CHARACTERIZATIONS OF IDEAL CLUSTER POINTS

PAOLO LEONETTI AND FABIO MACCHERONI

ABSTRACT. Given an ideal \( \mathcal{I} \) on \( \omega \), we prove that a sequence in a topological space \( X \) is \( \mathcal{I} \)-convergent if and only if there exists a “big” \( \mathcal{I} \)-convergent subsequence. Then, we study several properties and show two characterizations of the set of \( \mathcal{I} \)-cluster points as classical cluster points of a filters on \( X \) and as the smallest closed set containing “almost all” the sequence. As a consequence, we obtain that the underlying topology \( \tau \) coincides with the topology generated by the pair \((\tau, \mathcal{I})\).

1. INTRODUCTION

Following the concept of statistical convergence as a generalization of the ordinary convergence, Fridy [15] introduced the statistical limit points and statistical cluster points of a real sequence \((x_n)\) as generalizations of accumulation points. A real number \( \ell \) is said to be a statistical limit point of \((x_n)\) if there exists a subsequence \((x_{n_k})\) such that

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k} = \ell
\]

and the set of indices \( \{n_k : k \in \omega\} \) has positive upper asymptotic density (see Section 2 for definitions). Also, \( \ell \) is called statistical cluster point provided that

\[
\{n \in \omega : |x_n - \ell| < \varepsilon\}
\]

has positive upper asymptotic density for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \). He proved, among others, that these concepts are not equivalent.

These notions have been studied in a number of recent papers, see e.g. [4, 8, 17, 23, 25, 30, 34]. Extensions of statistical convergence to more general spaces can be found in [1, 10, 27, 28], and to ideal convergence, see e.g. [5, 12, 19, 22].

Given an ideal \( \mathcal{I} \) on the positive integers \( \omega \), we investigate various properties of \( \mathcal{I} \)-cluster points and \( \mathcal{I} \)-limit points of sequences taking values in topological spaces \((X, \tau)\). The main contributions of the article are:

(i) a new characterization of \( \mathcal{I} \)-convergence: informally, a sequence \((x_n)\) is \( \mathcal{I} \)-convergent if and only if there exists a “big” \( \mathcal{I} \)-convergent subsequence (see Theorem 2.4.(iv) and Corollary 2.5);
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(ii) the topology generated by the pair \((\tau, \mathcal{I})\) corresponds to the underlying topology \(\tau\) (see Theorem 3.8);

(iii) a characterization of \(\mathcal{I}\)-cluster points as classical “cluster points of the filter” generated by the sequence (see Theorem 4.2);

(iv) a characterization of the set of \(\mathcal{I}\)-cluster points as the smallest closed set containing “almost all” the sequence (see Theorem 4.3).

2. Preliminaries

Let \(\text{Fin}\) be the collection of finite subsets of \(\omega\). The upper asymptotic density of a set \(S \subseteq \omega\) is defined by

\[
d^*(S) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|S \cap [1, n]|}{n}
\]

and we denote by \(\mathcal{Z}\) the collection of all \(S\) such that \(d^*(S) = 0\). Hence, a real number \(\ell\) is a statistical cluster point of a given real sequence \((x_n)\) if and only if \(\{n \in \omega : |x_n - \ell| < \varepsilon\}\) does not belong to \(\mathcal{Z}\) for every \(\varepsilon > 0\).

An ideal \(\mathcal{I}\) on \(\omega\) is a family of subsets of positive integers closed under taking finite unions and subsets of its elements. It is also assumed that \(\mathcal{I}\) is different from the power set of \(\omega\) and contains all the singletons. Many other examples can be found, e.g., in [11, Chapter 1] and [21, Section 2]. Intuitively, an ideal represents the collection of subsets of \(\omega\) which are “small” in a suitable sense. We denote by \(\mathcal{I}^* := \{A \subseteq \omega : \complement A \in \mathcal{I}\}\) the filter dual of \(\mathcal{I}\) and by \(\mathcal{I}^+\) the collection of \(\mathcal{I}\)-positive sets, that is, the collection of all sets which do not belong to \(\mathcal{I}\).

Definition 2.1. Given a topological space \(X\), a sequence \(x = (x_n)\) is said to be \(\mathcal{I}\)-convergent to \(\ell\), shortened with \(x_n \rightarrow_{\mathcal{I}} \ell\), whenever \(\{n : x_n \in U\} \in \mathcal{I}^*\) for all neighborhoods \(U\) of \(\ell\). Moreover, let \(\Gamma_x(\mathcal{I})\) denote the set of \(\mathcal{I}\)-cluster points of \(x\), that is, the set of all \(\ell \in X\) such that \(\{n : x_n \in U\} \in \mathcal{I}^+\) for all neighborhoods \(U\) of \(\ell\).

Ordinary convergence corresponds to \(\text{Fin}\)-convergence (thus, we shorten \(x_n \rightarrow_{\text{Fin}} \ell\) with \(x_n \to \ell\)) and statistical convergence to \(\mathcal{Z}\)-convergence. Now, one may wonder whether \(\mathcal{I}\)-convergence corresponds to ordinary convergence with respect to another topology on the same base set. Essentially, it never happens.

Example 2.2. Let us assume that \(\mathcal{I} \neq \text{Fin}\) and \(X\) is a topological space with at least two distinct points such that its topology \(\tau\) is not the trivial topology \(\tau_0\). Hence, there exists a set \(I \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \text{Fin}\); in particular, \(I\) is infinite. Fix distinct \(a, b \in X\) and define the sequence \((x_n)\) by \(x_n = a\) whenever \(n \notin I\) and \(x_n = b\) otherwise. It follows by construction that \(x_n \to_{\mathcal{I}} a\) in \((X, \tau)\). Let us assume, for the sake of contradiction, there exists a topology \(\tau'\) such that \(x_n \to a\) in \((X, \tau')\). If there is a \(\tau'\)-neighborhood \(U\) of \(a\) such that \(b \notin U\), then \(\{n : x_n \notin U\} = I\). This is impossible, since \(I\) is not finite. Hence \(b \in U\) whenever \(a \in U\). By the
arbitrarness of $a$ and $b$, we conclude that $\tau' = \tau_0$. The converse is false: given $U \in \tau \setminus \tau_0$ and $u \in U$, then the constant sequence $(u)$ is not $\mathcal{I}$-convergent to $\ell$ provided that $\ell \notin U$.

Other notions of convergence have been defined in literature, considering properties of subsequences of $x$ with sufficiently many elements.

**Definition 2.3.** Given a topological space $X$, a sequence $x = (x_n)$ is said to be $\mathcal{I}^*$-convergent to $\ell$, shortened with $x_n \rightarrow_{\mathcal{I}^*} \ell$, whenever there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})$ such that $x_{n_k} \rightarrow \ell$ and $\{n_k : k \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{I}^*$. Moreover, let $\Lambda_x(\mathcal{I})$ denote the set of $\mathcal{I}$-limit points of $x$, that is, the set of all $\ell \in X$ such that there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})$ for which $x_{n_k} \rightarrow \ell$ and $\{n_k : k \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{I}^+$.

At this point, recall that an ideal $\mathcal{I}$ is a $P$-ideal if it is $\sigma$-directed modulo finite sets, i.e., for every sequence $(A_n)$ of sets in $\mathcal{I}$ there exists $A \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $A_n \setminus A$ is finite for all $n$; equivalent definitions were given, e.g., in [2, Proposition 1].

Moreover, given infinite sets $A, B \subseteq \omega$ such that $A$ has canonical enumeration $\{a_n : n \in \omega\}$, we say that $\mathcal{I}$ a $G$-ideal if

$$A_B := \{a_b : b \in B\} \in \mathcal{I}^* \quad \text{if and only if} \quad B \in \mathcal{I}$$

provided that $A \in \mathcal{I}^*$. This condition is strictly related to the so-called “property (G)” considered in [3] and to the definition of invariant and thinnable ideals considered in [23, 24]. Note that the class of G-ideals contains the ideals generated by $\alpha$-densities with $\alpha \geq -1$ (in particular, $\mathcal{I}_d$ and the collection of logarithmic density zero sets), several summable ideals, and the Pólya ideal, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{I}_p := \left\{ S \subseteq \omega : p^*(S) := \lim_{s \to 1^-} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|S \cap [ns,n]|}{(1 - s)n} = 0 \right\},$$

see [23, Section 2]. Among other things, the upper Pólya density $p^*$ has found a number of remarkable applications in analysis and economic theory, see e.g. [35], [26] and [29].

In this regard, we have the following basic result: points (i)-(ii) can be shown by routine arguments, cf. [1, Theorem 3.1] and [10, Section 2] (we omit details); although not explicit in the literature, point (iii) can be considered folklore, see [20, Theorem 3.2] for the case $X$ being a metric space (we include the proof here for the sake of completeness); lastly, point (iv) provides a new characterization of $\mathcal{I}$-convergence (related results can be found in [3, Theorem 3.4] and [23, Theorem 3.4]).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let $X$ be a topological space and $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal. Then:

(i) $\mathcal{I}$-limits and $\mathcal{I}^*$-limits are unique, provided $X$ is Hausdorff;
(ii) $\mathcal{I}^*$-convergence implies $\mathcal{I}$-convergence;
(iii) $\mathcal{I}$-convergence implies $\mathcal{I}^*$-convergence, provided $X$ is first countable and $\mathcal{I}$ is a $P$-ideal;
(iv) A sequence \((x_n) \in X^\omega\) is \(\mathcal{I}\)-convergent if and only if there exists an \(\mathcal{I}\)-convergent subsequence \((x_{n_k})\) such that \(\{n_k : k \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{I}^*\), provided \(\mathcal{I}\) is a G-ideal.

Proof. (iii) Let \((x_n)\) be a sequence taking values in \(X\) which is \(\mathcal{I}\)-convergent to some \(\ell \in X\). Then, let \((U_j)\) be a countable decreasing local base at \(\ell\) and, for each \(j\), define \(A_j := \{n : x_n \notin U_j\}\). Hence, \(A_j \in \mathcal{I}\) for each \(j\), \((A_j)\) is increasing, and, since \(\mathcal{I}\) is a P-ideal, there exists \(A \in \mathcal{I}\) such that \(A_j \setminus A\) is finite for all \(j\). Denoting by \((n_k)\) the increasing sequence of integers in \(A^c\) (which belongs to \(\mathcal{I}^*\)), it follows that \(x_{n_k} \to \ell\). Indeed, letting \(V\) be a neighborhood of \(\ell\) and \(j \in \omega\) such that \(U_j \subseteq V\), then the finiteness of \(\{k : x_{n_k} \notin V\}\) follows by the fact that it has the same cardinality of \(\{n_k : x_{n_k} \notin V\}\) and \(\{n_k : x_{n_k} \notin V\} \subseteq \{n_k : x_{n_k} \notin U_j\} \subseteq \{n \in A^c : x_n \notin U_j\} = A_j \setminus A\).

(iv) Let us suppose that \((x_n)\) is \(\mathcal{I}\)-convergent to \(\ell \in X\). Fix also \(I \in \mathcal{I}\) and let \((n_k)\) be the increasing enumeration of \(I^c\). Then, it is claimed that the subsequence \((x_{n_k})\) is \(\mathcal{I}\)-convergent to \(\ell\). Indeed, for each neighborhood \(U\) of \(\ell\), we have \(\{n : x_n \notin U\} \subseteq I\) by hypothesis, hence \(\{n_k : x_{n_k} \in U\} = \{n : x_n \in U\} \setminus I = \omega \setminus (\{n : x_n \notin U\} \cup I) \in \mathcal{I}^*\). It follows by the fact that \(\mathcal{I}\) is a G-ideal that \(\{k : x_{n_k} \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^*\), that is, \(x_{n_k} \to I \ell\). The converse can be shown similarly.

It is well known that \(\mathcal{I}\) is a P-ideal (see e.g. [13, Proposition 3.2]) and, as recalled before, it is also a G-ideal. Hence:

**Corollary 2.5.** Let \((x_n)\) be a sequence taking values in a topological space \(X\). Then the following are equivalent:

1. \((x_n)\) is statistically convergent;
2. There exists a statistically convergent subsequence \((x_{n_k})\) with \(\{n_k : k \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{I}^*\).

If, in addition, \(X\) is first countable, then they are also equivalent to:

3. There exists a convergent subsequence \((x_{n_k})\) with \(\{n_k : k \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{I}^*\);

It is worth noting that the equivalence between (i) and (iii) can be already found in [10, Theorem 2.2], cf. also [14, Theorem 1] and [30, Theorem 1].

We obtain also an abstract version of [7, Theorem 2.3], see also [5, Proposition 1] and [33, Theorem 1]; the proof goes verbatim, hence we omit it.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let \(\mathcal{I}\) be a P-ideal and \((x_n)\) be a sequence taking values in a metrizable group (with identity 0) such that \(x_n \to I \ell\). Then, there exist sequences \((y_n)\) and \((z_n)\) such that: \(x_n = y_n + z_n\) for all \(n\), \(y_n \to \ell\), and \(\{n \in \omega : z_n \neq 0\} \in \mathcal{I}\).

Recall that a real double sequence \(x = (x_{n,m} : n, m \in \omega)\) has Pringsheim limit \(\ell\) provided that for every \(\varepsilon > 0\) there exists \(k \in \omega\) such that \(|x_{n,m} - \ell| < \varepsilon\) for all \(n, m \geq k\). Identifying ideals on countable sets with ideals on \(\omega\) through a fixed bijection, it is easily seen that this is equivalent to \(x \to I_{Pr} \ell\), where \(I_{Pr}\) is the ideal
Characterizations of Ideal Cluster Points

5

Defined by

\[ \mathcal{I}_{Pr} := \left\{ A \subseteq \omega \times \omega : \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup \{ k : (n, k) \in A \} < \infty \right\}. \]

Equivalently, \( \mathcal{I}_{Pr} \) is the ideal on \( \omega \times \omega \) containing the complements of \([n, \infty) \times [n, \infty)\) for all \( n \in \omega \). At this point, for each \( n, m \in \omega \), let \( \mu_{n,m} \) be the uniform probability measure on \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \times \{1, \ldots, m\} \) and define the ideal

\[ \mathcal{Z}_{Pr} := \left\{ A \subseteq \omega \times \omega : \mu_{n,m}(A) \to_{\mathcal{I}_{Pr}} 0 \right\}. \]

Note that \( \mathcal{I}_{Pr} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_{Pr} \) and that \( \mathcal{Z}_{Pr} \) is a \( \mathcal{P} \)-ideal. The notion of convergence of real double sequences \((x_{n,m})\) with respect to the ideal \( \mathcal{Z}_{Pr} \) has been recently introduced in \([31, 32]\); here, it has been simply defined “statistical convergence” of double sequences. Accordingly, it has been shown in \([31, \text{Theorem } 2]\) that a real double sequence \((x_{n,m})\) is statistically convergent to \( \ell \) if and only if there exist real double sequences \((y_{n,m})\) and \((z_{n,m})\) such that \( y_{n,m} \to_{\mathcal{I}_{Pr}} \ell \) and \( \{ (n, m) : z_{n,m} \neq 0 \} \in \mathcal{Z}_{Pr} \). However, this is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.6.

3. Ideal Cluster Points

Given sequences \( x \) and \( y \) taking values in a topological space \( X \), we say that they are \( \mathcal{I} \)-equivalent, shortened with \( x \equiv_{\mathcal{I}} y \), if \( \{ n : x_n \neq y_n \} \in \mathcal{I} \) (it is easy to see that \( \equiv_{\mathcal{I}} \) is an equivalence relation). The following lemmas, which collect and extend several results contained in \([10, 15, 20]\), show some standard properties of \( \mathcal{I} \)-cluster and \( \mathcal{I} \)-limit points.

Lemma 3.1. Let \( x \) and \( y \) be sequences taking values in a topological space \( X \) and fix ideals \( \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J} \). Then:

(i) \( \Lambda^x(\mathcal{J}) \subseteq \Lambda^x(\mathcal{I}) \) and \( \Gamma^x(\mathcal{J}) \subseteq \Gamma^x(\mathcal{I}) \);

(ii) \( \Lambda^x(\text{Fin}) = \Gamma^x(\text{Fin}) \), provided \( X \) is first countable;

(iii) \( \Lambda^x(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \Gamma^x(\mathcal{I}) \);

(iv) \( \Gamma^x(\mathcal{I}) \) is closed;

(v) \( \Lambda^x(\mathcal{I}) = \Lambda^y(\mathcal{I}) \) and \( \Gamma^x(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma^y(\mathcal{I}) \) provided \( x \equiv_{\mathcal{I}} y \);

(vi) \( \Gamma^x(\mathcal{I}) \cap K \neq \emptyset \), provided \( K \subseteq X \) is compact and \( \{ n : x_n \in K \} \in \mathcal{I}^+ \);

(vii) \( \Lambda^x(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma^x(\mathcal{I}) = \{ \ell \} \) provided \( x_n \to_{\mathcal{I}} \ell \) and \( X \) is Hausdorff.

Proof. (i) and (ii) easily follow from the definitions. In addition, (iii) is obvious if \( \Lambda^x(\mathcal{I}) = \emptyset \). Otherwise, fix \( \ell \in \Lambda^x(\mathcal{I}) \) and a neighborhood \( U \) of \( \ell \). Then, there exists an increasing subsequence \( (n_k) \) with \( \{ n_k \} \in \mathcal{I}^+ \) such that \( x_{n_k} \to \ell \), so that \( S := \{ n_k : x_{n_k} \notin U \} \) is finite. This implies that \( \{ n_k \} \setminus S \subseteq \{ n : x_n \in U \} \). To conclude, it is sufficient to note that \( \{ n_k \} \setminus S \notin \mathcal{I} \), therefore \( \{ n : x_n \in U \} \in \mathcal{I}^+ \).

Similarly, (iv) is clear if \( \Gamma^x(\mathcal{I}) = \emptyset \). In the opposite, let \( y \) be an accumulation point of \( \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \) and \( U \) a neighborhood of \( y \). Then, there exists \( z \in \Gamma^x(\mathcal{I}) \cap U \). Let \( V \) be a neighborhood of \( z \) contained in \( U \). Considering that \( \{ n : x_n \in V \} \subseteq \{ n : x_n \in U \} \) and \( \{ n : x_n \in V \} \in \mathcal{I}^+ \), we conclude that \( y \in \Gamma^x(\mathcal{I}) \).
To prove (v), fix $\ell \in \Lambda_x(\mathcal{I})$, so that there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})$ such that \( \{n_k\} \in \mathcal{I}^+ \) and $x_{n_k} \to x$. Since \( \{n : x_n \neq y_n\} \in \mathcal{I} \) and \( \{n_k : x_{n_k} \neq y_{n_k}\} \subseteq \{n : x_n \neq y_n\} \), then $S := \{n_k : x_{n_k} = y_{n_k}\} \in \mathcal{I}^+$. Denoting by $(s_n)$ the canonical enumeration of $S$, we obtain $y_{s_n} \to \ell$, hence $\ell \in \Lambda_y(\mathcal{I})$. By the arbitrariness of $\ell$, we have $\Lambda_x(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \Lambda_y(\mathcal{I})$ therefore, by symmetry, $\Lambda_x(\mathcal{I}) = \Lambda_y(\mathcal{I})$. The other claim can be shown similarly.

The proof of (vi) can be found in [9, Theorem 6], cf. also [10, Theorem 2.14] for the case $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{Z}$.

Lastly, suppose that $x_n \to_{\mathcal{I}} \ell$ so that $x_n \to_{\mathcal{I}} \ell$ by Theorem 2.4.(ii) and, in particular, $\ell \in \Lambda_x(\mathcal{I})$. Also, thanks to (iii), we have \( \{\ell\} \subseteq \Lambda_x(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \). To conclude, let us suppose for the sake of contradiction that there exists an $\mathcal{I}$-cluster point $\ell'$ of $x$ different from $\ell$. Fix disjoint neighborhoods $U$ and $U'$ of $\ell$ and $\ell'$, respectively. On the one hand, since $\ell'$ is an $\mathcal{I}$-cluster point, then \( \{n : x_n \in U'\} \in \mathcal{I}^+ \). On the other hand, this is impossible since \( \{n : x_n \in U'\} \subseteq \{n : x_n \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I} \). This proves (vii).

It follows at once from Theorem 2.4.(iii) and Lemma 3.1.(vii) that:

**Corollary 3.2.** Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a $\mathcal{P}$-ideal and $(x_n)$ be a sequence taking values in a first countable Hausdorff space such that $x_n \to_{\mathcal{I}} \ell$. Then $\Lambda_x(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) = \{\ell\}$.

The converse of Corollary 3.2 does not hold in general: the real sequence $\ell$ pointwise converges to $\ell$ while $\{x_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Consequently, if $x_n \to_{\mathcal{I}} \ell$, we have $\Lambda_x(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \Lambda_y(\mathcal{I})$ otherwise, by symmetry, $\Lambda_x(\mathcal{I}) = \Lambda_y(\mathcal{I})$. The other claim can be shown similarly.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal, let $(x_n)$ be a sequence in a first countable compact space $X$, and suppose that $\Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) = \{\ell\}$. Then $x_n \to_{\mathcal{I}} \ell$. In addition, if $\mathcal{I}$ is a $\mathcal{P}$-ideal, then $x_n \to_{\mathcal{I}} \ell$.

**Proof.** Let $(U_k)$ be a decreasing local base at $\ell$. Fix $k \in \omega$ and, for each $z \in X$ with $z \neq \ell$, there exists a neighborhood $U_z$ of $z$ such that \( \{n \in \omega : x_n \in U_z\} \in \mathcal{I} \).

Since \( \{U_z : z \in X \setminus \{\ell\}\} \cup U_k \) is an open cover of $X$ and $X$ is compact, there exists a finite subcover $U_{z_1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{z_m} \cup U_k$; note that $U_k$ belongs to the subcover, indeed, in the opposite, we would have $\omega = \bigcup_{1 \leq m} \{n : x_n \in U_{z_i}\} \in \mathcal{I}$. In particular, \( \{n \in \omega : x_n \in U_k\} \in \mathcal{I}^+ \). Therefore $x_n \to_{\mathcal{I}} \ell$.

If, in addition, $\mathcal{I}$ is a $\mathcal{P}$-ideal then $A_k := \{n \in \omega : x_n \notin U_k\}$ is an increasing sequence in $\mathcal{I}$, hence there exists $A \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $A_k \setminus A \in \text{Fin}$ for all $k$. It follows that \( \{n \in A^c : x_n \notin U_k\} = A_k \cap A^c \in \text{Fin} \) for all $k$, that is, $x_n \to_{\mathcal{I}} \ell$.

As an application, we obtain a generalization of [17, Theorem 3]:

**Corollary 3.4.** Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal and $(x_n)$ be a sequence in a first countable space $X$ such that \( \{n \in \omega : x_n \notin K\} \in \mathcal{I} \) for some compact $K \subseteq X$. Then $x_n \to_{\mathcal{I}} \ell$ if and only if $\Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) = \{\ell\}$.

Moreover, Lemma 3.1.(v) can be strengthened if $X$ is a topological group:
Lemma 3.5. Let $x$ and $y$ be sequences taking values in a topological group $X$ (written additively, with identity 0) and fix an ideal $\mathcal{I}$. Then:

(i) $\Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma_y(\mathcal{I})$ provided $x_n - y_n \to z$ 0;
(ii) $\Lambda_x(\mathcal{I}) = \Lambda_y(\mathcal{I})$ provided $x_n - y_n \to z$, 0.

Proof. Let $z$ be the sequence defined by $z_n = x_n - y_n$.

(i) It follows by hypothesis $z_n \to z_0$ and $-z_n \to z_0$. Fix $\ell \in \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I})$ and let $U$ be a neighborhood of $\ell$. By the continuity of the operation of the group, there exist neighborhoods $V$ and $W$ of $\ell$ and 0, respectively, such that $V + W \subseteq U$. Considering that $\{n : x_n \in V\} \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\{n : -z_n \in W\} \in \mathcal{I}$, it follows that

$$\{n : y_n \in U\} = \{n : x_n - z_n \in U\} \supseteq \{n : x_n \in V\} \cap \{n : -z_n \in W\} \in \mathcal{I}^+.$$ 

Since $\ell$ and $U$ were arbitrarily chosen, then $\Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \Gamma_y(\mathcal{I})$. The opposite inclusion can be shown similarly.

(ii) By hypothesis $z_n \to z_0$, 0 and $-z_n \to z_0$. Fix $\ell \in \Lambda_x(\mathcal{I})$, hence there exist $A, B \in \mathcal{I}^*$ such that $\lim_{x \in A} x_n = \ell$ and $\lim_{y \in B} y_n = 0$. Setting $C := A \cap B \in \mathcal{I}^*$, it follows that $\lim_{x \in C} y_n = \lim_{x \in C} x_n - z_n = \ell$, therefore $\Lambda_x(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \Lambda_y(\mathcal{I})$. The opposite inclusion can be shown similarly. \qed

We recall that, under suitable assumptions on $X$ and $\mathcal{I}$, the collection of $\mathcal{I}$-cluster and $\mathcal{I}$-limit point sets can be characterized as the closed sets and $F_\sigma$ sets, respectively; see [4, Theorem 3.1], [10, Section 2], [19, Theorem 1.1], and [20, Section 4]. Moreover, the continuity of the map $x \mapsto \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I})$ has been investigated in [19].

The next result establishes a connection between sets of cluster points with respect to different ideals (the proof is based on [15, Theorem 2] which focuses on the case $X = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{I} = ~\mathcal{Z}$, and $\mathcal{J} = \text{Fin}$).

Lemma 3.6. Let $x$ be a sequence taking values in a strongly Lindelöf space $X$ and fix ideals $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathcal{I}$ is a $P$-ideal. Then, there exists an $\mathcal{I}$-equivalent sequence $y$ such that $\Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma_y(\mathcal{J})$ and $\{n : y_n \in \omega\} \subseteq \{x_n : n \in \omega\}$.

Proof. The claim is obvious if $\Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma_x(\mathcal{J})$. Hence, let us suppose that $\Delta := \Gamma_x(\mathcal{J}) \setminus \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \neq \emptyset$ and, for each $z \in \Delta$, let $U_z$ be a neighborhood of $z$ such that $\{n : x_n \in U_z\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $\bigcup U_z$ is an open cover of $\Delta$. Since $X$ is strongly Lindelöf, there exists a countable subset $\{z_k : k \in \omega\} \subseteq \Delta$ such that $\bigcup U_{z_k}$ is an open subcover of $\Delta$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{I}$ is a $P$-ideal, there exists $I \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\{n : x_n \in U_{z_k}\} \setminus I$ is finite for all $k$. At this point, let $(i_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be the canonical enumeration of $\omega \setminus I$ and define the sequence $y$ by $y_n = x_{i_n}$ if $n \in I$ and $y_n = x_n$ otherwise. Since $\{n : x_n \neq y_n\} \subseteq I \in \mathcal{I}$, then $x \equiv I y$, hence we obtain by Lemma 3.1.(v) that $\Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma_y(\mathcal{I})$. The claim follows by the fact that every $\mathcal{J}$-cluster point of $y$ is also an $\mathcal{I}$-cluster point, therefore $\Gamma_y(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma_y(\mathcal{J})$. \qed

Lastly, given a topological space $(X, \tau)$ and an ideal $\mathcal{I}$, define the family $\tau(\mathcal{I}) := \{F^c \subseteq X : F = \bigcup_{x \in F \cap \omega} \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I})\}$.
that is, $F$ is $\tau(I)$-closed if and only if it is the union of $I$-cluster points of $F$-valued sequences. In particular, it is immediate that $\tau = \tau(\text{Fin})$.

**Lemma 3.7.** $\tau \subseteq \tau(I)$.

**Proof.** Let $F$ be a $\tau$-closed set. Thanks to Lemma 3.1.(i), we have

$$F \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in F^\omega} \Gamma_x(I) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in F^\omega} \Gamma_x(\text{Fin}) = F,$$

where the first inclusion is obtained by choosing the constant sequence $(f)$, for each fixed $f \in F$. Therefore, $F^c \in \tau(I)$. □

The converse holds under some additional assumptions:

**Theorem 3.8.** Assume that one of the following conditions holds:

(i) $X$ is sequentially strongly Lindelöf and $I$ is a $P$-ideal;

(ii) $X$ is first countable.

Then $\tau = \tau(I)$.

**Proof.** Thanks to Lemma 3.7, it is sufficient to show that $\tau(I) \subseteq \tau$. Let $F$ be a $\tau(I)$-closed set. Then, it is enough to show that if $\ell \in F$ is an $I$-cluster point of some $F$-valued sequence $x$, it is also an ordinary limit point of some $F$-valued sequence $y$.

(i) This follows directly by Lemma 3.6, setting $J = \text{Fin}$.

(ii) Let $(U_k)$ be a decreasing local base at $\ell$. Then, there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})$ converging to $\ell$: to this aim, set $S_k := \{n : x_n \in U_k\}$ for each $k$, fix $n_1 \in S_1$ arbitrarily and, for each $k \in \omega$, define $n_{k+1} := \min S_{k+1} \setminus \{1, \ldots, n_k\}$ (note that this is possible since each $S_k$ is infinite). □

4. **Characterizations**

Given an ideal $I$ and a sequence $x$ taking values in a topological space $X$, we define the $I$-filter generated by $x$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_x(I) := \{Y \subseteq X : \{n : x_n \notin Y\} \in I\}.$$ 

It is immediate that $\mathcal{F}_x(I)$ is a filter on $X$ with filter base

$$\mathcal{B}_x(I) := \{\{x_n : n \notin I\} : I \in I\}.$$ 

In addition, if $I = \text{Fin}$, then $\mathcal{F}_x(I)$ coincides with the standard filter generated by $x$, cf. [6, Definition 7, p.64].

With this notation, we are going to show that $\ell$ is an $I$-cluster point of $x$ if and only if it is a cluster point of the filter $\mathcal{F}_x(I)$, that is, $\ell$ lies in the closure of all sets in the filter base $\mathcal{B}_x(I)$, c.f. [6, Definition 2, p.69].

**Lemma 4.1.** $\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}_x(I)} \overline{B} \subseteq \Gamma_x(I)$. 

Proof. Let us suppose that $\ell \in \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \{ x_n : n \notin I \}$, that is, for each $I \in \mathcal{I}$ there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})$ converging to $\ell$ such that $\{n_k : k \in \omega\} \cap I = \emptyset$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $\ell$ is not an $\mathcal{I}$-cluster point, i.e., there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $\ell$ such that $J := \{n : x_n \in U\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}$. Then, it follows that $\{x_n : n \notin J\} \in \mathcal{B}_x(\mathcal{I})$ hence

$$\ell \in \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}_x(\mathcal{I})} \overline{B} \subseteq \{x_n : n \notin J\} = \{x_n : x_n \notin U\} \subseteq U^c,$$

which is impossible since $\ell \in U$. 

However, if $X$ is first countable, then also the converse holds.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal and $x$ be a sequence taking values in a first countable space $X$. Then $\Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) = \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}_x(\mathcal{I})} \overline{B}$.

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 4.1, it is sufficient to show that $\Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}_x(\mathcal{I})} \overline{B}$. Let us suppose that $\ell$ is an $\mathcal{I}$-cluster point of $x$ and fix a decreasing local base $(U_k)$ at $\ell$, so that $S_k := \{n : x_n \in U_k\} \in \mathcal{I}^+$ for all $k$. Fix also $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and note that $T_k := S_k \setminus I \in \mathcal{I}^+$ for all $k$ (in particular, each $T_k$ is infinite). Then, we have to prove that $\ell \in \{x_n : n \notin I\}$, i.e., there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})$ converging to $\ell$ such that $n_k \notin I$ for all $k$. To this aim, it is enough to fix $n_1 \in T_1$ arbitrarily and $n_{k+1} := \min T_{k+1} \setminus \{1, \ldots, n_k\}$ for all $k \in \omega$. It follows by construction that $\lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k} = \ell$ and $n_k \notin I$ for all $k$.

As a corollary, we obtain another proof of Lemma 3.1.(iv), provided $X$ is first countable.

We conclude with another characterization of the set of $\mathcal{I}$-cluster points, which subsumes the results contained in [18].

Theorem 4.3. Let $x$ be a sequence taking values in a regular Hausdorff space $X$ such that $\{n : x_n \notin K\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for some compact set $K$. Then $\Gamma_x(\mathcal{I})$ is the smallest closed set $C$ such that $\{n : x_n \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all sets $U$ containing $C$.

Proof. Fix $\kappa \in K$ and define the sequence $y$ by $y_n = \kappa$ if $x_n \notin K$ and $y_n = x_n$ otherwise. It follows by Lemma 3.1.(vi)-(v) that $\emptyset \neq \Gamma_y(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma_y(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq K$. Let also $\mathcal{C}$ be the family of closed sets $C$ such that $\{n : x_n \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all open subsets $U \supseteq C$ (note that $\{n : x_n \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $\{n : y_n \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I}$).

First, we show that $\Gamma_y(\mathcal{I}) \in \mathcal{C}$. Indeed, $\Gamma_y(\mathcal{I})$ is closed by Lemma 3.1.(iv); moreover, let us suppose for the sake of contradiction that there exists an open set $U$ containing $\Gamma_y(\mathcal{I})$ such that $\{n : x_n \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I}^+$, that is, $\{n : y_n \notin U\} = \{n : y_n \in K \setminus U\} \in \mathcal{I}^+$. Considering that $K \setminus U$ is compact, we obtain by Lemma 3.1.(vi) that there exists an $\mathcal{I}$-cluster point of $y$ in $K \setminus U$. This contradicts the fact that $\Gamma_y(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq U$.

Lastly, fix $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and let us suppose that $\Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \setminus C \neq \emptyset$. Fix $\ell \in \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \setminus C$ and, by the regularity of $X$, there exist disjoint open sets $U$ and $V$ containing the closed sets $\{\ell\}$ and $K \cap C$, respectively. This is impossible, indeed the set
\{n : x_n \in V\} \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{I} \text{ since } C \in \mathcal{G}, \text{ and, on the other hand, it contains } \{n : x_n \in U\} \in \mathcal{I}^+ \text{ since } \ell \text{ is an } \mathcal{I}-\text{cluster point.} \qed
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