

CONSTRUCTION OF THE SYMBOL INVARIANT OF PARTITION

B. SHOU[†] AND QIAO WU^{*}


ABSTRACT. Symbol is used to describe the Springer correspondence for the classical groups. We prove two structure theorems of symbol. We propose a construction of the symbol of the rigid partitions in the B_n , C_n , and D_n theories. This construction is natural and consists of two basic building blocks. Using this construction, we give closed formulas of symbols for the rigid partitions in the B_n , C_n , and D_n theories. One part of the closed formula is universal and other parts are determined by the specific theory. A comparison of between this closed formula and the old one is made. Previous results can be illustrated more clearly by this closed formula.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Symbol of partition	3
2.1. Partitions in the B_n, C_n , and D_n theories	3
2.2. Definition and the structure theorem of symbol	4
3. Construction of symbol	9
3.1. Building blocks of symbol	9
3.2. Symbol of partitions in the B_n theory	13
3.3. Symbol of partitions in the C_n theory	18
3.4. Symbol of partitions in the D_n theory	21
4. Discussions	22
5. Acknowledgments	25
References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

A partition λ of the positive integer n is a decomposition $\sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i = n$ ($\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l$). The integer l is called the length of the partition. Partitions can be graphically visualized with Young diagrams. For instance the partition $3^2 2^3 1$ corresponds to



Young diagrams occur in a number of branches of mathematics and physics, including the study of combinatorics, representation theory. They are also useful tools

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E10.

Key words and phrases. Partition, symbol, construction, surface operator, Young diagram.

for the construction of the eigenstates of Hamiltonian System [1] [2] [3] and label the fixed point of the localization of path integral[4].

The Springer correspondence [5] is a injective map from the unipotent conjugacy classes of a simple group to the set of unitary representations of the Weyl group. For the classical groups this map can be described explicitly in terms of partitions. Unipotent conjugacy of the (complexified) gauge group are classified by partitions. For Weyl group, irreducible unitary representations are in one-to one correspondence with ordered pairs of partitions $[\alpha; \beta]$. α is a partition of n_α and β is a partition of n_β satisfying $n_\alpha + n_\beta = n$. Symbols introduced in [5] can be used to describe the Springer correspondence for the classical groups, which is a map related to the partitions on the two sides of the Springer correspondence.

Gukov and Witten initiated to study the S -duality for surface operators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theories in [6][7][8]. A surface operator is defined by prescribing a certain singularity structure of fields near the surface on which the operator is supported. They are labelled by pairs of certain partitions. The S -duality conjecture suggest that surface operators in the theory with gauge group G should have a counterpart in the Langlands dual group G^L . A subclass of surface operators called 'rigid' surface operators are expected to be closed under S -duality. Symbol is an invariant for the dual pair partition. Using symbol invariant, Wyllard made some explicit proposals for how the S -duality map should act on rigid surface operators in [10]. In [11], we find a new subclass of rigid surface operators related by S -duality. We also simplify the construction of symbol invariant of partition. In [12], we generalize the above method and propose a closed formula for the symbol of rigid partitions in the B_n, C_n , and D_n theories uniformly.

In this paper, we attempt to extend the analysis in [6][10][11] [12] to study the construction of symbol further. Firstly, we characterize the structure of symbol by two theorems⁴⁵. We found a new method to construct the symbol, which is more elementary and essential. This construction consist of two building blocks ' **Rule B** ' and ' **Rule A** '. Finally, using these building blocks, we proposed closed formulas of symbol for partitions in the B_n, C_n , and D_n theories⁶⁷⁸.

The following is an outline of this article. In Section 2, we introduce the concept of partition and some basic results related to the rigid partition. Then we introduce the definition of symbol proposed in [12]. We prove two theorems related to the structure of symbol. In Section 3, we found two elementary building blocks in the construction of symbol. Then we extend these two elementary building blocks. Using the structure theorems of symbol, we can decompose the partition into several parts and calculate the contribution to symbol of each part independently. Finally, according to these decomposition rules of partition, we give close formulas of symbols for rigid partitions in the B_n, C_n and D_n theories. In Section 4, we make a comparison of between the closed formula in this paper and the one in [12].

With noncentral rigid conjugacy classes in A_n and more complicated exceptional groups, we will concentrate on the B_n, C_n , and D_n series in this paper. Other aspects of surface operators have been studied in [11][16][17][18][9]. The mismatch of the total number of rigid surface operators was found in the B_n/C_n theories through the study of the generating functions[19][20][21][22]. There is another invariant of partition called fingerprint related to the Kazhdan-Lusztig map[23][24][25], assumed

to be equivalent to the symbol invariant. Hopefully our constructions will be helpful in the proof of the equivalence. Clearly more work is required. Hopefully our constructions will be helpful in making further progress.

2. SYMBOL OF PARTITION

In this section, we introduce partition and relevant results. We give the definition of symbol and prove two structure theorems of symbol.

2.1. Partitions in the B_n, C_n , and D_n theories. Firstly, we will introduce the definition of symbol. They are not identical for the B_n, C_n , and D_n theories. So we try to find equivalent definitions which are convenient to study. We also introduce formal operations of a partition, which are not only helpful to understand the new definitions of symbol but also helpful for the proof in Section 3.

For the $B_n(D_n)$ theories, unipotent conjugacy classes are in one-to-one correspondence with partitions of $2n+1(2n)$ where all even integers appear an even number of times. For the C_n theories, unipotent conjugacy classes are in one-to-one correspondence with partitions $2n$ for which all odd integers appear an even number of times. If it has no gaps (i.e. $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \leq 1$ for all i) and no odd (even) integer appears exactly twice, a partition in the B_n or D_n (C_n) theories is called *rigid*. We will focus on rigid partition in this paper.

The following propositions are pointed out in [10], which are used to study the structure of symbol. We give the details of the proof for the B_n theory.

Proposition 1. *The longest row in a rigid B_n partition always contains an odd number of boxes. The following two rows of the first row are either both of odd length or both of even length. This pairwise pattern then continues. If the Young tableau has an even number of rows the row of shortest length has to be even.*

Proof. For a partition in the B_n theory, even integers appear an even number of times. So the sum of all odd integers is odd, implying the number of odd integers is odd. Thus the length of the partition is odd, which is the sum of the number of odd integers and even integers. So the longest row in a rigid B_n partition contains an odd number of boxes.

If the following two rows of the first row are of different parities, then the difference of the length between these two rows is odd. It imply that part '2' appears odd number of times in the partition, a contradiction. So the following two rows are either both of odd length or both of even length. Similarly, we can prove next two rows are of the same parities. This pairwise pattern continues.

The number of boxes of a pairwise pattern is even. If the Young tableau has an even number of rows, then the number of the total boxes of the first row and the last row is odd. The shortest row is even, since the longest row in a B_n partition always contains an odd number of boxes. \square

Remark: If the last row is odd, the number of rows in the partition is odd.

For partitions in the C_n and D_n theories, we have the following propositions.

Proposition 2. *For a rigid C_n partition, the longest two rows both contain either an even or an odd number of boxes. This pairwise pattern then continues. If the Young tableau has an odd number of rows the row of shortest length has contain an even number of boxes.*

Proposition 3. *For a rigid D_n partition, the longest row always contains an even number of boxes. And the following two rows are either both of even length or both of odd length. This pairwise pattern then continue. If the Young tableau has an even number of rows the row of the shortest length has to be even.*

2.2. Definition and the structure theorem of symbol. It is convenient to use certain symbols to describe the Springer correspondence for the classical groups [5]. The following definitions of symbol is proposed in [11], making the differences of definitions among different theories as small as possible.

Definition 1. [11]

- B_n : add $l - k$ to the k th part of the partition. Arrange the odd parts of the sequence $l - k + \lambda_k$ in an increasing sequence $2f_i + 1$ and arrange the even parts in an increasing sequence $2g_i$. Next calculate the following terms

$$\alpha_i = f_i - i + 1 \quad \beta_i = g_i - i + 1.$$

Finally we write the symbol as

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \cdots \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

- C_n : if the length of the partition is odd, append an extra 0 as the last part of the partition. Then compute the symbol as in the B_n case.
- D_n : append an extra 0 as the last part of the partition, then compute the symbol as in the B_n case.

Remarks:

- (1) l is the length of the partition including the extra 0 appended if needed for the computation of the symbol. The first step of the computation of symbol in the B_n , C_n , and D_n theories.

$\lambda_k :$	λ_1	λ_2	\cdots	λ_l
$l - k :$	$l - 1$	$l - 2$	\cdots	0
$l - k + \lambda_k :$	$l - 1 + \lambda_1$	$l - 2 + \lambda_2$	\cdots	λ_l

This table will be used frequently. The terms f_i and g_i are calculated as follows

$2f_i + 1 :$	\cdots	$2f_2 + 1$	$2f_1 + 1$	$2g_i :$	\cdots	$2g_2$	$2g_1$
$f_i :$	\cdots	f_2	f_1	$g_i :$	\cdots	g_2	g_1

The sequences f_i and g_i increase from right to left, corresponding to sequences α_i and β_i , respectively.

- (2) Since each term in the sequence $l - k + \lambda_k$ are independent, we can discuss the contribution to symbol of each term $l - k + \lambda_k$ independently.

Note that the form of the symbol (2.1) is a convenient notation. The relative positions between α_* and β_* is not essential. We prove the following structure theorem of symbol.

Proposition 4 (Structure of the Symbol). *Let the k th and $k+1$ th rows are two rows of a pairwise pattern of the partition $\lambda = m^{n_m} (m-1)^{n_{m-1}} \cdots 1^{n_1}$. If the length of the k th row is even, the contribution to symbol of the parts $m^{n_m} (m-1)^{n_{m-1}} \cdots k^{n_k}$ is*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \alpha_{\mu - \frac{1}{2}L(k)+1} & \cdots & \alpha_\mu \\ 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \beta_{\nu - \frac{1}{2}L(k)+1} & \cdots & \beta_\nu \end{pmatrix}$$

with $L(k) = \sum_{i=k}^m n_i$.

Let the length of the k th row is odd and is the second row of a pairwise pattern. l is the length of the partition including the extra 0 appended if needed for the computation of the symbol. If $l - L(k) + \lambda_{L(k)}$ is odd, the contribution to symbol of the parts $m^{n_m}(m-1)^{n_{m-1}} \dots k^{n_k}$ is

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_{\mu - \frac{L(k)-1}{2}} & \alpha_{\mu - \frac{L(k)-1}{2} + 1} & \cdots & \alpha_{\mu} \\ & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \beta_{\nu - \frac{L(k)-1}{2} + 1} & \cdots & \beta_{\nu} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the contribution to symbol of the parts $m^{n_m}(m-1)^{n_{m-1}} \dots (k-1)^{n_{k-1}}$ is

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_{\mu - \frac{L(k-1)-1}{2}} & \alpha_{\mu - \frac{L(k-1)-1}{2} + 1} & \cdots & \alpha_{\mu} \\ & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \beta_{\nu - \frac{L(k-1)-1}{2} + 1} & \cdots & \beta_{\nu} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $l - L(k) + \lambda_{L(k)}$ is even, the contribution to symbol of the parts $m^{n_m}(m-1)^{n_{m-1}} \dots k^{n_k}$ is

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \alpha_{\mu - \frac{L(k)-1}{2} + 1} & \cdots & \alpha_{\mu} \\ 0 & \cdots & \beta_{\nu - \frac{L(k)-1}{2}} & \beta_{\nu - \frac{L(k)-1}{2} + 1} & \cdots & \beta_{\nu} \end{pmatrix}.$$

and the contribution to symbol of the parts $m^{n_m}(m-1)^{n_{m-1}} \dots (k-1)^{n_{k-1}}$ is

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \alpha_{\mu - \frac{L(k-1)-1}{2} + 1} & \cdots & \alpha_{\mu} \\ 0 & \cdots & \beta_{\nu - \frac{L(k-1)-1}{2}} & \beta_{\nu - \frac{L(k-1)-1}{2} + 1} & \cdots & \beta_{\nu} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. For the parts λ_i, λ_{i+1} ($\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1}$), one of the terms $l - i + \lambda_i, l - i + \lambda_{i+1}$ is odd corresponding to α_* and the other one is even corresponding to β_* .

If the last row is not in a pairwise pattern, then it is even according to Proposition 1, 2, and 3. For the first two parts $l-1 + \lambda_1, l-2 + \lambda_2$ ($\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = m$), the odd term is the biggest odd one of and the even term is the biggest even one of the sequence $l - i + \lambda_i$, corresponding to α_{μ} and β_{ν} , respectively. So the contribution to symbol of the parts (λ_1, λ_2) is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \alpha_{\mu} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \beta_{\nu} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This pairwise pattern of contribution to symbol will continue for the parts m^{n_m} . And the contribution to symbol of the parts m^{n_m} is

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \alpha_{\mu - n_m/2 + 1} & \cdots & \alpha_{\mu} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \beta_{\nu - n_m/2 + 1} & \cdots & \beta_{\nu} \end{pmatrix}$$

where the number of α_* is equal to that of β_* in the above formula.

According to remark 3 of Definition 1, the contributions to symbol of the parts m^{n_m} is independent of the parts $(m-1)^{n_{m-1}} \dots k^{n_k}$ of the partition λ . Without loss of generality, we assume the last two rows are in a pairwise pattern. If these two rows are even, then both n_m and n_{m-1} are even as shown in Fig.1. By repeating the procedure reaching the formula (2.7), the contribution to symbol of the parts m^{n_m} is given by the formula (2.7). In the same way, the contributions to symbol




FIGURE 1. The last two rows of the Young tableau are in a pairwise pattern. n_m and n_{m-1} are even. Both the length of the i th and $(i-1)$ th rows are odd. n_i is odd and n_{i-1} is even.

of the parts $\lambda_{n_1+1} \cdots \lambda_{n_1+n_2} = (m-1)^{n_{m-1}}$ is

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \alpha_{\mu-n_m/2-(n_{m-1})/2+1} \cdots \alpha_{\mu-n_m/2} & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{n_m/2} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \beta_{\nu-n_m/2-(n_{m-1})/2+1} \cdots \beta_{\nu-n_m/2} & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{n_m/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Combing the formulas (2.8) and (2.7), we draw the conclusion of the formula (2.2) for the parts $m^{n_m}(m-1)^{n_{m-1}}$.

The pattern of the formula (2.8) will continue until an odd number n_i which means both the lengths of the i th and $(i-1)$ th rows are odd as shown in Fig.1. Since the contribution to symbol of the parts $m^{n_m} \cdots (i-1)^{n_{i-1}}$ is independent of the rest parts of the partition λ , without loss of generality, we assume the length of the last two rows are odd, which means n_m is odd and n_{m-1} is even as shown in Fig.2. We make a decomposition $m^{n_m} = m^{n_m-1} + m$. For the parts $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{n_m-1} = m^{n_m-1}$, repeating the procedure reaching the formula (2.7), its contribution to symbol is

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \alpha_{\mu-(n_m-1)/2+1} \cdots \alpha_{\mu} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \beta_{\nu-(n_m-1)/2+1} \cdots \beta_{\nu} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- If $l - n_m + \lambda_{n_m}$ is odd, the contribution to symbol of part $l - n_m + \lambda_{n_m}$ is

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots \alpha_{\mu-(n_m-1)/2} & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is the formula (2.3). For the parts $\lambda_{n_m+1} \cdots \lambda_{n_m+n_{m-1}} = (m-1)^{n_{m-1}}$, according to the formula (2.8), its contribution to symbol is

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \alpha_{\mu-(n_m-1)/2-n_{m-1}/2} \cdots \alpha_{\mu-(n_m-1)/2-1} & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{(n_m+1)/2} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \beta_{\mu-(n_m-1)/2-n_{m-1}/2+1} \cdots \beta_{\mu-(n_m-1)/2} & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{(n_m-1)/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$




FIGURE 2. The last two rows are old and in a pairwise pattern. n_m is old and n_{m-1} is even. Both the $m-2$ th and n_{m-3} th rows are old. n_{m-2} is old and n_{m-3} is even.

Combing the formulas (2.9), (2.10), and (2.11), we draw the conclusion of the formula (2.4).

The pattern of the formula (2.11) will continue until an odd n_i , which means both the i th and $i-1$ th rows are even. Without loss of generality, we assume both the length of the $(m-2)$ th and $(m-3)$ th rows are even. Then n_{m-2} is odd and n_{m-3} is even as shown in Fig.2. We make a decomposition as follows

$$\lambda_{n_m+n_{m-1}+1} \cdots \lambda_{n_m+n_{m-1}+n_{m-2}} = \lambda_{n_m+n_{m-1}+1} + \lambda_{n_m+n_{m-1}+2} \cdots \lambda_{n_m+n_{m-1}+n_{m-2}},$$

which is equal to $(m-2)^{n_{m-2}} = (m-2) + (m-2)^{n_{m-2}-1}$. Since $l - n_m + \lambda_{n_m}$ is odd and n_{m-1} is even, the following term

$$l - (n_m + n_{m-1} + 1) + \lambda_{n_m+n_{m-1}+1} = l - n_m + (\lambda_{n_m} - 2) - (n_{m-1} + 1)$$

is even. The contribution to symbol of the part $\lambda_{n_m+n_{m-1}+1}$ is

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots \beta_{\nu - (n_m + n_{m-1} + 1)/2} & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By combing the formulas (2.9), (2.11), (2.10), and (2.12), the contribution to symbol of the parts $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{n_m+n_{m-1}} \lambda_{n_m+n_{m-1}+1}$ is

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \alpha_{\mu - (n_m + n_{m-1} + 1)/2} \cdots \alpha_{\mu} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \beta_{\nu - (n_m + n_{m-1} + 1)/2} \cdots \beta_{\nu} \end{pmatrix},$$

which is the formula (2.2). For the parts $\lambda_{n_m+n_{m-1}+2} \cdots \lambda_{n_m+n_{m-1}+n_{m-2}} = (m-2)^{n_{m-2}-1}$, repeating the procedure reaching the formula (2.7), its contribution to symbol is

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \alpha_{\mu - (n_m + n_{m-1} + n_{m-2})/2} \cdots \alpha_{\mu - (n_m + n_{m-1} + 1)/2} & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{(n_m + n_{m-1} + 1)/2} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \beta_{\mu - (n_m + n_{m-1} + n_{m-2})/2} \cdots \beta_{\nu - (n_m + n_{m-1} + 1)/2} & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{(n_m + n_{m-1} + 1)/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, for the parts $\lambda_{n_m+n_{m-1}+n_{m-2}+1} \cdots \lambda_{n_m+n_{m-1}+n_{m-2}+n_{m-3}} = (m-3)^{n_{m-3}}$, its contribution to symbol is

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \alpha_{\mu-(n_m+n_{m-1}+n_{m-2}+n_{m-3})/2} \cdots \alpha_{\mu-(n_m+n_{m-1}+n_{m-2}+1)/2} & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{(n_m+n_{m-1}+n_{m-2})/2} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \beta_{\mu-(n_m+n_{m-1}+n_{m-2}+n_{m-3})/2} \cdots \beta_{\nu-(n_m+n_{m-1}+n_{m-2}+1)/2} & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0} & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Combing the formulas (2.13), (2.14), and (2.15), we get the formula (2.2). The pattern of formula (2.15) will continue until an odd n_i , which means both the length of the i th and $(i-1)$ th rows are odd. This return back at the beginning of the proof.

- If $l - n_m + \lambda_{n_m}$ is even, the contribution to symbol of the part $\lambda_{n_m} = m$ is

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots \beta_{\nu-(n_m-1)/2} & 0 \cdots 0 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the parts $\lambda_{n_m+1} \cdots \lambda_{n_m+n_{m-1}} = (m-1)^{n_{m-1}}$, its contribution to symbol is

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \alpha_{\mu-(n_m-1)/2-n_{m-1}/2+1} \cdots \alpha_{\mu-(n_m-1)/2} & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{(n_m-1)/2} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \beta_{\mu-(n_m-1)/2-n_{m-1}/2} \cdots \beta_{\mu-(n_m-1)/2-1} & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0} & \end{pmatrix}.$$

We draw the conclusion of formula (2.5). The other process of the proof is similar to the case that $l - n_m + \lambda_{n_m}$ is odd. □

Remark: According to the proof, the total number of α_* and β_* is l .

By using the above theorem, the symbol has following concise form. Here we prove the theorem using the structure theorem Proposition 4.

Proposition 5. [11] *The symbol of the partition $\lambda = m^{n_m} (m-1)^{n_{m-1}} \cdots 1^{n_1}$ in the B_n, C_n , and D_n theories has the following compact form*

$$(2.18) \quad \boxed{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_m \\ \beta_1 & \cdots & \beta_{m+t} \end{pmatrix}}$$

where $m = (l + (1 - (-1)^l)/2)/2$ and l is the length of the partition. $t = -1$ for the B_n theory, $t = 0$ for the C_n theory, and $t = 1$ for the D_n theory.

Proof.

- Let λ is a partition in the B_n theory.
 - (1) If both the second and third rows are odd, $l - L(3) + \lambda_{L(3)}$ is odd. According to the formula (2.4), the parts $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{L(2)}$ contribute one more α_* than β_* . Since the first row is odd, n_1 is even. The parts $\lambda_{L(2)+1} \cdots \lambda_{L(1)} = 1^{n_1}$ contribute equal number of α_* and β_* .
 - (2) If both the second and third rows are even, according to the formula (2.2), the parts $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{L(2)}$ contribute equal number of α_* and β_* . Since the first row is odd, n_1 is odd. We can decompose the parts $\lambda_{L(2)+1} \cdots \lambda_{L(1)} = 1^{n_1}$ into two parts $\lambda_{L(2)+1} \cdots \lambda_{L(1)-1} = 1^{n_1-1}$ and $\lambda_{L(1)} = 1$. The former one contribute equal number of α_* and β_* . The latter one corresponds to α_1 .

- Let λ is a partition in the C_n theory.
 - (1) If both the first two rows are odd, we append an extra 0 as the last part of the partition. The part $\lambda_{L(1)+1} = 0$ corresponds to $\beta_1 = 0$. $l-L(2)+\lambda_{L(2)}$ is odd. According to formula (2.4), the parts $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{L(2)}$ contribute equal number of α_* and β_* . Since the first row is even, n_1 is even. The parts $\lambda_{L(2)+1} \cdots \lambda_{L(1)} = 1^{n_1}$ contribute equal number of α_* and β_* . Since the part $\lambda_{L(1)+1} = 0$ corresponds to $\beta_1 = 0$, there are equal number of α_* and β_* .
 - (2) If both the first two rows are even, according to the formula (2.2), the parts $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{L(1)}$ contribute equal number of α_* and β_* .
- Let λ is a partition in the D_n theory. We append an extra 0 as the last part of partition. The part $\lambda_{L(1)+1} = 0$ corresponds to $\beta_1 = 0$.
 - (1) If both the second and third rows are odd, $l-L(3)+\lambda_{L(3)}$ is odd. According to the formula (2.4), the parts $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{L(2)}$ contribute one more α_* than β_* . Since the first row is even, n_1 is odd. We can decompose the parts $\lambda_{L(2)+1} \cdots \lambda_{L(1)} = 1^{n_1}$ into two parts $\lambda_{L(2)+1} \cdots \lambda_{L(1)-1} = 1^{n_1-1}$ and $\lambda_{L(1)} = 1$. The former one contribute equal number of α_* and β_* and the latter one corresponds to β_* . Since the part $\lambda_{L(1)+1} = 0$ corresponds to $\beta_1 = 0$, the total number of β_* is one more than that of α_* .
 - (2) If both the second and third rows are even, according to the formula (2.2), the parts $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{L(2)}$ contribute equal number of α_* and β_* . Since the first row is even, n_1 is even. The parts $\lambda_{L(2)+1} \cdots \lambda_{L(1)} = 1^{n_1}$ contribute equal number of α_* and β_* . Since the part $\lambda_{L(1)+1} = 0$ corresponds to $\beta_1 = 0$, the total number of β_* is one more than that of α_* .

□

3. CONSTRUCTION OF SYMBOL

Firstly, we introduce two formal operations of a partition, described as '**Rule A**' and '**Rule B**'. These formal operations can be used to derive the closed formulas of symbols in the B_n , C_n , and D_n theories. Let l be the length of the partition including the extra 0 appended according to Definition 1.

3.1. Building blocks of symbol. The contribution to symbol of the part λ_i can be seen as the sum of the contribution of each box of it formally. We decompose λ_i into several parts whose contribution to symbol are easy to compute.

Adding a column 2^1 to the part λ_i , we discuss what happen to the *corresponding* entry in the symbol.

- If $l-i+\lambda_i$ is even, we have

$$l-i+\lambda_i+2 = 2g_{i_a} + 2 = 2(g_{i_a} + 1) = 2g'_{i_a}.$$

Let g'_{i_a} be the notation g_i for the part $\lambda_i + 2^1$, which means $\beta'_{i_a} = \beta_{i_a} + 1$.

- If $l-i+\lambda_i$ is odd, we have

$$l-i+\beta_i+2 = 2f_{i_b} + 1 + 1 = 2(f_{i_b} + 1) + 1 = 2f'_{i_b}$$

which means $\alpha'_{i_b} = \alpha_{i_b} + 1$.

We can summary the above results as the following rule

Rule A: After adding two boxes to the part λ_i , the *corresponding* entry of the symbol increase by one.

Next, adding a row 1^2 to parts $\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1} (\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1})$, we discuss what happen to the corresponding entries of the symbol.

- If $l - i + \lambda_i$ is even, we have

$$l - i + \lambda_i = 2g_{i_a}, \quad l - (i + 1) + \lambda_{i+1} = 2f_{i_b} + 1.$$

The first term corresponds to β_{i_a} and the second one corresponds to α_{i_b} . Since $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1}$, we have

$$l - (i + 1) + \lambda_{i+1} = 2f_{i_b} + 1 = 2(g_{i_a} - 1) + 1$$

which means $f_{i_b} = g_{i_a} - 1$. After adding a row 1^2 to parts $\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1} (\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1})$, we have

$$l - i + \lambda_i + 1 = 2g_{i_a} + 1, \quad l - (i + 1) + \lambda_{i+1} + 1 = 2(f_{i_b} + 1).$$

Substituting $f_{i_b} = g_{i_a} - 1$ into the above identities, we obtain

$$l - i + \lambda_i + 1 = 2(f_{i_b} + 1) + 1, \quad l - (i + 1) + \lambda_{i+1} + 1 = 2g_{i_a}$$

which means $\beta'_{i_a} = \beta_{i_a}$ and $\alpha'_{i_b} = \alpha_{i_b} + 1$.

- If $l - i + \lambda_i$ is odd, similarly, we have

$$\beta'_{i_a} = \beta_{i_a} + 1, \quad \alpha'_{i_b} = \alpha_{i_b}.$$

We can summary the above results as the following rule.

Rule B: After adding a row 1^2 to the parts $\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1} (\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1})$ formally, the entry of the symbol corresponding to λ_{i+1} increase by one while the entry corresponding to λ_i do not change.

'**Rule A**' and '**Rule B**' are of prime importance. Using these rules, we prove formulas which are the building blocks of the closed formula of the symbol. After adding a row 1^{2m} to the parts $\lambda_i \cdots \lambda_{i+2m-1} (\lambda_i = \cdots = \lambda_{i+2m-1})$, we discuss what happen to the corresponding entries in the symbol.

- If $l - i + \lambda_i$ is odd, the sequence

$$(l - i + \lambda_i, l - i - 2 + \lambda_{i+2}, \cdots, l - i - 2m + 2 + \lambda_{i+2m-2})$$

correspond to entries $(\alpha_{i_a} \cdots \alpha_{i_{a+m-1}})$ from right to left in the top row of the symbol while

$$(l - i - 1 + \lambda_{i+1}, l - i - 3 + \lambda_{i+3}, \cdots, l - i - 2m + 1 + \lambda_{i+2m-1})$$

corresponds to $(\beta_{i_a} \cdots \beta_{i_{a+m-1}})$ from right to left in the bottom row of the symbol. By using '**Rule B**' m times, these $2m$ boxes contribute to symbol as follows

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^m & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_m & 0 \cdots 0 & \end{pmatrix}$$

- If $l - i + \lambda_i$ is even, the sequence

$$(l - i + \lambda_i, l - i - 2 + \lambda_{i+2}, \cdots, l - i - 2m + 2 + \lambda_{i+2m-2})$$

correspond to entries $(\beta_{i_a} \cdots \beta_{i_{a+m-1}})$ from right to left in the bottom row of symbol while

$$(l-i-1+\lambda_{i+1}, l-i-3+\lambda_{i+3}, \dots, l-i-2m+1+\lambda_{i+2m-1})$$

correspond to $(\alpha_{i_a} \cdots \alpha_{i_{a+m-1}})$ in the top row of symbol. After adding a row with 1^{2m} boxes, using '**Rule B**' m times, we find that these $2m$ boxes contribute to symbol as follows

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^m & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_m & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The formulas (3.1) and (3.2) are two extended versions of '**Rule B**'. Next we introduce another two formulas combing '**Rule A**' and '**Rule B**'. For the parts $\lambda_i, \dots, \lambda_{i+2m}$ ($\lambda_i = \dots = \lambda_{i+2m}$), we discuss what happen to the symbol after adding a partition 21^{2m} . We add the column 2 to λ_i firstly, and then add the row 1^{2m} to the parts $\lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_{i+2m-1}, \lambda_{i+2m}$.

- If $l-i+\lambda_i$ is odd, it must corresponds to a term α_{i_b} . After adding the column 2, using '**Rule A**', we have $\alpha'_{i_b} = \alpha_{i_b} + 1$.

The sequence

$$(l-i-2+\lambda_{i+2}, \dots, l-i-2m+\lambda_{i+2m})$$

corresponds to entries $(\alpha_{i_{b+1}}, \dots, \alpha_{i_{b+m}})$ from right to left in the top row of symbol while

$$(l-i-1+\lambda_i, l-i-3+\lambda_{i+3}, \dots, l-i-2m+1+\lambda_{i+2m-1})$$

corresponds to $(\beta_{i_a} \cdots \beta_{i_{a+m-1}})$ from right to left in the bottom row of symbol. After adding the row 1^{2m} , using '**Rule B**' m times, we have $(\alpha_{i_{b+1}} + 1, \dots, \alpha_{i_{b+m}} + 1)$. Thus, the partition 21^{2m} contribute to symbol as follows

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^{m+1} & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{m+1} & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- If $l-i+\lambda_i$ is even, it must corresponds to a term β_{i_a} . After adding the column 2, using '**Rule A**', we have $\beta'_{i_a} = \beta_{i_a} + 1$.

The sequence

$$(l-i-2+\lambda_{i+2}, \dots, l-i-2m+\lambda_{i+2m})$$

corresponds to entries $(\beta_{i_{a+1}}, \dots, \beta_{i_{a+m}})$ from right to left in the bottom row of symbol while

$$(l-i-1+\lambda_i, l-i-3+\lambda_{i+3}, \dots, l-i-2m+1+\lambda_{i+2m-1})$$

corresponds to $(\alpha_{i_b} \cdots \alpha_{i_{b+m-1}})$ in the top row of symbol. After adding the row 1^{2m} , using '**Rule B**' m times, we have $(\beta_{i_{a+1}} + 1, \dots, \beta_{i_{a+m}} + 1)$. Thus,

the partition 21^{2m} make a contribution to symbol as follows

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{m+1} & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{m+1} & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can summary above results as follows

Contributions of the parts added to $\lambda_i \cdots \lambda_{i+2m}$ or $\lambda_i \cdots \lambda_{i+2m+1}$			
Type	Parts	Parity of $l - i + \lambda_i$	Contribution to symbol
eo	1^{2m}	odd	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^m & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_m & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}$
ee	1^{2m}	even	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^m & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_m & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}$
oo	1^{2m+1}	odd	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^{m+1} & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{m+1} & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}$
oe	1^{2m+1}	even	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{m+1} & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{m+1} & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Now, we introduce another building block of the construction of the symbol. We discuss what happen to the symbol after adding a partition 2^2 to the parts $\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1} (\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1})$. We add the column 2 to λ_i , and then add the column 2 to λ_{i+1} . If the parts λ_i, λ_{i+1} corresponds to the entries $\alpha_{i_a}, \beta_{i_b}$ in the symbol, parts $\lambda_i+2, \lambda_{i+1}+2$ correspond to the entries α_a+1, β_b+1 by using '**Rule A**' twice. If the parts λ_i, λ_{i+1} corresponds to the entries $\beta_{i_a}, \alpha_{i_b}$ in the symbol, parts $\lambda_i+2, \lambda_{i+1}+2$ correspond to the entries $\beta_{i_a}+1, \alpha_{i_b}+1$ by using '**Rule B**' twice. In any case, the partition 2^2 contribute to symbol as follows

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 1 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 1 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In another way, we add the row 1^2 to λ_i, λ_{i+1} firstly, and then add the row 1^2 . By using '**Rule B**' twice, the contribution to symbol of 2^2 is consistent with the formula (3.5).

Next we introduce an extended version of the formula (3.5). We add a partition 2^{2r} to parts $\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1} (\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1})$. If the parts λ_i, λ_{i+1} corresponds to none zero entries in the symbol as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \cdots 0 & * & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \cdots 0 & * & 0 \cdots 0 & \end{pmatrix}$$

By using the formula (3.5) r times, the contribution to symbol of the partition 2^{2r} is

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \cdots & 0 & r & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \cdots 0 & r & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can generalize the above formula further. We add the partition $(2m)^{2r}$ to the parts $\lambda_i \cdots \lambda_{i+2m-1} (\lambda_i = \cdots = \lambda_{i+2m-1})$. If the parts $\lambda_i \cdots \lambda_{i+2m-1}$ corresponds to none zero entries in the symbol as follows


$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{* \cdots *}^m & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{* \cdots *}^m & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

by using the formula (3.5) r times, the contribution to symbol of the partition $(2m)^{2r}$ is


$$(3.7) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{r \cdots r}^m & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{r \cdots r}^m & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

3.2. Symbol of partitions in the B_n theory. First, we illustrate our strategy for the computation of symbol by an example.

Example: B_{72} , $\lambda = 9^4 8^2 7^3 6^4 5^4 4^2 3^4 2^{21} 1^4$, the Young tableau is




Since the number of rows in this partition is odd, according to Proposition 1, the last two row have the same parity. We can decompose the above Young tableaux into two parts formally: the first row and the rest parts of the partition



'+' indicate it is a formal addition. The symbol of λ is the sum of the contributions of these two parts. The first row can be seen as a partition in the B_n theory, whose contribution to symbol can be computed directly

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We decompose the second Young tableaux into blocks whose contributions to symbol can be computed by the formulas in the previous subsection. We have the following decomposition



- The Young tableaux YB_4 has a decomposition

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

By using the formula (3.7), the first Young tableaux on the right side contribute to symbol as follows

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By using the formula (3.3), the second one contribute to symbol as follows

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- The Young tableaux YB_5 has a decomposition

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array} = \emptyset + \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

By using the (3.3), the second one contribute to symbol as follows

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By adding the contributions of the five parts YB_1, YB_2, YB_3, YB_4 , and YB_5 , the symbol of the partition λ is

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is consistent with the result computed by Definition 1.

Now, we summary the general principles of the decomposition (3.9). The heights of the two rows of a pairwise patten in Proposition 1 are notated as $j, j + 1$ (Then j is even) .

- If j th and $j + 1$ th rows are even, we will get a partition as YB_2 after the decomposition. This partition can be decomposed into two partitions further. One is a row with width of n_j boxes. Since $l - L(j + 1) + \lambda_{L(j+1)}$ is even, by using the formula (3.1), its contribution to symbol is

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{n_j/2} & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{l(j+1)/2} & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $L(j) = \sum_{i=j}^m n_i$. And another part of the decomposition is a rectangle. The height of the rectangle is even, consisting of $j - 2$ boxes. And the width $W(j)$ depend on the parity of rows before this pairwise patten

$$W(j) = n_j + (n_{j-1} + b).$$

If the row before the pairwise patten is odd, $b = -1$ as shown in Fig.3, otherwise $b = 0$ 4. By using the formula (3.7), the contribution to symbol of this rectangle is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{(j-2)/2 \cdots (j-2)/2}^{W(j)} & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{(j-2)/2 \cdots (j-2)/2}_{W(j)} & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{l(j+1)/2} & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}$$




FIGURE 3. The $(j + 1)$ th, j th rows are even and in a pairwise pattern. The $(j - 1)$ th, $(j - 2)$ th rows are old and in a pairwise pattern. n_j is even and n_{j-1} is old. Then $W(j) = n_j + (n_{j-1} - 1)$.




FIGURE 4. The $(j + 1)$ th, j th rows are even and in a pairwise pattern. The $(j - 1)$ th, $(j - 2)$ th rows are even and in a pairwise pattern. n_j, n_{j-1} are even. Then $W(j) = n_j + n_{j-1}$.

- If these two rows is odd, we will get a partition as YB_3 after the decomposition. This partition can be decomposed into two partitions further. One is the partition 21^{n_j} . Since $l - (L(j + 1) + 1) + \lambda_{L(j+1)+1}$ is old, by using the formula (3.3), its contribution to symbol is

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \dots 0 & \overbrace{1 \dots 1}^{n_j/2+1} & \overbrace{0 \dots 0}^{(l(j+1)-1)/2} \\ 0 \dots 0 & 0 \dots 0 & 0 \dots 0 & 0 \dots 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

And the other part of the decomposition is rectangle. The height of the rectangle is even, consisting of $j - 2$ boxes. And the width $W(j)$ depend on the parities of rows before this pairwise patten

$$W(j) = (n_j + 1) + (n_{j-1} + b),$$

If the row before the pairwise patten is odd, $b = -1$ as shown in Fig.5, otherwise $b = 0$ as shown in Fig.6. By using the formula (3.7), the contribution

to symbol of this rectangle is

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{(j-2)/2 \cdots (j-2)/2}^{W(j)} & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{(j-2)/2 \cdots (j-2)/2}_{W(j)} & & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{(l(j+1)-1)/2} \end{array} \right).$$




FIGURE 5. The $j + 1$, j th rows are old and in a pairwise pattern. The $(j - 1)$ th, $(j - 2)$ th rows are old and in a pairwise pattern. n_j and n_{j-1} are even. Then $W(j) = (n_j + 1) + (n_{j-1} - 1)$.




FIGURE 6. The $(j + 1)$ th, j th rows are old and in a pairwise pattern. The $(j - 1)$ th, $(j - 2)$ th rows are even and in a pairwise pattern. n_j is even and n_{j-1} is old. Then $W(j) = (n_j + 1) + n_{j-1}$.

Let m be the number of rows of a partition. YB_1 can be seen as a special case with $n_{m+1} = 0$ and $n_{m+2} = 0$. And YB_5 can be seen as a special case with $j-2 = 0$. The parity of rows in the pairwise pattern is determined by $\Delta(j) = \frac{1-(-1)^{L(j)}}{2}$. If $\Delta(j) = 0$, the rows in a pairwise pattern are even, otherwise they are odd. Taking account of the contribution of the first row in the partition, we can summarize above results as the following closed formula of symbol.

Proposition 6. For a partition $\lambda = m^{n_m}(m-1)^{n_{m-1}} \cdots 1^{n_1}$ in the B_n theory, denoting $L(j) = \sum_{i=j}^m n_i$ and $\Delta(j) = \frac{1-(-1)^{L(j)}}{2}$, we introduce the following notations

$$W(j) = \frac{1}{2}((n_j + \Delta(j)) + (n_{j-1} - \Delta(j-1)))$$

and


$$Sp(j+1) = \frac{1}{2}(l(j+1) - \Delta(j+1)).$$

Then the symbol of λ is

$$\sigma_B(\lambda) = \binom{l(1)+1/2}{\underbrace{0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0}_{l(1)-1/2} \quad \underbrace{1 \ \dots \ 1}_{l(1)-1/2}} + \sum_{j=1}^{[(m+1)/2]} \left\{ \binom{W(j) \quad Sp(j+1)}{\underbrace{0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \quad (j-2)/2 \ \dots \ (j-2)/2}_{W(j)} \quad \underbrace{0 \ \dots \ 0}_{Sp(j+1)}} \right. \\ \left. (3.21) \binom{\Delta(j+1)\Delta W(j) \quad Sp(j+1)}{\underbrace{0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \quad 1 \ \dots \ 1}_{\Delta(j+1)\Delta W(j)} \quad \underbrace{0 \ \dots \ 0}_{Sp(j+1)}} + \binom{(1-\Delta(j+1))\Delta W(j) \quad Sp(j+1)}{\underbrace{0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \quad 0 \ \dots \ 0}_{(1-\Delta(j+1))\Delta W(j)} \quad \underbrace{0 \ \dots \ 0}_{Sp(j+1)}} \right\}$$

with $\Delta W(j) = \frac{1}{2}(n_j - \Delta(j))$.


Remark: The following partition has an even number of rows



According to Proposition 1, the last row is even. The proposition is also collect in the special case with $n_{m+1} = 0$.

3.3. Symbol of partitions in the C_n theory. We explain our strategy for computing symbol by an example.

Example: C_{58} , $\lambda = 8^4 7^2 6^3 5^4 4^4 3^4 2^4 1^2$, the Young tableaux is



We can decompose it into parts whose contribution to symbol can be computed by the formulas in subsection 3.1. The gray part of the Young tableau has the following decomposition.

$$(3.22) \quad \text{[Young diagram]} = \text{[Young diagram]} + \text{[Young diagram]}$$

According to Proposition 2, the longest two rows in a rigid C_n partition both contain either an even or an odd number of boxes. This pairwise pattern then continues. So we need not to discuss the contribution of the first row independent as in the B_n case. For the two rows in a pairwise patten in Proposition 2, we denote the heights as $j, j+1$ (Then j is odd). Note that we should append a extra 0 as the last part of the partition if the first row is odd which means $l \rightarrow l+1$. We can derive the formula of the contribution of each block taking the gray part as a example. Denoting $L(j) = \sum_{i=j}^m n_i$.

- If the two rows in the pairwise pattern is odd, we will get a partition as the Young tableaux in (3.22) or as shown in Fig.7. This partition can be further decomposed into two partitions. One is the partition 21^{n_j} (n_j is even). Since $l - (L(j+1) + 1) + \lambda_{L(j+1)+1}$ is odd, by using the formula (3.3), its contribution to symbol is

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^{n_j/2+1} & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{(l(j+1)-1)/2} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Another part of the decomposition is a rectangle. The height of the rectangle is $j - 1$ which is even. And the width $W(j)$ depend on the parity of rows before this pairwise pattern,

$$W(j) = (n_j + 1) + (n_{j-1} + b).$$

If the row before the pairwise pattern is odd, $b = -1$ as shown in Fig.7, otherwise $b = 0$ as shown in Fig.8. By using the formula (3.7), the contribution to symbol of this rectangle is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{(j-2)/2 \cdots (j-2)/2}^{W(j)} & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{(j-2)/2 \cdots (j-2)/2}^{W(j)} & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{(l(j+1)-1)/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$




FIGURE 7. The $j + 1, j$ th rows are old and in a pairwise pattern. The $(j - 1)$ th, $(j - 2)$ th rows are old and in a pairwise pattern. n_j and n_{j-1} are even. Then $W(j) = (n_j + 1) + (n_{j-1} - 1)$.

- If the two rows in the pairwise pattern is even, we will get a partition which can be further decomposed into two partitions as in the B_n theory. One is a single row with width of n_j boxes. Since $l - L(j+1) + \lambda_{L(j+1)}$ is even, by using the formula (3.1), its contribution to symbol is

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^{n_j/2} & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{l(j+1)/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Another one is a rectangle. The height of the rectangle is $j - 1$ which is even. And the width $W(j)$ depend on the parity of rows before and after




FIGURE 8. The $(j+1)$ th, j th rows are old and in a pairwise pattern. The $(j-1)$ th, $(j-2)$ th rows are even and in a pairwise pattern. n_j is even and n_{j-1} is odd. Then $W(j) = (n_j + 1) + n_{j-1}$.

this pairwise pattern

$$W(j) = n_j + (n_{j-1} + b).$$

If the row before the pairwise pattern is odd, Fig.9, otherwise $b = 0$ as shown in Fig.10. By using the formula (3.7), the contribution to symbol of this rectangle is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{(j-2)/2 \cdots (j-2)/2}_{W(j)} & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{(j-2)/2 \cdots (j-2)/2}_{W(j)} & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{l(j+1)/2} & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}$$




FIGURE 9. The $(j+1)$ th, j th rows are even and in a pairwise pattern. The $(j-1)$ th, $(j-2)$ th rows are old and in a pairwise pattern. n_j is even and n_{j-1} is odd. Then $W(j) = n_j + (n_{j-1} - 1)$.

The parity of the pairwise pattern is determined by $\Delta(j) = \frac{1-(-1)^{L(j)}}{2}$. If $\Delta(j) = 0$, the rows in the pairwise pattern are even otherwise they are odd. We can summarize the above results as the following closed formula of symbol.

Proposition 7. For a partition $\lambda = m^{n_m}(m-1)^{n_{m-1}} \cdots 1^{n_1}$ in the C_n theory, notating $L(j) = \sum_{i=j}^m n_i$ and $\Delta(j) = \frac{1-(-1)^{L(j)}}{2}$, we introduce the following notations

$$W(j) = \frac{1}{2}((n_j + \Delta(j)) + (n_{j-1} - \Delta(j-1)))$$




FIGURE 10. The $(j + 1)$ th, j th rows are even and in a pairwise pattern. The $(j - 1)$ th, $(j - 2)$ th rows are even and in a pairwise pattern. n_j, n_{j-1} are even. Then $W(j) = n_j + n_{j-1}$.

and

$$Sp(j + 1) = \frac{1}{2}(l(j + 1) - \Delta(j + 1)).$$

Then the symbol of the partition is

$$(3.25) \quad \sigma_C(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor (m+1)/2 \rfloor} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{(j-1)/2 \cdots (j-1)/2}_{W(j)} & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{Sp(j+1)} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{(j-1)/2 \cdots (j-1)/2}_{W(j)} & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{Sp(j+1)} \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ \left. + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{\Delta(j+1)\Delta W(j)} & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{Sp(j+1)} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{\Delta(j+1)\Delta W(j)} & \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{(1-\Delta(j+1))\Delta W(j)} & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{Sp(j+1)} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

with $\Delta W(j) = \frac{1}{2}(n_j - \Delta(j))$.

Remarks:

- Compared with the above formula, the first term in the formula (3.21) disappear. The reason is that the first row is in a pairwise patten in the C_n theory.
- Note that formula (3.23) is exact match with the formula (3.20) and the formula (3.24) is exact match with the formula (3.19), which will be discussed in the last section.

3.4. Symbol of partitions in the D_n theory. According to Proposition 3, the first row of a partition in the D_n theory is even. For the first step to compute the symbol, an extra 0 is appended as the last part of the partition. Then the length of the new partition is odd. The Young tableaux of the new partition can be seen as a Young tableaux in the B_n theory. According to Remark 2 of Definition 1, the remaining steps to compute symbol are all consistent with those in the B_n theory. So we can use the results in the B_n theory directly except the first row. The first row appended an extra 0 is different from that of a partition in the B_n theory.

However, we can calculate the contribution to symbol of the first row directly

$$\left(\overbrace{\begin{matrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{matrix}}^{l/2} \right)$$

where l is the length of the partition. From above discussions, the contributions of other parts of the partition are the same with those of the B_n theory. So we have

Proposition 8. *For a partition $\lambda = m^{n_m}(m-1)^{n_{m-1}} \cdots 1^{n_1}$ in the D_n theory, denoting $L(j) = \sum_{i=j}^m n_i$ and $\Delta(j) = \frac{1-(-1)^{L(j)}}{2}$, we introduce the following notations*

$$W(j) = \frac{1}{2}((n_j + \Delta(j)) + (n_{j-1} - \Delta(j-1)))$$

and

$$Sp(j+1) = \frac{1}{2}(L(j+1) - \Delta(j+1)).$$

Then the symbol of λ is

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_D(\lambda) = & \left(\overbrace{\begin{matrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{matrix}}^{l/2} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{[(m+1)/2]} \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{\begin{matrix} (j-2)/2 \cdots (j-2)/2 \\ (j-2)/2 \cdots (j-2)/2 \end{matrix}}^{W(j)} & \overbrace{\begin{matrix} 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 \end{matrix}}^{Sp(j+1)} \end{array} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \overbrace{\begin{matrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \end{matrix}}^{\Delta(j+1)\Delta W(j)} & \overbrace{\begin{matrix} 1 \cdots 1 \\ 0 \cdots 0 \end{matrix}}^{Sp(j+1)} & \overbrace{\begin{matrix} 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 \end{matrix}}^{\Delta(j+1)\Delta W(j)} \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{\begin{matrix} 0 \cdots 0 \\ 1 \cdots 1 \end{matrix}}^{(1-\Delta(j+1))\Delta W(j)} & \overbrace{\begin{matrix} 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 \end{matrix}}^{Sp(j+1)} \end{array} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

with $\Delta W(j) = \frac{1}{2}(n_j - \Delta(j))$.

4. DISCUSSIONS

In this section, a comparison of between the closed formula of symbol in this paper and the one proposed in [12] is made. The closed formula found in [12] is

Proposition 9 ([12]). *For a partition $\lambda = m^{n_m}(m-1)^{n_{m-1}} \cdots 1^{n_1}$, we introduce two notations*

$$\Delta_i^T = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=i}^m n_k + \frac{1+(-1)^{i+1}}{2} \right), \quad P_i^T = \frac{1+\pi_i}{2}$$

where the superscript T indicates it is related to the top row of the symbol and

$$\pi_i = (-1)^{\sum_{k=i}^m n_k} \cdot (-1)^{i+1+t},$$

for $B_n(t=-1)$, $C_n(t=0)$, and $D_n(t=1)$ theories. Other parallel notations

$$\Delta_i^B = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=i}^m n_k + \frac{1+(-1)^i}{2} \right), \quad P_i^B = \frac{1-\pi_i}{2}$$

where the superscript B indicates it is related to the bottom row of the symbol and P_i^B is a projection operator similar to P_i^T . Then the symbol $\sigma(\lambda)$ is

$$(4.1) \quad \sigma(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^{P_i^T \Delta_i^T} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{l+t} + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^{P_i^B \Delta_i^B} \end{pmatrix}}_l \right\}$$

with $l = (m + (1 - (-1)^m)/2)/2$.

In fact, this formula is equivalent to the computational rules of symbol in the following table [12]. We calculate the symbol of a rigid partition by summing the contribution of each row according to this table.

Contribution to symbol of the i th row			
Parity of row	Parity of $i + t + 1$	Contribution	L
odd	even	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 1 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^L \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{k=i}^m n_k + 1)$
even	odd	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 1 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^L \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{k=i}^m n_k)$
even	even	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 1 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^L \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{k=i}^m n_k)$
odd	odd	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0 \cdots 1 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^L \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{k=i}^m n_k - 1)$

We prove the equivalence of the old and new closed formulas of symbol.

Proposition 10. *For a partition in the B_n theory, the symbol given by the formula (3.21) is equal to the symbol given by the formula (4.1).*

Proof. First, we decompose a rigid partition into three parts: the first row, rows in a pairwise patten, and the last even row not in a pairwise patten. Then we calculate the contribution to symbol of each part using different closed formulas.

- For the first row, according to the computational rules in the above table, its contribution to symbol is the same with the first term of the closed formula (3.21).
- (1) For the two even rows in a pairwise patten with partition $2^{2b}1^{2c}$, according to the above table, its contribution to symbol is

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^b \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{b+c} & 1 \cdots 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next we compute the contribution of $2^{2b}1^{2c}$ using the new closed formula (3.21). We can decompose $2^{2b}1^{2c}$ into two parts 2^{2b} and 1^{2c} . For the parts 2^{2b} , using the formula (3.5) b times its contribute to symbol

is

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^b \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{b+c} & 1 \cdots 1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to the formula (3.19), the contribution of the parts 1^{2c} is

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^b \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{b+c} & 0 \cdots 0 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Combining the formulas (4.3) and (4.4), we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^b \\ 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{b+c} & 1 \cdots 1 & \end{pmatrix}$$

which is consistent with the formula (4.2).

- (2) For the two odd rows in a pairwise pattern with partition $2^{2b+1}1^{2c}$, according to the above table, its contribution to symbol is

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1 \ 1 \cdots 1}^{b+c+1} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{b+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next we compute the contribution of the parts $2^{2b+1}1^{2c}$ using the new closed formula (3.21). We can decompose $2^{2b+1}1^{2c}$ into two parts 2^{2b+1} and 1^{2c} . For the parts 2^{2b+1} , using the formula (3.5) $b+1$ times its contribution to symbol is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{0 \cdots 0 \ 1 \cdots 1}^{b+c+1} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{b+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to the formula (3.20), the contribution of the parts 1^{2c} is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1 \ 0 \cdots 0}^{b+c+1} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{b+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Combining the formulas (4.6) and (4.6), we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1 \ 1 \cdots 1}^{b+c+1} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{b+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

which is consistent with the formula (4.5).

- The last even row which is not in a pairwise pattern can be seen as a special case of a pairwise pattern. According to the above discussions, we get the same result by different closed formulas.

□

Remark: We can prove the theorem for the C_n and D_n theories similarly.

Although the old closed formula of symbol (4.1) is concise, the new one (3.21) is more essential, which is derived from two basic building blocks 'Rule A' and 'Rule B'. Using the new closed formula, we can prove the following proposition proposed in [12] neatly.

Proposition 11 ([12]). *The symbol of a partition in B_n, C_n , and D_n theories is*

$$\boxed{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_m \\ \beta_1 & \cdots & \beta_{m+t} \end{pmatrix}}$$

where $m = (l + (1 - (-1)^l)/2)/2$, l is the length of the partition. $t = -1$ for the B_n theory, $t = 0$ for the C_n theory, and $t = 1$ for the D_n theory. For a partition in the B_n theory or a partition with only odd rows in the C_n theory, we have $\alpha_i \leq \beta_{i+t}$. For a partition in D_n theory or a partition with only even rows in the C_n theory, we have $\alpha_i \geq \beta_{i+t}$.

Proof. We discuss the contributions to each term of the pair (α_i, β_{i+t}) by each term in the closed formula (3.21).

- For a partition in the B_n theory, the second term in the formula (3.21) contribute to α_i and β_{i+t} equality. The third and the fourth terms contribute to α_i or β_{i+t} at most one. While the first term contribute one to β_{i+t} . So we draw the conclusion.
- For a partition with even rows only in the C_n theory, the second term in the formula (3.25) will not appear. So we draw the conclusion. For a partition with only odd rows, the third term in the formula (3.25) will not appear. So we draw the conclusion.
- For a partition in the D_n theory, the second term in the formula (3.26) contribute to α_i and β_{i+t} equality. The third and the fourth terms contribute to β_{i+t} at most one. While the first term contribute to each α_i one. So we draw the conclusion.

□

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Ming Huang and Xiaobo Zhuang for many helpful discussions. This work of B.Shou was supported by a grant from the Postdoctoral Foundation of Zhejiang Province. The work of Qiao Wu has been supported by Key Research Center of Philosophy and Social Science of Zhejiang Province: Modern Port Service Industry and Creative Culture Research Center(15JDLG02YB).

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Shou, J.F. Wu and M. Yu, AGT conjecture and AFLT states: a complete construction, preprint 26pp, [arXiv:1107.4784](#) .
- [2] B. Shou, J.F. Wu and M. Yu, Construction of AFLT States by Reflection Method and Recursion Formula, *Communications in Theoretical Physics*, **61** (2014) 56–68
- [3] Z.S. Liu, B. Shou, J.F. Wu, Y.Y. Xu and M. Yu, Construction of AFLT States for $W_n \otimes \mathcal{H}$, Symmetry, Analytic Continuation and Integrability on AGT Relation, *Communications in Theoretical Physics*, **63** (2015) 487–498
- [4] N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, Seiberg-Witten theory and random partitions, *The Unity of Mathematics*, 525-596. [arXiv:hep-th/0306238](#).
- [5] D. H. Collingwood and W. M. McGovern, Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras. Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1993.
- [6] S. Gukov and E. Witten, Gauge theory, ramification, and the geometric Langlands program, [arXiv:hep-th/0612073](#).
- [7] E. Witten, Surface operators in gauge theory, *Fortsch. Phys.* **55** (2007) 545–550.
- [8] S. Gukov and E. Witten, Rigid surface operators, [arXiv:0804.1561](#).
- [9] B. Shou, *Solutions of Kapustin-Witten equations for ADE-type groups* , preprint, 26pp, [arXiv:1604.07172](#)
- [10] N. Wyllard, Rigid surface operators and S-duality: some proposals, [arXiv:0901.1833](#).
- [11] B. Shou, Symbol, Surface operators and S-duality, preprint 27pp, [arXiv:](#).
- [12] B. Shou, Symbol Invariant of Partition and the Construction, preprint 31pp, [arXiv:](#).
- [13] C. Montonen and D. I. Olive, Magnetic monopoles as gauge particles?, *Phys. Lett.* **B72** (1977) 117;
- [14] P. Goddard, J. Nuyts, and D. I. Olive, Gauge theories and magnetic charge, *Nucl. Phys.* **B125** (1977) 1.
- [15] P. C. Argyres, A. Kapustin, and N. Seiberg, On S-duality for non-simply-laced gauge groups, *JHEP* **06** (2006) 043, [arXiv:hep-th/0603048](#).
- [16] J. Gomis and S. Matsuura, Bubbling surface operators and S-duality, *JHEP* **06** (2007) 025, [arXiv:0704.1657](#) .
- [17] N. Drukker, J. Gomis, and S. Matsuura, Probing $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM with surface operators, *JHEP* **10** (2008) 048, [arXiv:0805.4199](#).
- [18] S. Gukov, *Surfaces Operators*, [arXiv:1412.7145](#).
- [19] M. Henningson and N. Wyllard, Low-energy spectrum of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills on T^3 : flat connections, bound states at threshold, and S-duality, *JHEP* **06** (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703172](#).
- [20] M. Henningson and N. Wyllard, Bound states in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM on T^3 : Spin(2n) and the exceptional groups, *JHEP* **07** (2007) 084, [arXiv:0706.2803](#).
- [21] M. Henningson and N. Wyllard, Zero-energy states of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM on T^3 : S-duality and the mapping class group, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 066, [arXiv:0802.0660](#).
- [22] N. Wyllard, A note on S-duality for the $\mathcal{N} = 1^*$ Sp(2n) and SO(2n+1) super-Yang-Mills theories, *JHEP* **06** (2007) 077 [hep-th/0703246](#).
- [23] N. Spaltenstein, Order relations on conjugacy classes and the Kazhdan-Lusztig map, *Math. Ann.* **292** (1992) 281.
- [24] G. Lusztig, Characters of reductive groups over a finite field, Princeton, 1984.

- [25] G. Lusztig, A class of irreducible representations of a Weyl group, *Indag.Math.*, 41(1979), 323-335.

* COLLEGE OF LOGISTICS AND E-COMMERCE, ZHEJIANG WANLI UNIVERSITY, No.8 SOUTH QIANHU ROAD, NINGBO 315100, P.R.CHINA

†CENTER OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310027, CHINA
E-mail address: † bsoul@zju.edu.cn, *10920005@zju.edu.cn