

MATROIDS WITH NO $U_{2,n}$ -MINOR AND MANY HYPERPLANES

ADAM BROWN AND PETER NELSON

ABSTRACT. We construct, for every $r \geq 3$ and every prime power $q > 10$, a rank- r matroid with no $U_{2,q+2}$ -minor, having more hyperplanes than the rank- r projective geometry over $\text{GF}(q)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

This note considers the following special case of a conjecture due to Bonin; see Oxley [5, p. 582].

Conjecture 1.1. *If q is a prime power and M is a rank- r matroid with no $U_{2,q+2}$ -minor, then M has at most $\frac{q^r-1}{q-1}$ hyperplanes.*

The conjectured bound is attained by the projective geometry $\text{PG}(r-1, q)$, and is also equal to the number of points in $\text{PG}(r-1, q)$; an analogous upper bound on the number of points in a matroid with no $U_{2,q+2}$ -minor was proved by Kung [3], and the conjecture seems natural given the symmetry between points and hyperplanes in a projective geometry. The conjecture was also supported by a result of the second author [4] stating that, for a fixed k and large r , the number of rank- k flats in a rank- r matroid with no $U_{2,q+2}$ -minor does not exceed the number of rank- k flats in a projective geometry.

However, Conjecture 1.1 fails; Geelen and Nelson [2] gave counterexamples for $r = 3$ and $q \geq 7$. As observed in [2], it still seemed plausible that those rank-3 counterexamples were the only ones: as in the problem of classifying projective planes, sporadic behaviour in rank 3 that disappears for larger rank can easily occur. We show using a variant of the construction in [2] that in fact, the conjecture fails much more dramatically.

Theorem 1.2. *For all integers $r \geq 4$ and $\ell \geq 10$ there exists a rank- r matroid M with no $U_{2,\ell+2}$ minor and more than $\frac{\ell^r-1}{\ell-1}$ hyperplanes.*

This research was supported by a Discovery Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

In fact, for large r and ℓ our counterexamples contain at least $(c\ell)^{3r/2}$ hyperplanes for some absolute constant $c \approx 2^{-7}$. In light of this, is not obvious what the correct upper bound should be; while it seems difficult to asymptotically improve on the construction we use, our counterexamples are not even 3-connected, so quite possibly richer matroids with more hyperplanes exist. Our order- $(cq)^{3/2r}$ construction still has many fewer hyperplanes than the upper bound of $q^{r(r-1)}$ given in [1]. However, we cautiously conjecture that projective geometries give the correct upper bound in the case of very high rank and connectivity; a matroid is *round* if its ground set is not the union of two hyperplanes, or equivalently if it is vertically k -connected for all k .

Conjecture 1.3. *Let $\ell \geq 2$ be an integer. If M is a round matroid with sufficiently large rank and with no $U_{2,\ell+2}$ -minor, then M has at most $\frac{q^{r(M)-1}}{\ell-1}$ hyperplanes.*

2. RANK THREE

We follow the notation of Oxley [5]. If M_1, M_2 are matroids with $E(M_1) \cap E(M_2)$ equal to $\{e\}$ for some nonloop e in both matroids, then the *parallel connection* of M_1 and M_2 , which we denote $M_1 \oplus_e M_2$, is the unique matroid M on ground set $E(M_1) \cup E(M_2)$ for which $M|E(M_i) = M_i$ for each i , and $M \setminus e$ is the 2-sum of M_1 and M_2 . We write $\mathcal{U}(\ell)$ for the class of matroids with no $U_{2,\ell+2}$ -minor. If $e \in E(M)$ then $W_2(M)$ denotes the number of lines of M , and $W_2^e(M)$ denotes the number of lines of M not containing e .

Lemma 2.1. *If $\ell \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{U}(\ell)$ is closed under parallel connections.*

Proof. Let $M, N \in \mathcal{U}(\ell)$ with $E(M) \cap E(N) = \{e\}$ and suppose for a contradiction that $M \oplus_e N$ has a minor $L \cong U_{2,\ell+2}$. Note that L has the form $M' \oplus N'$ or $M' \oplus_e N'$ for some minors M' and N' of M and N respectively. Since L is 3-connected, either $M' = \emptyset$ or $N' = \emptyset$, so L is a minor of M or N , a contradiction. \square

We now construct counterexamples to Conjecture 1.1. This first construction appears in [2] attributed to Blokhuis. We include the proof, which is repeated essentially verbatim, for completeness.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $q \geq 3$ be a prime power and t be an integer with $3 \leq t \leq q$. There is a rank-3 matroid $M(q, t)$ with no $U_{2,q+t}$ -minor such that $W_2(M(q, t)) = q^2 + (q+1)\binom{t}{2}$.*

Proof. Let $N \cong \text{PG}(2, q)$. Let $e \in E(N)$ and let L_1, L_2, L_3 be distinct lines of N not containing e and so that $L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3$ is empty. Note

that every line of M other than L_1, L_2 and L_3 intersects $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ in at least two and at most three elements.

Let \mathcal{L} be the set of lines of N and \mathcal{L}_e be the set of lines of N containing e . For each $L \in \mathcal{L}_e$, let $T(L)$ be a t -element subset of $L - \{e\}$ containing $L \cap (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3)$. Observe that the $T(L)$ are pairwise disjoint. Let $X = \cup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_e} T(L)$, noting that $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3 \subseteq X$ and so each line in \mathcal{L} intersects X in at least two elements. Note that a simple rank-3 matroid is completely determined by its set of lines, as every nonspanning circuit is contained in such a line. Let $M(q, t)$ be the simple rank-3 matroid with ground set X whose set of lines is $\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2$, where $\mathcal{L}_1 = \{L \cap X : L \in \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_e\}$, and \mathcal{L}_2 is the collection of two-element subsets of the sets $T(L)$ for $L \in \mathcal{L}_e$. Note that \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 are disjoint. Every $f \in X$ lies in q lines in \mathcal{L}_1 and in $(t - 1)$ lines in \mathcal{L}_2 , so $M(q, t)$ has no $U_{2, q+t}$ -minor. Moreover, we have $\mathcal{L}_1 = |\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_e| = q^2$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 = |\mathcal{L}_e| \binom{t}{2} = (q + 1) \binom{t}{2}$. This gives the lemma. \square

The following lemma slightly strengthens one in [2].

Lemma 2.3. *If ℓ is an integer with $\ell \geq 10$, then there exists $M \in \mathcal{U}(\ell)$ such that $r(M) = 3$ and $W_2(M) > \ell^2 + \frac{7}{3}\ell + 4$.*

Proof. If $\ell \geq 127$, let q be a power of 2 such that $\frac{1}{4}(\ell + 2) < q \leq \frac{1}{2}(\ell + 2)$. We have $2q \leq \ell + 2$ so $M(q, q) \in \mathcal{U}(\ell)$, and

$$W_2(M(q, q)) = q^2 + \binom{q}{2}(q + 1) > \frac{1}{2}q^3 > \frac{1}{128}(\ell + 2)^3 > (\ell + 2)^2.$$

If $10 \leq \ell < 127$, then it is easy to check that there is some prime power $q \in \{7, 9, 13, 19, 32, 59, 113\}$ such that $\frac{1}{2}(\ell + 2) \leq q \leq \ell - 3$. Note that $4 < \ell + 2 - q \leq q$. Define real quadratic polynomials $f_q(x)$ by $f_q(x) = q^2 + (q + 1) \binom{x+2-q}{2}$ and $g(x) = x^2 + \frac{7}{3}x + 4$. The function $h(x) = f_q(x) - g(x)$ has positive leading coefficient and $h(q + 1) < 0$, while $h(q + 3) = \frac{5}{3}q - 1 > 0$; thus $h(x) > 0$ for every integer $x \geq q + 3$. Now the matroid $M = M(q, \ell + 2 - q)$ satisfies $M \in \mathcal{U}(\ell)$ and $W_2(M) - (\ell^2 + \frac{7}{3}\ell + 4) = h(\ell) > 0$. \square

3. LARGE RANK

We now give a construction that extends rank-3 counterexamples to counterexamples in arbitrary rank.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\ell \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $N \in \mathcal{U}(\ell)$ be a rank-3 matroid and $e \in E(N)$. Then for each integer $r \geq 3$ there is a rank- r matroid $M_r \in \mathcal{U}(\ell)$ such that*

- if r is odd, then M_r has at least $(W_2^e(M))^{(r-1)/2}$ hyperplanes,

- if r is even, then M_r has at least $\ell(W_2^e(M))^{(r-2)/2}$ hyperplanes.

Proof. For each $r \geq 3$, let $k = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(r-1) \rfloor$ and let N_1, \dots, N_k, L be matroids, any two of whose ground sets have intersection $\{e\}$, such that each N_i is isomorphic to N under an isomorphism fixing e , while $L \cong U_{2, \ell+1}$. For each odd $r \geq 3$, let M_r be the parallel connection of N_1, \dots, N_k , and for each even $r \geq 4$, let M_r be the parallel connection of M_{r-1} and L . By Lemma 2.1 we have $M_r \in \mathcal{U}(\ell)$ for all r . Note that $r(M_r) = r$ for each r .

Let L_1, \dots, L_k be lines of N_1, \dots, N_k respectively that do not contain e . If r is odd, then $\cup_{i=1}^k L_i$ is a hyperplane of M_r ; it follows that M_r has at least $(W_2^e(N))^k = (W_2^e(N))^{(r-1)/2}$ hyperplanes, as required. If r is even, then for each $x \in L - \{e\}$ the set $\cup_{i=1}^k L_i \cup \{x\}$ is a hyperplane of M_r . Thus M_r has at least $|L - \{e\}|(W_2^e(N))^k = \ell(W_2^e(N))^{(r-2)/2}$ hyperplanes. \square

Using this, we can restate and prove Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 3.2. *If $r \geq 4$ and $\ell \geq 10$ are integers, then there is a rank- r matroid $M \in \mathcal{U}(\ell)$ having more than $\frac{\ell^r - 1}{\ell - 1}$ hyperplanes.*

Proof. Let $\ell \geq 10$ and $r \geq 3$. Let $N \in \mathcal{U}(\ell)$ be a matroid given by Lemma 2.3 for which $r(N) = 3$ while $W_2(N) > \ell^2 + \frac{7}{3}\ell + 4$. Let $e \in E(N)$; since e is in at most $\ell + 1$ lines we have

$$W_2^e(N) > (\ell^2 + \frac{7}{3}\ell + 4) - (\ell + 1) > (\ell + \frac{2}{3})^2.$$

Let M_r be the matroid given by Lemma 3.1. If r is odd, then M_r has at least $(W_2^e(N))^{(r-1)/2} > (\ell + \frac{2}{3})^{r-1}$ hyperplanes. If r is even, then M_r has at least $\ell(W_2^e(N))^{(r-2)/2} > \ell(\ell + \frac{2}{3})^{r-2}$ hyperplanes. An easy induction on r verifies that $\min((\ell + \frac{2}{3})^{r-1}, \ell(\ell + \frac{2}{3})^{r-2}) > \frac{\ell^r - 1}{\ell - 1}$ for all $r \geq 4$, and the result follows. \square

Finally, we show that for large r and ℓ , we can construct examples having dramatically more than $\frac{\ell^r - 1}{\ell - 1}$ hyperplanes. Using the fact that for all $\epsilon > 0$ and all large ℓ , there is a prime between $(1 - \epsilon)\ell$ and ℓ , one could improve the constant to anything under 2^{-4} for large r .

Corollary 3.3. *If $r \geq 3$ and $\ell \geq 10$ are integers, then there is a rank- r matroid $M \in \mathcal{U}(\ell)$ having at least $(2^{-7}\ell^3)^{(r-2)/2}$ hyperplanes.*

Proof. Let $q \geq 5$ be a prime power so that $\frac{1}{4}(\ell + 2) < q \leq \frac{1}{2}(\ell + 2)$. Let $N = M(q, q)$ as defined in Lemma 2.2. We have $N \in \mathcal{U}(\ell)$ and $W_2(N) = q^2 + (q + 1)\binom{q}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}q^3 + 4q > 2^{-7}\ell^3 + \ell + 1$, where we use $q \geq 5$. Let $e \in E(N)$; since e is in at most $\ell + 1$ lines of N we have $W_2^e(N) > 2^{-7}\ell^3$. The result follows from Lemma 3.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Geelen, Small cocircuits in matroids, *European J. Combin.* 32 (2011), 795-801.
- [2] J. Geelen, P. Nelson, The number of lines in a matroid with no $U_{2,n}$ -minor, *European J. Combin.* 50 (2015), 115-122.
- [3] J.P.S. Kung, Extremal matroid theory, in: *Graph Structure Theory* (Seattle WA, 1991), *Contemporary Mathematics* 147 (1993), American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 21–61.
- [4] P. Nelson, The number of rank- k flats in a matroid with no $U_{2,n}$ -minor, *J. Combin. Theory. Ser. B* 107 (2014), 140-147.
- [5] J. G. Oxley, *Matroid Theory*, Oxford University Press, New York, 2011.

DEPARTMENT OF COMBINATORICS AND OPTIMIZATION, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO, WATERLOO, CANADA