

## Formal Embeddings Between $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models

Valentin Burcea

**ABSTRACT.** It is studied the Classification Problem for Formal (Holomorphic) Embeddings between Shilov Boundaries of Bounded Symmetric Domains of First Type situated in Complex Spaces of Different Dimensions. There are obtained two Classes of Equivalence.

### 1. Introduction and Main Result

The study of the proper holomorphic mappings[28], between unit balls in Complex Spaces, goes back to Webster[29]. If  $N > n$ , two proper holomorphic mappings  $f, g : \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^N$  are equivalent if, there exist  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}^n)$  and  $\tau \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}^N)$  such that, we have

$$g = \tau \circ f \circ \sigma.$$

The proper holomorphic mappings between  $\mathbb{B}^2$  and  $\mathbb{B}^3$ , of class  $\mathcal{C}^3$  up to the boundary, have been classified by Faran[10] as follows

$$(z_1, z_2) \rightarrow (z_1^3, z_2^3, \sqrt{3}z_1z_2), (z_1, z_1z_2, z_2^2), (z_1, \sqrt{2}z_1z_2, z_2), (z_1, z_2, 0).$$

This classification has been also concluded by Cima-Suffridge[8] for proper holomorphic mappings between  $\mathbb{B}^2$  and  $\mathbb{B}^3$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$  up to the boundary. Going forward, Huang[12] proved that any proper holomorphic mapping, between  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and  $\mathbb{B}^N$  and of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$  up to the boundary, is equivalent to

$$(1.1) \quad (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \longrightarrow (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \text{when } n > 1 \text{ and } N < 2n - 1.$$

The rational proper holomorphic mappings between  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and  $\mathbb{B}^{2n-1}$  have been classified by Huang-Ji[14] as follows

$$(1.2) \quad (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, 0, \dots, 0), (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n z_1, z_n z_2, \dots, z_n^2), \quad \text{for } n \geq 3.$$

In all these cases, the Classification Problem, of proper holomorphic mappings[24],[25],[28], is reduced to the study of CR mappings between hyperquadrics [21],[22],[23]. More generally, the analogue Classification Problem of C.-R. Embeddings, between Shilov Boundaries of Bounded Symmetric Domains, is also very interesting. Kim-Zaitsev[18] considered recently this problem, using the moving frames method of Cartan, for Shilov Boundaries of Bounded Symmetric Domains of First Type with  $q < p, q' < p'$  such that  $p' - q' < 2(p - q)$  and  $p - q > 1$ . They[18] proved that, up to compositions with suitable automorphisms of the Bounded Symmetric Domains of First Type  $D_{p,q}$  and  $D_{p',q'}$ , any smooth C.-R. Embedding, defined between their Shilov Boundaries denoted by  $S_{p,q}$  and  $S_{p',q'}$ , is equivalent to

$$(1.3) \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} & \dots & z_{1q} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} & \dots & z_{2q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{p1} & z_{p2} & \dots & z_{pq} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{p1} & z_{p2} & \dots & z_{pq} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} & \dots & z_{1q} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ z_{21} & z_{22} & \dots & z_{2q} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{p1} & z_{p2} & \dots & z_{pq} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the standard definition of the Shilov Boundary, it is indicated Chirvasitu[7]. It is also recalled by [18],[28] that any Bounded and Symmetric Domain  $D_{p,q}$  of First Type and its Shilov Boundary may be defined as follows

$$(1.4) \quad D_{p,q} = \left\{ Z \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}); \quad I_q - \overline{Z}^t Z > 0 \right\}, \quad S_{p,q} = \left\{ Z \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}); \quad I_q - \overline{Z}^t Z = 0 \right\}, \quad p > q.$$

Such Domains are important in Complex Analysis and Complex Geometry from many points of view. In particular, (1.4) generalizes naturally classical models as the hyperquadrics and classical cases [1],[2],[6],[8],[11],[12],[13],[14],[22],[23],[21],[27]. Furthermore, such Domains are of considerable importance in the study of Holomorphic Isometries[26],[30],[31] and their properties.

---

*Keywords:* Normal Form, Equivalence Problem, Embedding, Bounded Symmetric Domain, Real Submanifold, Shilov Boundary, Power Series. This project was supported principally (80%) by CAPES, being initiated (20%) with Science Foundation Ireland Grant 10/RFP/MT H2878. Emphasizing that the reference [3] was fully supported by Science Foundation Ireland Grant 06/RFP/MAT 018.

In this paper, we use formal power series in order to establish a normal form [2],[3],[4],[5],[32] type construction for formal (holomorphic) embeddings between Shilov Boundaries of Bounded Symmetric Domains of First Type[18],[19],[28]. In particular, there are considered suitable linear changes of coordinates in respect to natural identifications and the language of matrices. We obtain:

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $p, p', q, q' \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $p' - q' = 2(p - q) > 2$ . Then, up to compositions with suitable automorphisms of the Bounded Symmetric Domains  $D_{p,q}$  and  $D_{p',q'}$ , any formal embedding, between their Shilov Boundaries  $S_{p,q}$  and  $S_{p',q'}$ , is equivalent to*

$$(1.5) \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} & \dots & z_{1q} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} & \dots & z_{2q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{p-1\ 1} & z_{p-1\ 2} & \dots & z_{p-1\ q} \\ z_{p1}z_{11} & z_{p2}z_{12} & \dots & z_{pq}z_{1q} \\ z_{p1}z_{21} & z_{p2}z_{22} & \dots & z_{pq}z_{2q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{p1}z_{p1} & z_{p2}z_{p2} & \dots & z_{pq}z_{pq} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} & \dots & z_{1q} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ z_{21} & z_{22} & \dots & z_{2q} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{p-1\ 1} & z_{p-1\ 2} & \dots & z_{p-1\ q} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ z_{p1}z_{11} & z_{p2}z_{12} & \dots & z_{pq}z_{1q} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ z_{p1}z_{21} & z_{p2}z_{22} & \dots & z_{pq}z_{2q} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{p1}z_{p1} & z_{p2}z_{p2} & \dots & z_{pq}z_{pq} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or to (1.3)}.$$

Its proof is reduced to the study of formal holomorphic embeddings between certain Real-Quadric Manifolds, named  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models through this paper, which are derived from Shilov Boundaries of Bounded and Symmetric Domains of First Type using a Transformation of Cayley Type[9]. The computations generalize and employ standard normalization procedures from Baouendi-Huang[1], Hamada[11], Huang[12],[13] and Huang-Ji[14]. In particular, there are used linear changes of coordinates preserving  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models. It is an alternative approach to the Method of Cartan applied by Kim-Zaitsev[18],[19] using their beautiful system of moving frames. The considered formal embedding is brought to simple forms, using suitable changes of coordinates inspired from Baouendi-Huang[1] and Chern-Moser[5]. Computations from Huang-Ji[14] are reformulated using the language of matrices in order to make computations following Hamada[11]. It is detected an analogue of the fundamental notion of geometrical rank introduced by Huang[12],[13], named generalized geometrical rank through this paper. It is defined by several matrices having identical rank. It is 0 in the case of Kim-Zaitsev[18], and 0 or 1 in our case. It stays in correspondence with the classes of equivalence from (1.5). The first class of equivalence (1.3) is defined by the standard linear embedding like in the case of Kim-Zaitsev[18]. The second class of equivalence (1.5) is defined by a generalized Whitney type mapping [24],[25].

Its proof is finalised by generalizing approaches from Huang-Ji[14] using the language of matrices, and then by applying arguments from Kim-Zaitsev[18],[19]. The Classification (1.5) may be seen as an analogue of the Classification Theorem of Huang-Ji[14], especially when  $p' = 2p - 1$  and  $q' = 2q - 1$ , obtaining two classes of equivalence as it was anticipated by Seo[24],[25].

**1.1. Acknowledgements.** Thanks to my supervisor Prof. Dmitri Zaitsev for suggesting to use formal power series, instead of differential forms, while I was reading [18]. Thanks to Prof. Xiaojun Huang for useful conversations during his visit in Dublin.

I thank for accepting my visits in several Departments of Mathematics in Turkey in order to present pieces of this paper, more precisely in The Universities Koç, Middle East Technical, Galatasaray and Hacettepe, prior to my deportation from Istanbul. I am sure that it was claimed correctness. Special Thanks to The Romanian Minister of Foreign Affairs for loyalty in facilitating my returning from Istanbul.

Regardless that it does not appear written on the published version of [3], I make clear that the reference [3] was fully supported by Science Foundation Ireland Grant 06/RFP/MAT 018, from where I received a scholarship in the first two doctoral years in Trinity College Dublin.

## 2. Settings

Throughout this paper, we consider

$$(2.1) \quad W := \begin{pmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} & \dots & w_{1q} \\ w_{21} & w_{22} & \dots & w_{2q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{q1} & w_{q2} & \dots & w_{qq} \end{pmatrix} \equiv (w_{11}, w_{12}, \dots, w_{1q}, w_{21}, w_{22}, \dots, w_{2q}, \dots, w_{q1}, w_{q2}, \dots, w_{qq}),$$

$$Z := \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} & \dots & z_{1N} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} & \dots & z_{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{q1} & z_{q2} & \dots & z_{qN} \end{pmatrix} \equiv (z_{11}, z_{12}, \dots, z_{1N}, z_{21}, z_{22}, \dots, z_{2N}, \dots, z_{q1}, z_{q2}, \dots, z_{qN}),$$

where we have considered coordinates denoted by

$$(z_{11}, z_{12}, \dots, z_{1N}, \dots, z_{q1}, z_{q2}, \dots, z_{qN}; w_{11}, w_{12}, \dots, w_{1q}, \dots, w_{q1}, w_{q2}, \dots, w_{qq}) \in \mathbb{C}^{qN+q^2}.$$

In this regard, we consider

$$(2.2) \quad \{1, 2, 3, \dots, qN\} \equiv \{(1, 1), (1, 2), \dots, (1, N), (2, 1), (2, 2), \dots, (2, N), \vdots, \vdots, \ddots, \vdots, (q, 1), (q, 2), \dots, (q, N)\},$$

$$\{1, 2, 3, \dots, q^2\} \equiv \{(1, 1), (1, 2), \dots, (1, q), (2, 1), (2, 2), \dots, (2, q), \vdots, \vdots, \ddots, \vdots, (q, 1), (q, 2), \dots, (q, q)\}.$$

Then, we define

$$(2.3) \quad J := \begin{pmatrix} j_{11} & j_{12} & \cdots & j_{1m} \\ j_{21} & j_{22} & \cdots & j_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ j_{m1} & j_{m2} & \cdots & j_{mm} \end{pmatrix} \equiv (j_{11}, j_{12}, \dots, j_{1m}, j_{21}, j_{22}, \dots, j_{2m}, \dots, j_{m1}, j_{m2}, \dots, j_{mm}) \in \mathbb{N}^{m^2},$$

$$I := \begin{pmatrix} i_{11} & i_{12} & \cdots & i_{1N} \\ i_{21} & i_{22} & \cdots & i_{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ i_{m1} & i_{m2} & \cdots & i_{mN} \end{pmatrix} \equiv (i_{11}, i_{12}, \dots, i_{1N}, i_{21}, i_{22}, \dots, i_{2N}, \dots, i_{m1}, i_{m2}, \dots, i_{mN}) \in \mathbb{N}^{mN}.$$

In the light of (2.1) and (2.3), we define

$$(2.4) \quad |I| = i_{11} + i_{12} + \cdots + i_{1N} + i_{21} + i_{22} + \cdots + i_{2N} + \cdots + i_{m1} + i_{m2} + \cdots + i_{mN}, \quad \text{for } I \in \mathbb{N}^{m^2},$$

$$|J| = j_{11} + j_{12} + \cdots + j_{1m} + j_{21} + j_{22} + \cdots + j_{2m} + \cdots + j_{m1} + j_{m2} + \cdots + j_{mm}, \quad \text{for } J \in \mathbb{N}^{Nm}.$$

In the light of (2.1) and (2.3), we write

$$(2.5) \quad W^J = w_{11}^{j_{11}} w_{12}^{j_{12}} \cdots w_{1m}^{j_{1m}} w_{21}^{j_{21}} w_{22}^{j_{22}} \cdots w_{2m}^{j_{2m}} \cdots w_{m1}^{j_{m1}} w_{m2}^{j_{m2}} \cdots w_{mm}^{j_{mm}},$$

$$Z^I = z_{11}^{i_{11}} z_{12}^{i_{12}} \cdots z_{1N}^{i_{1N}} z_{21}^{i_{21}} z_{22}^{i_{22}} \cdots z_{2N}^{i_{2N}} \cdots z_{m1}^{i_{m1}} z_{m2}^{i_{m2}} \cdots z_{mN}^{i_{mN}}.$$

Generalizing the standard hermitian inner-product using the language of matrices, we define

$$(2.6) \quad \langle L, V \rangle = L \overline{V}^t, \quad \text{for } L \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}) \text{ and } V \in \mathcal{M}_{n,p}(\mathbb{C}), \text{ for } m, n, p \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

regardless of the above considered natural numbers, because it is desired to keep simple notations in order to start:

### 3. Mappings Between $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models

Replacing in (1.4) the generalized Cayley transformation[9], denoted by

$$(3.1) \quad S_{p,q} \ni \mathcal{C}(W, Z), \quad (\mathcal{C}(W, Z))^t = \frac{[W - \sqrt{-1}I_q, 2Z]}{W + \sqrt{-1}I_q},$$

we obtain the equation of the  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Model

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{BSD}: \quad \text{Im}W := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} (W - \overline{W}^t) = Z \overline{Z}^t.$$

Any formal (holomorphic) embedding, between Shilov Boundaries of Bounded and Symmetric Domains of First Type, induces naturally by (3.1) a formal (holomorphic) embedding, denoted by

$$(3.3) \quad (\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) \quad \text{and} \quad (F, G),$$

between the  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models, defined by

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{M}: \quad \text{Im}W = Z \overline{Z}^t \subset \mathbb{C}^{qN+q^2}, \quad \text{for } N = p - q,$$

$$\mathcal{M}': \quad \text{Im}W' = Z' \overline{Z}'^t \subset \mathbb{C}^{q'N'+q'^2}, \quad \text{for } N' = p' - q'.$$

More exactly, we have by (1.4), (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4) the following commutative diagram

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{(F, G)} & \mathcal{M}' \\ \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\ S_{p,q} & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{F}, \tilde{G})} & S_{p',q'} \end{array}$$

where the above equivalences are defined by Generalized Cayley Transformations like in (3.1).

Then, instead of working the given formal embedding from (3.3), we use its induced formal embedding from (3.3), between  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models in the light of (3.5), written by (2.1) in its matricial form as follows

$$(3.6) \quad G(W, Z) := \begin{pmatrix} G_{11}(W, Z) & G_{12}(W, Z) \\ G_{21}(W, Z) & G_{22}(W, Z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad F(W, Z) := \begin{pmatrix} F_1(W, Z) \\ F_2(W, Z) \end{pmatrix},$$

where we have used the following submatrices

- $G_{11}(W, Z)$  is a  $q \times q$  submatrix having formal power series in  $(W, Z)$  as entries,
- $G_{21}(W, Z)$  is a  $(q' - q) \times q$  submatrix having formal power series in  $(W, Z)$  as entries,
- $G_{12}(W, Z)$  is a  $q \times (q' - q)$  submatrix having formal power series in  $(W, Z)$  as entries,
- $G_{22}(W, Z)$  is a  $(q' - q) \times (q' - q)$  submatrix having formal power series in  $(W, Z)$  as entries,
- $F_1(W, Z)$  is a  $q \times (p' - q')$  submatrix having formal power series in  $(W, Z)$  as entries,
- $F_2(W, Z)$  is a  $(q' - q) \times (p' - q')$  submatrix having formal power series in  $(W, Z)$  as entries.

Equivalently, we write by (2.1) the matrices from (3.6), in terms of their entries, as follows

$$(3.7) \quad G(Z, W) = (g_{kl}(Z, W))_{1 \leq k, l \leq q'}, \quad F(Z, W) = (f_{kl}(Z, W))_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq p' - q' \\ 1 \leq k \leq q'}}$$

Now, we are ready to move forward:

#### 4. Defining Equations for $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models

Because (3.5) holds, it follows that  $(F, G)(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{M}'$ , or equivalently by (2.1), (2.5) and (3.4), we obtain

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Im}(G(Z, W)) = F(Z, W) \overline{F(Z, W)}^t.$$

or equivalently by (2.1) and (2.6), we obtain

$$\text{Im}(G(Z, W)) = \langle F(W, Z), F(W, Z) \rangle.$$

It follows by (2.1), (2.6), (3.4) and (3.6) the following equalities

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{11}(W, Z) - \overline{G_{11}(W, Z)}^t &= 2\sqrt{-1} \langle F_1(W, Z), F_1(W, Z) \rangle, \\ G_{22}(W, Z) - \overline{G_{22}(W, Z)}^t &= 2\sqrt{-1} \langle F_2(W, Z), F_2(W, Z) \rangle, \\ G_{12}(W, Z) - \overline{G_{21}(W, Z)}^t &= 2\sqrt{-1} \langle F_1(W, Z), F_2(W, Z) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then, (4.1) and (4.2) are the basic equations used, throughout the rest of this paper, in order to make the further computations by considering linear changes of coordinates preserving the  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models from (3.4). In particular, we consider rotation type and unitary type transformations in order to move forward using the following strategy:

#### 5. Linear Changes of Coordinates

For  $Z$  defined as in (2.1), we define by (2.2) the product of matrices

$$(5.1) \quad V \otimes Z = \left( \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^q v_{kl}^{ij} z_{kl} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq q \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}}.$$

for a matrix  $V$  denoted by the following identification

$$(5.2) \quad V = \left( v_{\alpha}^{\beta} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq \beta \leq qN \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq qN}} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{11}^{11} & v_{12}^{11} & \cdots & v_{1N}^{11} \\ v_{11}^{12} & v_{12}^{12} & \cdots & v_{1N}^{12} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{11}^{1N} & v_{12}^{1N} & \cdots & v_{1N}^{1N} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} v_{21}^{11} & v_{22}^{11} & \cdots & v_{2N}^{11} \\ v_{21}^{12} & v_{22}^{12} & \cdots & v_{2N}^{12} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{21}^{1N} & v_{22}^{1N} & \cdots & v_{2N}^{1N} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \cdots \\ \cdots \\ \vdots \\ \cdots \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} v_{q1}^{11} & v_{q2}^{11} & \cdots & v_{qN}^{11} \\ v_{q1}^{12} & v_{q2}^{12} & \cdots & v_{qN}^{12} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{q1}^{1N} & v_{q2}^{1N} & \cdots & v_{qN}^{1N} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} v_{11}^{21} & v_{12}^{21} & \cdots & v_{1N}^{21} \\ v_{11}^{22} & v_{12}^{22} & \cdots & v_{1N}^{22} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{11}^{2N} & v_{12}^{2N} & \cdots & v_{1N}^{2N} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} v_{21}^{21} & v_{22}^{21} & \cdots & v_{2N}^{21} \\ v_{21}^{22} & v_{22}^{22} & \cdots & v_{2N}^{22} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{21}^{2N} & v_{22}^{2N} & \cdots & v_{2N}^{2N} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \cdots \\ \cdots \\ \vdots \\ \cdots \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} v_{q1}^{21} & v_{q2}^{21} & \cdots & v_{qN}^{21} \\ v_{q1}^{22} & v_{q2}^{22} & \cdots & v_{qN}^{22} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{q1}^{2N} & v_{q2}^{2N} & \cdots & v_{qN}^{2N} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} v_{11}^{q1} & v_{12}^{q1} & \cdots & v_{1N}^{q1} \\ v_{11}^{q2} & v_{12}^{q2} & \cdots & v_{1N}^{q2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{11}^{qN} & v_{12}^{qN} & \cdots & v_{1N}^{qN} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} v_{21}^{q1} & v_{22}^{q1} & \cdots & v_{2N}^{q1} \\ v_{21}^{q2} & v_{22}^{q2} & \cdots & v_{2N}^{q2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{21}^{qN} & v_{22}^{qN} & \cdots & v_{2N}^{qN} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \cdots \\ \cdots \\ \vdots \\ \cdots \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} v_{q1}^{q1} & v_{q2}^{q1} & \cdots & v_{qN}^{q1} \\ v_{q1}^{q2} & v_{q2}^{q2} & \cdots & v_{qN}^{q2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{q1}^{qN} & v_{q2}^{qN} & \cdots & v_{qN}^{qN} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This identification (5.2) is crucial in order to construct linear changes of coordinates preserving  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models in order to reformulate coordinates with respect to (5.1). In particular, it is shown using (2.1), (4.2), and (5.1) the following crucial fact:

LEMMA 5.1. *For any invertible matrix*

$$(5.3) \quad A = \left( a_{kl}^{ij} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq q \\ 1 \leq k, l \leq q}} \in \mathcal{M}_{q^2 \times q^2}(\mathbb{C}) \text{ such that } a_{kl}^{ij} = \overline{a_{lk}^{ji}}, \quad \text{for all } k, l, i, j = 1, \dots, q,$$

*it exists an invertible matrix*

$$(5.4) \quad V = \left( v_{\alpha}^{\beta} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq \beta \leq qN \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq qN}} \in \mathcal{M}_{qN \times qN}(\mathbb{C}),$$

*such that*

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{A \otimes W - \overline{(A \otimes W)}^t}{2\sqrt{-1}} = (V \otimes Z) \overline{(V \otimes Z)}^t.$$

*Furthermore, (5.5) holds also replacing  $q$  with  $q'$ , and respectively  $N$  with  $N'$  as in (3.4).*

PROOF. We search for an invertible matrix  $V$  as in (5.1), (5.2), (5.4) such that (5.5) and (5.3) hold. In particular, the matrix  $A$  is just a real number  $a$  when  $q = 1$  in (5.3). Then, we chose  $V = \sqrt{a}I_N$ .

Next, we assume  $q = 2$  in (5.3). Then (5.3) may be explicitly written as follows

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{11}^{11} &= \overline{a_{11}^{11}}, & a_{12}^{11} &= \overline{a_{21}^{11}}, & a_{22}^{11} &= \overline{a_{22}^{11}}, \\ a_{11}^{12} &= \overline{a_{11}^{12}}, & a_{12}^{12} &= \overline{a_{21}^{12}}, & a_{11}^{22} &= \overline{a_{11}^{22}}, \\ a_{11}^{22} &= \overline{a_{11}^{22}}, & a_{12}^{22} &= \overline{a_{21}^{22}}, & a_{22}^{22} &= \overline{a_{22}^{22}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we search for a matrix like (5.4) satisfying (5.5). We obtain

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{11}^{11} \langle Z_1, Z_1 \rangle + a_{12}^{11} \langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle + \overline{a_{12}^{11}} \langle Z_2, Z_1 \rangle + a_{22}^{11} \langle Z_2, Z_2 \rangle &= \sum_{k'=1}^N \left( \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^N v_{lk}^{1k'} z_{lk} \right) \overline{\left( \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^N v_{lk}^{1k'} z_{lk} \right)}, \\ a_{11}^{12} \langle Z_1, Z_1 \rangle + a_{12}^{12} \langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle + \overline{a_{12}^{12}} \langle Z_2, Z_1 \rangle + a_{22}^{12} \langle Z_2, Z_2 \rangle &= \sum_{k'=1}^N \left( \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^N v_{lk}^{1k'} z_{lk} \right) \overline{\left( \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^N v_{lk}^{2k'} z_{lk} \right)}, \\ a_{11}^{22} \langle Z_1, Z_1 \rangle + a_{12}^{22} \langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle + \overline{a_{12}^{22}} \langle Z_2, Z_1 \rangle + a_{22}^{22} \langle Z_2, Z_2 \rangle &= \sum_{k'=1}^N \left( \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^N v_{lk}^{2k'} z_{lk} \right) \overline{\left( \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^N v_{lk}^{2k'} z_{lk} \right)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are the row vectors of the matrix  $Z$  from (2.1), because the  $(i, j)$ -entry of the matrix

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{A \otimes W - \overline{(A \otimes W)}^t}{2\sqrt{-1}}, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, 2,$$

is computed by (3.4) and (5.3) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_{11}^{ij} w_{11} + a_{12}^{ij} w_{12} + a_{21}^{ij} w_{21} + a_{22}^{ij} w_{22} - \overline{(a_{11}^{ij} w_{11} + a_{12}^{ij} w_{12} + a_{21}^{ij} w_{21} + a_{22}^{ij} w_{22})}}{2\sqrt{-1}} &= a_{11}^{ij} \langle Z_1, Z_1 \rangle \\ &+ \\ &a_{12}^{ij} \langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle + \overline{a_{12}^{ij}} \langle Z_2, Z_1 \rangle + a_{22}^{ij} \langle Z_2, Z_2 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i, j = 1, 2$ , where (5.6) holds using the following replacements

$$(5.9) \quad w_{11} = \operatorname{Re} w_{11} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_1, Z_1 \rangle, \quad w_{22} = \operatorname{Re} w_{11} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_2, Z_2 \rangle, \quad w_{12} = w_{21} - \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{Re} w_{11} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle.$$

Next, we collect by (2.1) terms in  $(Z_1, \overline{Z_1})$ ,  $(Z_1, \overline{Z_2})$ ,  $(Z_2, \overline{Z_1})$ ,  $(Z_2, \overline{Z_2})$  from (5.7). We obtain

$$(5.10) \quad a_{ij}^{l'l'} \langle Z_i, Z_j \rangle = \sum_{k'=1}^N \left( \sum_{k=1}^N v_{ik}^{lk'} z_{ik} \right) \overline{\left( \sum_{k=1}^N v_{jk}^{l'k'} z_{jk} \right)}, \quad \text{for all } l, l', i, j = 1, 2.$$

It remains to prove the invertibility of the following matrix of blocks

$$(5.11) \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} \left( v_{1k}^{1k'} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N}^{1 \leq k' \leq N} & \left( v_{2k}^{1k'} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N}^{1 \leq k' \leq N} \\ \left( v_{1k}^{2k'} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N}^{1 \leq k' \leq N} & \left( v_{2k}^{2k'} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N}^{1 \leq k' \leq N} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{2N^2 \times 2N^2}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Analysing (5.10), we obtain

$$(5.12) \quad \left( v_{ik}^{lk'} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N}^{1 \leq k' \leq N} \overline{\left( v_{jk}^{l'k'} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N}^{1 \leq k' \leq N}}^t = a_{ij}^{l'l'} I_N, \quad \text{for all } i, j, l, l' = 1, 2.$$

We assume that the matrix  $V$  is not invertible. Denoting by  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{2N}$  its row vectors, it follows that

$$(5.13) \quad \exists r_1, r_2 \in 1, \dots, 2N \text{ with } r_1 \neq r_2 \text{ and } \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \mathcal{L}_{r_1} = \lambda \mathcal{L}_{r_2}.$$

It remains to study the following cases:

**Case  $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \in 1, \dots, \mathbf{N}$ :** Because of (5.13), it follows that

$$\det \left( \left( v_{1k}^{1k'} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N}^{1 \leq k' \leq N} \right) = 0, \quad \det \left( \overline{\left( v_{1k}^{2k'} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N}^{1 \leq k' \leq N}}^t \right) = 0,$$

which implies by (5.6), (5.7) and (5.12) that  $a_{11}^{11} = a_{12}^{11} = a_{21}^{11} = a_{22}^{11} = 0$ . Contradiction, because the matrix  $A$  is invertible.

**Case  $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \in \mathbf{N} + 1, \dots, 2\mathbf{N}$ :** It is similarly solved as previously. The further details are left to the reader as exercise.

**Case  $\mathbf{r}_1 \in 1, \dots, \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_2 \in \mathbf{N} + 1, \dots, 2\mathbf{N}$ :** Considering linear invertible holomorphic changes of coordinates, like in (5.5), preserving the first BSD-Model from (3.4), we assume  $r_1, r_2 \in 1, \dots, N$  according to the next Remark. Repeating the above arguments, we obtain a contradiction, because the matrix  $A$  was considered invertible. It follows that (5.5) holds, because these explanations may be extended to any  $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Also (5.5) holds replacing  $q$  with  $q'$ , and respectively  $N$  with  $N'$ , according to similar notations as in (2.1), (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3), because the previous explanations may be reproduced. Proof completed.  $\square$

Recalling (5.1), (5.2) and (5.7), it remains to prove:

REMARK 5.2. Let  $k, k' \in 1, \dots, q$  and  $l, l' \in 1, \dots, N \geq 3$  with  $l \neq l'$ . There exist invertible matrices, denoted by

$$(5.14) \quad V = \left( v_{\alpha}^{\beta} \right)_{1 \leq \alpha \leq qN}^{1 \leq \beta \leq qN} \in \mathcal{M}_{qN \times qN}(\mathbb{C}), \quad A = \left( a_{kl}^{ij} \right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq q}^{1 \leq i, j \leq q} \in \mathcal{M}_{q^2 \times q^2}(\mathbb{C}),$$

creating a change of coordinates preserving the entries of the matrix  $Z$ , excepting  $z_{kl}$ , which is transformed in  $z_{k'l'}$ , and excepting  $z_{k'l'}$ , which is transformed in  $z_{kl}$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  is chosen such that  $\alpha \overline{\alpha} = 1$  and  $\alpha^2 \neq \overline{\alpha}^2$ , in order to hold

$$(5.15) \quad \frac{A \otimes W - \overline{(A \otimes W)}^t}{2\sqrt{-1}} = (V \otimes Z) \overline{(V \otimes Z)}^t.$$

Furthermore, (5.15) holds also replacing  $q$  with  $q'$ , and respectively  $N$  with  $N'$  as in (3.4).

PROOF. In order to simplify computations, we assume  $q = 2$  and  $N = 3$  in order to introduce by (5.11) the following matrices

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} v_{11} &= \left( v_{1k}^{1k'} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N}^{1 \leq k' \leq N}, & v_{12} &= \left( v_{2k}^{1k'} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N}^{1 \leq k' \leq N}, \\ v_{21} &= \left( v_{1k}^{2k'} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N}^{1 \leq k' \leq N}, & v_{22} &= \left( v_{2k}^{2k'} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N}^{1 \leq k' \leq N}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, (5.10) and (5.11) hold. It follows that

$$(5.17) \quad a_{ij}^{l'l'} \langle Z_i, Z_j \rangle = \langle v_{il} Z_i^t, v_{jl'} Z_j^t \rangle, \quad \text{for all } l, l', i, j = 1, 2.$$

For cases like  $l = 1$ ,  $l' = 2$ ,  $k = 2$  and  $k' = 3$  in (5.17), we chose

$$\begin{aligned} v_{11} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & v_{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ v_{21} &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & v_{22} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, (5.16) implies

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{11} & a_{12}^{11} & a_{21}^{11} & a_{22}^{11} \\ a_{11}^{12} & a_{12}^{12} & a_{21}^{12} & a_{22}^{12} \\ a_{11}^{21} & a_{12}^{21} & a_{21}^{21} & a_{22}^{21} \\ a_{11}^{22} & a_{12}^{22} & a_{21}^{22} & a_{22}^{22} \\ a_{11}^{11} & a_{12}^{11} & a_{21}^{11} & a_{22}^{11} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \alpha & \bar{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\alpha} & \alpha & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is non-degenerate, because  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  is chosen such that  $\alpha \bar{\alpha} = 1$  and  $\alpha^2 \neq \bar{\alpha}^2$ .

For cases like  $l = 2$ ,  $l' = 1$  and  $k = k' = 2$  in (5.17), we chose

$$\begin{aligned} v_{11} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & v_{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ v_{21} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ \alpha & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & v_{22} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, (5.16) implies (5.18) like above. Proof completed, because the previous computations may be generalized in order to find invertible matrices  $A$  and  $V$  like in (5.14).  $\square$

Next, it is required by (2.1), (2.6) (3.1), (3.5), (3.6), (5.1) and (5.2) to consider:

## 6. Normalizations for Formal Embeddings

Performing by (2.1), (5.1) and (5.2) several linear invertible holomorphic changes of coordinates preserving  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models in the light of Lemma 5.1, we study the formal embedding (3.6) using by (3.5) the following commutative diagram

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{(F,G)} & \mathcal{M}' \\ \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\ \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{(F,G)} & \mathcal{M}'. \end{array}$$

We obtain:

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let  $(F, G)$  be the formal embedding from (3.5). Then, up to compositions with suitable linear holomorphic automorphisms of the  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models from (3.4), we obtain*

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} G_{11}(Z, W) & G_{12}(Z, W) \\ G_{21}(Z, W) & G_{22}(Z, W) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} W + O(2) & O(2) \\ O(2) & O(2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} F_1(Z, W) \\ F_2(Z, W) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} O(1) \\ O(2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROOF. We write by (5.1) and (5.2) as follows

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{11}(W) &= A \otimes W + O(2), & G_{12}(W) &= B \otimes W + O(2), \\ G_{21}(W) &= C \otimes W + O(2), & G_{22}(W) &= D \otimes W + O(2), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used by (5.2) the following matrices

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} A &= \left( a_{\alpha}^{\beta} \right)_{1 \leq \alpha \leq q^2}^{1 \leq \beta \leq q^2} \in \mathcal{M}_{q^2 \times q^2}(\mathbb{C}), & B &= \left( b_{\alpha}^{\beta} \right)_{1 \leq \alpha \leq q^2}^{1 \leq \beta \leq q(q'-q)} \in \mathcal{M}_{q(q'-q) \times q^2}(\mathbb{C}), \\ C &= \left( c_{\alpha}^{\beta} \right)_{1 \leq \alpha \leq q(q'-q)}^{1 \leq \beta \leq q^2} \in \mathcal{M}_{q^2 \times q(q'-q)}(\mathbb{C}), & D &= \left( d_{\alpha}^{\beta} \right)_{1 \leq \alpha \leq q(q'-q)}^{1 \leq \beta \leq q(q'-q)} \in \mathcal{M}_{q(q'-q) \times q(q'-q)}(\mathbb{C}), \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, we rewrite (6.4) by (2.2) and (5.2) as follows

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} A^{ij} &= \left( a_{kl}^{ij} \right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq q}, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, q, \\ B^{ij} &= \left( b_{kl}^{ij} \right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq q}, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, q \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, q' - q, \\ C^{ij} &= \left( c_{kl}^{ij} \right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq q}, \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, q \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, q' - q, \\ D^{ij} &= \left( d_{kl}^{ij} \right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq q}, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, q' - q. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we replace (3.6) in (4.1) using (6.3), (6.4) and (6.5) in order to extract linear parts. We obtain

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{A \otimes W - \overline{A \otimes W}^t}{2\sqrt{-1}} &= \left\langle F_1^{(1)}(Z), F_1^{(1)}(Z) \right\rangle, \\ \frac{B \otimes W - \overline{C \otimes W}^t}{2\sqrt{-1}} &= \left\langle F_1^{(1)}(Z), F_2^{(1)}(Z) \right\rangle, \\ \frac{D \otimes W - \overline{D \otimes W}^t}{2\sqrt{-1}} &= \left\langle F_2^{(1)}(Z), F_2^{(1)}(Z) \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we deal with linear parts in  $Z$ , denoted by

$$(6.7) \quad F_1^{(1)}(Z) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2^{(1)}(Z),$$

of the matrices  $F_1(Z, W)$  and  $F_2(Z, W)$ , which have formal power series as entries.

Now, we rewrite the diagonal entries separately from the non-diagonal entries in (3.4). We obtain

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{w_{kl} - \overline{w_{lk}}}{2\sqrt{-1}} &= \langle Z_k, Z_l \rangle, \quad \text{for all } k \neq l \text{ and } k, l = 1, \dots, q, \\ \text{Im} w_{kk} &= \langle Z_k, Z_k \rangle, \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, q, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the row vectors of the matrix  $Z$  from (2.1), denoted by

$$(6.9) \quad Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_q.$$

Studying the second matrix equation of (6.6), it follows by (2.2), (5.2), (6.4), (6.5) and (6.8) that

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{aligned} b_{ll}^{ij} (\text{Re} w_{ll} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_l, Z_l \rangle) - \overline{c_{ll}^{ji}} (\text{Re} w_{ll} - \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_l, Z_l \rangle) &= T_{ijll}(Z, \overline{Z}), \quad \text{for all corresponding } i, j, \\ b_{kl}^{ij} (\overline{w_{lk}} + 2\sqrt{-1} \langle Z_k, Z_l \rangle) - \overline{c_{lk}^{ji}} (\overline{w_{lk}}) &= T_{ijkl}(Z, \overline{Z}), \quad \text{for all corresponding } i, j \text{ and } k \neq l, \end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand sides in (6.10) depend only on  $Z$  and  $\overline{Z}$ . It follows that

$$(6.11) \quad b_{kl}^{ij} = \overline{c_{lk}^{ji}}, \quad \text{for all } k, l = 1, \dots, q \text{ and corresponding } i, j.$$

Moreover, repeating the above arguments for the all equations from (6.6), we obtain

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} A^{ij} &= \overline{A^{ji}}^t, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, q, \\ B^{ij} &= \overline{C^{ji}}^t, \quad \text{for all corresponding } i, j, \\ D^{ij} &= \overline{D^{ji}}^t, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, q' - q. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we assume that the matrix  $A$  is invertible. It follows that (5.3) is satisfied, because the first equality from (6.12) holds. We write

$$(6.13) \quad \frac{A \otimes W - (\overline{A \otimes W})^t}{2\sqrt{-1}} = (V \otimes Z) (\overline{V \otimes Z})^t, \quad \text{for some invertible matrix } V \in \mathcal{M}_{qN \times qN}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Next, we define by (5.1) and (5.2) the following invertible linear change of coordinates

$$(6.14) \quad \tilde{W} = A^{-1} \otimes W, \quad \tilde{Z} = V^{-1} \otimes Z,$$

which preserves the BSD-Model  $\mathcal{M}'$  from (3.4), because (6.13) holds. It follows that

$$(6.15) \quad G_{11}(\tilde{W}) = \tilde{W}.$$

In these coordinates (6.14), it follows by (3.6), (6.6) and (6.15) that

$$(6.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{W} - \overline{\tilde{W}}^t}{2\sqrt{-1}} &= \left\langle F_1^{(1)}(V^{-1} \otimes \tilde{Z}), F_1^{(1)}(V^{-1} \otimes \tilde{Z}) \right\rangle, \\ \frac{\tilde{B} \otimes (\tilde{W} - \overline{\tilde{W}}^t)}{2\sqrt{-1}} &= \left\langle F_1^{(1)}(V^{-1} \otimes \tilde{Z}), F_2^{(1)}(V^{-1} \otimes \tilde{Z}) \right\rangle, \\ \frac{\tilde{D} \otimes (\tilde{W} - \overline{\tilde{W}}^t)}{2\sqrt{-1}} &= \left\langle F_2^{(1)}(V^{-1} \otimes \tilde{Z}), F_2^{(1)}(V^{-1} \otimes \tilde{Z}) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

where we have used by (5.2), (6.4), and (6.5) the matrix  $\tilde{D} = D \diamond A^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_{q(q'-q) \times q(q'-q)}(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $\diamond$  defines an obvious rule of multiplication of matrices: its entries are defined by

$$(6.17) \quad \left\langle \left( d_{kl}^{ij} \right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq q}, \left( \overline{A^{-1}} \right)^t \right\rangle, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1 \dots, q' - q.$$

Such notation as (6.17) has sense, because in the light of (2.1) the matrices

$$\left( \overline{A^{-1}} \right)^t, \quad \left( d_{kl}^{ij} \right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq q}, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1 \dots, q' - q,$$

may be seen as vectors, where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the standard hermitian inner-product.

Moreover, we introduce the matrices  $\tilde{B} = B \diamond A^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_{q(q'-q) \times q^2}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\tilde{C} = C \diamond A^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_{q^2 \times q(q'-q)}(\mathbb{C})$ , where the product of matrices  $C \diamond A^{-1}$  has the following entries

$$\left\langle \left( c_{kl}^{ij} \right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq q}, \left( \overline{A^{-1}} \right)^t \right\rangle, \quad \text{for all } j = 1 \dots, q' - q \text{ and } i = 1 \dots, q,$$

and respectively, the product of matrices  $B \diamond A^{-1}$  has the following entries

$$\left\langle \left( b_{kl}^{ij} \right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq q}, \left( \overline{A^{-1}} \right)^t \right\rangle, \quad \text{for all } i = 1 \dots, q' - q \text{ and } j = 1 \dots, q.$$

Now, we move forward in order to study the last two equations from (6.16) using notations like (6.4) and (6.5). Repeating the arguments related to (6.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}^{ij} &= \overline{\tilde{C}^{ji}}^t, \quad \text{for all corresponding } i, j, \\ \tilde{D}^{ij} &= \overline{\tilde{D}^{ji}}^t, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1 \dots, q' - q. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the equations (6.16) are simplified using the following linear change of coordinates

$$(6.18) \quad E' \otimes W' = \begin{pmatrix} W'_{11} & W'_{12} - \tilde{B} \otimes W'_{11} \\ W'_{21} - \tilde{C} \otimes W'_{11} & W'_{22} - \tilde{D} \otimes W'_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We observe that (6.18) satisfies (5.3). Then, we write

$$(6.19) \quad \frac{E' \otimes W' - \left( E' \otimes W' \right)^t}{2\sqrt{-1}} = (V' \otimes Z') \left( \overline{V' \otimes Z'} \right)^t, \quad \text{for an invertible matrix } V' \in \mathcal{M}_{qN \times qN}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Next, we continue the computations using the following linear change of coordinates

$$(6.20) \quad (W, Z) := (E'^{-1} \otimes W', V'^{-1} \otimes Z'),$$

which preserves by (6.19) the  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Model  $\mathcal{M}'$  from (3.4), eliminating also the matrices  $\tilde{B}, \tilde{C}, \tilde{D}$  from (6.16).

Now, we move forward using the following notations

$$(6.21) \quad \begin{aligned} G(Z, W) &:= E'^{-1} \otimes G(V^{-1} \otimes Z, A^{-1} \otimes W), \\ F(Z, W) &:= V'^{-1} \otimes F(V^{-1} \otimes Z, A^{-1} \otimes W). \end{aligned}$$

Then, (6.2) holds in these coordinates (6.20) and (6.21), because we obtain

$$\left\langle F_2^{(1)}(Z), F_2^{(1)}(Z) \right\rangle = 0,$$

which implies  $F_2^{(1)}(Z) = 0$ , but it remains to prove that we can assume that the matrix  $A$  is invertible in (6.6).

Firstly, it is assumed that there exists a non-degenerate minor of type  $q^2 \times q^2$  in the Jacobian-matrix of  $G(0, W)$ , which is of type  $q'^2 \times q'^2$ , and depends on the entries of the matrix  $W$  from (2.1). Then, any permutation of entries, on the left-hand side in (3.4), gives new coordinates for the  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Model  $\mathcal{M}'$  in (3.4). It follows that we can assume that the matrix  $A$  is invertible. Then, (6.2) follows like above.

Secondly, we assume that it does not exist a non-degenerate minor of type  $q^2 \times q^2$  in the Jacobian-matrix of  $G(0, W)$ . Simple subtractions between entries in (6.6), like in (6.18) and like above, define new coordinates for the  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Model  $\mathcal{M}'$  in (3.4). We can assume that all the entries, of the Jacobian-matrix of  $G(0, W)$ , vanish excepting the entries of the first  $q^2 \times q^2$  block of entries, which must have vanishing determinant according to the last assumption. Lemma 5.1 applies again in order to assume that it exists a row vector with vanishing entries for this minor. It follows the existence of at least a vanishing diagonal entry in the right-hand side of the first equation from (6.6). Then, it exists a row vector with vanishing entries for the first matrix in (6.7). Contradiction, because  $(F, G)$  is an embedding. Proof completed.  $\square$

Next, we simplify furthermore (6.2) by applying a normalization procedure from Baouendi-Huang[1] as follows:

## 7. Application of the Normalization Procedure from Baouendi-Huang[1]

Before beginning, we introduce the following matrix

$$(7.1) \quad W' := \begin{pmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} & \dots & w_{1q} & w_{1q+1} & \dots & w_{1q'} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{q1} & w_{q2} & \dots & w_{qq} & w_{qq+1} & \dots & w_{qq'} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{q'1} & w_{q'2} & \dots & w_{q'q} & w_{q'q+1} & \dots & w_{q'q'} \end{pmatrix},$$



and we denote by  $Z'_1, Z'_2, \dots, Z'_{q'}$  the row vectors of the following matrix

$$(7.2) \quad Z' := \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} & \cdots & z_{1N} & z_{1\ N+1} & \cdots & z_{1\ 2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{q1} & z_{q2} & \cdots & z_{qN} & z_{q\ N+1} & \cdots & z_{q\ 2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{q'1} & z_{q'2} & \cdots & z_{q'N} & z_{q'\ N+1} & \cdots & z_{q'\ 2N} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we are ready using (6.9), (7.1) and (7.2) to prove:

PROPOSITION 7.1. *Let  $(F, G)$  be a formal (holomorphic) embedding as in (3.6) and (6.2). Then, up to compositions with linear holomorphic automorphisms of the BSD-Models defined in (3.4), we obtain*

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} F_1(Z, W) \\ F_2(Z, W) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Z + O(2) & O(2) \\ O(2) & O(2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROOF. Denoting by  $R_1(Z), R_2(Z), \dots, R_q(Z)$  the row vectors of the first matrix from (6.7), the first equation from (6.16) gives

$$(7.4) \quad \langle R_i(Z), R_j(Z) \rangle = \langle Z_i, Z_j \rangle, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, q,$$

or equivalently, we write

$$R_l(Z) = \sum_{j=1}^q R_l(Z_j), \quad R_k(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^q R_k(Z_i), \quad \text{for all } k, l = 1, \dots, q,$$

in order to make appropriate replacements in (7.4). We obtain the following equalities

$$(7.5) \quad \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^q R_k(Z_i), \sum_{l=1}^q R_l(Z_j) \right\rangle = \sum_{k,l=1}^q \langle R_k(Z_j), R_l(Z_i) \rangle = \langle Z_i, Z_j \rangle, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, q,$$

Then, identify suitable bi-degree terms in (7.5). We obtain

$$(7.6) \quad \langle R_i(Z_i), R_j(Z_j) \rangle = \langle Z_i, Z_j \rangle, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, q,$$

otherwise, we obtain

$$(7.7) \quad \langle R_k(Z_i), R_l(Z_j) \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } k, l, i, j = 1, \dots, q \text{ with } k \neq i \text{ and } l \neq j.$$

In particular, (7.7) gives

$$\langle R_k(Z_i), R_k(Z_i) \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } k, i = 1, \dots, q \text{ with } k \neq i,$$

which gives  $R_j(Z) = R_j(Z_j)$ , for all  $j = 1, \dots, q$ .

Next, we introduce the set of matrices denoted by  $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i=1, \dots, q}$  and defined by the following sets of row vectors

$$(7.8) \quad \{\alpha_1(i), \alpha_2(i), \dots, \alpha_N(i)\}_{i=1, \dots, q} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}^N,$$

satisfying which the following orthogonality properties

$$(7.9) \quad \langle \alpha_u(i), \alpha_l(j) \rangle = \delta_u^l \cdot \delta_i^j, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, q \text{ and } u, l = 1, \dots, p - q.$$

Then, Baouendi-Huang's Normalization Procedure[1] applies for (7.8) in the light of (7.9). We obtain a set of matrices denoted by  $\{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_i\}_{i=1, \dots, q}$  and defined by the following sets of row vectors

$$\{\alpha_1(i), \alpha_2(i), \dots, \alpha_N(i), \alpha_{N+1}^*(i), \dots, \alpha_{2N}^*(i)\}_{i=1, \dots, q} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}^{2N},$$

which from orthonormal bases according to Baouendi-Huang's Normalization Procedure[1].

Going further, we consider the matrix  $Z^*$  of row vectors  $Z_1^* = Z'_1 \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1^{-1}, \dots, Z_q^* = Z'_q \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_q^{-1}$  and  $Z_{q+1}^* = Z'_{q+1}, \dots, Z_{q'}^* = Z'_{q'}$ . Then, (7.3) is provided by the composition

$$F^* = \tau_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2, \dots, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_q}^* \circ F, \quad \text{for } \tau_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2, \dots, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_q}^*(Z) = Z^*.$$

□

Now, we more forward in order to consider more normalizations:

## 8. Further Normalizations

In order to understand the structure of the embedding (8.1) in the light of (2.1), (2.2), (3.6) and (7.3), we write

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} F_1(Z, W) \\ F_2(Z, W) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + A \otimes W + O(3),$$

where we have used by (2.2) the product of matrices

$$(8.2) \quad A \otimes W = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k,l=1}^{q'} a_{kl}^{ij} w_{kl} \end{pmatrix}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq q' \\ 1 \leq j \leq N'}}.$$

for a matrix  $A$  denoted by the following identification

$$(8.3) \quad A = \left( a_{\beta}^{\alpha} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha \leq q' N' \\ 1 \leq \beta \leq q'^2}} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{11} & a_{12}^{11} & \cdots & a_{1q'}^{11} \\ a_{11}^{12} & a_{12}^{12} & \cdots & a_{1q'}^{12} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{11}^{1q'} & a_{12}^{1q'} & \cdots & a_{1q'}^{1q'} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a_{21}^{11} & a_{22}^{11} & \cdots & a_{2q'}^{11} \\ a_{21}^{12} & a_{22}^{12} & \cdots & a_{2q'}^{12} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{21}^{1q'} & a_{22}^{1q'} & \cdots & a_{2q'}^{1q'} \end{pmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{pmatrix} a_{q'1}^{11} & a_{q'2}^{11} & \cdots & a_{q'q'}^{11} \\ a_{q'1}^{12} & a_{q'2}^{12} & \cdots & a_{q'q'}^{12} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{q'1}^{1q'} & a_{q'2}^{1q'} & \cdots & a_{q'q'}^{1q'} \end{pmatrix} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{N'1} & a_{12}^{N'1} & \cdots & a_{1q'}^{N'1} \\ a_{11}^{N'2} & a_{12}^{N'2} & \cdots & a_{1q'}^{N'2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{11}^{N'q'} & a_{12}^{N'q'} & \cdots & a_{1q'}^{N'q'} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} a_{21}^{N'1} & a_{22}^{N'1} & \cdots & a_{2q'}^{N'1} \\ a_{21}^{N'2} & a_{22}^{N'2} & \cdots & a_{2q'}^{N'2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{21}^{N'q'} & a_{22}^{N'q'} & \cdots & a_{2q'}^{N'q'} \end{pmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{pmatrix} a_{q'1}^{N'1} & a_{q'2}^{N'1} & \cdots & a_{q'q'}^{N'1} \\ a_{q'1}^{N'2} & a_{q'2}^{N'2} & \cdots & a_{q'q'}^{N'2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{q'1}^{N'q'} & a_{q'2}^{N'q'} & \cdots & a_{q'q'}^{N'q'} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

taking also in consideration the following parameters

$$(8.4) \quad r_{ij}^{ab} = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{\partial^2 g_{ji}}{\partial w_{aa} \partial w_{bb}}(0) + \frac{\partial^2 g_{ij}}{\partial w_{aa} \partial w_{bb}}(0)}{2}, & \text{for all } a, b, i, j = 1, \dots, q, \\ 0, & \text{for all } a, b = 1, \dots, q, i, j = 1, \dots, q' \text{ with } i, j \notin \{1, \dots, q\}. \end{cases}$$

Regardless that  $q \leq q'$ , the elements the sets  $\{r_{ab}^{ij}\}_{a,b=1,\dots,q}^{i,j=1,\dots,q}$  induce the matrix  $R(W)$  of the entries

$$\begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_{11}^{11} \cdot w_{11} & r_{12}^{11} \cdot w_{12} & \cdots & r_{1q}^{11} \cdot w_{1q} \\ r_{11}^{12} \cdot w_{11} & r_{12}^{12} \cdot w_{12} & \cdots & r_{1q}^{12} \cdot w_{1q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{11}^{1q} \cdot w_{11} & r_{12}^{1q} \cdot w_{12} & \cdots & r_{1q}^{1q} \cdot w_{1q} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} r_{21}^{11} \cdot w_{21} & r_{22}^{11} \cdot w_{22} & \cdots & r_{2q}^{11} \cdot w_{2q} \\ r_{21}^{12} \cdot w_{21} & r_{22}^{12} \cdot w_{22} & \cdots & r_{2q}^{12} \cdot w_{2q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{21}^{1q} \cdot w_{21} & r_{22}^{1q} \cdot w_{22} & \cdots & r_{2q}^{1q} \cdot w_{2q} \end{pmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{pmatrix} r_{q'1}^{11} \cdot w_{q'1} & r_{q'2}^{11} \cdot w_{q'2} & \cdots & r_{q'q}^{11} \cdot w_{q'q} \\ r_{q'1}^{12} \cdot w_{q'1} & r_{q'2}^{12} \cdot w_{q'2} & \cdots & r_{q'q}^{12} \cdot w_{q'q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{q'1}^{1q} \cdot w_{q'1} & r_{q'2}^{1q} \cdot w_{q'2} & \cdots & r_{q'q}^{1q} \cdot w_{q'q} \end{pmatrix} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \begin{pmatrix} r_{11}^{q'1} \cdot w_{11} & r_{12}^{q'1} \cdot w_{12} & \cdots & r_{1q}^{q'1} \cdot w_{1q} \\ r_{11}^{q'2} \cdot w_{11} & r_{12}^{q'2} \cdot w_{12} & \cdots & r_{1q}^{q'2} \cdot w_{1q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{11}^{q'q} \cdot w_{11} & r_{12}^{q'q} \cdot w_{12} & \cdots & r_{1q}^{q'q} \cdot w_{1q} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} r_{21}^{q'1} \cdot w_{21} & r_{22}^{q'1} \cdot w_{22} & \cdots & r_{2q}^{q'1} \cdot w_{2q} \\ r_{21}^{q'2} \cdot w_{21} & r_{22}^{q'2} \cdot w_{22} & \cdots & r_{2q}^{q'2} \cdot w_{2q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{21}^{q'q} \cdot w_{21} & r_{22}^{q'q} \cdot w_{22} & \cdots & r_{2q}^{q'q} \cdot w_{2q} \end{pmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{pmatrix} r_{q'1}^{q'1} \cdot w_{q'1} & r_{q'2}^{q'1} \cdot w_{q'2} & \cdots & r_{q'q}^{q'1} \cdot w_{q'q} \\ r_{q'1}^{q'2} \cdot w_{q'1} & r_{q'2}^{q'2} \cdot w_{q'2} & \cdots & r_{q'q}^{q'2} \cdot w_{q'q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{q'1}^{q'q} \cdot w_{q'1} & r_{q'2}^{q'q} \cdot w_{q'2} & \cdots & r_{q'q}^{q'q} \cdot w_{q'q} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because  $W$  may be identified to the first  $q^2 \times q^2$  block of the matrix  $W'$  from (3.4), we use the identification

$$(8.5) \quad R(W') \equiv \begin{pmatrix} R(W) & \mathbf{O}_{q^2 \times q'^2 - q^2} \\ \mathbf{O}_{q'^2 - q^2 \times q^2} & I_{q'^2 - q^2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{q'^2 \times q'^2}(\mathbb{C}).$$

The actions of the matrices  $A$  and  $R$  are complicated in (8.1). It is required to eliminate their entries from (8.4). In this regard, we consider changes of coordinates preserving  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models. In particular, we follow Baouendi-Huang[1] and Chern-Moser[5] in order to establish analogues of the normalizations (2.5) from Huang[12]. In this regard, we consider changes of coordinates, using the language of matrices previously used in order to define (5.1), (5.2) and (8.3), in order to eliminate the parameters (8.3) and (8.4) from (8.1). In particular, we use (8.5) in order to find a linear change of coordinates preserving the  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Model  $\mathcal{M}'$  from (3.4):

**8.1. Elimination of the matrix  $R$ .** We define

$$(8.6) \quad T_1(W', Z') = \left( \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \otimes W', V \otimes Z' \right), \quad \text{for suitable } V = V(W', \overline{W'}) \in \mathcal{M}_{q'N' \times q'N'}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Then  $T_1$  preserves the  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Model  $\mathcal{M}'$  from (3.4) if

$$(8.7) \quad \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \otimes W' - \left( \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \otimes W' \right)^t = 2\sqrt{-1} (V \otimes Z') \overline{(V \otimes Z')}^t,$$

when (3.4) holds, or equivalently, we have

$$\left( \left( \frac{\overline{I_{q'/2} + R(W')}}{I_{q'/2} + R(W')} \right)^t \cdot \frac{1}{I_{q'/2} + R(W')} \right) \otimes W' - \overline{\left( \left( \frac{I_{q'/2} + R(W')}{\overline{I_{q'/2} + R(W')}} \right)^t \cdot \frac{1}{\overline{I_{q'/2} + R(W')}} \right) \otimes W'} = 2\sqrt{-1} (V \otimes Z') \overline{(V \otimes Z')^t},$$

when (3.4) holds, or equivalently, we have

$$(8.8) \quad \tilde{A}(W', \overline{W'}) \otimes \left( \left( \frac{\overline{I_{q'/2} + R(W')}}{I_{q'/2} + R(W')} \right)^t \otimes W' - \overline{\left( \frac{I_{q'/2} + R(W')}{\overline{I_{q'/2} + R(W')}} \right)^t \otimes W'} \right) = 2\sqrt{-1} (V \otimes Z') \overline{(V \otimes Z')^t},$$

when (3.4) holds, because the matrix  $\tilde{A}(W', \overline{W'})$  is hermitian, where we have used the notation

$$(8.9) \quad \tilde{A}(W', \overline{W'}) = \frac{1}{(I_{q'/2} + R(W')) \cdot \overline{(I_{q'/2} + R(W'))^t}}.$$

Then, (8.8) holds because

$$\left( \frac{\overline{I_{q'/2} + R(W')}}{I_{q'/2} + R(W')} \right)^t \cdot (I_{q'/2} + R(W')) = (I_{q'/2} + R(W')) \cdot \overline{(I_{q'/2} + R(W'))^t},$$

which holds, because it is equivalent to

$$I_{q'/2} + R(W') + \left( \frac{\overline{R(W')}}{R(W')} \right)^t + R(W') \cdot \left( \frac{\overline{R(W')}}{R(W')} \right)^t = I_{q'/2} + R(W') + \left( \frac{\overline{R(W')}}{R(W')} \right)^t + \left( \frac{\overline{R(W')}}{R(W')} \right)^t \cdot R(W'),$$

which clearly holds, because  $\left( \frac{\overline{R(W')}}{R(W')} \right)^t \cdot R(W') = R(W') \cdot \left( \frac{\overline{R(W')}}{R(W')} \right)^t$ .

Also, because  $\overline{R(W')^t} \otimes W' = W' \otimes \overline{R(W')^t}$ , it follows that

$$\left( \frac{\overline{I_{q'/2} + R(W')}}{I_{q'/2} + R(W')} \right)^t \otimes W' - \overline{\left( \frac{I_{q'/2} + R(W')}{\overline{I_{q'/2} + R(W')}} \right)^t \otimes W'} = W' - \overline{W'^t} + \overline{R(W')^t} \otimes W' - \overline{\left( \frac{\overline{R(W')^t}}{R(W')^t} \otimes W' \right)^t} = W' - \overline{W'^t}.$$

Then, (8.8) transforms like

$$(8.10) \quad \tilde{A}(W', \overline{W'}) \otimes (W' - \overline{W'^t}) = 2\sqrt{-1} (V \otimes Z') \overline{(V \otimes Z')^t},$$

when (3.4) holds.

It follows that (8.7) is equivalent by (8.9) and (8.5) to (8.10) when (3.4) holds, for suitable chosen  $V$  as in (8.6), because the matrix  $\tilde{A}(W', \overline{W'})$  is hermitian. We write

$$(8.11) \quad \tilde{A}(W', \overline{W'}) = \left( \tilde{a}_{kl}^{ij}(W', \overline{W'}) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq q' \\ 1 \leq k, l \leq q'}} \quad \text{such that} \quad \tilde{a}_{kl}^{ij}(W', \overline{W'}) = \overline{\tilde{a}_{lk}^{ji}(W', \overline{W'})}, \quad \text{for all } k, l, i, j = 1, \dots, q'.$$

Now, it remains just to reiterate by (8.11) the proof of Lemma 5.1, because (3.4) holds, in order to make clear (8.10). In particular, we find an invertible matrix  $V$  as in (8.6) such that (8.10) holds when (3.4) holds.

In particular for  $q' = 1$ , the matrix  $\tilde{A}(W', \overline{W'})$  is the real-valued function from (8.9). Then, we chose

$$V = \frac{1}{1 + R(W')} \cdot I_N.$$

Next, we assume  $q' = 2$  in (8.11). Then (8.11) may be explicitly written as follows

$$(8.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_{11}^{11}(W', \overline{W'}) &= \overline{\tilde{a}_{11}^{11}(W', \overline{W'})}, & \tilde{a}_{12}^{11}(W', \overline{W'}) &= \overline{\tilde{a}_{21}^{11}(W', \overline{W'})}, & \tilde{a}_{22}^{11}(W', \overline{W'}) &= \overline{\tilde{a}_{22}^{11}(W', \overline{W'})}, \\ \tilde{a}_{11}^{12}(W', \overline{W'}) &= \overline{\tilde{a}_{11}^{12}(W', \overline{W'})}, & \tilde{a}_{12}^{12}(W', \overline{W'}) &= \overline{\tilde{a}_{21}^{12}(W', \overline{W'})}, & \tilde{a}_{11}^{22}(W', \overline{W'}) &= \overline{\tilde{a}_{11}^{22}(W', \overline{W'})}, \\ \tilde{a}_{11}^{22}(W', \overline{W'}) &= \overline{\tilde{a}_{11}^{22}(W', \overline{W'})}, & \tilde{a}_{12}^{22}(W', \overline{W'}) &= \overline{\tilde{a}_{21}^{22}(W', \overline{W'})}, & \tilde{a}_{22}^{22}(W', \overline{W'}) &= \overline{\tilde{a}_{22}^{22}(W', \overline{W'})}, \end{aligned}$$

where each entry is a formal power series in  $(W', \overline{W'})$ , when (3.4) holds.

Now, we search for a matrix like (8.6) satisfying (8.10). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_{11}^{ij}(W', \overline{W'}) \langle Z'_1, Z'_1 \rangle + \tilde{a}_{12}^{ij}(W', \overline{W'}) \langle Z'_1, Z'_2 \rangle + \overline{\tilde{a}_{12}^{ij}(W', \overline{W'}) \langle Z'_2, Z'_1 \rangle} \\ + \\ \tilde{a}_{22}^{11}(W', \overline{W'}) \langle Z'_2, Z'_2 \rangle = \sum_{k'=1}^N \left( \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N'} v_{lk}^{ik'}(W', \overline{W'}) z'_{lk} \right) \overline{\left( \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^N v_{lk}^{jk'}(W', \overline{W'}) z'_{lk} \right)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i, j = 1, 2$ , where  $Z'_1$  and  $Z'_2$  are the row vectors of the matrix  $Z'$  defined similarly as in (2.1), using by (5.11) the matrix

$$(8.13) \quad V(W', \overline{W'}) = \begin{pmatrix} \left( v_{1k}^{1k'}(W', \overline{W'}) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k' \leq N' \\ 1 \leq k \leq N'}} & \left( v_{2k}^{1k'}(W', \overline{W'}) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k' \leq N' \\ 1 \leq k \leq N'}} \\ \left( v_{1k}^{2k'}(W', \overline{W'}) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k' \leq N' \\ 1 \leq k \leq N'}} & \left( v_{2k}^{2k'}(W', \overline{W'}) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k' \leq N' \\ 1 \leq k \leq N'}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where each entry is a formal power series in  $(W', \overline{W'})$ .

The  $(i, j)$ -entry of the matrix

$$(8.14) \quad \frac{\tilde{A}(W', \overline{W}') \otimes W' - \overline{(\tilde{A}(W', \overline{W}') \otimes W')}}{2\sqrt{-1}}, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, 2,$$

is computed by recalling (3.4) and (5.3) as follows

$$(8.15) \quad \frac{\tilde{a}_{11}^{ij}(W', \overline{W}') w'_{11} - \overline{\tilde{a}_{11}^{ij}(W', \overline{W}') w'_{11}}}{2\sqrt{-1}} + \frac{\tilde{a}_{12}^{ij}(W', \overline{W}') w'_{12} - \overline{\tilde{a}_{12}^{ij}(W', \overline{W}') w'_{12}}}{2\sqrt{-1}} + \frac{\tilde{a}_{21}^{ij}(W', \overline{W}') w'_{21} - \overline{\tilde{a}_{21}^{ij}(W', \overline{W}') w'_{21}}}{2\sqrt{-1}} + \frac{\tilde{a}_{22}^{ij}(W', \overline{W}') w'_{22} - \overline{\tilde{a}_{22}^{ij}(W', \overline{W}') w'_{22}}}{2\sqrt{-1}} + \tilde{a}_{12}^{ij}(W', \overline{W}') \langle Z'_1, Z'_2 \rangle + \overline{\tilde{a}_{12}^{ij}(W', \overline{W}') \langle Z'_1, Z'_2 \rangle} + \tilde{a}_{22}^{ij}(W', \overline{W}') \langle Z'_2, Z'_2 \rangle + \overline{\tilde{a}_{22}^{ij}(W', \overline{W}') \langle Z'_2, Z'_2 \rangle} = \frac{\tilde{a}_{22}^{ij}(W', \overline{W}') w'_{22} - \overline{\tilde{a}_{22}^{ij}(W', \overline{W}') w'_{22}}}{2\sqrt{-1}} + \tilde{a}_{11}^{ij}(W', \overline{W}') \langle Z'_1, Z'_1 \rangle, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, 2,$$

where (3.4) and (5.6) hold.

Now, we ready to collect by (2.1) terms in  $(Z'_1, \overline{Z}'_1)$ ,  $(Z'_1, \overline{Z}'_2)$ ,  $(Z'_2, \overline{Z}'_1)$ ,  $(Z'_2, \overline{Z}'_2)$  from (8.10), but using

$$(8.16) \quad \tilde{W}' = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} w_{11} & w_{12} \\ \overline{w}_{12} & \operatorname{Re} w_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain

$$(8.17) \quad \tilde{a}_{ij}^{ll'}(\tilde{W}', \overline{\tilde{W}'}) \langle Z'_i, Z'_j \rangle = \sum_{k'=1}^{N'} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N'} v_{ik}^{lk'}(\tilde{W}', \overline{\tilde{W}'}) z'_{ik} \right) \overline{\left( \sum_{k=1}^{N'} v_{jk}^{l'k'}(\tilde{W}', \overline{\tilde{W}'}) z'_{jk} \right)}, \quad \text{for all } l, l', i, j = 1, 2,$$

when (3.4) holds.

Analysing (8.17), we obtain

$$(8.18) \quad \left( v_{ik}^{lk'}(W', \overline{W}') \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N'}^{1 \leq k' \leq N'} \overline{\left( v_{jk}^{l'k'}(W', \overline{W}') \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N'}^{1 \leq k' \leq N'}} = a_{ij}^{ll'}(W', \overline{W}') I_{N'}, \quad \text{for all } i, j, l, l' = 1, 2,$$

when (3.4) holds.

We assume that the matrix  $V(W', \overline{W}')$  is not invertible in (8.13). Denoting by  $\mathcal{L}_1(W', \overline{W}')$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_2(W', \overline{W}')$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{2N'}(W', \overline{W}')$  its row vectors, it follows that

$$(8.19) \quad \exists r_1, r_2 \in 1, \dots, 2N' \text{ with } r_1 \neq r_2 \text{ and } \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \mathcal{L}_{r_1}(W', \overline{W}') = \lambda \mathcal{L}_{r_2}(W', \overline{W}').$$

It remains to study the following cases:

**Case  $r_1, r_2 \in 1, \dots, N'$** : Because of (5.13), it follows that

$$\det \left( \left( v_{1k}^{lk'}(W', \overline{W}') \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N'}^{1 \leq k' \leq N'} \right) = 0, \quad \det \left( \overline{\left( \left( v_{1k}^{2k'}(W', \overline{W}') \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N'}^{1 \leq k' \leq N'} \right)} \right) = 0,$$

which implies by (8.36) and (8.18) that  $(\tilde{a}_{11}^{11}, \tilde{a}_{12}^{11}, \tilde{a}_{21}^{11}, \tilde{a}_{22}^{11})(W', \overline{W}') = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ . Contradiction, because the matrix  $\tilde{A}(W', \overline{W}')$  is invertible.

**Case  $r_1, r_2 \in N' + 1, \dots, 2N'$** : It is similarly solved as previously. The further details are left to the reader as exercise.

**Case  $r_1 \in 1, \dots, N'$ ,  $r_2 \in N' + 1, \dots, 2N'$** : Considering linear invertible holomorphic changes of coordinates, like in (5.5), preserving the second  $BSD$ -Model from (3.4), we assume  $r_1, r_2 \in 1, \dots, N'$  according to Remark 5.2. Repeating the above arguments, we obtain a contradiction, because the matrix  $\tilde{A}(W', \overline{W}')$  was considered invertible. It follows that (8.10) holds for any  $q' \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

Now, we define by (8.6) the first normalization of  $(G, F)$  as follows

$$(8.20) \quad (G^*, F^*) = T_1 \circ (G, F).$$

Now, we compute

$$(8.21) \quad \partial_{w_{aa}} \left( \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \cdot G(W, Z) \right) = \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \cdot \partial_{w_{aa}}(G(W, Z)) + \partial_{w_{aa}} \left( \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \right) \cdot G(W, Z),$$

for all  $a = 1, \dots, q$ .

Then, we compute

$$(8.22) \quad \partial_{w_{bb}} \left( \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \cdot \partial_{w_{aa}}(G(W, Z)) \right) = \partial_{w_{bb}} \left( \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \right) \cdot \partial_{w_{aa}}(G(W, Z)) + \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \cdot \partial_{w_{bb} w_{aa}}^2(G(W, Z)),$$

for all  $a, b = 1, \dots, q$ .

Also, we compute

$$(8.23) \quad \partial_{w_{bb}} \left( \partial_{w_{aa}} \left( \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \right) \cdot G(W, Z) \right) = \partial_{w_{bb} w_{aa}}^2 \left( \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \right) \cdot G(W, Z) + \partial_{w_{aa}} \left( \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \right) \cdot \partial_{w_{bb}}(G(W, Z)),$$

for all  $a, b = 1, \dots, q$ .

On the other hand, we obtain

$$(8.24) \quad \partial_{w_{bb}w_{aa}}^2 \left( \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \right) \cdot G(W, Z) \Big|_{\substack{Z=O_{q \times N} \\ W=O_{q \times q}}} = O_{q \times q},$$

for all  $a, b = 1, \dots, q$ .

Furthermore, we obtain

$$(8.25) \quad \partial_{w_{aa}} (G(W, Z)) \Big|_{\substack{Z=O_{q \times N} \\ W=O_{q \times q}}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the  $(a, a)$ -entry is 1, otherwise the entries are vanishing, for all  $a = 1, \dots, q$ . It follows that

$$(8.26) \quad \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \Big|_{W=O_{q \times q}} = I_{q'^2}.$$

Next, we differentiate the following obvious identity

$$\frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \cdot (I_{q'^2} + R(W')) = (I_{q'^2} + R(W')) \cdot \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} = I_{q'^2}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \cdot \partial_{w_{aa}} (I_{q'^2} + R(W')) + \partial_{w_{aa}} \left( \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \right) \cdot (I_{q'^2} + R(W'))^t = O_{q'^2},$$

for all  $a = 1, \dots, q$ , which by (8.26) implies

$$(8.27) \quad -\partial_{w_{aa}} (R(W')) = \partial_{w_{aa}} \left( \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + R(W')} \right),$$

for all  $a = 1, \dots, q$ .

Recalling (2.1), (3.7) and (3.6), and then combining (8.21), (8.22), (8.23), (8.24), (8.25) and (8.27), we obtain

$$(8.28) \quad \left( \frac{\partial^2 (g_{ij}^*(Z, W))}{\partial w_{aa} \partial w_{bb}} + \frac{\partial^2 (g_{ji}^*(Z, W))}{\partial w_{aa} \partial w_{bb}} \right) \Big|_{\substack{Z=O_{q \times N} \\ W=O_{q \times q}}} = 0,$$

for all  $a, b, i, j = 1, \dots, q$ .

We move forward in order to consider by (2.1), (5.1), (5.2), (7.1) and (7.2) new coordinates:

**8.2. Elimination of the Matrix A:** We use (8.3) in order to define

$$(8.29) \quad T_2(W', Z') = \left( \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + \mathcal{L}(W', Z')} \otimes W', V \otimes (Z' - A \otimes W) \right), \quad \text{for a suitable matrix } V = V(W', Z') \in \mathcal{M}_{q'N' \times q'N'},$$

where  $W$  denotes the first  $q^2 \times q^2$  block of the matrix  $W'$ , and the linear form  $\mathcal{L}_1(W', Z')$  in  $(W', Z')$  is chosen such that BSD-Model  $\mathcal{M}$  from (3.4) is preserved:

$$(8.30) \quad \frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + \mathcal{L}(W', Z')} \otimes W' - \overline{\frac{1}{I_{q'^2} + \mathcal{L}(W', Z')}}^t \otimes W' = 2\sqrt{-1} (V \otimes (Z' - A \otimes W)) \overline{V \otimes (Z' - A \otimes W)}^t,$$

when (3.4) holds.

In particular, we assume

$$(8.31) \quad \mathcal{L}(W', Z') \cdot \overline{\mathcal{L}(W', Z')}^t = \overline{\mathcal{L}(W', Z')}^t \cdot \mathcal{L}(W', Z'), \quad \overline{\mathcal{L}(W', Z')}^t \otimes W' = \mathcal{L}(W', Z') \otimes \overline{W'}^t.$$

It follows that

$$(8.32) \quad (I_{q'^2} + \mathcal{L}(W', Z')) \cdot \overline{(I_{q'^2} + \mathcal{L}(W', Z'))}^t = \overline{(I_{q'^2} + \mathcal{L}(W', Z'))}^t \cdot (I_{q'^2} + \mathcal{L}(W', Z')).$$

Now, we make appropriate computations in (8.30) using (8.31) and (8.32). It follows that

$$(8.33) \quad \tilde{A}(W', Z') \otimes (W' - \overline{W'}^t) = 2\sqrt{-1} (V \otimes (Z' - A \otimes W)) \overline{V \otimes (Z' - A \otimes W)}^t,$$

when (3.4) holds, using the matrix

$$(8.34) \quad \tilde{A}(W', Z') = \frac{1}{(I_{q'^2} + \mathcal{L}(W', Z')) \cdot \overline{(I_{q'^2} + \mathcal{L}(W', Z'))}^t},$$

which is hermitian, because it is the inverse of a hermitian matrix. In particular, we find suitable changes of coordinates, because (8.33) is equivalent to (8.30) when (3.4) holds. In this regard of making clear (8.33), we write

$$(8.35) \quad \tilde{A}(W', Z', \overline{W'}, \overline{Z'}) = \left( \tilde{a}_{kl}^{ij}(W', Z', \overline{W'}, \overline{Z'}) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq q' \\ 1 \leq k, l \leq q'}} \quad \text{such that } \tilde{a}_{kl}^{ij}(W', Z', \overline{W'}, \overline{Z'}) = \overline{\tilde{a}_{lk}^{ji}(W', Z', \overline{W'}, \overline{Z'})},$$

for all  $k, l, i, j = 1, \dots, q'$ .

In particular, we find an invertible matrix  $V$  as in (8.29) such that (8.33) holds when (3.4) holds. can reiterate the steps of Lemma 5.1 in order to

In particular for  $q' = 1$ , the matrix  $\bar{A}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}')$  is the real-valued function from (8.9). Then, we follow Baouendi-Huang[1].

Next, we assume  $q' = 2$  in (8.11). Then (8.11) may be explicitly written as follows

$$(8.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{a}_{11}^{11}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') &= \overline{\bar{a}_{11}^{11}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}')}, & \bar{a}_{12}^{11}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') &= \overline{\bar{a}_{21}^{11}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}')}, \\ \bar{a}_{22}^{11}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') &= \overline{\bar{a}_{22}^{11}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}')}, & \bar{a}_{11}^{12}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') &= \overline{\bar{a}_{11}^{12}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}')}, \\ \bar{a}_{12}^{12}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') &= \overline{\bar{a}_{21}^{21}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}')}, & \bar{a}_{21}^{11}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') &= \overline{\bar{a}_{11}^{21}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}')}, \\ \bar{a}_{22}^{12}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') &= \overline{\bar{a}_{11}^{22}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}')}, & \bar{a}_{12}^{22}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') &= \overline{\bar{a}_{21}^{22}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}')}, \\ & & \bar{a}_{22}^{22}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') &= \overline{\bar{a}_{22}^{22}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}')}, \end{aligned}$$

where each entry is a formal power series in  $(W', \bar{W}')$ .

Now, we search for a matrix like (8.29) satisfying (8.33). We obtain

$$(8.37) \quad \begin{aligned} &\bar{a}_{11}^{jj}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') \langle Z'_1, Z'_1 \rangle + \bar{a}_{12}^{jj}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') \langle Z'_1, Z'_2 \rangle + \overline{\bar{a}_{12}^{jj}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') \langle Z'_2, Z'_1 \rangle} \\ &\quad + \\ &\sum_{k'=1}^N \left( \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N'} v_{lk}^{ik'}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') z'_{lk} \right) \overline{\left( \sum_{l=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N'} v_{lk}^{jk'}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') z'_{lk} \right)} = \bar{a}_{22}^{11}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') \langle Z'_2, Z'_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i, j = 1, 2$ , where  $Z'_1$  and  $Z'_2$  are the row vectors of the matrix  $Z'$  defined similarly as in (2.1), using by (5.11) the matrix

$$(8.37) \quad V(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') = \begin{pmatrix} \left( v_{1k}^{1k'}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k' \leq N' \\ 1 \leq k \leq N'}} & \left( v_{2k}^{1k'}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k' \leq N' \\ 1 \leq k \leq N'}} \\ \left( v_{1k}^{2k'}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k' \leq N' \\ 1 \leq k \leq N'}} & \left( v_{2k}^{2k'}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k' \leq N' \\ 1 \leq k \leq N'}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where each entry is a formal power series in  $(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}')$ .

The  $(i, j)$ -entry of the matrix

$$(8.38) \quad \frac{\bar{A}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') W' - \left( \bar{A}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') \otimes W' \right)^t}{2\sqrt{-1}}, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, 2,$$

is computed by recalling (3.4) and (5.3) as follows

$$(8.39) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{\bar{a}_{11}^{ij}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') w'_{11} - \overline{\bar{a}_{11}^{ij}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') w'_{11}}}{2\sqrt{-1}} + \frac{\bar{a}_{12}^{ij}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') w'_{12} - \overline{\bar{a}_{12}^{ij}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') w'_{12}}}{2\sqrt{-1}} \\ &\quad + \\ &\frac{\bar{a}_{22}^{ij}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') w'_{22} - \overline{\bar{a}_{22}^{ij}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') w'_{22}}}{2\sqrt{-1}} \\ &\quad + \\ &\bar{a}_{22}^{ij}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') \langle Z'_2, Z'_2 \rangle = \frac{\bar{a}_{21}^{ij}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') w'_{21} - \overline{\bar{a}_{21}^{ij}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') w'_{21}}}{2\sqrt{-1}} \\ &\quad + \\ &\bar{a}_{12}^{ij}(W', \bar{W}') \langle Z'_1, Z'_2 \rangle + \overline{\bar{a}_{12}^{ij}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') \langle Z'_2, Z'_1 \rangle} + \bar{a}_{11}^{ij}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') \langle Z'_1, Z'_1 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i, j = 1, 2$  where (3.4) and (5.6) hold.

Now, we ready to collect by (2.1) terms in  $(Z'_1, \bar{Z}'_1)$ ,  $(Z'_1, \bar{Z}'_2)$ ,  $(Z'_2, \bar{Z}'_1)$ ,  $(Z'_2, \bar{Z}'_2)$  from (8.33), but using (8.16). We obtain

$$(8.40) \quad \bar{a}_{ij}^{ll'}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') \langle Z'_i, Z'_j \rangle = \sum_{k'=1}^{N'} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N'} v_{ik}^{lk'}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') z'_{ik} \right) \overline{\left( \sum_{k=1}^{N'} v_{jk}^{l'k'}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') z'_{jk} \right)}, \quad \text{for all } l, l', i, j = 1, 2,$$

when (3.4) holds.

Analysing (8.40), we obtain

$$(8.41) \quad \left( v_{ik}^{lk'}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k' \leq N' \\ 1 \leq k \leq N'}} \overline{\left( \left( v_{jk}^{l'k'}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k' \leq N' \\ 1 \leq k \leq N'}} \right)^t} = a_{ij}^{ll'}(W', Z', \bar{W}', \bar{Z}') I_{N'}, \quad \text{for all } i, j, l, l' = 1, 2,$$

when (3.4) holds.

We assume that the matrix  $V(W', Z', \overline{W}', \overline{Z}')$  is not invertible in (8.37). Denoting by  $\mathcal{L}_1(W', Z', \overline{W}', \overline{Z}')$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_2(W', Z', \overline{W}', \overline{Z}')$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{2N'}(W', Z', \overline{W}', \overline{Z}')$  its row vectors, it follows that

$$(8.42) \quad \exists r_1, r_2 \in 1, \dots, 2N' \text{ with } r_1 \neq r_2 \text{ and } \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \mathcal{L}_{r_1}(W', Z', \overline{W}', \overline{Z}') = \lambda \mathcal{L}_{r_2}(W', Z', \overline{W}', \overline{Z}').$$

It remains to study the following cases:

**Case  $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \in 1, \dots, N'$**  : Because of (8.42), it follows that

$$\det \left( \left( v_{1k}^{1k'}(W', Z', \overline{W}', \overline{Z}') \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N'}^{1 \leq k' \leq N'} \right) = 0, \quad \det \left( \left( \left( v_{1k}^{2k'}(W', Z', \overline{W}', \overline{Z}') \right)_{1 \leq k \leq N'}^{1 \leq k' \leq N'} \right)^t \right) = 0,$$

which implies by (8.36) and (8.18) that  $(\tilde{a}_{11}^{11}, \tilde{a}_{12}^{11}, \tilde{a}_{21}^{11}, \tilde{a}_{22}^{11})(W', Z', \overline{W}', \overline{Z}') = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ . Contradiction, because the matrix  $\tilde{A}(W', Z', \overline{W}', \overline{Z}')$  is invertible.

**Case  $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \in N' + 1, \dots, 2N'$**  : It is similarly solved as previously. The further details are left to the reader as exercise.

**Case  $\mathbf{r}_1 \in 1, \dots, N'$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_2 \in N' + 1, \dots, 2N'$**  : Considering linear invertible holomorphic changes of coordinates, like in (5.5), preserving the second BSD-Model from (3.4), we assume  $r_1, r_2 \in 1, \dots, N'$  according to Remark 5.2. Repeating the above arguments, we obtain a contradiction, because the matrix  $\tilde{A}(W', Z', \overline{W}', \overline{Z}')$  was considered invertible. It follows that (8.10) holds for any  $q' \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

Finally, we define by (8.29) the second normalization of  $(G, F)$  as follows

$$(8.43) \quad (G^{**}, F^{**}) = T_2 \circ (G, F).$$

Using similar notations as in (3.7) and (3.6), we obtain

$$(8.44) \quad \left. \frac{\partial f_{il}^{**}(Z, W)}{\partial w_{ab}} \right|_{\substack{Z=O_{q \times N} \\ W=O_{q \times q}}} = 0,$$

for all  $a, b = 1, \dots, q$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, q'$  and  $l = 1, \dots, N'$ .

Generalizing of the automorphism (2.4) from Huang[12]

## 9. Generalized Geometrical Rank

We work by (2.1) with formal power series in  $(Z, W)$  with the hypothesis: the weight of any entry of  $Z$  is 1, and the weight to any entry of  $W$  is 2. Then its weighted degree, denoted by  $n$ , is the minimum of the weighted degrees of its homogeneous terms from its formal expansion. In particular, we write  $H(Z, W) = O(n)$ , for  $H(Z, W)$  a formal power series of weighted degree  $n$ .

Going again forward, we examine by (4.1) the defining equations:

$$(9.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{G_{11}^{**}(Z, W) - \overline{G_{11}^{**}(Z, W)}}{2\sqrt{-1}} &= F_1^{**}(Z, W) \overline{F_1^{**}(Z, W)}, & \frac{G_{12}^{**}(Z, W) - \overline{G_{21}^{**}(Z, W)}}{2\sqrt{-1}} &= F_1^{**}(Z, W) \overline{F_2^{**}(Z, W)}, \\ \frac{G_{21}^{**}(Z, W) - \overline{G_{12}^{**}(Z, W)}}{2\sqrt{-1}} &= F_2^{**}(Z, W) \overline{F_1^{**}(Z, W)}, & \frac{G_{22}^{**}(Z, W) - \overline{G_{22}^{**}(Z, W)}}{2\sqrt{-1}} &= F_2^{**}(Z, W) \overline{F_2^{**}(Z, W)}. \end{aligned}$$

The equations (9.1) are further studied in order to compute the formal mapping (3.6) from their diagonal entries respecting the standard linearization procedure of the constructions of normal forms[2],[3],[4],[5],[32]. Then, the normalizations (8.28) and (8.44) are fundamental in order to find invariants and to make further computations for BSD-Models  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}'$  defined like in (3.5) when  $p' - q' = 2(p - q) > 2$ , using (8.43) and (3.6) the following notation

$$(9.2) \quad F_1^{**}(Z, W) = (f^{**}, \varphi^{**})(Z, W).$$

We extract terms of degree 4 from (9.1). Then, we apply suitable linear changes of coordinates, following Huang-Ji[14], in order prove:

**PROPOSITION 9.1.** *Up to compositions with suitable linear changes of coordinates preserving  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}'$ , it exists  $\sigma \in S_q$  such that*

$$(9.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} f^{**}(Z, W) &= Z + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} z_{11}w_{\sigma(1)\sigma(1)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ z_{21}w_{\sigma(2)\sigma(2)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{q1}w_{\sigma(q)\sigma(q)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(4), \\ \varphi^{**}(Z, W) &= \begin{pmatrix} z_{11}z_{\sigma(1)1} & z_{11}z_{\sigma(1)2} & \dots & z_{11}z_{\sigma(1)N} \\ z_{21}z_{\sigma(2)1} & z_{21}z_{\sigma(2)2} & \dots & z_{21}z_{\sigma(2)N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{q1}z_{\sigma(q)1} & z_{q1}z_{\sigma(q)2} & \dots & z_{q1}z_{\sigma(q)N} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(3), \end{aligned} \right.$$

or the following holds

$$(9.4) \quad \begin{cases} f^{**}(Z, W) = Z + O(3), \\ \varphi^{**}(Z, W) = O(2). \end{cases}$$

PROOF. We consider by (2.1) homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 and of degree 1 in  $Z$ , denoted by

$$(9.5) \quad b_{il}(Z), A_{ij}(Z), (\varphi_{il}^{**}(Z))^{(2)} \quad \text{and} \quad a_{ku}^{il}(Z), b_{ku}^{ij}(Z), \quad \text{for all } i, j, k, u = 1, \dots, q \text{ and } l = 1, \dots, N.$$

Then, we write (9.2) by (2.1), (3.7), (6.2), (7.3) and (9.5) as follows

$$(9.6) \quad \begin{cases} f_{il}^{**}(Z, W) = z_{il} + \sum_{k,u=1}^q a_{ku}^{il}(Z) w_{ku} + b_{il}(Z) + O(4), \\ g_{ij}^{**}(Z, W) = w_{ij} + A_{ij}(Z) + \sum_{k,u=1}^q b_{ku}^{ij}(Z) w_{ku} + \sum_{\substack{k,u=1 \\ k',u'=1}}^q D_{ku k' u'}^{ij} w_{ku} w_{k' u'} + O(5), \\ \varphi_{il}^{**}(Z, W) = (\varphi_{il}^{**}(Z))^{(2)} + O(3), \end{cases}$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, q$  and  $l = 1, \dots, N$ .

Extracting the terms up to degree 4 from the entries of (9.1) using (9.6), we obtain

$$(9.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Im} \left\{ A_{ij}(Z) + \sum_{k,u=1}^q b_{ku}^{ij}(Z) w_{ku} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{k,u=1 \\ k',u'=1}}^q D_{ku k' u'}^{ij} w_{ku} w_{k' u'} - 2\sqrt{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} \bar{z}_{il} \left( b_{jl}(Z) \sum_{k,u=1}^q a_{ku}^{jl}(Z) w_{ku} \right) \right\} = \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} (\varphi_{jl}^{**}(Z))^{(2)} \overline{(\varphi_{il}^{**}(Z))^{(2)}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, q$ .

Analysing the homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 in  $Z$  in the both sides of (9.7), we obtain

$$(9.8) \quad A_{ij}(Z) = 0, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, q.$$

Then, we develop by (9.7) and (6.8) the following expansion

$$(9.9) \quad S_1 - S_2 + S_3 + S_4 + S_5 + S_6 - S_7 = \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} (\varphi_{il}^{**}(Z))^{(2)} \overline{(\varphi_{jl}^{**}(Z))^{(2)}} + 2\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} \bar{z}_{il} b_{jl}(Z) \right\}, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, q.$$

since (3.2) and (3.4) hold, we have used the following notations

$$(9.10) \quad \begin{aligned} S_1 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} \left( \sum_{k,u=1}^q \left( b_{kk}^{ij}(Z) (\operatorname{Re} w_{kk} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_k, Z_k \rangle) + D_{kk uu}^{ij} (\operatorname{Re} w_{kk} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_k, Z_k \rangle) (\operatorname{Re} w_{uu} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_u, Z_u \rangle) \right) \right), \\ S_2 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} \left( \sum_{k,u=1}^q \left( b_{kk}^{ij}(Z) (\operatorname{Re} w_{kk} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_k, Z_k \rangle) + D_{kk uu}^{ij} (\operatorname{Re} w_{kk} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_k, Z_k \rangle) (\operatorname{Re} w_{uu} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_u, Z_u \rangle) \right) \right), \\ S_3 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} \left( \sum_{\substack{k,u=1 \\ k \neq u}}^q \left( b_{ku}^{ij}(Z) w_{ku} - \overline{b_{ku}^{ij}(Z)} (w_{uk} - 2\sqrt{-1} \langle Z_u, Z_k \rangle) \right) \right), \\ S_4 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} \left( \sum_{\substack{k,u,k',u'=1 \\ k' \neq u', k \neq u}}^q \left( D_{ku k' u'}^{ij} w_{ku} w_{k' u'} - \overline{D_{ku k' u'}^{ij}} (w_{uk} - 2\sqrt{-1} \langle Z_u, Z_k \rangle) (w_{u' k'} - 2\sqrt{-1} \langle Z_{u'}, Z_{k'} \rangle) \right) \right), \\ S_5 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} \left( \sum_{\substack{k,k',u'=1 \\ k' \neq u'}}^q \left( D_{kk k' u'}^{ij} (\operatorname{Re} w_{kk} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_k, Z_k \rangle) w_{k' u'} - \overline{D_{kk k' u'}^{ij}} (\operatorname{Re} w_{kk} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_k, Z_k \rangle) (w_{u' k'} - 2\sqrt{-1} \langle Z_{u'}, Z_{k'} \rangle) \right) \right), \\ S_6 &= \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} \bar{z}_{il} \left( \sum_{\substack{k,u=1 \\ k \neq u}}^q \left( a_{ku}^{jl}(Z) w_{ku} + a_{kk}^{jl}(Z) (\operatorname{Re} w_{kk} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_k, Z_k \rangle) \right) \right), \\ S_7 &= \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} z_{jl} \left( \sum_{\substack{k,u=1 \\ k \neq u}}^q \left( \overline{a_{ku}^{il}(Z)} (w_{uk} - 2\sqrt{-1} \langle Z_u, Z_k \rangle) + \overline{a_{kk}^{il}(Z)} (\operatorname{Re} w_{kk} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_k, Z_k \rangle) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, the first difference of sums  $S_1 - S_2$ , in the left-hand side from (9.9), provides

$$(9.11) \quad D_{uu u' u'}^{ij} = 0, \quad \text{for all } i, j, u, u' = 1, \dots, q,$$



because (8.28) holds and because the right-hand side in (9.9) does not depend on products as  $(\operatorname{Re} w_{uu}) \cdot (\operatorname{Re} w_{u'u'})$ , for all  $u, u' = 1, \dots, q$ .

Furthermore, because the right-hand side in (9.9) does not depend on terms involving  $Z$  multiplied by  $w_{ku}$ ,  $(\operatorname{Re} w_{uu})$ , for all  $k, u = 1, \dots, q$  with  $k \neq u$ , we obtain

$$(9.12) \quad b_{ku}^{ij}(Z) = 0, \quad \text{for all } i, j, k, u = 1, \dots, q,$$

focusing on the sums  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  from the left-hand side in (9.9), which now are clearly vanishing.

Analogously, because the left-hand side in (9.9) does not depend on terms involving terms of bidegree  $(1, 2)$  in  $(Z, \overline{Z})$ , we obtain

$$(9.13) \quad b_{il}(Z) = 0, \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, q \text{ and } l = 1, \dots, p - q,$$

focusing the second sum from the right-hand side in (9.9), which now is clearly vanishing.

Next, the fourth sum from left-hand side in (9.9) provides

$$(9.14) \quad D_{ku'k'u'}^{ij} = 0, \quad \text{for all } i, j, k, u, k', u' = 1, \dots, q \text{ with } k \neq u, k' \neq u',$$

because the right-hand side, in (9.9), does not depend on products as  $w_{u'k'} \cdot \langle Z_u, Z_k \rangle$ , for all  $k, u, k', u' = 1, \dots, q$  with  $k \neq u, k' \neq u'$ . Then, the sum  $S_4$  vanishes in the left-hand side of (9.9).

Next, the sum  $S_5$ , from left-hand side in (9.9), provides

$$(9.15) \quad D_{kkk'u'}^{ij} = \overline{D_{kku'k'}^{ij}}, \quad \text{for all } i, j, k, k', u' = 1, \dots, q \text{ with } k' \neq u',$$

because the right-hand side, in (9.9), does not depend on products as  $(\operatorname{Re} w_{kk}) \cdot w_{k'u'}$ , for all  $k, k', u' = 1, \dots, q$  with  $k' \neq u'$ .

Then, the sum  $S_5$ , from left-hand side in (9.9), is equivalent to

$$(9.16) \quad \sum_{\substack{k, k', u'=1 \\ k' \neq u'}}^q \left( \overline{D_{kkk'u'}^{ij}} (\operatorname{Re} w_{kk} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_k, Z_k \rangle) \langle Z_{u'}, Z_{k'} \rangle \right).$$

Then, we study the coefficient of product of terms as  $\langle Z_k, Z_k \rangle \cdot \langle Z_{u'}, Z_{k'} \rangle$ , for all  $k, k', u' = 1, \dots, q$  with  $k' \neq u'$ , in the both sides of (9.9) using (9.16), observing that the right-hand side (9.9) is real-valued, but the real-part of the corresponding terms, in the left-hand side, is vanishing since (9.15) holds. It follows that

$$(9.17) \quad D_{kkk'u'}^{ij} = 0, \quad \text{for all } i, j, k, k', u' = 1, \dots, q \text{ with } k' \neq u',$$

Then, we identify in (9.9) the coefficient of  $w_{ku}$ , for all  $k, u = 1, \dots, q$  with  $k \neq u$ , which depends on  $Z$  and  $\overline{Z}$ . We obtain

$$(9.18) \quad \sum_{l=1}^N z_{il} \overline{a_{ku}^{il}}(Z) + \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} \overline{z_{jl}} a_{uk}^{jl}(Z) = 0, \quad \text{for all } i, j, k, u = 1, \dots, q \text{ with } k \neq u.$$

Now, because of (9.14), (9.17), (9.11), (9.12), (9.13) and (9.18), (9.9) becomes

$$(9.19) \quad \begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^q \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} \overline{z_{il}} a_{kk}^{jl}(Z) (\operatorname{Re} w_{kk} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_k, Z_k \rangle) \\ S_2 &= \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} z_{il} \left( \sum_{\substack{k, u=1 \\ k \neq u}}^q \left( -2\sqrt{-1} \overline{a_{ku}^{jl}}(Z) \langle Z_u, Z_k \rangle + \overline{a_{kk}^{jl}}(Z) (\operatorname{Re} w_{kk} + \sqrt{-1} \langle Z_k, Z_k \rangle) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$(9.20) \quad S_1 + S_2 + \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} (\varphi_{il}^{**}(Z))^{(2)} \overline{(\varphi_{jl}^{**}(Z))^{(2)}} = 0, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, q,$$

which implies

$$(9.21) \quad 2\sqrt{-1} \sum_{\substack{k, u=1 \\ k \neq u}}^q \left( \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} z_{il} \overline{a_{ku}^{jl}}(Z) \right) \langle Z_u, Z_k \rangle + 2\operatorname{Im} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^q \left( \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} z_{il} \overline{a_{kk}^{jl}}(Z) \right) \right\} \langle Z_k, Z_k \rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} (\varphi_{il}^{**}(Z))^{(2)} \overline{(\varphi_{jl}^{**}(Z))^{(2)}},$$

for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, q$ .

and also in combination with (9.18) that

$$(9.22) \quad \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} z_{il} \overline{a_{ku}^{il}}(Z) + \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} \overline{z_{jl}} a_{uk}^{jl}(Z) = 0, \quad \text{for all } i, j, k, u = 1, \dots, q.$$

Then, (9.22) implies

$$(9.23) \quad a_{ku}^{il}(Z) = a_{ku}^{il}(Z_i), \quad \text{for all } i, k, u = 1, \dots, q \text{ and } l = 1, \dots, p - q,$$

because writing as follows

$$(9.22) \quad a_{ku}^{il}(Z) = \sum_{i'=1}^q a_{ku}^{il}(Z_{i'}), \quad \text{for all } i, k, u = 1, \dots, q \text{ and } l = 1, \dots, p - q,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(9.24) \quad \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} \sum_{i'=1}^q z_{il} \overline{a_{ku}^{jl}}(Z_{i'}) + \sum_{i'=1}^q \sum_{l=1}^{p-q} \overline{z_{jl}} a_{uk}^{il}(Z_{i'}) = 0, \quad \text{for all } i, j, k, u = 1, \dots, q,$$

which clearly concludes (9.23), because (9.24) implies  $a_{ku}^{il}(Z_{i'}) = 0$ , for all  $i, i', k, u = 1, \dots, q$  with  $i \neq i'$  and  $l = 1, \dots, N$ .

Now, we rewrite (9.6) as follows

$$(9.25) \quad \begin{cases} f_{il}^{**}(Z, W) = z_{il} + \sum_{k,u=1}^q \alpha_{ku}^{il}(Z) w_{ku} + O(4), & \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, q \text{ and } l = 1, \dots, N, \\ g_{ij}^{**}(Z, W) = w_{ij} + O(5), & \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, q, \end{cases}$$

where (9.21) is satisfied.

It is clearly obtained by (9.9) that (9.4) holds under the following assumption

$$(9.26) \quad \sum_{l'=1}^{p-q} (\varphi_{il'}^{**}(Z))^{(2)} \overline{(\varphi_{il'}^{**}(Z))^{(2)}} \equiv 0, \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, q,$$

because we obtain  $\alpha_{ku}^{il}(Z) = 0$ , for all  $k, u, i = 1, \dots, q$  and  $l = 1, \dots, p - q$ .

Now, it remains to study the non-trivial situation when it exists  $i_0 \in 1, \dots, q$  such that

$$(9.27) \quad \sum_{l'=1}^{p-q} (\varphi_{i_0 l'}^{**}(Z))^{(2)} \overline{(\varphi_{i_0 l'}^{**}(Z))^{(2)}} \neq 0.$$

In order to proceed to a further study of (9.21), we write by (2.1) as follows

$$(9.28) \quad \varphi_{il}^{**}(Z) = \sum_{i_1, i_2=1}^q \varphi_{il}^{i_1 i_2}(Z_{i_1}, Z_{i_2}), \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, q \text{ and } l = 1, \dots, p - q,$$

where we deal by (2.1) with homogeneous polynomials in the variables  $(Z_{i_1}, Z_{i_2})$ , for all  $i_1, i_2 = 1, \dots, q$ , denoted by

$$\varphi_{il}^{i_1 i_2}(Z_{i_1}, Z_{i_2}), \quad \text{for all } i_1, i_2, i = 1, \dots, q \text{ and } l = 1, \dots, p - q.$$

Now, we are prepared by (9.25) to adapt the strategy from Huang-Ji[14], using the following matrices

$$(9.29) \quad \mathcal{A}_{ku}^i = \left( a_{ku}^{i1}, a_{ku}^{i2}, \dots, a_{ku}^{iN} \right), \quad \text{for all } k, u, i = 1, \dots, q,$$

or equivalently, the following matrices

$$(9.30) \quad \mathcal{B}_{ku}^i = -\sqrt{-1} \mathcal{A}_{ku}^i, \quad \text{for all } k, u, i = 1, \dots, q.$$

Then, (9.22) implies

$$(9.31) \quad \left\langle Z_i, \mathcal{A}_{ku}^j(Z_j) \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathcal{A}_{uk}^i(Z_i), Z_j \right\rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } k, u, i, j = 1, \dots, q,$$

or by (9.30), (9.22) implies

$$(9.32) \quad \left\langle Z_i, \mathcal{B}_{ku}^j(Z_j) \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathcal{B}_{uk}^i(Z_i), Z_j \right\rangle, \quad \text{for all } k, u, i, j = 1, \dots, q.$$

In particular, we obtain

$$(9.33) \quad \text{rank}(\mathcal{B}_{ku}^i) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{B}_{uk}^j), \quad \text{for all } k, u, i, j = 1, \dots, q.$$

Furthermore, for  $i = j = 1, \dots, q$  and  $k = u = 1, \dots, q$  above in (9.32), and we obtain that  $\mathcal{B}_{kk}^i$  is diagonalizable, for all  $k, i = 1, \dots, q$ , because such matrix is hermitian. Then, we write as follows

$$(9.34) \quad \mathcal{B}_{uu}^i = U_{uu}^i \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{uu}^{1i} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{uu}^{2i} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \alpha_{uu}^{Ni} \end{pmatrix} (U_{uu}^i)^{-1}, \quad \text{for all } u, i = 1, \dots, q,$$

where  $U_{uu}^i$  is a unitary matrix, for all  $u, i = 1, \dots, q$ .

Now, we collect homogeneous terms from (9.21) using (9.28), (9.29), (9.30), (9.31) and (9.32). Then

$$(9.35) \quad \left\langle Z_i, \mathcal{B}_{ku}^j(Z_j) \right\rangle \left\langle Z_k, Z_u \right\rangle = \sum_{l'=1}^{p-q} \varphi_{il'}^{ik}(Z_i, Z_k) \overline{\varphi_{jl'}^{ju}(Z_j, Z_u)},$$

for all  $i, j, k, u = 1, \dots, q$ .

In particular for  $i = j = k = u = 1, \dots, q$ , we obtain

$$(9.36) \quad \left\langle Z_k, \mathcal{B}_{kk}^k(Z_k) \right\rangle \left\langle Z_k, Z_k \right\rangle = \sum_{l'=1}^{p-q} \varphi_{kl'}^{kk}(Z_k, Z_k) \overline{\varphi_{kl'}^{kk}(Z_k, Z_k)}.$$

Now, we apply the approach from (the pages 226 – 227 from) Huang-Ji[14]: we write the following expansions

$$\varphi_{kl'}^{kk}(Z_k, Z_k) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta=1 \\ \alpha \leq \beta}}^{p-q} b_{\alpha\beta}^{kl'} z_{k\alpha} z_{k\beta}, \quad \text{for all } l' = 1, \dots, p - q \text{ and } k = 1, \dots, q,$$

which define the following vectors

$$B_{\alpha\beta}^k = \left( b_{\alpha\beta}^{k1}, b_{\alpha\beta}^{k2}, \dots, b_{\alpha\beta}^{k(p-q)} \right), \quad \text{for all } k, \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, q.$$

Then, according to Huang-Ji[14] (see the computations from the pages 226 – 227), we obtain

$$(9.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle B_{1\beta}^k, B_{1\beta}^{k'} \rangle &> 0, & \text{for all } k, k', \beta = 1, \dots, q. \\ \langle B_{1\beta}^k, B_{1\alpha}^{k'} \rangle &= 0, & \text{for all } k, k', \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, q \text{ with } \alpha \neq \beta, \end{aligned}$$

because (9.36) is a particular case of (9.35).

In particular, we conclude by (9.37) the existence of the following bases

$$(9.38) \quad \{B_{11}^k, B_{12}^k, \dots, B_{1N}^k\} \subset \mathbb{C}^N, \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, q,$$

It follows that  $\alpha_{uu}^{2i} = \dots = \alpha_{uu}^{Ni} = 0$ , for all  $u, i = 1, \dots, q$ . Then, for any given  $u \in 1, \dots, q$ , there exists a unique  $i \in 1, \dots, q$  such that

$$\alpha_{uu}^{1i} := \beta_{uu}^{1i} \overline{\beta_{uu}^{1i}} > 0,$$

otherwise it would exist a pair of orthogonal basis in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  satisfying

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{11}^k \\ B_{12}^k \\ \vdots \\ B_{1N}^k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_{11}^{k'} \\ B_{12}^{k'} \\ \vdots \\ B_{1N}^{k'} \end{pmatrix}^t = O_N, \quad \text{for } k, k' \in 1, \dots, q \text{ such that } k \neq k',$$

which does not happen, because (9.37) holds.

Such number  $i$  considered as above, which is denoted by  $i = i(u)$ , defines a bijective function

$$\sigma : \{1, 2, \dots, q\} \ni u \rightarrow i(u) \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}.$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$(9.39) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{i1}^{iu}(Z_i, Z_u) \\ \varphi_{i2}^{ik}(Z_i, Z_u) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_{iN}^{ik}(Z_i, Z_u) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{i1}^{i(u)u}(Z_{i(u)}, Z_u) \\ \varphi_{i2}^{i(u)u}(Z_{i(u)}, Z_u) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_{iN}^{i(u)u}(Z_{i(u)}, Z_u) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} C_{i(u)u}^1(Z_u)^t \\ z_{21} C_{i(u)u}^2(Z_u)^t \\ \vdots \\ z_{q1} C_{i(u)u}^N(Z_u)^t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } C_{i(u)u}^k \in \mathcal{M}_{N \times N}(\mathbb{C}), \text{ for all } u, k = 1, \dots, q.$$

Then, (9.35) implies

$$(9.40) \quad C_{i(u)u}^k \overline{C_{i(u')u'}^{k'}}^t = \beta_{uu}^{1i} \overline{\beta_{u'u'}^{1i'}}^t, \quad \text{for all } k, k', u, u' = 1, \dots, q.$$

Now, following Huang-Ji[14], we use by (9.39) and (9.40) the following change of coordinates

$$(W', Z') = \left( W, \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \\ \vdots \\ Z_q \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{Z}_1 \\ \tilde{Z}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{Z}_q \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{C_{i(u)u}^1}{\beta_{uu}^{1i}} \\ \frac{C_{i(u)u}^2}{\beta_{uu}^{1i}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{C_{i(u)u}^N}{\beta_{uu}^{1i}} \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Then, we use the following change of coordinates

$$(W', Z') = \left( W, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{uu}^{1i} Z_1 \\ \beta_{uu}^{1i} Z_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{uu}^{1i} Z_q \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

which preserves the model  $\mathcal{M}'$  from (3.4), and respectively the following change of coordinates

$$(W', Z') = \left( \begin{pmatrix} \frac{w_{11}}{\beta_{11}^{1i} \beta_{11}^{1i'}} & \frac{w_{12}}{\beta_{11}^{1i} \beta_{22}^{1i'}} & \cdots & \frac{w_{1q}}{\beta_{u_i}^{1i} \beta_{q q}^{1i'}} \\ \frac{w_{21}}{\beta_{22}^{1i} \beta_{11}^{1i'}} & \frac{w_{22}}{\beta_{22}^{1i} \beta_{22}^{1i'}} & \cdots & \frac{w_{2q}}{\beta_{22}^{1i} \beta_{q q}^{1i'}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{w_{q1}}{\beta_{11}^{1i} \beta_{q q}^{1i'}} & \frac{w_{q2}}{\beta_{22}^{1i} \beta_{q q}^{1i'}} & \cdots & \frac{w_{q q}}{\beta_{q q}^{1i} \beta_{q q}^{1i'}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \\ \vdots \\ Z_q \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

which preserves the model  $\mathcal{M}$  from (3.4).

Then, their composition provides the normalization which gives (9.3). Proof completed.  $\square$

Now, we are ready to conclude the classifications (1.5) as follows:

### 10. Final Normalizations of the Formal Embeddings

**10.1. Formal Expansions.** In order to proceed by (2.1), (3.6) and (3.7), we write

$$(10.1) \quad G(Z, W) = \left( \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, \\ I \in \mathbb{N}^q(p-q)}} g_{ij}^{IJ}(Z) W^J \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq q'} \quad \text{and} \quad F(Z, W) = \left( \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, \\ I \in \mathbb{N}^q(p-q)}} f_{kl}^{IJ}(Z) W^J \right)_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq p'-q' \\ 1 \leq k \leq q'}}$$

with formal power series as their entries, where the coefficients of  $W$  are by (2.1) homogeneous polynomials in  $Z$  of degree  $I \in \mathbb{N}^q(p-q)$ .

Now, we make a study in the local defining equations (4.1), using the formal expansions (10.1), in order to normalize the embedding from (3.6) in the light of (2.1). In particular, we extract the terms of degree  $d$  in  $(Z, \bar{Z})$ . We obtain

$$(10.2) \quad \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^q(p-q) \\ |I|+2|J|=d}} \frac{g_{ij}^{IJ}(Z) W^J - \overline{g_{ji}^{IJ}(Z) W^J}}{2\sqrt{-1}} = \sum_{l=1}^{p'-q'} \sum_{\substack{J_1, J_2 \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I_1, I_2 \in \mathbb{N}^q(p-q) \\ |I_1|+2|J_1|+|I_2|+2|J_2|=d}} f_{il}^{I_1 J_1}(Z) W^{J_1} \overline{f_{jl}^{I_2 J_2}(Z) W^{J_2}},$$

for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, q'$ .

In order to make computations in (10.2), we write

$$(10.3) \quad g_{ij}^{IJ}(Z) = \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^q(p-q)} c_{ij}^{IJ} Z^I, \quad f_{kl}^{IJ}(Z) = \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^q(p-q)} d_{kl}^{IJ} Z^I,$$

for all  $k, i, j = 1, \dots, q'$  and  $l = 1, \dots, p' - q'$ .

Following Baouendi-Ebenfelt-Huang[2], we analyse (10.2) using (6.8) in order to consider further normalizations:

**10.2. Application of the Moving Point Trick from Huang[12].** We introduce the following matrices similarly as in (2.1):

$$(10.4) \quad \nu = (\nu_{kl})_{1 \leq k, l \leq q}, \quad \Xi = (\xi_{kl})_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq q \\ 1 \leq l \leq p-q}}.$$

We consider by (6.9) the complexification of (6.8) as follows

$$(10.5) \quad \frac{w_{kl} - \overline{\nu_{lk}}}{2\sqrt{-1}} = \langle Z_k, \Xi_l \rangle \quad \text{for all } k, l = 1, \dots, q.$$

where the row vectors of the matrix  $\Xi$  are denoted by  $\Xi_1, \Xi_2, \dots, \Xi_N$ , in order to study the complexification of (10.2) using (10.5). In particular, we assume  $\nu = 0$ . Identifying by (2.1) the coefficient of  $W^J$ , where  $J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}$ , we obtain

$$(10.6) \quad c_{ij}^{(0, J)} W^J = \left\langle d_{i,j}^{(I', J')} Z_i, \Xi_j \right\rangle W^{J'} + \dots, \quad \text{for suitable multi-indexes } J, J' \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2} \text{ and } I' \in \mathbb{N}^q(p-q),$$

where „ $\dots$ ” may contain terms defined by lower order terms in  $\Xi$  and  $Z$  and by the  $F$ -component of (3.3), because  $W = Z \bar{\Xi}^t$ .

In particular, for given multi-indexes

$$J = (j_{11}, j_{12}, \dots, j_{1q}, j_{21}, j_{22}, \dots, j_{2q}, j_{q1}, j_{q2}, \dots, j_{qq}) \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, \\ J' = (j'_{11}, j'_{12}, \dots, j'_{1q}, j'_{21}, j'_{22}, \dots, j'_{2q}, j'_{q1}, j'_{q2}, \dots, j'_{qq}) \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2},$$

it follows that

$$J' = (j_{11}, \dots, j_{1j}, \dots, j_{1q}, j_{i1}, \dots, j_{ij} - 1, \dots, j_{iq}, j_{q1}, \dots, j_{qj}, \dots, j_{qq}) \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}.$$

Also, we obtain

$$(10.7) \quad c_{ij}^{(0, J)} = K \left( d_{i,j}^{(I', J')}, \dots \right), \quad \text{where } K \left( d_{i,j}^{(I', J')}, \dots \right) \text{ is a constant defined by } d_{i,j}^{(I', J')}, \dots$$

Recalling the  $\mathcal{B}SD$ -Models  $\mathcal{M}'$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  from (3.4), we show that:

LEMMA 10.1. *Up to compositions with holomorphic automorphisms of  $\mathcal{M}'$ , we obtain*

$$(10.8) \quad G(Z, W) = \begin{pmatrix} W & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROOF. Let  $P = (Z_0, W_0) \in \mathcal{M}$  close to origin. Following Huang[12] and Baouendi-Huang[1], we consider the mapping

$$(10.9) \quad (F, G)_P = \tau_P^{(F, G)} \circ (F, G) \circ \sigma_P^0 = (F_P, G_P),$$

according by (2.6) to the following notations

$$\sigma_{(Z_0, W_0)}^0(Z, W) = (Z + Z_0, W + W_0 + 2\sqrt{-1} \langle Z, Z_0 \rangle), \\ \tau_{(Z_0, W_0)}^{(F, G)}(Z^*, W^*) = \left( Z^* - F(Z_0, W_0), W^* - \overline{G(Z_0, W_0)}^t - 2\sqrt{-1} \langle Z^*, F(Z_0, W_0) \rangle \right).$$

It becomes clear that

$$\sigma_P^0(0) = P, \quad \tau_{(F, G)(P)}^{(F, G)}((F, G)(P)) = 0, \quad \det \left( \frac{\partial G_{11}(W)}{\partial W} \right) (0) \neq 0.$$

From the normalization procedures described by Propositions 6.1 and 7.1, we introduce by (8.43) the following transformation

$$(10.10) \quad (\tilde{G}, \tilde{F}) = T_2 \circ (G, F), \quad \text{for } T_2 = T_2(P).$$



for all  $k = 1, \dots, q$ , which implies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^N \bar{z}_{kl} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^{q(p-q)} \\ |I|+2|J|=4m, 4m+2}} d_{kl}^{IJ} Z^I (\operatorname{Re} w_{11})^{i_{11}} w_{12}^{i_{12}} \dots w_{1q}^{i_{1q}} \langle Z_2, Z_1 \rangle^{i_{21}} (\operatorname{Re} w_{22})^{i_{22}} \dots w_{2q}^{i_{2q}} \dots \langle Z_q, Z_1 \rangle^{i_{q1}} \dots \langle Z_q, Z_2 \rangle^{i_{q2}} \dots (\operatorname{Re} w_{qq})^{i_{qq}} \right\} = 0,$$

for all  $k = 1, \dots, q$ , which implies (11.4), because of the vanishing of the coefficients of power of  $W$  in (11.5).

Then, for  $\alpha = 4m + 1$ , we study weighted terms from (10.2). We obtain

$$(11.7) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^N \bar{z}_{kl} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^{q(p-q)} \\ |I|+2|J|=4m+1}} d_{kl}^{IJ} Z^I W^J \right\} + \sum_{l=1}^N \left( \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^{q(p-q)} \\ |I|+2|J|=2m+1}} \bar{d}_{kl}^{IJ} Z^I W^J \right) \cdot \overline{\left( \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^{q(p-q)} \\ |I|+2|J|=2m+1}} d_{kl}^{IJ} Z^I W^J \right)} = 0,$$

for all  $k = 1, \dots, q$ , or equivalently using (2.1) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^N \bar{z}_{kl} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^{q(p-q)} \\ |I|+2|J|=4m+1}} d_{kl}^{IJ} Z^I (\operatorname{Re} w_{11})^{i_{11}} w_{12}^{i_{12}} \dots w_{1q}^{i_{1q}} \langle Z_2, Z_1 \rangle^{i_{21}} (\operatorname{Re} w_{22})^{i_{22}} \dots w_{2q}^{i_{2q}} \dots \langle Z_q, Z_1 \rangle^{i_{q1}} \dots \langle Z_q, Z_2 \rangle^{i_{q2}} \dots (\operatorname{Re} w_{qq})^{i_{qq}} \right\} + \sum_{l=1}^N \left( \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^{q(p-q)} \\ |I|+2|J|=2m+1}} d_{kl}^{IJ} Z^I (\operatorname{Re} w_{11})^{i_{11}} w_{12}^{i_{12}} \dots w_{1q}^{i_{1q}} \langle Z_2, Z_1 \rangle^{i_{21}} (\operatorname{Re} w_{22})^{i_{22}} \dots w_{2q}^{i_{2q}} \dots \langle Z_q, Z_1 \rangle^{i_{q1}} \dots \langle Z_q, Z_2 \rangle^{i_{q2}} \dots (\operatorname{Re} w_{qq})^{i_{qq}} \right) \cdot \overline{\left( \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^{q(p-q)} \\ |I|+2|J|=2m+1}} d_{kl}^{IJ} Z^I (\operatorname{Re} w_{11})^{i_{11}} w_{12}^{i_{12}} \dots w_{1q}^{i_{1q}} \langle Z_2, Z_1 \rangle^{i_{21}} (\operatorname{Re} w_{22})^{i_{22}} \dots w_{2q}^{i_{2q}} \dots \langle Z_q, Z_1 \rangle^{i_{q1}} \dots \langle Z_q, Z_2 \rangle^{i_{q2}} \dots (\operatorname{Re} w_{qq})^{i_{qq}} \right)} = 0,$$

for all  $k = 1, \dots, q$ , which implies (11.4), because of the vanishing of the coefficients of power of  $W$  in (11.5).

In particular for  $\alpha = 4m + 3$ , we study weighted terms from (10.2). We obtain

$$(11.8) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^N \bar{z}_{kl} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^{q(p-q)} \\ |I|+2|J|=4m+3}} d_{kl}^{IJ} Z^I W^J \right\} + \sum_{l=1}^N \left( \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^{q(p-q)} \\ |I|+2|J|=2m+2}} \bar{d}_{kl}^{IJ} Z^I W^J \right) \cdot \overline{\left( \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^{q(p-q)} \\ |I|+2|J|=2m+2}} d_{kl}^{IJ} Z^I W^J \right)} = 0,$$

for all  $k = 1, \dots, q$ , or equivalently using (2.1) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^N \bar{z}_{kl} \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^{q(p-q)} \\ |I|+2|J|=4m+3}} d_{kl}^{IJ} Z^I (\operatorname{Re} w_{11})^{i_{11}} w_{12}^{i_{12}} \dots w_{1q}^{i_{1q}} \langle Z_2, Z_1 \rangle^{i_{21}} (\operatorname{Re} w_{22})^{i_{22}} \dots w_{2q}^{i_{2q}} \dots \langle Z_q, Z_1 \rangle^{i_{q1}} \dots \langle Z_q, Z_2 \rangle^{i_{q2}} \dots (\operatorname{Re} w_{qq})^{i_{qq}} \right\} + \sum_{l=1}^N \left( \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^{q(p-q)} \\ |I|+2|J|=2m+2}} d_{kl}^{IJ} Z^I (\operatorname{Re} w_{11})^{i_{11}} w_{12}^{i_{12}} \dots w_{1q}^{i_{1q}} \langle Z_2, Z_1 \rangle^{i_{21}} (\operatorname{Re} w_{22})^{i_{22}} \dots w_{2q}^{i_{2q}} \dots \langle Z_q, Z_1 \rangle^{i_{q1}} \dots \langle Z_q, Z_2 \rangle^{i_{q2}} \dots (\operatorname{Re} w_{qq})^{i_{qq}} \right) \cdot \overline{\left( \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathbb{N}^{q^2}, I \in \mathbb{N}^{q(p-q)} \\ |I|+2|J|=2m+2}} d_{kl}^{IJ} Z^I (\operatorname{Re} w_{11})^{i_{11}} w_{12}^{i_{12}} \dots w_{1q}^{i_{1q}} \langle Z_2, Z_1 \rangle^{i_{21}} (\operatorname{Re} w_{22})^{i_{22}} \dots w_{2q}^{i_{2q}} \dots \langle Z_q, Z_1 \rangle^{i_{q1}} \dots \langle Z_q, Z_2 \rangle^{i_{q2}} \dots (\operatorname{Re} w_{qq})^{i_{qq}} \right)} = 0,$$

for all  $k = 1, \dots, q$ , which implies (11.4), because of the vanishing of the coefficients of power of  $W$  in (11.5). It follows that

$$(11.9) \quad f_{kl}(Z, W) = z_{kl}, \quad \varphi_{kl}(Z, W) = 0, \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, q \text{ and } l = 1, \dots, N.$$



It is required also to consider the following matrix

$$(12.7) \quad Z''' = \begin{pmatrix} z_{p-q+1 \ 1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & z_{p-q+2 \ 2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & z_{pq} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We adapt by (3.5) a procedure from Huang-Ji[14]. In particular, we adapt the proof of Lemma 6.3 from Huang-Ji[14]. Then

LEMMA 12.1. *Let  $V : S_{p,q} \rightarrow S_{p',q'}$  be a formal embedding defined as follows*

$$(12.8) \quad V(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} & \dots & z_{1q} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ z_{21} & z_{22} & \dots & z_{2q} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{p-1 \ 1} & z_{p-1 \ 2} & \dots & z_{p-1 \ q} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ z_{p1}H_{11} & z_{p2}H_{12} & \dots & z_{pq}H_{1q} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ z_{p2}H_{12} & z_{p2}H_{12} & \dots & z_{pq}H_{2q} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{p1}H_{p1} & z_{p2}H_{p2} & \dots & z_{pq}H_{pq} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } H = \begin{pmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} & \dots & H_{1q} \\ H_{21} & H_{22} & \dots & H_{2q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ H_{p1} & H_{p2} & \dots & H_{pq} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Aut}(S_{p,q}),$$

where  $q < p$ ,  $q' < p'$  such that  $p' - q' = 2(p - q) > 2$ .

Then  $F$  is equivalent to the Whitney type mapping defined in (1.5) up to compositions with automorphisms of  $S_{p,q}$  and  $S_{p',q'}$ .

PROOF. It suffices to assume  $q = q'$ . We know from Kaup-Zaitsev[16],[17] that  $H$  extends to an automorphism of  $D_{p,q}$ . Then, it has sense to consider the matrix  $H(0) = P_0$ , because we reformulate computations from Huang-Ji[14] using the language of matrices. Then  $\langle P_0, P_0 \rangle \leq I_q$ .

Next, in the light of (2.1), (5.1), (5.2), we chose a matrix  $U \in \mathcal{M}_{pq \times pq}(\mathbb{C})$ , which preserves  $S_{p,q}$  such that

$$(12.9) \quad U \otimes H(0) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & b_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & b_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & b_q \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q \in [0, 1].$$

Then, we can assume

$$(12.10) \quad (H(0))^t \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & b_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & b_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & b_q \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q \in [0, 1].$$

It is known from Kaup-Zaitsev[16],[17] that any (holomorphic) automorphism of  $S_{p,q}$  extends to an automorphism of  $D_{p,q}$ . Considering identifications as in (5.1), (5.2), (12.3) and a certain matrix  $\tilde{U} \in \mathcal{M}_{pq \times pq}(\mathbb{C})$ , which preserves  $S_{p,q}$ , we write as follows

$$(12.11) \quad H(Z', Z'') = \tilde{U} \otimes \varphi_B(Z', Z''),$$

where we use by (12.9), (12.10) the matrix  $B$  from (12.4).

By (12.10) and (12.11), we can assume

$$(12.12) \quad V(Z', Z'') = (Z', Z'' \circ \varphi_B(Z', Z'')).$$

Considering a transformation denoted by  $U_A$  that leaves invariant  $S_{p',q'}$  according to (page 245 from) Huang-Ji[14], we define

$$(12.13) \quad \Psi(Z', Z'') = U_A \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{A^2} \circ \mathcal{W} \circ \varphi_A(Z', Z''),$$

having in mind by (12.3) the following diagram

$$(12.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S_{p,q} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}} & S_{p',q'} \\ \uparrow \varphi_A & & \uparrow \tilde{\varphi}_{A^2} \\ \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{M}' \end{array}, \quad U_A : S_{p',q'} \rightarrow S_{p',q'}.$$

Considering chances of coordinates preserving  $S_{p,q}$ , we can achieve that

$$(12.15) \quad (V(Z', Z''))^t = (Z'^t, Z'''(\varphi_B(Z', Z''))^t).$$

Now, we reformulate computations (from the pages 244-245) from Huang-Ji[14] using matrices. We have

$$(12.16) \quad (\mathcal{W} \circ \varphi_A(Z', Z''))^t = \left( \frac{\sqrt{1-AZ'^t}}{I_q - AZ'^t}, \frac{\sqrt{1-A}(A-Z''')Z'^t}{(I_q - AZ''')(I_q - AZ'^t)}, \frac{(A-Z''')(A-Z''^t)}{(I_q - AZ''')(I_q - AZ'^t)} \right).$$



Combining (12.3) and (12.16), we obtain

$$(12.17) \quad (\tilde{\varphi}_{A^2} \circ \mathcal{W} \circ \varphi_A (Z', Z''))^t = \left( \frac{\sqrt{I_q - A^2} \frac{\sqrt{I_q - A} Z'^t}{I_q - AZ''^t}}{I_q - A^2 \frac{(A - Z''''')(A - Z''''^t)}}{I_q - A^2 \frac{(A - Z''''')(A - Z''''^t)}}{I_q - A^2 \frac{(A - Z''''')(A - Z''''^t)}{(I_q - AZ''''^t)}}, \frac{\sqrt{I_q - A^2} \frac{\sqrt{I_q - A} (A - Z''''') Z'^t}{(I_q - AZ''''')(I_q - AZ''''^t)}}{I_q - A^2 \frac{(A - Z''''')(A - Z''''^t)}{(I_q - AZ''''^t)}}, \frac{A^2 - \frac{(A - Z''''')(A - Z''''^t)}{(I_q - AZ''''')(I_q - AZ''''^t)}}{I_q - A^2 \frac{(A - Z''''')(A - Z''''^t)}{(I_q - AZ''''^t)}} \right).$$

Next, let's make more computations. Then

$$I_{q^2} - A^2 \frac{(A - Z''''')(A - Z''''^t)}{(I_q - AZ''''')(I_q - AZ''''^t)} = \frac{(I_q - AZ''''')(I_q - AZ''''^t)}{(I_q - AZ''''')(I_q - AZ''''^t)} - A^2 \frac{(A - Z''''')(A - Z''''^t)}{(I_q - AZ''''')(I_q - AZ''''^t)},$$

or equivalently, by developing the parentheses, we obtain

$$I_{q^2} - A^2 \frac{(A - Z''''')(A - Z''''^t)}{(I_q - AZ''''')(I_q - AZ''''^t)} = \frac{(I_q - AZ''''' - AZ''''^t + A^2 Z''''' Z''''^t)}{(I_q - AZ''''')(I_q - AZ''''^t)} - A^2 \frac{(A^2 - AZ''''' - AZ''''^t + Z''''' Z''''^t)}{(I_q - AZ''''')(I_q - AZ''''^t)},$$

or equivalently, after simplifications, we obtain

$$(12.18) \quad I_{q^2} - A^2 \frac{(A - Z''''')(A - Z''''^t)}{(I_q - AZ''''')(I_q - AZ''''^t)} = \frac{I_{q^2} - A^4 + AZ''''' + AZ''''^t - A^3 Z''''' - A^3 Z''''^t}{(I_q - AZ''''')(I_q - AZ''''^t)},$$

Now, we use (12.18) in order to simplify (12.17). It follows that

$$(12.19) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(Z', Z'') = \left( \frac{(I_q - AZ''''') Z'^t}{\sqrt{I_q + A} (I_q + A^2 - AZ''''' - AZ''''^t)}, \frac{(A - Z''''') Z'^t}{\sqrt{I_q + A} (I_q + A^2 - AZ''''' - AZ''''^t)}, \frac{AZ''''^t + AZ''''' - (I_q + A^2) Z''''' Z''''^t}{I_q + A^2 - AZ''''' - AZ''''^t} \right).$$

where we have used the following notation

$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}(Z', Z'') = (\tilde{\varphi}_{A^2} \circ \mathcal{W} \circ \varphi_A (Z', Z''))^t.$$

Then, we consider the following matrices

$$(12.20) \quad U_{a_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+a^2}} I_{p'-q} & -\frac{a}{\sqrt{1+a^2}} I_{p'-q} & \mathbf{O}_{p'-q,1} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+a^2}} I_{p'-q} & \frac{a}{\sqrt{1+a^2}} I_{p'-q} & \mathbf{O}_{p'-q,1} \\ \mathbf{O}_{1,p'-q} & \mathbf{O}_{1,p'-q} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } i = 1, \dots, q,$$

having the following property

$$(12.21) \quad \langle Z_i^* U_{a_i}, Z_j^* U_{a_j} \rangle = \delta_i^j, \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, q,$$

where the row vectors of the matrix  $Z^*$ , similarly defined as in (7.2), are denoted by  $Z_1^*, Z_2^*, \dots, Z_q^*$ .

Then, (12.21) defines naturally the matrix  $U_A$  using (5.1) and (5.2), obtaining

$$(12.22) \quad \Psi \circ \varphi_C^{-1} \sim (Z'^*, Z''^{*} \circ \varphi_C (Z'^*, Z''^{*})), \quad \text{for } \varphi_C (Z', Z'') = (Z'^*, Z''^{*}).$$

where the matrix  $C$  is chosen as follows

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2a_1}{1+a_1^2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2a_2}{1+a_2^2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{2a_q}{1+a_q^2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{q^2 \times q^2}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Then, the proof becomes clear taking  $B = A$ . Proof completed.  $\square$

### 13. Proof of Theorems 9.16

Now, we have ingredients in order to provide the following proof:

PROOF. Through this paper, we have been considering compositions with automorphisms of  $S_{p,q}$  and  $S_{p',q'}$  in order to define classes of equivalence as in (1.5). On the other hand, we know from Kaup-Zaitsev[16],[17] and Kim-Zaitsev[18],[19] that these automorphisms of  $S_{p,q}$  and  $S_{p',q'}$  extend to holomorphic automorphisms of  $D_{p,q}$  and  $D_{p',q'}$ . Lemma 12.1 applies because of (11.10) and (3.1) in the light of (3.5). We obtain the classes of equivalence from (1.5). Proof completed.  $\square$

I hope that this paper confirms the scholarship received mainly from CAPES, because I will never return in The Federal University of Espirito Santo. I make also clear that I will never collaborate (mathematically) with people or residents from or in Brazil.

## References

- [1] Baouendi, M.S.; Huang, X. — Super-Rigidity for holomorphic mappings between hyperquadrics with positive signature. *J. Diff. Geom.* **69** (2005), no. 2, 379 – 398.
- [2] Baouendi, M.S.; Ebenfelt, P.; Huang, X. — Holomorphic mappings between hyperquadrics with small signature difference *American J. Math.* **133** (2011), no. 6, 1633 – 1661.
- [3] Burcea, V. — A normal form for a real 2-codimensional submanifold in  $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$  near a CR singularity. *Adv. in Math.* **243** (2013), 262 – 295.
- [4] Burcea, V. — Normal Forms and Degenerate CR Singularities. *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations* **61** (2016), 9, 1314 – 1333.
- [5] Chern, S.S.; Moser, J. — Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds. *Acta Math.* **133** (1974), 219 – 271.
- [6] Chen, Z.; Ji, S.; Xu, D. — Rational holomorphic maps from  $\mathbb{B}^2$  into  $\mathbb{B}^N$  with degree 2 *Science in China: Ser. A Math.* **49** (2006), no. 11, 1504 – 1522.
- [7] Chirvasitu, A. — Shilov boundaries determine irreducible bounded symmetric domains. Preprint 2020
- [8] Cima, J.; Suffridge, T.J. — Proper holomorphic mappings from the two-ball to the three-ball. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **311**, (1989), no. 1, 227 – 239.
- [9] Ezov, V.V.; Schmalz, G. — Automorphisms and holomorphic mappings of standard CR-manifolds and Siegel domains. Complex analysis and representation theory, *J. Math. Sci. (New York)* **92** (1998), no. 2, 3712 – 3763.
- [10] Faran, J. — Maps from the two ball to the three ball. *Inv. Math.* **68** (1982), no. 3, 441 – 475.
- [11] Hamada, H. — Rational proper holomorphic maps from  $\mathbb{B}^n$  into  $\mathbb{B}^{2n}$ . *Math. Ann.* **331** (2005), no. 3, 693 – 711.
- [12] Huang, X. — On a linearity problem for proper holomorphic maps between balls in complex spaces of different dimensions. *J. Differential Geom.* **51** (1999), no. 1, 13–33.
- [13] Huang, X. — On a semi-rigidity property for holomorphic maps. *Asian. J. Math.* **7** (2003), no. 4, 463 – 492.
- [14] Huang, X.; Ji, S. — Mapping  $\mathbb{B}^n$  into  $\mathbb{B}^{2n-1}$ . *Invent. Math.* **145** (2001), no. 2, 219–250.
- [15] Huang, X.; Ji, S.; Xu, D. — A new gap phenomenon for proper holomorphic mappings from  $\mathbb{B}^n$  into  $\mathbb{B}^N$ . *Math. Research Letters* **13** (2006), no. 4, 515 – 529.
- [16] Kaup, W.; Zaitsev, D. — On symmetric Cauchy-Riemann manifolds. *Adv. Math.* **149** (2000), no. 2, 145 – 181.
- [17] Kaup, W.; Zaitsev, D. — On the CR-structure of compact group orbits associated with bounded symmetric domains. *Invent. Math.* **153** (2003), no. 1, 45 – 104.
- [18] Kim, S.-Y.; Zaitsev, D. — Rigidity of CR Maps between Shilov Boundaries of Bounded Symmetric Domains. *Inv. Math.* **193** (2013), no. 2, 409 – 437.
- [19] Kim, S.-Y.; Zaitsev, D. — Rigidity of proper holomorphic maps between bounded symmetric domains. *Math. Ann.* **362** (2015), no. 1–2, 639 – 677.
- [20] Kim, S.-Y. — Spheres in The Shilov Boundary of Bounded Symmetric Domains *Journal of the Korean Society of Mathematical Education: Series B: Pure and Applied Mathematics* **22** (2015), no. 1, 35 – 56.
- [21] Lebl, J. — Normal Forms, Hermitian Operators and CR maps of spheres and hyperquadrics. *Michigan Math. J.* **60** (2011), no. 3, 603 – 628.
- [22] Lebl, J.; Peters, H. — Polynomials constant on a hyperplane and CR maps of hyperquadrics. *Moscow Math. J.* **11** (2011), no. 2, 285 – 315.
- [23] Lebl, J.; Peters, H. — Polynomials constant on a hyperplane and CR maps of spheres. *Illinois J. Math.* **56** (2012), no. 1, 155 – 175.
- [24] Seo, A. — New examples of proper holomorphic maps among symmetric domains. *Michigan Math. J.* **64** (2015), no. 2, 435 – 448.
- [25] Seo, A. — Proper holomorphic polynomial maps between bounded symmetric domains of classical type. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **144** (2016), no. 2, 739 – 751.
- [26] Mok, N. — Extension of germs of holomorphic isometries up to normalizing constants with respect to the Bergman metric *J. Eur. Math.Soc.* **14** (2012), 1617 – 1656.
- [27] Ji, S.; Xu, D. — Maps between  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and  $\mathbb{B}^N$  with geometrical rank  $k_0 \leq n - 2$  and minimum  $N$ . *Asian J. Math.* **8** (2004), no. 2, 233 – 258.
- [28] Tu, Z. — Rigidity of proper holomorphic mappings between nonequidimensional bounded symmetric domains. *Math. Z.* **240** (2002), no. 1, 13 – 35.
- [29] Webster, S.M. — On mapping an  $n$ -ball into an  $(n + 1)$ -ball in complex spaces. *Pacific J. Math.* **81** (1979), no. 1, 267 – 272.
- [30] Yuan, Y. — Local holomorphic isometries, old and new results. *Proceedings of the Seventh International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians*. Vol. II, 409 – 419, Adv. Lect. Math., no. 44, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, (2019).
- [31] Yuan, Y.; Chan, S.-T. — Holomorphic Isometries from the Poincare disk into bounded symmetric domains of rank at least two. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier* **69** (2019), no. 5, 2205 – 2240.
- [32] Zaitsev, D. — New Normal Forms for Levi-nondegenerate Hypersurfaces. *Several Complex Variables and Connections with PDE Theory and Geometry*. Complex analysis-Trends in Math., Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, (Special Issue: In the honour of Linda Preiss Rothschild), pp. 321 – 340, (2010).