbb{A} = \gamma_- \cup \gamma_+$  and  $\mathbb{A} \subseteq W^u(\gamma_-) \cap W^s(\gamma_+)$  such that the flow




FIGURE 5. A Reeb domain

directions of  $\gamma_-$  and  $\gamma_+$  with respect to the metric completion of  $\mathbb{A}$  are opposite, where  $W^u(\gamma_-)$  is the unstable manifold of  $\gamma_-$  and  $W^s(\gamma_+)$  is the stable manifold of  $\gamma_+$ .

**2.28. Dimensions.** By dimension, we mean the small inductive dimension. By Urysohn's theorem, the Lebesgue covering dimension, the small inductive dimension, and the large inductive dimension corresponded in normal spaces. A compact metrizable space  $X$  whose inductive dimension is  $n > 0$  is an  $n$ -dimensional Cantor-manifold if the complement  $X - L$  for any closed subset  $L$  of  $X$  whose inductive dimension is less than  $n - 1$  is connected. It is known that a compact connected manifold is a Cantor-manifold [7, 19].

### 3. PROPERTIES OF SURFACE FLOWS

Let  $v$  be a flow on a compact connected surface  $S$ . Note that the closedness of the set of singular points implies  $\delta P = P \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v)} \sqcup \overline{\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}} = P \cap (\sigma \text{Per}(v) \cup \sigma \text{LD} \cup \sigma \text{E})$ . We characterize shells  $\sigma A = \overline{A} - A$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *The following statements hold for a flow  $v$  on a compact surface  $S$ :*

- 1)  $\sigma \text{LD} \subseteq \delta \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta P$ .
- 2)  $\sigma \text{E} \subseteq \delta \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta P$ .
- 3)  $\sigma \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \delta \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta P$ .

*In other words, we have  $\sigma \text{Per}(v) \cup \sigma \text{LD} \cup \sigma \text{E} \subseteq \delta \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta P$ .*

*Proof.* It is known that a total number of Q-sets for  $v$  is bounded [9, 11]. By Proposition 2.2 [21], assertions 1) and 2) hold. Lemma 2.3 [21] implies that  $\overline{\text{Per}(v)} \cap (\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}) = \emptyset$  and so  $\overline{\text{Per}(v)} \subseteq \delta \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \delta P$ .  $\square$

We characterize the border  $\delta P = P - \text{int}P$  of the union  $P$ .

**Lemma 3.2.**  $\delta P = (\sigma \text{Per}(v) \cup \sigma \text{LD} \cup \sigma \text{E}) \setminus \text{Sing}(v)$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 3.1 implies that  $(\sigma \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \sigma \text{LD} \sqcup \sigma \text{E}) \setminus \text{Sing}(v) \subseteq \delta P$ . Conversely, the closedness of  $\text{Sing}(v)$  implies that  $\delta P = P - \text{int}P = P \cap \overline{S - P} = \overline{S - P} - (S - P) = \sigma(S - P) = \sigma(\text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}) \subseteq \sigma(\text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}) \setminus \text{Sing}(v) = (\sigma \text{Per}(v) \cup \sigma \text{LD} \cup \sigma \text{E}) \setminus \text{Sing}(v)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *For any point  $x \in \text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}$ , there is a closed transversal  $\gamma$  through  $O(x)$  in  $U$  such that the intersection  $\gamma \cap O(x)$  is infinite. Moreover each closed transversal through a point in  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}$  is essential.*




FIGURE 6. The waterfall construction

*Proof.* Fix a point  $x \in LD \sqcup E$  and a transverse arc  $I \subset U$  such that  $x$  is the interior point of  $I$ . Fix a path  $C$  in the orbit  $O(x)$  whose boundary is contained in  $I$ . Taking a small sub-arc of  $I$ , by the non-closed weak recurrence, we may assume that the holonomy map along  $C$  is orientation-preserving, because a Möbius band contains an annulus. By the waterfall construction (see Figure 6), there is a closed transversal  $\gamma$  intersecting  $O(x)$  near the union of the path  $C$  and the transverse sub-arc  $J$  of  $I$  with  $\partial C = \partial J$ . Since  $x$  is non-trivial recurrent, the intersection  $\gamma \cap O(x)$  is infinite. Suppose that  $\gamma$  is not essential. Let  $S^*$  be the resulting closed surface from  $S$  by collapsing all boundaries into singletons. Then  $\gamma$  is null homotopic in  $S^*$  and so the point  $x \in P$ , which contradicts the weak recurrence of  $x$ .  $\square$

This implies the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4.** *A  $Q$ -set is a quasi- $Q$ -set*

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma$  be a  $Q$ -set. Then there is a non-trivial weakly recurrent orbit  $O \subset \gamma$ . By Lemma 3.3, there is an essential closed transversal  $T$  through  $O$  in  $U$ . Then it intersects an essential closed transversal infinitely many times.  $\square$

We obtain the following description of a neighborhood of  $\text{Per}(v)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *The union  $\text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{int}P$  is an open neighborhood of  $\text{Per}(v)$ . In particular,  $\text{Per}(v) \cap \overline{\delta P} = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Taking the orientation double covering (resp. the double of a manifold), we may assume that  $S$  is closed orientable. Fix a point  $x \in \text{Per}(v)$ . Take a small collar  $U \subseteq S - \text{Sing}(v)$  of  $O(x)$ . The flow box theorem implies that there are an open annulus  $\mathbb{A} \subseteq U$  which is also a collar of  $O(x)$  and a transverse closed arc  $T_+ \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{A}}$  such that  $x$  is contained in  $\partial T_+$ . Then there are a small subinterval  $S_+ \subseteq T_+$  containing  $x$  and the first return map  $f_{v_+} : S_+ \rightarrow T_+$  induced by  $v$ . Then  $f_{v_+}(x) = x$ . Identify  $T_+$  with  $[0, 1]$  (resp.  $x$  with 0). We show that  $\text{Sat}_v(T_+) \subseteq \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{int}P$ . Indeed, suppose that  $f_{v_+} : S_+ \rightarrow T_+$  is either contracting or expanding near zero. Then  $O$  is a limit cycle and so  $\text{Sat}_v(T_+) \subseteq \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{int}P$ . Suppose that  $f_{v_+} : S_+ \rightarrow T_+$  is neither contracting nor expanding near zero. This implies that zero is an accumulation point of the fixed point set  $\text{Fix}(f_v)$ . Shortening  $T_+$ , we may assume that  $f_v(1) = 1$  and so  $S_+ = T_+$ . Then the saturation of  $T_+$  is a closed annulus, and each orbit intersecting  $\text{Fix}(f_v)$  is contained in  $\text{Per}(v)$  and each orbit intersecting  $T_+ - \text{Fix}(f_v)$  is contained in  $\text{int}P$ . Therefore  $\text{Sat}_v(T_+) \subseteq \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{int}P$ . By symmetry, there is a transverse closed arc  $T_- \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{A}}$  with  $x \in \partial T_-$  such that  $T_- \cap U = \emptyset$  and  $\text{Sat}_v(T_-) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{int}P)$ . Then the union  $T := T_- \cup T_+$  is a

transverse closed arc with  $x \in \text{int}T$  such that  $\text{Sat}_v(T) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{int}P)$ . This implies that  $\text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{int}P$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  and so of  $\text{Per}(v)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.**  $\delta \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \overline{\text{int}P}$  is contained in the closure of the union of limit cycles.

*Proof.* Obviously, each limit cycle is contained in  $\delta \text{Per}(v)$ . Taking the orientation double covering, we may assume that  $S$  is orientable. By Lemma 3.5, the union  $\text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{int}P$  is an open neighborhood of  $\text{Per}(v)$ . Then  $\delta \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \overline{\text{int}P}$ . Fix a periodic orbit  $O \subseteq \delta \text{Per}(v)$ . If  $O$  is a limit cycle, then  $O \subseteq \overline{\text{int}P}$ . Thus we may assume that  $O$  is not a limit cycle. Since  $O \cap \text{int}P = \emptyset$ , it has a collar  $U$  which is contained in  $\text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{int}P$  such that  $O \subseteq \overline{\text{int}P}$ . The flow box theorem implies that there are an open annulus  $\mathbb{A} \subseteq U$  and a closed transverse arc  $T \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{A}}$  such that  $\mathbb{A}$  is a collar of  $O$  and  $O \cap T$  is contained in  $\partial T$ . Identify  $T$  with  $[0, 1]$  (resp.  $O \cap T$  with 0). Then there are a small subinterval  $S \subseteq T$  containing  $x$  and the first return map  $f_v : S \rightarrow T$  induced by  $v$ . Then  $f_v(0) = 0$ . Since  $O$  is not a limit cycle, the return map  $f_v : S \rightarrow T$  is neither contracting nor repelling near zero. This implies that zero is an accumulation point of the fixed point set  $\text{Fix}(f_v)$ . Since  $O \subseteq \overline{\text{int}P}$ , the transverse  $T$  has a convergence sequence to zero of fixed points which are either attracting or repelling from at least one side. This means that  $O$  is contained in the closure of the union of limit cycles.  $\square$

We obtain the following description of a neighborhood of  $E$  (resp.  $LD$ ).

**Lemma 3.7.**  $\delta E = E \subseteq \text{int}(P \sqcup E)$ .

*Proof.* By the Maier theorem [9, 10], the closure  $\overline{E}$  is a finite union of closures of exceptional orbits and so  $\delta E = E$ . Lemma 3.1 implies  $E \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v) \sqcup LD} = \emptyset$ . The closedness of  $\text{Sing}(v)$  implies  $E \subseteq S - \overline{\text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup LD} = \text{int}(P \sqcup E)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.**  $LD \subseteq \text{int}(P \sqcup LD)$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 3.1 implies  $LD \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v) \sqcup E} = \emptyset$ . The closedness of  $\text{Sing}(v)$  implies  $LD \subseteq S - \overline{\text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup E} = \text{int}(P \sqcup LD)$ .  $\square$

Note that  $\text{int}P \sqcup E$  is not a neighborhood of  $E$  and that  $\text{int}P \sqcup LD$  is not a neighborhood of  $LD$  in general. For instance, there is a toral flow such that  $\text{int}P \sqcup E$  is not a neighborhood of  $E$ . In fact, consider a Denjoy flow  $v$  on a torus with an exceptional minimal set  $\mathcal{M}$ . Fix an orbit  $O \subset \mathcal{M}$ . Choose a point  $x \in O$  and a sequence  $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  on  $O$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} t_n = -\infty$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} x_n = x$ , where  $x_n := v_{t_n}(x)$ . Using the bump function  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi^{-1}(0) = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , replace  $O$  with a union of singular points and separatrices of the resulting flow  $v_\varphi$  (i.e.  $O = \text{Sing}(v_\varphi) \sqcup \{\text{separatrix of } v_\varphi\}$ ) such that  $O_{v_\varphi}(y) = O_v(y)$  for any point  $y \in \mathbb{T}^2 - O$ . Then  $\mathbb{T}^2 = \text{Sing}(v_\varphi) \sqcup P(v_\varphi) \sqcup E(v_\varphi)$ , where  $P(v_\varphi)$  is the union of non-closed proper orbits of  $v_\varphi$  and  $E(v_\varphi)$  is the union of exceptional orbits of  $v_\varphi$ . Since  $\mathcal{M} = \overline{O} = \overline{E(v_\varphi)}$ , each neighborhood of  $O$  contains  $E(v_\varphi)$  and each neighborhood of  $E(v_\varphi)$  contains  $O$ . Since  $O \subset \text{Sing}(v_\varphi) \sqcup P(v_\varphi)$ , we obtain  $O \cap (\text{int}P(v_\varphi) \sqcup E(v_\varphi)) = \emptyset$ . Since each neighborhood of  $E(v_\varphi)$  contains  $O$ , we have  $\text{int}P(v_\varphi) \sqcup E(v_\varphi)$  is not a neighborhood of  $E(v_\varphi)$ .

Moreover, there is a toral flow  $w_\varphi$  with countable singular points and with  $\overline{\text{Cl}(w_\varphi)} \neq \Omega(w_\varphi)$  such that  $\text{int}P(w_\varphi) \sqcup LD(w_\varphi)$  is not a neighborhood of  $LD(w_\varphi)$ . Indeed, consider an irrational toral flow  $w$ . Fix an orbit  $O$ . Choose a point

$x \in O$  and a sequence  $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  on  $O$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} t_n = -\infty$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} x_n = x$ , where  $x_n := w_{t_n}(x)$ . Replacing  $O$  by a union of singular points and separatrices, we obtain the resulting flow  $w_\varphi$  on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$  such that the singular point set  $\text{Sing}(w_\varphi) = \{x\} \sqcup \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is countable,  $S - O = \text{LD}(w_\varphi)$ ,  $O = \overline{\text{Sing}(w_\varphi) \sqcup \text{P}(w_\varphi)}$ , and  $\Omega(w_\varphi) = \mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \text{Sing}(w_\varphi) = \overline{\text{Cl}(w_\varphi)}$ . Since  $\overline{\mathbb{T}^2 - \text{LD}(w_\varphi)} = \overline{\text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{P}(w_\varphi)} = \overline{O} = \mathbb{T}^2$  and  $\text{LD}(w_\varphi) \neq \emptyset$ , the union  $\text{intP}(w_\varphi) \sqcup \text{LD}(w_\varphi)$  is not open. We summarize the descriptions of neighborhoods as follows.

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $v$  be a flow on a compact surface  $S$ . The following statements hold:*

1.  $\text{Per}(v) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{intP}) = \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{intP}$ .
2.  $E \subseteq \text{int}(\text{P} \sqcup E)$ .
3.  $\text{LD} \subseteq \text{int}(\text{P} \sqcup \text{LD})$ .

#### 4. HEIGHTS OF ORBITS

Let  $v$  be a flow on a compact connected surface  $S$ . Recall that  $A^\uparrow = \uparrow A - \hat{A}$  for a subset  $A$ . Note that any neighborhood of a subset  $A$  contains  $\uparrow A$  and so  $A^\uparrow$ . We characterize superior points.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The following statements hold:*

- 1)  $\text{Sing}(v)^\uparrow \subseteq \text{P} \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup E$ .
- 2)  $\text{Per}(v)^\uparrow \subseteq \text{intP}$ .
- 3)  $\text{P}^\uparrow \subseteq \text{LD} \sqcup E$ .
- 4)  $\text{LD}^\uparrow = \emptyset$ .
- 5)  $E^\uparrow \subseteq \text{intP}$ .

*Proof.* Since closed orbits are minimal sets, assertions 1) and 3) hold. Lemma 2.1 [21] implies  $\text{Per}(v)^\uparrow \subseteq \text{intP}$ . For an orbit  $O$  with  $\overline{O} \cap \text{LD} \neq \emptyset$ , there is an orbit  $O' \subset \text{LD} \cap \overline{O}$ . Since the closure  $\overline{O'}$  is a neighborhood of  $O'$ , we have  $O \cap \overline{O'} \neq \emptyset$  and so  $\overline{O} = \overline{O'}$ . This means that  $O \subset \text{LD}$  and so  $\text{LD}^\uparrow = \emptyset$ . Lemma 3.7 implies that  $E \subset \text{int}(\text{P} \sqcup E)$ . Since  $\text{intP} \cap \overline{E} = \emptyset$ , we have  $E^\uparrow = (\uparrow E) \setminus \overline{E} \subseteq \text{int}(\text{P} \sqcup E) \setminus \overline{E} \subseteq \text{intP}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *The following statements hold:*

- 1)  $x^\uparrow \subseteq \text{P} \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup E$  for any  $x \in \text{Sing}(v)$ .
- 2)  $x^\uparrow \subseteq \text{intP}$  for any  $x \in \text{Per}(v)$ .
- 3)  $x^\uparrow \subseteq \text{P} \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup E$  for any  $x \in \text{P}$ .
- 4)  $x^\uparrow = \emptyset$  for any  $x \in \text{LD}$ .
- 5)  $x^\uparrow \subseteq \text{intP}$  for any  $x \in E$ .

*Proof.* Since the orbit class of a closed orbit is the orbit, assertions 1) and 2) hold. The previous lemma implies 3) obviously. Proposition 2.2 [21] implies assertions 4) and 5).  $\square$

The previous corollary implies that a locally dense quasi-Q-set is a Q-set. Recall that  $A^\downarrow = \downarrow A - \hat{A} \subseteq \overline{A} - \hat{A} \subseteq \sigma A$  for a subset  $A$ . We characterize inferior points.

**Lemma 4.3.** *The following statements hold:*

- 1)  $\text{Sing}(v)^\downarrow = \emptyset$  (i.e.  $\text{Sing}(v) \subseteq \min S$ ).
- 2)  $\text{Per}(v)^\downarrow = \emptyset$  (i.e.  $\text{Per}(v) \subseteq \min S$ ).
- 3)  $\text{P}^\downarrow \subseteq S - \text{LD}$  and  $(\text{P} \setminus (\text{Per}(v) \sqcup E)^\uparrow)^\downarrow \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{P}$ .

- 4)  $\text{LD}^\downarrow \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta\text{P}$ .
- 5)  $\text{E}^\downarrow \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta\text{P}$ .

*Proof.* Since closed orbits are minimal sets, assertions 1) and 2) hold. Lemma 4.1 implies the remaining statements.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *The following statements hold:*

- 1)  $x^\downarrow = \emptyset$  for any  $x \in \text{Cl}(v)$ .
- 2)  $\emptyset \neq x^\downarrow \subseteq S - \text{LD}$  for any  $x \in \text{P}$ .
- 3)  $x^\downarrow \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta\text{P}$  for any  $x \in \text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}$ .

*Proof.* The previous lemma implies assertion 1). Proposition 2.2 [21] implies assertions 2) and 3).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *The following statements hold:*

- 1) *The set  $\min S$  of height zero points is the union of minimal sets.*
- 2)  $\text{Cl}(v) \subseteq \min S \subseteq S - \text{P}$ .
- 3)  $\text{int Cl}(v) \sqcup (\text{int P} - \text{P}_{\text{lc}}) \sqcup \text{LD} \subseteq \max S$ .
- 4)  $\text{E} \cap \max S = \emptyset$  if  $|\text{Sing}(v)| < \infty$ .

*Proof.* The definition of height implies 1). Since closed orbits are minimal sets, the definition of P implies assertion 2). Lemma 4.1 and the definition of a limit circuit imply 3). By Theorem 2.2 [12] (cf. Lemma 3 [18]), the finiteness of singular points implies 4).  $\square$

We show that the orientable double cover of a non-orientable surface preserves the height of points.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $p : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  be the projection from the orientable double cover of a non-orientable surface  $S$  to  $S$  and  $\tilde{v}$  the lift of a flow  $v$  on  $S$ . For any  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{S}$ , the height of  $\tilde{x}$  equals the height  $p(\tilde{x})$ .*

*Proof.* By the definition of the orientable double cover, for any point  $x \in S$  and for any  $\tilde{x}_+ \neq \tilde{x}_- \in p^{-1}(x)$ , we have  $\text{ht}(\tilde{x}_+) = \text{ht}(\tilde{x}_-)$ . We show that  $\overline{p^{-1}(O(x))} = p^{-1}(\overline{O(x)}) = \overline{O(\tilde{x}_+)} \cup \overline{O(\tilde{x}_-)}$  for any  $\tilde{x}_+ \neq \tilde{x}_- \in p^{-1}(x)$ . Indeed, since  $p^{-1}(O(x)) = O(\tilde{x}_+) \cup O(\tilde{x}_-)$ , the closure  $\overline{p^{-1}(O(x))} = \overline{O(\tilde{x}_+)} \cup \overline{O(\tilde{x}_-)}$  is a saturation. Since  $p^{-1}(\overline{O(x)}) \supseteq p^{-1}(O(x))$ , we have  $\overline{p^{-1}(O(x))} \supseteq \overline{p^{-1}(O(x))}$ . Since the image of a compact subset is compact, the image  $p(\overline{p^{-1}(O(x))}) \supseteq O(x)$  is closed and so  $\overline{p^{-1}(O(x))} \supseteq \overline{O(x)} = p(\overline{p^{-1}(O(x))})$ . Since  $p$  is a double covering, the saturation of the lift of a point is the lift of its saturation. The locally homeomorphic property of coverings implies that  $\overline{p^{-1}(O(x))} \supseteq p^{-1}(\overline{O(x)})$ .

To show the assertion, we suffices to show that for any orbit  $O$  of  $S$  and for any  $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2 \in p^{-1}(\hat{O})$ , we have  $\text{ht}(\tilde{x}_1) = \text{ht}(\tilde{x}_2)$ . Indeed, assume that there are points  $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2 \in p^{-1}(\hat{O})$  for some orbit  $O$  of  $S$  such that  $\text{ht}(\tilde{x}_1) < \text{ht}(\tilde{x}_2)$ . Put  $x_i := p(\tilde{x}_i)$ . Then  $O(x_1) \neq O(x_2)$ . Since  $x_1, x_2 \in \hat{O}$ , we have  $\overline{O(x_1)} = \overline{O(x_2)}$ . This means that both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are non-trivial weakly recurrent orbits. Let  $\tilde{x}'_i \in p^{-1}(x_i)$  be the point such that  $\{\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}'_i\} = p^{-1}(x_i)$ . Then  $\overline{O(\tilde{x}_1)} \cup \overline{O(\tilde{x}'_1)} = p^{-1}(\overline{O(x_1)}) = p^{-1}(\overline{O(x_2)}) = \overline{O(\tilde{x}_2)} \cup \overline{O(\tilde{x}'_2)}$ . The non-trivial recurrence implies that either  $\overline{O(\tilde{x}_1)} = \overline{O(\tilde{x}_2)}$  or  $\overline{O(\tilde{x}_1)} = \overline{O(\tilde{x}'_2)}$ . This means that  $\text{ht}(\tilde{x}_1) = \text{ht}(\tilde{x}_2)$ , which contradicts the inequality.  $\square$

We show the following transversality.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Each point whose height is more than two intersects an essential closed transversal infinitely many times.*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be a point whose height is  $k > 2$ ,  $y \in \overline{O(x)}$  an point of height  $k - 1$ , and  $z \in \overline{O(y)}$  an point of height  $k - 2$ . Since the heights of closed orbits are zero, we have  $z \in P \sqcup LD \sqcup E$ . Take a transverse open arc  $T$  through  $z$ . Since  $z \in \overline{O(y)}$ , there is a closed sub-arc  $I \in T$  with  $\partial I \subset O(y)$  such that the holonomy map along  $C$  is orientation-preserving, where  $C \subset O(y)$  is the path with  $\partial C = \partial I$ . By the waterfall construction, there is a closed transversal  $\gamma$  intersecting  $O(y)$  near the union of the path  $C$  and the transverse sub-arc  $I$ . Since  $y \in \overline{O(x)}$ , the orbit  $O(x)$  intersects  $\gamma$  infinitely many times. If  $\gamma$  is not essential, then it bounds either a disk or an annulus parallel to  $\partial S$  and so  $y \notin \overline{O(x)}$  because  $\gamma$  consists of points of maximal height. Thus  $\gamma$  is essential.  $\square$

The proof of the previous lemma implies the following statement.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $x$  be a point whose height  $k > 2$ ,  $y \in \overline{O(x)}$  an point of height  $k - 1$ , and  $z \in \overline{O(y)}$  a proper point of height  $k - 2$ . Then there is an essential closed transversal which intersects  $O(x)$  but no times  $\overline{O(z)}$ .*

We show the finiteness of the height of some compact surfaces.

**Lemma 4.9.** *The height of a flow on a compact surface contained in either a sphere or a projective plane is at most two.*

*Proof.* Suppose that the height of a flow on a compact surface is more than two. Taking the orientable double cover if necessary, we may assume that the surface is orientable. Then the genus of the compact surface is zero and so each closed curve is not essential. By Lemma 4.7, there is an essential closed transversal infinitely many times, which contradicts the non-existence of essential closed curves.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.10.** *The height of a flow on an orientable compact surface whose genus is  $g > 0$  is at most  $2g + 3$ .*

*Proof.* Since any pair of two distinct  $Q$ -sets do not intersect, each chain in the orbit class space whose top class consists of non-closed weakly recurrent points contains exactly one  $Q$ -set. We show the assertion by the induction. Suppose that the height of a flow on a compact surface is more than  $2g + 3$ . Taking the double of a manifold, we may assume that the surface is closed. Let  $x_{2g+4}$  be an point whose height is  $2g + 4$ ,  $x_{2g+3} \in \overline{O(x_{2g+4})}$  an point of height  $2g + 3$ , and  $z \in \overline{O(x_{2g+3})}$  a proper point of at least height  $2g + 1$ . Then either  $\overline{O(x_{2g+4})} \cap (LD \sqcup E) = \emptyset$  or there is an integer  $k \in [1, 2g + 4]$  such that the height of each non-closed weakly recurrent point in  $\overline{O(x_{2g+4})}$  is  $k$ . Suppose that the height of  $z$  is  $2g + 2 = 2(g - 1) + 4$ . By Lemma 4.8, there is an essential closed transversal  $\gamma$  which intersects  $O(x_{2g+4})$  but not  $O(z)$ . Cutting  $\gamma$  and pasting a source disk and a sink disk to the new boundary, the resulting surface is a closed surface whose genus is  $g - 1$  and the height of the resulting flow is at least  $2(g - 1) + 4$ , which contradicts the inductive hypothesis. Thus we may assume that there is a weakly recurrent point  $x_{2g+2} \in \overline{O(x_{2g+3})}$  whose height is  $2g + 2$ . In particular, any point of height less than  $2g + 2$  in  $\overline{O(x_{2g+2})}$  is not weakly recurrent. Then there are a proper point  $x_{2g+1} \in \overline{O(x_{2g+2})}$  of height  $2g + 1$  and an essential closed transversal  $\gamma_g$  which intersects  $O(x_{2g+3})$  but not  $O(x_{2g+1})$ . Cutting  $\gamma_g$  and pasting a source disk and a sink disk to the new boundary, the

resulting surface is a closed surface whose genus is  $g - 1$  and there is a point of height  $2g + 1$ . Repeatedly cutting and pasting  $g - 1$  times, the resulting surface is a closed surface whose genus is a sphere and the height of the resulting flow is at least 3, which contradicts Lemma 4.9.  $\square$

Taking the orientation double covering, we obtain the following statement.

**Proposition 4.11.** *The height of a flow on a non-orientable compact surface whose non-orientable genus is  $p \geq 1$  is at most  $2p + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since the orientation double covering of a non-orientable closed surface whose genus is  $p$  has genus  $p - 1$  and  $2(p - 1) + 3 = 2p + 1$ , the previous proposition implies the assertion.  $\square$

We'd like to know whether there is a flow of height more than three on a compact surface.

## 5. REDUCTIONS OF QUASI-CIRCUITS AND QUASI-Q-SETS

We show that a limit quasi-circuit is a generalization of both a closed orbit and a limit circuit, and that a quasi-Q-set is a generalization of a Q-set.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Suppose that the singular point set is finite. Then a limit quasi-circuit is a limit circuit which is either attracting or repelling.*

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma$  be a limit quasi-circuit. Then a quasi-circuit is contained in  $\text{Sing}(v) \sqcup P$ . Therefore the quasi-circuit  $\gamma$  consists of non-closed proper orbit and finitely many singular points. Since  $\gamma$  is limit, the finiteness of  $\text{Sing}(v)$  implies that  $\gamma$  is attracting or repelling.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Suppose that the singular point set is finite. Then a quasi-Q-set is a Q-set.*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be a point whose  $\omega$ -limit set  $\omega(x)$  intersects an essential closed transversal  $T$  infinitely many times. Suppose that  $\omega(x) \cap (\text{LD} \cup \text{E}) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\omega(x)$  contains a Q-set, a generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with finitely many singular points implies that  $\omega(x)$  is a Q-set. Assume that  $\omega(x) \cap \text{Per}(v) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\omega(x)$  becomes a limit cycle and so intersects  $T$  at most finitely many times, which contradicts the existence of infinitely many intersections. Thus  $\omega(x)$  contains no periodic orbits. Suppose that  $\omega(x)$  consists of singular points and non-closed proper orbits. By a generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with finitely many singular points, the  $\omega$ -limit set is a limit circuit  $\gamma$  and so compact. Assume that the closed transversal  $T$  intersects the limit circuit  $\gamma$  infinitely many times. Since  $\gamma$  contains at most finitely many singular points, there are singular points  $y, z \in \gamma$  and infinitely many orbits  $O_i$  contained in  $\gamma$  each of whose boundaries consists of  $y$  and  $z$ . By the compactness of the whole surface  $S$ , we may assume that  $O_i$  are isotopic relative to  $\{y, z\}$ . There are three orbit  $O_i, O_j, O_k$  such that the union  $O_i \cup O_k \cup \{y, z\}$  is a simple closed curve bounding a open disk  $D$  which contains  $O_j$ . Since  $O_i$  and  $O_k$  are contained in  $\gamma$ , we have  $x \notin D$  and so  $O_j$  is not contained in  $\gamma$ , which contradicts  $O_j \subset \gamma$ . Thus  $T$  intersects the limit circuit  $\gamma$  at most finitely many times, which contradicts the hypothesis.  $\square$



## 6. POINCARÉ-BENDIXSON THEOREM FOR SURFACE FLOWS WITH ARBITRARILY MANY SINGULAR POINTS

We show that the infinite intersection of an essential closed transversal implies the existence of either a quasi-Q-set or a quasi-circuit.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $x$  be a point contained in a closed transversal  $\gamma$  such that  $O^+(x)$  intersects  $\gamma$  infinitely many times. Then  $\omega(x)$  is either a quasi-Q-set, an essential limit cycle, or a quasi-circuit.*

*Proof.* Suppose that a positive orbit  $O^+(x)$  intersects a closed transversal  $\gamma$  infinitely many times. Then  $\gamma$  is essential. Suppose that  $\omega(x)$  is not a quasi-Q-set. Then  $\gamma \cap \omega(x)$  is finite. Moreover, the  $\omega$ -limit set  $\omega(x)$  contains no non-trivial weakly recurrent orbits. In other words, we have  $\omega(x) \subset \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup P$ . If  $\omega(x)$  contains periodic orbits, then it is a limit cycle. Thus we may assume that  $\omega(x) \subset \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup P$ . We show that there are a closed sub-arc  $I$  of  $\gamma$ , a point  $y \in O(x) \cap I \cap \omega(x)$ , a point  $x_0 \in O(x) \cap I$  with  $\{y\} = I \cap \omega(x) \subset \partial I = \{x_0, y\}$ , and a strictly increasing sequence  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$  in  $I$  converging to  $y$ , where  $x_i$  is the  $i$ -th return image of  $x_0$  on  $I$ . Indeed, since  $\gamma$  is compact, the intersection  $\omega(x) \cap \gamma$  is nonempty. Fix a point  $y \in \gamma \cap \omega(x)$ . Then there are a point  $x_0 \in \gamma \cap O(x)$  a closed sub-arc  $I$  of  $\gamma$  with  $y \in \overline{O(x)} \cap I$  such that  $\{y\} = I \cap \omega(x) \subset \partial I = \{x', y\}$  such that  $O^+(x_0) \cap I$  is infinite, where  $x'$  is a point in  $\gamma$ . To prove the claim, we suffice to show that there is a large number  $N > 0$  such that  $x_i < x_{i+1}$  in  $I$  for any natural number  $i > N$ . Otherwise  $x_i > x_{i+1}$  holds for infinitely many natural numbers  $i$ . Since  $\{y\} = I \cap \omega(x)$ , each closed sub-arc of  $\text{int} I$  intersects at most finitely many points of  $O(x)$ . Therefore there are infinitely many triples  $i_{k-} < i_{k_0} < i_{k+}$  of natural numbers with  $i_{k+} < i_{k+1-}$  such that  $x_{i_{k-}} < x_{i_{k_0}} < x_{i_{k+}}$  for any  $i \geq i_{k+1-}$ . Denote by  $I_{i_{k-}}$  (resp.  $I_{i_{k_0}}$ ) by the sub-arc of  $I$  whose boundary consists of  $x_{i_{k-}}$  and  $x_{i_{k_0}}$  (resp.  $x_{i_{k_0}}$  and  $x_{i_{k+}}$ ), and by  $C_{i_{k-}}$  (resp.  $C_{i_{k_0}}$ ) the curve contained in  $O(x)$  whose boundary consists of  $x_{i_{k-}}$  and  $x_{i_{k_0}}$  (resp.  $x_{i_{k_0}}$  and  $x_{i_{k+}}$ ). Then the unions  $\gamma_{i_{k-}} := C_{i_{k-}} \cup I_{i_{k-}}$  and  $\gamma_{i_{k_0}} := C_{i_{k_0}} \cup I_{i_{k_0}}$  are simple closed curves whose intersection is an closed arc  $I_{i_{k_0}}$ . By a deformation like the waterfall construction as Figure 7, we obtain two simple closed curves  $\gamma'_{i_{k-}}$  and  $\gamma'_{i_{k_0}}$  whose intersection is  $x_{i_{k_0}}$  and which are close to the original simple closed curves  $\gamma_{i_{k-}}$  and  $\gamma_{i_{k_0}}$  respectively. Note that if  $S$  is orientable then we can choose  $\gamma'_{i_{k-}}$  and  $\gamma'_{i_{k_0}}$  as closed transversals. This implies that  $O^+(x_{i_{k+1-}}) \cap (\gamma'_{i_{k-}} \cup \gamma'_{i_{k_0}}) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $(\gamma'_{i_{k-}} \cup \gamma'_{i_{k_0}}) \cap (\gamma'_{i_{l-}} \cup \gamma'_{i_{l_0}}) = \emptyset$  for any  $k \neq l$ . Since these simple closed curves intersects exactly one point, they are essential. Cutting  $\gamma'_{i_{l_0}}$  and collapsing new boundary components into singletons, we obtain the resulting surface whose genus is the genus of  $S$  minus one. Since there are infinitely many disjoint bouquets  $\gamma'_{i_{k-}} \cup \gamma'_{i_{k_0}}$ , the genus of  $S$  is not finite, which contradicts the compactness of  $S$ . Thus there is a large number  $N > 0$  such that  $x_i < x_{i+1}$  in  $I$  for any natural number  $i > N$ . Shortening  $I$ , we may assume that the closed sub-arc  $I \subset \gamma$  with  $y$  and  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$  is desired. Denote by  $I_i$  by the sub-arc of  $I$  whose boundary consists of  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$ , and by  $C_i$  the curve contained in  $O(x)$  whose boundary consists of  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$ . Let  $D_i$  be the open subset bounded by the union  $I_i \cup C_i \cup I_{i+1} \cup C_{i+1}$ . Since there are at most finitely many genus, we may assume that  $D_i$  is a rectangle by




FIGURE 7. Deformation of two simple closed curves

renumbering  $x_i$ . Then the union  $\mathbb{A}_k := (\bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} (D_i \cup I_{i+1} \cup C_{i+1})) - \{x_{k+1}\}$  is essential. By the monotonicity of  $x_i$  in  $I$ , renumbering  $\mathbb{A}_k$ , we may assume that each  $\mathbb{A}_k$  is an open annulus homotopic to any  $\mathbb{A}_j$ . Since  $\omega(x)$  is connected, by construction, the closure of any rectangle  $D_i$  does not intersect  $\omega(x)$  and so  $\mathbb{A}_k \cap \omega(x) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\omega(x) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{R}} \{v_t(x) \mid t > n\} = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \{v_t(x) \mid t > k\} = \bigcap_{i \geq k} \overline{\mathbb{A}_i} = \partial \overline{\mathbb{A}_0} - (I_0 \cup C_0)$ . This means that  $\omega(x)$  is a boundary component of the annulus  $\mathbb{A}_0$  and so consists of separatrices and singular points.  $\square$

The author would like to know whether a quasi-circuit in the previous lemma is essential.

**Lemma 6.2.** *There are no limit quasi-circuits which are also quasi-Q-sets.*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be a point whose  $\omega$ -limit set  $\omega(x)$  is a limit quasi-circuit. Since a limit quasi-circuit is nowhere dense, it is not locally dense. Since  $\omega(x)$  is a limit quasi-circuit, there is a small open annulus  $\mathbb{A}$  of which  $\omega(x)$  is a boundary component such that the two boundary components of  $\mathbb{A}$  is disjoint. Denote by  $\partial_1$  the another boundary component of  $\partial \mathbb{A}$ . In other words, we have  $\partial \mathbb{A} = \omega(x) \sqcup \partial_1$ . Fix any distance function  $d$  on  $S$  induced by a Riemannian metric. Since the boundary components of  $\mathbb{A}$  are compact and disjoint, there is a positive number  $d_{\mathbb{A}}$  such that  $d_{\mathbb{A}} = \min\{d(y, z) \mid y \in \omega(x), z \in \partial_1\}$ . Suppose that  $\omega(x)$  is a quasi-Q-set. Then there is a closed transversal  $\gamma$  which intersects  $\omega(x)$  infinitely many times. Moreover, there is a sequence  $(C_i)$  of orbit arcs contained in  $\omega(x)$  converging to an orbit arc  $C_{\infty}$ . Here an orbit arc is an arc contained in an orbit. The fact that  $\gamma$  contains no singular point implies that there is a small number  $\delta > 0$  with  $\delta < d_{\mathbb{A}}$  such that  $B_{\delta}(\gamma) := \{y \in S \mid d(y, \gamma) < \delta\}$  is an open annulus and consists of orbit arcs each of which connects the two boundary components as Figure 8. Put  $C'_i := C_i \cap B_{\delta}(\gamma) \subset \omega(x)$  and let  $x_i \in C'_i \cap \gamma$  be the intersection point. Let  $f_i : [0, l_i] \rightarrow \gamma \subset S$  be the closed arc parameterized by arc length from  $x_i$  to either  $x_{i-1}$  or  $x_{i+1}$  such that  $f_i((0, r_i)) \subset \mathbb{A}$  and  $f_i(r_i) \in \partial_1$  for some real number  $r_i \in (0, l_i)$ . By definition, we obtain  $f_i(l_i) \in \omega(x)$ . Since  $\gamma$  is compact, we have  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} l_i = 0$ . Fix a large integer  $N$  such that  $l_N < \delta$ . We show that  $f_N(l_N) \in \mathbb{A}$ . Indeed, since  $\delta < d_{\mathbb{A}}$ , this implies that any closed arc from  $x_i$  whose length is less than  $\delta$  and which intersects  $\mathbb{A}$  but does not intersect  $C'_i$  except the starting point is contained in the interior  $\mathbb{A}$ . In particular, the closed arc  $f_N : [0, l_N] \rightarrow S$  is contained in the interior  $\mathbb{A}$  except the starting point  $x_i$  (i.e.  $f_N((0, l_N)) \subset \mathbb{A}$ ).




FIGURE 8. A closed transversal  $\gamma$ , its annular neighborhood  $B_\delta(\gamma)$ , and a subset of an open annulus  $\mathbb{A}$

Therefore  $f_N(l_N) \in \mathbb{A}$ , which contradicts  $f_N(l_N) \in \omega(x) \subset \partial\mathbb{A} = \overline{\mathbb{A}} - \mathbb{A}$ . Thus  $\omega(x)$  is not a quasi-Q-set.  $\square$

We generalize the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with arbitrarily many singular points on a compact surface.

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $v$  be a flow on a compact connected surface  $S$ . The  $\omega$ -limit set of any non-closed orbit is one of the following exclusively:*

- i) a nowhere dense subset of singular points.*
- ii) a limit cycle.*
- iii) a limit quasi-circuit.*
- iv) a locally dense Q-set.*
- v) a quasi-Q-set which is not locally dense.*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be a point whose orbit is not closed. If  $\omega(x)$  contains a locally dense orbit, then  $\omega(x) = \overline{O(x)}$  is a locally dense Q-set. Suppose  $\omega(x)$  contains an exceptional orbit  $O$ . Since a Möbius band contains an annulus, taking a small transverse arc if necessary, the waterfall construction implies that there is a closed transversal  $\gamma$  intersecting  $O(x)$  near  $O$  infinitely many times. This means that  $\omega(x)$  is a quasi-Q-set. Thus we may assume that  $\omega(x) \cap (\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}) = \emptyset$ .

If there is a closed transversal which intersects  $O^+(x)$  infinitely many times, then Lemma 6.1 implies the assertion. Thus we may assume that  $O(x)^+$  has no closed transversal intersecting it infinitely many times. Suppose that  $\omega(x)$  is not contained in  $\text{Sing}(v)$ . If  $\omega(x)$  contains a periodic orbit, then  $\omega(x)$  is an attracting limit cycle. Thus we may assume that  $\omega(x)$  contains neither periodic orbits nor Q-sets. Since  $S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{P} \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}$ , the  $\omega$ -limit set  $\omega(x)$  contains a non-closed proper orbit  $O$  such that  $\omega(x) \subset \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{P}$ . Take a proper point  $y \in O \subset \omega(x)$  and a transverse closed arc  $I$  one of the which boundary point is  $y$  and intersects  $O^+(x)$  infinitely many times. By the same argument of the proof of Lemma 6.1, the  $\omega$ -limit set  $\omega(x)$  is a boundary component of an open annulus  $\mathbb{A}$  and consists of separatrices and singular points. This mean that  $\omega(x)$  is a desired limit quasi-circuit.  $\square$

We show that there are a toral flow  $v_\varphi$  and a point  $z$  whose  $\omega$ -limit set is a quasi-Q-set but neither a Q-set nor a quasi-circuit. such that  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v_\varphi)} \neq \Omega(v_\varphi)$ . Indeed,



FIGURE 9. A blow up flow box




FIGURE 10. Another blow up flow box

consider a Denjoy diffeomorphism  $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  with an exceptional minimal set  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $v_f$  be the suspension of  $f$  on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^2 := (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}) / (x, r) \sim (f(x), r + 1)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  the minimal set of  $v_f$ . Fix a bump function  $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  with  $\varphi^{-1}(0) = \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ , where  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} := \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \cap (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{1/2\})$  is a lift of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Using the bump function  $\varphi$ , replace the minimal set  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  of  $v_f$  with a union of singular points and separatrices of the resulting flow  $v_\varphi$  (i.e.  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \text{Sing}(v_\varphi) \sqcup \{\text{separatrix of } v_\varphi\}$ ) such that  $O_{v_\varphi}(x) = O_{v_f}(x)$  and  $\omega_{v_\varphi}(x) = \omega_{v_f}(x) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  for any point  $x \in \mathbb{T}^2 - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . Then  $\mathbb{T}^2 = \text{Sing}(v_\varphi) \sqcup P(v_\varphi)$  and  $\overline{\text{Cl}}(v_\varphi) = \text{Sing}(v_\varphi) \neq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \Omega(v_\varphi)$ , where  $P(v_\varphi)$  is the union of non-closed proper orbits of  $v_\varphi$  and  $\Omega(v_\varphi)$  is the set of non-wandering points.

There is a flow with an  $\omega$ -limit set consisting of singular points which is transversely a Cantor set. Indeed, let a non-closed proper orbit  $O$  in a Denjoy flow with an exceptional minimal set  $\mathcal{M}$ . Consider a discontinuous flow whose singular point set is  $\mathbb{T}^2 - O$  and replace  $O$  with a flow box as Figure 9. Note that a dotted line is a subset of  $\text{Sing}(v)$ . Then the resulting toral flow is continuous such that the  $\omega$ -limit set of a non-singular point is  $\mathcal{M}$ .

There is a flow with an  $\omega$ -limit set consisting of singular points which is the union of two lines and transversely a Cantor set. Indeed, let a non-closed proper orbit  $O$  in a Denjoy flow with an exceptional minimal set  $\mathcal{M}$ . Consider a trivial flow on  $\mathbb{T}^2$  and replace  $O$  with a flow box as Figure 10. Note that a dotted line is a subset of  $\text{Sing}(v)$ . Then the resulting toral flow is continuous such that the  $\omega$ -limit set of a non-singular point is a union of  $\mathcal{M}$  and the two dotted lines. Similarly, there is a flow  $w$  with an  $\omega$ -limit set which consists of two non-recurrent orbits and  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Sing}(w) - \{c\}$  such that the  $\omega$ -limit set is a limit quasi-circuit which is not a circuit, where  $c$  is a center in a flow box as Figure 10. Indeed, since the complement  $\mathbb{T}^2 - \mathcal{M}$  is a flow box of the Denjoy flow, replace  $\mathbb{T}^2 - \mathcal{M}$  with a flow box as Figure 10. Then the resulting flow  $w$  is desired.

## 7. FINITENESS OF SINGULAR POINTS

Let  $v$  be a flow on a compact surface  $S$ . In this section, we assume that the flow  $v$  has finitely many singular points.

**7.1. Properties of orbits.** Recall that a singular point is a compressed center if for any of its neighborhood  $U$  there is its open neighborhood  $V \subset U$  whose boundary is a periodic orbit.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Each point  $x \in \text{Sing}(v) \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v)}$  is either a compressed center or contained in a directed circuit.*

*Proof.* Suppose that a point  $x \in \text{Sing}(v) \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v)}$  is not a compressed center. Taking the orientation double covering (resp. the double of a manifold), we may assume that  $S$  is closed orientable. Cutting an essential periodic orbit and pasting two center disks, we may assume that each periodic orbit is null homotopic. The finiteness of  $\text{Sing}(v)$  implies that the singular point  $x$  is isolated. Since  $x$  is not a compressed center, there is a small open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  which contains no periodic orbits and whose closure is a closed disk such that  $U \cap \text{Sing}(v) = \{x\}$ . Note that  $U$  intersects infinitely many periodic orbits. Since  $x \in \overline{\text{Per}(v)}$ , there are a sequence  $(O_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of periodic orbits and a sequence  $(x_n)$  with  $x_n \in O_n$  converging to  $x$ . Then  $O_n \setminus U \neq \emptyset$  and  $U \cap O_n \neq \emptyset$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . Therefore there is a convergence sequence  $(y_n)_{n \geq 0}$  with  $y_n \in O_n$  to a point  $y \notin U$ . Since each periodic orbit is null homotopic, it bounds a singular point. Since  $|\text{Sing}(v)| < \infty$ , taking a sub-sequence of  $(O_n)_{n \geq 0}$ , we may assume that there are open annuli  $\mathbb{A}_n$  ( $n > 0$ ) whose boundary is the union  $O_{n-1} \sqcup O_n$  such that  $\mathbb{A}_n \cap \mathbb{A}_m = \emptyset$  for any  $n \neq m$ . Then  $\mathbb{A} := \bigcup_{n > 0} (O_n \sqcup \mathbb{A}_n) = (\bigcup_{n > 0} \overline{\mathbb{A}_n}) - O_0$  is an open annulus with  $x \in \partial$ , where  $\partial$  is a boundary component of  $\mathbb{A}$ . Since  $y \in \partial \setminus U$ , we obtain  $\partial \not\subseteq U$ . Because the dimension of  $\mathbb{A}$  is two, the dimension of the boundary component  $\partial$  is at most one. Then both  $U \cap \mathbb{A}$  and  $U \setminus \overline{\mathbb{A}}$  are non-empty open and so  $U - (U \cap \partial) = U \setminus \partial$  is disconnected. Since  $\overline{U}$  is a Cantor-manifold, the dimension of the boundary component  $\partial$  is one. Lemma 3.1 implies that  $\partial \subset \overline{\text{Per}(v)} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \delta P$ . If there is a point  $z \in \partial \cap \text{Per}(v)$ , then the flow box theorem implies that  $O_n$  correspond to fixed points of the holonomy of  $O(z)$  and that the periodic orbit  $O(z) \subset \partial$  is parallel to  $O_n$  for any  $n > 0$  and so  $O(y) = \partial$ , which contradicts to  $x \in \partial \cap \text{Sing}(v)$ . Thus  $\partial \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta P$ . Since the orbit closure of a point in  $\partial \cap \delta P$  is contained in  $\partial$ , the boundary component  $\partial$  is a union of connecting separatrices and finitely many singular points and so is a directed circuit.  $\square$

The finiteness of singular points is necessary. In other words, there is a point  $x \in \text{Sing}(v) \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v)}$  of a flow  $v$  which is neither a compressed center nor contained in a directed circuit. Indeed, consider a point  $x_0 \in \text{int}P$  of a flow  $v_0$  and a sequence  $(x_{1/n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  (resp.  $(x_{-1/n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ ) in  $O(x_0)$  converging from the positive (resp. negative) side in  $O(x_0)$ . Replacing an orbit  $O(x_0)$  with singular points  $\{x_0\} \sqcup \{x_{-1/n}, x_{1/n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$  and non-closed proper orbits and blowing up the singular points  $x_{-1/n}$  (resp.  $x_{1/n}$ ) (i.e. replacing  $x_{-1/n}$  (resp.  $x_{1/n}$ ) by a center disk whose boundary is a union of a homoclinic separatrix and a saddle (see Fig. 11)) such that the diameters of center disks converge to zero if  $|n|$  goes to infinity, the resulting flow  $v$  has a point  $x \in \text{Sing}(v) \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v)}$  which is neither a compressed center nor contained in a directed circuit.

We show that the height of an isolated singular point  $x \notin \overline{\text{Per}(v)}$  is not maximal

**Lemma 7.2.** *For an isolated singular point  $x \notin \overline{\text{Per}(v)}$ , there is a non-singular point whose orbit closure contains  $x$ .*

*Proof.* If  $x \in \overline{\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}}$ , then the assertion holds because the number of Q-sets are finite. Thus we may assume that  $x \notin \overline{\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}}$ . Assume that there are no non-singular points whose orbit closures contain  $x$ . Since  $x$  is isolated, a union  $S - (\text{Cl}(v) - \{x\}) \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} \subseteq P \sqcup \{x\}$  is an invariant open neighborhood of  $x$ . This




FIGURE 11. Blow up operations for 0-saddles

means that the neighborhood contains only one closed invariant set, which is  $\{x\}$ . By Theorem 1.6 (or Theorem 1.7) [2], there is a non-closed proper point whose orbit closure contains  $x$ .  $\square$

In general, the union LD of a flow is not open even if singular points are countable. In fact, there is a toral flow with  $\partial\text{LD} = \partial\text{P} = \mathbb{T}^2$  (cf. Example 2.10 [21]) such that isolated singular points converge to a singular point. On the other hand, the finiteness implies the following statement.

**Lemma 7.3.** *We have  $\text{LD} \cap \overline{\text{P}} = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that there is a point  $x \in \text{LD} \cap \overline{\text{P}}$ . Since the orbit closure  $\overline{O(x)}$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ , there is a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  in  $\overline{O(x)} \cap \text{P} \subseteq \overline{\text{LD}}$  converging to  $x$  such that  $O(x_n) \cap O(x_m) = \emptyset$  for any  $n \neq m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . By the fact  $x_n \in \text{int}\overline{\text{LD}}$ , we have that  $\overline{O(x_n)}$  is not a simple closed curve and so  $\omega(x) \neq \alpha(x)$ . We show that  $\overline{O(x_n)} - O(x_n)$  consists of two singular points. Indeed, by a generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with finitely many singular points (Theorem 2.6.1 [14]), the  $\omega$ -limit set of  $O(x_n)$  is either a singular point, an attracting limit circuit, or a Q-set. Assume that  $\omega(x_n)$  is an attracting limit circuit. Since each orbit whose closure intersects an attracting limit circuit is proper, the inclusion  $O(x_n) \subseteq \overline{O(x)}$  implies that the locally dense orbit  $O(x)$  is proper, which contradicts. Assume that  $\omega(x_n)$  is a Q-set. Since  $O(x_n)$  is proper, the  $\omega$ -limit set  $\omega(x_n)$  is not  $\overline{O(x)}$ . Since  $\omega(x_n) \subseteq \overline{O(x)}$ , Proposition 2.2 [21] implies that  $\omega(x_n) = \overline{O(x)}$ , which contradicts. Thus  $\omega(x_n)$  is a singular point. By symmetry, the  $\alpha$ -limit set  $\alpha(x_n)$  is a singular point. Since  $|\text{Sing}(v)| < \infty$ , there are singular points  $\alpha \neq \omega$  and a subsequence  $(x_{k_n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  of  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  such that  $\omega(x_{k_n}) = \omega$  and  $\alpha(x_{k_n}) = \alpha$ . Since  $S$  is compact, there are an open disk  $D \subseteq \overline{O(x)}$  and three points  $x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, x_{n_3}$  in the subsequence such that  $\partial D = \overline{O(x_{n_1})} \cup \overline{O(x_{n_3})}$  and  $x_{n_2} \in \text{int}D$ . Then  $\overline{\text{LD}} \cap \text{int}D = \emptyset$  and so  $x_{n_2} \notin \overline{\text{LD}}$ , which contradicts to the choice of  $x_{n_2}$ . Thus  $\text{LD} \cap \overline{\text{P}} = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

We show the openness of LD.

**Lemma 7.4.** *The union LD is open.*

*Proof.* Since the singular point set  $\text{Sing}(v)$  is closed, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 7.3 imply that  $\text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{P} \sqcup \text{E} \cap \text{LD} = \emptyset$  and so that the union LD is open.  $\square$

The finiteness of singular points implies the existence of collar basins of limit circuits.

**Lemma 7.5.** *Every limit circuit has a collar basin.*

*Proof.* Fix a limit circuit  $\gamma$ . Since  $|\text{Sing}(v)| < \infty$ , there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $\gamma$  such that  $(U - \gamma) \cap \text{Sing}(v) = \emptyset$ . Since a limit circuit is directed, there are an associated collar  $\mathbb{A} \subset U$  of  $\gamma$  and a point  $x \in \mathbb{A}$  whose orbit closure contains  $\gamma$ . By

time reversion if necessary, we may assume that  $\omega(x) = \gamma$ . The flow box theorem implies that  $\omega(y) = \gamma$  for any point  $y \in \mathbb{A}$ . This means that  $\mathbb{A}$  is a collar basin of  $\gamma$ .  $\square$

Note that each collar basin does not intersect  $\overline{\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}}$ .

**Lemma 7.6.** *An orbit contained in  $\text{P} \cap \overline{\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}}$  is a connecting separatrix.*

*Proof.* Fix a point  $x \in \text{P} \cap \overline{\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}}$  and an orbit  $O \subseteq \text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}$  with  $x \in \overline{O}$ . By a generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with finitely many singular points, the  $\omega$ -limit set of  $x$  is either a singular point, an attracting limit circuit, or a Q-set. Assume that the  $\omega$ -limit set  $\omega(x)$  is an attracting limit circuit. Then  $x \in \text{intP}$  and so  $O \cap \text{P} \neq \emptyset$ , which contradicts  $O \subseteq \text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}$ . Assume that  $\omega(x)$  is a Q-set. Since  $x$  is proper, we have  $\omega(x) \neq \overline{O}$ . Since  $\omega(x) \subseteq \overline{O}$ , Proposition 2.2 [21] implies that  $\omega(x) = \overline{O}$ , which contradicts. Thus the  $\omega$ -limit set  $\omega(x)$  is a singular point. By symmetry, the  $\alpha$ -limit set  $\alpha(x)$  is a singular point.  $\square$

We describe the border  $\delta\text{P}$  as follows.

**Proposition 7.7.** *Each orbit in  $\delta\text{P}$  is a connecting separatrix. In particular, we have  $\text{ht}(\delta\text{P}) = 1$  if  $\delta\text{P} \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, if  $v$  is quasi-regular, then  $\delta\text{P}$  is a finite union of connecting separatrices.*

*Proof.* Fix an orbit  $O$  in  $\delta\text{P}$ . Since  $\delta\text{P} = \text{P} - \text{intP} = \overline{\text{P} \cap \overline{\text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}}} = \text{P} \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}}$ , we have  $O \subseteq \text{P} \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}}$ . By Lemma 7.6, we may assume that  $O \subseteq \text{P} \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v)}$ . Since  $\overline{\text{Per}(v)} - \text{Per}(v) = \sigma \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \delta \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta\text{P}$ , we have  $\overline{O} \subseteq \delta \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta\text{P}$ . By a generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with finitely many singular points, the  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set of  $O$  is either a singular point, or a limit circuit. Since  $O \subseteq \delta\text{P}$ , the  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set of  $O$  contains no limit circuits and so it is a singular point. Therefore  $O$  is a connecting separatrix.

Suppose that  $v$  is quasi-regular. Assume that  $\delta\text{P}$  is not a finite union of connecting separatrices. Then there are singular points  $\alpha, \omega$  and infinitely many orbits  $O_i$  in  $\delta\text{P}$  such that  $\omega(O_i) = \omega$  and  $\alpha(O_i) = \alpha$  such that the union  $\{\omega, \alpha\} \sqcup \omega(O_i) \sqcup \alpha(O_{i+1})$  bounds an open disks  $D_i$ . Quasi-regularity implies that  $D_i$  contains a singular points and so there are infinitely many singular points, which contradicts the finite hypothesis of  $\text{Sing}(v)$ .  $\square$


The finiteness of  $\text{Sing}(v)$  is necessary. In fact, there is a flow with a height one point  $y \in \delta\text{P}$  whose  $\omega$ -limit set is not a point (see Figure 12). The previous proposition implies following properties.

**Corollary 7.8.** *Each orbit in  $\overline{\text{Per}(v)} \setminus \text{Cl}(v)$  is a connecting separatrix.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1, we have  $\overline{\text{Per}(v)} \setminus \text{Cl}(v) = \partial \text{Per}(v) \cap \delta\text{P}$ . Proposition 7.7 implies the assertion holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.9.**  $\text{E} \subset \text{int}(\text{E} \sqcup \text{intP})$ .

*Proof.* Assume there is a point  $x \in \overline{\delta\text{P}} \cap \text{E}$ . Then there are a sequence  $\{O_n \subset \delta\text{P}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of orbits and a closed transverse arc  $\gamma$  with  $x \in \partial\gamma$  such that  $x \in \bigcup_n O_n \cap \gamma$  and  $O_n \neq O_m$  for any  $n \neq m$ . Suppose that there are infinitely many  $O_n$  which are homoclinic. Taking a subsequence, we may assume that there is a singular point  $y = \omega(O_n) = \alpha(O_n)$  for any  $n$ . Since  $S$  is compact, taking a subsequence, we may


 FIGURE 12. An  $\omega$ -limit set which is not arcwise-connected.

assume that  $\{y\} \sqcup O_n$  and  $\{y\} \sqcup O_{n+1}$  are homotopic relative to  $\{y\}$ . Then a union  $\{y\} \sqcup O_0 \sqcup O_n$  bounds an open disk  $D_n$ . Since  $O_n \cap \gamma \neq \emptyset$ , either  $D_n \subset D_{n+1}$  for any  $n$  or  $D_{n+1} \subset D_n$  for any  $n$ . Put  $B_n := D_{2n+2} - (D_{2n} \sqcup O_{2n})$ . Then  $B_n$  is an open disk which contains  $O_{2n+1}$  such that  $B_n - O_{2n+1}$  consists of two open disks. By  $O_{2n+1} \subset \delta P$ , one  $C_n$  of two open disks  $B_n - O_{2n+1}$  contains  $S - P$ . Since an open disk does not intersect  $LD \sqcup E$ , the disk  $C_n$  contains  $S - (P \sqcup LD \sqcup E) = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v)$ . Since a null homotopic periodic orbit bounds a singular point, the disk  $C_n$  contains singular points. Hence there are infinitely many singular points, which contradicts the finite hypothesis of singular points. Therefore  $\overline{\delta P} \cap E = \emptyset$ . Theorem 3.9 implies that  $\text{int}(E \sqcup P) \setminus \overline{\delta P}$  is an open neighborhood of  $E$  and so  $(E \sqcup P) \setminus \overline{\delta P} = E \sqcup \text{int} P$  is a neighborhood of  $E$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.10.** *The union  $\sigma LD \cup \sigma E$  is a union of connecting separatrices and finitely many singular points. In particular, we have  $\text{ht}(LD \sqcup E) \leq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 3.1 implies  $\overline{LD} - LD \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta P$  and  $\overline{E} - E \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta P$ . By the finiteness of singular points, Proposition 7.7 implies the assertion.  $\square$

Finiteness of singular points is necessary in the previous lemma. In fact, a construction in Figure 12 implies that there is a flow with an orbit in  $\delta P \cap (\sigma LD \cup \sigma E)$  which is not a separatrix. We describe flows near the multi-saddle connection diagram.

**Lemma 7.11.** *Let  $\gamma$  be a multi-saddle connection. If there is a point  $x \in S - (\gamma \sqcup LD \sqcup E)$  with  $\omega(x) \cap \gamma \neq \emptyset$  and  $\omega(x) \cap E = \emptyset$ , then the  $\omega$ -limit set  $\omega(x)$  is an attracting limit circuit with  $x \in \text{int} P$  and  $\omega(x) \subseteq \overline{\text{int} P} \cap \gamma$ . On the other hand, if there is a directed circuit  $\mu \subseteq \gamma$  with an associated collar  $\mathbb{A}$  such that  $O \cap \mathbb{A} \cap \mu = \emptyset$  for any orbit  $O \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ , then there are periodic orbits in  $\mathbb{A}$  which are essential in  $\mathbb{A}$  and converge to  $\mu$ .*






FIGURE 13. (a) A flow box near a regular point in  $C$ . (b) A flow box near a multi-saddle in  $C$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that there is a point  $x \in S - (\gamma \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup \text{E})$  with  $\omega(x) \cap \gamma \neq \emptyset$  and  $\omega(x) \cap \text{E} = \emptyset$ . Then  $\omega(x) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{P}$ . Since  $|\text{Sing}(v)| < \infty$ , there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $\gamma$  such that  $(U - \gamma) \cap \text{Sing}(v) = \emptyset$ . Recall that  $\gamma$  is a compact one-dimensional cell complex. By  $\omega(x) \cap \gamma \neq \emptyset$ , since each singular point in  $\gamma$  is a multi-saddle, the intersection  $C := \omega(x) \cap \gamma$  is a one-dimensional cell complex without boundary. The flow box theorem implies that there is an open annulus  $\mathbb{A}$  which consists of finitely many flow boxes as in Figure 13 such that  $C$  is a boundary component of  $\mathbb{A}$ . Since  $\omega(x) \cap \gamma \neq \emptyset$ , the  $\omega$ -limit set of a point in  $\mathbb{A}$  is the attracting limit circuit  $C$ . This means that  $x \in \text{intP}$  and so that  $\omega(x) = C \subseteq \overline{\text{intP}} \cap \gamma$  is an attracting limit circuit. Conversely, suppose there is a directed circuit  $\mu \subseteq \gamma$  with an associated collar  $\mathbb{A}$  such that  $\overline{O} \cap \mathbb{A} \cap \mu = \emptyset$  for any orbit  $O \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ . The flow box theorem implies that we can choose the annulus  $\mathbb{A}$  to consist of finitely many flow boxes as in Figure 13 such that  $\mathbb{A}$  contains no singular points. Fix a regular point  $x \in \mu$  and a transverse arc  $T \subset \mathbb{A}$  from  $x$ . Since  $\overline{O} \cap \mathbb{A} \cap \mu = \emptyset$  for any orbit  $O \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ , the first return map with respect to  $T - \{x\}$  has fixed points which converge to  $x$ . Since each fixed point corresponds to a periodic orbit which is essential in  $\mathbb{A}$ , this implies the assertion.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.12.**  $\sigma O \subseteq \delta \text{Cl}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{P} \sqcup \text{P}_{1c} \sqcup \text{E}$  for an orbit  $O \subseteq \text{P}$ .

*Proof.* Fix an orbit  $O \subseteq \text{P}$ . Since  $\text{LD}$  is open, by a generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with finitely many singular points, the  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set of  $O$  is either a singular point, a limit circuit, or an exceptional Q-set. Therefore  $\sigma O = \overline{O} - O \subseteq \delta \text{Cl}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{P} \sqcup \text{P}_{1c} \sqcup \text{E}$ .  $\square$

We show that the height of a flow with finitely many singular points is at most three.

**Proposition 7.13.**  $\text{ht}(v) \leq 3$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\text{ht}(\text{Cl}(v)) \leq 0$ , Proposition 7.7 implies  $\text{ht}(\delta P \sqcup P_{1c}) \leq 1$ . By Lemma 4.3, we obtain  $\text{ht}(\text{LD} \sqcup E) \leq 2$ . Lemma 7.12 implies  $\text{ht}(\text{int}P) \leq 3$ . This means  $\text{ht}(v) \leq 3$ .  $\square$

The author would like to know whether the heights are less than or equal to three unless finiteness. In other words, is the height of any flow on a compact surface less than or equal to three? Recall the shell  $\sigma A = \overline{A} - A$  of a subset  $A \subseteq S$ . We state a following shell's property.

**Lemma 7.14.**  $E \subseteq \sigma P \subseteq E \sqcup \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v)$  (i.e.  $\delta P \sqcup E \subseteq \partial P \subseteq \delta P \sqcup E \sqcup \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v)$ ).

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3 [21], we obtain  $E \subseteq \overline{\text{int}P} \setminus P \subseteq \sigma P$ . Recall that  $S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup P \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup E$ . Since the union LD and the interior  $\text{int}P$  are open, we have  $\overline{P} - (P \sqcup E) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v)$ .  $\square$

Summarize the properties of the shells as follows.

**Proposition 7.15.** *Let  $v$  be a flow with finitely many singular points on a compact surface  $S$ . The following statements hold:*

1. LD is open (i.e.  $\partial \text{LD} = \sigma \text{LD}$ ).
2.  $\sigma \text{Sing}(v) = \emptyset$ .
3.  $\sigma \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \sigma \text{LD} \sqcup \sigma E \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta P$ .
4.  $\sigma P \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v) \sqcup E$ .

Recall the border  $\delta A := A - \text{int}A$  of a subset  $A \subseteq S$ . Summarize the properties of the borders as follows.

**Proposition 7.16.** *Let  $v$  be a flow with finitely many singular points on a compact surface  $S$ . The following statements hold:*

1.  $\delta \text{Sing}(v) = \text{Sing}(v)$ .
2.  $\delta \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \overline{\text{int}P}$  is contained in the closure of the union of limit cycles.
3.  $\delta P = (\sigma \text{Per}(v) \cup \sigma \text{LD} \cup \sigma E) \setminus \text{Sing}(v)$  consists of connecting separatrices.
4.  $\delta \text{LD} = \emptyset$ .
5.  $\delta E = E = \sigma P \cap \text{int}(E \sqcup \text{int}P)$ .

Describe the border point set  $\text{Bd} = \partial \text{Sing}(v) \cup \partial \text{Per}(v) \cup \partial P \cup \partial \text{LD} \cup \partial E \cup P_{\text{sep}} \cup \partial P_{\text{Per}}$  as follows.

**Lemma 7.17.**  $\text{Bd} = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \delta P \sqcup E \sqcup P_{\text{sep}} \sqcup \partial P_{\text{Per}}$ .

*Proof.* The finiteness of  $\text{Sing}(v)$  implies  $\partial \text{Sing}(v) = \text{Sing}(v)$ . Since LD is open, we have  $\delta \text{LD} = \emptyset$ . Since there are at most finitely many Q-sets, Proposition 7.15 implies that  $\partial \text{LD} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta P$  and that  $\partial E = \overline{E} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta P \sqcup E$ . Lemma 3.1 implies  $\partial \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \delta P$ , and Lemma 7.14 implies  $E \subseteq \partial P \subseteq \delta P \sqcup E \sqcup \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v)$ . Therefore  $\text{Bd} = \partial \text{Sing}(v) \cup \partial \text{Per}(v) \cup \partial P \cup \partial \text{LD} \cup \partial E \cup P_{\text{sep}} \cup \partial P_{\text{Per}} = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \delta P \sqcup E \sqcup P_{\text{sep}} \sqcup \partial P_{\text{Per}}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.18.** *The complement  $S - \text{Bd}$  is open such that  $S - \text{Bd} = (\text{int} \text{Per}(v) - \partial P_{\text{Per}}) \sqcup (\text{int}P - P_{\text{sep}}) \sqcup \text{LD}$  and  $\text{LD}/\hat{v}$  is a finite space. Moreover, each of  $\text{int} \text{Per}(v) - \partial P_{\text{Per}}$ ,  $\text{int}P - P_{\text{sep}}$ , and LD is open.*

*Proof.* Since  $S$  is compact, there are finitely many boundary components and so the union  $\partial P_{\text{Per}}$  consists of finitely many orbits. Moreover,  $\text{int} \text{Per}(v) - \partial P_{\text{Per}}$  is open. We show that there are at most finitely many non-periodic limit circuits. Indeed,

assume that there are infinitely many non-periodic limit circuits. Then there is a saddle  $x$  which is contained in infinitely many distinct limit circuit. For each limit circuits, there are closed transversals near and parallel to the limit circuits. If a closed transversal is null homotopic, then it bounds an open disk which contains singular points. By the finite existence of singular points, there are infinitely many disjoint essential closed transversals to non-periodic limit circuits containing  $x$ . By the compactness of  $S$ , there are four closed transversal  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$  for distinct limit circuits which are parallel to each other and there is an annulus  $\mathbb{A}$  whose boundary consists of  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_3$  whose contains  $\gamma_2$  but not  $\gamma_4$ . Since  $x \in \overline{\text{Sat}_v(\gamma_2)} \setminus (\gamma_1 \sqcup \gamma_3) \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ , we have  $x \in \mathbb{A}$ . On the other hand, we obtain  $\mathbb{A} \cap \overline{\text{Sat}_v(\gamma_4)} = \emptyset$ , which contradicts that  $x \in \overline{\text{Sat}_v(\gamma_4)}$ . Since there are at most finitely many non-periodic limit circuits,  $\text{Sing}(v) \sqcup P_{1c}$  is a union of the singular point set and finitely many non-periodic limit circuits and so is closed. Since multi-saddles are finite, the union  $P_{ms} \sqcup P_{ss}$  consists of finitely many orbits and so  $\partial_{\text{Per}} \sqcup P_{\text{sep}} \subseteq \text{Bd}$ . This means that  $\text{Bd}$  is closed and so the complement is open. Moreover, the finiteness of  $\text{Sing}(v)$  implies that  $\text{int}P - P_{\text{sep}}$  is open.  $\square$

## 7.2. Connecting separatrices and limit circuits.

**Lemma 7.19.** *The following are equivalent for a connecting separatrix  $O$ :*

- 1)  $O \subseteq \text{int}P$  (i.e.  $O \not\subseteq \delta P$ ).
- 2)  $O \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v) \sqcup LD \sqcup E} = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* If  $O \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v) \sqcup LD \sqcup E} = \emptyset$ , then the regularity of  $O$  implies that  $O \subseteq \text{int}P$ . Conversely, the condition  $O \subseteq \text{int}P$  implies  $O \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v) \sqcup LD \sqcup E} = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.20.** *An orbit  $O \subseteq P$  is contained in  $\text{int}P$  if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- 1)  $\overline{O} \cap E \neq \emptyset$ .
- 2)  $\overline{O} - O$  contains a limit circuit.
- 3)  $O$  is a connecting separatrix with  $O \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v) \sqcup LD \sqcup E} = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $O \subseteq \text{int}P$ . Then  $O \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v) \sqcup LD \sqcup E} = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 7.12, we have  $\overline{O} - O \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \delta P \sqcup P_{1c} \sqcup E$ . Assume that  $\overline{O} \cap E = \emptyset$ . Then  $\overline{O} \subseteq P \sqcup \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v)$ . Assume that  $\overline{O} - O$  contains no limit circuits. Since  $\overline{O}$  intersects no  $Q$ -set, the generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with finitely many singular points implies that each of  $\omega(O)$  and  $\alpha(O)$  is a singular point. Conversely, suppose that  $\overline{O} \cap E \neq \emptyset$ . Corollary 7.9 implies  $O \subseteq \text{int}(\text{int}P \sqcup E)$  and so  $O \subseteq \text{int}P$ . Suppose that  $\overline{O} - O$  contains a limit circuit. Lemma 7.11 implies  $O \subseteq \text{int}P$ . Finally, suppose that  $O$  is a connecting separatrix with  $O \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v) \sqcup LD \sqcup E} = \emptyset$ . Then  $O \subseteq \text{int}(\text{Sing}(v) \sqcup P) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{int}P$ . Since  $O$  is not singular, we have  $O \subseteq \text{int}P$ .  $\square$

**7.3. Quasi-regular cases.** Suppose that  $v$  is quasi-regular in this subsection.

**Lemma 7.21.** *Each point in  $\overline{\text{Per}(v)} \cap \text{Sing}(v)$  is either a center or a multi-saddle.*

*Proof.* Fix a point  $x$  in  $\overline{\text{Per}(v)} \cap \text{Sing}(v)$ . Quasi-regularity implies that  $x$  is either a center, a  $(\partial)$ -sink, a  $(\partial)$ -source, or a multi-saddle. A singular point which is a  $(\partial)$ -sink, or a  $(\partial)$ -source, has a neighborhood which does not intersect  $\text{Per}(v)$ . Therefore  $x$  is neither a  $(\partial)$ -sink, nor a  $(\partial)$ -source but so is either a center or a multi-saddle.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.22.** *Let  $D$  be the multi-saddle connection diagram and  $D_{ss}$  the ss-multi-saddle connection diagram. The following statements hold:*

1.  $\delta P \sqcup P_{ms} = D \setminus \text{Cl}(v)$  is the union of multi-saddle separatrices.
2.  $\delta P \sqcup P_{sep} = D_{ss} \setminus (\text{Cl}(v) \sqcup E)$  is the union of multi-saddle separatrices and ss-separatrices.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{S}_+$  be the set of sources and  $\partial$ -sources,  $\mathbb{S}_-$  be the set of sinks and  $\partial$ -sinks,  $W_+ := \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{S}_+} W^u(x)$ , and  $W_- := \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{S}_-} W^s(x)$ . Since  $P \cap (W_+ \cup W_-) \subset \text{int}P$ , we have  $\delta P \cap (W_+ \cup W_-) = \emptyset$ . Quasi-regularity implies that each of the  $\omega$ -limit and the  $\alpha$ -limit set of a point in  $\delta P$  is a multi-saddle. Therefore  $\delta P \sqcup P_{ms} = D \setminus \text{Cl}(v)$ . Recall that  $D_{ss}$  is the union of multi-saddles, multi-saddle separatrices, ss-separatrices, and ss-components. Then the difference  $D_{ss} \setminus (\text{Cl}(v) \sqcup E)$  is the union of multi-saddle separatrices and ss-separatrices. This means that  $D_{ss} \setminus (\text{Cl}(v) \sqcup E) = \delta P \sqcup P_{sep}$ .  $\square$

By Quasi-regularity, an ss-component is either a singular point except a center, a limit circuit, or an exceptional Q-set. Therefore quasi-regularity and Lemma 7.17 implies the following observation.

**Lemma 7.23.** *A difference  $\text{Bd} - \delta \text{Per}(v)$  of consists of centers, periodic orbit on the boundary  $\partial S$ , multi-saddle connections, ss-separatrices, and ss-components except limit circuits. Moreover if  $v$  have at most finitely many limit cycles, then  $\delta \text{Per}(v)$  consists of finitely many limit cycles.*

*Proof.* Recall that  $\text{Bd} = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \delta P \sqcup E \sqcup P_{sep} \sqcup \partial \text{Per}$ . Quasi-regularity implies that  $\text{Sing}(v)$  consists of centers and ss-components. By Lemma 7.22, we have that  $\delta P \sqcup P_{sep}$  is the union of multi-saddle separatrices and ss-separatrices. By definition of ss-component, the closure  $\overline{E} \subseteq \text{Bd}$  consists of ss-components. If there are at most finitely many limit cycles, then  $\delta \text{Per}(v)$  is the union of limit cycles.  $\square$

## 8. ON THE BORDER POINT SET

Let  $v$  be a flow on a compact connected surface  $S$ . Define a relation  $\sim_{\text{ex}}$  on  $S$  as follows:  $x \sim_{\text{ex}} y$  if either  $O(x) = O(y)$  or both  $x$  and  $y$  are contained in a multi-saddle connection. Write the extended orbit space  $S/v_{\text{ex}} := S/\sim_{\text{ex}}$ . In other words,  $S/v_{\text{ex}}$  can be obtained from  $S/v$  by collapsing the multi-saddle connections into singletons. Note that  $(S - \text{Bd})/v = (S - \text{Bd})/v_{\text{ex}}$  and that each class of  $\sim_{\text{ex}}$  is either an orbit or a multi-saddle connection. Denote by  $S/\hat{v}_{\text{ex}}$  the  $T_0$ -tification of  $S/v_{\text{ex}}$ , called the extended orbit class space. In other words, define a relation  $\approx_{\text{ex}}$  on  $S$  as follows:  $x \approx_{\text{ex}} y$  if either  $\overline{O(x)} = \overline{O(y)}$  or both  $x$  and  $y$  are contained in a multi-saddle connection. Then  $S/\hat{v}_{\text{ex}} := S/\approx_{\text{ex}}$ . By Proposition 2.2 [21], the definitions of the equivalences  $\sim_{\text{ex}}$  and  $\approx_{\text{ex}}$  imply the following lemma.

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $v$  be a flow on a compact connected surface  $S$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1)  $S/\hat{v}_{\text{ex}} = S/v_{\text{ex}}$ .
- 2)  $S/\hat{v} = S/v$ .
- 3)  $\text{LD} \sqcup E = \emptyset$ .

We obtain the following statements.

**Lemma 8.2.** *Let  $v$  be a quasi-regular flow on a compact connected surface  $S$ . The following statements hold:*

- 1) If  $E \subseteq \min S$ , then  $\text{Bd} / \hat{v}_{\text{ex}}$  is an abstract multi-graph whose vertices are centers, periodic orbits, multi-saddle connections, and ss-components except non-periodic limit circuits, and whose edges are ss-separatrices.
- 2)  $\text{Bd} / \hat{v}_{\text{ex}}$  is finite if and only if there are at most finitely many limit cycles.
- 3)  $\text{Bd} / \hat{v}_{\text{ex}} = \text{Bd} / v_{\text{ex}}$  if and only if  $E = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 7.17 implies that  $\text{Bd} = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{P} \sqcup E \sqcup \text{P}_{\text{sep}} \sqcup \partial \text{Per}$ . By the definition of  $\approx_{\text{ex}}$ , the quasi-regularity and Lemma 7.23 imply assertion 1). By quasi-regularity, the separatrices are finite. Since there are at most finitely many Q-sets, a subset  $(\text{Bd} - \delta \text{Per}(v)) / \hat{v}_{\text{ex}}$  is finite. This implies assertion 2). Since  $\text{Bd} \cap \text{LD} = \emptyset$ , the definition of ss-component implies assertion 3).  $\square$

The finite type condition implies the finiteness of the border point set.

**Theorem 8.3.** *For a flow  $v$  of finite type on a compact connected surface, the quotient space  $\text{Bd} / v_{\text{ex}}$  is a finite abstract multi-graph.*

## 9. STRUCTURES OF ORBITS

We summarize the properties of the boundary points and those of border points as follows.

**Theorem 9.1.** *Let  $v$  be a flow with finitely many singular points on a compact surface  $S$ . The following statements hold:*

- 1)  $\partial \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{P}$ .
- 2)  $\partial \text{LD} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{P}$ .
- 3)  $\partial E = \overline{E} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{P} \sqcup E$ .
- 4)  $\partial \text{P} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{P} \sqcup E$ .
- 5)  $\delta \text{P}$  is a union of connecting separatrices.
- 6)  $\delta \text{Per}(v)$  is contained in the closure of the union of limit cycles.
- 7)  $\text{Bd} = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{P} \sqcup E \sqcup \text{P}_{\text{sep}} \sqcup \partial \text{Per}$ .

*Proof.* Proposition 7.15 implies assertions 1) - 4). Proposition 7.7 implies assertion 5). Lemma 3.6 implies assertion 6). Lemma 7.17 implies assertion 7).  $\square$

**9.1. The border point set.** Let  $v$  be a flow with finitely many singular points on a connected compact surface  $S$ . The previous theorem implies the following descriptions of the border point set  $\text{Bd}$  as follows.

**Corollary 9.2.** *Let  $v$  be a quasi-regular flow on a compact surface  $S$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{S}_c$  the set of centers, and by  $D_{\text{ss}}$  the ss-multi-saddle connection diagram. The following statements hold:*

- 1)  $\delta \text{P} \sqcup \text{P}_{\text{sep}}$  is the finite union of multi-saddle separatrices and ss-separatrices.
- 2)  $\delta \text{Per}(v)$  is contained in the closure of the union of limit cycles.

*Moreover, if there are at most finitely many limit cycles, then the following statements holds:*

- 3)  $D_{\text{ss}} = (\text{Sing}(v) - \mathbb{S}_c) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{P} \sqcup E \sqcup \text{P}_{\text{sep}}$  is closed.
- 4)  $\text{Bd} = D_{\text{ss}} \sqcup \mathbb{S}_c \sqcup \partial \text{Per}$  is closed.

The non-existence of exceptional orbits implies the following properties.

**Corollary 9.3.** *The following statements hold for a quasi-regular flow  $v$  with  $E = \emptyset$  on a compact surface  $S$ :*

- 1)  $S - \text{Bd} = \text{int}(\text{Per}(v) - \partial \text{Per}) \sqcup \text{int}(\text{P} - \text{P}_{\text{sep}}) \sqcup \text{LD}$  is open.

2) The orbit space  $(\text{int}(\text{Per}(v) - \partial_{\text{Per}}) \sqcup \text{int}(P - P_{\text{sep}}))/v$  is a one-dimensional manifold.

3) The orbit class space  $\text{LD}/\hat{v}$  is a finite discrete space.

4)  $(S - \text{Bd})/\hat{v}$  is a finite union of circles, intervals, and points.

*Proof.* The previous corollary implies assertion 1). Taking the double of a manifold, we may assume that  $S$  is closed. Since there are at most finitely many Q-sets, assertion 3) holds. Since a small saturated neighborhood of a periodic orbit in  $\text{int Per}(v)$  is either an annulus or a Möbius band, the orbit space  $\text{int}(\text{Per}(v) - \partial_{\text{Per}})/v$  is a one-dimensional manifold. By a generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with finitely many singular points, quasi-regularity and non-existence of exceptional minimal sets imply that the  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set of a non-closed proper orbit is either a sink, a source, or a limit circuit. Taking a closed transversal which either is parallel to a limit circuit or bounds a sink disk or a source disk, the orbit space  $\text{int}(P - P_{\text{sep}})/v$  is an interval or a circle.  $\square$

**9.2. Hierarchy of orbits.** Let  $v$  be a flow with finitely many singular points on a connected compact surface  $S$ . To convert the flow  $v$  into discrete data, we state the relations between the heights and types of orbits.

	$S_k \subseteq$	$S_k \cap \max S \subseteq$	$S_k \setminus \max S \subseteq$
$k = 3$	$\text{int}P - P_{1c}$	$\text{int}P - P_{1c}$	$\emptyset$
$k = 2$	$(\text{int}P - P_{1c}) \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup E$	$(\text{int}P - P_{1c}) \sqcup \text{LD}$	$E$
$k = 1$	$P \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup E$	$(P - P_{1c}) \sqcup \text{LD}$	$\delta P \sqcup P_{1c} \sqcup E$
$k = 0$	$S - P$	$\text{int Cl}(v) \sqcup \text{LD}$	$\delta \text{Cl}(v) \sqcup E$

TABLE 1. Supersets of sets of height  $k$  points. For instance, the (2, 2)-entry means that  $S_3 \subseteq \text{int}P - P_{1c}$ .

	$\subseteq S_k$	$\subseteq S_k \cap \max S$	$\subseteq S_k \setminus \max S$
$k = 3$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
$k = 2$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
$k = 1$	$\delta P \sqcup P_{1c}$	$\delta P \cap \text{int}(\text{Per}(v) \sqcup \delta P)$	$(\delta P \cap \overline{\text{int}P \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup E}) \sqcup P_{1c}$
$k = 0$	$\text{Cl}(v) \sqcup ((\text{LD} \sqcup E) \cap \min S)$	$\text{int Cl}(v) \sqcup (\text{LD} \cap \min S)$	$(\bigcup \{ \text{limit cycle} \}) \sqcup (E \cap \min S)$

TABLE 2. Subsets of sets of height  $k$  points. For instance, the (4, 4)-entry means that  $(\delta P \cap \overline{\text{int}P \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup E}) \sqcup P_{1c} \subseteq S_1 \setminus \max S$ .

**Corollary 9.4.** *The following statements hold:*

1)  $\delta \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \min S \setminus \max S$  is the union of limit cycles if there are at most finitely many limit cycles.

2)  $\text{int Cl}(v) \subseteq \min S \cap \max S \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{int Per}(v)$  if  $v$  is not minimal.

- 3)  $\delta P \sqcup P_{1c} \subseteq S_1$ .
- 4)  $S_1 \sqcup S_2 \subseteq P \sqcup LD \sqcup E$ .
- 5)  $S_3 \subseteq \text{int}P - P_{1c}$ .
- 6)  $\text{int}Cl(v) \sqcup (\text{int}P - P_{1c}) \sqcup LD \subseteq \max S \subseteq Cl(v) \sqcup (P - P_{1c}) \sqcup LD$ .
- 7)  $P \subseteq S_1 \cup (\max S \setminus \min S)$ .
- 8)  $LD \subseteq (S_0 \sqcup S_1 \sqcup S_2) \cap \max S$ .
- 9)  $E \subseteq S - \max S$ .
- 10)  $\min S \setminus \max S \subseteq \delta Cl(v) \sqcup E$
- 11)  $\text{ht}(v) \leq 3$ .

*Proof.* Since closed orbits are minimal sets, we have assertion 4). Lemma 3.6 implies assertion 1). Lemma 4.5 implies assertion 9). By Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 7.2, assertion 2) holds. Proposition 7.7 implies  $\delta P \subseteq S_1$ . By the definition of a limit circuit, we have  $P_{1c} \subset S_1$ . This means that assertion 3) is true. Proposition 7.13 implies assertion 11). Proposition 7.15 implies assertion 5). A generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with finitely many singular points implies that  $\text{int}P - P_{1c} \subseteq \max S$ . By the definition of  $\max S$  and assertion 9), assertion 6) holds. Assertions 3) and 6) imply assertion 7). By assertion 6) and Lemma 7.10, we have assertion 8). Since a minimal set is either a closed orbit, a locally dense Q-set, or an exceptional Q-set, assertion 10) holds.  $\square$

We list all possible orbit closures of maximal points with respect to the pre-order.

	ht = 3	ht = 2	ht = 1	ht = 0
E + $\delta P$	$\text{int}P - P_{1c}$	E	$\delta P$	$\delta \text{Sing}(v)$
E + $\text{Sing}(v)$		$\text{int}P - P_{1c}$	E	$\delta \text{Sing}(v)$
E			$\text{int}P - P_{1c}$	E
LD + $\delta P$		LD	$\delta P$	$\delta \text{Sing}(v)$
LD + $\text{Sing}(v)$			LD	$\delta \text{Sing}(v)$
LD				LD
Limit circuit		$\text{int}P - P_{1c}$	$\delta P \sqcup P_{1c}$	$\delta \text{Sing}(v)$
Limit cycle			$\text{int}P - P_{1c}$	$\delta \text{Per}(v)$
Separatrix			$P - P_{1c}$	$\delta \text{Sing}(v)$
$\text{int}Cl(v)$				$\text{int}Cl(v)$

TABLE 3.

**Lemma 9.5.** *Let  $x \in S_3$  be a point. Then  $\overline{O(x)} \cap S_2 \cap E \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, if  $\omega(x) \cap S_2 \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , then the following statements hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 O(x) &= \overline{O(x)} \cap S_3 \subseteq \text{int}P - P_{1c} \\
 \omega(x) \cap S_2 &\subseteq E \\
 \omega(x) \cap S_1 &\subseteq \delta P \\
 \omega(x) \cap S_0 &\subseteq \text{Sing}(v)
 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 9.6.** *Let  $x \in S_2 \cap \max S$  be a point.*

*If  $x \in \text{LD}$ , then the following statements hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{O}(x) &= \overline{O(x)} \cap S_2 \subseteq \text{LD} \\ \overline{O(x)} \cap S_1 &\subseteq \delta\text{P} \\ \overline{O(x)} \cap S_0 &\subseteq \text{Sing}(v)\end{aligned}$$

*If  $x \in \text{intP} - \text{P}_{1c}$  and  $\overline{O(x)} \cap E = \emptyset$ , then  $\overline{O(x)}$  contains a limit circuit and the following statements hold: If  $\omega(x)$  is a limit circuit, then*

$$\begin{aligned}O(x) &= \overline{O(x)} \cap S_2 \subseteq \text{intP} - \text{P}_{1c} \\ \omega(x) \cap S_1 &\subseteq \delta\text{P} \sqcup \text{P}_{1c} \\ \omega(x) \cap S_0 &\subseteq \text{Sing}(v)\end{aligned}$$

*If  $\omega(x) \cap E \neq \emptyset$  (and so  $x \in \text{intP} - \text{P}_{1c}$ ), then the following statements hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}O(x) &= \overline{O(x)} \cap S_2 \subseteq \text{intP} - \text{P}_{1c} \\ \omega(x) \cap S_1 &\subseteq E \\ \omega(x) \cap S_0 &\subseteq \text{Sing}(v)\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 9.7.** *Let  $x \in S_1 \cap \max S$  be a point.*

*If  $x \in \text{LD}$ , then the following statements hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{O}(x) &= \overline{O(x)} \cap S_1 \subseteq \text{LD} \\ \overline{O(x)} \cap S_0 &\subseteq \text{Sing}(v)\end{aligned}$$

*If  $x \in \delta\text{P}$ , then the following statements hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}O(x) &= \overline{O(x)} \cap S_1 \subseteq \delta\text{P} \\ \overline{O(x)} \cap S_0 &\subseteq \text{Sing}(v)\end{aligned}$$

*If  $x \in \text{intP} - \text{P}_{1c}$  and  $\overline{O(x)} \cap E = \emptyset$ , then the following statements hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}O(x) &= \overline{O(x)} \cap S_1 \subseteq \text{intP} - \text{P}_{1c} \\ \overline{O(x)} \cap S_0 &\subseteq \text{Cl}(v)\end{aligned}$$

*If  $\omega(x) \cap E \neq \emptyset$  (and so  $x \in \text{intP} - \text{P}_{1c}$ ), then the following statements hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}O(x) &= \overline{O(x)} \cap S_1 \subseteq \text{intP} - \text{P}_{1c} \\ \omega(x) \cap S_0 &\subseteq E\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 9.8.**  $\min S \cap \max S \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{int Per}(v) \sqcup \text{LD}$ .

These results imply the following generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem.

**Theorem 9.9.** *An  $\omega$ -limit set of an orbit of a flow with finitely many fixed points on a connected compact surface is one of the following exclusively:*

- i) a closed orbit,*
- ii) a dense minimal set which is a torus*
- iii) an exceptional minimal set*
- iv) a height one attracting limit circuit*
- v) a height one locally dense  $Q$ -set with singular points*



- vi) a height one exceptional  $Q$ -set with singular points
- vii) a height two locally dense  $Q$ -set with connecting separatrices
- viii) a height two exceptional  $Q$ -set with connecting separatrices

10. DECOMPOSITIONS OF FLOWS

Recall that an operation  $C_t$  cuts an essential closed transversal and pastes one sink disk and one source disk, an operation  $C_o$  cuts an essential periodic orbit and pastes one or two center disks, and an operation  $C_d$  removes an essential one-sided (resp. two-sided) loop in  $D$  and pastes a double covering (resp. two copies) of the loop to the new boundary (resp. new two boundaries) (see Figure 2). Cutting essential parts, we can reduce surface flows into spherical flows.

**Lemma 10.1.** *Let  $v$  be a quasi-regular flow on a connected compact surface  $S$ ,  $S_o$  the resulting surface from  $S$  by applying an operation  $C_o$  as possible,  $S_d$  the resulting surface from  $S_o$  by applying an operation  $C_d$  as possible, and  $S_t$  the resulting surface from  $S_d$  by applying an operation  $C_t$  as possible. Then each connected component of the surface  $S_t$  is a subset of a sphere.*

*Proof.* Removing  $(\partial-)0$ -saddles, we may assume that there are no  $(\partial-)0$ -saddles. Let  $v_\sigma$  be the resulting flow from  $v$  on  $S_\sigma$ , where  $\sigma \in \{o, d, t\}$ . Since  $S$  is compact, the surface  $S_o$  can be obtained by taking operations  $C_o$  finitely many times. By construction, the flow  $v_o$  has no essential periodic orbits. By construction, the flow  $v_d$  has neither essential periodic orbits nor essential loops in  $D$ . Since  $S_d$  is compact, the surface  $S_t$  can be obtained by taking operations  $C_t$  finitely many times. Then the flow  $v_t$  has neither essential closed transversals, essential loops in  $D$ , nor essential periodic orbits. Since each  $Q$ -set intersects some essential closed transversal, the flow  $v_t$  has no  $Q$ -sets but consists of proper orbits. We show that each connected component of  $S_t$  is a subset of a sphere. Indeed, replacing  $\partial$ -sinks (resp.  $\partial$ -sources) with pairs of a  $\partial$ -saddle and a sink (resp. a source) (see Figure 14), we may assume that there are neither  $\partial$ -sinks nor  $\partial$ -sources on  $S_t$ . Then each singular point on the boundary  $\partial S_t$  is a multi-saddle. Let  $S_3$  be the resulting closed surface from a connected component of  $S_t$  by collapsing all boundaries into singletons and  $v_3$  the resulting flow on  $S_3$ . Then each new singular point of  $v_3$  is a multi-saddle. Quasi-regularity of  $v$  implies that each singular point of the resulting flow  $v_3$  is either a multi-saddle, a sink, a source, or a center. Recall that each separatrix connecting a sink or a source does not belong to any multi-saddle connection. For a  $k$ -saddle connection of  $v_3$  ( $k > 0$ ) such that there is a separatrix from a source to it (resp. from it to a sink), applying the inverse operation of  $Ch_l$  to the separatrix, we obtain a  $(k - 1)$ -saddle connection (see Fig. 15). Applying the operations finitely many times and removing 0-saddles, we may assume that there are no ss-separatrices connecting a multi-saddle and either a sink or a source. Therefore each separatrix from (resp. to) a multi-saddle is a multi-saddle separatrix. Then each separatrix either connects a sink and a source or is contained in the multi-saddle connection diagram  $D(v_3)$  of  $v_3$ . By Lemma 7.11, the directed circuit is a limit circuit or is parallel to a periodic orbit. This means that each multi-saddle connection of  $v_3$  is null homologous with respect to  $H_1(S_3)$  and so is null homotopic. Collapsing closed disks each of whose boundaries is contained in the multi-saddle connection diagram  $D(v_3)$  into singletons, the new singletons are either centers, sinks, or sources. Thus we may assume that  $D(v_3) = \emptyset$  and




FIGURE 14. Replacing a  $\partial$ -sink with a pair of a sink and a  $\partial$ -saddle




FIGURE 15. Inverse operation of the Cherry blow-up operation  $Ch_l$  to a separatrix from a  $k$ -saddle to a sink

that each periodic orbit is null homotopic. Therefore  $v_3$  consists of sinks, sources, centers, non-closed proper orbits, and null homotopic periodic orbits. Since there are no essential periodic orbits, there are singular points whose indices are positive. Then the Euler characteristic is positive. Hence the Poincaré-Hopf theorem implies that  $S_3$  is a sphere or a projective plane. We show that  $S_3$  is a sphere. Otherwise  $S_3$  is a projective plane. By the non-existence of multi-saddles, the Poincaré-Hopf theorem implies that there is a just one singular point. Suppose that there is a center. Removing a small open center disk  $B$ , the complement  $S_3 - B$  is a Möbius band without singular points and so their first return map to an closed transverse arc is an orientation-reversing homeomorphism on a closed interval. Then it has a unique fixed point which corresponds to a one-sided periodic orbit, which contradicts to non-existence of essential periodic orbits. Suppose that there is a sink (resp. source). Since there is only one singular point, which is a sink (resp. source), there is a closed disk  $B$  whose boundary is a periodic orbit and whose interior is the unstable manifold of the sink (resp. the stable manifold of the source) and so the complement  $S_3 - B$  is a Möbius band without singular points. The same argument implies the contradiction. Thus each connected component of  $S_t$  is a subset of a sphere.  $\square$

Note that the operation  $C_d$  is necessary in the previous lemma (see Figure 16). Recall that  $Bd = \partial \text{Sing}(v) \cup \partial \text{Per}(v) \cup \partial P \cup \partial LD \cup \partial E \cup P_{\text{sep}} \cup \partial P_{\text{per}}$ . We describe the complement of the border point set  $Bd$  as follows.

**Theorem 10.2.** *Each connected component of  $S - Bd$  for a quasi-regular flow  $v$  on a compact surface  $S$  is one of the following:*

- 1) an open flow box in  $P$ ,




FIGURE 16. A flow on a torus with heteroclinic multi-saddle separatrices but without essential closed transversals nor essential periodic orbits

- 2) an open annulus in  $P$ ,
- 3) a torus in  $\text{Per}(v)$ ,
- 4) a Klein bottle in  $\text{Per}(v)$ ,
- 5) an open annulus in  $\text{Per}(v)$ ,
- 6) an open Möbius band in  $\text{Per}(v)$ , or
- 7) an open essential subset in LD.

*Proof.* Removing  $(\partial-)$ 0-saddles, we may assume that there are no  $(\partial-)$ 0-saddles. Replacing  $\partial_{\text{Per}}$  with centers, we may assume that  $\partial_{\text{Per}} = \emptyset$ . Since the boundary  $\partial S$  is contained in  $\text{Bd}$ , taking the double of a manifold, we may assume that  $S$  is closed. Fix a connected component  $U$  of  $S - \text{Bd}$ . Lemma 7.18 implies that the open subset  $U$  is contained in either  $\text{int Per}(v)$ ,  $\text{int}(P - P_{\text{sep}})$ , or LD. Suppose that  $U \subseteq \text{LD}$ . Since LD is open, fix a point  $x \in U$  and a transverse arc  $I \subset U$  where  $x$  is the interior point in  $I$ . Since a Möbius band contains an annulus, taking a small transverse arc if necessary, by the waterfall construction, there is a closed transversal in  $U$  intersecting  $x$ . By Lemma 3.3, the closed transversal is essential and so is  $U$ . Suppose that  $U \subseteq \text{int Per}(v)$ . If  $\partial U = \emptyset$ , then  $U$  is either a torus or a Klein bottle. Thus we may assume that  $\partial U \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $U$  is an open annulus or an open Möbius band. Suppose that  $U \subseteq \text{int}(P - P_{\text{sep}})$ . The  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set of each point in  $U$  is either an exceptional Q-set, a limit circuit or a sink (resp. a source). Cutting an essential periodic orbit and pasting one or two center disks (i.e. taking operation  $C_o$ ), by induction, we may assume that each periodic orbit is null homotopic. Removing directed circuits in  $\text{Bd}$ , taking the metric completion, and collapsing the new boundaries into singletons, we may assume that there are no directed circuits in  $\text{Bd}$ . In particular, there are neither limit circuits nor self-connected multi-saddle separatrices. By construction, new singletons are either sinks, sources, centers, or multi-saddles. Cutting the closures

of multi-saddle separatrices, collapsing new boundary components into singletons, we may assume that there are no multi-saddle connection separatrices on  $\partial U$ . Note  $U$  contains no multi-saddles. Then the  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set of each point in  $U$  is either an exceptional Q-set or a sink (resp. a source). Suppose that  $\overline{U} \cap E = \emptyset$ . Note that the case  $U$  contained in the sphere satisfies the condition  $\overline{U} \cap E = \emptyset$ . Then we show that  $U$  is an open flow box in  $P$  by cutting  $U$  into small open flow boxes. Indeed, the  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set of each point in  $U$  is a sink (resp. a source). Fix a sink  $s$  which is the  $\omega$ -limit set of a point in  $U$  and a small closed transversal  $\gamma$  which is a boundary of a sink disk of the sink  $s$ . If  $\gamma$  is contained in  $U$ , then  $U$  is an open annulus in  $P$ . Thus we may assume that  $\gamma$  is not contained in  $U$ . Then there are separatrices  $O_i$  between the sink  $s$  and multi-saddles such that  $O_i \subset \partial U$ . Since each multi-saddle in  $\partial U$  connects between a sink and a source, the boundary  $\partial U$  consists of sinks, sources, multi-saddles, and separatrices of multi-saddles connecting sinks or sources. Taking a double of  $\overline{U}$ , the resulting flow on the resulting closed surface consists of sinks, sources, 0-saddles, and non-closed proper orbits. Since the index of each singular point is non-negative, the existence of a sink and the Poincaré-Hopf theorem implies that the double is a sphere and there are exactly one sink and one source except 0-saddles on the double. This means  $U$  is an open flow box in  $P$ . Thus we may assume that  $\overline{U} \cap E \neq \emptyset$ . This implies that  $S$  is not spherical. Cutting an essential closed transversal in LD and pasting one sink disk and one source disk, we may assume that  $LD = \emptyset$ . Cutting an essential closed transversal  $\gamma_i$  intersecting  $E$  and pasting one sink disk and one source disk (i.e. applying an operation  $C_t$ ) as possible, let  $T$  the resulting surface from  $S$ ,  $w$  the resulting flow, and  $U^- \subseteq T$  be the resulting subset of  $U \setminus \bigcup_i \gamma_i$ . By Lemma 10.1, the resulting surface  $T$  is spherical. Let  $U_k$  be the connected components of  $U^-$  with  $U^- = \bigsqcup_k U_k$ . Denote by  $\tilde{U}$  (resp.  $\tilde{U}_k$ ) the saturation of  $U^-$  (resp.  $U_k$ ) by  $w$ . By the proof of spherical case, each connected component  $\tilde{U}_k$  of  $\tilde{U}$  is an open flow box such that  $\omega(x) = a_k$  and  $\alpha(x) = b_k$  for some new sink  $a_k$  and some new source  $b_k$ . Then each connected component  $U_k$  of  $U \setminus \bigcup_i \gamma_i$  is an open flow box each of whose transverse boundary components is a closed interval in an essential closed transversal  $\gamma_i$ . In other words, each connected component  $U_k$  has one positive transverse boundary and one negative transverse boundary. Since  $U$  is constructed by pasting positive boundary and a negative boundary of  $U_k$  as possible, we have  $U$  is an open flow box in  $P$ .  $\square$

The previous theorem implies the following statements.

**Corollary 10.3.** *Each connected component of  $S - \text{BD}$  for a quasi-regular flow  $v$  on a compact surface  $S$  is one of the following:*

- 1) *an open flow box in  $P$ ,*
- 2) *an open annulus in  $P$ ,*
- 3) *a torus in  $\text{Per}(v)$ ,*
- 4) *an open annulus in  $\text{Per}(v)$ , or*
- 5) *an open essential subset in LD.*

Recall that a quasi-regular flow without Q-sets (i.e.  $LD \sqcup E = \emptyset$ ) is of finite type if there are at most finitely many limit cycles.

**Corollary 10.4.** *The following statements hold for a quasi-regular flow  $v$  on a compact surface  $S$ :*

- 1)  $(S - \text{BD})/\hat{v}$  is a disjoint union of singletons, open intervals and circles.
- 2) If  $v$  is of finite type, then  $(S - \text{BD})/\hat{v}$  is a finite disjoint union of open intervals and circles.
- 3)  $\text{LD} = \emptyset$  if and only if  $(S - \text{BD})/v$  is a disjoint union of open intervals and circles.

In the finite type case, we obtain the following statement.

**Theorem 10.5.** *The following statements hold for a flow  $v$  of finite type on a compact surface  $S$ :*

- 1) *The orbit space  $(S - D_{\text{ss}})/v$  (resp.  $(S - \text{Bd})/v$ ) is a finite disjoint union of intervals and circles.*
- 2) *The orbit space  $(S - \text{BD})/v$  is a finite disjoint union of open intervals and circles.*

## 11. DENSITY OF PERIODIC ORBITS IN THE OMEGA LIMIT SET

In this section, we consider when the omega limit set corresponds to the closure of the union of closed orbits. It is known that the rotation number of a circle homeomorphism  $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  is rational if and only if  $\overline{\text{Per}(f)} = \Omega(f)$ , where  $\text{Per}(f)$  is the set of periodic points of  $f$ . Note  $\text{Fix}(f) \subseteq \text{Per}(f)$ , where  $\text{Fix}(f)$  is the set of fixed points of  $f$ .

First, we show that the condition  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$  implies the non-existence of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -sets and of strict limit non-periodic circuits. Recall that a non-wandering flow on a compact surface has no exceptional orbits (i.e.  $\text{E} = \emptyset$ ).


**Lemma 11.1.** *Let  $v$  be a flow on a compact surface  $S$ . If  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$ , then  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} = \emptyset$  (i.e.  $S = \text{Cl}(v) \sqcup \text{P}$ ).*

*Proof.* Since weakly recurrent orbits are non-wandering, we have  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} \subseteq \Omega(v) = \overline{\text{Cl}(v)}$ . Proposition 7.15 implies that  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} \cap (\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}) = \emptyset$  and so that  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 11.2.** *Let  $v$  be a flow on a compact surface  $S$ . If  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$ , then there are no strict limit non-periodic circuit.*

*Proof.* Suppose that there is a strict limit non-periodic circuit  $\gamma$ . The previous lemma implies  $\Omega(v) \cap \text{intP} = \overline{\Omega(v) - \text{Cl}(v)}$ . By definition, the circuit  $\gamma$  contains a point in  $\Omega(v) \cap \text{intP} = \Omega(v) - \text{Cl}(v)$  and so  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} \neq \Omega(v)$ .  $\square$

Note that the converse of Lemma 11.1 is not true, because of the flow  $v_\varphi$  constructed at the end of §3. Moreover, there is a flow  $v$  on a compact surface  $S$  with countable singular points and with a non-periodic non-limit directed circuit in  $\Omega(v)$  such that  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} \neq \Omega(v)$  (see Figure 17). Indeed, consider a toral flow  $w$  with one essential limit cycle  $C$  but without singular points. Fix a point  $z \in C$  and a non-closed proper orbit  $O$ . Write an open disk  $D := \mathbb{T}^2 - (C \sqcup O)$ . Choose a closed transversal  $T$  through  $z$ , a point  $x \in O$ , and a monotonic sequence  $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  on  $O$  such that  $T \cap O = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} t_n = -\infty$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} x_n = z$ , where  $x_n := w_{t_n}(x)$ . Write  $D_n$  the open flow box with four corners  $x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}$  such that  $D - T = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D_n$ . Write an open flow box  $D'_{2n} = D_{2n} \sqcup D_{2n+1} \sqcup ((T \setminus O) \cap \overline{D_{2n}} \cap \overline{D_{2n+1}}) \subset D$ . Replacing the closure of each  $D'_{2n}$  by a box with a flow as shown in Figure 17, we obtain the resulting flow  $v$  on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$  such that the singular point set  $\text{Sing}(v) = \{z\} \sqcup \{x_n, y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset T$


 FIGURE 17. An open flow box  $D'_{2n}$ 

is countable,  $\mathbb{T}^2 = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup P$ , and  $\Omega(v) = C \sqcup \{x_n, y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \supseteq \text{Sing}(v) = \overline{\text{Cl}}(v)$ . We describe a property of neighborhoods of directed non-limit cycles.

**Lemma 11.3.** *Let  $v$  be a flow on a compact surface  $S$  and  $\gamma$  a directed circuit with isolated singular points and with an associated collar  $\mathbb{A}$  of  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma$  is not a limit circuit with respect to  $\mathbb{A}$ . Then  $\gamma \subset \overline{\text{Per}}(v)$ . Moreover there is a sequence  $(O_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  of periodic orbits each of which is essential in  $\mathbb{A}$  and is homologous to  $\gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Taking  $\mathbb{A}$  small, we may assume that  $\mathbb{A}$  contains no singular points. Then each periodic orbit contained in  $\mathbb{A}$  is essential in  $\mathbb{A}$ . Fix a regular point  $x \in \gamma$  and a transverse arc  $T \subset \overline{\mathbb{A}}$  from  $x$ . Since  $\overline{O} \cap \overline{\mathbb{A}} \cap \gamma = \emptyset$  for any orbit  $O$  intersecting  $\mathbb{A}$ , the first return map with respect to  $T - \{x\}$  has fixed points which converge to  $x$ . Since each fixed point corresponds to a periodic orbit which is essential in  $\mathbb{A}$ , this implies  $\gamma \subset \overline{\text{Per}}(v)$  in  $\mathbb{A} \sqcup \gamma$ .  $\square$

We consider the non-wandering case.

**Lemma 11.4.** *Let  $v$  be a flow on a compact surface  $S$ . The following are equivalent:*

- 1)  $v$  is non-wandering and  $\overline{\text{Cl}}(v) = \Omega(v)$ .
- 2)  $v$  is non-wandering and  $\text{LD} = \emptyset$ .
- 3)  $\text{int}P = \emptyset$  and  $\overline{\text{Cl}}(v) = \Omega(v)$ .
- 4)  $\overline{\text{LD}} \sqcup \text{int}P = \emptyset$  (i.e.  $S = \text{Cl}(v) \sqcup \delta P$ ).
- 5)  $\overline{\text{Cl}}(v) = S$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \overline{\text{Per}}(v) \sqcup P \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup E$ . Obviously, the conditions 1) and 5) are equivalent. We prove that the non-wandering property is equivalent to the property  $\text{int}P = \emptyset$ . Indeed, since  $P$  is the complement of the set of weakly recurrent points, the non-wandering condition implies  $\text{int}P = \emptyset$ . Conversely, the condition  $\text{int}P = \emptyset$  implies that the closure of the set of weakly recurrent points is the whole surface  $S$ . This means that  $v$  is non-wandering. The equivalence implies that the conditions 1) and 3) (resp. 2) and 4)) are equivalent. We show that the condition 4) is equivalent to the condition 5). Indeed, suppose  $\overline{\text{LD}} \sqcup \text{int}P = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 2.3 [21], we have  $E = \emptyset$  and so  $S = \text{Cl}(v) \sqcup P$ . Since  $\text{int}P = \emptyset$ , we have  $S = \overline{\text{Cl}}(v)$ . Conversely, suppose  $\overline{\text{Cl}}(v) = S$ . Then  $\text{int}P = \emptyset$  and  $\text{Sing}(v) \cup \overline{\text{Per}}(v) = S \supseteq \text{LD}$ . Since  $\overline{\text{Per}}(v) \cap \text{LD} = \emptyset$ , we obtain  $\text{LD} = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $\overline{\text{LD}} \sqcup \text{int}P = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

In the non-wandering case, the non-existence of locally dense orbits is equivalent to the property  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$ .

**Corollary 11.5.** *Let  $v$  be a non-wandering flow on a compact surface  $S$ . The following are equivalent:*

- 1)  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$ .
- 2)  $\text{LD} = \emptyset$ .

We consider the case  $S = \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{P}$ .

**Lemma 11.6.** *Let  $v$  be a flow on a compact surface  $S$ . If  $S = \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{P}$ , then  $\text{P}$  is open and  $\Omega(v) = \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \text{Per}(v)$ .*

*Proof.* Since each  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set of an orbit in  $\text{P}$  is a limit cycle, the union  $\text{P}$  is open and so  $\Omega(v) = \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \text{Per}(v)$ .  $\square$

**11.1. Density of periodic orbits in the omega limit set for (quasi-)regular flows.** We consider the regular case without ( $\partial$ -)saddles (i.e. quasi-regular case without multi-saddles).

**Lemma 11.7.** *Let  $v$  be a quasi-regular flow without multi-saddles on a compact surface  $S$ . Then  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$ . In each case, we have  $\text{Cl}(v) = \Omega(v)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 11.1, the condition  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$  implies  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} = \emptyset$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} = \emptyset$ . Since the relation  $\Omega(v) = \overline{\text{Cl}(v)}$  is invariant under the orientation double covering (resp. taking the double of a manifold), taking the orientation double covering (resp. the double of a manifold), we may assume that  $S$  is closed orientable. Quasi-regularity implies that each singular point is either a center, a sink, or a source. Note the index of each singular point is one. The Poincaré-Hopf theorem implies that  $S$  is either a sphere or a torus. Suppose that  $S$  is toral. Since  $v$  is regular and has no saddles, the flow has no singular points. Then  $S = \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{P}$ . By Lemma 11.6, the assertion holds. Suppose that  $S$  is spherical. Then  $S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{P}$ . The Poincaré-Hopf theorem implies that there are exactly two singular points, each of which is a center, a sink, or a source. Moreover, each omega limit set of a non-closed point is either a sink, a source, or a limit cycle. We show that the union  $\text{Cl}(v)$  is closed. Indeed, assume that there are two singular points which are sinks or sources. Define  $\sigma$  (resp.  $\mu$ ) as follows:  $\sigma = u$  if  $x$  is a sink (resp.  $\mu = u$  if  $y$  is a sink) and  $\sigma = s$  if  $x$  is a source (resp.  $\mu = s$  if  $y$  is a source). Then  $W^\sigma(x)$  (resp.  $W^\mu(y)$ ) is an open disk and  $W^\sigma(x) - \{x\}, W^\mu(y) - \{y\} \subseteq \text{intP}$ . Applying Lemma 11.6 to the compact surface  $S - (W^\sigma(x) \cup W^\mu(y))$ , the union  $\text{P}$  is open and so the union  $\text{Cl}(v)$  is closed. Assume that  $v$  has two centers. Then the complement of two centers consists of regular points in  $\text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{P}$ . Fix two disjoint open center disks  $D, D'$  each of whose boundary is a periodic orbit. Applying Lemma 11.6 to the compact surface  $S - (D \sqcup D')$ , the union  $\text{P}$  is open and so the union  $\text{Cl}(v)$  is closed. Thus we may assume there is exactly one center. Then there is a sink or a source. By time reversion if necessary, we may assume that there is a sink  $x$ . Then  $W^s(x) - \{x\} \subseteq \text{intP}$ . Fix an open center disks  $D$  whose boundary is a periodic orbit. Applying Lemma 11.6 to the compact surface  $S - (D \sqcup W^s(x))$ , the union  $\text{P}$  is open and so the union  $\text{Cl}(v)$  is closed. Since the complement  $\text{P} = S - \text{Cl}(v)$  which is open, it is the set of non-weakly-recurrent points. By the Poincaré-Hopf

theorem, since each omega limit set of a non-closed point is either a sink, a source, or a limit cycle, for any point of  $P$ , there is a closed transversal intersecting its orbit and contained in  $P$  around either a limit cycle, a sink, or a source. Therefore  $P$  consists of wandering points and so  $S - P = \Omega(v) = \text{Cl}(v)$  is closed.  $\square$

Recall that a regular flow without  $(\partial)$ -saddles is a quasi-regular flow without multi-saddles and vice versa.

**Lemma 11.8.** *Let  $v$  be a quasi-regular flow on a connected compact surface  $S$ . Suppose that the multi-saddle connection diagram contains no directed circuit (i.e. is a forest as a graph). Then  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$ . In each case, we have  $\text{Cl}(v) = \Omega(v)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 11.1, the condition  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$  implies  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} = \emptyset$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} = \emptyset$ . Then  $S = \text{Cl}(v) \sqcup P$ . We may assume that  $S \neq \text{Cl}(v)$ . By Lemma 11.7, we may assume that there are multi-saddles. As above, taking the orientation double covering (resp. the double of a manifold), we may assume that  $S$  is closed orientable. Let  $D$  be the multi-saddle connection diagram. We show that  $P$  is open. Indeed, by Lemma 7.1, the quasi-regularity implies that each boundary component of  $\text{Per}(v)$  is either a center or a limit cycle. This means that  $\partial \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \text{Cl}(v)$  and so  $\text{Cl}(v)$  is closed. Then the union  $P = S - \text{Cl}(v)$  is open. By the non-existence of  $Q$ -sets and of directed circuits and by the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem, the  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) set of a point in  $P \setminus D$  is either a limit cycle, a sink (resp. a source), or a multi-saddle. This implies that each orbit closure of a point in  $P \setminus D$  contains either a limit cycle, a sink, or a source. Then there is a closed transversal around either a limit cycle, a sink, or a source which is contained in  $P$ . Therefore  $P - D$  consists of wandering points. We show that  $P \cap D = P_{\text{ss}}$  consists of wandering points. Indeed, fix a point  $x$  contained in  $P \cap D$ . Note that the complement  $S - \text{BD}$  consists of two open invariant subsets  $\text{int Per}(v)$  and  $P - P_{\text{sep}}$ . The non-existence of limit circuits implies that  $O(x)$  is a subset of the boundary  $\partial(P - P_{\text{sep}})$  and there are two open flow boxes in  $P$  each of whose boundary contains  $x$  and of which is a connected component of  $P - P_{\text{sep}}$ , and so that there is a small wandering neighborhood of  $x$ . Therefore each point in  $P$  is wandering (i.e.  $P \cap \Omega(v) = \emptyset$ ) and so  $\text{Cl}(v) = \Omega(v)$ .  $\square$

To use the induction of the number of directed non-periodic circuits, we show the following lemma.

**Lemma 11.9.** *Let  $v$  be a quasi-regular flow on a compact surface  $S$  and  $\gamma$  a directed circuit. Suppose that there are no strict limit non-periodic circuit. Removing  $\gamma$ , taking the metric completion of  $S - \gamma$ , and collapsing each new boundary component into a singular point, denote by  $w$  the resulting flow on the resulting compact surface  $T$ . Then  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$  if and only if  $\overline{\text{Cl}(w)} = \Omega(w)$ .*

*Proof.* As above, taking the orientation double covering (resp. the double of a manifold), we may assume that  $S$  is closed orientable. Since there are no strict limit circuit which is not a periodic orbit, we have  $\gamma \subset \overline{\text{Per}(v)} \sqcup \overline{\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}}$ . For a point  $x \in S - \gamma$ , denote by  $\hat{x}$  the resulting point of  $x$  in  $T$ . Denote by  $\hat{\gamma}$  the two point set of new singular points of  $w$  induced by  $\gamma$ . In other words, the mapping  $\hat{\cdot}: S - \gamma \rightarrow T - \hat{\gamma}$  is a homeomorphism. Note  $\text{Cl}(v) = \hat{\cdot}^{-1}(\text{Cl}(w) - \hat{\gamma}) \sqcup (\text{Sing}(v) \cap \gamma) = \{x \mid \hat{x} \in \text{Cl}(w) - \hat{\gamma}\} \sqcup (\text{Sing}(v) \cap \gamma)$  and  $\text{Cl}(w) = (\text{Cl}(v) \setminus \gamma) \sqcup \hat{\gamma} = \{\hat{x} \mid x \in \text{Cl}(v) \setminus \gamma\} \sqcup \hat{\gamma}$ .



Suppose that  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$ . Theorem 11.1 implies  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} = \emptyset$ . By construction, we have  $\text{LD}(w) \sqcup \text{E}(w) = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{P}$  and  $T = \text{Sing}(w) \sqcup \text{Per}(w) \sqcup \text{P}(w)$ . Fix a point  $p \in \Omega(w)$ . We show that  $p \in \overline{\text{Cl}(w)}$ . Indeed, if  $p \in \hat{\gamma}$ , then  $p \in \overline{\text{Cl}(w)}$ . Thus we may assume that there is a point  $x \in S - \gamma$  such that  $p = \hat{x}$ . Fix any neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  with  $U \cap \gamma = \emptyset$ . Since  $\hat{x}$  is non-wandering, there is an arbitrarily large number  $r > 0$  such that  $\hat{U} \cap \bigcup_{t>r} w_t(\hat{U}) \neq \emptyset$ . Since the circuit  $\gamma$  is closed invariant, we obtain  $U \cap \bigcup_{t>r} v_t(U) \neq \emptyset$ . This means that  $x \in \Omega(v) - \gamma = \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} - \gamma$  and so  $p = \hat{x} \in \overline{\text{Cl}(w)}$ . Therefore  $\overline{\text{Cl}(w)} = \Omega(w)$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\overline{\text{Cl}(w)} = \Omega(w)$ . As above, we obtain  $S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{P}$  and  $T = \text{Sing}(w) \sqcup \text{Per}(w) \sqcup \text{P}(w)$ . Fix a point  $x \in \Omega(v)$ . We show that  $x \in \overline{\text{Cl}(v)}$ . Indeed, suppose  $x \in \gamma$ . We may assume that  $O(x)$  is not periodic. Since  $\gamma$  is not a strict limit non-periodic circuit, it is a non-strict limit circuit. The quasi-regularity implies  $x \in \overline{\text{Per}(v)}$ . Thus we may assume that  $x \in \Omega(v) - \gamma$ . Fix any neighborhood  $\hat{U}$  of  $\hat{x}$  with  $\hat{U} \cap \hat{\gamma} = \emptyset$ . Then  $U := \{x \in S \mid \hat{x} \in \hat{U}\}$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  such that  $U \cap \gamma = \emptyset$ . Since  $x$  is non-wandering, there is an arbitrarily large number  $r > 0$  such that  $U \cap \bigcup_{t>r} v_t(U) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\hat{U} \cap \bigcup_{t>r} w_t(\hat{U}) \neq \emptyset$  and so  $\hat{x} \in \Omega(w) - \hat{\gamma} = \overline{\text{Cl}(w)} - \hat{\gamma}$ . Therefore  $x \in \overline{\text{Cl}(v)}$ . This implies  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 11.10.** *Let  $v$  be a quasi-regular flow on a compact surface  $S$ . If  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} = \emptyset$  and there are no strict limit non-periodic circuit, then  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} = \emptyset$  and there are no strict limit non-periodic circuit. By the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem, the absence of Q-sets implies that each  $\omega$ -limit (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit) is either a closed orbit or a limit circuit and that  $S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{P} = \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} \sqcup \text{P}$ . Taking the orientation double covering (resp. the double of a manifold), we may assume that  $S$  is closed orientable. Let  $N(v)$  be the number of directed circuits in the multi-saddle connection diagram. By induction on  $N(v)$ , we show the assertion. Indeed, if  $N(v) = 0$ , then Lemma 11.8 implies the assertion. Thus we may assume that there is a directed circuit in the multi-saddle connection diagram. Since there are no strict limit non-periodic circuit, taking an innermost circuit  $\gamma$ , for any edge  $e$  of  $\gamma$ , there is a directed circuit  $\mu$  with the associated collar  $\mathbb{A}$  such that  $\mu$  contains  $e$  but is not a limit circuit with respect to  $\mathbb{A}$ . By Lemma 11.3, we have  $\mu \subset \overline{\text{Per}(v)}$ . As in Lemma 11.9, removing  $\mu$ , taking the metric completion of  $S - \mu$ , and collapsing each new boundary into a singular point, denote by  $w$  the resulting flow on the resulting compact surface  $T$ . Then we have  $N(w) < N(v)$ . By Lemma 11.9, the inductive hypothesis implies the assertion.  $\square$

Summarizing the necessary and sufficient conditions, we obtain the following statement.

**Theorem 11.11.** *Let  $v$  be a quasi-regular flow on a compact surface  $S$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1)  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} = \emptyset$  and there are no strict limit non-periodic circuit.
- 2)  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$ .

Note the quasi-regularity is necessary. In other words,  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} \subsetneq \Omega(v)$  in general. Indeed, there is a flow  $v$  on a compact surface  $S$  with  $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} = \emptyset$  and  $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} \subsetneq \Omega(v)$  but without strict limit non-periodic circuit (e.g. Example before Lemma 11.3).

## 12. GRAPHS OF SURFACE FLOWS

Let  $v$  be a quasi-regular flow with  $E = \emptyset$  on a compact surface.

**12.1. Abstract multi-graphs of separatrices.** Lemma 8.2 and Corollary 9.3 imply the following statement.

**Corollary 12.1.** *The quotient space  $D_{\text{ss}}/v_{\text{ex}} = D_{\text{ss}}/\hat{v}_{\text{ex}}$  (resp.  $\text{Bd}/v_{\text{ex}} = \text{Bd}/\hat{v}_{\text{ex}}$ ) for a quasi-regular flow  $v$  with  $E = \emptyset$  on a compact surface is an abstract multi-graph.*

Denote by  $G_{\text{ss}} = (V_{\text{ss}}, E_{\text{ss}})$  the abstract multi-graph  $D_{\text{ss}}/\hat{v}_{\text{ex}}$ . Note that each of  $V_{\text{ss}}$  and  $E_{\text{ss}}$  is a subset of  $G_{\text{ss}} = D_{\text{ss}}/\hat{v}_{\text{ex}}$ . Moreover, the vertex set  $V_{\text{ss}}$  consists of multi-saddle connections, a limit cycle, a sink, a  $\partial$ -sink, a source, or a  $\partial$ -source. The edge set  $E_{\text{ss}}$  consists of ss-separatrices which are arranged in cyclic ways around limit circuits, sinks, and sources (resp. in linear ways around  $\partial$ -sinks and  $\partial$ -sources). Define a label  $l_{E_{\text{ss}}} : E_{\text{ss}} \rightarrow 2^{D_{\text{ss}}/\hat{v}} \times 2^{D_{\text{ss}}/\hat{v}}$  by  $l_{E_{\text{ss}}}(O) := (\alpha(O)/\hat{v}, \omega(O)/\hat{v})$ . Note that the label  $l_{E_{\text{ss}}}$  can be considered as a pair of binary relations  $(\leq_{\alpha}, \leq_{\omega})$  on  $S/\hat{v}$  defined as follows:  $\hat{x} \leq_{\alpha} \hat{y}$  if  $x \in \alpha(y)$  and  $\hat{x} \leq_{\omega} \hat{y}$  if  $x \in \omega(y)$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{S}_s \subseteq V_{\text{ss}}$  the set of sinks,  $\partial$ -sinks, sources, and  $\partial$ -sources. In other words, the subset  $\mathbb{S}_s$  is the set of singular points except centers and multi-saddles. Put  $E_{\text{ss}}(x)$  the set of edges of  $E_{\text{ss}}$  which are connected to  $x \in \mathbb{S}_s$ . Define a label  $l_{V_{\text{ss}}}(x)$  for a singular point  $x \in \mathbb{S}_s$  on  $\partial S$  (resp. out of  $\partial S$ ) by a cyclic order  $<_c$  (resp. a total order  $<_t$ ) near  $x$  on  $E_{\text{ss}}(x)$ . Recall that the orbit space  $D/v$  for a quasi-regular flow on a compact surface is a finite abstract multi-graph and that if  $v$  is of finite type then  $D_{\text{ss}}$  is the union of  $D$ ,  $\mathbb{S}_s$ , limit cycles, and ss-separatrices. Define  $D_+ := D \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \mathbb{S}_s \subseteq D_{\text{ss}}$ . Recall that an ss-component for a flow of finite type is either a sink, a  $\partial$ -sink, a source, a  $\partial$ -source, or a limit circuit, and that an ss-separatrix for a quasi-regular flow connects a multi-saddle and an ss-component. For a flow  $v$  of finite type on a compact surface, the difference  $D_{\text{ss}} - D_+$  consists of ss-separatrices. In other words, if  $v$  is of finite type, then  $D_+$  is a generalized surface directed graph and the relative complement of the union of ss-separatrices in  $D_{\text{ss}}$ . Denote by  $G_{D_+} = (V_{D_+}, E_{D_+})$  the abstract multi-graph  $D_+/v$ . Define a label  $L_{D_+}(v)$  by the isotopy class of the inclusion of  $D_+$  on the surface  $S$ . Note that the set of isotopy classes of embeddings of realizations of finite abstract multi-graphs on a compact surface is enumerable (i.e. countable by an algorithm). Therefore the set of labels  $L_{D_+}$  for flows of finite type on compact surfaces is enumerable. Put  $E_{\text{ss}}(\gamma)$  the set of edges of  $E_{\text{ss}}$  which are connected to a limit circuit  $\gamma$ . Define a label  $l_{D_+}(\gamma)$  for a limit circuit  $\gamma$  with the collar direction by a cyclic order  $<_c$  on  $E_{\text{ss}}(\gamma)$  near a vertex  $\gamma \in V_{D_+}$ . If  $v$  is of finite type, then the union  $D_+$  can be reconstructed as both a topological space and a generalized surface directed graph by the abstract multi-graph  $G_{D_+} = D_+/v$  with label  $L_{D_+}$ . Recall that  $\mathbb{S}_s$  is the set of sinks,  $\partial$ -sinks, sources, and  $\partial$ -sources. We characterize isolated vertices of  $D_+$ .

**Lemma 12.2.** *Let  $I$  be the subgraph of  $G_{D_+}$  consisting of isolated vertices. We have  $(\delta \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \mathbb{S}_s)/v = I$ .*

The finiteness of limit circuits implies the following statement.

**Lemma 12.3.** *Suppose that  $D_{\text{ss}}$  has at most finitely many limit cycles. The following statements hold:*

- 1)  $G_{D_+} = D_+/v$  is a finite abstract multi-graph.

- 2)  $D_+$  is a disjoint union of a finite graph and finitely many circles.
- 3)  $(D_{ss} - D)/\hat{v}_{ex} = (D_{ss} - D)/\hat{v}$ .
- 4)  $(D_{ss} - D)/\hat{v}_{ex} = (D_{ss} - D)/v$  if  $E = \emptyset$ .
- 5)  $G_{ss} = D_{ss}/\hat{v}_{ex}$  is a finite abstract multi-graph if  $E \subseteq \min S$ .

**Lemma 12.4.** *Let  $p : D_{ss} \rightarrow G_{ss}$  be the canonical projection. Suppose that  $v$  is quasi-regular,  $E = \emptyset$ , and  $D_+$  has at most finitely many limit cycles. The following statements hold:*

- 1)  $G_{ss} = D_{ss}/v_{ex}$  is a finite poset with respect to the specialization pre-order  $\leq_{ss}$  for the quotient topology.
- 2)  $V_{ss} = D_+/v_{ex}$
- 3)  $E_{ss} = (D_{ss} - D_+)/v_{ex}$

By Lemma 12.3 and Lemma 12.4, if a flow on a compact surface is of finite type, then the ss-multi-saddle connection diagram  $D_{ss}$  can be reconstructed as both a topological space and a generalized surface directed graph by the abstract multi-graphs  $G_{ss}$  and  $G_{D_+}$  with labels  $l_{V_{ss}}$ ,  $l_{E_{ss}}$ ,  $l_{D_+}$ , and  $L_{D_+}$ .

**12.2. Dual graphs of the ss-multi-saddle connection diagram.** Define the dual graph  $G^{ss} = (V^{ss}, E^{ss})$  of the ss-multi-saddle connection diagram  $D_{ss}$  as follows: The vertex set  $V^{ss}$  consists of the connected components of  $S - D_{ss}$ . An edge  $U_i U_j$  exists if and only if  $\dim(\overline{U_i} \cap \overline{U_j}) = 1$  and the closure  $\overline{U_i} \cap \overline{U_j}$  contains ss-separatrices.

**12.3. Labels of  $V^{ss}$ .** For a quasi-regular flow  $v$  on a compact surface, define a label  $l^{ss} : V^{ss} \rightarrow \{\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{A}^+, \mathbb{A}^-, \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{K}, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{L}\}$  as follows: the label  $l^{ss}(U)$  is  $\mathbb{D}$  if  $U$  is an open flow box in  $P$ ,  $\mathbb{A}^+$  (resp.  $\mathbb{A}^-$ ) if  $U$  is an open annulus in  $P$  but not (resp. and) a Reeb domain, and  $\mathbb{T}$  (resp.  $\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{L}$ ) if  $U$  is a torus in  $\text{Per}(v)$  (resp. a Klein bottle in  $\text{Per}(v)$ , an open annulus in  $\text{Per}(v)$ , an open Möbius band in  $\text{Per}(v)$ , and an open essential subset in  $\text{LD}$ ). We also define a label  $l^{D_{ss}} : V^{ss} \rightarrow 2^{D_{ss}/v} \cup \{\{H, K\} \mid H, K \subseteq D_{ss}/v\} \cup (2^{D_{ss}/v} \times 2^{D_{ss}/v})$  as follows:

$l^{D_{ss}}(U) := (\alpha(x)/v, \omega(x)/v)$  for some  $x \in U$  if  $l^{ss}(U) = \mathbb{D}, \mathbb{A}^\pm$ , or  $\mathbb{L}$  (i.e.  $U \subseteq P \sqcup \text{LD}$ ),

$l^{D_{ss}}(U) := \partial U/v$  if  $l^{ss}(U) = \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{T}$ , or  $\mathbb{K}$  (i.e.  $U$  is non-orientable or closed), and

$l^{D_{ss}}(U) := \{\partial_- U/v, \partial_+ U/v\}$  if  $l^{ss}(U) = \mathbb{A}$ , where  $\partial_- U$  and  $\partial_+ U$  are the boundary components of the annulus  $U$ . By Theorem 10.2, the label  $l^{D_{ss}}$  is well-defined and is either a single or a pair of connected subsets. Note that  $l^{D_{ss}}(U) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $U$  is a closed surface.

**12.4. Reductions.** Denote by  $\chi(S)$  the space of flows on a compact surface  $S$ . Put  $\chi := \bigcup \chi(T)$ , where the union runs over compact surfaces. Denote by  $\chi_F \subset \chi$  the subspace of flows of finite type (i.e. quasi-regular flows without Q-sets such that there are at most finitely many limit cycles). The class  $\chi_F$  contains some good classes of flows. In particular, we have  $\Sigma^r(S) \sqcup \mathcal{H}_*^r(S) \subset \chi_F$ , where  $\Sigma^r(S)$  is the subset of  $\chi^r(S)$  formed by the Morse-Smale  $C^r$ -vector fields and  $\mathcal{H}_*^r(S)$  is the set of regular Hamiltonian  $C^r$  vector field each of whose saddle connection is self-connected. Then a flow  $v$  in  $\chi_F$  can be reconstructed from the finite data  $(G_{ss}, l_{V_{ss}}, l_{E_{ss}}, G_{D_+}, l_{D_+}, L_{D_+}, G^{ss}, l^{ss}, l^{D_{ss}})$ . To state precisely, we define some notations as follows: Denote by  $\mathcal{G}_l$  the set of finite abstract multi-graphs with labels. Define a mapping  $p : \chi_F \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_l \times \mathcal{G}_l \times \mathcal{G}_l$  by

$$p(v) := (G_{ss}, l_{V_{ss}}, l_{E_{ss}}, G_{D_+}, l_{D_+}, L_{D_+}, G^{ss}, l^{ss}, l^{D_{ss}}).$$




FIGURE 18. Three kinds of sectors

Denote by  $\sim$  the topological equivalence on  $\chi_F$  (i.e. an equivalent relation defined by  $v \sim w$  if there is a homeomorphism  $h : S \rightarrow T$  which is orbit-preserving (i.e. the image of an orbit of  $v$  is an orbit of  $w$ ) and preserves orientation of the orbits). Write  $\widetilde{\chi}_F$  by the quotient space of  $\chi_F$  by the equivalence  $\sim$ . Denote by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_l$  the quotient space of  $\mathcal{G}_l$  by the isomorphisms. Now we can state precisely that a flow  $v$  in  $\chi_F$  can be reconstructed from the finite data as follows.

**Theorem 12.5.** *The induced map  $\widetilde{p} : \widetilde{\chi}_F \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_l \times \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_l \times \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_l$  is well-defined and injective.*

*Proof.* First, the union  $D_+ = D \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \mathbb{S}_s \subseteq D_{ss}$  can be reconstructed as both a topological space and a surface directed graph by the abstract multi-graph  $G_{D_+} = D_+/v$  with label  $L_{D_+}$  which is the isotopy class of the inclusion of  $D_+$  on the surface  $S$ . Second, since the difference  $D_{ss} - D_+$  consists of ss-separatrices, the ss-multi-saddle connection diagram  $D_{ss}$  can be reconstructed as both a topological space and a generalized surface directed graph by the union  $D_+$  and by the abstract multi-graph  $G_{ss}$  with labels  $l_{V_{ss}}$ ,  $l_{D_+}$ , and  $l_{E_{ss}}$ . Third, each connected component of the complement of  $D_{ss}$  can be reconstructed by the vertex set  $V^{ss}$  of  $G^{ss}$  and by the label  $l^{ss}$ . Finally, we can paste  $D_{ss}$  and the complement by the edge set  $E^{ss}$  of  $G^{ss}$  and label  $l^{D_{ss}}$ .  $\square$

### 13. FINAL REMARKS

Each of conditions of “finite type” (i.e. quasi-regularity, finite conditions of limit cycles, non-existence of Q-sets) is necessary to construct a complete invariant using finite data. Indeed, finite condition of limit cycles is necessary for finite descriptions. As we mention, the set of topological equivalence classes of minimal flows (resp. Denjoy flows) on a torus is uncountable. Similarly, the set of singular points is uncountable up to locally topological equivalence. In fact, singular points with infinitely parabolic sectors as shown in Figure 18 forms a uncountable subset because we can choose the directions of infinitely sectors as shown in Figure 19.




FIGURE 19. A degenerate singular point with infinitely many parabolic sectors

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Kh. Aranson, G. R. Belitsky, E. V. Zhuzhoma, *Introduction to the qualitative theory of dynamical systems on surfaces* Trans. Math. Monographs 153, Amer. Math. Soc., 1996.
- [2] Bhatia, Nam P., *Attraction and nonsaddle sets in dynamical systems* J. Differential Equations 8 1970 229–249.
- [3] C. Bonatti, H. Hattab, E. Salhi, *Quasi-orbit spaces associated to  $T_0$ -spaces* Fund. Math. 211 (2011), no. 3, 267–291.
- [4] C. Bonatti, H. Hattab, E. Salhi, G. Vago, *Hasse diagrams and orbit class spaces* Topology Appl. 158 (2011), no. 6, 729–740.

- [5] M.Cobo, C. Gutierrez, J. Llibre, *Flows without wandering points on compact connected surfaces* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010), no. 9, 4569–4580.
- [6] C. Gutierrez, *Structural stability for flows on the torus with a cross-cap* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 241 (1978), 311–320.
- [7] W. Hurewicz, K. Menger, *Dimension and Zusammenhangsstufe* Math. Ann., 100 (1928) pp. 618–633.
- [8] T. Ma, S. Wang, *Geometric theory of incompressible flows with applications to fluid dynamics*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 119. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [9] A. G. Maier, *Trajectories on closed orientable surfaces* Mat. Sb. 12 (54) (1943), 71–84
- [10] N. Markley, *The Poincaré-Bendixson Theorem for the Klein Bottle*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (1969) 135, 159–165.
- [11] N. Markley, *On the number of recurrent orbit closures* Proc. AMS, 25(1970), no 2, 413–416.
- [12] H. Marzougui, *Structure of foliations on 2-manifolds* Illinois J. Math. 42 (1998), No. 3, 398–405.
- [13] H. Marzougui, *Flows with infinite singularities on closed two-manifolds* J. Dyn. Control Syst. 6 (4) (2000) 461–476.
- [14] I. Nikolaev and E. Zhuzhoma, *Flows on 2-Dimensional Manifolds* “Lecture Notes in Mathematics,” Vol. 1705, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [15] M. Peixoto, *Structural stability on two-dimensional manifolds*, Topology, 1 (1962), pp. 101–120.
- [16] C. Pugh, *An improved closing lemma and a general density theorem*, Amer. J. Math. 89 (1967), 1010–1021.
- [17] C. Pugh, C. Robinson, *The  $C^1$ -closing lemma, including Hamiltonians*, Ergodic Th. and Dynamical Systems 3 (1983), No. 2, 261–313.
- [18] Salhi, E., *Sur les ensembles minimaux locaux* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 295 (1982), no. 12, 691–694.
- [19] L.A. Tumarkin, *Sur la structure dimensionnelle des ensembles fermés* C.R. Acad. Paris , 186 (1928) pp. 420–422.
- [20] T. Ura, I. Kimura, *Sur le courant extérieur à une région invariante; Théorème de Bendixson*, Comment. Math. Univers. Sancti Pauli, vol. 8 (1960), pp. 23–39.
- [21] T. Yokoyama, *Topological characterisations for non-wandering surface flows*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 144, (2016), pp. 315–323.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION/JST PRESTO, 1 FUJINOMORI, FUKAKUSA, FUSHIMI-KU KYOTO, 612-8522, JAPAN,  
*E-mail address:* `tomoo@kyokyo-u.ac.jp`