CHARACTERIZATIONS OF IDEAL CLUSTER POINTS
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Abstract. Given an ideal $I$ on $\omega$, we prove that a sequence in a topological space $X$ is $I$-convergent if and only if there exists a "big" $I$-convergent subsequence. Then, we study several properties and show two characterizations of the set of $I$-cluster points as classical cluster points of a filters on $X$ and as the smallest closed set containing "almost all" the sequence. As a consequence, we obtain that the underlying topology $\tau$ coincides with the topology generated by the pair $(\tau, I)$.

1. Introduction

Following the concept of statistical convergence as a generalization of the ordinary convergence, Fridy [15] introduced the statistical limit points and statistical cluster points of a real sequence $(x_n)$ as generalizations of accumulation points.

A real number $\ell$ is said to be a statistical limit point of $(x_n)$ if there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k} = \ell$$

and the set of indices $\{n_k : k \in \omega\}$ has positive upper asymptotic density (see Section 2 for definitions). Also, $\ell$ is called statistical cluster point provided that

$$\{n \in \omega : |x_n - \ell| < \varepsilon\}$$

has positive upper asymptotic density for every $\varepsilon > 0$. He proved, among others, that these concepts are not equivalent.

These notions have been studied in a number of recent papers, see e.g. [4, 8, 17, 23, 25, 30, 34]. Extensions of statistical convergence to more general spaces can be found in [1, 10, 27, 28], and to ideal convergence, see e.g. [5, 12, 19, 22].

Given an ideal $I$ on the positive integers $\omega$, we investigate various properties of $I$-cluster points and $I$-limit points of sequences taking values in topological spaces $(X, \tau)$. The main contributions of the article are:

(i) a new characterization of $I$-convergence: informally, a sequence $(x_n)$ is $I$-convergent if and only if there exists a "big" $I$-convergent subsequence (see Theorem 2.4(iv) and Corollary 2.5);
(ii) the topology generated by the pair \((\tau, \mathcal{I})\) corresponds to the underlying topology \(\tau\) (see Theorem 3.8);

(iii) a characterization of \(\mathcal{I}\)-cluster points as classical “cluster points of the filter” generated by the sequence (see Theorem 4.2);

(iv) a characterization of the set of \(\mathcal{I}\)-cluster points as the smallest closed set containing “almost all” the sequence (see Theorem 4.3).

2. Preliminaries

Let \(\text{Fin}\) be the collection of finite subsets of \(\omega\). The upper asymptotic density of a set \(S \subseteq \omega\) is defined by

\[
d^\star(S) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|S \cap [1, n]|}{n}
\]

and we denote by \(\mathcal{Z}\) the collection of all \(S\) such that \(d^\star(S) = 0\). Hence, a real number \(\ell\) is a statistical cluster point of a given real sequence \((x_n)\) if and only if \(\{n \in \omega : |x_n - \ell| < \varepsilon\}\) does not belong to \(\mathcal{Z}\) for every \(\varepsilon > 0\).

An ideal \(\mathcal{I}\) on \(\omega\) is a family of subsets of positive integers closed under taking finite unions and subsets of its elements. It is also assumed that \(\mathcal{I}\) is different from the power set of \(\omega\) and contains all the singletons. It is clear that \(\text{Fin}\) and \(\mathcal{Z}\) are ideals. Many other examples can be found, e.g., in [11, Chapter 1] and [21, Section 2]. Intuitively, an ideal represents the collection of subsets of \(\omega\) which are “small” in a suitable sense. We denote by \(\mathcal{I}^* := \{A \subseteq \omega : A^c \in \mathcal{I}\}\) the filter dual of \(\mathcal{I}\) and by \(\mathcal{I}^+\) the collection of \(\mathcal{I}\)-positive sets, that is, the collection of all sets which do not belong to \(\mathcal{I}\).

**Definition 2.1.** Given a topological space \(X\), a sequence \(x = (x_n)\) is said to be \(\mathcal{I}\)-convergent to \(\ell\), shortened with \(x_n \rightarrow_\mathcal{I} \ell\), whenever \(\{n : x_n \in U\} \in \mathcal{I}^*\) for all neighborhoods \(U\) of \(\ell\). Moreover, let \(\Gamma_x(\mathcal{I})\) denote the set of \(\mathcal{I}\)-cluster points of \(x\), that is, the set of all \(\ell \in X\) such that \(\{n : x_n \in U\} \in \mathcal{I}^+\) for all neighborhoods \(U\) of \(\ell\).

Ordinary convergence corresponds to \(\text{Fin}\)-convergence (thus, we shorten \(x_n \rightarrow_{\text{Fin}} \ell\) with \(x_n \rightarrow \ell\)) and statistical convergence to \(\mathcal{Z}\)-convergence. Now, one may wonder whether \(\mathcal{I}\)-convergence corresponds to ordinary convergence with respect to another topology on the same base set. Essentially, it never happens.

**Example 2.2.** Let us assume that \(\mathcal{I} \neq \text{Fin}\) and \(X\) is a topological space with at least two distinct points such that its topology \(\tau\) is not the trivial topology \(\tau_0\). Hence, there exists a set \(I \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \text{Fin}\); in particular, \(I\) is infinite. Fix distinct \(a, b \in X\) and define the sequence \((x_n)\) by \(x_n = a\) whenever \(n \notin I\) and \(x_n = b\) otherwise. It follows by construction that \(x_n \rightarrow_\mathcal{I} a\) in \((X, \tau)\). Let us assume, for the sake of contradiction, there exists a topology \(\tau'\) such that \(x_n \rightarrow a\) in \((X, \tau')\). If there is a \(\tau'\)-neighborhood \(U\) of \(a\) such that \(b \notin U\), then \(\{n : x_n \notin U\} = I\).

This is impossible, since \(I\) is not finite. Hence \(b \in U\) whenever \(a \in U\). By the
arbitrariness of \( a \) and \( b \), we conclude that \( \tau' = \tau_0 \). The converse is false: given \( U \in \tau \setminus \tau_0 \) and \( u \in U \), then the constant sequence \( (u) \) is not \( \mathcal{I} \)-convergent to \( \ell \) provided that \( \ell \not\in U \).

Other notions of convergence have been defined in literature, considering properties of subsequences of \( x \) with sufficiently many elements.

**Definition 2.3.** Given a topological space \( X \), a sequence \( x = (x_n) \) is said to be \( \mathcal{I}^\star \)-convergent to \( \ell \), shortened with \( x_n \to \mathcal{I}^\star \ell \), whenever there exists a subsequence \( (x_{n_k}) \) such that \( x_{n_k} \to \ell \) and \( \{n_k : k \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{I}^\star \). Moreover, let \( \Lambda_x(\mathcal{I}) \) denote the set of \( \mathcal{I} \)-limit points of \( x \), that is, the set of all \( \ell \in X \) such that there exists a subsequence \( (x_{n_k}) \) for which \( x_{n_k} \to \ell \) and \( \{n_k : k \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{I}^\star \).

At this point, recall that an ideal \( \mathcal{I} \) is a \( P \)-ideal if it is \( \sigma \)-directed modulo finite sets, i.e., for every sequence \( (A_n) \) of sets in \( \mathcal{I} \) there exists \( A \in \mathcal{I} \) such that \( A_n \setminus A \) is finite for all \( n \); equivalent definitions were given, e.g., in \([2, \text{Proposition 1}]\).

Moreover, given infinite sets \( A, B \subseteq \omega \) such that \( A \) has canonical enumeration \( \{a_n : n \in \omega\} \), we say that \( \mathcal{I} \) a \( G \)-ideal if

\[
A_B := \{a_b : b \in B\} \in \mathcal{I}^\star \text{ if and only if } B \in \mathcal{I}^\star
\]

provided that \( A \in \mathcal{I}^\star \). This condition is strictly related to the so-called “property (G)” considered in \([3]\) and to the definition of invariant and thinnable ideals considered in \([23, 24]\). Note that the class of \( G \)-ideals contains the ideals generated by \( \alpha \)-densities with \( \alpha \geq -1 \) (in particular, \( \mathcal{I}_d \) and the collection of logarithmic density zero sets), several summable ideals, and the \( \text{Pólya ideal} \), i.e.,

\[
\mathcal{I}_p := \left\{ S \subseteq \omega : p^*(S) := \lim_{s \to 1^-} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|S \cap [ns, n]|}{(1 - s)n} = 0 \right\},
\]

see \([23, \text{Section 2}]\). Among other things, the upper \( \text{Pólya density} \) \( p^* \) has found a number of remarkable applications in analysis and economic theory, see e.g. \([35], [26] \) and \([29]\).

In this regard, we have the following basic result: points (i)-(ii) can be shown by routine arguments, cf. \([1, \text{Theorem 3.1}]\) and \([10, \text{Section 2}]\) (we omit details); although not explicit in the literature, point (iii) can be considered folklore, see \([20, \text{Theorem 3.2}]\) for the case \( X \) being a metric space (we include the proof here for the sake of completeness); lastly, point (iv) provides a new characterization of \( \mathcal{I} \)-convergence (related results can be found in \([3, \text{Theorem 3.4}]\) and \([23, \text{Theorem 3.4}]\)).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \( X \) be a topological space and \( \mathcal{I} \) be an ideal. Then:

(i) \( \mathcal{I} \)-limits and \( \mathcal{I}^\star \)-limits are unique, provided \( X \) is Hausdorff;

(ii) \( \mathcal{I}^\star \)-convergence implies \( \mathcal{I} \)-convergence;

(iii) \( \mathcal{I} \)-convergence implies \( \mathcal{I}^\star \)-convergence, provided \( X \) is first countable and \( \mathcal{I} \) is a \( P \)-ideal;
(iv) A sequence \((x_n) \in X^\omega\) is \(\mathcal{I}\)-convergent if and only if there exists an \(\mathcal{I}\)-
convergent subsequence \((x_{n_k})\) such that \(\{n_k : k \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{I}^*\), provided \(\mathcal{I}\) is a
\(G\)-ideal.

Proof. (iii) Let \((x_n)\) be a sequence taking values in \(X\) which is \(\mathcal{I}\)-convergent to
some \(\ell \in X\). Then, let \((U_j)\) be a countable decreasing local base at \(\ell\) and, for
each \(j\), define \(A_j := \{n : x_n \notin U_j\}\). Hence, \(A_j \in \mathcal{I}\) for each \(j\), \((A_j)\) is increasing,
and, since \(\mathcal{I}\) is a \(P\)-ideal, there exists \(A \in \mathcal{I}\) such that \(A_j \setminus A\) is finite for all \(j\).
Denoting by \((n_k)\) the increasing sequence of integers in \(A^c\) (which belongs to \(\mathcal{I}^*\)),
it follows that \(x_{n_k} \to \ell\). Indeed, letting \(V\) be a neighborhood of \(\ell\) and \(j \in \omega\) such
that \(U_j \subseteq V\), then the finiteness of \(\{k : x_{n_k} \notin V\}\) follows by the fact that it has
the same cardinality of \(\{n_k : x_{n_k} \notin V\}\) and \(\{n_k : x_{n_k} \notin U_j\} \subseteq \{n \in A^c : x_n \notin U_j\} = A_j \setminus A\).

(iv) Let us suppose that \((x_n)\) is \(\mathcal{I}\)-convergent to \(\ell \in X\). Fix also \(I \in \mathcal{I}\) and let
\((n_k)\) be the increasing enumeration of \(I^c\). Then, it is claimed that the subsequence
\((x_{n_k})\) is \(\mathcal{I}\)-convergent to \(\ell\). Indeed, for each neighborhood \(U\) of \(\ell\), we have \(\{n : x_n \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I}\) by hypothesis, hence \(\{n_k : x_{n_k} \in U\} = \{n : x_n \in U\} \setminus I = \omega \setminus \{(n : x_n \notin U) \cup J\} \in \mathcal{I}^*\). It follows by the fact that \(\mathcal{I}\) is a \(G\)-ideal that \(\{k : x_{n_k} \in U\} \in \mathcal{I}^*\),
that is, \(x_{n_k} \to_\mathcal{I} \ell\). The converse can be shown similarly.

It is well known that \(\mathcal{Z}\) is a \(P\)-ideal (see e.g. [13, Proposition 3.2]) and, as
recalled before, it is also a \(G\)-ideal. Hence:

**Corollary 2.5.** Let \((x_n)\) be a sequence taking values in a topological space \(X\).
Then the following are equivalent:

(i) \((x_n)\) is statistically convergent;
(ii) There exists a statistically convergent subsequence \((x_{n_k})\) with \(\{n_k : k \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{Z}^*\).

If, in addition, \(X\) is first countable, then they are also equivalent to:

(iii) There exists a convergent subsequence \((x_{n_k})\) with \(\{n_k : k \in \omega\} \in \mathcal{Z}^*\);

It is worth noting that the equivalence between (i) and (iii) can be already
found in [10, Theorem 2.2], cf. also [14, Theorem 1] and [30, Theorem 1].

We obtain also an abstract version of [7, Theorem 2.3], see also [5, Proposition
1] and [33, Theorem 1]; the proof goes verbatim, hence we omit it.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let \(\mathcal{I}\) be a \(P\)-ideal and \((x_{n_m})\) be a sequence taking values in a
metrizable group (with identity 0) such that \(x_n \to_\mathcal{I} \ell\). Then, there exist sequences
\((y_n)\) and \((z_n)\) such that: \(x_n = y_n + z_n\) for all \(n\), \(y_n \to \ell\), and \(\{n \in \omega : z_n \neq 0\} \in \mathcal{I}\).

Recall that a real double sequence \(x = (x_{n,m} : n, m \in \omega)\) has Pringsheim limit
\(\ell\) provided that for every \(\varepsilon > 0\) there exists \(k \in \omega\) such that \(|x_{n,m} - \ell| < \varepsilon\) for all
\(n, m \geq k\). Identifying ideals on countable sets with ideals on \(\omega\) through a fixed
bijection, it is easily seen that this is equivalent to \(x \to_{\mathcal{I}_\omega} \ell\), where \(\mathcal{I}_\omega\) is the ideal
defined by
\[ I_{Pr} := \left\{ A \subseteq \omega \times \omega : \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup \{ k : (n, k) \in A \} < \infty \right\}. \]

Equivalently, \( I_{Pr} \) is the ideal on \( \omega \times \omega \) containing the complements of \([n, \infty) \times [n, \infty)\) for all \( n \in \omega \). At this point, for each \( n, m \in \omega \), let \( \mu_{n,m} \) be the uniform probability measure on \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \times \{1, \ldots, m\} \) and define the ideal
\[ Z_{Pr} := \left\{ A \subseteq \omega \times \omega : \mu_{n,m}(A) \to_{I_{Pr}} 0 \right\}. \]

Note that \( I_{Pr} \subseteq Z_{Pr} \) and that \( Z_{Pr} \) is a P-ideal. The notion of convergence of real double sequences \( (x_{n,m}) \) with respect to the ideal \( Z_{Pr} \) has been recently introduced in [31, 32]; here, it has been simply defined “statistical convergence” of double sequences. Accordingly, it has been shown in [31, Theorem 2] that a real double sequence \( (x_{n,m}) \) is statistically convergent to \( \ell \) if and only if there exist real double sequences \( (y_{n,m}) \) and \( (z_{n,m}) \) such that \( y_{n,m} \to_{I_{Pr}} \ell \) and \( \{(n, m) : z_{n,m} \neq 0\} \in Z_{Pr} \).

However, this is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.6.

3. IDEAL CLUSTER POINTS

Given sequences \( x \) and \( y \) taking values in a topological space \( X \), we say that they are \( I \)-equivalent, shortened with \( x \equiv_I y \), if \( \{n : x_n \neq y_n\} \in I \) (it is easy to see that \( \equiv_I \) is an equivalence relation). The following lemmas, which collect and extend several results contained in [10, 15, 20], show some standard properties of \( I \)-cluster and \( I \)-limit points.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( x \) and \( y \) be sequences taking values in a topological space \( X \) and fix ideals \( I \subseteq J \). Then:

(i) \( \Lambda_x(J) \subseteq \Lambda_x(I) \) and \( \Gamma_x(J) \subseteq \Gamma_x(I) \);

(ii) \( \Lambda_x(\text{Fin}) = \Gamma_x(\text{Fin}) \), provided \( X \) is first countable;

(iii) \( \Lambda_x(I) \subseteq \Gamma_x(I) \);

(iv) \( \Gamma_x(I) \) is closed;

(v) \( \Lambda_x(I) = \Lambda_y(I) \) and \( \Gamma_x(I) = \Gamma_y(I) \) provided \( x \equiv_I y \);

(vi) \( \Gamma_x(I) \cap K \neq \emptyset \), provided \( K \subseteq X \) is compact and \( \{n : x_n \in K\} \in I^+ \);

(vii) \( \Lambda_x(I) = \Gamma_x(I) = \{\ell\} \) provided \( x_n \to_{I} \ell \), and \( X \) is Hausdorff.

**Proof.** (i) and (ii) easily follow from the definitions. In addition, (iii) is obvious if \( \Lambda_x(I) = \emptyset \). Otherwise, fix \( \ell \in \Lambda_x(I) \) and a neighborhood \( U \) of \( \ell \). Then, there exists an increasing subsequence \( (n_k) \) with \( \{n_k\} \in I^+ \) such that \( x_{n_k} \to \ell \), so that \( S := \{n_k : x_{n_k} \notin U\} \) is finite. This implies that \( \{n_k\} \setminus S \subseteq \{n : x_n \in U\} \). To conclude, it is sufficient to note that \( \{n_k\} \setminus S \not\in I \), therefore \( \{n : x_n \in \emptyset\} \in I^+ \).

Similarly, (iv) is clear if \( \Gamma_x(I) = \emptyset \). In the opposite, let \( y \) be an accumulation point of \( \Gamma_x(I) \) and \( U \) a neighborhood of \( y \). Then, there exists \( z \in \Gamma_x(I) \cap U \). Let \( V \) be a neighborhood of \( z \) contained in \( U \). Considering that \( \{n : x_n \in V\} \subseteq \{n : x_n \in U\} \) and \( \{n : x_n \in V\} \in I^+ \), we conclude that \( y \in \Gamma_x(I) \).
To prove (v), fix \( \ell \in \Lambda_x(I) \), so that there exists a subsequence \( (x_{n_k}) \) such that \( \{n_k\} \in I^+ \) and \( x_{n_k} \to \ell \). Since \( \{n : x_n \neq y_n\} \in I \) and \( \{n_k : x_{n_k} \neq y_{n_k}\} \subseteq \{n : x_n \neq y_n\} \), then \( S := \{n_k : x_{n_k} = y_{n_k}\} \in I^+ \). Denoting by \( (s_n) \) the canonical enumeration of \( S \), we obtain \( y_{s_n} \to \ell \), hence \( \ell \in \Lambda_y(I) \). By the arbitrariness of \( \ell \), we have \( \Lambda_x(I) \subseteq \Lambda_y(I) \) therefore, by symmetry, \( \Lambda_x(I) = \Lambda_y(I) \). The other claim can be shown similarly.

The proof of (vi) can be found in [9, Theorem 6], cf. also [10, Theorem 2.14] for the case \( I = Z \).

Lastly, suppose that \( x_n \to_{I^*} \ell \) so that \( x_n \to_I \ell \) by Theorem 2.4.(ii) and, in particular, \( \ell \in \Lambda_x(I) \). Also, thanks to (iii), we have \( \{\ell\} \subseteq \Lambda_x(I) \subseteq \Gamma_x(I) \). To conclude, let us suppose for the sake of contradiction that there exists an \( I \)-cluster point \( \ell' \) of \( x \) different from \( \ell \). Fix disjoint neighborhoods \( U \) and \( U' \) of \( \ell' \) and \( \ell \), respectively. On the one hand, since \( \ell' \) is an \( I \)-cluster point, then \( \{n : x_n \in U'\} \in I \). On the other hand, this is impossible since \( \{n : x_n \in U'\} \subseteq \{n : x_n \notin U\} \in I \).

This proves (vii). \( \square \)

It follows at once from Theorem 2.4.(iii) and Lemma 3.1.(vii) that:

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( I \) be a \( P \)-ideal and \( (x_n) \) be a sequence taking values in a first countable Hausdorff space such that \( x_n \to_I \ell \). Then \( \Lambda_x(I) = \Gamma_x(I) = \{\ell\} \).

The converse of Corollary 3.2 does not hold in general: the real sequence \( (3.1) \) can be found in \( (2.4) \). 3.1 can be found in \( (2.4) \) and, in that:

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( I \) be an ideal, let \( (x_n) \) be a sequence in a first countable compact space \( X \), and suppose that \( \Gamma_x(I) = \{\ell\} \). Then \( x_n \to_{I^*} \ell \). In addition, if \( I \) is a \( P \)-ideal, then \( x_n \to_{I^*} \ell \).

**Proof.** Let \( (U_k) \) be a decreasing local base at \( \ell \). Fix \( k \in \omega \) and, for each \( z \in X \) with \( z \neq \ell \), there exists a neighborhood \( U_z \) of \( z \) such that \( \{n \in \omega : x_n \in U_z\} \in I \).

Since \( \{U_z : z \in X \setminus \{\ell\}\} \cup U_k \) is an open cover of \( X \) and \( X \) is compact, there exists a finite subcover \( U_{z_1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{z_m} \cup U_k \); note that \( U_k \) belongs to the subcover, indeed, in the opposite, we would have \( \omega = \bigcup_{i \leq m} \{n : x_n \in U_{z_i}\} \in I \). In particular, \( \{n \in \omega : x_n \in U_k\} \in I \). Therefore \( x_n \to_{I^*} \ell \).

If, in addition, \( I \) is a \( P \)-ideal then \( A_k := \{n \in \omega : x_n \notin U_k\} \) is an increasing sequence in \( I \), hence there exists \( A \in I \) such that \( A_k \setminus A \in \text{Fin} \) for all \( k \). It follows that \( \{n \in A^c : x_n \notin U_k\} = A_k \cap A^c \in \text{Fin} \) for all \( k \), that is, \( x_n \to_{I^*} \ell \). \( \square \)

As an application, we obtain a generalization of [17, Theorem 3]:

**Corollary 3.4.** Let \( I \) be an ideal and \( (x_n) \) be a sequence in first countable space \( X \) such that \( \{n \in \omega : x_n \notin K\} \in I \) for some compact \( K \subseteq X \). Then \( x_n \to_I \ell \) if and only if \( \Gamma_x(I) = \{\ell\} \).

Moreover, Lemma 3.1.(v) can be strengthened if \( X \) is a topological group:
Lemma 3.5. Let \( x \) and \( y \) be sequences taking values in a topological group \( X \) (written additively, with identity 0) and fix an ideal \( \mathcal{I} \). Then:

(i) \( \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma_y(\mathcal{I}) \) provided \( x_n - y_n \to_I 0 \);

(ii) \( \Lambda_x(\mathcal{I}) = \Lambda_y(\mathcal{I}) \) provided \( x_n - y_n \to_I 0 \).

Proof. Let \( z \) be the sequence defined by \( z_n = x_n - y_n \).

(i) It follows by hypothesis \( z_n \to_I 0 \) and \( -z_n \to_I 0 \). Fix \( \ell \in \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \) and let \( U \) be a neighborhood of \( \ell \). By the continuity of the operation of the group, there exist neighborhoods \( V \) and \( W \) of \( \ell \) and 0, respectively, such that \( V + W \subseteq U \). Considering that \( \{ n : x_n \in V \} \in \mathcal{I}^+ \) and \( \{ n : -z_n \in W \} \in \mathcal{I}^+ \), it follows that

\[
\{ n : y_n \in U \} = \{ n : x_n - z_n \in U \} \supseteq \{ n : x_n \in V \} \cap \{ n : -z_n \in W \} \in \mathcal{I}^+.
\]

Since \( \ell \) and \( U \) were arbitrarily chosen, then \( \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \Gamma_y(\mathcal{I}) \). The opposite inclusion can be shown similarly.

(ii) By hypothesis \( z_n \to_I 0 \) and \( -z_n \to_I 0 \). Fix \( \ell \in \Lambda_x(\mathcal{I}) \), hence there exist \( A, B \in \mathcal{I}^\ast \) such that \( \lim_{x \in A} x_n = \ell \) and \( \lim_{y \in B} y_n = 0 \). Setting \( C := A \cap B \in \mathcal{I}^\ast \), it follows that \( \lim_{x \in C} x_n - z_n = \ell \), therefore \( \Lambda_x(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \Lambda_y(\mathcal{I}) \). The opposite inclusion can be shown similarly. \( \square \)

We recall that, under suitable assumptions on \( X \) and \( \mathcal{I} \), the collection of \( \mathcal{I} \)-cluster and \( \mathcal{I} \)-limit point sets can be characterized as the closed sets and \( F_\sigma \) sets, respectively; see [4, Theorem 3.1], [10, Section 2], [19, Theorem 1.1], and [20, Section 4]. Moreover, the continuity of the map \( x \mapsto \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \) has been investigated in [19].

The next result establishes a connection between sets of cluster points with respect to different ideals (the proof is based on [15, Theorem 2] which focuses on the case \( X = \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{Z} \), and \( \mathcal{J} = \text{Fin} \)).

Lemma 3.6. Let \( x \) be a sequence taking values in a strongly Lindelöf space \( X \) and fix ideals \( \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I} \) such that \( \mathcal{I} \) is a \( P \)-ideal. Then, there exists an \( \mathcal{I} \)-equivalent sequence \( y \) such that \( \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma_y(\mathcal{J}) \) and \( \{ n : n \in \omega \} \subseteq \{ x_n : n \in \omega \} \).

Proof. The claim is obvious if \( \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma_x(\mathcal{J}) \). Hence, let us suppose that \( \Delta := \Gamma_x(\mathcal{J}) \setminus \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \neq \emptyset \) and, for each \( z \in \Delta \), let \( U_z \) be a neighborhood of \( z \) such that \( \{ n : x_n \in U_z \} \in \mathcal{I} \). Then \( \bigcup U_z \) is an open cover of \( \Delta \). Since \( X \) is strongly Lindelöf, there exists a countable subset \( \{ z_k : k \in \omega \} \subseteq \Delta \) such that \( \bigcup U_{z_k} \) is an open subcover of \( \Delta \). Moreover, since \( \mathcal{I} \) is a \( P \)-ideal, there exists \( I \in \mathcal{I} \) such that \( \{ n : x_n \in U_{z_k} \} \setminus I \) is finite for all \( k \). At this point, let \( (i_n) \) be the canonical enumeration of \( \omega \setminus I \) and define the sequence \( y \) by \( y_n = x_{i_n} \) if \( n \in I \) and \( y_n = x_n \) otherwise. Since \( \{ n : x_n \neq y_n \} \subseteq I \in \mathcal{I} \), then \( x \equiv_I y \), hence we obtain by Lemma 3.1.(v) that \( \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma_y(\mathcal{J}) \). The claim follows by the fact that every \( \mathcal{J} \)-cluster point of \( y \) is also an \( \mathcal{I} \)-cluster point. \( \square \)

Lastly, given a topological space \((X, \tau)\) and an ideal \( \mathcal{I} \), define the family

\[
\tau(\mathcal{I}) := \{ F^c \subseteq X : F = \bigcup_{x \in F} \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \}.
\]
that is, $F$ is $\tau(\mathcal{I})$-closed if and only if it is the union of $\mathcal{I}$-cluster points of $F$-valued sequences. In particular, it is immediate that $\tau = \tau(\text{Fin})$.

**Lemma 3.7.** $\tau \subseteq \tau(\mathcal{I})$.

**Proof.** Let $F$ be a $\tau$-closed set. Thanks to Lemma 3.1(i), we have

$$F \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in F^\omega} \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in F^\omega} \Gamma_x(\text{Fin}) = F,$$

where the first inclusion is obtained by choosing the constant sequence $(f)$, for each fixed $f \in F$. Therefore, $F^c \in \tau(\mathcal{I})$.

The converse holds under some additional assumptions:

**Theorem 3.8.** Assume that one of the following conditions holds:

(i) $X$ is sequentially strongly Lindelöf and $\mathcal{I}$ is a $P$-ideal;

(ii) $X$ is first countable.

Then $\tau = \tau(\mathcal{I})$.

**Proof.** Thanks to Lemma 3.7, it is sufficient to show that $\tau(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \tau$. Let $F$ be a $\tau(\mathcal{I})$-closed set. Then, it is enough to show that if $\ell \in F$ is an $\mathcal{I}$-cluster point of some $F$-valued sequence $x$, it is also an ordinary limit point of some $F$-valued sequence $y$.

(i) This follows directly by Lemma 3.6, setting $\mathcal{J} = \text{Fin}$.

(ii) Let $(U_k)$ be a decreasing local base at $\ell$. Then, there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})$ converging to $\ell$: to this aim, set $S_k := \{n : x_n \in U_k\}$ for each $k$, fix $n_1 \in S_1$ arbitrarily and, for each $k \in \omega$, define $n_{k+1} := \min S_{k+1} \setminus \{1, \ldots, n_k\}$ (note that this is possible since each $S_k$ is infinite).

\[\square\]

4. Characterizations

Given an ideal $\mathcal{I}$ and a sequence $x$ taking values in a topological space $X$, we define the $\mathcal{I}$-filter generated by $x$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{I}) := \{Y \subseteq X : \{n : x_n \notin Y\} \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$ 

It is immediate that $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{I})$ is a filter on $X$ with filter base

$$\mathcal{B}_x(\mathcal{I}) := \{\{x_n : n \notin I\} : I \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$ 

In addition, if $\mathcal{I} = \text{Fin}$, then $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{I})$ coincides with the standard filter generated by $x$, cf. [6, Definition 7, p.64].

With this notation, we are going to show that $\ell$ is an $\mathcal{I}$-cluster point of $x$ if and only if it is a cluster point of the filter $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{I})$, that is, $\ell$ lies in the closure of all sets in the filter base $\mathcal{B}_x(\mathcal{I})$, cf. [6, Definition 2, p.69].

**Lemma 4.1.** $\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}_x(\mathcal{I})} \overline{B} \subseteq \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I})$. 


Proof. Let us suppose that \( \ell \in \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \{ x_n : n \notin I \} \), that is, for each \( I \in \mathcal{I} \) there exists a subsequence \( (x_{n_k}) \) converging to \( \ell \) such that \( \{n_k : k \in \omega\} \cap I = \emptyset \). Suppose for the sake of contradiction that \( \ell \) is not an \( \mathcal{I} \)-cluster point, i.e., there exists an open neighborhood \( U \) of \( \ell \) such that \( J := \{n : x_n \in U\} \) belongs to \( \mathcal{I} \). Then, it follows that \( \{x_n : n \notin J\} \in \mathcal{B}_x(\mathcal{I}) \) hence
\[
\ell \in \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}_x(\mathcal{I})} B \subseteq \{x_n : n \notin J\} = \{x_n : x_n \notin U\} \subseteq U^c,
\]
which is impossible since \( \ell \in U \).

However, if \( X \) is first countable, then also the converse holds.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( \mathcal{I} \) be an ideal and \( x \) be a sequence taking values in a first countable space \( X \). Then \( \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) = \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}_x(\mathcal{I})} B \).

**Proof.** Thanks to Lemma 4.1, it is sufficient to show that \( \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}_x(\mathcal{I})} B \).

Let us suppose that \( \ell \) is an \( \mathcal{I} \)-cluster point of \( x \) and fix a decreasing local base \( (U_k) \) at \( \ell \), so that \( S_k := \{n : x_n \in U_k\} \in \mathcal{I}^+ \) for all \( k \). Fix also \( I \in \mathcal{I} \) and note that \( T_k := S_k \setminus I \in \mathcal{I}^+ \) for all \( k \) (in particular, each \( T_k \) is infinite). Then, we have to prove that \( \ell \in \{x_n : n \notin I\} \), i.e., there exists a subsequence \( (x_{n_k}) \) converging to \( \ell \) such that \( n_k \notin I \) for all \( k \). To this aim, it is enough to fix \( n_1 \in T_1 \) arbitrarily and \( n_{k+1} := \min T_{k+1} \setminus \{1, \ldots, n_k\} \) for all \( k \). It follows by construction that \( \lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k} = \ell \) and \( n_k \notin I \) for all \( k \).

As a corollary, we obtain another proof of Lemma 3.1.(iv), provided \( X \) is first countable.

We conclude with another characterization of the set of \( \mathcal{I} \)-cluster points, which subsumes the results contained in [18].

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \( x \) be a sequence taking values in a regular Hausdorff space \( X \) such that \( \{n : x_n \notin K\} \in \mathcal{I} \) for some compact set \( K \). Then \( \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \) is the smallest closed set \( C \) such that \( \{n : x_n \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I} \) for all sets \( U \) containing \( C \).

**Proof.** Fix \( \kappa \in K \) and define the sequence \( y \) by \( y_n = \kappa \) if \( x_n \notin K \) and \( y_n = x_n \) otherwise. It follows by Lemma 3.1.(vi)-(v) that \( \emptyset \notin \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma_y(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq K \). Let also \( \mathcal{C} \) be the family of closed sets \( C \) such that \( \{n : x_n \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I} \) for all open subsets \( U \supseteq C \) (note that \( \{n : x_n \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I} \) if and only if \( \{n : y_n \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I} \).

First, we show that \( \Gamma_y(\mathcal{I}) \in \mathcal{C} \). Indeed, \( \Gamma_y(\mathcal{I}) \) is closed by Lemma 3.1.(iv); moreover, let us suppose for the sake of contradiction that there exists an open set \( U \) containing \( \Gamma_y(\mathcal{I}) \) such that \( \{n : x_n \notin U\} \in \mathcal{I}^+ \), that is, \( \{n : y_n \notin U\} = \{n : y_n \notin K \setminus U\} \in \mathcal{I}^+ \). Considering that \( K \setminus U \) is compact, we obtain by Lemma 3.1.(vi) that there exists an \( \mathcal{I} \)-cluster point of \( y \) in \( K \setminus U \). This contradicts the fact that \( \Gamma_y(\mathcal{I}) = \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq U \).

Lastly, fix \( C \in \mathcal{C} \) and let us suppose that \( \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \setminus C \neq \emptyset \). Fix \( \ell \in \Gamma_x(\mathcal{I}) \setminus C \) and, by the regularity of \( X \), there exist disjoint open sets \( U \) and \( V \) containing the closed sets \( \{\ell\} \) and \( K \cap C \), respectively. This is impossible, indeed the set
$\{n : x_n \in V\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}$ since $C \in \mathcal{C}$, and, on the other hand, it contains $\{n : x_n \in U\} \in \mathcal{I}^+$ since $\ell$ is an $\mathcal{I}$-cluster point.
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