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ABSTRACT. We find explicit formulas for the radii and locations of the circles in all the optimally dense packings of two, three or four equal circles on any flat torus, defined to be the quotient of the Euclidean plane by the lattice generated by two independent vectors. We prove the optimality of the arrangements using techniques from rigidity theory and topological graph theory.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

In this article we consider a problem from discrete geometry in the area of equal circle packing. We determine all the optimally dense arrangements of two, three, and four equal circles on any flat torus. In particular, we prove Theorem [1.1] which states explicit formulas for the optimal radius on any torus. The expressions for the optimal radii are messy and are simply a consequence of the explicit formulas for the coordinates of the circle centers (given in Section [7]) achieving the optimal densities.

In order to present the main results of this article, we must first carefully describe how the optimal arrangements break the moduli space of flat tori apart. The moduli space of un-oriented flat tori can be represented as a strip in the $x$-$y$ plane where $x^2 + y^2 \geq 1$, $y > 0$, and $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Within this moduli space the optimal arrangements determine regions, bounded by line and circle segments, where the optimal radii are governed by different formulas. These regions are defined in Table [1] and pictured in Figure [1] Using these regions we can state the main result of this article.
Let \( \mathcal{P}_k(x, y) \) be a packing of \( k \) equal circles on a flat torus that is the quotient of the plane by the vectors \( v_1 = (1, 0) \) and \( v_2 = (x, y) \) where \( x^2 + y^2 \geq 1 \), \( y > 0 \), and \( 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \). Let \( r_k(x, y) \) be the least upper bound of the radius. Then we have the following expressions for the optimal radii in different regions (see Table 1 and Figure 1).

\[
r_2(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}{4y} & \text{in Region R12;} \\
\frac{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}{2(1+y^2)} \sqrt{(x+\frac{1}{2})^2 + (y-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}})^2} & \text{in Region R22.}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
r_3(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}{2(1+y^2)} \sqrt{(x+\frac{1}{2})^2 + (y-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}})^2} & \text{in Region R13;} \\
\frac{1}{6} \sqrt{9x^2 + (y-\sqrt{3}+4y^2-12x^2)^2} & \text{in Region R23;} \\
\frac{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}{2(y-\sqrt{3}x)} \sqrt{(x-\frac{1}{2})^2 + (y-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}})^2} & \text{in Region R33.}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
r_4(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\sqrt{A_2-\sqrt{A_3^2-16B_2}}}{4\sqrt{2}} & \text{in Region R14;} \\
\sqrt{A_3-\sqrt{A_3^2-16B_3}} & \text{in Region R24;} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \text{in Region R34.}
\end{cases}
\]

where \( A_2 = 2(y\sqrt{3}-x)^2 - ((x-1)\sqrt{3}+y)^2 + 3 \) and \( B_2 = (x^2+y^2)((x-\frac{1}{2})^2 + (y-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}})^2) \) in Region R24.

\[
\text{and } A_3 = 9+5y^2 - (2x-1)^2 \
\text{and } B_3 = ((x-2)^2+y^2)((x+1)^2+y^2) \text{ in Region R34.}
\]
The expressions from Theorem 1.1 for the optimal radii agree with the work of Heppes [Hep99] who presents the optimal radii and arrangements for two, three and four equal circle packings on any rectangular torus (the quotient of the plane by perpendicular lattice vectors). Heppes used the same techniques as Melissen ([Mel97]) who determined the optimally dense arrangements of 1 to 4 equal circles in a square flat torus (lattice vectors are unit and perpendicular). Both Heppes and Melissen utilized a technique similar to the one commonly used in proving the optimality of an arrangement of equal circles packed into a unit square. This technique centers on knowing a candidate for the densest arrangement of circles in the square or rectangle which establishes a lower bound on the diameter of circles in the densest arrangement. One then cleverly uses this diameter to partition the square or rectangle into regions with an appropriate diameter to prove the global optimality of the candidate arrangement. The approach employed in this article is fundamentally different. Here we prove the optimality of the arrangements of 2, 3 and 4 equal circles on a flat torus using techniques from rigidity theory and topological graph theory.

An optimal packing of \( n \) equal circles on a torus is naturally associated to an embedded graph by regarding the circle centers as vertices and the pairs of tangent circles as the edges connecting the vertices. We can view this graph as a type of tensegrity framework (an embedded graph with additional structure) on a torus. The results of Connelly [Con88] then imply a lower bound on the number of circle-circle tangencies or equivalently the number of edges in the associated

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( R_{1,2} )</td>
<td>( \sqrt{1-x^2} \leq y &lt; \sqrt{1-(x-\sqrt{2})^2 + \sqrt{3}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{2,3} )</td>
<td>( \sqrt{1-(x-\sqrt{2})^2 + \sqrt{3}} \leq y &lt; \sqrt{1-x^2 + \sqrt{3}} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The different expressions for the optimal radius from Theorem 1.1 break the moduli space for un-oriented tori \( (x^2 + y^2 \geq 1, y > 0, \text{and } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}) \) into various regions bounded by line and circle segments that are defined here. The subscript refers to the number of circles in the packing. These regions are pictured in Figure 1.

1There is a typo in Heppes’ formulas for the optimal radius for the rectangular tori on the edges of region \( R_{1,3} \) and \( R_{1,4} \) (in the notation of this this article) for three and four circles. Each of his formulae for these radii need to be multiplied by \( \frac{1}{4} \).
packing graph in any optimal arrangement. We also find an upper bound on the
number of edges which allows us to make a finite list of the combinatorially distinct
multigraphs with \( n \) vertices and a number of edges between the lower and upper
bound. Using techniques from topological graph theory we can enumerate all the
possible embeddings of the combinatorially distinct multigraphs onto a topological
torus. Finally, we use each embedding to determine on which torus or tori the em-
beded graph can be associated to an optimal circle packing. After demonstrating
that the remaining graph or graphs are the packing graph associated to a family of
optimally dense arrangements, we obtain a complete list of all locally and globally
optimal arrangements.

Besides the work of Melissen and Heppes, packings on flat tori have been studied
by other authors. Przeworski [Prz06, Theorem 2.3] determines the optimal arrange-
ments of two equal circles on any flat torus. Dickinson et al. [DGKX11, DGKX09]
determine the optimal packings of 1–5 equal circles on the square flat torus and 1–6
equal circles on a triangular flat torus (where the lattice vectors are unit and form
a 60 degree angle). The arrangements and radii from these two articles agree with
the results presented here. In addition, Musin and Nikitenko [MN16] use similar
techniques coupled with a computer algorithm to numerically determine the optimal
arrangements of 6, 7 and 8 equal circles on a flat square torus. Lubachevsky et al.
[LC997] explore packings with large numbers (50-10,000) of equal circles packed
on a square torus (among other domains). They used a billiards algorithm to dis-
cover their arrangements and they discuss large scale patterns as there is little hope
of proving optimality. For similar explorations from a physics point of view, see the
article by Donev et al. [DTS04]. Articles [RS97, GR08] explore optimal packings
of squares on the square flat torus.

The present work use similar techniques as in [DGKX11, DGKX09] and [MN16]
to discover and prove the optimality of equal circle packings on any flat torus. In
Section 2, we review basic terms and definitions. Section 3 recalls results from rigid-
ity theory and delineates the combinatorial properties of packing graphs associated
to optimally dense packings whose packing graphs do not contain a loop. Section 4
characterizes all equal circle packings that contain at least one self-tangent circle or
whose packing graphs contain at least one loop. We use topological graph theory
in Section 5 to enumerate of all the 2-cell embeddings of combinatorial graphs onto
topological torus and then conclude that section with tools that eliminate many
of the embedded graphs from being associated with any equal circle packing on any
torus. Section 6 contains a discussion on the remaining embedded graphs that are
not associated with an optimal equal circle packing (including those that are locally
but not globally maximally dense). Finally, in Section 7 we demonstrate reali-
izations of the remaining embedded graphs as optimal equal circle packings and thus
prove the existence of packings achieving the optimal radii given in Theorem 1.1
and give the coordinates of the circle centers.

2. Definitions and Basic Notions

In this section we review some terminology and recall some basic facts about cir-
cle packings. The quotient of the Euclidean plane by a lattice generated by two inde-
pendent vectors \( \mathbf{v}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{v}_2 \) is called a flat torus. A fundamental domain of a flat
torus is the set of points in the Euclidean plane, \( \{ t_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + t_2 \mathbf{v}_2 \mid t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq t_1, t_2 < 1 \} \).
To specify the location of a circle on torus, we will actually give a location in the
universal cover (the Euclidean plane) which will serve as a representative of the equivalence class of the lifts of the location from the torus. In a slight abuse of nomenclature, we shall also say that two points in the Euclidean plane that are in the same equivalence class are also lifts of each other (this implies that they differ by a vector in the lattice).

The standard basis for a lattice is one where \( v_1 = (1, 0) \) and \( v_2 = (x, y) \) where \( x^2 + y^2 \geq 1 \), \( y > 0 \) and \( -\frac{1}{2} < x \leq \frac{1}{2} \). Every oriented lattice can be transformed by scaling and the action of \( SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) to have a standard basis (see, for example, [Prz06, Theorem 2.3] or [Jos06, Theorem 2.7.1]). As we are working with unoriented tori, this moduli space can be reduced by half and we restrict to the case where \( 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \).

The optimal arrangements naturally break this restricted moduli space of flat tori into regions with different expressions for the optimal radius. See Figure 1.

For a given flat torus, an arrangement of equal circles forms a packing on the torus if the interiors of the circles are disjoint. The density of a packing is the ratio of the area of the circles to the area of the flat torus. Notice that the transformations used to put a lattice (with a periodic circle packing) into a standard form preserve the density of that packing. We define two packings with the same number of circles to be \( \epsilon \)-close if there is a one-to-one correspondence between the circles, so that corresponding circles have centers that are all within a distance of \( \epsilon \) of each other. We define a packing \( P \) to be optimal or locally maximally dense if there exists an \( \epsilon > 0 \) so that all \( \epsilon \)-close packings of equal circles have a packing density no larger than that of \( P \). A packing \( Q \) is globally maximally dense if it is the densest possible packing. Rather than searching directly for the globally maximally dense packings, our techniques allow us to determine all the locally maximally dense arrangements of a fixed number of circles on a given flat torus. This allows us to easily determine the globally maximally dense packing(s).

The main structure that allows us to form a list of all the locally maximally dense packings for a fixed number of circles on a flat torus is the graph of a packing. Given a packing \( \mathcal{P} \) on a flat torus, the packing graph associated to \( \mathcal{P} \), denoted \( G_\mathcal{P} \), has geometric vertices and edges defined as follows. (This is also sometimes called a kissing or contact graph as in [MN16].) The center of each circle in the packing is associated to a vertex (with a location in the torus) of \( G_\mathcal{P} \) and two (not necessarily distinct) vertices of \( G_\mathcal{P} \) are connected with an edge (with a length on the torus) if and only if the corresponding circles are tangent to each other. Thus each packing of equal circles on a flat torus is naturally associated to an embedding of a graph on a flat torus where all the edges are equal in length. Throughout this article we allow the graphs to be multigraphs that can possibly contain multiedges and loops.

It is important to note that it is possible to have a free circle or rattler in an optimal arrangement; that is, a circle that is not tangent to any other circle. For packing on a torus, a circle that is only self-tangent is still considered to be free. The work of Schaefer [Sch65] on a unit square, Musin [MN16] on a square torus and Melissen [Mel93] on an equilateral triangle, prove that the globally optimally dense packing of seven equal circles in each of these domains contains a free circle. In the present work we discover a family of locally maximally dense equal circle packings with four circles that admits a fifth circle that is free. See the comments after Thm. 3.2 in Section 3 for more discussion and the top row of Figure 10 for an image.
3. Results From Rigidity Theory

This section lists some useful results from [DGKX09, Section 3] and [MN16, Section 3]. See these references for more details. In particular, we state propositions that establish an upper and lower bound on the number of edges a packing graph associated to an optimal packing must contain. This allows us to create a short finite list (Figure 3) of combinatorial (i.e. non-embedded) multigraphs without loops (loops which are handled in Section 4) each of which is a candidate to be the packing graph of an optimal packing.

Rigidity theory involves the study of tensegrity frameworks. For our purposes, we specialize to strut tensegrity frameworks, which are essentially graphs embedded in a Riemannian manifold with some additional structure. To be a strut tensegrity framework, each edge in the graph is not allowed to decrease in length as the location of its endpoint vertices change. We can view the (embedded) packing graph of a circle packing as a strut framework on a torus. This is appropriate because as we move the vertices of a circle packing graph to try and improve the density, we want the length of the edges to either increase (or remain unchanged), in order to possibly increase (or maintain) the density. The motions (if any) of the vertices that respect the distance constraints between the vertices are called flexes. A flex that is not induced from a family of rigid motions of the torus is called a non-trivial flex. If there are no non-trivial flexes then the strut framework is called rigid. Combining the rigidity theory ideas with the ideas of circle packing, we have the following.

**Proposition 3.1.** If the strut tensegrity framework associated to a circle packing \( P \) is rigid, then the circle packing \( P \) is locally maximally dense.

The flexes of a framework can be linearized and will lead to another notion of rigidity that will turn out to be equivalent in our case. If you consider the time zero derivative of a flex at each vertex, you obtain a collection of vectors. This collection of vectors must satisfy a system of linear homogeneous (strut) inequalities which result from the flex respecting the distance constraints. A collection of vectors that satisfy the strut inequalities and are not the time zero derivative of a family of rigid motion of the torus at each vertex is called a non-trivial infinitesimal flex. If there are no non-trivial infinitesimal flexes, then the strut framework is called infinitesimally rigid. The connection between infinitesimal rigidity and rigidity of a framework is well studied and Connelly (Con88) has proven that a strut tensegrity framework is rigid if and only if it is infinitesimally rigid. Determining the infinitesimal rigidity of an arrangement is the same as the feasibility part of linear programming, is straight forward to check, and, with Proposition 3.1 enables us to easily check when a given circle packing is locally maximally dense.

We are now in a position to state the main result from Connelly (specialized to the context of flat tori) which is almost the converse of Proposition 3.1.

**Theorem 3.2 (Connelly).** Let \( P \) be a packing that is locally maximally dense on a flat torus. Then there is a sub-packing \( Q \) of \( P \) such that the associated strut tensegrity framework is infinitesimally rigid and the circles not in \( Q \) (possibly an empty set) are prevented from increasing their radius (i.e. are free circles).

3.1. Optimal packings with a disconnected packing graph or that admit another circle. If we remove any free circles from a locally maximally dense arrangement, then we obtain a locally maximally dense packing for fewer circles in the
flat torus. Conversely, if there is room for another circle (free or not) in a globally maximally dense packing of \( n \) circles, then adding this circle gives us an arrangement realizing the globally maximal density (there may be several arrangements with this maximal density \([MN16]\)) for \( n + 1 \) circles. Heppes \([Hep99]\) and Melissen \([Mel97]\) exploited this when they noticed that their globally optimal packings for 3 circles in the boundary of region \( R_{13} \) that is along the \( y \) axis admit another circle. That is, the globally maximally dense packings along this edge have room for a fourth circle (creating an additional 4 tangencies so the circle is not free). This leads to the globally maximally dense arrangements in the corresponding locations in region \( R_{14} \) on the \( y \) axis for 4 circles.

The present work gives more examples of this phenomenon. The family of packings for 3 circles that is globally optimally dense for region \( R_{13} \) also extends to a locally optimally dense packing on the left of the \( y \) axis (this is the light gray region in the middle of Figure 1). It turns out that this locally optimal two parameter family of 3 circles packing admits a fourth circle (with 4 additional tangencies) that becomes globally optimally dense packings for 4 circles. See the top row of Figure 11. With the addition of this circle, these packings occupy region \( R_{14} \) on the right of Figure 1.

The only time that a free circle (or an additional circle) might be able to be added to an arrangement is when there is a face in the packing consisting of seven or more edges \([MN16, \text{Prop. 3.6}]\). There are two cases in the present work where there is a face of degree 7 or more in an optimal packing:

- The optimal packing occupying region \( R_{13} \) (where it doesn’t admit the additional circle) and the adjacent gray region (which is discussed in the paragraph above) for 3 circles.
- In one locally (but not globally) maximally dense family of packings of 4 circles. This does admit a free circle. See the top row of Figure 10. This packing is a locally optimally dense packing of 5 circles which is beyond the scope of the present work.

It should be noted that there are two arrangements of 3 circles that contain a regular hexagonal face with room for an additional circle (with 6 additional tangencies). Adding the fourth circle to these arrangements yields the triangular close packing with 4 circles on tori corresponding to the large points on the right of Figure 1. There are a handful of locally optimal 4 circle packings with a regular hexagon and adding a circle leads to the triangular close packing on 5 circles.

In this article, we will determine all the locally maximally dense arrangements for 3 and 4 circles without free circles. This, coupled with the discussion here, means that we will have created an exhaustive list of locally maximally dense packings. Therefore, for the remainder of this article, we assume that all of our graphs are connected.

3.2. Characterizing the packing graphs associated to an optimal packings.

Now we observe that we can find a lower bound on the number of edges (and their arrangement) incident to a vertex in the packing graph associated to a locally maximally dense packing with no free circles.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( \mathcal{P} \) be a locally maximally dense packing of circles with no free circles. Then no circle in \( \mathcal{P} \) has its points of tangency contained in a closed semi-circle. In particular, every circle is tangent to at least three circles.
Proof. If there were such a circle in a locally maximally dense packing, then the packing graph would not be infinitesimally rigid violating Theorem 3.2. □

Connelly proves in [Con90] a lower bound on the number of edges that a packing graph must contain in order for the associated packing to be locally maximally dense.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $P$ be a locally maximally dense packing of $n$ circles on a flat torus with no free circles. Then the packing graph associated to $P$ contains at least $2n - 1$ edges.

In the case of 3 and 4 equal circles (unlike the case of 5 or more circles on a square torus, see [DGKX11, Prop. 4.4]) it is possible that a circle can be tangent to another circle in two different ways. However, we can eliminate the possibility of two circles being tangent in three (or more) ways in the case of 4 (or more) circles and restrict the arrangements in the case of 3 circles to the triangular close packing.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** A packing in which circle $A$ is tangent to circle $B$ in at least three ways.

**Proposition 3.5.** Given an equal circle packing on a flat torus with $n$ circles then

- If $n \geq 4$ then no two circles can share three or more tangencies.
- If $n = 3$ then no two circles can share four or more tangencies. Further if there are two circles that share three tangencies then every circle is tangent to six circles (i.e. the packing lifts to the triangular close packing).

Proof. Suppose circle $A$ is tangent to circle $B$ in 3 (or more ways) on a torus that is the quotient of the Euclidean plane by lattice $\Lambda$. Consider one lift of circle $A$ in the Euclidean plane and then consider the triangle formed by the centers of the lifts of circle $B$ that are tangent to the lift of $A$. Called these centers $B_1, B_2$, and $B_3$. Let $u_1$ ($u_2$) be the vector of $\Lambda$ that connects $B_2$ and $B_1$ ($B_2$ and $B_3$) respectively. Let $P$ be the $\Lambda$-lattice parallelogram formed by $B_1, B_2, B_3$ and $B_2 + u_1 + u_2$. See Figure 2. By Pick’s theorem the area of $P$, $A_P$, is equal to $A_{FD}(i + \frac{b}{2} - 1)$ where $A_{FD}$ is the area of a fundamental domain of lattice $\Lambda$, $i$ ($b$) is the number of lattice points interior (on the boundary) of $P$. Using the minimum number of lattice points of $\Lambda$ inside and on the boundary of $P$, we have that $A_P \geq A_{FD}$. Further if $d$ is the common diameter of the circles, the geometry of the packing implies that the maximum area of $A_P$ is $\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}d^2$ and we have the following bounds on the density, $\rho$, of the packing.

$$\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{12}} \geq \rho = \frac{\text{Area covered by } n \text{ circles}}{A_{FD}} \geq \frac{n\pi \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2}{A_P} \geq \frac{n\pi \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2}{\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}d^2} \geq \frac{n\pi}{3\sqrt{12}}$$
Table 2. The number of combinatorial graphs remaining after applying two propositions. See Figure 3 for visualizations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of Graphs satisfying condition 1 of Prop. 3.6</th>
<th>Number of Graphs satisfying conditions 1 &amp; 2 of Prop. 3.6</th>
<th>Number of Graphs satisfying all conditions of Prop. 3.6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 Vertices</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Vertices</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For $n \geq 4$ this is a contradiction and for $n = 3$ all of the inequalities become equalities and the density of the packing implies that the arrangement must lift to the triangular close packing. This also implies that it is impossible for a packing of three circles on a flat torus to have a pair of circles tangent in four or more ways.

These three propositions and the observation that in the triangular close packing (the most dense packing of equal circles in the plane) each circle is tangent to six others, helps us list important properties of the packing graphs of locally maximally dense arrangements $n$ circles on the torus. This is summarized in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.6.** Given a locally maximally dense packing, $\mathcal{P}$, of $n \geq 3$ equal circles without any free or self-tangent circles on a flat torus, the packing graph $G_{\mathcal{P}}$ satisfies the following conditions:

1. It is connected, contains no loops, and contains at least $2n - 1$ and at most $3n$ edges,
2. Every vertex is connected to at least three and at most six others, and
3. No pair of vertices is connected by 3 or more edges, except if $n = 3$ and the packing is the triangular close packing implying that all three vertices of $G_{\mathcal{P}}$ have degree 6.

The number of multigraphs (we allow multiple edges between vertices because these correspond to pairs of circles tangent in multiple ways) with a fixed number of vertices and edges is well studied. Using Gordon Royle’s data posted on the web ([Roy11](#)) or the program Nauty ([MP14](#)) with the tools **geng** and **multig** we can compute data in Table 2. See Figure 3 for visualizations of these combinatorial graphs.

4. **Packings with self-tangent circles**

On the torus, locally maximally dense packings with free circles are closely related to packings that have self-tangent circles. This is because if you have a torus with basis $v_1 = (1, 0)$ and $v_2 = (x, y)$ where $x^2 + y^2 \geq 1$, $y > 0$, and $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and the length of $v_2$ is long enough, all the circles can achieve the maximum radius of $\frac{1}{2}$ and become self-tangent and free. In this section we determine the boundary between where all the circles are self-tangent (see Figure 4) and where none of the circles are self-tangent. We show that the region allowing self-tangent circles is the
only one where packing graphs with a loop are realizable; this means that we have characterized all packings with a loop in them and need not consider combinatorial graphs with loops outside of this section. Throughout this section we assume that the torus is the quotient of the Euclidean plane by the vectors $v_1$ and $v_2$ that satisfy the restrictions given in this paragraph. We begin with some observations about packings that contain self-tangent circles.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a packing of equal circles on a flat torus. The following are equivalent:

(1) There exists a circle that is self-tangent,

(2) The combinatorial multigraph associated to $\mathcal{P}$ contains a loop,

(3) The common radius of the circles is $\frac{1}{2}$,

(4) All the circles are self-tangent.
Proof. All statements follow from the fact that this is an equal circle packing and
the observation that \( v_1 \) has length one so lifts of circles that differ by this lattice
element must have radius \( \frac{1}{2} \). In this case all circles in the packing must be self-
tangent. \( \square \)

Observe that Proposition 4.1 implies that if there is a loop in the combina-
torial graph associated to a packing \( P \) (or the packing radius is \( \frac{1}{2} \)) then \( P \) is locally
maximally dense. This is because \( \frac{1}{2} \) is the absolute upper bound of the radius in
any packing on the torus we are considering so any nearby packing has density less
or equal to that of \( P \).

Now we examine the structure of packings with a self-tangent circle and consider
the cases where such a packing contains or does not contain a free circle. The
intuition behind the first part Proposition 4.2 is to first note that all the circles in
the packing have radius \( \frac{1}{2} \) and therefore each circle is self-tangent, so it and its lifts
create a “layer” in the flat torus – see Figure 4. Then in order to fit the packing on
the “smallest” flat torus the layers must be stacked so that most circles are tangent
to the circle above and below in two different ways forming a section of triangular
close packing at the “bottom” of the torus.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let \( P \) be a packing of \( n \geq 2 \) equal circles on a flat torus. If the
combinatorial multigraph associated to \( P \) contains a loop, then:

1. If there are no free circles in \( P \) then there exists \( \alpha \) with \( \frac{\pi}{3} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \) such that
   \[ v_2 = \langle x, \frac{n+1}{2}\sqrt{3} + \sin(\alpha) \rangle \]
   where \( x = \frac{1}{2} - \cos(\alpha) \) for \( n \) even or \( x = \cos(\alpha) \) for \( n \) odd.
2. If there is a free circle in \( P \) then all circles in \( P \) are free and there exists
   \( \frac{\pi}{3} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \) such that
   \[ v_2 = \langle x, y \rangle \]
   where \( x = \frac{1}{2} - \cos(\alpha) \) (for \( n \) even) or \( x = \cos(\alpha) \) (for \( n \) odd) and \( y > \frac{n-1}{2}\sqrt{3} + \sin(\alpha) \).
Proof. First we begin by showing that there exists a cyclic ordering of the circles $C_1, C_2, C_3, \ldots, C_n$ where circle $C_i$ is tangent to itself and can only be tangent to $C_{i-1}$ or $C_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ (indices of the circles counted modulo $n$). To see this notice that as the combinatorial multigraph associated to $P$ contains a loop, by Proposition 4.1 all circles are self-tangent. For each circle in the packing, this means that the toroidally embedded packing graph contains an essential cycle (a closed path not homotopic to a point) of length one consisting only of the edge corresponding to the self-tangency. Cutting the torus along these essential cycles results in $n$ cylinders. This establishes a cyclic ordering of the circles. As all tangencies in this packing must occur in some cylinder, we know that, beside being tangent to itself, $C_i$ can only be tangent to the circles forming the edges of the cylinders with the essential cycle associated to $C_i$, namely $C_{i-1}$ and $C_{i+1}$.

To establish item (1) of the proposition, assume that there are no free circles in $P$ and by the remark immediately preceding this proposition, $P$ is locally maximally dense. Theorem 3.2 with the assumption that there are no free circles implies that the entire strut tensegrity framework associated $P$ must be infinitesimally rigid (not just some subgraph). This implies that each circle in the packing must have at least three tangencies not including the self-tangency, because the strut inequality from the self-tangency is trivial. The only way for this to be true is if each circle $C_i$ is tangent at least once (and at most twice) to each of $C_{i-1}$ and $C_{i+1}$.

Now we observe that there can be at most one $i$ such that $C_i$ is tangent once to $C_{i+1}$. Suppose not, then there exists two natural numbers, $i$ and $j$ ($i < j \leq n$), such that $C_i$ is tangent only once to $C_{i+1}$, $C_j$ is tangent only once to $C_{j+1}$ and for each natural number $m$, $i + 1 \leq m \leq j - 1$, $C_m$ is tangent twice to $C_{m+1}$. In this case there is a non-trivial infinitesimal flex (i.e. a non-trivial assignment of vectors to the circle centers that satisfies the strut inequalities – See Section 3 for more details) that is a non-zero constant vector at the centers of $C_m$ ($i + 1 \leq m \leq j - 1$) and the zero vector at the other circle centers. For the non-zero constant, choose a vector that makes an obtuse angle with both the single edge between $C_i$ and $C_{i+1}$ and the edge between $C_j$ and $C_{j+1}$. Such a choice always exists except in the case when these two edges are parallel (in which case you would choose the non-zero vector to be perpendicular to both). Hence there is at most one circle $C_i$ that is tangent once to $C_{i+1}$.

For the purpose of determining the lattice that defines the torus assume, by renumbering if necessary, that the possibly single tangency between circles occurs between $C_n$ and $C_1$. By lifting the packing to the plane and by reflecting if necessary we can assume that the angle, $\alpha$, between the horizontal and the edge between $C_n$ and $C_1$ (measured clockwise for $n$ even and measured counterclockwise for $n$ odd) is between $\frac{\pi}{3}$ and $\frac{\pi}{2}$. In this case $v_2$ has the form given in item (1) of this proposition. See Figure 4.

For item (2), suppose that $P$ contains a free circle, $C_i$. As this circle is free we can translate it to break all tangencies (if any) with this circle (except the self-tangency). Then we can translate (perpendicular to $v_1$) all other circles a little bit using the space created when all tangencies with $C_i$ were broken. In this way we see that all circles are free in this packing. To determine the lattice of the torus for this packing notice that as the circles are free we can move them into the positions so that there are two tangencies between $C_i$ and $C_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i < n$. This results in a situation similar to that which is pictured in Figure 4 except that there are no
tangencies between circle $C_n$ and $C_1$. Then the angle $\alpha$ is the angle such that the $x$ coordinate of $v_2$ is either $\cos(\alpha)$ ($n$ odd) or $\frac{1}{2} - \cos(\alpha)$ ($n$ even). The bound on $y$ coordinate follows. \hfill \square

Finally we use the constructions, results, and observations that arise in the rest of this article and Proposition 4.2 to observe that all locally and globally optimal packings on tori in the region described in item (2) must have a loop in the packing graph. Therefore we have completely characterized the tori on which there exists a locally maximally dense packing that contains a self-tangent circle for 3 or 4 equal circles. Notice that the descriptions of the regions for odd and even numbers of circles given in Proposition 4.3 agree with the descriptions of regions $R_{22}$, $R_{33}$, and $R_{44}$ given in Table 1.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a locally maximally dense packing of $n = 3$ or $n = 4$ equal circles on a flat torus. Let the flat torus be the quotient of the plane with respect to a lattice with basis vectors $v_1 = (1, 0)$ and $v_2 = (x, y)$ where there exists $\frac{\pi}{3} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ such that $x = \frac{1}{2} - \cos(\alpha)$ (for $n$ even) or $x = \cos(\alpha)$ (for $n$ odd) and $y > \frac{n-1}{2} \sqrt{3} + \sin(\alpha)$. Then there is loop in the combinatorial multigraph associated to $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ contains only free circles.

**Proof.** Suppose not, then there is no loop in the associated combinatorial multigraph. For $n = 3, 4$ all possible multigraphs without loops were considered as packing graphs in the remainder of this article and none of them embed locally maximally densely on a torus with basis vectors $v_1$ and $v_2$ as described in this proposition. Therefore there must be a loop in the combinatorial multigraph. If $\mathcal{P}$ contains no free circles, then Proposition 4.2 item (1) gives the form of the basis vectors for the torus, but these are incompatible with the basis vectors for the torus described in this proposition, therefore there must be at least one free circle. Proposition 4.2 item (2) then implies that all the circles in $\mathcal{P}$ are free. \hfill \square

Propositions 4.3 and 4.2 implies that we do not have to consider loops in the packing graph explorations in the remaining sections of this article.
5. Results From Topological Graph Theory

In this section, we apply previously known techniques for determining all the 2-cell embeddings of a combinatorial multigraph onto a topological torus. Once we have a list of all possible embeddings, we also apply several propositions that prohibit a toroidally embedded graph from being associated to an equal circle packing. This leaves us with short list of toroidally embedded graphs that potentially could be associated with an optimal equal circle packing. See Figures 6 and 7.

A graph embedded in a torus is a 2-cell embedding if each connected region (face) determined by removing the graph from the torus is homeomorphic to an open disk. As noted in [DGKX09, MN16] if a packing on the torus is locally maximally dense then the associated packing graph is a 2-cell embedding. One tool for enumerating all the 2-cell embeddings of a graph on surfaces is Edmonds’ permutation technique ([Edm60]) which is outlined in [DGKX09, Section 5]. Essentially this is a brute force technique where, at each vertex, all possible orderings of the adjacent vertices are considered (call rotation schemes). Once a face walking algorithm is executed and the Euler characteristic is computed, all the possible toroidal embeddings can be selected. As the graphs we are dealing with are small, this brute force method converges. There are more efficient algorithms, see [KK05, Sect. 13.4]. The software written by Kocay [Koc07] was instrumental in visualizing these embedded graphs.

Once we have a list of all possible toroidal embeddings we ask if the embedded graph could be associated to an optimal equal circle packing. The following two propositions eliminates many of these potential packing graphs. See Table 3.

Proposition 5.1. If a graph embedded on a torus contains a vertex surrounded by any one of the following face patterns, then the embedded graph cannot be the graph associated to a locally maximally dense equal circle packing. The forbidden face patterns are (1) two triangles and a polygon, (2) three triangles and a polygon, (3) five triangles, (4) four triangles and a quadrilateral, (5) six polygons with at least one non-triangle, (6) a triangle, a quadrilateral and a polygon, (7) two triangles and two quadrilaterals, (8) three quadrilaterals, or (9) seven (or more) polygons.

For a proof of Proposition 5.1 see [DGKX09, Prop. 6.1]. Proposition 5.2 is another useful tool for eliminating embedded graphs from being associated with any equal circle packing. It is illustrated in Figure 5. Table 3 shows the how these two propositions cut down on the number of embedded graphs that might correspond to an optimal equal circle packing.

Proposition 5.2. Suppose that an embedded graph corresponds to an equal circle packing and has a pair of edges, $AB$ and $CD$, that are parallel. If $A$ and $D$ are on the same side of line $BC$ (in the universal cover) and $BC$ is another edge of the graph, then $AD$ is also an edge in the graph.

Proof. Suppose that an embedded graph corresponds to the packing graph of an equal circle packing on a torus and that $A$, $B$, $C$, and $D$ satisfy the conditions stated in the Proposition. See either part of Figure 5. This implies that $AB$, $BC$, and $CD$ is a chain of edges each of which has length to equal the common diameter, $d$, of the circles. The geometry of this situation forces vertices $A$ and $D$ to be distance $d$ apart. This implies that the equal circles centered at these vertices are tangent and that there is an edge between them. □
Table 3. The number of embedded graphs that might correspond to an optimal packing after applying two propositions. See Figures [6] and [7] for visualizations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of distinct toroidal embeddings</th>
<th>Number of embeddings remaining after Prop. 5.1</th>
<th>Number of embeddings remaining after using Prop. 5.2 and 5.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 Vertices</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Vertices</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. The 6 embedded combinatorial graphs (ECG) on three vertices that remain after applying Propositions 5.1 and 5.2. On the first line, the number before the dash refers to the combinatorial graph (CG) that led to the embedding and the number after the dash is the embedding number. The second line indicates more about the embedding. If the embedding occupies a region in the moduli space of tori it is loosely indicated. For example “int($R_1 \cup E_b$)” means the interior of region $R_1$ and the bottom ($b$) and left ($l$) edges of that region. If the embedding corresponds to an equal circle packing, but it is not locally maximally dense (LMD) it reads “Not LMD”.

6. Non-optimal and Non-globally Maximally Dense Packings

In this section we discuss those embedded combinatorial graphs on three and four vertices that do not lead to globally maximally dense packings. In summary, of the 27 embedded graphs

- Seven of the embedded graphs cannot be associated to any equal circle packing on any torus;
- Five of the embedded graphs lead to a family of equal circle packing(s) on some tori, but the packings are never locally maximally dense;
- One of the embedded graphs leads to a family of equal circle packings that are only locally maximally dense and not ever globally maximally dense; and
- Two of the embedded graphs lead to families of equal circle packings that are locally (and not globally) maximally dense on some tori and are globally maximally dense in other tori.
Figure 7. The 21 embedded combinatorial graphs (ECG) on four vertices that remain after applying Propositions 5.1 and 5.2. On the first line, the number before the dash refers to the combinatorial graph (CG) that led to the embedding and the number after the dash is the embedding number. The second line indicates more about the embedding. If the embedding occupies a region in the moduli space of tori it is loosely indicated. For example “$\text{int}(R_{13}) \cup E_{bl}$” means the interior of region $R_{13}$ and the bottom (b) and left (l) edges of that region. If the embedding corresponds to an equal circle packing, but it is not locally/globally maximally dense (LMD/GMD) it reads “Not LMD/GMD”. If the second line is blank then the embedding doesn’t correspond to an equal circle packing on any torus.

Several of the embedded combinatorial graphs are not associated to any circle packing on any torus. The methods used to prove this are ad hoc but usually involve showing that if all the edges in the embedding have equal length then there are a pair of unconnected vertices that are forced to be too close together. For example, we can eliminate the embedding ECG9–1 in Figure 8 from being associated to any equal circle packing on any torus by making the following observations. If this was associated to some equal circle packing then all edge lengths would be equal and dashed segments $\overline{AA'}$ and $\overline{DD'}$ would be equal in length and parallel because their endpoints differ by the same lattice vector. This makes triangles...
Figure 8. The embedding ECG9–1 doesn’t correspond to any equal circle packing on any torus.

Figure 9. These are the five embedded combinatorial graphs that correspond to equal circle packings that are not locally maximally dense. Note that the two rightmost embeddings are different because the underlying combinatorial graphs are different.

$\triangle AA'C$ and $\triangle DD'B$ congruent which implies that $\overrightarrow{DB}$ is parallel to $\overrightarrow{AC}$ or $\overrightarrow{AC}$ depending on the how the triangles are situated. If $\overrightarrow{DB}$ is parallel to $\overrightarrow{AC}$ then Proposition 5.2 applies to the chain of edges $\overrightarrow{AC}$, $\overrightarrow{CB}$, and $\overrightarrow{BD'}$. If $\overrightarrow{DB}$ is parallel to $\overrightarrow{AC}$ then Proposition 5.2 applies to the chain of edges $\overrightarrow{CA}$, $\overrightarrow{AB}$, and $\overrightarrow{BD'}$. This eliminates this embedding. Embeddings ECG9–2, ECG11–1, ECG13–2, and ECG13–3 can be eliminated in a similar way. The arguments to eliminate the embedded combinatorial graphs ECG6–3 and ECG6–4 are more involved.

The embedded combinatorial graphs ECG2–2, ECG6–1, ECG7–1, ECG12–2, and ECG13–4 correspond to circle packings that are not locally maximally dense. To see that they correspond to equal circle packings see the circle packings in Figure 9 which shows the circle packings associated to these embedded graphs. None of these packing are locally maximally dense because there is a non-trivial infinitesimal flex for each (See Section 3 for more details). Roughly stated the non-trivial flex for the packing on the far left of Figure 9 involves rotating the circles about the center of the equilateral triangle in the packing graph. For the remaining ones, the non-trivial flex involves fixing a circle or ‘row’ of circles and then sliding another row. For example in the packing on the second to the left, if you fix the circle at the lower left corner of a fundamental domain, the remaining circles can all be ‘slid’ upwards. In the language of the article [Con90], these arrangements lack a proper stress.

The embedded graph for ECG6–2 corresponds to a locally maximally dense packing that is not globally maximally dense. In Figure 10 you can see the associated
Figure 10. A locally and non-globally maximally dense equal circle packing associated to ECG6–2. On the right side, in black, we see the region of the moduli space occupied by these packings. In the top left we can see that it is possible to add a fifth circle (in gray with dashed border) that is not constrained by its neighbors (a rattler or free circle). It is not possible to add a sixth circle in the remaining empty space and have the packing be locally maximally dense. In other regions of the moduli space adding a fifth circle is not possible (bottom left).

equal circle packing and the region of the moduli space of flat tori that it can occupy. We could transform the region outside of the strip $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ back into this strip in the moduli space, but it would overlap itself and it is more convenient to view it this way. It is fun to note that there is room for a fifth circle that is free in some of the packings. This leads to a locally maximally dense packing of 5 circles that contains a free circle.

Finally the combinatorial graphs ECG13–1 and ECG1–1 correspond to packings that are locally maximally dense and in some regions of the moduli space are globally maximally dense but not in others. See Figure 11.

7. Existence and Descriptions of the Globally Optimal Packings

In this section we prove the existence of packings that achieve the radii from Theorem 1.1 in the regions indicated. In all cases we checked that the packing was locally maximally dense using a theorem of Roth and Whiteley cited in [Con88, Thm 6.1]; we found a rigid ordering and a proper stress in the regions of the moduli space indicated. To eliminate the translational symmetry we assume that there is always a circle centered at the origin and to simplify the presentation of the
Figure 11. The equal circle packings corresponding to ECG13–1 (bottom row) and ECG1–1 (top row) are globally maximally dense in some regions of the moduli space but in others are only locally maximally dense. Where the gray regions overlap the strip $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ they are globally maximally dense, but in the other regions they are only locally maximally dense. For the packing in the upper left, in the non-globally maximally dense region, there is room for another circle (shown in gray with a dashed border). Adding this circle results in a globally maximally dense packing that occupies region $R_{14}$ (and four additional tangencies so that the circle is not free).

coordinates, define the quantity $R_{ki} = \sqrt{16(r_{ki})^2 - 1}$ where $r_{ki}$ is the expression for the optimal radius for $k$ equal circles in region $R_{ki}$. Note that the formulas given in Theorem 1.1 for $r_k(x, y)$ are all lower bounded by $\frac{1}{4}$ so $R_{ki}$ is always real and positive. Let $C_{ki}$ be the list of the centers for $k$ circles in region $R_{ki}$ up to equivalence. That is, the coordinates given are in the plane and determine an equivalence class of points in the plane that correspond to the location of the circle center in the torus. Note that in the regions $R_{22}$, $R_{33}$ and $R_{44}$ (and all of lower edges of these regions – See Figure 1) all the circles in the optimal arrangements are self-tangent with radius $\frac{1}{2}$. The arrangements in these regions (except on the lower edge) are far from unique – every circle is free. See Section 4 for complete details.

7.1. Two Equal Circles. The case of 2 equal circles is included for the sake of completeness. The optimal arrangements and radii are proved in [Prz06]. However, the tools outlined in this article imply that after fixing the location of the center of
the first circle at the origin, the location of the second circle center in the optimal arrangement must be at the circumcenter of the triangle with vertices the origin, \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \). If this were not the case there would be fewer than 3 tangencies and the arrangement could not be locally maximally dense. Note that the bound in Proposition 3.6 part (1) is true even for \( n = 2 \). This implies that

\[
C_{R_1^2} = \left\{ (0,0), \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{R_{R_1^2}}{2} \right) \right\}.
\]

In this region (including the lower edge without the left endpoint and the right edge without the upper endpoint) there are three tangencies. Along the left edge (except for the upper endpoint), when the torus is rectangular, there are four tangencies and along the top edge (excluding the left endpoint) all the circles are self-tangent with radius \( \frac{1}{2} \) and there are five tangencies. The only exception to this when the lattice has \( v_2 = (0, \sqrt{3}) \) and the packing forms the triangular close packing (each circle is tangent to six others) with six tangencies. See Figure 12 for a typical optimal packing in this region.

### 7.2. Three Equal Circles

For three equal circles, notice that the moduli space is broken into three regions and one can check that the radius is a continuous function in the moduli space. It is interesting to note that on the boundary between regions \( R_{13} \) and \( R_{23} \), the radius is actually constant at \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} \). To establish the existence of the packing consider the following locations for the circles:

\[
C_{R_{13}}^{R_1} = \left\{ (0,0), \left( \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{R_{R_1}}{2} \right), \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}R_{R_1} + 1}{4}, \frac{\sqrt{3} - R_{R_1}}{4} \right) \right\}
\]

\[
C_{R_{23}}^{R_2} = \left\{ (0,0), \left( \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{R_{R_2}}{2} \right), \left( \frac{R_{R_2}^2}{2}, 0, R_{R_2} \right) \right\}.
\]

#### 7.2.1. Region \( R_{13} \): Three Equal Circles

The typical packing in the interior of this region (and including the left and lower edges without the right endpoint) has five tangencies and is associated to ECG1–1. Along the upper edge of this region a sixth tangency is formed so that circle 3 is tangent to circle 1 in two different ways so
that, with the edges from circle 2, 1 two equilateral triangles are formed (associated to ECG 2–1). The only exception to this when the lattice (with \( v_2 = \langle \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \rangle \)) is triangular and the packing forms the triangular close packing with nine tangencies (associated to ECG3–1). A typical optimal packing from region \( R_{13} \) is shown the bottom row of Figure 13.

### 7.2.2. Region \( R_{23} \): Three Equal Circles.

The typical packing in the interior of this region (and including the left edge with out the endpoints) has five tangencies and is associated to ECG1–2. See the top row of Figure 13. The right edge (excluding the endpoints) of this region adds a sixth tangency so that the packing graph is a union of rhombi when circle 2 is tangent twice to circle 3 (associated to ECG2–3). Along the upper edge of this region all circles are self tangent with radius \( \frac{1}{2} \) and there are eight tangencies except at the righthand endpoint (where \( v_2 = \langle \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} \rangle \)) where the triangular close packing is formed with nine tangencies. See the left side of Figure 13 for a typical packing along this circular edge. Note that this packing graph is not on our list in Figures 6 or 7 because all the circles are self-tangent and the packing graph contains a loop.

### 7.3. Four Equal Circles.

For 4 circles, remarkably, the locations of the centers of circles of the optimal arrangements in regions 1 and 2 is the same relative to the
corresponding radius, so the following is true for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$:

$$C_4^{R_i} = \left\{ (0, 0), \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{R_4^{R_i}}{2} \right), \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}R_4^{R_i}}{4}, \frac{R_4^{R_i} + \sqrt{3}}{4} \right), \left( \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3R_4^{R_i}}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \right), \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{R_4^{R_i}}{2} \right), (0, R_4^{R_i}), \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3R_4^{R_i}}{2} \right) \right\}.$$  

For region 3, the centers are

$$C_4^{R_3} = \left\{ (0, 0), \left( \frac{1}{2}, R_4^{R_3} \right), (0, R_4^{R_3}), \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3R_4^{R_3}}{2} \right) \right\}.$$

### 7.3.1. Region $R_{14}$: Four Equal Circles.

The optimal packings in the interior of this region (and including the lower edge without the right endpoint) have nine tangencies and the packing graph is the union of two triangles and three rhombi and is associated to ECG 18-1. Along the left edge (excluding the upper endpoint), circle 4 becomes tangent to the lift of circle 1 at $v_1 + v_2$ and the packing graph creates an Archimedean-like tiling of four equilateral triangles and 2 rhombi (associated to ECG21-1). Along the top edge (excluding both endpoints), circle 4 becomes tangent to the lift of circle 1 at $v_2$ and the packing graph creates a different Archimedean-like tiling of four equilateral triangles and 2 rhombi (associated to ECG21-2). The exception to this is when the lattice becomes triangular (with $v_2 = \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right)$ or $v_2 = \left( 0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \right)$) and the packing forms the triangular close packing with twelve tangencies (associated to ECG23–1 or ECG23–2). A typical optimal packing from region $R_{14}$ is shown the left side of Figure 14.

### 7.3.2. Region $R_{24}$: Four Equal Circles.

On the interior of this region (and including the right edge without the lower endpoint) the optimal packings have 8 tangencies and is associated to ECG13–1. Along the top edge (excluding the left endpoint), circle 2 becomes tangent to circle 3 in two different ways and the packing graph is a tiling of 4 triangles and a hexagon (associated to ECG17–1). It is interesting to note that on the boundary between regions $R_4$ and $R_{34}$, the radius is actually constant at $\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}$. A typical optimal packing from region $R_{24}$ is shown the middle portion of Figure 14.

### 7.3.3. Region $R_{34}$: Four Equal Circles.

The typical optimal packing in the interior of this region (and including the right edge without either endpoint) has seven tangencies and associated to ECG5–1. See the right side of Figure 14. Along left edge (without either endpoint), circle 1 becomes tangent to circle 4 in two different ways.
ways and the packing graph is the union of four rhombi (associated to ECG 12–1). Along the upper edge of this region all circles are self tangent with radius $\frac{1}{2}$ and there are eleven tangencies except at the lefthand endpoint (where $v_2 = (0, 2\sqrt{3})$) where the triangular close packing is formed with twelve tangencies. See the right side of Figure 4 for a typical packing along this circular edge. Note that this packing graph is not on our list in Figures 6 or 7 because all the circles are self-tangent and the packing graph contains a loop.

In conclusion, we have used rigidity theory to delineate the properties of the combinatorial graphs associated to a locally maximally dense packing with three or four equal circles. There was a list of 23 combinatorial graphs that could possibly be associated to such an optimal equal circle packing. Then using Edmond’s Permutation Technique we were able to make a list of 103 distinct topologically embedded toroidal graphs. We were able to eliminate many of these using various techniques and this left us with 15 toroidally embedded graphs that could be associated to an optimal equal circle packing. One of these was locally (and not globally) maximally dense, 2 embedded locally (and not globally) maximally densely on some tori and globally maximally densely on others, and the remaining 12 embedded in a globally maximally dense way. This exhaustive search had to include all globally maximally dense packings (which have to exist because our packing domain is compact) and therefore proves that the radii in Theorem 1.1 are globally optimal.
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