

# Positive Solutions of $p$ -th Yamabe Type Equations on Graphs

Xiaoxiao Zhang, Aijin Lin

## Abstract

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a finite connected weighted graph, and assume  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p \leq q$ . In this paper, we consider the following  $p$ -th Yamabe type equation

$$-\Delta_p u + hu^{q-1} = \lambda fu^{\alpha-1}.$$

on  $G$ , where  $\Delta_p$  is the  $p$ -th discrete graph Laplacian,  $h \leq 0$  and  $f > 0$  are real functions defined on all vertices of  $G$ . Instead of the approach in [12], we adopt a new approach, and prove that the above equation always has a positive solution  $u > 0$  for some constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, when  $q = p$  our result generalizes the main theorem in [12] from the case of  $\alpha \geq p > 1$  to the case of  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p$ . It's interesting that our new approach can also work in the case of  $\alpha \geq p > 1$ .

## 1 Introduction

As is known that, let  $(M^m, g)$  be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension  $m(\geq 3)$ , the Yamabe problem consists of finding metrics of constant scalar curvature in  $[g] = \{fg|f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}$ , the conformal class of  $g$ . A metric  $\bar{g} = f^{p_m-2}g$  conformal to  $g$  has constant scalar curvature  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  if and only if the positive function  $f$  satisfies the *Yamabe equation* corresponding to  $g$ :

$$-a_m \Delta_g f + s_g f = s f^{p_m-2},$$

where  $\Delta_g$  denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator corresponding to  $g$ , and  $s_g$  denotes the scalar curvature of  $g$ ,  $a_m = \frac{4(m-1)}{m-2}$ ,  $p_m = \frac{2m}{m-2}$  is the Sobolev critical exponent.

In the case of closed manifolds it was proved that at least one solution exists following a program introduced by H. Yamabe in [20]. Solutions of the Yamabe equations are

---

The first author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11431003). The second author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11401578).

critical points of the Hilbert-Einstein function  $S$  on the space of Riemannian metrics on  $M$  restricted to  $[g]$ , the conformal class of  $g$ ,

$$S(g) = \frac{\int_M s_g d\mu_g}{Vol(M, g)^{2/p_m}}.$$

This problem was also studied by Trudinger [18], Aubin [1], and completely solved by Schoen [17].

Recently, there are tremendous work concerning the discrete weighted Laplacians and various equations on graphs, among those we refer readers to [2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19]. Particularly, there have been some works on dealing with Yamabe type equations on graphs, on which please refer to [8, 9, 12, 13]. Grigor'yan, Lin and Yang [9] first studied a Yamabe type equation on a finite graph as follows

$$-\Delta u + hu = |u|^{\alpha-2}u, \quad \alpha > 2, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Delta$  is a usual discrete graph Laplacian, and  $h$  is a positive function defined on the vertices. They show that the equation (1.1) always has a positive solution. Inspired by their work, Ge [12] studied a Yamabe type equation on a finite graph, that is

$$-\Delta_p u + hu^{p-1} = \lambda fu^{\alpha-1}. \quad (1.2)$$

We state the main result in [12] as follows

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a finite connected graph. Given  $h, f \in C(V)$  with  $h \leq 0, f > 0$ . Assume  $\alpha \geq p > 1$ . Then the following  $p$ -th Yamabe equation

$$-\Delta_p u + hu^{p-1} = \lambda fu^{\alpha-1}$$

on  $G$  always has a positive solution  $u$  for some constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

From this result, one naturally wants to know:

**Problem I:** Can one solve the  $p$ -th Yamabe equation (1.2) for  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p$ ?

To answer this question is our main purpose of this paper.

**Remark 1.** Ge and Jiang [13] also studied a following Yamabe type equation

$$-\Delta_p u + hu^{p-1} = gu^{\alpha-1}, \quad u > 0,$$

on an infinite graph. The main result in [13] is as follows

**Theorem 1.2.** Consider the  $p$ -th Yamabe equation (1.2) on a connected, infinite and locally finite graph  $G$  with  $\alpha > p \geq 2$ . Assume  $g \geq 0$  and  $g$  is bounded from above,  $h$  satisfies  $\inf_{x \in V} h(x) > 0$  and  $\inf_{x \in V} h(x)\mu(x) > 0$ . Further assume  $h^{-1} \in L^\delta(V)$  for some  $\delta > 0$  (or  $h(x) \rightarrow \infty$  when  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ), then (1.2) has a positive solution.

From this result one still needs to know: Can one solve this  $p$ -th Yamabe equation (1.2) under the assumption  $2 < \alpha \leq p$ ?

We will solve this problem in our another paper [21].

Next note that Grigor'yan, Lin and Yang's pioneer paper [9] also studied a similar Yamabe type equation as follows

$$-\Delta_p u + h|u|^{p-2}u = f(x, u), \quad p > 1, \quad (1.3)$$

on a finite graph under the assumption  $h > 0$ . They show that the equation (1.3) always has a positive solution under certain assumptions about  $f(x, u)$ . It is remarkable that their  $\Delta_p$  considered in the equation (1.3) is different from ours when  $p \neq 2$ .

If we replace the  $p$ -th Laplacian  $\Delta_p$  in equation (1.2) with  $\Delta_p$  in equation (1.3), then we can ask

**Problem II:** Do positive solutions of the  $p$ -th Yamabe equation (1.2) still exist?

In this paper we attempt to solve both problems above on a finite graph. The answers turn out be interesting. In fact, we can prove that the equation (1.2) actually has a positive solution  $u > 0$  in the case of  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p$ . Note that Ge's approach in [12] can't succeed in solving Problem I any more, so we have to adopt a new approach. Let's outline our approach to solution of Problem I.

First, we study the following Yamabe type equation

$$-\Delta_p u + \mu h u^{q-1} = \lambda f u^{\alpha-1},$$

in the case of  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p \leq q$ .

Note that in this equation we add a new constant  $\mu$  and extend exponents of the second term from  $p$  to any integer  $q$  satisfying  $q \geq p$ . In order to study the equation above, we have to make a transformation as

$$-\Delta_p u - \lambda f u^{\alpha-1} = -\mu h u^{q-1}.$$

Otherwise our derivation can't go on. Then by using the constrained minimization technique, we can prove that, for any constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , the equation above actually has a positive solution  $\hat{u} > 0$  at least for some constant  $\mu = \mu(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Then, set  $\tilde{\lambda} < 0$ , we can construct a positive function  $u = u(\hat{u}, \tilde{\lambda})$  depending on  $\hat{u}, \tilde{\lambda}$  by using the scaling technique. In fact, we can prove that this function  $u = u(\hat{u}, \tilde{\lambda})$  is exactly a positive solution of the Yamabe type equation

$$-\Delta_p u + hu^{q-1} = \lambda fu^{\alpha-1}.$$

for some constant  $\lambda = \lambda(\hat{u}, \tilde{\lambda})$ .

Note that here the constant  $\mu$  added in previous equation disappear at all, which highly depends on the condition  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p \leq q$ .

Finally take  $q = p$ , we completely solve Problem I.

The key point of our approach is the assumption:  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p \leq q$ . In fact, our approach is still successful in the case of  $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \alpha$ , where taking  $q = p$  particularly, we can get the main theorem in [12]. In this respect, we find that our approach is more generic.

As for Problem II, we observe that the difference between the two  $p$ -th Laplacian  $\Delta_p$  in equation (1.2) and in equation (1.3) is not essential, therefore we can similarly prove that equation (1.2) actually has a positive solution.

We organize this paper as follows: In section 2, we introduce some notions on graphs and state our main results. In section 3, we give Sobolev imbedding. Section 4 is devoted to prove an important theorem which is key to solve both problems above. In section 5, we completely solve Problem I and Problem II.

## 2 Settings and main results

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a finite graph, where  $V$  denotes the vertex set and  $E$  denotes the edge set. Fix a vertex measure  $\mu : V \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  and an edge measure  $\omega : E \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  on  $G$ . The edge measure  $\omega$  is assumed to be symmetric, that is,  $\omega_{ij} = \omega_{ji}$  for each edge  $i \sim j$ .

Denote  $C(V)$  as the set of all real functions defined on  $V$ , then  $C(V)$  is a finite dimensional linear space with the usual function additions and scalar multiplications. For any  $p > 1$ , the  $p$ -th discrete graph Laplacian  $\Delta_p : C(V) \rightarrow C(V)$  is

$$\Delta_p f_i = \frac{1}{\mu_i} \sum_{j \sim i} \omega_{ij} |f_j - f_i|^{p-2} (f_j - f_i)$$

for any  $f \in C(V)$  and  $i \in V$ .  $\Delta_p$  is a nonlinear operator when  $p \neq 2$  (see [12] for more properties about  $\Delta_p$ ). Now we can state an important theorem as follows

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected finite graph. Given  $h, f \in C(V)$  with  $h \leq 0, f > 0$ . Assume  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p \leq q$ . Then for any constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , the following  $p$ -th Yamabe equation

$$-\Delta_p u + \mu hu^{q-1} = \lambda fu^{\alpha-1} \tag{2.1}$$

on  $G$  always has a positive solution  $u > 0$  for some constant  $\mu = \mu(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

If we want to get rid off  $\mu$ , then we have

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected finite graph. Given  $h, f \in C(V)$  with  $h \leq 0, f > 0$ . Assume  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p \leq q$ . Then the following  $p$ -th Yamabe equation

$$-\Delta_p u + hu^{q-1} = \lambda fu^{\alpha-1} \quad (2.2)$$

on  $G$  always has a positive solution  $u > 0$  for some constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

This theorem actually generalizes the Problem I and gives a positive answer. As a corollary, let's take  $q = p$ , we get the following

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected finite graph. Given  $h, f \in C(V)$  with  $h \leq 0, f > 0$ . Assume  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p$ . Then the following  $p$ -th Yamabe equation

$$-\Delta_p u + hu^{p-1} = \lambda fu^{\alpha-1}$$

on  $G$  always has a positive solution  $u > 0$  for some constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

This corollary completely solves Problem I. Further, combining this corollary with Theorem 1.1, we have

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected finite graph. Given  $h, f \in C(V)$  with  $h \leq 0, f > 0$ . Assume  $p > 1, \alpha \geq 1$ . Then the following  $p$ -th Yamabe equation

$$-\Delta_p u + hu^{p-1} = \lambda fu^{\alpha-1}$$

on  $G$  always has a positive solution  $u$  for some constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Next we consider the  $p$ -th Laplacian  $\Delta_p$  (please refer to [9]) in equation (1.3) which is defined in distributional sense by

$$\int_V (\Delta_p u) \phi d\mu = - \int_V |\nabla u|^{p-2} \Gamma(u, \phi) d\mu, \forall \phi \in C_c(V), \quad (2.3)$$

where  $C_c(V)$  denotes the set of all functions with compact support, obviously  $C_c(V) = C(V)$  when  $G$  is a finite graph.  $\Gamma(u, \phi), |\nabla u|$  are defined respectively by

$$\Gamma(u, \phi)_i = \frac{1}{2\mu_i} \sum_{j \sim i} \omega_{ij} (u_j - u_i) (\phi_j - \phi_i), \forall i \in V, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$|\nabla u|_i = \sqrt{\Gamma(u, u)_i}, \forall i \in V. \quad (2.5)$$

Point-wisely,  $\Delta_p$  can be written as

$$\Delta_p u_i = \frac{1}{2\mu_i} \sum_{j \sim i} (|\nabla u|_j^{p-2} + |\nabla u|_i^{p-2}) \omega_{ij} (u_j - u_i), \forall i \in V. \quad (2.6)$$

Applying this  $p$ -th Laplacian  $\Delta_p$  we can study the  $p$ -th Yamabe equation

$$-\Delta_p u + hu^{p-1} = \lambda fu^{\alpha-1}$$

again and we obtain

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected finite graph. Given  $h, f \in C(V)$  with  $h \leq 0, f > 0$ . Assume  $p > 1, \alpha \geq 1$ . Then the following  $p$ -th Yamabe equation

$$-\Delta_p u + hu^{p-1} = \lambda fu^{\alpha-1}$$

on  $G$  always has a positive solution  $u > 0$  for some constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

### 3 Sobolev embedding

For any  $f \in C(V)$ , define the integral of  $f$  over  $V$  with respect to the vertex weight  $\mu$  by

$$\int_V f d\mu = \sum_{i \in V} \mu_i f_i.$$

Set  $\text{Vol}(G) = \int_V d\mu$ .

For any  $f \in C(V)$ , define

$$\int_V |\nabla f|^p d\mu = \sum_{i \sim j} \omega_{ij} |f_j - f_i|^p, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $|\nabla f|$  is defined as

$$|\nabla f| = \left( \frac{1}{2\mu_i} \sum_{j \sim i} \omega_{ij} |f_j - f_i|^p \right)^{1/p}, \forall i \in V. \quad (3.2)$$

Next we consider the Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}$  on the graph  $G$ . Define

$$W^{1,p}(G) = \left\{ u \in C(V) : \int_V |\nabla u|^p d\mu + \int_V |u|^p d\mu < +\infty \right\},$$

and

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(G)} = \left( \int_V |\nabla u|^p d\mu + \int_V |u|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Since  $G$  is a finite graph, then  $W^{1,p}(G)$  is exactly  $C(V)$ , a finite dimensional linear space. This implies the following Sobolev embedding [12]

**Lemma 3.1.** (Sobolev embedding) Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a finite graph. The Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(G)$  is pre-compact. Namely, if  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is bounded in  $W^{1,p}(G)$ , then there exists some  $\varphi \in W^{1,p}(G)$  such that up to a subsequence,  $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$  in  $W^{1,p}(G)$ .

**Remark 2.** The convergence in  $W^{1,p}(G)$  is in fact pointwise convergence.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section we focus on proving Theorem 2.1. Frist we consider a constrained minimization problem for the following energy functional

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_V |\nabla u|^p d\mu - \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} \int_V f u^\alpha d\mu, \quad (4.1)$$

on the space  $C(V)$ , restricted to the subset

$$M = \{u \in C(V) : \frac{1}{q} \int_V h u^q d\mu = -1\}$$

Define

$$\beta = \inf_{u \in M} \{E(u) : u \geq 0, u \neq 0\}.$$

We will find a positive solution of the equation (2.1) step by step as follows.

**Step 1.**  $E(u)$  is bounded below.

Let's first prove the following two lemmas

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected finite graph. Given  $h, f \in C(V)$  with  $h \leq 0$ . Assume  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p \leq q$ ,  $\forall u \in M, u \geq 0$ , then we have the following inequalities

$$\|u\|_q^q \leq \frac{q}{(-h)_m}, \|u\|_q^\alpha \leq \left[\frac{q}{(-h)_m}\right]^{\frac{\alpha}{q}} \quad (4.2)$$

where  $(-h)_m = \min_{i \in V}(-h_i)$ .

**Proof.**  $\forall u \in M, u \geq 0$  and  $h \leq 0$ , we have

$$q = - \int_V h u^q d\mu \geq (-h)_m \int_V u^q d\mu = (-h)_m \|u\|_q^q.$$

Hence

$$\|u\|_q^q \leq \frac{q}{(-h)_m}, \|u\|_q^\alpha \leq \left[\frac{q}{(-h)_m}\right]^{\frac{\alpha}{q}}.$$

□

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected finite graph. Given  $f \in C(V)$  with  $f > 0$ . Assume  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p \leq q$ ,  $\forall u \in C(V), u \geq 0$ . Then we have the following inequality

$$0 \leq \int_V f u^\alpha d\mu \leq f_M \text{Vol}(G)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{q}} \|u\|_q^\alpha \quad (4.3)$$

where  $f_M = \max_{i \in V}(f_i)$ , and  $\text{Vol}(G) = \int_V d\mu$ .

**Proof.**  $\forall u \in C(V), u \geq 0$  and  $f > 0$ , by Hölder inequality we have

$$\int_V f u^\alpha d\mu \leq f_M \|1 \cdot u^\alpha\|_1 \leq f_M \|1\|_{\frac{q}{q-\alpha}} \|u^\alpha\|_{\frac{q}{\alpha}} = f_M \text{Vol}(G)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{q}} \|u\|_q^\alpha.$$

□

Now we can prove that the energy functional  $E(u)$  is bounded below for all  $u \geq 0$ ,  $u \neq 0$ . Hence  $\beta = \inf_{u \in M} \{E(u) : u \geq 0, u \neq 0\}$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected finite graph. Given  $h, f \in C(V)$  with  $h \leq 0$ ,  $f > 0$ . Assume  $1 \leq \alpha < p$ . Then for  $\forall u \in M, u \geq 0$ , then the energy functional  $E(u)$  is bounded below by a constant, namely we have the following inequality

$$E(u) \geq C_{\lambda, \alpha, q, h, f, G}, \quad (4.4)$$

where  $C_{\alpha, q, h, f, G} := -\frac{|\lambda|}{\alpha} f_M \left[\frac{q}{(-h)_m}\right]^{\frac{\alpha}{q}} \text{Vol}(G)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{q}} \leq 0$  is a constant depending only on the information of  $\lambda, \alpha, q, h, f, G$ .

**Proof.** Combining the two lemmas above, we have

$$\int_V f u^\alpha d\mu \leq f_M \text{Vol}(G)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{q}} \|u\|_q^\alpha \leq f_M \text{Vol}(G)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{q}} \left[\frac{q}{(-h)_m}\right]^{\frac{\alpha}{q}}.$$

Hence

$$E(u) \geq -\frac{\lambda}{\alpha} \int_V f u^\alpha d\mu \geq -\frac{|\lambda|}{\alpha} f_M \left[\frac{q}{(-h)_m}\right]^{\frac{\alpha}{q}} \text{Vol}(G)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{q}}.$$

□

**Step 2.** There exists a  $\hat{u} \geq 0, \hat{u} \neq 0$  such that  $\beta = E(\hat{u})$ .

To find such  $\hat{u}$ , we choose  $u_n \in M, u_n \geq 0$ , satisfying

$$E(u_n) \rightarrow \beta$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\int_V h u_n^q d\mu = -q.$$

Further we can assume  $E(u_n) \leq 1 + \beta$  for all  $n$ , then we have



**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected finite graph. Given  $h, f \in C(V)$  with  $h \leq 0, f > 0$ . Assume  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p \leq q$ . Let  $u_n$  satisfy the above conditions, then  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $W^{1,p}(G)$ . In fact, we have the following estimate

$$\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(G)} \leq C_{\lambda,\alpha,\beta,p,q,h,f,G}$$

where  $C_{\lambda,\alpha,\beta,p,q,h,f,G} := p(1 + \beta) + \frac{p|\lambda|f_M}{\alpha} [\frac{q}{(-h)_m}]^{\frac{\alpha}{q}} \text{Vol}(G)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{q}} + [\frac{q}{(-h)_m}]^{\frac{p}{q}} \text{Vol}(G)^{1-\frac{p}{q}}$  is a constant depending only on the information of  $\lambda, \alpha, \beta, p, q, h, f, G$ .

**Proof.** Observe that the Sobolev norm  $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}(G)}$  is related to the energy functional  $E(u)$ , then by (4.2) and (4.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(G)} &= \int_V |\nabla u_n|^p d\mu + \int_V |u_n|^p d\mu \\ &= p \left( \frac{1}{p} \int_V |\nabla u|^p d\mu - \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} \int_V f u^\alpha d\mu \right) + \frac{p\lambda}{\alpha} \int_V f u^\alpha d\mu + \int_V |u_n|^p d\mu \\ &\leq pE(u_n) + \frac{p\lambda}{\alpha} \int_V f u^\alpha d\mu + \|u_n\|_q^p \text{Vol}(G)^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \\ &\leq p(1 + \beta) + \frac{p|\lambda|f_M}{\alpha} [\frac{q}{(-h)_m}]^{\frac{\alpha}{q}} \text{Vol}(G)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{q}} + [\frac{q}{(-h)_m}]^{\frac{p}{q}} \text{Vol}(G)^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \\ &= C_{\lambda,\alpha,\beta,p,q,h,f,G}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Therefore  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $W^{1,p}(G)$ . Then by Lemma 3.1, there exists some  $\hat{u} \in W^{1,p}(G) = C(V)$  such that up to a subsequence,  $u_n \rightarrow \hat{u}$  in  $W^{1,p}(G)$ . We may also denote this subsequence as  $u_n$ . Note  $u_n \geq 0$  and  $\int_V h u_n^q d\mu = -q$ , let  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we know  $\hat{u} \geq 0$  and

$$\int_V h \hat{u}^q d\mu = -q \neq 0,$$

hence  $\hat{u} \in M$ . This also implies that  $\hat{u} \not\equiv 0$ . Since  $G$  is finite graph, by Remark 2 the convergence in the Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(G)$  is in fact pointwise convergence. Moreover, because  $G$  is a finite graph, so the energy functional  $E(u)$  is actually continuous, which yields that  $E(u)$  attains its infimum exactly at the point  $\hat{u} \in M$ . Therefore we have

$$\beta = E(\hat{u}).$$

**Step 3.**  $\hat{u} \geq 0, \hat{u} \not\equiv 0$  is exactly a nontrivial solution of the Yamabe type equation (2.1)

Recall the constrained minimization problem for the following energy functional

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_V |\nabla u|^p d\mu - \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} \int_V f u^\alpha d\mu,$$

on the space  $C(V)$ , restricted to the subset

$$M = \{u \in C(V) : \frac{1}{q} \int_V h u^q d\mu = -1\}.$$

Now we derive the Euler-Lagrange equations by the Lagrange multiplier rule. First set

$$G(u) = \frac{1}{q} \int_V h u^q d\mu + 1.$$

It is easy to see that both  $E(u)$  and  $G(u)$  are continuously Fréchet differentiable, and the Fréchet derivatives  $DE(u), DG(u)$  are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, DE(u) \rangle &= \int_V (|\nabla u|^{p-1} \nabla v - \lambda f u^{\alpha-1} v) d\mu \\ &= \int_V (-\Delta_p u - \lambda f u^{\alpha-1}) v d\mu, \forall v \in C(V), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle v, DG(u) \rangle = \int_V h u^{q-1} v d\mu, \forall v \in C(V).$$

Then let

$$\Phi(t, \mu) = E(u + tv) + \mu G(u + tv), \forall v \in C(V),$$

by direct calculation we have

$$\frac{d\Phi(t, \mu)}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} = \langle v, DE(u) + \mu DG(u) \rangle = \int_V (-\Delta_p u - \lambda f u^{\alpha-1} + \mu h u^{q-1}) v d\mu.$$

Therefore if  $u$  is a critical point with regard to the above constrained minimization problem, then  $u$  satisfies the following Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\int_V (-\Delta_p u - \lambda f u^{\alpha-1} + \mu h u^{q-1}) v d\mu = 0, \forall v \in C(V).$$

In particular, the infimum point  $\hat{u}$  satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\int_V (-\Delta_p \hat{u} - \lambda f \hat{u}^{\alpha-1} + \mu h \hat{u}^{q-1}) v d\mu = 0, \forall v \in C(V). \quad (4.5)$$

This implies  $\hat{u} \geq 0$  is a nontrivial solution of the Yamabe type equation (2.1)

$$-\Delta_p \hat{u} - \lambda f \hat{u}^{\alpha-1} + \mu h \hat{u}^{q-1} = 0.$$

Moreover, we can deduce the formula of  $\mu$ . Inserting  $v = \hat{u}$  into the equality (4.5) yields that

$$\int_V (|\nabla \hat{u}|^p - \lambda f \hat{u}^\alpha + \mu h \hat{u}^q) d\mu = \int_V (|\nabla \hat{u}|^p - \lambda f \hat{u}^\alpha) d\mu - \mu q = 0.$$

thus  $\mu$  can be given by

$$\mu = \mu(\lambda, \hat{u}) = \frac{1}{q} \int_V (|\nabla \hat{u}|^p - \lambda f \hat{u}^\alpha) d\mu. \quad (4.6)$$

**Step 4.**  $\hat{u} > 0$ .

Recall that

$$\Phi = \Phi(t, \mu) = E(u + tv) + \mu G(u + tv),$$

then by direct calculation, we have

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial u_i} = \mu_i (-\Delta_p u_i - \lambda f_i u_i^{\alpha-1} + \mu h u_i^{q-1}). \quad (4.7)$$

Note the graph  $G$  is connected, if  $\hat{u} > 0$  is not satisfied, since  $\hat{u} \geq 0$  and not identically zero, then there is an edge  $i \sim j$ , such that  $\hat{u}_i = 0$ , but  $\hat{u}_j > 0$ . Then by the definition of the  $p$ -th Laplacian  $\Delta_p$  in section 2 we have

$$-\Delta_p \hat{u}_i = -\frac{1}{\mu_i} \sum_{k \sim i} \omega_{ik} |\hat{u}_k - \hat{u}_i|^{p-2} (\hat{u}_k - \hat{u}_i) < 0.$$

Therefore by (4.7), we have

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial u_i} \Big|_{u=\hat{u}} = \mu_i (-\Delta_p \hat{u}_i) < 0.$$

Recall we had proved that  $\hat{u}$  is the minimum value of  $\Phi$ , hence there should be

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial u_i} \Big|_{u=\hat{u}} \geq 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $\hat{u} > 0$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

## 5 Proofs of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.5

### 5.1 Proofs of Theorem 2.2

Now we can prove Theorem 2.2 by Theorem 2.1.

First choose any negative constant  $\tilde{\lambda} < 0$ , and assume that  $h, f \in C(V)$  with  $h \leq 0$ ,  $f > 0$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p \leq q$ , then consider the following  $p$ -th Yamabe equation

$$-\Delta_p u + \mu h u^{q-1} = \tilde{\lambda} f u^{\alpha-1}. \quad (5.1)$$

By Theorem 2.1, we know that this equation on  $G$  always has a positive solution  $\hat{u} > 0$  for some constant  $\mu = \mu(\tilde{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Equivalently, the solution  $\hat{u} > 0$  satisfies

$$\int_V (-\Delta_p \hat{u} - \tilde{\lambda} f \hat{u}^{\alpha-1} + \mu h \hat{u}^{q-1}) v d\mu = 0, \forall v \in C(V). \quad (5.2)$$

Next using this positive solution  $\hat{u} > 0$ , we will construct a positive solution

$$u = u(\hat{u}, \mu) > 0$$

of the the  $p$ -th Yamabe equation

$$\int_V (-\Delta_p u - \lambda f u^{\alpha-1} + h u^{q-1}) v d\mu = 0, \forall v \in C(V). \quad (5.3)$$

Recall that  $\tilde{\lambda} < 0$ ,  $f > 0$ ,  $\hat{u} > 0$ , hence by the formula (4.6) we have

$$\mu = \frac{1}{q} \int_V (|\nabla \hat{u}|^p - \tilde{\lambda} f \hat{u}^\alpha) d\mu > 0.$$

then scaling with a suitable power of  $\mu$ , we set

$$u(s) = \mu^s \hat{u},$$

thus  $\hat{u} = \mu^{-s} u(s)$ , substitute it into the equation (5.2)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_V (-\Delta_p \hat{u} - \tilde{\lambda} f \hat{u}^{\alpha-1} + \mu h \hat{u}^{q-1}) v d\mu \\ &= \int_V (|\nabla \hat{u}|^{p-1} \nabla v - \tilde{\lambda} f \hat{u}^{\alpha-1} v + \mu h \hat{u}^{q-1} v) d\mu \\ &= \int_V (\mu^{-s(p-1)} |\nabla u(s)|^{p-1} \nabla v - \mu^{-s(\alpha-1)} \tilde{\lambda} f u(s)^{\alpha-1} v + \mu^{1-s(q-1)} h u(s)^{q-1} v) d\mu \\ &= \mu^{-s(p-1)} \int_V (-\Delta_p u(s) - \mu^{-s(\alpha-p)} \tilde{\lambda} f u(s)^{\alpha-1} + \mu^{1-s(q-p)} h u(s)^{q-1}) v d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $1 - s(q-p) = 0$ , thus  $s = \frac{1}{q-p}$ . Then set

$$u = u(\hat{u}, \mu) = u(s)|_{s=\frac{1}{q-p}} = \mu^{\frac{1}{q-p}} \hat{u}, \lambda = \lambda(\tilde{\lambda}, \mu) = \mu^{-s(\alpha-p)}|_{s=\frac{1}{q-p}} \tilde{\lambda} = \mu^{\frac{p-\alpha}{q-p}} \tilde{\lambda}, .$$

By the equality above we obtain that  $\forall v \in C(V)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_V (-\Delta_p u - \lambda f u^{\alpha-1} + h u^{q-1}) v d\mu \\ &= \mu^{\frac{p-1}{q-p}} \int_V (-\Delta_p \hat{u} - \tilde{\lambda} f \hat{u}^{\alpha-1} + \mu h \hat{u}^{q-1}) v d\mu \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$-\Delta_p u + h u^{q-1} = \lambda f u^{\alpha-1}.$$

Finally, by  $\hat{u} > 0, \mu > 0$  we conclude that  $u = \mu^{\frac{1}{q-p}} \hat{u} > 0$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

## 5.2 Proofs of theorem 2.5

To prove Theorem 2.5, first we observe that the unique difference between Problem I and Problem II is the definition of  $\Delta_p$ . Therefore the proof in this case is totally similar. We only sketch the idea of the proof here.

First, we define the Sobolev form  $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}}$  and the integration  $F(u) = \int_V |\nabla u|^p d\mu$  in energy functional by using definitions (2.4) and (2.5)

$$|\nabla u|_i = \sqrt{\Gamma(u, u)_i}, \Gamma(u, \phi)_i = \frac{1}{2\mu_i} \sum_{j \sim i} \omega_{ij} (u_j - u_i)(\phi_j - \phi_i), \forall i \in V. \quad (5.4)$$

Then we can use this  $|\nabla u|$  to define energy functional as follows. For  $\alpha \geq p$ , we can define energy functional similarly as in [12]

$$I(u) = \left( \int_V |\nabla u|^p d\mu - \int_V h u^p d\mu \right) \left( \int_V f u^\alpha d\mu \right)^{-\frac{p}{\alpha}}, \quad (5.5)$$

and for  $\alpha \leq p$ , our energy functional (4.1) is similarly defined by

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_V |\nabla u|^p d\mu - \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} \int_V f u^\alpha d\mu,$$

on the space  $C(V)$ , also restricted to the subset

$$M = \{u \in C(V) : \frac{1}{q} \int_V h u^q d\mu = -1\}.$$

Note that  $F(u) = \int_V |\nabla u|^p d\mu$  in definitions of two energy functionals above is continuously Fréchet differentiable. By the proof of Theorem 5 in [9], the Fréchet derivatives  $DF(u)$  is given by

$$\langle v, DF(u) \rangle = \int_V (-\Delta_p u) v d\mu, \forall v \in C(V), \quad (5.6)$$

where  $\Delta_p$  is exactly the  $p$ -th Laplacian  $\Delta_p$  in (2.6).

This equality is key to guarantee that all of proofs in this paper and in [12] can be generalized in this case. This can be checked explicitly for two cases  $\alpha \geq p$ ,  $\alpha \leq p$  step by step.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements:** The first author would like to thank Professor Yanxun Chang for constant guidance and encouragement. The second author would like to thank Professor Gang Tian and Huijun Fan for constant encouragement and support. Both authors would also like to thank Professor Huabin Ge for many helpful conversations. The first author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11431003. The second author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11401578.

## References

- [1] T. Aubin. *The scalar curvature, Differential Geometry and Relativity*. Holland, 1976, 5–18.
- [2] F. Bauer, B. Hua and J. Jost. *The dual cheeger constant and spectra of infinite graphs*. Adv. Math. 251(2014), no.1 , 147–194.
- [3] F.R.K. Chung. *Spectral Graph Theory*. CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [4] W. Chen and C. Li. *A note on the Kazdan-Warner type conditions*. J. Diff. Geom., 41 (1995) 259–268.
- [5] Y. -S. Chung, Y. -S. Lee and S. -Y. Chung, *Extinction and positivity of the solutions of the heat equations with absorption on networks*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 380(2011), 642–652.
- [6] B. Frank, B. Hua and S. -T. Yau. *Sharp Davies-Gaffney-Grigor’yan lemma on Graphs*. Math. Ann. (2017). DOI: 10.1007/s00208–017–1529–z.
- [7] A. Grigor’yan, Y. Lin and Y. Yang. *Kazdan-Warner equation on graph*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 55 (2016), no. 4, Paper No. 92, 13 pp.
- [8] A. Grigor’yan, Y. Lin and Y. Yang. *Existence of positive solutions to some nonlinear equations on locally finite graphs*. Sci. China Math. (2017). DOI:10.1007/s11425–016–0422–y.
- [9] A. Grigor’yan, Y. Lin and Y. Yang. *Yamabe type equations on graphs*. J. Differential Equations, 261 (2016) 4924–4943.
- [10] H. Ge.  *$p$ -th Kazdan-Warner equation on graph*. arXiv:1611.04902v1 [math.DG].
- [11] H. Ge. *Kazdan-Warner equation on graph in the negative case*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 453(2017), no.2, 1022–1027.
- [12] H. Ge. *A  $p$ -th Yamabe equation on graph*. to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [13] H. Ge and W. Jiang.  *$p$ -th Yamabe equation on infinite graphs*. private communication.
- [14] S. Haeseler, M. Keller, D. Lenz and R. Wojciechowski. *Laplacians on infinite graphs: Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions*. J. Spectr. Theory. 2(2012), no.4 , 397–432.

- [15] Z. Han. *A Kazdan-Warner type identity for the  $\sigma_k$  curvature*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 342 (2006) 475–478.
- [16] Y. Lin and Y. Wu. *Blow-up problems for nonlinear parabolic equations on locally finite graphs*. arXiv: 1704.05702v1 [math.DG].
- [17] R. Schoen. *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature*. J. Diff. Geom. 20 (1984) 479–495.
- [18] N. Trudinger. *Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa. 3 (1968) 265–274.
- [19] Y. Wang and X. Zhang. *A class of Kazdan-Warner typed equations on non-compact Riemannian manifolds*. Sci. China Ser. A 51(2008), No. 6, 1111–1118.
- [20] H. Yamabe. *On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*. Osaka Math. J. 12 (1960) 21–37.
- [21] X. Zhang and A. L. *Positive solutions of  $p$ -th Yamabe equation on infinite graphs*. in preparation.

Xiaoxiao Zhang: xiaoxiaozhang0408@bjtu.edu.cn

Institute of Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, P.R. China

Aijin Lin: aijinlin@pku.edu.cn

College of Science, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha 410073, P. R. China