

HEDEN'S BOUND ON THE TAIL OF A VECTOR SPACE PARTITION

SASCHA KURZ*

ABSTRACT. A vector space partition of \mathbb{F}_q^v is a collection of subspaces such that every non-zero vector is contained in a unique element. We improve a lower bound of Heden, in a subcase, on the number of elements of the smallest occurring dimension in a vector space partition. To this end, we introduce the notion of q^r -divisible sets of k -subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^v . By geometric arguments we obtain non-existence results for these objects, which then imply the improved result of Heden.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $q > 1$ be a prime power, \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field with q elements, and v a positive integer. A *vector space partition* \mathcal{P} of \mathbb{F}_q^v is a collection of subspaces with the property that every non-zero vector is contained in a unique member of \mathcal{P} . If \mathcal{P} contains m_d subspaces of dimension d , then \mathcal{P} is of type $k^{m_k} \dots 1^{m_1}$. We may leave out some of the cases with $m_d = 0$. Subspaces of dimension d are also called *d-subspaces*. 1-subspaces are called *points*, $(v-1)$ -subspaces are called *hyperplanes*, and each k -subspace contains $\begin{bmatrix} k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{q^k-1}{q-1}$ points. So, in a vector space partition \mathcal{P} each point of the ambient space \mathbb{F}_q^v is covered by exactly one point of one of the elements of \mathcal{P} . An example of a vector space partition is given by a *k-spread* in \mathbb{F}_q^v , where $\begin{bmatrix} v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q / \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q$ k -subspaces partition the set of points of \mathbb{F}_q^v . The corresponding type is given by k^{m_k} , where $m_k = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q / \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q$. If k divides v then considering the points of $\mathbb{F}_q^{v/k}$ as k -dimensional subspaces over \mathbb{F}_q gives a construction of k -spreads. If k does not divide v , then no k -spreads exist. Vector space partitions of type $k^{m_k} 1^{m_1}$ are known under the name *partial k-spreads*. More precisely, a partial k -spread in \mathbb{F}_q^v is a set \mathcal{K} of k -subspaces such that each point of the ambient space \mathbb{F}_q^v is covered at most by one of its elements. Adding the set of uncovered points, which are also called *holes*, gives a vector space partition of type $k^{m_k} 1^{m_1}$. Maximizing $m_k = \#\mathcal{K}$ is equivalent to the minimization of m_1 . If d_1 is the smallest dimension with $m_{d_1} \neq 0$, we call m_{d_1} the *length of the tail* and call the set of the corresponding d_1 -subspace the *tail*. Vector space partitions with a tail of small length are of special interest. In [4] Olof Heden obtained:

Theorem 1. (Theorem 1 in [4]) Let \mathcal{P} be a vector space partition of type $d_1^{u_1} \dots d_2^{u_2} d_1^{u_1}$ of \mathbb{F}_q^v , where $u_1, u_2 > 0$ and $d_1 > \dots > d_2 > d_1 \geq 1$.

- (i) If $q^{d_2-d_1}$ does not divide u_1 and if $d_2 < 2d_1$, then $u_1 \geq q^{d_1} + 1$;
- (ii) if $q^{d_2-d_1}$ does not divide u_1 and if $d_2 \geq 2d_1$, then either d_1 divides d_2 and $u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} d_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q / \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q$ or $u_1 > 2q^{d_2-d_1}$;
- (iii) if $q^{d_2-d_1}$ divides u_1 and $d_2 < 2d_1$, then $u_1 \geq q^{d_2} - q^{d_1} + q^{d_2-d_1}$;
- (iv) if $q^{d_2-d_1}$ divides u_1 and $d_2 \geq 2d_1$, then $u_1 \geq q^{d_2}$.

Moreover, in Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 he classified the possible sets of d_1 -subspaces for $u_1 = q^{d_1} + 1$ and $u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} d_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q / \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q$, respectively. The results were obtained using the theory of mixed perfect 1-codes, see e.g. [6].

In [2] the authors improved a lower bound of Heden on the size of inclusion-maximal partial 2-spreads by translating the underlying techniques into geometry. Here we improve Theorem 1(ii). The underlying geometric structure is the set \mathcal{N} of d_1 -subspaces of a vector space partition \mathcal{P} of type $d_1^{u_1} \dots d_2^{u_2} d_1^{u_1}$. For d_1 this is just a set of points in \mathbb{F}_q^v . It can be shown that the existence of \mathcal{P} implies $\#\mathcal{N} \equiv \#(\mathcal{N} \cap H) \pmod{q^{d_2-1}}$ for every hyperplane H of \mathbb{F}_q^v , see e.g. [7]. Taking a vector representation of the elements of \mathcal{N} as columns of a generator matrix, we obtain a corresponding (projective) linear code \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{F}_q . The modulo constraints for \mathcal{N} are equivalent to the property that the Hamming weights of the codewords of \mathcal{C} are divisible by q^{d_2-1} . The study of so-called divisible codes, where the Hamming weights of the codewords of a linear code are divisible by some factor $\Delta > 1$, was initiated by Harold Ward, see e.g. [9]. The MacWilliams identities, linking the weight distribution of a linear code with the weight distribution of its dual code, can be relaxed to a linear program. Incorporating some information about the weight distribution of a linear code may result in an infeasible linear program, which then certifies the non-existence of such a code. This technique is known under the name linear programming method for codes and was more generally developed for association schemes by Philip Delsarte [3]. In [8] analytic solutions of linear programs for projective q^r -divisible linear codes have been applied in order to compute upper bounds for partial k -spreads. Indeed, all currently known upper bounds for partial k -spreads can be deduced from this method, see [7] for a survey.

* Grant KU 2430/3-1 – Integer Linear Programming Models for Subspace Codes and Finite Geometry – German Research Foundation.

Here, we generalize the approach to the case $d_1 > 1$ by studying the properties of the set \mathcal{N} of d_1 -subspaces of a vector space partition \mathcal{P} of \mathbb{F}_q^v of type $d_1^{u_1} \dots d_2^{u_2} d_1^{u_1}$ in Section 2. It turns out that we have $\#\mathcal{N} \equiv \#(\mathcal{N} \cap H) \pmod{q^{d_2-d_1}}$ for every hyperplane H of \mathbb{F}_q^v , see Lemma 3, which we introduce as a definition of a $q^{d_2-d_1}$ -divisible set of k -subspaces with trivial intersection. By elementary counting techniques we obtain a partial substitute for the MacWilliams identities, see the equations (1) and (2). These imply some analytical criteria for the non-existence of such sets \mathcal{N} , which are used in Section 3 to reprove Theorem 1. By an improved analysis we tighten Theorem 1 to Theorem 12. More precisely, the second lower bound of Theorem 1(ii) is improved. We close with some numerical results on the spectrum of the possible cardinalities of \mathcal{N} and pose some open problems.

2. SETS OF DISJOINT k -SUBSPACES AND THEIR INCIDENCES WITH HYPERPLANES

For a positive integer k let \mathcal{N} be a set of pairwise disjoint, i.e., having trivial intersection, k -subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^v , where we assume that the k -subspaces from \mathcal{N} span \mathbb{F}_q^v , i.e., v is minimally chosen. By a_i we denote the number of hyperplanes H of \mathbb{F}_q^v with $\#(\mathcal{N} \cap H) := \#\{U \in \mathcal{N} : U \leq H\} = i$ and set $n := \#\mathcal{N}$. Due to our assumption on the minimality of the dimension v not all n elements from \mathcal{N} can be contained in a hyperplane. Double-counting the incidences of the tuples (H) , (B_1, H) , and (B_1, B_2, H) , where H is a hyperplane and $B_1 \neq B_2$ are elements of \mathcal{N} contained in H gives:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i a_i = n \cdot \begin{bmatrix} v-k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i(i-1) a_i = n(n-1) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} v-2k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q. \quad (1)$$

For three different elements B_1, B_2, B_3 of \mathcal{N} their span $\langle B_1, B_2, B_3 \rangle$ has a dimension i between $2k$ and $3k$. Denoting the number of corresponding triples by b_i , double-counting tuples (B_1, B_2, B_3, H) , where H is a hyperplane and B_1, B_2, B_3 are pairwise different elements of \mathcal{N} contained in H , gives:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i(i-1)(i-2) a_i = \sum_{i=2k}^{3k} b_i \begin{bmatrix} v-i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=2k}^{3k} b_i = n(n-1)(n-2). \quad (2)$$

Given parameters q, k, n , and v the so-called (*integer*) *linear programming method* asks for a solution of the equation system given by (1) and (2) with $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ ($a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{N}$). If no solution exists, then no corresponding set \mathcal{N} can exist. For $k = 1$ the equations from (1) and (2) correspond to the first four MacWilliams identities, see e.g. [7].

If there is a single non-zero value a_i the system can be solved analytically.

Lemma 2. *If $a_i = 0$ for all $i \neq r > 0$ and $k < v$ in the above setting, then there exists an integer $s \geq 2$ with $v = sk$ and \mathcal{N} is a k -spread. Additionally we have $r = \frac{q^{v-k}-1}{q^k-1}$.*

PROOF. Solving (1) for r, a_r , and n gives $n = \frac{q^{2v-k}-q^v-q^{v-k}+1}{q^v-q^{v-k}-q^k+1}$. Writing $v = sk + t$ with $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq t < k$ we obtain $n = \sum_{i=1}^s q^{v-ik} + \frac{q^{v-k+t}-q^{v-k}-q^t+1}{q^v-q^{v-k}-q^k+1}$. Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq q^{v-k+t} - q^{v-k} - q^t + 1 < q^v - q^{v-k} - q^k + 1$ we have $q^{v-k+t} - q^{v-k} - q^t + 1 = 0$ so that $t = 0$ and $n = \frac{q^v-1}{q^k-1}$. Counting points gives that \mathcal{N} partitions \mathbb{F}_q^v . \square

We remark that $r = 0$ forces $n \in \{0, 1\}$ so that \mathcal{N} is empty or consists of a single k -subspace in \mathbb{F}_q^k and $v = k$ implies the latter case. So, these degenerated cases correspond to $s \in \{0, 1\}$ in Lemma 2. As pointed out after [4, Theorem 2], such results can be proved in different ways. While the case that only one a_i is non-zero is rather special, we can show that many a_i are equal to zero in our setting.

Lemma 3. *Let \mathcal{P} be a vector space partition of type $d_1^{u_1} \dots d_2^{u_2} d_1^{u_1}$ of \mathbb{F}_q^v , where $u_1, u_2 > 0$, and let \mathcal{N} be the set of d_1 -subspaces. Then, we have $\#\mathcal{N} \equiv \#(\mathcal{N} \cap H) \pmod{q^{d_2-d_1}}$ for every hyperplane H of \mathbb{F}_q^v .*

PROOF. For each $U \in \mathcal{P}$ we have $\dim(U \cap H) \in \{\dim(U), \dim(U) - 1\}$. So counting points in \mathbb{F}_q^v and H gives the existence of integers a, a' with $m \cdot \begin{bmatrix} d_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q + a q^{d_2} + u_1 \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q$ and $m \cdot \begin{bmatrix} d_2-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q + a' q^{d_2-1} + u_1' q^{d_1-1} + u_1 \begin{bmatrix} d_1-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} v-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q$, where $m := \sum_{i=2}^l u_i$ and $u_1' := \#(\mathcal{N} \cap H)$. By subtraction we obtain $m q^{d_2-1} + a q^{d_2} - a' q^{d_2-1} + u_1 q^{d_1-1} - u_1' q^{d_1-1} = q^{v-1}$, so that $u_1 q^{d_1-1} \equiv u_1' q^{d_1-1} \pmod{q^{d_2-1}}$. \square

Definition 4. Let \mathcal{N} be a set of k -subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^v . If there exists a positive integer r such that a_i is non-zero only if $\#\mathcal{N} - i$ is divisible by q^r and the k -subspaces are pairwise disjoint, then we call \mathcal{N} q^r -divisible.

Using the notation of Lemma 3, \mathcal{N} is $q^{d_2-d_1}$ -divisible. As mentioned in the introduction, for $d_1 = 1$, taking the elements of \mathcal{N} as columns of a generator matrix, we obtain a projective linear code, whose Hamming weights are divisible by q^{d_2-1} .

Example 5. For integers $k \geq 2$ and $r = ak + b$ with $0 \leq b < k$ let \mathcal{N} be a k -spread of $\mathbb{F}_q^{(a+2)k}$. Starting from a $(a+2)k$ -spread in $\mathbb{F}_q^{2(a+2)k}$ we obtain a vector space partition \mathcal{P} by replacing one $(a+2)k$ -dimensional spread

element with \mathcal{N} . From Lemma 3 and $q^r | q^{(a+2)k-k} = q^{(a+1)k}$ we deduce that the set \mathcal{N} of k -subspaces is q^r -divisible. Its cardinality is given by $\binom{(a+2)k}{1}_q / \binom{k}{1}_q$.

Example 6. For integers $k \geq 2$ and $r \geq 1$ let $n = k + r$ and consider a matrix representation $M: \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q$, obtained by expressing the multiplication maps $\mu_\alpha: \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, $x \mapsto \alpha x$, which are linear over \mathbb{F}_q , in terms of a fixed basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q$. Then, all matrices in $M(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ are invertible and have mutual rank distance $d_R(A, B) := \text{rk}(A - B) = n$, see e.g. [7] for proofs of these and the subsequent facts. In other words, the matrices of $M(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ form a maximum rank distance code with minimum rank distance n and cardinality q^n .

Now let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{k \times n}$ be the matrix code obtained from $M(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ by deleting the last $n - k$ rows, say, of every matrix. Then \mathcal{B} has cardinality minimum rank distance k . Hence, by applying the lifting construction $B \mapsto (I_k | B)$, where I_k is the $k \times k$ identity matrix, to \mathcal{B} we obtain a partial k -spread \mathcal{N} in \mathbb{F}_q^v of size $q^n = q^{k+r}$. Since precisely the points outside the $(k+r)$ -subspace $S = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^v : x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_k = 0\}$ are covered, $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{N} \cup \{S\}$ is a vector space partition of \mathbb{F}_q^{2k+r} and \mathcal{N} is q^{k+r} -divisible with cardinality q^{k+r} .

From the first two equations of (1) we deduce:

Lemma 7. For a q^r -divisible set \mathcal{N} of k -subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^v , there exists a hyperplane H with $\#(\mathcal{N} \cap H) \leq n/q^k$.

PROOF. Let i be the smallest index with $a_i \neq 0$. Then, the first two equations of (1) are equivalent to $\sum_{j \geq 0} a_{i+q^r j} = \binom{v}{1}_q$ and $\sum_{j \geq 0} (i + q^r j) \cdot a_{i+q^r j} = n \binom{v-k}{1}_q$. Subtracting i times the first equation from the second equation gives $\sum_{j > 0} q^r j a_{i+q^r j} = n \cdot \frac{q^{v-k}-1}{q-1} - i \cdot \frac{q^v-1}{q-1}$. Since the left-hand side is non-negative, we have $i \leq \frac{q^{v-k}-1}{q^{v-1}-1} \cdot n \leq \frac{n}{q^k}$. \square

Stated less technical, the proof of Lemma 7 is given by the fact that the hyperplane with the minimum number of k -subspaces contains at most as many k -subspaces as the average number of k -subspaces per hyperplane.

Taking also the third equation of (1) into account implies a quadratic criterion:

Lemma 8. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and \mathcal{N} be a q^r -divisible set of k -subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^v . Then, $\tau(n, q^r, q^k, m) \cdot q^{v-2k-2r} - m(m-1) \geq 0$, where $\tau(n, \Delta, u, m) := \Delta^2 u^2 m(m-1) - n(2m-1)u(u-1)\Delta + n(u-1)(n(u-1)+1)$.

PROOF. With $y = q^{v-2k}$, $u = q^k$, and $\Delta = q^r$, we can rewrite the equations of (1) to $u^2 y - 1 = (q-1) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i$, $n \cdot (uy - 1) = (q-1) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} i a_i$, and $n(n-1) \cdot (y-1) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} i(i-1) a_i$. $(n-m\Delta)(n-(m-1)\Delta)$ times the first minus $2n - (2m-1)\Delta - 1$ times the second plus the third equation gives $y \cdot \tau(n, \Delta, u, m) - \Delta^2 m(m-1) = (q-1) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (n-m\Delta-i)(n-(m-1)\Delta-i) a_i = (q-1) \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta^2 (m-h)(m-h+1) a_{n-h\Delta} \geq 0$. \square

As a preparation we present another classification result:

Lemma 9. If \mathcal{N} is a q -divisible set of k -subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^v of cardinality $q^k + 1$, then \mathcal{N} partitions \mathbb{F}_q^{2k} .

PROOF. Setting $c_i := (q-1)a_{1+iq}$ and $l := q^{k-1} - 1$ we can rewrite the equations of (1) to $\sum_{i=0}^l c_i = q^v - 1$, $\sum_{i=0}^l (1+iq)c_i = (q^k + 1)(q^{v-k} - 1)$, and $\sum_{i=0}^l iq(1+iq)c_i = (q^k + 1)q^k(q^{v-2k} - 1)$. Since $ql + 1$ times the second minus $ql + 1$ times the first minus the third equation gives $0 \leq \sum_{i=0}^l iq^2(l-i)c_i = -q^{k+1}(q^{v-2k} - 1)$, we have $v = 2k$. Every point of \mathbb{F}_q^v is covered by an element from \mathcal{N} due to $\binom{2k}{1}_q / \binom{k}{1}_q = q^k + 1$. \square

3. PROOF OF HEDEN'S RESULTS AND FURTHER IMPROVEMENTS

Let \mathcal{P} be a vector space partition of type $d_1^{u_1} \dots d_2^{u_2} d_1^{u_1}$ of \mathbb{F}_q^v , where $u_1, u_2 > 0$, $d_1 > \dots > d_2 > d_1 \geq 1$. Let \mathcal{N} be the set of d_1 -subspaces and V be the subspace spanned by \mathcal{N} . By n we denote the cardinality of \mathcal{N} and by a_i we denote the number of hyperplanes of V that contain exactly i elements from \mathcal{N} .

Assume that $q^{d_2-d_1}$ does not divide u_1 . We have $\#(\mathcal{N} \cap H) \geq 1$ for every hyperplane H of V due to Lemma 3, so that Lemma 7 gives $u_1 \geq q^{d_1}$. Thus, we have $u_1 \geq q^{d_1} + 1$. If $u = q^{d_1} + 1$ then we can apply Lemma 9 for the classification of the possible sets \mathcal{N} . If $u_1 < 2q^{d_2-d_1}$ then for $a_i > 0$ we have $i < q^{d_2-d_1}$ and $i \equiv u_1 \pmod{q^{d_2-d_1}}$ so that we can apply Lemma 2. Thus, either d_2 divides d_1 and $u_1 = (q^{d_2} - 1)/(q^{d_1} - 1)$ or $u_1 > 2q^{d_2-d_1}$. The first case can be attained by a d_2 -spread where one d_2 -subspace is replaced by a d_1 -spread, see Example 5. We remark that no assumption on the relation between d_2 and d_1 is used in our derivation. However, if $d_2 < 2d_1$ then d_1 cannot divide d_2 and $q_1^{d_1} + 1 > 2q^{d_2-d_1}$.

Assume that $q^{d_2-d_1}$ divides u_1 . Setting $\Delta = q^{d_2-d_1}$, $u = q^{d_1}$, $n = \Delta l$, and $m = l^\dagger$ for some integer l , we conclude $\tau(n, \Delta, u, m) = \Delta l(\Delta l - \Delta u + u - 1) \geq 0$ from Lemma 8, so that $l \geq \lceil u - \frac{u}{\Delta} + \frac{1}{\Delta} \rceil$. The right-hand side is equal to $u = q^{d_1}$ if $d_2 \geq 2d_1$ and to $u - u/\Delta + 1 = q^{d_1} - q^{2d_1-d_2} + 1$ otherwise, which is equivalent to $n \geq q^{d_2}$ and $n \geq q^{d_2} - q^{d_1} + q^{d_2-d_1}$. We remark that equality is achievable in the latter case via the 2-weight codes constructed in [1] (with parameters $n' = d_1$ and $m = d_2 - d_1$). We do not know whether the corresponding $q^{d_2-d_1}$ -divisible set of d_1 -subspaces can be realized as a vector space partition of \mathbb{F}_q^v .[‡] For the first case see Example 6.

[†]The choice for m can be obtained by minimizing $\tau(n, \Delta, u, m)$, i.e., solving $\frac{\partial \tau(n, \Delta, u, m)}{\partial m} = 0$ and rounding.

[‡]A suitable test case might be to decide whether a vector space partition of type $4^4 3^{135} 2^6$ exists in \mathbb{F}_2^{10} .

The above comprises [4, Theorems 1-4]. Given the stated examples, just Theorem 1(ii), for the case where d_1 does not divide d_2 , leaves some space for improving the lower bound on u_1 . To that end we analyze Lemma 8 in more detail. Since the statements look rather technical and complicated we first give a justification for the necessity of this fact. Via the quadratic inequality of Lemma 8 intervals of cardinalities can be excluded for different values of the parameter m . However, some cardinalities are indeed feasible. If $r = ak + b$ with $0 \leq b < k$ then the two constructions from Example 5 and Example 6 give q^r -divisible set of k -subspaces of cardinality $\begin{bmatrix} (a+2)k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q / \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q$ and q^{k+r} , respectively. For $q = 2, r = 3, k = 2$ the cardinalities of these two examples are given by 21 and 32. In general, each two q^r -divisible sets \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 of k -subspaces can be combined to a q^r -divisible set of k -subspaces of cardinality $\#\mathcal{N}_1 + \#\mathcal{N}_2$. Since $\begin{bmatrix} (a+2)k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q / \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q$ and q^{k+r} are coprime there exists some integer $F_q(k, r)$ such that q^r -divisible sets of k -subspaces exist for every cardinality $n > F_q(k, r)$. Below that number some cardinalities can be excluded, but their density decreases with increasing n . Our numerical example is continued after the proof of Theorem 12.

Proposition 10. *Let \mathcal{N} be a q^r -divisible set of k -subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^v , $u = q^k$ and $\Delta = q^r$. Then, $n \notin \left[1, \frac{q^{k+r}-1}{q^r-1}\right)$ and*

$$n \notin \left[\left[\frac{1}{u-1} \cdot \left(\Delta um - \frac{\Delta u + 1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\omega} \right) \right], \left[\frac{1}{u-1} \cdot \left(\Delta um - \frac{\Delta u + 1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\omega} \right) \right] \right],$$

where $\omega = (\Delta u - 2m)^2 + (2\Delta u + 1 - 4m^2)$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $2 \leq m \leq \lfloor \frac{\Delta u}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4\Delta u} \rfloor$.

PROOF. We set $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta u$ and $\bar{n} = n(u-1)$ so that $\tau(n, \Delta, u, m) = \bar{\Delta}^2 m(m-1) - \bar{n}\bar{\Delta}(2m-1) + \bar{n}(\bar{n}+1)$. We have $\tau(n, \Delta, u, m) \leq 0$ iff $\left| \bar{n} - \bar{\Delta}m + \frac{\bar{\Delta}+1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\bar{\Delta}^2 - 4m\bar{\Delta} + 2\bar{\Delta} + 1}$ and $m \leq \frac{\bar{\Delta}}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4\bar{\Delta}}$. Rewriting and applying Lemma 8 with $1 \leq m \leq \lfloor \frac{\Delta u}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4\Delta u} \rfloor$ gives the result since $m(m-1) > 0$ for $m \geq 2$. \square

Proposition 11. *Let \mathcal{N} be a q^r -divisible set of k -subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^v , where $r = ak + b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < b < k$ and $a \geq 1$. Then, $n \geq \frac{q^{(a+2)k}-1}{q^k-1} = q^r \cdot q^{k-b} + \frac{q^r \cdot q^{k-b}-1}{q^k-1} = \Delta q^{k-b} + q^k \Theta + 1$, where $\Delta := q^r$ and $\Theta := \frac{q^{ak}-1}{q^k-1}$.*

PROOF. From Lemma 2 we conclude $n \geq 2q^r$ and set $u = q^k$. For $2 \leq m \leq q^{k-b}$ we have $2\Delta u + 1 - 4m^2 > 0$, so that Proposition 10 gives $n \notin \left[\left[\frac{\Delta u(m-1)-1/2+m}{u-1} \right], \left[\frac{\Delta um-1/2-m}{u-1} \right] \right]$. Since $\Delta(m-1) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\Delta u(m-1)-1/2+m}{u-1} \right\rceil = \Delta(m-1) + \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(m-1)-1/2+m}{u-1} \right\rceil \leq \Delta m$ and $\left\lfloor \frac{\Delta um-1/2-m}{u-1} \right\rfloor = \Delta m + mq^b \Theta + \left\lfloor \frac{mq^b-1/2-m}{q^k-1} \right\rfloor = \Delta m + mq^b \Theta$, we conclude $n \notin [\Delta m, \Delta m + mq^b \Theta]$ for $2 \leq m \leq q^{k-b}$.

It remains to show $n \notin [\Delta m, \Delta m + mq^b \Theta + 1, \Delta(m+1) - 1] =: I_m$ for all $2 \leq m \leq q^{k-b} - 1$. If $n \in I_m$, then we can write $n = \Delta m + mq^b \Theta + x$ with $x \geq 1$ and $mq^b \Theta + x < \Delta$, so that $q^k \cdot (mq^b \Theta + x) = \Delta m + mq^b \Theta + (xq^k - mq^b) < \Delta m + mq^b \Theta + x = n$, which contradicts Lemma 7. \square

In other words, in the case of Theorem 1(ii), where $d_2 = ad_1 + b$ with $0 < b < d_1$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $u_1 \geq q^{d_2-d_1} \cdot q^{d_1-b} + \frac{q^{(a+1)d_1}-1}{q^{d_1}-1} = \frac{q^{(a+2)d_1}-1}{q^{d_1}-1}$, which can be attained by an d_1 -spread in $\mathbb{F}_q^{(a+2)d_1}$. Without the knowledge of b , we can state $u_1 \geq q \cdot q^{d_2-d_1} + \left\lceil \frac{q^{d_2+1}-1}{q^{d_1}-1} \right\rceil$, which also improves Theorem 1(ii) and is tight whenever $d_2 + 1$ is divisible by d_1 . Summarizing our findings we obtain our main theorem:

Theorem 12. *For a non-empty q^r -divisible set \mathcal{N} of k -subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^v the following bounds on $n = \#\mathcal{N}$ are tight.*

- (i) *We have $n \geq q^k + 1$ and if $r \geq k$ then either k divides r and $n \geq \frac{q^{k+r}-1}{q^k-1}$ or $n \geq \frac{q^{(a+2)k}-1}{q^k-1}$, where $r = ak + b$ with $0 < b < k$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$.*
- (ii) *Let q^r divide n . If $r < k$ then $n \geq q^{k+r} - q^k + q^r$ and $n \geq q^{k+r}$ otherwise.*

For (i) the lower bounds are attained by k -spreads, see Example 5. For (ii) the second lower bound is attained by a construction based on lifted MRD codes, see Example 6. In the other case the 2-weight codes constructed in [1] attain the lower bound. Thus, Theorem 12 is tight and implies an improvement of Theorem 1(ii).

While the smallest cardinality of a non-empty q^r -divisible set of k -subspaces over \mathbb{F}_q has been determined, the spectrum of possible cardinalities remains widely unknown. For $k = 1$ [7, Theorem 12] states that either $n > rq^{r+1}$ or there exist integers a, b with $n = a \begin{bmatrix} r+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q + bq^{r+1}$ and bounds for the maximum excluded cardinality have been determined in [5]. However, Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, applied via Proposition 10, give restrictions going far beyond Theorem 12. For $q = 2, r = 3, k = 2$, and $n \leq 81$ we exemplarily state that only $n \in \{21, 31, 32, 33, 42, 43, 44, 52, \dots, 55, 62, \dots, 66, 72, \dots, 78\}$ might be attainable. The mentioned constructions cover the cases $n \in \{21, 32, 42, 53, 63, 64, 74\} \subseteq \{21a + 32b : a, b \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Replacing the lines by their contained 3 points, we obtain 2^4 -divisible sets of 1-subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^v of cardinality $3n$, for which two further exclusion criteria have been presented in [7], excluding the cases $n \in \{33, 44\}$. [7, Lemma 23] is based on a cubic polynomial obtained from (1) and (2), similar to the quadratic polynomial from Lemma 8 obtained from (1). Here, the presence of k additional b_i -variables

may make the analysis more difficult for $k > 1$. For a q^r -divisible set \mathcal{N} of 1-subspaces we have that $\mathcal{N} \cap H$ is q^{r-1} -divisible for every hyperplane H , which allows a recursive application of the linear programming method. For $k > 1$ we need to consider k -subspaces and $k - 1$ -subspaces in H , see [7, Section 6.3], which makes the bookkeeping more complicated.

The determination of the possible spectrum of cardinalities of q^r -divisible sets of k -subspaces remains an interesting open problem. Even for small parameters this might be challenging. A possible intermediate step is the determination of the number $F_q(k, r)$ being similar to the Frobenius number. Extending the small list of constructions is also worthwhile.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am very thankful for the comments of two anonymous reviewers, which helped to improve the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bierbrauer and Y. Edel, *A family of 2-weight codes related to BCH-codes*, Journal of Combinatorial Designs **5** (1997), no. 5, 391.
- [2] A. Blokhuis, A.E. Brouwer, and H.A. Wilbrink, *Heden's bound on maximal partial spreads*, Discrete Mathematics **74** (1989), no. 3, 335–339.
- [3] P. Delsarte, *An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory*, Philips research reports (1973), no. 10, 103.
- [4] O. Heden, *On the length of the tail of a vector space partition*, Discrete Mathematics **309** (2009), no. 21, 6169–6180.
- [5] D. Heinlein, T. Honold, M. Kiermaier, S. Kurz, and A. Wassermann, *Projective divisible binary codes*, The Tenth International Workshop on Coding and Cryptography 2017, 2017.
- [6] M Herzog and J Schönheim, *Group partition, factorization and the vector covering problem*, Canad. Math. Bull **15** (1972), no. 2, 207–214.
- [7] T. Honold, M. Kiermaier, and S. Kurz, *Partial spreads and vector space partitions*, Network Coding and Subspace Designs (M. Greferath, M.O. Pavčević, N. Silberstein, and A. Vazquez-Castro, eds.), Springer, 2018, pp. 131–170.
- [8] S. Kurz, *Packing vector spaces into vector spaces*, The Australasian Journal of Combinatorics **68** (2017), no. 1, 122–130.
- [9] H.N. Ward, *Divisible codes*, Archiv der Mathematik **36** (1981), no. 1, 485–494.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BAYREUTH, 95440 BAYREUTH, GERMANY
E-mail address: sascha.kurz@uni-bayreuth.de