

# ON THE $E$ -POLYNOMIAL OF PARABOLIC $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ -CHARACTER VARIETIES

VINCENZO CAMBÒ<sup>†</sup>

ABSTRACT. We find the  $E$ -polynomials of a family of parabolic  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ -character varieties  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  of Riemann surfaces by constructing a stratification, proving that each stratum has polynomial count, applying a result of Katz regarding the counting functions, and finally adding up the resulting  $E$ -polynomials of the strata. To count the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points of the strata, we invoke a formula due to Frobenius. Our calculation make use of a formula for the evaluation of characters on semisimple elements coming from Deligne-Lusztig theory, applied to the character theory of  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$ , and Möbius inversion on the poset of set-partitions. We compute the Euler characteristic of the  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  with these polynomials, and show they are connected.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	6
2.1. Mixed Hodge structures	6
2.2. Spreading out and Katz's theorem	7
2.3. The poset of partitions	8
2.4. Representation theory	9
3. Parabolic $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ -character varieties	17
3.1. Geometry of character varieties	17
3.2. Geometry of $\mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi$	23
4. $E$ -polynomial of $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$	28
4.1. The $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{n,H}^\xi$	29
4.2. Calculation of $C_\tau$	30
4.3. Main formula	32
4.4. Connectedness and Euler characteristic	34
References	36

---

<sup>(†)</sup> SISSA, VIA BONOMEA 265 - 34136, TRIESTE, ITALY  
*E-mail address:* [vcambo@sisssa.it](mailto:vcambo@sisssa.it).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Sigma_g$  be a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 0$  and let  $G$  be a complex reductive group. The  $G$ -character variety of  $\Sigma_g$  is defined as the moduli space of representations of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$  into  $G$ . Using the standard presentation of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ , we have the following description of this moduli space as an affine GIT quotient:

$$\mathcal{M}_B(G) = \left\{ (A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g) \in G^{2g} \mid \prod_{i=1}^g [A_i : B_i] = \mathrm{Id}_G \right\} // G$$

where  $[A : B] := ABA^{-1}B^{-1}$  and  $G$  acts by simultaneous conjugation. For complex linear groups  $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , the representations of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$  into  $G$  can be understood as  $G$ -local systems  $E \rightarrow \Sigma_g$ , hence defining a flat bundle  $E$  whose degree is zero.

For  $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , a natural generalization consists of allowing bundles  $E$  of non-zero degree  $d$ . In this case, one considers the space of the irreducible  $G$ -local systems on  $\Sigma_g$  with prescribed cyclic holonomy around one puncture, which correspond to representations  $\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma_g \setminus \{p_0\}) \rightarrow G$ , where  $p_0 \in \Sigma_g$  is a fixed point, and  $\rho(\gamma) = e^{\frac{2\pi id}{n}} \mathrm{Id}_G$ , with  $\gamma$  a loop around  $p_0$ , giving rise to the moduli space

$$\mathcal{M}_B^d(G) = \left\{ (A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g) \in G^{2g} \mid \prod_{i=1}^g [A_i : B_i] = e^{\frac{2\pi id}{n}} \mathrm{Id}_G \right\} // G.$$

The space  $\mathcal{M}_B^d(G)$  is known in the literature as the *Betti moduli space*. These varieties have a very rich structure and they have been the object of study in a broad range of areas.

In his seminal work [Hi87], after studying the dimensional reduction of the Yang-Mills equations from four to two dimensions, Hitchin introduced a family of completely integrable Hamiltonian systems. These equations are known as *Hitchin's self-duality equations* on a rank  $n$  and degree  $d$  bundle on the Riemann surface  $\Sigma_g$ .

The moduli space of solutions comes equipped with a hyperkähler manifold structure on its smooth locus. This hyperkähler structure has two distinguished complex structures. One is analytically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_{Dol}^d(G)$ , a moduli space of  $G$ -Higgs bundles, and the other is  $\mathcal{M}_{DR}^d(G)$ , the space of algebraic flat bundles on  $\Sigma_g$  of degree  $d$  and rank  $n$ , whose algebraic connections on  $\Sigma_g \setminus \{p_0\}$  have a logarithmic pole at  $p_0$  with residue  $e^{\frac{2\pi id}{n}} \mathrm{Id}$ . By Riemann-Hilbert correspondence ([De70], [Si95]), the space  $\mathcal{M}_{DR}^d(G)$  is analytically (but not algebraically) isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_B^d(G)$  and the theory of harmonic bundles ([Co88], [Si92]) gives an homeomorphism  $\mathcal{M}_B^d(G) \cong \mathcal{M}_{Dol}^d(G)$ .

When  $\mathrm{gcd}(d, n) = 1$ , these moduli spaces are smooth and their cohomology has been computed in several particular cases, but mostly from the point of view of the Dolbeaut moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{Dol}^d(G)$ .

Hitchin and Gothen computed the Poincaré polynomial for  $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$  respectively in [Hi87] and [Go94] and their techniques have been improved to compute the compactly supported Hodge polynomials ([GHS14]). Recently, Schiffmann, Mozgovoy and Mellit computed the Betti numbers of  $\mathcal{M}_{Dol}^d(G)$  for  $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  respectively in [Sch14], [MS14] and [Mel17].

Hausel and Thaddeus ([HT03]) gave a new perspective for the topological study of these varieties giving the first non-trivial example of the Strominger-Yau-Zaslow Mirror Symmetry ([SYZ96]) using the so called Hitchin system ([Hi87]) for the Dolbeaut space. They conjectured also (and checked for  $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$  using the results by Hitchin and Gothen) that a version of the topological mirror symmetry holds, i.e., some Hodge numbers  $h^{p,q}$  of  $\mathcal{M}_{Dol}^d(G)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{Dol}^d(G^L)$ , for  $G$  and Langlands dual  $G^L$ , agree. Very recently, Groechenig, Wyss and Ziegler proved the topological mirror symmetry for  $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  in [GWZ17].

Contrarily to  $\mathcal{M}_{DR}^d(G)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{Dol}^d(G)$  cases, the cohomology of  $\mathcal{M}_B^d(G)$  does not have a pure Hodge structure. This fact motivates the study of  $E$ -polynomials of the  $G$ -character varieties. The  $E$ -polynomial of a variety  $X$  is

$$E(X; x, y) := H_c(X; x, y, -1)$$

where

$$H_c(X; x, y, t) := \sum h_c^{p,q;j}(X) x^p y^q t^j$$

the  $h_c^{p,q;j}$  being the mixed Hodge numbers with compact support of  $X$  ([De71], [De74]). When the  $E$ -polynomial only depends on  $xy$ , we write  $E(X; q)$ , meaning

$$E(X; q) = H_c(X; \sqrt{q}, \sqrt{q}, -1).$$

Hausel and Rodriguez-Villegas started the computation of the  $E$ -polynomials of  $G$ -character varieties for  $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathrm{PGL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  using arithmetic methods inspired on Weil conjectures. In [HRV08] they obtained the  $E$ -polynomials of  $\mathcal{M}_B^d(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ . Following this work, in [Me15] Mereb computed the  $E$ -polynomials of  $\mathcal{M}_B^d(\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ . He proved also that these polynomials are palindromic and monic.

Another direction of interest is the moduli space of parabolic bundles. If  $p_1, \dots, p_s$  are  $s$  marked points in a Riemann surface  $\Sigma_g$  of genus  $g$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_i \subseteq G$  semisimple conjugacy classes for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , the corresponding Betti moduli space of parabolic representations (or *parabolic  $G$ -character variety*) is

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s}(G) := \left\{ (A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g, C_1, \dots, C_s) \in G^{2g+s} \mid \prod_{i=1}^g [A_i : B_i] \prod_{j=1}^s C_j = \mathrm{Id}_G, C_j \in \mathcal{C}_j, j = 1, \dots, s \right\} // G.$$

In [S90], Simpson proved that this space is analytically isomorphic to the moduli space of flat logarithmic  $G$ -connections and homeomorphic to a moduli space of Higgs bundles with parabolic structures at  $p_1, \dots, p_s$ .

Hausel, Letellier and Rodriguez-Villegas ([HLRV11]) found formulae for the  $E$ -polynomials of the parabolic character varieties for  $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  and generic semisimple  $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_s$ .

In this paper, we consider certain parabolic character varieties for the group  $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ . For a semisimple element  $\xi$  belonging to a conjugacy class  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_n^\xi &:= \left\{ (A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g) \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^{2g} \mid \prod_{i=1}^g [A_i : B_i] = \xi \right\} // C_{\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})}(\xi) \\ &= \left\{ (A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g) \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^{2g} \mid \prod_{i=1}^g [A_i : B_i] \in \mathcal{C} \right\} // \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_{\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})}(\xi)$  is the centralizer of  $\xi$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ . We assume that  $\xi$  satisfies the genericity condition 3.1.1 below; in particular,  $\xi$  is a regular semisimple element, hence  $C_{\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})}(\xi) = T \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ , the maximally split torus in  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ . It turns out that  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  is a geometric quotient and all the stabilisers are finite subgroups of  $\mu_2^n$ , the group of diagonal symplectic involution matrices.

Our goal is to compute the  $E$ -polynomials of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  for any genus  $g$  and dimension  $n$ . This is accomplished by arithmetic methods, following the work of Hausel and Rodriguez-Villegas in [HRV08] and Mereb in [Me15]. Our methods depends on the additive property of the  $E$ -polynomial, which allows us to compute this polynomial using stratifications (see 2.1.4).

The strategy to compute the  $E$ -polynomials of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  is to construct a stratification of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  and proving that each stratum  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,H}^\xi$ , with  $H$  varying on the set of the subgroups of  $\mu_2^n$  (see Definition 3.1.12) has polynomial count, i.e., there is a polynomial  $E_{n,H}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  such that  $|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,H}^\xi(\mathbb{F}_q)| = E_{n,H}(q)$  for sufficiently many prime powers  $q$  in the sense described in Section 2.2. According to Katz's theorem 2.2.3, the  $E$ -polynomial of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,H}^\xi$  agrees with the counting polynomial  $E_{n,H}$ . By Theorem 3.2.5 below, one reduces to count

$$E_n(q) := \frac{1}{(q-1)^n} \left| \left\{ (A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g) \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)^{2g} \mid \prod_{i=1}^g [A_i : B_i] = \xi \right\} \right| \quad (1.0.1)$$

with  $\mathbb{F}_q$  a finite field containing the eigenvalues of  $\xi$ . The number of solutions of an equation like 1.0.1 is given by a Frobenius-type formula involving certain values of the irreducible characters  $\chi$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$  (see 4.1.1). Thanks to the formula 2.4.2 below for the evaluation of irreducible characters of a finite group of Lie type on a regular semisimple element, the Frobenius formula and Katz's theorem, we are able

to compute  $E_n(q)$ , hence the  $E_{n,H}(q)$ 's. Adding them up, we eventually obtain the following

**Theorem.** *The  $E$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  satisfies*

$$E(\mathcal{M}_n^\xi; q) = E_n(q) = \frac{1}{(q-1)^n} \sum_{\tau} (H_{\tau}(q))^{2g-1} C_{\tau}.$$

Here,  $H_{\tau}(q)$  are polynomials with integer coefficients (see 2.4.20),  $C_{\tau}$  are integer constants and the sum is over a well described set (see 2.4.15). It is remarkable that the  $E$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  does not depend on the choice of the generic element  $\xi$ . A direct consequence of our calculation and of the fact that  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  is equidimensional (see Corollary 3.1.17) is the following

**Corollary.** *The  $E$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  is palindromic and monic. In particular, the parabolic character variety  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  is connected.*

Our formula also implies

**Corollary.** *The Euler characteristic  $\chi(\mathcal{M}_n^\xi)$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  vanishes for  $g > 1$ . For  $g = 1$ , we have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\chi(\mathcal{M}_n^\xi)}{2^n n!} T^n = \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 - T^k)^3}.$$

See Corollaries 4.3.2, 4.3.5, 4.4.1 and 4.4.2 for details.

For  $n = 1$ , the formula looks like:

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathcal{M}_1^\xi; q) &= (q^3 - q)^{2g-2} (q^2 + q) + (q^2 - 1)^{2g-2} (q + 1) \\ &\quad + (2^{2g} - 2) (q^2 - q)^{2g-2} q. \end{aligned}$$

This result recovers the ones obtained by Logares, Muñoz and Newstead in [LMN13] for small genus  $g$  and by Martinez and Muñoz in [MM15] for all possible  $g$ .

The present article is organized as follows: In Section 2, we go over the basics of combinatorics and representation theory that are going to be needed. In Section 3, we study the geometry of the parabolic character varieties to be studied. In Section 4, we perform the computation of the  $E$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  and prove the corollaries concerning the topological properties of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  encoded in  $E(\mathcal{M}_n^\xi; q)$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The author thanks Fernando Rodriguez-Villegas for his invaluable help and for supervising this work, Emmanuel Letellier, Martin Mereb and Meinolf Geck for useful conversations and discussions, Cédric Bonnafé for helpful email correspondence.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Mixed Hodge structures.** Motivated by the (then still unproven) Weil Conjectures and Grothendieck’s “yoga of weights”, which drew cohomological conclusions about complex varieties from the truth of those conjectures, Deligne in [De71] and [De74] proved the existence of *mixed Hodge structures* on the cohomology of a complex algebraic variety.

**Proposition 2.1.1.** ([De71], [De74]). *Let  $X$  be a complex algebraic variety. For each  $j$  there is an increasing weight filtration*

$$0 = W_{-1} \subseteq W_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_{2j} = H^j(X, \mathbb{Q}) \quad (2.1.1)$$

and a decreasing Hodge filtration

$$H^j(X, \mathbb{C}) = F^0 \supseteq F^1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F^m \supseteq F^{m+1} = 0 \quad (2.1.2)$$

such that the filtration induced by  $F$  on the complexification of the graded pieces  $Gr_l^W := W_l/W_{l-1}$  of the weight filtration endows every graded piece with a pure Hodge structure of weight  $l$ , or equivalently, for every  $0 \leq p \leq l$ , we have

$$Gr_l^{W^c} = F^p Gr_l^{W^c} \oplus \overline{F^{l-p+1} Gr_l^{W^c}}. \quad (2.1.3)$$

This mixed Hodge structure of  $X$  respects most operations in cohomology, like maps  $f^* : H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$  induced by a morphism of varieties  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , maps induced by field automorphisms  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$ , the Künneth isomorphism

$$H^*(X \times Y, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q}), \quad (2.1.4)$$

cup products, etc.

Using Deligne’s construction [De74, 8.3.8] of mixed Hodge structure on relative cohomology, one can define ([DK86]) a well-behaved mixed Hodge structure on compactly supported cohomology  $H_c^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ , compatible with Poincaré duality for smooth connected  $X$  (see also [PS08]).

**Definition 2.1.2.** Define the *compactly supported mixed Hodge numbers* by

$$h_c^{p,q;j}(X) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left( Gr_p^F Gr_{p+q}^{W^c} H_c^j(X, \mathbb{C}) \right). \quad (2.1.5)$$

Form the *compactly supported mixed Hodge polynomial*:

$$H_c(X; x, y, t) := \sum_{p,q,j} h_c^{p,q;j}(X) x^p y^q t^j \quad (2.1.6)$$

and the  *$E$ -polynomial* of  $X$ :

$$E(X; x, y) := H_c(X; x, y, -1). \quad (2.1.7)$$

*Remark 2.1.3.* By definition, we can deduce the following properties of the  $E$ -polynomial  $E(X; x, y)$  of an algebraic variety  $X$ :

- $E(X; 1, 1) = \chi(X)$ , the Euler characteristic of  $X$ .
- The total degree of  $E(X; x, y)$  is twice the dimension of  $X$  as a complex algebraic variety.
- If  $X$  is a smooth algebraic variety, the coefficient of  $x^{\dim(X)}y^{\dim(X)}$  in  $E(X; x, y)$  is the number of the highest dimensional connected components of  $X$ .

*Remark 2.1.4.* If  $\{Z_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$  is a stratification of an algebraic variety  $X$ , i.e., a finite partition of  $X$  into the locally closed subsets  $Z_i$ , then

$$E(X; x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(Z_i; x, y) \quad (2.1.8)$$

i.e., the  $E$ -polynomial is additive under stratifications.

**2.2. Spreading out and Katz's theorem.** Sometimes, the  $E$ -polynomial could be calculated using arithmetic algebraic geometry. The setup is the following.

**Definition 2.2.1.** Let  $X$  be a complex algebraic variety,  $R$  a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra,  $\phi : R \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a fixed embedding. We say that a separated scheme  $\mathfrak{X}/R$  is a *spreading out* of  $X$  if its extension of scalars  $\mathfrak{X}_\phi$  is isomorphic to  $X$ .

**Definition 2.2.2.** Suppose that a complex algebraic variety  $X$  has a spreading out  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that for every ring homomorphism  $\psi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ , the number of points of  $\mathfrak{X}_\psi(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is given by  $P_X(q)$  for some fixed  $P_X(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ . We say that  $X$  is a *polynomial count variety* and that  $P_X$  is the counting polynomial.

Then we have the following fundamental result:

**Theorem 2.2.3.** ([HRV08, Katz (2.18)]). *Let  $X$  be a variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Assume  $X$  is polynomial count with counting polynomial  $P_X(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ , then the  $E$ -polynomial of  $X$  is given by  $E(X; x, y) = P_X(xy)$ .*

In this case, and more generally, when the  $E$ -polynomial only depends on  $xy$ , we write

$$E(X; q) := E(X; \sqrt{q}, \sqrt{q}).$$

*Remark 2.2.4.* By 2.1.3, for a variety  $X$  whose  $E$ -polynomial is given by  $P_X(q)$ , the Euler characteristic of  $X$  is equal to  $P_X(1)$ , while if  $X$  is smooth, the leading coefficient of  $P_X(q)$  is the number of highest dimensional connected components of  $X$ .

*Remark 2.2.5.* Informally, Katz's theorem says that if we can count the number of solutions of the equations defining our variety over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and this number turns out

to be some universal polynomial evaluated in  $q$ , then this polynomial determines the  $E$ -polynomial of the variety.

Actually, it is enough for this to be true not necessarily for all finite fields. In fact, one can restrict the computations via a suitable choice of the finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra which a spreading out of the variety  $X$  is defined over.

*Example 2.2.6.* ([Me15, Example 2.4]). Let us take the affine curve

$$C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid 2x^2 + 3y^2 = 5\}.$$

We want a scheme  $\mathcal{X}$  defined by the same equation over a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. It is easy to check that  $|C(\mathbb{F}_p)| = p - \left(\frac{-6}{p}\right)$  for  $p \geq 5$  prime, where  $\left(\frac{-6}{p}\right)$  is the Legendre symbol, and that  $|C(\mathbb{F}_2)| = 2$ ,  $|C(\mathbb{F}_3)| = 6$  and  $|C(\mathbb{F}_5)| = 9$ . To have a polynomial count for  $C$ , we need to exclude some primes. To get rid of 2, 3 and 5, we consider the scheme  $\mathcal{X}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{30}\right]$ , ending up with a quasi-polynomial, since the term  $\left(\frac{-6}{p}\right)$  is periodic. To satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2.3, we still have to exclude all primes  $p$  such that  $-6$  is a quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ . This can be accomplished by adding  $\sqrt{-6}$  to the base ring. The scheme  $\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{30}, \sqrt{-6}\right]$  is a spreading out for  $C$  with polynomial count  $P_C(p) = p - 1$ . By Katz's result, the  $E$ -polynomial of  $C(\mathbb{C})$  is  $E(C; x, y) = xy - 1$ . This is consistent with the fact that  $C \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

**2.3. The poset of partitions.** We collect in this section some notations, concepts and results on partitions of sets that we will need later. The main references are [K116] and [St12].

Let  $[c] := \{1, \dots, c\}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\Pi_c$  be the poset of partitions of  $[c]$ ; it consists of all decomposition  $\pi$  of  $[c]$  into disjoint unions of non-empty subsets  $[c] = \coprod_{j=1}^l I_j$  ordered by refinement, which we denote by  $\preceq$ . Concretely,  $\pi \preceq \pi'$  in  $\Pi_c$  if every subset in  $\pi$  is a subset of one in  $\pi'$ . We call the  $I_j$ 's the *blocks* of  $\pi$  and the integer  $l$  the *length* of  $\pi$ , which we denote by  $l(\pi)$ .

If  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $h : [m] \rightarrow [x]$ , define the *kernel* of  $h$ , and denote it by  $\mathrm{Ker}(h)$ , to be the partition of  $[c]$  induced by the equivalence relation

$$a \equiv b \iff h(a) = h(b).$$

Now, fix  $\pi \in \Pi_c$  and let

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma'_\pi &:= \{h : [c] \rightarrow [x] \mid \mathrm{Ker}(h) = \pi\}, \\ \Sigma_\pi &:= \{h : [c] \rightarrow [x] \mid \mathrm{Ker}(h) = \sigma \text{ for some } \sigma \succeq \pi\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.1}$$



If we define  $f(\pi) := |\Sigma_\pi|$  and  $g(\pi) := |\Sigma'_\pi|$ , then clearly  $g(\pi) = (x)_{l(\pi)} := x(x-1)\cdots(x-l(\pi)+1)$  and  $f(\pi) = x^{l(\pi)}$ . On the other hand, since

$$f(\pi) = \sum_{\pi \preceq \sigma} g(\sigma),$$

by Möbius inversion we have

$$g(\pi) = \sum_{\pi \preceq \sigma} \mu(\pi, \sigma) f(\sigma)$$

where  $\mu$  is the *Möbius function* of the poset  $\Pi_c$ ; in other words,

$$(x)_{l(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \preceq \sigma} \mu(\pi, \sigma) x^{l(\sigma)}. \quad (2.3.2)$$

Since 2.3.2 holds for any  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  and since both sides of 2.3.2 are polynomials, it is a polynomial identity. In particular, if we specialize it at  $x = -1$ , we obtain

$$(-1)^{l(\pi)} (l(\pi))! = \sum_{\pi \preceq \sigma} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \mu(\pi, \sigma). \quad (2.3.3)$$

**2.4. Representation theory.** In this section, we list the facts from representation theory we need. Before doing it, let us fix some notations.

If  $H$  is a finite group, we denote by  $\mathrm{Irr}(H)$  the set of the irreducible characters of the finite dimensional representations of  $H$ ; the natural inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  for characters is given by

$$\langle \chi, \chi' \rangle_H := \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) \chi'(h^{-1})$$

and we define the *dual group* of  $H$  as  $\widehat{H} := \mathrm{Hom}(H, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ . When  $H$  is abelian,  $\widehat{H} = \mathrm{Irr}(H)$ .

**2.4.1. Deligne-Lusztig characters.** In these subsections, we refer to [Ca85], [Bo91] and [Hu75] for basic definitions and proofs.

Assume that  $G$  is a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ , the algebraic closure of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of odd characteristic  $p$ ,  $F : G \rightarrow G$  a Frobenius map,  $G^F$  the finite group of Lie type of the rational points of  $G$ . In particular,  $G^F$  is a finite group with a split  $BN$ -pair at characteristic  $p$ . If  $T$  is an  $F$ -stable maximal torus of  $G$ ,  $\theta \in \widehat{T^F}$ , we denote by  $R_T^G(\theta)$  the corresponding *Deligne-Lusztig character* (see [DL76]). Recall the following

**Proposition 2.4.1.** ([DL76, Theorem 6.8]). *Let  $T$  be a  $F$ -stable maximal torus of  $G$ ,  $\theta, \theta' \in \widehat{T^F}$ . Then*

$$\langle R_T^G(\theta), R_T^G(\theta') \rangle_{G^F} = \frac{\left| \left\{ n \in N_G(T)^F \mid \theta^n = \theta' \right\} \right|}{|T^F|} \quad (2.4.1)$$

where  $N_G(T)$  is the normalizer of  $T$  in  $G$ ,  $\theta^n(t) := \theta(n^{-1}tn)$  for every  $t \in T^F$ . Moreover, either  $\langle R_T^G(\theta), R_T^G(\theta') \rangle_{G^F} = 0$  or  $R_T^G(\theta) = R_T^G(\theta')$ .

Let  $s \in G^F$  be a regular semisimple element, i.e., an element of  $G^F$  representable by a semisimple matrix with all distinct eigenvalues via a closed embedding of  $G$  into  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T$  the unique maximal torus containing  $s$ . We have

**Proposition 2.4.2.** ([DL76, (7.6.2)]) *If  $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G^F)$ , then*

$$\chi(s) = \sum_{\theta \in \widehat{T^F}} \theta(s^{-1}) \langle R_T^G(\theta), \chi \rangle_{G^F}. \quad (2.4.2)$$

**2.4.2. Principal series representations.** Let us consider a maximally split torus  $T$  in  $G$ , i.e., an  $F$ -stable torus contained in a  $F$ -stable Borel subgroup  $B$ . Then,  $B^F = T^F \ltimes U^F$ , with  $U$  the unipotent radical of  $B$ . If  $\theta \in \widehat{T^F}$ , we have that  $R_T^G(\theta) = \mathrm{Ind}_{B^F}^{G^F}(\tilde{\theta})$ , where  $\tilde{\theta} := \theta \circ p_1 \in \widehat{B^F}$  and  $p_1 : B^F = T^F \ltimes U^F \rightarrow T^F$  is the natural projection onto  $T^F$ . The irreducible components of  $R_T^G(\theta)$  are called the *principal series associated to  $(T, \theta)$* .

**Notation:** In the following, sometimes we may use the symbol  $R_T^G(\theta)$  also to denote both the associated representation and the set of principal series of  $(T, \theta)$ . So if  $\chi$  is an irreducible constituent of  $R_T^G(\theta)$ , we write  $\chi \in R_T^G(\theta)$ .

*Remark 2.4.3.* If  $\theta, \theta' \in \widehat{T^F}$ , since  $R_T^G(\theta)$  and  $R_T^G(\theta')$  are characters of representations of  $G^F$ , we deduce from 2.4.1 that either the principal series of  $(T, \theta)$  and  $(T, \theta')$  coincide or they are disjoint. So we have that  $R_T^G(\theta) \cap R_T^G(\theta') \neq \emptyset$  if and only if there exists  $w \in W^F$  such that  $\theta^w = \theta'$ . Here,  $W^F$  is the group of rational points of the Weyl group  $W := N_G(T)/T$  of  $G$ , acting by conjugation on  $\widehat{T^F}$ .

If  $S_\theta := \mathrm{Stab}_{W^F}(\theta)$ , there is an isomorphism between  $\mathrm{End}_{G^F}(R_T^G(\theta))$  and the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}S_\theta$ . In order to establish it, we need to recall some definitions and results that can be found in [HK80].

Let  $\Phi$  be the set of roots of  $G$ ; for every  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , let  $q_\alpha(\theta) := q^{c_\alpha(\theta)}$ ,  $c_\alpha(\theta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , be the parameters defined in [HK80, Lemma 2.6]. Define the set

$$\Gamma := \{\beta \in \Phi \mid q_\alpha(\theta) \neq 1\} \quad (2.4.3)$$

and let  $W_{S_\theta}$  be the group generated by the reflections corresponding to roots in  $\Gamma$ . Thus,  $\Gamma$  is the root system of the reflection group  $W_{S_\theta}$ . If

$$D := \{w \in S_\theta \mid w(\alpha) \in \Phi^+, \forall \alpha \in \Gamma^+\} \quad (2.4.4)$$

then, [HK80, Lemma 2.9],  $D$  is an abelian  $p'$ -group normalizing  $W_{S_\theta}$  and  $S_\theta = D \ltimes W_{S_\theta}$ . Moreover, let  $\Sigma$  be the set of simple roots of  $\Gamma$  consisting of roots that are positive in  $\Phi$ .

**Definition 2.4.4.** The *generic algebra*  $\mathcal{A}(u)$  is the algebra over  $\mathbb{C}[u]$  with basis  $\{a_w \mid w \in S_\theta\}$  such that, if  $w \in S$ ,  $d \in D$  and  $s$  is the reflection corresponding to the root  $\beta \in \Sigma$ , the following relations hold:

- (1)  $a_d a_w = a_{dw}$ ,  $a_w a_d = a_{wd}$ .
- (2)  $a_w a_s = a_{ws}$  if  $w(\beta) \in \Gamma^+$ .
- (3)  $a_w a_s = u_\beta(\theta) a_{ws} + (u_\beta(\theta) - 1) a_w$  if  $w(\beta) \in \Gamma^-$ ,  $u_\beta(\theta) := u^{c_\beta(\theta)}$ .

For any ring  $K$  such that  $\mathbb{C}[u] \subseteq K$ , write  $\mathcal{A}(u)^K = \mathcal{A}(u) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[u]} K$ . An algebra homomorphism  $f : \mathbb{C}[u] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  makes  $\mathbb{C}$  into a  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}[u])$ -bimodule via  $(a, p) \cdot c := acf(p)$ ,  $a, c \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ . If  $f(u) = b$ , the *specialization*  $\mathcal{A}(b) := \mathcal{A}(u) \otimes_f \mathbb{C}$  is an algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  with basis  $\{a_w \otimes 1 \mid w \in S\}$  whose members satisfy relations 1, 2 and 3 in Definition 2.4.4, after replacing  $u$  with  $b$ .

**Theorem 2.4.5.** (Tits, [CIK72, Theorem 1.11]). *Let  $K = \mathbb{C}(u)$  be the quotient field of  $\mathbb{C}[u]$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(u)^K$  is a separable  $K$ -algebra and for each  $b \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(b)$  is separable (and so semisimple), the algebras  $\mathcal{A}(u)^K$  and  $\mathcal{A}(b)$  have the same numerical invariants.*

**Corollary 2.4.6.**  $\mathrm{End}_{GF}(R_T^G(\theta)) \cong \mathbb{C}S_\theta$  as  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras.

*Proof.* The algebras  $\mathcal{A}(q)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(1)$  are respectively isomorphic to  $\mathrm{End}_{GF}(R_T^G(\theta))$  and  $\mathbb{C}S_\theta$  by [CIK72, Theorem 2.17, 2.18] and since they are both semisimple, they have the same numerical invariants by 2.4.5 and so are isomorphic.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.7.** *The irreducible components of  $R_T^G(\theta)$  are in bijective correspondence with the irreducible representations of the algebra  $\mathbb{C}S_\theta$ .*

The correspondence established in 2.4.7 can be stated more precisely by the following

**Proposition 2.4.8.** (Lemma 3.4 in [HK80]). *Let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character of  $\mathcal{A}(u)^K$ . Then for all  $w \in S_\theta$ ,  $\chi(a_w)$  is in the integral closure of  $\mathbb{C}[u]$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}(u)}$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{C}[u] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a homomorphism such that  $f(u) = b$  and  $\mathcal{A}(b)$  is separable, and let  $f^*$  be an extension of  $f$  to the integral closure of  $\mathbb{C}[u]$ . Then the linear map  $\chi_f : \mathcal{A}(b) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\chi_f(a_w \otimes 1) := f^*(\chi(a_w))$ , for all  $w \in S_\theta$ , is an irreducible character of  $\mathcal{A}(b)$ . For a fixed extension  $f^*$  of  $f$ , the map  $\chi \mapsto \chi_f$  is a bijection between the irreducible characters of  $\mathcal{A}(u)^K$  and those of  $\mathcal{A}(b)$ .*

From [McG82, Theorem A], we deduce this important result on the multiplicities of the principal series representations.

**Proposition 2.4.9.** *If  $\chi \in R_T^G(\theta)$  corresponding to  $\beta \in \mathrm{Irr}(S_\theta)$ , then*

$$\langle \chi, R_T^G(\theta) \rangle_{GF} = \beta(1). \quad (2.4.5)$$

Let  $\bar{\beta} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{A}(u)^K)$  with  $K = \mathbb{C}(u)$ ,  $\beta$  the corresponding character of  $S_\theta$ . Define

$$D_\beta(u) := \frac{\bar{\beta}(1)P(u)}{\sum_{w \in S_\theta} u_w(\theta)^{-1} \bar{\beta}(a_{w^{-1}}) \bar{\beta}(a_w)} \quad (2.4.6)$$

where  $u_w(\theta) := \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \Gamma^+ \\ w(\alpha) \in \Gamma^-}} u_\alpha(\theta)$  and  $P(u) := \sum_{w \in W^F} u_w(1)$ , the *Poincaré polynomial* of the Coxeter group  $W^F$ .

*Remark 2.4.10.* If  $\chi$  is the corresponding irreducible component of  $R_T^G(\theta)$ , then  $D_\beta(q) = \chi(1)$  (see [HK80]).

Let  $\mathcal{B}(u)$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}(u)$  generated by  $\{a_w \mid w \in W_{S_\theta}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}(u)$  is the generic algebra corresponding to the Coxeter group  $W_{S_\theta}$  and by Proposition 2.4.8, there is a bijection between  $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{B}(u)^K)$  and  $\mathrm{Irr}(W_{S_\theta})$ . For an irreducible character  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $\mathcal{B}(u)^K$ , and the corresponding character  $\varphi$  of  $W_{S_\theta}$ , the *generic degree* is defined as follows:

$$d_\varphi(u) := \frac{\bar{\varphi}(1)P_\theta(u)}{\sum_{w \in W_{S_\theta}} u_w(\theta)^{-1} \bar{\varphi}(a_{w^{-1}}) \bar{\varphi}(a_w)} \quad (2.4.7)$$

where  $P_\theta(u) := \sum_{w \in W_{S_\theta}} u_w(\theta)$  is the Poincaré polynomial of the Coxeter group  $W_{S_\theta}$ .

*Remark 2.4.11.* It is true that  $d_\varphi(1) = \varphi(1)$  ([CIK72, Theorem 5.7]),  $P_\theta(u)$  divides  $P(u)$  ([Ki69, Proposition 35]) and that  $d_\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{c_\varphi} f_\varphi$  where  $f_\varphi \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$  is a monic polynomial and  $c_\varphi \in \mathbb{N}$ , both depending on  $\varphi$  ([GP00, Corollary 9.3.6]).

The group  $D$ , defined in 2.4.4, acts as a group of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{B}(u)^K$  via  $a_w \mapsto a_{dwd^{-1}}$ ,  $d \in D$ ,  $w \in W_{S_\theta}$ . Thus, for each  $d \in D$ , if  $\bar{\varphi} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{B}(u)^K)$ , the character  $\bar{\varphi}^d$  of  $\mathcal{B}(u)^K$  determined by  $\bar{\varphi}^d(a_w) := \bar{\varphi}(a_{dwd^{-1}})$  is irreducible too.

**Proposition 2.4.12.** ([HK80, Theorem 3.13]). *Let  $\bar{\beta} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{A}(u)^K)$ ,  $\beta$  the corresponding character in  $S_\theta$ ,  $\bar{\varphi}$  an irreducible component of  $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{B}(u)^K}^{\mathcal{A}(u)^K}(\bar{\beta})$ ,  $\varphi$  the corresponding character in  $W_{S_\theta}$  and  $C := \{d \in D \mid \bar{\varphi}^d = \bar{\varphi}\}$ . Then*

$$D_\beta(u) = \frac{P(u)}{P_\theta(u)|C|} d_\varphi(u). \quad (2.4.8)$$

*Remark 2.4.13.* One can show that, if  $\bar{\beta}$  and  $\bar{\varphi}$  are as in Proposition 2.4.12, then  $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{B}(u)^K}^{\mathcal{A}(u)^K}(\bar{\beta}) = \frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{d \in D} \bar{\varphi}^d$  ([HK80, proof of Theorem 3.13]). Thus, by Proposition 2.4.8, we have that

$$\beta(1) = \frac{|D|}{|C|} \varphi(1). \quad (2.4.9)$$

Then Proposition 2.4.12 tells us that

$$D_\beta(1) = [W^F : S_\theta] \beta(1). \quad (2.4.10)$$

2.4.3. *Principal series representations of  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$ .* Now we deal with the case

$$G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) := \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(2n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \mid A^t J A = A\}$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & -1 & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ -1 & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

relatively to a basis  $\vec{\mathcal{B}} = \{e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{-n}, \dots, e_{-1}\}$ , so in this subsection,  $G^F = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$ . Let us consider the maximally split torus

$$T = \left\{ \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \lambda_n^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_1^{-1}) \mid \lambda_i \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times \right\}.$$

Therefore,  $T^F \cong (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^n$  and  $\widehat{T^F} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}^n$  because every  $\theta \in \widehat{T^F}$  is characterized (not canonically) by a  $n$ -tuple of exponents modulo  $q-1$ . The Weyl group  $W_n$  is isomorphic to  $S_n \rtimes \mu_2^n$ , where  $\mu_2 := \{1, -1\}$  and  $S_n$  is the symmetric group, so  $W_n$  is a Coxeter group of type  $B_n$ . It turns out that  $W_n^F = W_n$ , and that  $W_n$  acts on  $\widehat{T^F}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : (S_n \times \mu_2^n) \times \widehat{T^F} &\rightarrow \widehat{T^F} \\ ((\sigma, (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)), (k_1, \dots, k_n)) &\mapsto (\varepsilon_1 k_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \varepsilon_n k_{\sigma(n)}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4.11)$$

where  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . By this description of the action of  $W_n$  on  $\widehat{T^F}$ , we deduce that

**Proposition 2.4.14.** *Every  $W_n$ -orbit in  $\widehat{T^F}$  can be represented by a character*

$$\theta \sim \left( \overbrace{k_1, \dots, k_1}^{\lambda_1}, \overbrace{k_2, \dots, k_2}^{\lambda_2}, \dots, \overbrace{k_l, \dots, k_l}^{\lambda_l}, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{\alpha_1}, \overbrace{\frac{q-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{q-1}{2}}^{\alpha_\varepsilon} \right) \quad (2.4.12)$$

where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l) \vdash c$  is a partition of a natural number  $c \leq n$ ,  $|\lambda| + \alpha_1 + \alpha_\varepsilon = n$ ,  $k_i \in Q := \{1, \dots, \frac{q-3}{2}\}$  and  $k_i \neq k_j$  for any  $i, j = 1, \dots, l$ , and  $k_i < k_j$  if  $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ .

There is an easy description of  $S_\theta$  for a character  $\theta$  of the form 2.4.12: in fact, from how  $W_n$  acts on  $\widehat{T^F}$ , it is easy to see that

$$S_\theta = S_{\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\varepsilon} := \left( \prod_{i=1}^l S_{\lambda_i} \right) \times W_{\alpha_1} \times W_{\alpha_\varepsilon}.$$

This a Coxeter group of type  $A_{\lambda_1-1} \times \cdots \times A_{\lambda_l-1} \times B_{\alpha_1} \times B_{\alpha_\epsilon}$ . So let  $\chi \in R_T^G(\theta)$ . By Proposition 2.4.14, we can take  $\theta$  of the form 2.4.12.

**Definition 2.4.15.** If  $\beta$  is the irreducible character of  $S_\theta$  corresponding to  $\chi$ , define the 4-tuple

$$\tau := (\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon, \beta) \quad (2.4.13)$$

as the *type* of  $\chi$ . If  $\tau = (\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon, \beta)$  and  $\varepsilon$  is the sign character of  $S_{\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon}$ , define the *type dual* to  $\tau$  as

$$\tau' := (\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon, \varepsilon\beta) \quad (2.4.14)$$

**Notation:** We write  $\tau(\chi)$  for the type of a character  $\chi$  and  $\chi_\tau$  to denote a character of a fixed type  $\tau$ .

*Remark 2.4.16.* It follows from the definition of type and Proposition 2.4.9 that  $\tau(1_{G^F}) = (\hat{0}, n, 0, \beta)$ , where  $\beta(1) = 1$ . In fact, since  $1_{G^F} \in R_T^G(1_{T^F})$ , using Frobenius reciprocity and equation 2.4.5, we get

$$\beta(1) = \langle 1_{G^F}, R_T^G(1_{T^F}) \rangle_{G^F} = \langle 1_{B^F}, 1_{B^F} \rangle_{B^F} = 1.$$

In this case,  $B^F$  is the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices in  $G^F$ .

*Remark 2.4.17.* If  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are irreducible constituents of  $R_T^G(\theta_1)$  and  $R_T^G(\theta_2)$  respectively such that  $\tau(\chi_1) = \tau(\chi_2) = (\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon, \beta)$ , then by Proposition 2.4.9 we have that  $\langle \chi_1, R_T^G(\theta_1) \rangle_{G^F} = \langle \chi_2, R_T^G(\theta_2) \rangle_{G^F} = \beta(1)$ .

**Proposition 2.4.18.** *If  $\chi \in R_T^G(\theta)$  with  $\theta$  of the form 2.4.12, then  $\chi(1)$  only depends on  $\tau(\chi) = (\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon, \beta)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , by [HK80, Section §4],  $q_\alpha(\theta) = 1$  or  $q_\alpha(\theta) = q$ , so according to Proposition 2.4.8, equation 2.4.6 and Definition 2.4.4, it is sufficient to prove that the set  $\Gamma$  defined as in 2.4.3 only depends on the triple  $(\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon)$ . Moreover, again by [HK80, Section §4],  $q_\alpha(\theta) = q_{\tilde{\alpha}}(\tilde{\theta})$ , where  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\theta}$  are the restriction of  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$  on the maximal torus  $T_\alpha$  in  $\langle X_\alpha, X_{\alpha^{-1}} \rangle \cong \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$ , and  $q = q_{\tilde{\alpha}}(\tilde{\theta})$  if and only if  $\tilde{\theta}$  is the trivial character of  $T_\alpha$ .

Let us give an explicit description of the root subgroups  $X_\alpha$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$  and of the corresponding  $T_\alpha$ 's: recall that  $\Phi = \{\epsilon_i^{\pm 1} \epsilon_j^{\pm 1} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{\epsilon_i^{\pm 2} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ ,

with  $\epsilon_i(t) := t_i$  if  $t = \mathrm{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n, t_n^{-1}, \dots, t_1^{-1})$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j^{-1}} &= \{1 + t(E_{i,j} - E_{-j,-i}) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\}, \\ X_{\epsilon_i^{-1} \epsilon_j} &= \{1 + t(E_{-i,-j} - E_{j,i}) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\}, \\ X_{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} &= \{1 + t(E_{i,-j} + E_{j,-i}) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\}, \\ X_{\epsilon_i^{-1} \epsilon_j^{-1}} &= \{1 + t(E_{-i,j} + E_{-j,i}) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\}, \\ X_{\epsilon_i^2} &= \{1 + tE_{i,-i} \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\}, \\ X_{\epsilon_i^{-2}} &= \{1 + tE_{-i,i} \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_q\}; \end{aligned}$$

$$T_{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j^{-1}} = \left\{ \mathrm{diag} \left( 1, \dots, 1, \overbrace{\lambda}^i, \dots, \overbrace{\lambda^{-1}}^j, 1, \dots, \dots, 1, \overbrace{\lambda}^{-j}, \dots, \overbrace{\lambda^{-1}}^{-i}, 1, \dots, 1 \right) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{K}^\times \right\},$$

$$T_{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} = \left\{ \mathrm{diag} \left( 1, \dots, 1, \overbrace{\lambda}^i, \dots, \overbrace{\lambda}^j, 1, \dots, \dots, 1, \overbrace{\lambda^{-1}}^{-j}, \dots, \overbrace{\lambda^{-1}}^{-i}, 1, \dots, 1 \right) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{K}^\times \right\},$$

$$T_{\epsilon_i^2} = \left\{ \mathrm{diag} \left( 1, \dots, 1, \overbrace{\lambda}^i, \dots, \overbrace{\lambda^{-1}}^{-i}, 1, \dots, 1 \right) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{K}^\times \right\}.$$

Remember that if  $\theta \in \mathrm{Irr}(T^F)$ ,  $\theta \sim (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}^n$  and

$$t = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \lambda_n^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_1^{-1})$$

is an element of  $T^F$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : T^F &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \\ t &\mapsto \theta(t) := \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{m_i}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4.15}$$

where we denote with the same symbol the image of  $\lambda_i$  via a fixed homomorphism  $f : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore, it is easy to see that  $\Gamma = A \cup B \cup C$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \left\{ (\epsilon_i \epsilon_{i+1}^{-1})^{\pm 1} \mid i \neq \lambda_1, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i, \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i + \mu_1 \right\}, \\ B &:= \{ \epsilon_i^{\pm 2} \mid m+1 \leq i \leq m + \alpha_1 \}, \\ C &:= \left\{ (\epsilon_i \epsilon_{i+1})^{\pm 1} \mid m+1 \leq i \leq n-1, i \neq m + \alpha_1 \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.4.16}$$

and the claim follows.  $\square$

*Remark 2.4.19.* It is easy to see from the definition of  $W_{S_\theta}$  and 2.4.16 that  $W_{S_\theta} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^l S_{\lambda_i} \right) \times W_{\alpha_1} \times (S_{\alpha_\epsilon} \times V_{\alpha_\epsilon})$  where

$$V_{\alpha_\epsilon} := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha_\epsilon}) \in \mu_2^{\alpha_\epsilon} \mid \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha_\epsilon} x_i = 1 \right\}$$

so  $W_{S_\theta}$  is a Coxeter group of type  $A_{\lambda_1-1} \times \dots \times A_{\lambda_l-1} \times B_{\alpha_1} \times D_{\alpha_\epsilon}$  of index smaller than 2 in  $S_\theta$ . It follows that

$$P_\theta(q) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^l P_{\lambda_i}(q) \right) P_{\alpha_1}(q) P_{\alpha_\epsilon}(q) \quad (2.4.17)$$

where  $P_{\lambda_i}$ ,  $P_{\alpha_1}$  and  $P_{\alpha_\epsilon}$  are Poincaré polynomials of type  $A_{\lambda_i-1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, l$ ,  $B_{\alpha_1}$  and  $D_{\alpha_\epsilon}$  respectively.

*Remark 2.4.20.* Let  $\chi_\tau$  be such that  $\tau = (\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon, \beta)$  and  $\varphi$  one of the (at most two for Remark 2.4.19) irreducible components of  $\mathrm{Res}_{W_{S_\theta}}^{S_\theta}(\beta)$ . Then

$$\varphi = \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^l \varphi_i \right) \otimes \varphi_{\alpha_1} \otimes \varphi_{\alpha_\epsilon}$$

with  $\varphi_i \in \mathrm{Irr}(S_{\lambda_i})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, l$ ,  $\varphi_{\alpha_1} \in \mathrm{Irr}(W_{\alpha_1})$  and  $\varphi_{\alpha_\epsilon} \in \mathrm{Irr}(S_{\alpha_\epsilon} \times V_{\alpha_\epsilon})$ . It follows from 2.4.8, 2.4.17 and Remark 2.4.10 and 2.4.13 that

$$\chi_\tau(1) = \frac{P(q)}{2} \left( \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{d_{\varphi_i}(q)}{P_{\lambda_i}(q)} \right) \frac{d_{\varphi_{\alpha_1}}(q)}{P_{\alpha_1}(q)} \frac{d_{\varphi_{\alpha_\epsilon}}(q)}{P_{\alpha_\epsilon}(q)} \quad (2.4.18)$$

if  $\varphi = \mathrm{Res}_{W_{S_\theta}}^{S_\theta}(\beta)$  and  $\alpha_\epsilon \neq 0$ , and

$$\chi_\tau(1) = P(q) \left( \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{d_{\varphi_i}(q)}{P_{\lambda_i}(q)} \right) \frac{d_{\varphi_{\alpha_1}}(q)}{P_{\alpha_1}(q)} \frac{d_{\varphi_{\alpha_\epsilon}}(q)}{P_{\alpha_\epsilon}(q)} \quad (2.4.19)$$

otherwise. Notice that, in this case,  $P(q)$  is a Poincaré polynomial of type  $B_n$ . Since  $\chi_\tau(1)$  divides  $|\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)|$  as an integer number for any possible value of  $q$ , we deduce from Remark 2.4.11 that  $\frac{|\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)|}{\chi_\tau(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ .

*Remark 2.4.21.* For odd  $q \geq 3$ , since  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$  is a perfect group, unless  $n = 1$  and  $q = 3$ , and Poincaré polynomials of Coxeter groups are always monic, by 2.4.18, 2.4.19, Remark 2.4.11 and by looking at the character table of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$  in [DM91], we have that  $\chi_\tau(1)$  does not depend on  $q$  if and only if  $\chi_\tau = 1_{\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)}$ .

We conclude this section defining the polynomial

$$H_\tau(q) := \frac{|\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)|}{\chi_\tau(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}[q] \quad (2.4.20)$$

for all types  $\tau$ . By Remark 2.4.20, one can use the formulas contained in [GP00, Theorem 10.5.2, 10.5.3]. and the ones in [Ki69] or in [GP00, 10.5.1] for the Poincaré



polynomial of a Coxeter group of type  $A_{n-1}$ ,  $B_n$  and  $D_n$  to compute explicitly the polynomials  $H_\tau(q)$ .

*Remark 2.4.22.* Using [Ca85, Lemma 11.3.2] and after some little algebra, one can prove that

$$H_\tau(q^{-1}) = \frac{(-1)^n}{q^{n(2n+1)}} H_{\tau'}(q). \quad (2.4.21)$$

### 3. PARABOLIC $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ -CHARACTER VARIETIES

In this section, we consider the standard presentation of the symplectic group. So, if  $\mathbb{K}$  is an algebraically closed field,  $n$  a positive integer, then

$$\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K}) := \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{K}) \mid A^t J A = A\}$$

with  $J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**3.1. Geometry of character varieties.** Let  $g \geq 0$ ,  $n > 0$  be integers. Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be an algebraically closed field with  $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$ , possessing a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity  $\varphi$ , for which there exist natural numbers  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  such that  $\varphi^{m_1}, \dots, \varphi^{m_n}$  satisfy the following non-equalities, for every disjoint sets of indices  $J$  and  $L$ , not simultaneously empty, and every index  $i$  in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j \in J} \varphi^{m_j} &\neq \prod_{l \in L} \varphi^{m_l}, \\ \varphi^{2m_i} &\neq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.1)$$

*Remark 3.1.1.* Specializing 3.1.1 for  $J = \{j\}$  and  $L = \{l\}$  or  $J = \{j, l\}$  and  $L = \emptyset$ , we have that  $\varphi^{m_j} \neq \varphi^{m_l}$  and  $\varphi^{m_j} \neq \varphi^{-m_l}$  respectively, so  $\varphi^{\pm m_1}, \dots, \varphi^{\pm m_n}$  have to be all different. In particular,  $m > n$ .

*Remark 3.1.2.* It is easy to see that the elements of any subset of  $\{\varphi^{m_1}, \dots, \varphi^{m_n}\}$  satisfy conditions 3.1.1.

*Example 3.1.3.* If  $\varphi$  is a primitive  $(2^n + 1)$ -th root of unity, then it is easy to see that  $\varphi, \varphi^2, \dots, \varphi^{2^{n-1}}$  satisfy 3.1.1.

Consider the following algebraic variety over  $\mathbb{K}$ :

$$\mathcal{U}_n^\xi := \left\{ (A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g) \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K})^{2g} \mid \prod_{i=1}^g [A_i : B_i] = \xi \right\} = \mu^{-1}(\xi) \quad (3.1.2)$$

where  $\mu : \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K})^{2g} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K})$  is given by

$$\mu(A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g) := \prod_{i=1}^g [A_i : B_i] \quad (3.1.3)$$

and  $\xi = \mathrm{diag}(\varphi^{m_1}, \dots, \varphi^{m_n}, \varphi^{-m_1}, \dots, \varphi^{-m_n})$ . If  $n = 0$ , we will assume that  $\mathcal{U}_n^\xi = \{\star\}$ . By Remark 3.1.1, the centralizer of  $\xi$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K})$  is the maximal torus

$$T = \{\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \lambda_1^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_n^{-1}) \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}^*, i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

and acts by conjugation on  $\mathcal{U}_n^\xi$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : T \times \mathcal{U}_n^\xi &\rightarrow \mathcal{U}_n^\xi \\ (h, (A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g)) &\mapsto (h^{-1}A_1h, h^{-1}B_1h, \dots, h^{-1}A_g h, h^{-1}B_g h). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.4)$$

As the center  $\mathbf{Z} = \{\pm I_{2n}\} \leq T$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K})$  acts trivially, this action induces an action

$$\bar{\sigma} : T/\mathbf{Z} \times \mathcal{U}_n^\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_n^\xi.$$

In the following, if  $X \in \mathcal{U}_n^\xi$ ,  $h \in T$ , we will write  $h^{-1}Xh$  instead of  $\sigma(h, X)$  or  $\bar{\sigma}(h, X)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.4.** *Let  $X = (A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g)$  be an element of  $\mathcal{U}_n^\xi$ . Let  $\mu_2^n$  be the subgroup of the involution matrices in  $T$ . Then  $T_X := \mathrm{Stab}_\sigma(X) \leq \mu_2^n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Z$  be an element of  $T_X$ . Then  $ZA_i = A_iZ$ ,  $ZB_i = B_iZ$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, g$ . Suppose that  $Z \notin \mu_2^n$ . Then  $Z$  has an eigenvalue  $\alpha$  different from  $\pm 1$ . Permute the eigenvalues of  $Z$  in order to collect them in groups such that all the elements in the same group are equal. By a further permutation, we can assume that the first group of eigenvalues of  $Z$  is made by the  $\alpha$ 's. This is equivalent to the action of a permutation matrix  $\pi$  by conjugation on  $Z$ . Denote by  $\pi(\cdot)$  the conjugation by  $\pi$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(Z)\pi(A_i) &= \pi(A_i)\pi(Z) \\ \pi(Z)\pi(B_i) &= \pi(B_i)\pi(Z) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.5)$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, g$ , and

$$\prod_{i=1}^g [\pi(A_i) : \pi(B_i)] = \pi(\xi). \quad (3.1.6)$$

Now, by 3.1.5, we have that  $\pi(A_i) = \mathrm{diag}(A_i^1, \dots, A_i^k)$ ,  $\pi(B_i) = \mathrm{diag}(B_i^1, \dots, B_i^k)$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, g$ , where  $k$  is the number of different eigenvalues of  $Z$  and the  $A_i^h$ 's and  $B_i^h$  are square matrices whose sizes are equal to the multiplicity of the  $h$ -th eigenvalue of  $Z$ ,  $h = 1, \dots, k$ . From 3.1.6, writing  $\pi(\xi) = \mathrm{diag}(D^1, \dots, D^k)$ , follows that

$$\mathrm{diag}\left(\prod_{i=1}^g [A_i^1 : B_i^1], \dots, \prod_{i=1}^g [A_i^k : B_i^k]\right) = \mathrm{diag}(D^1, \dots, D^k).$$

As the determinant of a commutator is 1, the determinant of  $D^h$  has to be equal to 1 for any  $h = 1, \dots, k$ . In particular,  $\det(D^1) = 1$ . But since  $\alpha \neq \alpha^{-1}$ , there is a  $\varphi^{m_j}$ , for some  $j$ , that is an eigenvalue of  $D^1$ , but not  $\varphi^{-m_j}$ , so  $\det(D^1)$  cannot

be equal to 1, because  $\varphi^{m_1}, \dots, \varphi^{m_n}$  satisfy the inequalities 3.1.1, and this is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 3.1.5.** Fix a subgroup  $H$  of  $\mu_2^n$  containing  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Define the following subsets of  $\mathcal{U}_n^\xi$ :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{n,H}^\xi := \{X \in \mathcal{U}_n^\xi \mid H = T_X\} \quad (3.1.7)$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi := \{X \in \mathcal{U}_n^\xi \mid H \subseteq T_X\}. \quad (3.1.8)$$

*Remark 3.1.6.* It is evident from the previous Definition 3.1.5 that  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{n,H}^\xi$  is an open subset of the closed affine variety  $\mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi$ . In particular,  $\{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{n,H}^\xi\}_{\mathbf{Z} \leq H \leq \mu_2^n}$  is a stratification of  $\mathcal{U}_n^\xi$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{U}_{n,\mu_2}^\xi = \mathcal{U}_n^\xi$ , so  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{n,\mu_2}^\xi$  is an open subset of  $\mathcal{U}_n^\xi$ .

**Proposition 3.1.7.**  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{n,H}^\xi$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi$  are stable under the action  $\sigma$  of  $T$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X = (A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g)$  be an element of  $\mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi$ ,  $Z \in H$ . Then  $A_i Z = Z A_i$ ,  $B_i Z = Z B_i$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, g$ . It follows that, if  $\omega \in T$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi$  if and only if  $\omega^{-1} X \omega \in \mathcal{U}_{n,\omega^{-1}H\omega}^\xi$ . But since  $T$  is abelian,  $\omega^{-1} H \omega = H$  and the assertion follows. The proof for  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{n,H}^\xi$  is completely analogous.  $\square$

*Remark 3.1.8.* By its definition, it is easy to see that  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{n,H}^\xi$  admits a finite open cover  $\{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{i,H}^\xi\}_{i \in I}$  of affine  $T$ -stable subsets. For more details, see [Cam17, Remark 3.1.10].

**Definition 3.1.9.** A parabolic  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K})$ -character variety of a closed Riemann surface of genus  $g$  is the categorical quotient

$$\mathcal{M}_n^\xi := \mathcal{U}_n^\xi // T = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{U}_n^\xi]^T). \quad (3.1.9)$$

More generally, define the categorical quotient

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,H}^\xi := \mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi // T = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi]^T). \quad (3.1.10)$$

*Remark 3.1.10.* Since  $H$  acts trivially on  $\mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi$ , we can define  $\mathcal{M}_{n,H}^\xi$  as the categorical quotient  $\mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi // (T/H)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.11.**  $\mathcal{M}_{n,H}^\xi$  is a geometric quotient for any  $\mathbf{Z} \leq H \leq \mu_2^n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{M}_{n,H}^\xi$  is a categorical quotient of an affine variety by the action of an affine reductive algebraic group, it is a good quotient (for a definition of a good quotient see [Ho12, Definition 2.36]), so by [Ho12, Corollary 2.39 ii)], it is sufficient to prove that all the orbits are closed. By 3.1.4, for every  $X \in \mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi$ ,  $\dim(T_X) = 0$ . It follows, denoting the orbit of  $X$  by  $T_X$ , that  $\dim(T_X) = \dim(T)$  from the Orbit-Stabiliser Theorem.

Now, suppose that there exists a non closed orbit. Then, by [Hu75, Proposition in 8.3], its boundary is not empty and it is a union of orbits of strictly smaller dimension. But this contradicts the fact that all the orbits have the same dimension.  $\square$

By Proposition 3.1.7, together with the properties of geometric quotients, we can give the following

**Definition 3.1.12.** For every  $\mathbf{Z} \leq H \leq \mu_2^n$ , define the geometric quotient

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,H}^\xi := \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{n,H}^\xi / T.$$

*Remark 3.1.13.* Since  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is a geometric quotient because of Proposition 3.1.11, it has the quotient topology, hence, by Proposition 3.1.7 and 3.1.6,  $\mathcal{M}_{n,H}^\xi$  is a closed affine variety and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,H}^\xi$  is an open subset of it. In particular,  $\{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,H}^\xi\}_{\mathbf{Z} \leq H \leq \mu_2^n}$  is a stratification of  $\mathcal{M}_n^g$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,\mu_2}^\xi$  is an open subset of the character variety  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$ .

*Remark 3.1.14.* As in 3.1.10, we can realize  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,H}^\xi$  as the geometric quotient of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{n,H}^\xi$  by the free action of the affine algebraic group  $T/H$ .

*Remark 3.1.15.* Thanks to 3.1.8, we get a finite open affine cover of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,H}^\xi$  given by  $\{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{i,H}^\xi\}_{i \in I}$ , where  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{i,H}^\xi = \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{i,H}^\xi / T$ .

**Proposition 3.1.16.** *The variety  $\mathcal{U}_n^\xi$  is non singular and equidimensional. The dimension of each connected component of  $\mathcal{U}_n^\xi$  is given by*

$$\dim(\mathcal{U}_n^\xi) = (2g - 1)n(2n + 1). \quad (3.1.11)$$

*Proof.* We follow the strategy of [HRV08, Theorem 2.2.5], with slight variations. Assume that  $g > 0$ . It is enough to show that at a solution  $s = (A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g) \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K})^{2g}$  of the equation

$$[A_1 : B_1] \cdots [A_g : B_g] = \xi \quad (3.1.12)$$

the derivative of  $\mu$  on the tangent spaces

$$d\mu_s : T_s(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K}))^{2g} \rightarrow T_\xi(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K}))$$

is surjective. So take  $(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_g, Y_g) \in T_s(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K}))^{2g}$ . Then differentiate  $\mu$  to get:

$$\begin{aligned}
d\mu_s(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_g, Y_g) = & \\
& \sum_{i=1}^g [A_1 : B_1] \cdots [A_{i-1} : B_{i-1}] X_i B_i A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1} [A_{i+1} : B_{i+1}] \cdots [A_g : B_g] \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^g [A_1 : B_1] \cdots [A_{i-1} : B_{i-1}] A_i Y_i A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1} [A_{i+1} : B_{i+1}] \cdots [A_g : B_g] \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^g [A_1 : B_1] \cdots [A_{i-1} : B_{i-1}] A_i B_i A_i^{-1} X_i A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1} [A_{i+1} : B_{i+1}] \cdots [A_g : B_g] \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^g [A_1 : B_1] \cdots [A_{i-1} : B_{i-1}] A_i B_i A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1} Y_i B_i^{-1} [A_{i+1} : B_{i+1}] \cdots [A_g : B_g]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.13}$$

and using 3.1.12, for each of the four terms, we get:

$$d\mu_s(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_g, Y_g) = \sum_{i=1}^g f_i(X_i) + g_i(Y_i), \tag{3.1.14}$$

where we define linear maps

$$\begin{aligned}
f_i &: T_{A_i}(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K})) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{K}) \\
g_i &: T_{B_i}(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K})) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{K})
\end{aligned}$$

by  $f_i(X) :=$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} [A_j : B_j] (X A_i^{-1} - A_i B_i A_i^{-1} X B_i^{-1} A_i^{-1}) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} [B_{i-j} : A_{i-j}] \xi$$

and  $g_i(Y) :=$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} [A_j : B_j] (A_i Y B_i^{-1} A_i^{-1} - A_i B_i A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1} Y A_i B_i^{-1} A_i^{-1}) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} [B_{i-j} : A_{i-j}] \xi.$$

We claim that  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  take values in  $T_\xi(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K}))$ . We will prove it only for  $f_i$ , the proof for  $g_i$  being completely analogous.

What we have to prove is that  $B = f_i(X)\xi^{-1}$  is a hamiltonian matrix for every  $X \in T_{A_i}(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K}))$ , i.e.,  $B^t J = -JB$ . Call

$$\begin{aligned}
U &= \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} [A_j : B_j], \\
V &= X A_i^{-1} - A_i B_i A_i^{-1} X B_i^{-1} A_i^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that, since  $A_j$  and  $B_j$  are symplectic for every  $j = 1, \dots, i$  and  $X \in T_{A_i}(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K}))$ ,  $U$  and  $U^{-1}$  are symplectic. Moreover, the facts that  $A_i^{-1} X$  is hamiltonian and  $A_i B_i$  is symplectic imply that  $A_i B_i A_i^{-1} X B_i^{-1} A_i^{-1}$  is hamiltonian

and since  $XA_i^{-1}$  is hamiltonian,  $V$  and  $V^t$  are hamiltonian too. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B^t J &= (U^{-1})^t V^t U^t J = (U^{-1})^t V^t J U^{-1} \\ &= - (U^{-1})^t J V U^{-1} = -J U V U^{-1} = -J B \end{aligned}$$

that is our claim.

Assume that  $Z' \in T_\xi(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K}))$  such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(JZ'J^{-1}d\mu_s(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_g, Y_g)) = 0. \quad (3.1.15)$$

By 3.1.14, this is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{Tr}(JZ'J^{-1}f_i(X_i)) = \mathrm{Tr}(JZ'J^{-1}g_i(Y_i)) = 0$$

for all  $i$  and  $X_i \in T_{A_i}(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K}))$ ,  $Y_i \in T_{B_i}(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K}))$ . We show by induction on  $i$  that this implies that, if  $Z' = \xi Z$ , with  $Z$  hamiltonian,  $C := JZJ^{-1}$  commutes with  $A_i$  and  $B_i$ . Notice that  $C$  is hamiltonian. Assume we have already proved this for  $j < i$  and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathrm{Tr}(JZ'J^{-1}f_i(X_i)) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}(C(X_i A_i^{-1} - A_i B_i A_i^{-1} X_i B_i^{-1} A_i^{-1})) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}((A_i^{-1} C A_i - B_i A_i^{-1} C A_i B_i) A_i^{-1} X_i) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $X_i \in T_{A_i}(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K}))$ . Since  $A_i^{-1} C A_i - B_i A_i^{-1} C A_i B_i$  and  $A_i^{-1} X_i$  are hamiltonian, and  $\mathrm{Tr}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a non degenerate symmetric bilinear form over  $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{K})$ , when  $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$ ,  $C$  commutes with  $A_i B_i A_i^{-1}$ . Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathrm{Tr}(JZ'J^{-1}g_i(Y_i)) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}((B_i^{-1} A_i^{-1} C A_i B_i - A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1} A_i^{-1} C A_i B_i A_i^{-1}) B_i^{-1} Y_i) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $C$  commutes with  $A_i B_i A_i B_i^{-1} A_i^{-1}$ . Thus  $C$  commutes with  $A_i$  and  $B_i$ , hence with  $\xi = \prod_{i=1}^g [A_i : B_i]$ . It follows that

$$C = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, -\lambda_1, \dots, -\lambda_n).$$

Arguing as in Proposition 3.1.4, we can prove by contradiction that  $C = 0$ . Thus there is no non-zero  $Z'$  such that 3.1.15 holds for all  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$ . Since  $\varphi(A, B) := \mathrm{Tr}(JAJ^{-1}B)$  is symmetric non degenerate bilinear form over  $T_\xi(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K}))$  when  $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$ , this implies that  $d\mu$  is surjective at any solution  $s$  of 3.1.12. Thus  $\mathcal{U}_n^\xi$  is non singular and equidimensional. Finally, we see that the dimension of (each connected component of)  $\mathcal{U}_n^\xi$  is

$$\dim(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K})^{2g}) - \dim(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K})) = (2g - 1)n(2n + 1)$$

proving the second claim.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.17.** *The dimension of (each connected component of)  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  is equal to  $d_n := (2g - 1)n(2n + 1) - n$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.1.4 and 3.1.11, we have that

$$\dim(\mathcal{M}_n^\xi) = \dim(\mathcal{U}_n^\xi) - \dim(T)$$

so the claim easily follows from Proposition 3.1.16.  $\square$

**3.2. Geometry of  $\mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi$ .** The goal of this section is to describe the geometry of the variety  $\mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi$  defined in 3.1.8 for any  $Z \leq H \leq \mu_2^n$ .

**Notation.** If  $Z = \mathrm{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mu_2^n$ , we denote  $Z$  by  $\mathrm{diag}^2(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ . If  $\Phi \in S_n$ , we call  $\Phi$  the corresponding symplectic permutation matrix too. If  $A \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{K})$ , we write  $\Phi(A)$  instead of  $\Phi A \Phi^{-1}$  as in Proposition 3.1.4.

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $\mu_2^n/Z$  of rank  $k$ ,  $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$  a basis of  $H$ . Then  $\vec{\mathcal{B}} = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_k\}$ , where  $Z_1, \dots, Z_k$  are independent matrices, defined up to a sign, such that  $-I_{2n} \notin \mathrm{span}\{\overline{Z_1}, \dots, \overline{Z_k}\} \leq \mu_2^n$ , whatever the choice of representatives  $\overline{Z_1}, \dots, \overline{Z_k}$  of  $Z_1, \dots, Z_k$  is. It can be easily shown that there exists a permutation  $\Phi \in S_n$  such that, for all  $h \in [k]$ ,

$$\Phi(Z_h) = \mathrm{diag}^2 \left( \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{a_1^h}, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^{a_2^h}, \dots, \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{a_{2^{h-1}}^h}, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^{a_{2^h}^h} \right) \quad (3.2.1)$$

where  $a_i^{h-1} = a_{2i-1}^h + a_{2i}^h$  for any  $i \in [2^{h-1}]$ .

This permutation gives rise to the following family of unordered partitions of  $n$ :

$$\{(a_1^h, \dots, a_{2^h}^h)\}_{h \in [k]}.$$

We will prove that these partitions are uniquely determined by the subgroup  $H$ . In order to do this, we have to check the following facts about the set  $\{(a_1^h, \dots, a_{2^h}^h)\}_{h \in [k]}$ :

- (1) It does not depend on the choice of the permutation  $\Phi$ .
- (2) It does not depend on the choice of the representatives of the elements of the basis  $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$ .
- (3) It does not depend on the choice of the basis  $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$ , once the representatives of its elements are fixed.

**Lemma 3.2.1.** *Let  $H \leq \mu_2^n$ ,  $\vec{\mathcal{B}} = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_k\}$  a basis of  $H$  such that the elements of  $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$  are of the form 3.2.1. If  $\lambda \in S_n$  such that  $\lambda(Z_h) = Z_h$  for all  $h \in [k]$ , then  $\lambda \in \prod_{i=1}^{2^k} S_{a_i^k}$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on  $k = \mathrm{rk}(H)$ .

$k = 1$ : In this case,  $\lambda(Z_1) = Z_1$ , and since  $Z_1$  is of the form 3.2.1,  $\lambda \in S_{a_1} \times S_{a_2}$ .

$k \mapsto k + 1$ : Let  $\lambda \in S_n$  such that  $\lambda(Z_h) = Z_h$  for any  $h = 1, \dots, k + 1$ . In particular  $\lambda(Z_h) = Z_h$  for any  $h \in [k]$ . By the inductive hypothesis,

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2^k}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{2^k} S_{a_i^k}.$$

Now,  $Z_{k+1} = \mathrm{diag}^2(W_1, \dots, W_{2^k})$ , where

$$W_i = \mathrm{diag} \left( \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{a_{2^{i-1}}^{k+1}}, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^{a_{2^i}^{k+1}} \right)$$

for  $i \in [2^k]$  and  $\lambda(Z_{k+1}) = Z_{k+1}$ . This implies that  $\lambda_i(W_i) = W_i$  hence, by the case  $k = 1$ ,  $\lambda_i \in S_{a_{2^{i-1}}^{k+1}} \times S_{a_{2^i}^{k+1}}$ , and this proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Notation.** If  $\lambda \in S_n$  and  $\vec{\mathcal{B}} = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_k\}$  an ordered basis of a subspace  $H$  of  $\mu_2^n$ , then  $\lambda(\vec{\mathcal{B}}) := \{\lambda(Z_1), \dots, \lambda(Z_k)\}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.2.** *Let  $H \leq \mu_2^n$ ,  $\vec{\mathcal{B}} = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_k\}$  an ordered basis of  $H$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in S_n$  such that  $\lambda(\vec{\mathcal{B}})$  and  $\mu(\vec{\mathcal{B}})$  are of the form 3.2.1. Then  $\lambda(\vec{\mathcal{B}}) = \mu(\vec{\mathcal{B}})$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on  $k$ .

$k = 1$ : The assertion is true because  $a_1^1$  and  $a_2^1$  are equal to the number of eigenvalues equal to 1 and  $-1$  in  $Z_1$  respectively.

$k \mapsto k + 1$ : If  $\vec{\mathcal{B}} = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_{k+1}\}$  and  $\lambda(\vec{\mathcal{B}})$  and  $\mu(\vec{\mathcal{B}})$  are of the form 3.2.1, then, by the inductive hypothesis,  $\lambda(Z_i) = \mu(Z_i)$  for any  $i \in [k]$ . In other words, if

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(a_1^h, \dots, a_{2^h}^h)\}_{h \in [k+1]}, \\ & \{(b_1^h, \dots, b_{2^h}^h)\}_{h \in [k+1]} \end{aligned}$$

are the partitions of  $n$  determined by  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  respectively, then  $a_i^h = b_i^h$  for  $h \in [k]$ . Now,  $(\lambda\mu^{-1})(\mu(Z_i)) = \lambda(Z_i) = \mu(Z_i)$  for any  $i \in [k]$ . By Lemma 3.2.1,  $\lambda\mu^{-1} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2^k}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{2^k} S_{a_i^k}$ . Write  $\lambda(Z_{k+1}) = \mathrm{diag}^2(W_1, \dots, W_{2^k})$  and  $\mu(Z_{k+1}) = \mathrm{diag}^2(V_1, \dots, V_{2^k})$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} W_i &= \mathrm{diag} \left( \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{a_{2^{i-1}}^{k+1}}, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^{a_{2^i}^{k+1}} \right), \\ V_i &= \mathrm{diag} \left( \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{b_{2^{i-1}}^{k+1}}, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^{b_{2^i}^{k+1}} \right) \end{aligned}$$



for  $i \in [2^k]$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(Z_{k+1}) &= (\lambda\mu^{-1})(\mu(Z_{k+1})) \\ &= \mathrm{diag}^2(\alpha_1(V_1), \dots, \alpha_{2^k}(V_{2^k})) = \mathrm{diag}^2(W_1, \dots, W_{2^k}).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that the  $\alpha_i(V_i)$ 's have the form 3.2.1, and by the case  $k = 1$ ,  $\alpha_i(V_i) = V_i$  for  $i \in [2^k]$ . So  $V_i = W_i$  for any  $i \in [2^k]$  and this concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.3.** *Let  $H \leq \mu_2^n$  such that  $-I_{2n} \notin H$ ,  $\vec{\mathcal{B}} = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_k\}$  an ordered basis of  $H$ ,  $\vec{\mathcal{B}}' = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_{j-1}, -Z_j, Z_{j+1}, \dots, Z_k\}$  and*

$$\begin{aligned}\{(a_1^h, \dots, a_{2^h}^h)\}_{h \in [k]}, \\ \{(b_1^h, \dots, b_{2^h}^h)\}_{h \in [k]}\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.2}$$

the partitions of  $n$  associated to  $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $\vec{\mathcal{B}}'$  respectively. Then for any  $h \in [k]$ , there exists a permutation  $\lambda_h \in S_{2^h}$  such that  $b_i^h = a_{\lambda_h(i)}^h$  for all  $i \in [2^h]$ .

*Proof.* First of all, notice that partitions 3.2.2 are uniquely determined by Lemma 3.2.2. Let  $\mu \in S_n$  such that  $\mu(\vec{\mathcal{B}})$  is of the form 3.2.1. Then the matrices of  $\mu(\vec{\mathcal{B}}')$  assume the following form:

$$\mu(Z_h) = \mathrm{diag}^2 \left( \underbrace{a_1^h}_{1, \dots, 1}, \underbrace{a_2^h}_{-1, \dots, -1}, \dots, \underbrace{a_{2^{h-1}}^h}_{1, \dots, 1}, \underbrace{a_{2^h}^h}_{-1, \dots, -1} \right)$$

for  $h \neq j$  and

$$\mu(Z_j) = \mathrm{diag}^2 \left( \underbrace{a_1^j}_{-1, \dots, -1}, \underbrace{a_2^j}_{1, \dots, 1}, \dots, \underbrace{a_{2^{j-1}}^j}_{-1, \dots, -1}, \underbrace{a_{2^j}^j}_{1, \dots, 1} \right).$$

Let  $\lambda \in S_n$  such that, for any  $l \in [2^j]$ , if  $\left( \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_i^j + 1 \right) \leq s \leq \sum_{i=1}^l a_i^j$ , then

$$\lambda(s) = \begin{cases} s + a_{l+1}^j & \text{if } l \text{ is odd} \\ s - a_{l-1}^j & \text{if } l \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

( $\lambda$  is the permutation that exchanges pairwise the blocks of size  $a_{2i-1}^j, a_{2i}^j$ ,  $i \in [2^{j-1}]$ ). It is easy to check that  $\lambda\mu(\vec{\mathcal{B}}')$  is of the form 3.2.1 and that the desired permutations  $\lambda_h$  are the following:

$$\lambda_h = \begin{cases} \mathrm{id}_{[n]} & \text{if } 1 \leq h \leq j-1 \\ \prod_{l=0}^{2^{h-j}-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{2^{h-j}} (i + l2^{h-j}, i + (l+1)2^{h-j}) \right) & \text{if } j \leq h \leq k. \end{cases}$$

$\square$

**Lemma 3.2.4.** *Let  $H$  and  $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$  like in Lemma 3.2.3,  $\vec{\mathcal{B}}'$  another ordered basis of  $H$  and consider the partitions of  $n$  as in 3.2.2 associated to  $\vec{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $\vec{\mathcal{B}}'$ . Then for any  $h \in [k]$ , there exists a permutation  $\lambda_h \in S_{2^h}$  such that  $b_i^h = a_{\lambda_h(i)}^h$  for all  $i \in [2^h]$ .*

*Proof.* First of all, let us give an explicit description of the action of an element in  $\mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  on an ordered basis of  $H$ : if  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in [k]} \in \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $\vec{\mathcal{B}} = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_k\}$ , then

$$A(\vec{\mathcal{B}}) := \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^k Z_i^{a_{ij}} \right\}_{j \in [k]}.$$

It is known that  $\mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is generated as a group by the matrices of the form  $I_k + E_{i,i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ , where  $E_{i,i+1}$  is the  $(i, i+1)$ -th elementary matrix. Then, it is sufficient to prove the lemma only for the change of basis given by these matrices. For simplicity, we will give the proof only in the case where the change of basis is given by  $I_k + E_{1,2}$ , being the proof in the other cases completely analogous. Therefore, the basis involved are

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\mathcal{B}} &= \{Z_1, \dots, Z_k\}, \\ \vec{\mathcal{B}}' &= \{Z_1, Z_1Z_2, Z_3, \dots, Z_k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mu \in S_n$  such that  $\mu(\vec{\mathcal{B}})$  is of the form 3.2.1. Then

$$\mu(Z_1Z_2) = \mathrm{diag}^2 \left( \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{a_1^2}, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^{a_2^2}, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^{a_3^2}, \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{a_4^2} \right).$$

Let  $\lambda \in S_n$  such that

$$\lambda(s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } 1 \leq s \leq a_1^2 + a_2^2 \\ s + a_4^2 & \text{if } a_1^2 + a_2^2 + 1 \leq s \leq a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 \\ s - a_3^2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

( $\lambda$  is the permutation exchanging the blocks of size  $a_3^2, a_4^2$ ). Then  $\lambda\mu(\vec{\mathcal{B}}')$  is of the form 3.2.1 and it turns out that  $a_1^1 = b_1^1, a_2^1 = b_2^1$  and that, for  $h = 2, \dots, k$ ,

$$b_i^h = \begin{cases} a_i^h & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq 2^{h-1} \\ a_{i+2^{h-2}}^h & \text{if } 2^{h-1} + 1 \leq i \leq 2^{h-1} + 2^{h-2} \\ a_{i-2^{h-2}}^h & \text{if } 2^{h-1} + 2^{h-2} + 1 \leq i \leq 2^h. \end{cases}$$

□

Summarizing up, Lemma 3.2.1 together with Lemma 3.2.2 prove 1, Lemma 3.2.3 proves 2 and Lemma 3.2.4 proves 3.

Finally, we are able to prove the following

**Theorem 3.2.5.** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $\mu_2^n$  containing  $\mathbf{Z}$ . There exists a unique set-partition  $\{\Pi_i\}_{i \in [2^k]}$  of  $\Pi = \{\varphi^{m_1}, \dots, \varphi^{m_n}\}$  such that*

$$\mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi \cong \prod_{i=1}^{2^k} \mathcal{U}_{a_i^k}^{\Pi_i(\xi)} \quad (3.2.3)$$

where

$$\Pi_i(\xi) := \mathrm{diag}\left((\xi_h)_{h \in \Pi_i}, (\xi_h^{-1})_{h \in \Pi_i}\right)$$

and  $a_i^k = |\Pi_i|$  for any  $i \in [2^k]$ .

*Remark 3.2.6.* For any  $i \in [2^k]$ ,  $\Pi_i(\xi)$  is a matrix uniquely determined by  $\Pi_i$  up to the action of a permutation  $\varphi \in S_{a_i^k}$ . So  $\mathcal{U}_{a_i^k}^{\Pi_i(\xi)}$  is uniquely determined up to isomorphism induced by such a  $\varphi$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.5.* Notice that for  $i \in [2^k]$ , the eigenvalues of  $\Pi_i(\xi)$  satisfy 3.1.1 because of Remark 3.1.2, so  $\mathcal{U}_{a_i^k}^{\Pi_i(\xi)}$  is well defined. Let  $X = (A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g) \in \mathcal{U}_n^\xi$ ,  $\vec{\mathcal{B}} = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_k\}$  an ordered basis of  $H/\mathbf{Z}$ . Then  $X \in \mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi$  if and only if, for  $i \in [g]$  and  $j \in [k]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_i Z_j &= Z_j A_i, \\ B_i Z_j &= Z_j B_i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.4)$$

Applying a permutation  $\Phi$  such that  $\Phi(\vec{\mathcal{B}})$  is of the form 3.2.1 to equations 3.2.4, we have, for  $i \in [g]$  and  $j \in [k]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(A_i)\Phi(Z_j) &= \Phi(Z_j)\Phi(A_i), \\ \Phi(B_i)\Phi(Z_j) &= \Phi(Z_j)\Phi(B_i) \end{aligned}$$

For any  $i \in [g]$ , it can be easily shown that

$$\Phi(A_i) = \begin{pmatrix} A_i^1 & A_i^2 \\ A_i^3 & A_i^4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi(B_i) = \begin{pmatrix} B_i^1 & B_i^2 \\ B_i^3 & B_i^4 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_i^s &= \mathrm{diag}\left(C_{i,1}^s, \dots, C_{i,2^k}^s\right), \\ B_i^j &= \mathrm{diag}\left(D_{i,1}^j, \dots, D_{i,2^k}^j\right) \end{aligned}$$

and  $C_{i,h}^s, D_{i,h}^s$  are square matrices of size  $a_h^k$  for any  $s = 1, \dots, 4, h \in [2^k]$ . Since the  $\Phi(A_i)$ 's and the  $\Phi(B_i)$ 's are symplectic matrices, we have for  $i \in [g], h \in [2^k]$

$$\begin{aligned} (C_{i,h}^1)^T C_{i,h}^4 - (C_{i,h}^3)^T C_{i,h}^2 &= I_{a_h^k}, \\ (C_{i,h}^3)^T C_{i,h}^1 &= (C_{i,h}^1)^T C_{i,h}^3, \\ (D_{i,h}^1)^T D_{i,h}^4 - (D_{i,h}^3)^T D_{i,h}^2 &= I_{a_h^k}, \\ (D_{i,h}^3)^T D_{i,h}^1 &= (D_{i,h}^1)^T D_{i,h}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.5)$$

Moreover,  $\Phi$  determines a partition of  $\{\Pi_i\}_{i \in [2^k]}$  of  $\Pi$ , with  $a_i^k = |\Pi_i|$ , and

$$\Phi(\xi) = \mathrm{diag}\left((\xi_h)_{h \in \Pi_1}, \dots, (\xi_h)_{h \in \Pi_{2^k}}, (\xi_h^{-1})_{h \in \Pi_1}, \dots, (\xi_h^{-1})_{h \in \Pi_{2^k}}\right).$$

Now, let  $\lambda \in S_{2n}$  such that

$$(\lambda\Phi)(Z_j) = \mathrm{diag}\left(\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{2a_1^j}, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^{2a_2^j}, \dots, \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{2a_{2^j-1}^j}, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^{2a_{2^j}^j}\right)$$

for all  $j \in [k]$ , and  $\lambda$  does not move any element in any of the blocks of size  $a_h^j$ . Then

$$(\lambda\Phi)(A_i) = \mathrm{diag}\left(C_i^1, \dots, C_i^{2^k}\right),$$

$$(\lambda\Phi)(B_i) = \mathrm{diag}\left(D_i^1, \dots, D_i^{2^k}\right)$$

where

$$C_i^h = \begin{pmatrix} C_{i,h}^1 & C_{i,h}^2 \\ C_{i,h}^3 & C_{i,h}^4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_i^h = \begin{pmatrix} D_{i,h}^1 & D_{i,h}^2 \\ D_{i,h}^3 & D_{i,h}^4 \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $h \in [2^k]$ ,  $i \in [g]$ , so the  $C_i^h$ 's and the  $D_i^h$ 's are symplectic by 3.2.5, and

$$(\lambda\Phi)(\xi) = \mathrm{diag}(\Pi_1(\xi), \dots, \Pi_{2^k}(\xi)).$$

It follows that there is an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^{2^k} \mathcal{U}_{a_i^k}^{\Pi_i(\xi)}$  given by

$$f : \mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi \xrightarrow{\cong} \prod_{i=1}^{2^k} \mathcal{U}_{a_i^k}^{\Pi_i(\xi)} \quad (3.2.6)$$

$$(A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g) \mapsto \left( (C_i^1, D_i^1)_{i=1, \dots, g}, \dots, (C_i^{2^k}, D_i^{2^k})_{i=1, \dots, g} \right)$$

induced by the permutation  $\lambda\Phi$ .

If we choose a different  $\Phi'$  such that  $\Phi'(\vec{\mathcal{B}})$  is of the form 3.2.1, then by Lemma 3.2.1,  $\Phi^{-1}\Phi' = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2^k}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{2^k} S_{a_i^k}$ . Therefore,  $\Phi'$  induces the same partition  $\{\Pi_i\}_{i \in [2^k]}$  of  $\Pi$  and by 3.2.6 we are done. If  $\vec{\mathcal{B}}'$  is a different basis of  $H/\mathcal{Z}$ , by the proofs of Lemma 3.2.3 and 3.2.4, we have that if  $\Phi'(\vec{\mathcal{B}}')$  is of the form 3.2.1,  $\Phi' \in S_n$ , then  $\Phi' = \mu\Phi$ , where  $\mu \in S_n$  permutes the blocks of size  $a_i^h$ . It follows that  $\Phi'$  induces the same partition  $\{\Pi_i\}_{i \in [2^k]}$  of  $\Pi$  and we are done again.  $\square$

#### 4. $E$ -POLYNOMIAL OF $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$

In the following section, we compute the  $E$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi/\mathbb{C}$  proving that, for any  $\mathcal{Z} \leq H \leq \mu_2^n$ ,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,H}^\xi$  has polynomial counting functions over finite fields possessing a primitive  $2m$ -th root of unity. These are the  $E$ -polynomials thanks to

Theorem 2.2.3. Finally, we use the additiveness of the  $E$ -polynomial with respect to stratifications.

4.1. **The  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points of  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{n,H}^\xi$ .** Let  $q$  be a power of a prime  $p > 3$ . Assume that  $\mathbb{F}_q$  contains a primitive  $2m$ -th root of unity  $\zeta := \varphi^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , so  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{2m}$ . For any  $\mathbf{Z} \leq H \leq \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^n$ , define

$$\tilde{N}_{n,H}^\xi(q) := \left| \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{n,H}^\xi(\mathbb{F}_q) \right| \quad (4.1.1)$$

$$N_{n,H}^\xi(q) := \left| \mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi(\mathbb{F}_q) \right|. \quad (4.1.2)$$

These quantities are the number of rational points of  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{n,H}^\xi/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{n,H}^\xi/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  respectively. When  $H = \mathbf{Z}$ , we simply write  $\tilde{N}_n^\xi(q)$  and  $N_n^\xi(q)$ . From Definition 3.1.5, it is easy to see that

$$N_{n,H}^\xi(q) = \sum_{H \leq S \leq \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^n} \tilde{N}_{n,S}^\xi(q) \quad (4.1.3)$$

hence by Möbius inversion, we have

$$\tilde{N}_{n,H}^\xi(q) = \sum_{H \leq S \leq \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^n} \mu(H, S) N_{n,S}^\xi(q) \quad (4.1.4)$$

where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function of the poset of the subgroups of  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_2^n$  and it is defined as follows

$$\mu(H, S) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\mathrm{rk}(S) - \mathrm{rk}(H)} 2^{\binom{\mathrm{rk}(S) - \mathrm{rk}(H)}{2}} & \text{if } H \subseteq S \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.1.5)$$

By Theorem 3.2.5, if  $\mathbf{Z} \leq S \leq \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^n$ , there exists a unique partition  $\{\Pi_i\}_{i \in [2^{\mathrm{rk}(S)}]}$  of  $\Pi = \{\varphi^{m_1}, \dots, \varphi^{m_n}\}$  such that

$$N_{n,S}^\xi(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{2^{\mathrm{rk}(S)}} N_{a_i^{\mathrm{rk}(S)}}^{\Pi_i(\xi)}(q) \quad (4.1.6)$$

where  $a_i^{\mathrm{rk}(S)} = |\Pi_i|$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, 2^{\mathrm{rk}(S)}$ . Plugging 4.1.5 and 4.1.6 into 4.1.4, we obtain

$$\tilde{N}_{n,H}^\xi(q) = \sum_{H \leq S \leq \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^n} (-1)^{\mathrm{rk}(S) - \mathrm{rk}(H)} 2^{\binom{\mathrm{rk}(S) - \mathrm{rk}(H)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{2^{\mathrm{rk}(S)}} N_{a_i^{\mathrm{rk}(S)}}^{\Pi_i(\xi)}(q). \quad (4.1.7)$$

The advantage of this equation is that we can compute  $N_{a_i^{\mathrm{rk}(S)}}^{\Pi_i(\xi)}(q)$  using the following formula due to Frobenius (see [FQ93], [FS906] or [Med78]).

**Proposition 4.1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group. Given  $z \in G$ , the number of  $2g$ -tuples  $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_g, y_g)$  satisfying  $\prod_{i=1}^g [x_i : y_i]z = 1$  is:*

$$\left| \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^g [x_i : y_i]z = 1 \right\} \right| = \sum_{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} \chi(z) \left( \frac{|G|}{\chi(1)} \right)^{2g-1}. \quad (4.1.8)$$

However, our next goal is to compute  $N_n^\xi(q)$ . We specialize 4.1.8 to the case where  $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $z = \xi$ .

Since  $\xi$  is a regular semisimple matrix, the range of the summation in 4.1.8 restricts to the set of the principal series of  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$  by 2.4.2. By Proposition 2.4.18, we can collect principal series of the same degree according to the type  $\tau$ , so combining with 2.4.20, we obtain that

$$N_n^\xi(q) = \sum_{\tau} (H_{\tau}(q))^{2g-1} C_{\tau}$$

where

$$C_{\tau} := \sum_{\tau(\chi)=\tau} \chi(\xi).$$

Our next task is to compute  $C_{\tau}$ ; we will find that it is an integer constant. In particular, since the number of all possible types does not depend on  $q$ , this will show that  $N_n^\xi(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ .

*Remark 4.1.2.* For even values of  $m$  and  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ , we could not get  $N_n^\xi(q)$  to be a polynomial in  $q$ . In fact, let  $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $m = 4$  and let us compute  $N_1^\xi(q)$  when  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Using the well known character table of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$  that one can find in [DM91], after some little algebra we get the quasi polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned} N_1^\xi(q) &= (q^3 - q)^{2g-1} + (q^2 - 1)^{2g-1} \\ &\quad + \left( (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{4}} (2^{2g} - 1) - 1 \right) (q^2 - q)^{2g-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1.9)$$

This motivates our requirement on  $q$  to be equal to 1 modulo  $2m$ .

**4.2. Calculation of  $C_{\tau}$ .** We refer to the notations used in subsection 2.4.3. If  $\tau = (\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon, \beta)$ , with  $c := |\lambda|$ ,  $l := l(\lambda)$ , and  $\beta \in \mathrm{Irr}(S_{\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon})$ , then combining Remark 2.4.3 and Proposition 2.4.9 in formula 2.4.2 we have

$$\chi(\xi) = \frac{1}{|S_{\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon}|} \sum_{w \in W_n} \theta^w(\xi^{-1}) \beta(1)$$

where  $\theta \in \widehat{T^F}$  is of the form 2.4.12. Define, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,

$$\gamma_{km_i} := \varphi^{km_i} + \varphi^{-km_i}.$$

where we denote the complex counterpart of  $\gamma$  in the same way. Then, after some computations, we obtain the following expression for  $C_\tau$ :

$$C_\tau = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_l \in Q \\ k_s \neq k_t \\ s \neq t}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^l \prod_{s \in I_j} \gamma_{k_j m_s} \right) \quad (4.2.1)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$  is the set of partitions  $\pi = \prod_{j=1}^l I_j$  of  $[c]$  such that  $|I_j| = \lambda_j$  for any  $j = 1, \dots, l$ .

If  $\sigma = \prod_{j=1}^{l(\sigma)} I'_j \in \Pi_c$ , let us consider the sets  $\Sigma_\sigma$  and  $\Sigma'_\sigma$  as in 2.3.1, replacing  $[x]$  with  $Q$ , and define

$$\Psi(\sigma) := \sum_{h \in \Sigma_\sigma} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{l(\sigma)} \prod_{s \in I'_j} \gamma_{h(I'_j) m_s} \right) \quad (4.2.2)$$

$$\Phi(\sigma) := \sum_{h \in \Sigma'_\sigma} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{l(\sigma)} \prod_{s \in I'_j} \gamma_{h(I'_j) m_s} \right) \quad (4.2.3)$$

It is evident that

$$C_\tau = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda} \Phi(\pi) \quad (4.2.4)$$

and that  $\Psi(\pi) = \sum_{\pi \preceq \sigma} \Phi(\sigma)$ . By Möbius inversion applied on the poset of set-partitions of  $[c]$ , we have

$$\Phi(\pi) = \sum_{\pi \preceq \sigma} \mu(\pi, \sigma) \Psi(\sigma). \quad (4.2.5)$$

Interchanging sum and product in 4.2.2, we have  $\Psi(\sigma) = \prod_{j=1}^{l(\sigma)} \Delta_j$  with

$$\Delta_j := \sum_{k \in Q} \left( \prod_{s \in I'_j} \gamma_{k m_s} \right). \quad (4.2.6)$$

Since  $\varphi^{m_1}, \dots, \varphi^{m_n}$  satisfy 3.1.1, together with Remark 3.1.2 we deduce that

$$\prod_{s \in I'_j} \gamma_{k m_s} = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{\lambda'_j}} \varphi_i^k \quad (4.2.7)$$

where  $\lambda'_j = |I'_j|$  and  $\varphi_i$ 's are primitive  $k_i$ -th roots of unity with  $k_i > 1$ ,  $k_i | m$ . Now,  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{2m}$  implies that  $|Q| = \frac{q-3}{2} \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$ , so plugging 4.2.7 into 4.2.6, we get  $\Delta_j = -2^{\lambda'_j}$  and then  $\Psi(\sigma) = (-1)^{l(\sigma)} 2^c$ . Plugging it into 4.2.5 and using 2.3.3, we obtain that

$\Phi(\pi) = 2^c (-1)^l l!$  and consequently, from 4.2.4,

$$C_\tau = \frac{n! \beta(1) 2^c (-1)^l l!}{\prod_i m_i(\lambda)! \prod_{i=1}^l \lambda_i! \alpha_1! \alpha_\varepsilon!} = \frac{(-1)^l l! [W_n : S_{\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\varepsilon}] \beta(1)}{\prod_i m_i(\lambda)!} \quad (4.2.8)$$

that is an integer number. Here,  $m_i(\lambda) = \{j \mid \lambda_j = i\}$ , that is the multiplicity of  $i$  in the partition  $\lambda$ , for any possible  $i$ .

*Remark 4.2.1.* As one can see, the computation of the coefficients  $C_\tau$ 's does not depend on the choice of  $\varphi$  and  $m_1, \dots, m_n$ , but only on the fact that  $\varphi^{m_1}, \dots, \varphi^{m_n}$  satisfy 3.1.1. Therefore, by Remark 3.1.1, we get  $N_{a_i^{\mathrm{rk}(S)}}^{\prod_i (\xi)}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  for all possible cases.

**4.3. Main formula.** For  $\mathbf{Z} \leq H \leq \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^n$ , let

$$E_{n,H}(q) := \frac{\tilde{N}_{n,H}^\xi(q)}{(q-1)^n}. \quad (4.3.1)$$

In accordance with 4.2.1 and 4.1.7,  $\tilde{N}_{n,H}^\xi(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ . Moreover,  $(q-1)^n$  divides  $|\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)|$  and combining Remark 2.4.10 and 2.4.13, we get  $\gcd((q-1), \chi(1)) = 1$  for all principal series  $\chi$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$  and this implies that  $E_{n,H}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ .

**Theorem 4.3.1.** *For all  $\mathbf{Z} \leq H \leq \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^n$ , the variety  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,H}^\xi/\mathbb{C}$  has polynomial count and its  $E$ -polynomial satisfies*

$$E\left(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,H}^\xi/\mathbb{C}; q\right) = E_{n,H}(q).$$

*Proof.* From the definition 3.1.8 of  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{n,H}^\xi$  it is clear that it can be viewed as a subscheme  $\mathcal{X}_H$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, R)^{2g}$  with  $R := \mathbb{Z}[\zeta, \frac{1}{2m}]$  and we can do the same thing for the  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{i,H}$  as in Remark 3.1.8, calling  $\mathcal{X}_{i,H}$  the corresponding subscheme over  $R$  for  $i \in I$ . Let  $\rho: R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an embedding, then  $\mathcal{X}_H$  and  $\mathcal{X}_{i,H}$  are spreading out of  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{n,H}^\xi/\mathbb{C}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{i,H}/\mathbb{C}$  respectively.

For every homomorphism

$$\phi: R \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q \quad (4.3.2)$$

the image  $\phi(\zeta)$  is a primitive  $2m$ -root of unity in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , because the identity

$$\prod_{i=1}^{2m-1} (1 - \zeta^i) = 2m$$

guarantees that  $1 - \zeta^i$  is a unit in  $R$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 2m-1$ , and therefore cannot be zero in the image. Hence all of our previous considerations apply to compute  $|\mathcal{X}_{H,\phi}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \tilde{N}_{n,H}^\xi(q)$ .

On the other hand, the group scheme  $T_R := C_{\mathrm{Sp}(2n,R)}(\xi)$  acts on  $\mathcal{X}_H$  and the  $\mathcal{X}_{i,H}$ 's by conjugation, so using Seshadri's extension of geometric invariant theory quotients for schemes (see [Se77]), we can take the geometric quotient  $\mathcal{Y}_H = \mathcal{X}_H/T_R$ ,



and we can define the affine scheme  $\mathcal{Y}_{i,H} = \mathrm{Spec}\left(R[\mathcal{X}_{i,H}]^{T_R}\right)$  over  $R$  for all  $i \in I$ . Then  $\{\mathcal{Y}_{i,H}\}_{i \in I}$  is an open cover of affine subschemes of  $\mathcal{Y}_H$ . Because  $\rho : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a flat morphism, [Se77, Lemma 2] implies that  $\mathcal{Y}_{i,H}$  is a spreading out of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{i,H}$  as in Remark 3.1.15 for all  $i$ , so  $\mathcal{Y}_H$  is a spreading out of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,H}^\xi/\mathbb{C}$  because of the local nature of fibered product for schemes.

Now take an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -point of the scheme  $\mathcal{Y}_{H,\phi}$  obtained from  $\mathcal{Y}_H$  by the extension of scalars in 4.3.2. By [Ka80, Lemma 3.2], the fiber over it in  $\mathcal{X}_{H,\phi}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is non empty and an orbit of  $(T/H)(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and one can easily shows that  $(T/H)(\mathbb{F}_q)$  acts freely on  $\mathcal{X}_{H,\phi}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Consequently

$$|\mathcal{Y}_{H,\phi}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \frac{|\mathcal{X}_{H,\phi}(\mathbb{F}_q)|}{|(T/H)(\mathbb{F}_q)|} = \frac{\widetilde{N}_{n,H}^\xi(q)}{(q-1)^n} = E_{n,H}(q).$$

Thus  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,H}^\xi/\mathbb{C}$  has polynomial count. Now the theorem follows from Theorem 2.2.3.  $\square$

Define

$$E_n(q) := \frac{N_n^\xi(q)}{(q-1)^n}.$$

**Corollary 4.3.2.** *The  $E$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi/\mathbb{C}$  satisfies*

$$E(\mathcal{M}_n^\xi/\mathbb{C}; q) = E_n(q) = \frac{1}{(q-1)^n} \sum_{\tau} (H_\tau(q))^{2g-1} C_\tau. \quad (4.3.3)$$

*Proof.* From Remark 2.1.4, we have that

$$E(\mathcal{M}_n^\xi/\mathbb{C}; q) = \sum_{\mathbf{Z} \leq H \leq \mu_2^n} E\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,H}^\xi/\mathbb{C}; q\right) \quad (4.3.4)$$

so the corollary follows from Theorem 4.3.1 plugging 4.3.1 into 4.3.4.  $\square$

*Remark 4.3.3.* Combining Corollary 4.3.2 and Remark 4.2.1, we see that  $E(\mathcal{M}_n^\xi/\mathbb{C}; q)$  does not depend on the particular choice of  $\xi$  but only on the conditions 3.1.1 that its eigenvalues have to satisfy. Thus we have actually computed the  $E$ -polynomial of a very large family of parabolic character varieties.

*Example 4.3.4.* If we take  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and divide both sides by  $(q-1)$  in 4.1.9, we get

$$\frac{N_1^\xi(q)}{q-1} = (q^3 - q)^{2g-2}(q^2 + q) + (q^2 - 1)^{2g-2}(q + 1) + (2^{2g} - 2)(q^2 - q)^{2g-2} q$$

and by Corollary 4.3.2 and Remark 4.3.3, this is the  $E$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{M}_1^\xi/\mathbb{C}$  for all possible choice of  $\xi$ . This result perfectly agrees with the one obtained in [MM15, Theorem 2].

We conclude this section proving the following interesting fact on  $E(\mathcal{M}_n^\xi/\mathbb{C}; q)$ .

**Corollary 4.3.5.** *The  $E$ -polynomial of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi/\mathbb{C}$  is palindromic.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.3.2, it is sufficient to prove that  $E_n(q)$  is palindromic. Since the degree of  $E_n(q)$  is equal to  $d_n = (2g - 1)n(2n + 1) - n$  for Remark 2.4.21, this is equivalent to prove that

$$q^{d_n} E_n(q^{-1}) = E_n^\xi(q).$$

Now, because of 4.2.8,  $C_\tau = C_{\tau'}$ , where  $\tau'$  is the type dual to  $\tau$  as in 2.4.14, so the corollary follows using 2.4.21 in 4.3.3 after some little computations.  $\square$

**4.4. Connectedness and Euler characteristic.** We now deduce some important topological information on  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi/\mathbb{C}$ .

**Corollary 4.4.1.** *The variety  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi/\mathbb{C}$  is connected.*

*Proof.* When  $g = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi/\mathbb{C}$  is clearly empty, unless  $n = 1$  when it is a point. Therefore, we can assume  $g \geq 1$  for the rest of the proof.

Proposition 3.1.16 tells us that  $\mathcal{U}_n^\xi$  is smooth, while Corollary 3.1.17 says that each connected component of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$  has dimension  $d_n$ . Thus, by the last part of Remark 2.2.4, the leading coefficient of  $E(\mathcal{M}_n^\xi/\mathbb{C}; q)$  is the number of connected components of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi$ . By Corollary 4.3.2,  $E(\mathcal{M}_n^\xi/\mathbb{C}; q) = E_n(q)$ , so it is enough to determine the leading coefficient of  $E_n^\xi(q)$ .

By 4.3.3, the top degree term in  $E_n(q)$  corresponds to the biggest  $H_\tau(q)$ , that is attained by the trivial character  $1_{\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)}$  because of 2.4.20 and Remark 2.4.21. Thus, using Remark 2.4.22, the leading coefficient of  $E_n(q)$  is equal to  $C_{(\hat{0}, n, 0, \beta)}$ , where  $\beta$  is the irreducible character of the Weyl group  $W_n$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$  corresponding to  $1_{\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)}$ . Equation 4.2.8, together with Remark 2.4.17, tells us that  $C_{(\hat{0}, n, 0, \beta)} = 1$ , so the corollary follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.2.** *The Euler characteristic  $\chi(\mathcal{M}_n^\xi)$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi/\mathbb{C}$  vanishes for  $g > 1$ .*

*For  $g = 1$ , we have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\chi(\mathcal{M}_n^\xi)}{|W_n|} T^n = \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 - T^k)^3} = 1 + 3T + 9T^2 + \dots$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.3.2 and 1 in Remark 2.1.3, the Euler characteristic of  $\mathcal{M}_n^\xi/\mathbb{C}$  equals

$$E_n(1) = \sum_{\tau} \left. \frac{(H_\tau(q))^{2g-1}}{(q-1)^n} \right|_{q=1} C_\tau. \quad (4.4.1)$$

Since

$$|\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)| = (q-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n (q^i + 1) q^{2i-1} \quad (4.4.2)$$

it follows from the definition 2.4.20 of  $H_\tau(q)$  and Remark 2.4.13 that  $(q-1)^{n(2g-2)}$  divides  $\frac{(H_\tau(q))^{2g-1}}{(q-1)^n}$ , so  $E_n(1) = 0$  when  $g > 1$  proving the first assertion.

When  $g = 1$ , plugging 4.4.2 in 2.4.20 and using Remark 2.4.13, we get

$$\frac{H_\tau(q)}{(q-1)^n} \Big|_{q=1} = \frac{|W_n|}{[W_n : S_{\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon}] \beta(1)} \quad (4.4.3)$$

if  $\tau = (\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon, \beta)$  where  $\lambda \vdash c$ ,  $c + \alpha_1 + \alpha_\epsilon = n$  and  $\beta \in \mathrm{Irr}(S_{\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon})$ , so plugging 4.4.3 and 4.2.8 in 4.4.1 for  $g = 1$  and summing over  $\beta$ , we have

$$E_n(1) = |W_n| \sum_{\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon} \frac{(-1)^{l(\lambda)} l(\lambda)!}{\prod_i m_i(\lambda)!} |\mathrm{Irr}(S_{\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon})|. \quad (4.4.4)$$

Since  $S_{\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} S_{\lambda_i} \right) \times W_{\alpha_1} \times W_{\alpha_\epsilon}$ , it follows that

$$|\mathrm{Irr}(S_{\lambda, \alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon})| = \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} p(\lambda_i) |\mathrm{Irr}(W_{\alpha_1})| |\mathrm{Irr}(W_{\alpha_\epsilon})|$$

where  $p(\lambda_i)$  is the number of partitions of  $\lambda_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, l(\lambda)$ . Thus, if we collect the partitions of the same size and length, summing over  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_\epsilon$  4.4.4 becomes

$$E_n(1) = |W_n| \sum_{c=0}^n a_c b_{n-c} \quad (4.4.5)$$

with

$$a_c := \sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash c \\ l(\lambda)=l}} \frac{(-1)^l l!}{\prod_i m_i(\lambda)!} \prod_{i=1}^l p(\lambda_i)$$

and

$$b_{n-c} := \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \alpha_\epsilon \geq 0 \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_\epsilon = n-c}} |\mathrm{Irr}(W_{\alpha_1})| |\mathrm{Irr}(W_{\alpha_\epsilon})|$$

so

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{E_n(1)}{|W_n|} T^n = \left( \sum_{c \geq 0} a_c T^c \right) \left( \sum_{m \geq 0} b_m T^m \right) \quad (4.4.6)$$

Now, it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{c \geq 0} a_c T^c = \sum_{l \geq 0} \left( - \sum_{n \geq 1} p(n) T^n \right)^l = \frac{1}{\sum_{n \geq 0} p(n) T^n}$$

so by an identity of Euler, we get

$$\sum_{c \geq 0} a_c T^c = \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - T^k). \quad (4.4.7)$$

On the other hand, it is known that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |\mathrm{Irr}(W_n)| T^n = \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 - T^k)^2}$$

hence

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} b_m T^m = \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 - T^k)^4}. \quad (4.4.8)$$

Thus the second assertion of the corollary follows by plugging 4.4.7 and 4.4.8 in 4.4.6.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [Bo91] Borel, A.: *Linear algebraic groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1991).
- [Cam17] Cambò, V.: *On the  $E$ -polynomial of a family of parabolic  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ -character varieties*. Ph.D. thesis, SISSA (2017).
- [Ca85] Carter, R.W.: *Finite groups of Lie type: conjugacy classes and complex characters*. Wiley, New York (1985). (Reprinted 1993 as Wiley Classics Library Edition)
- [Co88] Corlette, K.: Flat  $G$ -bundles with canonical metrics. *J. Diff. Geom.* **28**, 361-382 (1988).
- [CIK72] Curtis, C.W., Iwahori, N., Kilmoyer, R.W.: Hecke algebras and characters of parabolic type of finite groups with  $BN$ -pairs. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **40**, 81-116 (1972).
- [DK86] Danilov, V. I. and Khovanskii, A. G.: Newton polyhedra and algorithm for calculating Hodge-Deligne numbers. *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.* **50**(5), 925-945 (1986).
- [De70] Deligne, P.: *Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 163, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1970).
- [De71] Deligne, P.: Théorie de Hodge II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **40**, 5-47, (1971).
- [De74] Deligne, P.: Théorie de Hodge III. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **44**, 5-77 (1974).
- [DL76] Deligne, P., Lusztig, G.: Representations of reductive groups over finite fields. *Annals Math.* **103**, 103-161 (1976).
- [DM91] Digne, F., Michel, J.: *Representations of finite groups of Lie type*. London Math. Soc. Student Texts, vol. 21. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1991).
- [FQ93] Freed, D.S., Quinn, F.: Chern-Simons theory with finite gauge group. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **156**(3), 435-472 (1993).
- [FS906] Frobenius, G., Schur, I.: *Über die reellen Darstellungen der endlichen Gruppen*. Reimer, London (1906).
- [Fu93] Fulton, W.: *Introduction to toric varieties*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 131. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1993).
- [GHS14] García-Prada, O., Heinloth, J., Schmitt, A.: On the motives of moduli of chains and Higgs bundles. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **16**(12), 2617-2668 (2014).
- [GP00] Geck, M., Pfeiffer, G.: *Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras*. London Math. Soc. Monographs, New Series 21, Oxford University Press, New York (2000).
- [Go94] Gothen, P.B.: The Betti numbers of the moduli space of rank 3 Higgs bundles. *Internat. J. Math.*, **5**, 861-875 (1994).
- [GWZ17] Groechenig, M., Wyss, D., Ziegler, P.: Mirror symmetry for moduli spaces of Higgs bundles via  $p$ -adic integration. *arXiv e-prints*, *arXiv:1707.06417* (2017).
- [HLRV11] Hausel, T., Letellier, E., Rodriguez-Villegas, F.: Arithmetic harmonic analysis on character and quiver varieties. *Duke Math. J.*, **160**(2), 323-400 (2011).

- [HRV08] Hausel, T., Rodriguez-Villegas, F.: Mixed Hodge polynomials of character varieties. *Invent. Math.*, **174**(3), 555-624 (2008). (With an appendix by Nicholas M. Katz)
- [HT03] Hausel, T., Thaddeus, M.: Mirror symmetry, Langlands duality and Hitchin systems. *Invent. Math.*, **153**, 197-229 (2003).
- [Hi87] Hitchin, N. J.: The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **55**(1), 59-126 (1987).
- [Hi87] Hitchin, N. J.: Stable bundles and integrable systems. *Duke Math. J.*, **54**, 91-114 (1987).
- [Ho12] Hoskins, V.: *Geometric invariant theory and symplectic quotients*. <http://userpage.fu-berlin.de/hoskins/GITnotes.pdf> (2012).
- [HK80] Howlett, R.B., Kilmoyer, R.W.: Principal series representations of finite groups with split  $BN$ -pairs. *Comm. in Algebra* **8**(6), 543-583 (1980).
- [Hu75] Humphreys, J.E.: *Linear algebraic groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 21. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg (1975).
- [Ka80] Kac, V.: Infinite root systems, representations of graphs and invariant theory. *Invent. Math.* **56**(1), 57-92 (1980).
- [Ki69] Kilmoyer, R.W.: *Some irreducible complex representations of a finite group with a  $BN$ -pair*. Ph.D. dissertation, M.I.T (1969).
- [Kl16] Klingsberg, P.: *Probability: Möbius inversion*. <http://people.sju.edu/~pklingsb/moebinv.pdf> (2016).
- [LMN13] Logares, M., Muñoz, V., Newstead, P.E.: Hodge polynomials of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -character varieties for curves of small genus. *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **26**(2), 635 (2013).
- [MM15] Martínez, J., Muñoz, V.:  $E$ -polynomials of the  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -character varieties of surface groups. *Int. Math. Res. Notices.* **2016**(3), 923-961 (2015).
- [McG82] McGovern, K.: Multiplicities of principal series representations of finite groups with split  $BN$ -pairs. *J. of Algebra* **77**, 419-442 (1982).
- [Med78] Mednyh, A.D.: Determination of the number of non equivalent coverings over a compact Riemann surface. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **239**(2), 269-271 (1978).
- [Mel17] Mellit, A.: Poincaré polynomials of moduli spaces of Higgs bundles and character varieties (no punctures). *arXiv preprint, arXiv:1707.04214* (2017).
- [Me15] Mereb, M.: On the  $E$ -polynomials of a family of  $\mathrm{Sl}_n$ -character varieties. *Math. Ann.* **363**, 857-892 (2015).
- [MS14] Mozgovoy, S., Schiffmann, O.: Counting Higgs bundles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.2101* (2014).
- [PS08] Peters, C.A.M., Steenbrink, J.H.M.: *Mixed Hodge structures*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und Ihrer Grenzgebiete. Springer, Berlin (2008).
- [Sch14] Schiffmann, O.: Indecomposable vector bundles and stable Higgs bundles over smooth projective curves. *Annals Math.*, **183**(1), 297-362 (2016).
- [Se77] Seshadri, C.S.: Geometric reductivity over arbitrary base. *Advances in Math.* **26**(3), 225-274 (1977).
- [Si92] Simpson, C.: Higgs bundles and local systems. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **75**, 5-95 (1992).
- [Si95] Simpson, C.: Moduli space of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **80**, 5-79 (1995).
- [Si90] Simpson, C.: Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **3**, 713-770 (1990).

- [St12] Stanley, R.P.: *Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol 1.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **49**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).
- [SYZ96] Strominger, A., Yau, S., Zaslow, E.: Mirror Symmetry is  $T$ -duality. *Nucl. Phys. B.* **479**(1-2), 243-259 (1996).