

# An improved upper bound on the maximum degree of terminal-pairable complete graphs

António Girão <sup>\*</sup>      Gábor Mészáros <sup>†</sup>

October 12, 2021

## Abstract

A graph  $G$  is terminal-pairable with respect to a demand multigraph  $D$  on the same vertex set as  $G$ , if there exists edge-disjoint paths joining the end vertices of every demand edge of  $D$ . In this short note, we improve the upper bound on the largest  $\Delta(n)$  with the property that the complete graph on  $n$  vertices is terminal-pairable with respect to any demand multigraph of maximum degree at most  $\Delta(n)$ . This disproves a conjecture originally stated by Csaba, Faudree, Gyárfás, Lehel and Schelp.

## 1 Introduction

The concept of *terminal-pairability* emerged as a practical networking problem and was introduced by Csaba, Faudree, Gyárfás, Lehel, and Shelp [2]. It was further studied by Faudree, Gyárfás, and Lehel [3, 4, 5] and by Kubicka, Kubicki and Lehel [7]. Terminal-pairable networks can be defined as follows: given a simple undirected graph  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  and an undirected multigraph  $D = (V(D), E(D))$  on the same vertex set ( $V(D) = V(G)$ ) we say that  $G$  can realize the edges  $e_1, \dots, e_{|E(D)|}$  of  $D$  if there exist edge disjoint paths  $P_1, \dots, P_{|E(D)|}$  in  $G$  such that  $P_i$  joins that endpoints of  $e_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, |E(D)|$ . We call  $D$  and its edges the *demand graph* and the *demand edges* of  $G$ , respectively. Given  $G$  and a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of (demand)graphs defined on  $V(G)$  we call  $G$  *terminal-pairable* with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  if every demand graph in  $\mathcal{F}$  can be realized in  $G$ .

---

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK; A.Girao@dpmms.cam.ac.uk

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Memphis, Memphis, Tennessee; gmszaros@memphis.edu

Given a simple graph  $G$ , one central question in the topic of terminal-pairability concerns the maximum value of  $\Delta$  for which any demand graph  $D$  with maximum degree  $\Delta(D) \leq \Delta$  can be realized in  $G$ . Csaba, Faudree, Gyárfás, Lehel, and Shelp [2] studied the above extremal value for complete graphs. Let  $K_n^q$  denote the set of demand multigraphs with maximum degree at most  $q$  on a complete graph on  $n$  vertices. One can easily verify that if  $K_n$  is terminal-pairable with respect to  $K_n^q$  then  $q$  cannot exceed  $n/2$ . Indeed, consider the demand graph  $D$  obtained by replacing every edge in a one-factor by  $q$  parallel edges. In order to create edge-disjoint paths routing the endpoints of the demand edges, one needs to use at least two edges in  $K_n$ , for most of the demand edges. Thus a rather short calculation implies the indicated upper bound on  $\Delta(D)$ .

The same authors proved in [2] that  $K_n^q$  can be realized in  $K_n$  if  $q \leq \frac{n}{4+2\sqrt{3}}$ , and conjectured that if  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then the upper bound of  $n/2$  is attainable, that is,  $K_n$  is terminal-pairable with respect to  $K_n^{n/2}$ . This conjecture is also stated in [4]. In this note, we disprove this conjecture by showing that  $q/n$  is asymptotically bounded away from  $1/2$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $K_n$  is terminal-pairable with respect to  $K_n^q$ , then  $q \leq \frac{13}{27}n + O(1)$ .*

We do not know if the newly established upper bound is asymptotically sharp. To this date the best known lower bound on  $q$  is  $\frac{n}{3} - O(1)$  (see [6]).

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We may assume  $n$  is divisible by 3. Let  $q$  be an even integer. We shall construct a demand graph on  $n$  vertices by partitioning the vertex set of  $K_n$  into triples, each one forming a triangle where every edge has multiplicity  $\frac{q}{2}$ . Assume that there exists an edge-disjoint path system  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $K_n$  that satisfies this demand graph. Note that  $e(D) = \frac{nq}{2}$  and at most  $n$  demand edges can be realized using exactly one edge of  $K_n$  or using 2 edges within its triple, thus at least  $\frac{nq}{2} - n$  demand edges correspond to path of length 2 or more in  $\mathcal{P}$ . In particular, if  $t$  denotes the number of paths of length 2 in  $\mathcal{P}$ , then the following condition holds due to simple edge counting:

$$2t + 3\left(\frac{nq}{2} - n - t\right) \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2},$$

that is,  $t \geq \frac{n}{2}(3q - n - 5)$ . Hence, if  $q$  is sufficiently large (in terms of  $n$ ), then lots of demand edges must be realized through paths of length 2 ("cherries").

For a triangle  $T_i$ , let  $\alpha_i$  denote the number of demand edges not in  $T_i$  that are resolved in a cherry through any vertex of  $T_i$ . Also, let  $\beta_i$  be the number of demand edges of  $T_i$  that are resolved via a cherry with its middle vertex lying outside of  $T_i$ . Observe that by simple double-counting

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{3}} \alpha_i + \beta_i = 2t \geq n(3q - n - 5)$$

and therefore there must exist a triangle  $T_i$  with  $\alpha_i + \beta_i \geq 3(3q - n - 5)$ .

Note that between two distinct triangles at most 4 edges can be solved via paths of length 2 (every cherry requires two edges between the triangles in  $K_n$  and we only have 9 of them). This implies that between  $T_i$  and any other triangle at most  $4 \cdot (\frac{n}{3} - 1)$  demand edges can be solved via cherries. Hence,  $4 \cdot (\frac{n}{3} - 1) \geq 3(3q - n - 5)$  which implies  $q \leq \frac{13}{27}n + 1$  as desired.

### 3 Additional Remarks

Terminal-pairability of non-complete graphs has been recently studied by Colucci et al. [1]. The authors investigated the extremal value of  $q$  for which the set  $K_{n,n}^q$  consisting of every demand multigraph on the complete balanced bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}$  with maximum degree at most  $q$ , can be realized. Note that in this variant of the problem the demand graph does not have to be bipartite. It was shown in [1] that  $K_{n,n}^q$  can be realized in  $K_{n,n}$  as long as  $q \leq (1 - o(1))\frac{n}{4}$ . On the other hand,  $q$  has to be smaller than  $(1 + o(1))\frac{n}{3}$  since any one-factor in which every edge has multiplicity  $n/3$  is not realizable in  $K_{n,n}$ . However, we believe that the one-factor construction does not yield a sharp bound:

**Conjecture 3.1.** *There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that if  $K_{n,n}^q$  can be realized in  $K_{n,n}$ , then  $q < (\frac{1}{3} - \epsilon)n$ , for every  $n$  sufficiently large.*

### References

- [1] COLUCCI, L., OS, P. E., GYŐRI, E., AND MEZEI, T. R. Terminal-pairability in complete bipartite graphs with non-bipartite demands. submitted, arXiv:1705.02124.
- [2] CSABA, L., FAUDREE, R., GYÁRFÁS, A., LEHEL, J., AND SCHELP, R. Networks communicating for each pairing of terminals. *Networks* 22 (1992), 615–626.

- [3] FAUDREE, R., GYÁRFÁS, A., AND LEHEL, J. Minimal path pairable graphs. *Congressus Numerantium* 88 (1992), 111–128.
- [4] FAUDREE, R. J. Properties in path-pairable graphs. *New Zealand Journal of Mathematics* 21 (1992), 91–106.
- [5] FAUDREE, R. J., GYÁRFÁS, A., AND LEHEL, J. Path-pairable graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing* 20 (1999), 145–157.
- [6] GYŐRI, E., MEZEI, T. R., AND MÉSZÁROS, G. Terminal pairability in complete graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing*, submitted, arXiv:1605.05857.
- [7] KUBICKA, E., KUBICKI, G., AND LEHEL, J. Path-pairable property for complete grids. *Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Algorithms* 1 (1999), 577–586.