

Popular progression differences in vector spaces

Jacob Fox*

Huy Tuan Pham †

March 12, 2022

Abstract

Green proved an arithmetic analogue of Szemerédi’s celebrated regularity lemma and used it to verify a conjecture of Bergelson, Host, and Kra which sharpens Roth’s theorem on three-term arithmetic progressions in dense sets. It shows that for every subset of \mathbb{F}_p^n with n sufficiently large, the density of three-term arithmetic progressions with some nonzero common difference is at least the random bound (the cube of the set density) up to an additive ϵ . For a fixed odd prime p , we prove that the required dimension grows as an exponential tower of p ’s of height $\Theta(\log(1/\epsilon))$. This improves both the lower and upper bound, and is the first example of a result where a tower-type bound coming from applying a regularity lemma is shown to be necessary.

1 Introduction

There is a long history in number theory of studying density conditions which guarantee three-term arithmetic progressions in abelian groups. Addressing a problem of Erdős and Turán [12] from 1936, Roth [32] in 1953 used Fourier analysis to prove that for each $\alpha > 0$ there is $N(\alpha)$ such that for every $N \geq N(\alpha)$, every subset of \mathbb{Z}_N of density at least α has a three-term arithmetic progression; see [5, 33] for the best known quantitative estimates. Brown and Buhler [6] in 1982 proved the analogous result in vector spaces over \mathbb{F}_3 . Better estimating the quantitative bound for this problem is known as the *cap set problem*. It is a prominent problem in part because of its close connections to other important problems such as creating efficient algorithms for matrix multiplication [4] and the sunflower problem [1, 30]. Meshulam [28] gave a better quantitative bound on the cap set problem by developing the finite field analogue of Roth’s Fourier analytic proof. A further quantitative improvement by Bateman and Katz [2] uses the additive structure of the large Fourier coefficients. Recently, there was a breakthrough on the cap set problem by Croot, Lev, and Pach [9] using the polynomial method. Building on this breakthrough, Ellenberg and Gijswijt [11] proved that any subset of \mathbb{F}_3^n with no three-term arithmetic progression has at most $O(2.756^n)$ elements. In the other direction, Edel [10] constructed a subset of \mathbb{F}_3^n with no three-term arithmetic progression which has $\Omega(2.217^n)$ elements.

Szemerédi’s regularity lemma [35] and its variants are some of the most powerful tools in combinatorics. Szemerédi used an early version of the regularity lemma to prove his celebrated theorem extending Roth’s theorem to arithmetic progressions of any given length. The regularity lemma roughly says that the vertex set of every graph can be partitioned into a small number of parts such that for most of the pairs of parts, the induced bipartite subgraph between the pair is pseudorandom.

Observe that a random subset A of \mathbb{F}_p^n of density α almost surely satisfies that for every nonzero $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$, the density of three-term arithmetic progressions with common difference d that are in A is

*Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305. Email: jacobfox@stanford.edu. Research supported by a Packard Fellowship, by NSF Career Award DMS-1352121 and by an Alfred P. Sloan Fellowship.

†Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305. Email: huypham@stanford.edu. Research supported by the Stanford Undergraduate Research Institute in Mathematics (SURIM).

close to α^3 . For $p = 3$, Edel's construction [10] shows that there are sets with density α whose density of three-term arithmetic progressions is $O(\alpha^{4.63})$, which is substantially smaller than the random bound of α^3 . However, Green [17], answering a question of Bergelson, Host, and Kra [3], established an arithmetic regularity lemma and used it to prove the following theorem showing that there is a nonzero d for which the density of three-term arithmetic progressions with common difference d is at least almost α^3 , the random bound.

Theorem 1 (Green [17]). *For each $\epsilon > 0$ and prime p there is a least positive integer $n_p(\epsilon)$ such that the following holds. For each $n \geq n_p(\epsilon)$ and every subset A of \mathbb{F}_p^n with density α , there is a nonzero d in \mathbb{F}_p^n such that the density of three-term arithmetic progressions with common difference d that are in A is at least $\alpha^3 - \epsilon$.*

Green and Tao [18, 21] proved an analogous result for arithmetic progressions of length four, and an earlier construction of Ruzsa [3] shows that it does not hold for longer lengths. Tao [36] later observed that Green's arithmetic regularity lemma essentially follows from the spectral proof of Szemerédi's regularity lemma applied to the Cayley graph of the set.

Despite being widely used, a major drawback in applying Szemerédi's regularity lemma or Green's arithmetic regularity lemma is that the bound on the number of parts is enormous as a function of the approximation parameter ϵ , namely a tower of twos of height $\epsilon^{-O(1)}$. This gives seemingly weak bounds for the various applications. That such a huge bound is necessary in Szemerédi's regularity lemma was proved by Gowers [22] using a probabilistic construction. Later, Conlon and the first author [7], Moshkovitz and Shapira [29], and the first author and Lovász [15] gave improvements on various aspects. Similarly, Green [17] showed that a tower-type bound is necessary in the arithmetic regularity lemma, and Hosseini, Lovett, Moshkovitz and Shapira [25] improved the lower bound on the tower height to $\epsilon^{-\Omega(1)}$.

While a tower-type bound is known to be necessary for these regularity lemmas, for the various applications, it was generally believed that much better bounds should hold, and this would be shown by developing alternative techniques to the regularity method. This belief turned into a major program and has been quite successful for many such applications. A few examples include Gowers' influential proof of Szemerédi's theorem [23] which introduced higher Fourier analysis, new bounds on Ramsey numbers of sparse graphs (see [8, 26] and their references) using the greedy embedding method or dependent random choice, certain applications in extremal graph theory using the absorption method [27, 31], the first author's proof of the graph removal lemma [13], and the recent tight, polynomial bound on the arithmetic removal lemma in vector spaces over a fixed finite field by the first author and Lovász [14]. Prior to this paper, no known application required the tower-type bound coming from applying the regularity lemma.

In this paper, we obtain an essentially tight bound on $n_p(\epsilon)$ in Theorem 1. We prove lower and upper bounds on the necessary dimension which grow as an exponential tower of height $\Theta(\log(1/\epsilon))$. This is the first application of a regularity lemma for which the tower-type bound that comes from using a regularity lemma is necessary.

Theorem 2. *Let p be an odd prime. Recall $n_p(\epsilon)$ is the least positive integer such that the following holds. For each $n \geq n_p(\epsilon)$ and every subset A of \mathbb{F}_p^n with density α , there is a nonzero $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that the density of three-term arithmetic progressions with common difference d in A is at least $\alpha^3 - \epsilon$. For $\epsilon \leq 2^{-161}p^{-8}$, we have $n_p(\epsilon)$ is bounded from below and above by an exponential tower of p 's of height $\Theta(\log(1/\epsilon))$.*

It is also interesting to determine the bound on the dimension n as a function of both the set density α and ϵ . Let $n_p(\alpha, \epsilon)$ be the least positive integer such that for every $n \geq n_p(\alpha, \epsilon)$ and every

subset of \mathbb{F}_p^n of density at least α , there is a nonzero d in \mathbb{F}_p^n such that the density of three-term arithmetic progressions with common difference d that are in A is at least $\alpha^3 - \epsilon$. Observe that $n_p(\epsilon) = \max_\alpha n_p(\alpha, \epsilon)$, that is, the above theorem determines the minimum dimension that works for all set densities. In a subsequent work [16], we determine for $p \geq 19$ the tower height in $n_p(\alpha, \epsilon)$ up to an absolute constant factor and an additive term depending only on p . The answer turns out to have different forms in different ranges of α and ϵ , and requires additional ingredients in the proofs. We also discuss in [16] many related problems.

Organization. In Section 3, we prove the upper bound in Theorem 2. One important tool for this section is an arithmetic weak regularity lemma, and a corresponding counting lemma. While they are crucial in the proof of the upper bound, they have essentially appeared in [19]. We include their proofs in Section 2 for completeness. In Section 4, we prove the lower bound in Theorem 2.

For the sake of clarity of presentation, we omit floor and ceiling signs where they are not crucial. When we write \log without specifying the base, we implicitly assume that the logarithm is taken base 2. We often use 3-AP as shorthand for three-term arithmetic progression.

2 Weak regularity lemma and counting lemma

The weak regularity lemma and counting lemma are crucial in the proof of the upper bound in Theorem 2 to approximate the density of 3-APs of a function by that of a simpler function. They have essentially appeared under various forms in [19]. We include their proofs here for completeness.

Let $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$. The *density* of a function $f : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is defined to be $\mathbb{E}_{x \in G}[f(x)]$. For each subspace H of G , denote $f_H(x) = \mathbb{E}_{y \in H+x}[f(y)]$. It is easy to see that the density of f_H is the same as the density of f . We will also refer to f_H as the average function of f with respect to H .

The weak regularity is defined via Fourier uniformity. For a group G , let \widehat{G} be the group of characters $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$, the group of characters \widehat{G} is isomorphic to G and each character $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ can be identified with an element $t_\chi \in G$ such that $\chi(x) = \omega^{t_\chi \cdot x}$ where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/p}$. The Fourier transform of a function $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined as

$$\widehat{f}(\chi) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \chi(x).$$

A subspace H is δ -*weakly-regular with respect to f* if for every character χ , we have $|\widehat{f} - \widehat{f}_H(\chi)| \leq \delta$.

The weak regularity lemma claims that, for any function $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ there is a subspace of bounded codimension that is weakly regular with respect to f .

Lemma 3. (*Weak regularity lemma.*) *For any function $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$, there is a subspace H which is δ -weakly-regular with respect to f such that H has codimension $\lfloor \delta^{-2} \rfloor$.*

The proof of Lemma 3 is essentially that of Proposition 3.5 in [19], referred to there as the linear Koopman von-Neumann decomposition. We include the proof here for convenience.

Proof. Consider the set S of nonzero characters χ where $|\widehat{f}(\chi)| \geq \delta$. By Parseval's identity, $1 \geq \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(x)^2 = \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p^n}} |\widehat{f}(\chi)|^2 \geq |S| \delta^2$. Hence $|S| \leq \lfloor \delta^{-2} \rfloor$.

Consider any subspace $H \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n : \chi(x) = 1 \ \forall \chi \in S\}$ of codimension $\lfloor \delta^{-2} \rfloor$, which exists since $|S| \leq \lfloor \delta^{-2} \rfloor$ and $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n : \chi(x) = 1 \ \forall \chi \in S\} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n : x \cdot t_\chi = 0 \ \forall \chi \in S\}$ has codimension at most $|S|$. Let $\mu_H(x) = \frac{I_H(x)}{|H|}$ where $I_H(x) = 1$ if $x \in H$ and $I_H(x) = 0$ otherwise. We have $\widehat{\mu}_H(\chi) = \frac{1}{p^n}$ if

$t_\chi \in H^\perp$, as then $\chi(x) = 1$ for all x such that $\mu_H(x) \neq 0$, and $\widehat{\mu}_H(\chi) = 0$ otherwise. Observe that

$$f_H(x) = \frac{\sum_{y \in H+x} f(y)}{|H|} = \sum_z \mu_H(z) f(x-z) = p^n \cdot \mu_H * f(x).$$

Thus, $\widehat{f}_H(\chi) = p^n \widehat{\mu}_H(\chi) \widehat{f}(\chi)$ and hence

$$\widehat{f - f_H}(\chi) = \widehat{f}(\chi) (1 - p^n \widehat{\mu}_H(\chi)).$$

Since $1 - p^n \widehat{\mu}_H(\chi) = 0$ for $\chi \in S$ as $t_\chi \in H^\perp$ for all $\chi \in S$, $\widehat{f - f_H}(\chi) = 0$ for $\chi \in S$. For $\chi \notin S$, $|\widehat{f}(\chi)| \leq \delta$, hence

$$|\widehat{f - f_H}(\chi)| = |\widehat{f}(\chi) (1 - p^n \widehat{\mu}_H(\chi))| \leq |\widehat{f}(\chi)| \leq \delta,$$

where the first inequality follows since $p^n \widehat{\mu}_H(\chi)$ is either 0 or 1. \square

We say two function $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are δ -close if for every character χ we have $|\widehat{f - g}(\chi)| \leq \delta$. Thus, H is δ -weakly-regular with respect to f if and only if f and f_H are δ -close. The 3-AP density $\Lambda(f)$ of f is defined as:

$$\Lambda(f) = \mathbb{E}_{x-2y+z=0} [f(x)f(y)f(z)].$$

This expectation is over all triples $x, y, z \in G$ with $x - 2y + z = 0$. Note that this includes the trivial arithmetic progressions $x = y = z$ with common difference 0. More generally, for functions f_1, f_2, f_3 , we define

$$\Lambda(f_1, f_2, f_3) = \mathbb{E}_{x-2y+z=0} [f_1(x)f_2(y)f_3(z)]$$

Note that $\Lambda(f) = \Lambda(f, f, f)$. The counting lemma shows that the 3-AP density of a function can be approximated by that of any function close to it.

Lemma 4. (*Counting lemma.*) *If $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are δ -close and f has density α , then $|\Lambda(f) - \Lambda(g)| \leq 3\delta\alpha$.*

The above bound shows that if functions f and g are δ -close and f has density α , then the 3-AP densities of f and g are at most $3\delta\alpha$ apart. In particular, if H is δ -weakly-regular with respect to f then we can approximate the 3-AP density of f by that of f_H .

Proof. We have

$$\Lambda(f) - \Lambda(g) = \Lambda(f - g, f, f) + \Lambda(g, f - g, f) + \Lambda(g, g, f - g)$$

The following identity (see, e.g., Lemma 1.7 in [19]) is key to the original proof of Roth's theorem:

$$\Lambda(h_1, h_2, h_3) = \sum_\chi \widehat{h}_1(\chi) \widehat{h}_2(-2\chi) \widehat{h}_3(\chi).$$

It follows that

$$|\Lambda(f - g, f, f)| = \left| \sum_\chi \widehat{f - g}(\chi) \widehat{f}(-2\chi) \widehat{f}(\chi) \right| \tag{1}$$

$$\leq \sup_\chi |\widehat{f - g}(\chi)| \left(\sum_\chi \widehat{f}(-2\chi)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_\chi \widehat{f}(\chi)^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{2}$$

$$\leq \delta \cdot \left(\frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(x)^2 \right) \leq \delta\alpha, \tag{3}$$

where the first inequality is by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the last inequality follows from the fact that f takes values in $[0, 1]$ with expected value α . Similarly, $|\Lambda(g, f - g, f)| \leq \delta\alpha$ and $|\Lambda(g, g, f - g)| \leq \delta\alpha$. Hence, $|\Lambda(f) - \Lambda(g)| \leq 3\delta\alpha$, which completes the proof. \square

3 Upper bound

The following theorem is the main result in this section and gives the upper bound in Theorem 2. The upper bound in this theorem applies more generally to weighted set in \mathbb{F}_p^n , which is given by a function $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$. We define the density of 3-APs with common difference d of a weighted set $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as $\mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} [f(x)f(x+d)f(x+2d)] = \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} [f(x)f(x+d)f(x+2d)]$. The density of 3-APs with common difference d of a set A is the same as that of the characteristic function of A .

Theorem 5. *If n is at least an exponential tower of p 's of height $\log((\alpha - \alpha^3)/\epsilon) + 5$ with a $1/\epsilon$ on top, then for any function $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ of density α , there is a nonzero d in \mathbb{F}_p^n such that the density of 3-APs with common difference d is at least $\alpha^3 - \epsilon$.*

A 3-AP with common difference d is an ordered triple (a, b, c) such that $c - b = b - a = d$. A 3-AP is *trivial* if the common difference d is zero, i.e., it contains the same element three times. Otherwise, we call the 3-AP *nontrivial*.

Let $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$. For each affine subspace H of \mathbb{F}_p^n , let $\alpha(H) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in H} [f(x)]$ be the density of f in H . Then $\alpha(G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in G} [f(x)] = \alpha$ is the density of f . The *mean cube density* $b(H)$ is defined to be the average of the cube of the density of f in the affine translates of H which partition \mathbb{F}_p^n . It is also given by $b(H) = \mathbb{E}_{g \in G} [\alpha(H + g)^3]$, where $H + g = \{h + g : h \in H\}$ is the affine translate of H by g .

Recall that $\Lambda(f)$ denotes the 3-AP density of a function $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$. If the function f is well understood from context, then, for an affine subspace H , we let $\Lambda(H)$ denote the density of three-term arithmetic progressions of f in H . That is,

$$\Lambda(H) = \mathbb{E}_{x, y, z \in H, x-2y+z=0} [f(x)f(y)f(z)].$$

We let $\lambda(H)$ denote the density of *nontrivial* three-term arithmetic progressions of f in H . That is,

$$\lambda(H) = \mathbb{E}_{x, y, z \in H \text{ distinct}, x-2y+z=0} [f(x)f(y)f(z)].$$

Observe that $\lambda(H)$ and $\Lambda(H)$ are close if H is large. Indeed,

$$\lambda(H) = \frac{\Lambda(H) \cdot |H|^2 - |H| \cdot \mathbb{E}_{x \in H} [f(x)^3]}{|H|(|H| - 1)} \geq \Lambda(H) - \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x \in H} [f(x)^3]}{|H|}, \quad (4)$$

where the equality follows from the fact that there are $|H|(|H| - 1)$ nontrivial 3-AP in the affine subspace H as each 3-AP is determined by its first two elements. By averaging the previous inequality over all translates of H and letting α denote the average value of f , we have

$$\mathbb{E}_g [\lambda(H + g)] \geq \mathbb{E}_g [\Lambda(H + g)] - \frac{\mathbb{E}_{g \in G} [\mathbb{E}_{x \in H+g} [f(x)^3]]}{|H|} \geq \mathbb{E}_g [\Lambda(H + g)] - \frac{\alpha}{|H|}. \quad (5)$$

The proof of Theorem 5 is by a density increment argument using the mean cube density. It is common in regularity lemmas and related results to use a density increment argument using the mean square density. However, there have been a couple of instances already where a different, carefully chosen density function is used in order to make the proof work. In the first author's improved bound

on the graph removal lemma [13], the mean entropy density was used, where the entropy function is $f(x) = x \log x$. Another instance is in Scott's proof of the sparse graph regularity lemma [34], which uses a function which is first $f(x) = x^2$, but then becomes linear from some point onwards.

The next lemma shows that if the density of 3-APs with nonzero common difference in a subspace H is small, then the mean cube density can be increased substantially by passing to a subspace H' of bounded codimension. In fact, the difference between the mean cube density and the cube of the total density increases by more than a factor two.

Lemma 6. *If $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ has density α , H is a subspace of \mathbb{F}_p^n of size larger than $4\alpha/\epsilon$, and the density of 3-APs with nonzero common difference in H of f is less than $\alpha^3 - \epsilon$, then there is a subspace H' of H with $\text{Codim}(H') \leq \text{Codim}(H) + p^{\text{Codim}(H)} \cdot 144/\epsilon^2$ such that $b(H') - \alpha^3 > 2(b(H) - \alpha^3) + \epsilon/2$.*

Proof. Denote the translates of H by H_j for $j \in \mathbb{F}_p^n/H$, so each affine translate of H is labeled by the corresponding element in \mathbb{F}_p^n/H . Let $\eta = \epsilon/12$. For each affine translate H_j of H , we apply the weak regularity lemma, Lemma 3, within H_j to obtain an η -weakly-regular subspace T_j containing 0 with codimension $M = \lceil \eta^{-2} \rceil$ in H . Consider the average function $t_j : H_j \rightarrow [0, 1]$, which is constant on each affine translate of T_j in H_j and whose value is the average of f on this affine translate. By assumption, the density of 3-APs with nonzero common differences in H is

$$\mathbb{E}_j[\lambda(H_j)] < \alpha^3 - \epsilon. \quad (6)$$

By the counting lemma, Lemma 4, we have

$$|\Lambda(H_j) - \Lambda(t_j)| \leq 3\alpha(H_j)\eta \leq 3\eta,$$

where $\Lambda(t_j)$ is the density of 3-APs in H_j with the weights given by the function t_j . Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}_j[\Lambda(H_j)] \geq \mathbb{E}_j[\Lambda(t_j)] - 3\eta. \quad (7)$$

Denote the affine translates of T_j in H_j by $T_{j,k}$, for $k \in H/T_j$. Also, let C be the set of all ordered triples (k_1, k_2, k_3) such that k_1, k_2, k_3 form a 3-AP in H/T_j (including the ones where $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$), which is equivalent to the fact that $T_{j,k_1}, T_{j,k_2}, T_{j,k_3}$ form a 3-AP of affine translates of T_j in H_j . We have that each (k_1, k_2) appears in C exactly once, so $|C| = p^{2M}$. Moreover, we have

$$\Lambda(t_j) = \mathbb{E}_{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in C} [t_j(T_{j,k_1})t_j(T_{j,k_2})t_j(T_{j,k_3})], \quad (8)$$

where $t_j(T_{j,k})$ is the constant value $t_j(x)$ for $x \in T_{j,k}$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_j[\lambda(H_j)] &\geq \mathbb{E}_j[\Lambda(H_j)] - \frac{\alpha}{|H|} \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_j[\Lambda(t_j)] - 3\eta - \frac{\alpha}{|H|} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_j \mathbb{E}_{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in C} [t_j(T_{j,k_1})t_j(T_{j,k_2})t_j(T_{j,k_3})] - 3\eta - \frac{\alpha}{|H|} \\ &> \mathbb{E}_j \mathbb{E}_{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in C} [t_j(T_{j,k_1})t_j(T_{j,k_2})t_j(T_{j,k_3})] - 6\eta, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where the first inequality is from (5), the second inequality is from (7), the equality is by (8), and the last inequality follows from the condition that $|H| > 4\alpha/\epsilon$, so $\frac{\alpha}{|H|} < \epsilon/4 = 3\eta$.

Schur's inequality says that for any nonnegative real numbers a, b, c , we have

$$a^3 + b^3 + c^3 + 3abc \geq a^2(b + c) + b^2(a + c) + c^2(a + b).$$

In the following sequence of inequalities, we fix j and take the expectations over $(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in C$. Applying Schur's inequality to $t_j(T_{j,k_1}), t_j(T_{j,k_2}), t_j(T_{j,k_3})$ for $(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} [t_j(T_{j,k_1})^3 + t_j(T_{j,k_2})^3 + t_j(T_{j,k_3})^3 + 3t_j(T_{j,k_1})t_j(T_{j,k_2})t_j(T_{j,k_3})] \\ & \geq \mathbb{E} [t_j(T_{j,k_1})^2(t_j(T_{j,k_2}) + t_j(T_{j,k_3})) + t_j(T_{j,k_2})^2(t_j(T_{j,k_1}) + t_j(T_{j,k_3})) + t_j(T_{j,k_3})^2(t_j(T_{j,k_1}) + t_j(T_{j,k_2})))] \\ & = \frac{1}{p^{2M}} \left(6 \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in H/T_j} t_j(T_{j,k_1})^2 t_j(T_{j,k_2}) \right) \\ & = \frac{6}{p^{2M}} \left(\sum_{k \in H/T_j} t_j(T_{j,k})^2 \right) \left(\sum_{k \in H/T_j} t_j(T_{j,k}) \right) \geq 6\alpha(H_j)^2 \alpha(H_j) = 6\alpha(H_j)^3, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where the first equality comes from the fact that fixing any element $k_1 \in H/T_j$ and $k_2 \in H/T_j$ and two different positions (first, second or third) of k_1, k_2 in a 3-AP of subspaces, we can find a unique 3-AP of subspaces with two positions specified, and the last inequality comes from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, noting that $\mathbb{E}_{k \in H/T_j} [t_j(T_{j,k})] = \alpha(H_j)$.

Taking $H' = H \cap \left(\bigcap_j T_j \right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b(H') & \geq \mathbb{E}_{j,k} [t_j(T_{j,k})^3] \\ & = \mathbb{E}_j \left[\frac{1}{3} \mathbb{E}_{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in C} [t_j(T_{j,k_1})^3 + t_j(T_{j,k_2})^3 + t_j(T_{j,k_3})^3] \right] \\ & \geq \mathbb{E}_j [2\alpha(H_j)^3 - \mathbb{E}_{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in C} [t_j(T_{j,k_1})t_j(T_{j,k_2})t_j(T_{j,k_3})]] \\ & > 2b(H) - \mathbb{E}_j[\lambda(H_j)] - 6\eta \\ & > 2b(H) - (\alpha^3 - \epsilon) - 6\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from Jensen's inequality applied to the convex function $h(x) = x^3$, noting that the partition by H' is a refinement of the partition by translates of T_j in each affine subspace H_j , the second inequality follows from (10), the third inequality is by (9), and the last inequality comes from the assumption that $\mathbb{E}_j[\lambda(H_j)] < \alpha^3 - \epsilon$. It follows that

$$b(H') - \alpha^3 \geq 2(b(H) - \alpha^3) + \epsilon/2,$$

where we used $\epsilon - 6\eta = \epsilon/2$. Finally, we bound the codimension of the subspace H' :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Codim}(H') & = \text{Codim} \left(H \cap \left(\bigcap_j T_j \right) \right) \leq \text{Codim}(H) + \eta^{-2} \cdot p^{\text{Codim}(H)} \\ & = \text{Codim}(H) + p^{\text{Codim}(H)} \cdot 144/\epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the subspace H' has the desired properties. \square

The proof of Theorem 5 follows from repeatedly applying Lemma 6.

Proof of Theorem 5. Let $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be such that the density of 3-APs with any fixed nonzero common difference of f is less than $\alpha^3 - \epsilon$. Let $H_0 = \mathbb{F}_p^n$, so $b(H_0) = \alpha^3$. We define a sequence of subspaces $H_0 \supset H_1 \supset \dots \supset H_s$ recursively as follows. Note that this implies $b(H_0) \leq b(H_1) \leq \dots \leq b(H_s)$. If $|H_i| \geq 4\alpha/\epsilon$, then we apply Lemma 6 to obtain a subspace $H_{i+1} \subset H_i$ with

$$b(H_{i+1}) - \alpha^3 \geq 2(b(H_i) - \alpha^3) + \epsilon/2$$

and

$$\text{Codim}(H_{i+1}) \leq \text{Codim}(H_i) + p^{\text{Codim}(H_i)} \cdot 144/\epsilon^2.$$

It follows that $2\text{Codim}(H_{i+1}) \leq \max(145^2\epsilon^{-4}, p^{2\text{Codim}(H_i)})$. In particular, $\text{Codim}(H_{i+1})$ is at most a tower of p 's of height i with a $145^2\epsilon^{-4}$ on top. Observe that $145^2\epsilon^{-4} < p^{p^{1/\epsilon}}$, so $\text{Codim}(H_{i+1})$ is at most a tower of p 's of height $i + 3$ with a $1/\epsilon$ on top. By induction on i , we have

$$b(H_i) \geq \alpha^3 + (2^i - 1)\epsilon/2.$$

By convexity of $h(x) = x^3$, we have $b(H) \leq \alpha$ for every subspace H . Hence, $\alpha \geq b(H_i) > \alpha^3 + (2^i - 1)\epsilon/2$ for each i , from which we conclude that $s \leq 2 + \log((\alpha - \alpha^3)/\epsilon)$. Hence, $\text{Codim}(H_s)$ is at most a tower of p 's of height $s + 2 \leq 4 + \log((\alpha - \alpha^3)/\epsilon)$ with a $1/\epsilon$ on top. We must have $|H_s| < 4\alpha/\epsilon$ in order to not be able to apply Lemma 6 and obtain the next subspace in the sequence. As $p^n = p^{\text{Codim}(H_s)}|H_s|$, we have $n < \text{Codim}(H_s) + \log_p(4\alpha/\epsilon)$, which completes the proof. \square

4 Lower bound

The following theorem is the main result in this section and gives the lower bound in Theorem 2.

Theorem 7. *For $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$ and $\epsilon \leq 2^{-161}p^{-8}\alpha^3$, there exists $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of density at least α , where n is a tower of p 's of height at least $\frac{1}{52} \log(\alpha^3/\epsilon)$, such that for all nonzero d in \mathbb{F}_p^n , the density of 3-APs with common difference d of A is less than $\alpha^3 - \epsilon$.*

Our goal for the remainder of the paper is to prove Theorem 7.

4.1 From weighted to unweighted

For the construction of the set A in Theorem 7, it will be more convenient to work with a weighted set in \mathbb{F}_p^n , which is given by a function $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$. The weighted analogue of Theorem 7 is given below. Note that for the weighted construction, it will be convenient to normalize and replace ϵ by $\epsilon\alpha^3$.

Theorem 8. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$, p be an odd prime, and $\epsilon \leq 2^{-160}p^{-8}$. There exists a function $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ of density α , where n is a tower of p 's of height at least $\frac{1}{52} \log(2/\epsilon)$, such that for each nonzero $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$, the density of 3-APs with common difference d of f is less than $(1 - \epsilon)\alpha^3$.*

First, we prove that Theorem 7 follows from Theorem 8. We do this by considering a random set, with each element x in \mathbb{F}_p^n included with probability $f(x)$ independently of the other elements. An application of Hoeffding's inequality allows us to show that it is unlikely that the density of the random set deviates much from that of the weighted set. Further, for each common difference, it is very unlikely that the density of 3-AP with that common difference deviates much from the density in the weighted set. A union bound then shows that the random set likely has density and density of 3-APs with each common difference close to that of the weighted set.

Lemma 9. *If n is a positive integer, p a prime number, $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $N = p^n$, and $\epsilon \geq 2 \left(\frac{\ln(12N)}{N} \right)^{1/2}$, then there exists a subset $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that the density of A and, for each nonzero $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$, the density of 3-APs with common difference d of A deviate no more than ϵ from those of f .*

Proof. Consider a random set A with each $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ having probability $f(x)$ of being in A , independently of the other elements.

The number of 3-APs with common difference d in \mathbb{F}_p^n is p^n , determined precisely by the first element of the 3-AP. However, the number of distinct 3-APs with common difference d (distinct in that the set of three terms is distinct) is p^n for $p > 3$ and p^{n-1} for $p = 3$. For $p = 3$, the p^{n-1} sets of distinct 3-APs are disjoint. However, for $p > 3$, some pairs of distinct 3-APs have nonempty intersection.

For $p > 3$, we partition the 3-APs with the same common difference d in A into different classes such that any two 3-APs in one class are disjoint. Given any element x in \mathbb{F}_p^n and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that x is the i th element of the 3-AP with common difference d , this 3-AP is uniquely determined. Hence, for any 3-AP in \mathbb{F}_p^n with common difference d , there are exactly four other 3-APs with common difference d having nonempty intersection with it (the first element of the 3-AP is the second or third element of two other such 3-APs, and the second or the third element of the 3-AP is the first element of two other such 3-APs). We can define an auxiliary graph with vertex set V consisting of the 3-APs with common difference d , and two 3-APs are adjacent if they have nonempty intersection. This auxiliary graph thus has maximum degree at most four. As every graph with maximum degree at most four has chromatic number at most five, we can partition the set of 3-APs with common difference d into five different classes where any pair of 3-APs in one class are disjoint. Further, by the Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem [24], there is such a partition into five parts which is equitable, so that each class has size $\lfloor |V|/5 \rfloor$ or $\lceil |V|/5 \rceil$.

Each class has size at least $\lfloor |V|/5 \rfloor = \lfloor p^n/5 \rfloor \geq p^n/7$. Recall that $N = p^n$. By Hoeffding's inequality, for each such class of 3-APs, the probability that the density of 3-APs in that class of A differs from the density in f by more than ϵ is less than $2 \exp(-2\epsilon^2 N/7)$. Thus, by the union bound, the probability that the density of A and f differ by more than ϵ in at least one of the five classes of disjoint 3-APs with common difference d is less than $10 \exp(-2\epsilon^2 N/7)$. Also by Hoeffding's inequality, the probability that the usual density of A differs from that of f by more than ϵ is at most $2 \exp(-\epsilon^2 N)$. Hence, by the union bound, the probability that A satisfies that the density of A or the density of 3-APs with common difference d of A for some nonzero d deviates from those of f by more than ϵ is at most

$$(N - 1) \cdot 10 \exp(-2\epsilon^2 N/7) + 2 \exp(-\epsilon^2 N) < 12N \exp(-2\epsilon^2 N/7) < 1,$$

as $\epsilon^2 \geq 4 \frac{\ln(12N)}{N}$. Therefore, there exists a set A such that the density of A and of f differ by at most ϵ , and, for each nonzero d , the density of 3-APs with common difference d in A and in f differ by at most ϵ . \square

We next show how to obtain Theorem 7 from Theorem 8.

Proof of Theorem 7: Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$ and $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}(2^{20}p)^{-8}\alpha^3$. Let n and f satisfying the conclusion of Theorem 8 for α and $\epsilon' = 2\epsilon/\alpha^3$. In particular, n is at least a tower of p 's of height $\frac{1}{52} \log(2/\epsilon') = \frac{1}{52} \log(\alpha^3/\epsilon)$. Apply Lemma 9 with $\epsilon^* = \epsilon/4$ and this n and f to obtain a set A satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 9. By the lower bound on n , we have

$$2 \left(\frac{\ln(12p^n)}{p^n} \right)^{1/2} < p^{-n/3} < \epsilon/4.$$

We obtain a set whose density is in $[\alpha - \epsilon/4, \alpha + \epsilon/4]$ and such that the density of 3-APs for each

nonzero common difference is less than $(1 - \epsilon')\alpha^3 + \epsilon/4 = \alpha^3 - 7\epsilon/4$. Now, we simply delete or add arbitrary elements to make the set have density α . The 3-AP density for each common difference can change by at most by $3\epsilon/4$, so the density of 3-APs for each nonzero common difference in the set is less than $\alpha^3 - 7\epsilon/4 + 3\epsilon/4 = \alpha^3 - \epsilon$. \square

Construction idea

In the next subsection, we prove Theorem 8. The general idea is as follows. We partition the dimension $n = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_s$, where m_{i+1} is roughly exponential in m_i for each i , and let $n_i = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_i$ be the i^{th} partial sum, so $n_1 = m_1$ and $n_i = n_{i-1} + m_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq s$. Consider the vector space as a product of smaller vector spaces: $\mathbb{F}_p^n = \mathbb{F}_p^{m_1} \times \mathbb{F}_p^{m_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}_p^{m_s}$. In each step i , we determine a partial function $f_i : \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with density α . The function f_i has the property that for each nonzero $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i}$, the density of 3-APs with common difference d of f_i is less than $(1 - \epsilon)\alpha^3$.

We need a starting point, picking m_1 and f_1 appropriately. We pick $m_1 = \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \log_p(3/\epsilon) \rfloor$ and $f_1(0) = (1 - (p^{m_1} - 1)\eta)\alpha$ with $\eta = \sqrt{\epsilon/3}$, and let $f_1(x) = (1 + \eta)\alpha$ for $x \neq 0$ so that the average value is α . We easily verify that f_1 has the desired properties.

For $i \geq 2$, observe that we can use f_{i-1} to define a function $g_i : \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by letting $g_i(x) = f_{i-1}(y)$, where y is the first n_{i-1} coordinates of x . Thus, g_i has constant value $f_{i-1}(y)$ on the copy of $\mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ consisting of those elements of $\mathbb{F}_p^{n_i}$ whose first n_{i-1} coordinates are y . We perturb g_i to obtain f_i so that it has several useful properties.

Before explaining how this perturbation is done exactly, we first describe some of the useful properties f_i will have. While g_i has constant value $f_{i-1}(y)$ on each copy of $\mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ whose first n_{i-1} coordinates is y , the function f_i will not have this property, but will still have average value $f_{i-1}(y)$ on each of these copies. Another useful property is that for each $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i}$ such that d is not identically 0 on the first n_{i-1} coordinates, the density of 3-APs with common difference d in f_i is equal to the density of 3-APs with common difference d^* in f_{i-1} , where $d^* \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_{i-1}} \setminus \{0\}$ is the first n_{i-1} coordinates of d . Once we have established this property, it suffices then to check that for each nonzero $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i}$ with the first n_{i-1} coordinates of d equal to 0, the density of 3-APs with common difference d is less than $(1 - \epsilon)\alpha^3$. In order to check this, it now makes sense to explain a little more about how we obtain f_i from g_i .

Consider a set $B \subset \mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ with relatively few three-term arithmetic progressions (considerably less than the random bound) given its size. We take B to be the elements whose first coordinate is in an interval of length roughly $2p/3$ in \mathbb{F}_p .

We let \mathcal{C} be an appropriately chosen subcollection of the $p^{n_{i-1}}$ copies of $\mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p^{n_i}$, where each copy has the first n_i coordinates fixed to some $y \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_{i-1}}$. If $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i}$ is in a copy of $\mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ not in \mathcal{C} , then we let $f_i(x) = g_i(x)$. In other words, we leave f_i constant on the affine subspaces not in \mathcal{C} . For each $A \in \mathcal{C}$, we consider a random copy of B in A by taken a random linear transformation of full rank from $\mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ to A and consider the image of B by this linear transformation, and then scale the weights by the constant factor $p^{m_i}/|B|$ to keep the average weight unchanged on A . We do this independently for each $A \in \mathcal{C}$. We show that with high probability, for every nonzero $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i}$ with the first n_{i-1} coordinates of d equal to 0, the density of 3-APs with common difference d is less than $(1 - \epsilon)\alpha^3$. One can show this for each such d by observing that the density of 3-APs with common difference d is just the average of the densities of 3-APs with common difference d on each of the $p^{n_{i-1}}$ copies of $\mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$. The density of 3-APs with common difference d in the subspaces not in \mathcal{C} remain unchanged, but the densities of 3-APs with common difference d in the subspaces in \mathcal{C} are independent random variables that have expected value (appropriately scaled) equal to the density of 3-APs in B , which is much less than the random bound for a set of this size. We can then use Hoeffding's inequality, which allows us to show that the sum of a set of independent random variables with values in $[0, 1]$ is highly concentrated on its mean, to show that it is very unlikely that the density of 3-APs with common

difference d is at least $(1 - \epsilon)\alpha^3$. Since the probability is so tiny, a simple union bound allows us to get this to hold simultaneously for all nonzero d . This completes the construction idea.

Before proceeding with the detailed argument, in the next subsection we present a useful construction of a set which has relatively few three-term arithmetic progressions which serves as a main ingredient in our proof.

4.2 Subsets with relatively few arithmetic progressions

An important ingredient in our constructions is subsets of \mathbb{F}_p with relatively few arithmetic progressions. We show that very large intervals in \mathbb{F}_p have considerably fewer three-term arithmetic progressions than given by the random bound. In fact, Green and Sisask [20] proved more: if $\phi > 0$ is small enough, then among all subsets of \mathbb{F}_p of size $(1 - \phi)p$, intervals have the fewest 3-APs.

Lemma 10. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ be an interval with $|I| = (1 - \phi)p$ and $\phi \leq 1/2$. The density of 3-APs in I is at most $(1 - \phi)^3 - (\phi^2/2 - \phi^3)$.*

Proof. Let J be the complement of I , which is an interval with $|J| = \phi p$. The total number of 3-APs in \mathbb{F}_p is p^2 as each 3-AP is determined by its first element and common difference, and there are p choices for each. We next count the number of three-term arithmetic progressions in I (including the trivial ones with common difference 0), which is p^2 minus the number of 3-APs that intersect J . We count the number of 3-APs that intersect J using the inclusion-exclusion principle. For $i = 1, 2, 3$, the number of 3-APs with the i^{th} term in J is ϕp^2 , as there are $|J| = \phi p$ choices for the i^{th} term, and p choices for the common difference. For $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, the number of 3-APs with the i^{th} and j^{th} in J is $|J|^2 = \phi^2 p^2$ as the 3-AP is determined by picking these two terms. The number of 3-APs with all three elements in J is just the number of 3-APs in the interval $\{1, \dots, |J|\}$ (possibly with negative common difference), which is $\lceil \frac{|J|^2}{2} \rceil = \lceil \frac{(\phi p)^2}{2} \rceil$. Thus, the number of 3-APs in I is

$$\begin{aligned} p^2 - 3\phi p^2 + 3\phi^2 p^2 - \left\lceil \frac{(\phi p)^2}{2} \right\rceil &\leq p^2 - 3\phi p^2 + 3\phi^2 p^2 - \frac{(\phi p)^2}{2} \\ &= \left((1 - \phi)^3 - \left(\frac{\phi^2}{2} - \phi^3 \right) \right) p^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the density of 3-APs in I is at most $(1 - \phi)^3 - \left(\frac{\phi^2}{2} - \phi^3 \right)$. □

We will use Lemma 10 in our construction with $|I| = \lceil 2p/3 \rceil$ and so $\phi = 1 - \lceil 2p/3 \rceil/p$, which implies $\phi \approx 1/3$. Precisely $\phi = 1/3$ if $p = 3$, $\phi = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3p}$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, and $\phi = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3p}$ if $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. It follows that $\frac{1}{5} \leq \phi \leq \frac{1}{3}$. Note that the expected value of the characteristic function of I is $1 - \phi$. Thus, the density of 3-AP in I is at least $\frac{\phi^2}{2} - \phi^3$ less than the random bound, which is $(1 - \phi)^3$. For $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$, let $h(b)$ denote the density of 3-APs in I with common difference b . Thus, the average value of $h(b)$ is at least $\frac{\phi^2}{2} - \phi^3$ less than the random bound of $(1 - \phi)^3$. Further, by the AM-GM inequality, it follows that the maximum value of h is obtained when $b = 0$, which is $h(0) = 1 - \phi \leq 4/5$. It will be convenient to work with $\zeta = 1 - \phi = \lceil 2p/3 \rceil/p$ in the next subsection.

4.3 Proof of Theorem 8

We first construct the function f , and then show that f has the properties to verify Theorem 8.

4.3.1 The construction

Let $s = \lfloor \log_{90}(1/(8p\epsilon^{1/4})) \rfloor$. We next recursively define a sequence m_1, \dots, m_s of positive integers. We will let $n = \sum_{i=1}^s m_i$, $n_j = \sum_{i=1}^j m_i$ be the j th partial sum, and $N_i = |\mathbb{F}_p^{n_i}| = p^{n_i}$. Let $m_1 = \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \log_p(3/\epsilon) \rfloor$. Let $\mu_i = 90^i p \epsilon^{1/4}$ for $i \geq 1$, and $\sigma = 10^4 \ln p$. For $i \geq 2$, let $m_i = \mu_i N_{i-1} / \sigma$.

Before describing the construction and its properties, we first prove a couple of estimates which will be helpful later which show that m_{i+1} is roughly exponential in m_i . First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_2 &= \mu_2 N_1 / \sigma > \mu_2 \cdot (3/\epsilon)^{1/2} p^{-1} \cdot (10^4 \ln p)^{-1} = 8100 p \epsilon^{1/4} \cdot (3/\epsilon)^{1/2} p^{-1} \cdot (10^4 \ln p)^{-1} > \frac{\epsilon^{-1/4}}{\ln p} \\ &> \epsilon^{-1/8} \geq 2^{20} p, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where we use in the last two inequalities the bound $\epsilon \leq 2^{-160} p^{-8}$ given in the statement of Theorem 8. For $i \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{i+1} &= \mu_{i+1} N_i / \sigma = 90^{i+1} p \epsilon^{1/4} \cdot p^{n_i} / (10^4 \ln p) > \epsilon^{1/4} p^{n_i} = \epsilon^{1/4} p^{n_{i-1}} p^{m_i} \geq \epsilon^{1/4} p^{n_1} p^{m_i} \\ &> \epsilon^{1/4} \cdot (3/\epsilon)^{1/2} p^{-1} \cdot p^{m_i} > (\epsilon^{-1/4} p^{-1}) p^{m_i} \geq p^{m_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We divide the construction process into levels in order, starting with level 1 and ending at level s . In level i , we construct a function $f_i : \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with the following five properties:

1. The density of f_i is α .
2. The only values of f_i are 0 , $(1 - \eta(N_1 - 1))\alpha$, $(1 + \eta)\alpha$, and $\frac{1}{\zeta}(1 + \eta)\alpha$, where $\eta = \sqrt{\epsilon/3}$ and $\zeta = \lceil 2p/3 \rceil / p$.
3. The density of points $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i}$ for which $f_i(x) \neq (1 + \eta)\alpha$ is at most $p\epsilon^{1/2} + \sum_{2 \leq j \leq i} \mu_j$.
4. For each $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i} \setminus \{0\}$, the density of 3-APs with common difference d in f_i is less than $(1 - \epsilon)\alpha^3$.
5. Let z_i be the 3-AP density with common difference zero for f_i , which is also the density of f_i^3 . For each i , we have $z_i < (1 + \frac{4}{3}\mu_i)\alpha^3$.

The last function f_s is our desired function f . Note that the second property implies each f_i has values in $[0, 1]$ as $\frac{1}{\zeta} \leq 3/2$, $1 + \eta \leq 4/3$, $N_1 = p^{n_1} = p^{m_1} \leq (3/\epsilon)^{-1/2} = \eta^{-1}$, and $\alpha \leq 1/2$.

Construction for level 1. Consider the space $\mathbb{F}_p^{n_1}$ of dimension $n_1 = m_1 = \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \log_p(3/\epsilon) \rfloor$. It has cardinality $N_1 = p^{n_1}$.

Define $f_1 : \mathbb{F}_p^{n_1} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $f_1(0) = (1 - \eta(N_1 - 1))\alpha$ and $f_1(x) = (1 + \eta)\alpha$ for all $x \neq 0$. It is clear from the construction that the density of f_1 is

$$\frac{1}{N_1} (1 - \eta(N_1 - 1))\alpha + \frac{N_1 - 1}{N_1} (1 + \eta)\alpha = \alpha$$

and its only values are $(1 - \eta(N_1 - 1))\alpha$ and $(1 + \eta)\alpha$. Thus f_1 satisfies the first and second of the five desired properties mentioned above.

If $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_1} \setminus \{0\}$, then any element of $\mathbb{F}_p^{n_1}$ is in a fraction $\frac{3}{N_1}$ of the 3-APs with common difference d . So a $\frac{3}{N_1}$ fraction of these arithmetic progressions contain 0 and the remaining $1 - \frac{3}{N_1}$ fraction of these arithmetic progressions do not contain 0. Hence, the density of 3-APs with common difference d is

$$\left(1 - \frac{3}{N_1}\right) (1 + \eta)^3 \alpha^3 + \frac{3}{N_1} ((1 + \eta)\alpha)^2 (1 - \eta(N_1 - 1))\alpha,$$

which, by simplifying, is equal to

$$\left(\left(1 - \frac{3}{N_1} \right) (1 + \eta) + \frac{3}{N_1} (1 - \eta(N_1 - 1)) \right) (1 + \eta)^2 \alpha^3 = (1 - 2\eta)(1 + \eta)^2 \alpha^3 = (1 - 3\eta^2 - 2\eta^3) \alpha^3 < (1 - \epsilon) \alpha^3.$$

The trivial (zero) common difference has 3-AP density

$$z_1 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{N_1} \right) \cdot (1 + \eta)^3 \alpha^3 + \frac{1}{N_1} \cdot (1 - \eta(N_1 - 1))^3 \alpha^3,$$

which, by simplifying, equals

$$(1 + 3\eta^2(N_1 - 1) - \eta^3(N_1 - 1)(N_1 - 2)) \alpha^3,$$

which is less than $(1 + 3\eta)\alpha^3 = (1 + \sqrt{3\epsilon})\alpha^3 < (1 + \mu_1)\alpha^3$ as $\eta \leq 1/(N_1 - 1)$. We have thus showed that f_1 satisfies the fourth and fifth desired properties.

The value of f_1 is $(1 + \eta)\alpha$ for all but a fraction $\frac{1}{N_1} < p\epsilon^{1/2}$ of the points, showing the third desired property.

Construction for level i for $2 \leq i \leq s$. At level i , we have already constructed a function $f_{i-1} : \mathbb{F}_p^{n_{i-1}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ in the previous level with the five desired properties. Let G_i be the set of elements in $\mathbb{F}_p^{n_{i-1}}$ with f_{i-1} value $(1 + \eta)\alpha$. Let H_i be any subset of G_i of size $\mu_i p^{n_{i-1}}$.

For each $x \in H_i$, choose $v(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ a nonzero vector so that

- these vectors are all distinct,
- for any $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m_i} \setminus \{0\}$, we have $\mathbb{E}_{x \in H_i}[h(d \cdot v(x))] \leq \zeta^3 - \frac{1}{125}$, where $h : \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\zeta = 1 - \phi = \lceil 2p/3 \rceil / p$ are defined in the end of Subsection 4.2,
- for distinct $a, b \in H_i$, vectors $v(a)$ and $v(b)$ are linearly independent, and
- if $a, b, c \in H_i$ form a nontrivial 3-AP, then vectors $v(a)$, $v(b)$, and $v(c)$ are linearly independent.

The existence of such a choice of v is guaranteed by Lemma 11 below.

Define $f_i : \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ so that for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i}$, with x the first n_{i-1} coordinates of a and $y \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ the last m_i coordinates of a , we have $f_i(a) = f_{i-1}(x)$ if $x \notin H_i$, and otherwise let $f_i(a) = \frac{1}{\zeta} f_{i-1}(x) = \frac{1}{\zeta}(1 + \eta)\alpha$ if $y \cdot v(x) \in I$ and $f_i(a) = 0$ if $y \cdot v(x) \notin I$, where $I \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ is a fixed interval with ζp elements as in the end of Subsection 4.2. Here $y \cdot v(x)$ denotes the dot product of y and $v(x)$, which is an element of \mathbb{F}_p . Note that this implies that for each f_i , the value of each point is either 0, $(1 - \eta(N_1 - 1))\alpha$, $(1 + \eta)\alpha$, or $\frac{1}{\zeta}(1 + \eta)\alpha$. Thus, f_i has the second of the five desired properties. The first property, that f_i has average value α , follows easily from the definition of f_i .

Recall that when we have finished the construction for level s , we let $f = f_s$.

4.3.2 The proof

For $i \geq 2$, we first assure that we can make the choice of $v(x)$ as specified in the above construction.

Lemma 11. *For $i \geq 2$, there is a function $v : \mathbb{F}_p^{n_{i-1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ such that*

- for any $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m_i} \setminus \{0\}$, we have $\mathbb{E}_{x \in H_i}[h(d \cdot v(x))] \leq \zeta^3 - \frac{1}{125}$, and
- for distinct $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_{i-1}}$, the vectors $v(a)$, $v(b)$, $v(c)$ are linearly independent.

Proof. For $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_{i-1}}$, choose $v(x)$ a random vector in $\mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ uniformly and independently of other x .

For each nonzero $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$, we have $h(d \cdot v(x))$ is a nonnegative random variable with values $h(b)$ for $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ equally likely. So this nonnegative random variable has expected value $\mathbb{E}_b[h(b)] \leq \zeta^3 - (\phi^2/2 - \phi^3) \leq \zeta^3 - \frac{2}{125}$ and maximum value at most $4/5$. The random variable $\mathbb{E}_{x \in H_i}[h(d \cdot v(x))]$ is $\frac{1}{|H_i|}$ times the sum of these $|H_i|$ independent identically distributed random variables with values in $[0, 4/5]$. Thus, by Hoeffding's inequality, the probability that $\mathbb{E}_{x \in H_i}[h(d \cdot v(x))]$ is at least $1/125$ more than its average is at most $\exp(-2|H_i|(1/((4/5)125))^2) = \exp(-|H_i|/5000)$. By the union bound, the probability that there exists a nonzero $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ for which $\mathbb{E}_{x \in H_i}[h(d \cdot v(x))]$ is at least $1/125$ more than its average is at most $(p^{m_i} - 1) \exp(-|H_i|/5000)$. Since $|H_i| = \mu_i p^{n_{i-1}} = \sigma m_i = 10^4 m_i \log p$, this probability is at most $p^{-m_i} \leq 1/4$.

For distinct $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_{i-1}}$, the probability that $v(a) = 0$ is p^{-m_i} , the probability that $v(a)$ and $v(b)$ are linearly dependent given that $v(a) \neq 0$ is p^{1-m_i} , and the probability that $v(a)$, $v(b)$, and $v(c)$ are linearly dependent given that $v(a)$ and $v(b)$ are linearly independent is p^{2-m_i} . Thus, by the union bound, the probability that there is a triple of distinct $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_{i-1}}$ for which $v(a)$, $v(b)$, $v(c)$ are linearly dependent is at most

$$\binom{p^{n_{i-1}}}{3} (p^{-m_i} + p^{1-m_i} + p^{2-m_i}) < p^{3n_{i-1}+2-m_i} \leq 1/p \leq 1/3.$$

The second to last inequality is equivalent to showing $m_i \geq 3n_{i-1} + 3$, which easily follows by induction on i . The base case $i = 2$ follows from the bounds $n_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \log_p(3/\epsilon)$, $m_2 > \epsilon^{-1/8}$ by Inequality (11), and $\epsilon \leq 2^{-160} p^{-8}$. For $i > 2$, from (12), we have $m_i > p^{m_{i-1}} = p^{n_{i-1}-n_{i-2}} > p^{2n_{i-1}/3} > n_{i-1}$, where we used the induction hypothesis to obtain the bound $n_{i-1} \geq m_{i-1} \geq 3n_{i-2} + 3 > 3n_{i-2}$.

By the union bound, the probability that there are distinct $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_{i-1}}$ such that $v(a), v(b), v(c)$ are linearly dependent, or there is a nonzero $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ such that $\mathbb{E}_{x \in H_i}[h(d \cdot v(x))] > \zeta^2 - \frac{1}{125}$ is at most $1/4 + 1/3 < 1$. Hence, there exists a choice of v that satisfies the desired conditions. \square

We now give the proof of the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 8. Recall that z_i is the 3-AP density of the trivial common difference in level i , and we showed $z_1 < (1 + \mu_1)\alpha^3$.

We need to prove that f_i has the five desired properties, which we do by induction on i , and have already observed the first two follow easily from the definition. Recall that we have shown that f_1 has the desired properties. The induction hypothesis is that f_{i-1} has the desired properties.

We next prove that f_i has the fifth desired property, namely $z_i < (1 + \frac{4}{3}\mu_i)\alpha^3$ for each i . We have already proved it for $i = 1$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} z_i &= z_{i-1} + \mu_i \left(\zeta \left(\frac{1}{\zeta} (1 + \eta) \alpha \right)^3 - ((1 + \eta) \alpha)^3 \right) = z_{i-1} + \left(\frac{1}{\zeta^2} - 1 \right) \mu_i (1 + \eta)^3 \alpha^3 \\ &\leq z_{i-1} + \frac{5}{4} (1 + \eta)^3 \mu_i \alpha^3 \leq z_{i-1} + 1.3 \mu_i \alpha^3. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

For $i \geq 2$, substituting in the induction hypothesis and $\mu_{i-1} = \mu_i/90$ into (13), we have

$$z_i < z_{i-1} + 1.3 \mu_i \alpha^3 < \left(1 + \frac{4}{3} \mu_{i-1} \right) \alpha^3 + 1.3 \mu_i \alpha^3 < \left(1 + \frac{4}{3} \mu_i \right) \alpha^3,$$

which completes the induction proof of the fifth desired property for f_i .

Let $\rho_j(d)$ be the density of 3-APs with common difference d of f_j . If a nonzero $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i}$ is zero in the first n_{i-1} coordinates, then consider the last m_i coordinates of d , which we denote by $d' \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$. As d is zero in its first n_{i-1} coordinates but d is nonzero, d' is nonzero. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_i(d) &= \rho_{i-1}(0) + \frac{|H_i|}{p^{n_{i-1}}} (\mathbb{E}_{x \in H_i} h(d' \cdot x) - \zeta^3) \zeta^{-3} (1 + \eta)^3 \alpha^3 \\
&= z_{i-1} + \mu_i (\mathbb{E}_{x \in H_i} h(d' \cdot x) - \zeta^3) \zeta^{-3} (1 + \eta)^3 \alpha^3 \\
&\leq z_{i-1} - \mu_i \cdot \frac{1}{125} \cdot \zeta^{-3} (1 + \eta)^3 \alpha^3 \\
&\leq z_{i-1} - \mu_i \cdot \frac{1}{64} \cdot (1 + \eta)^3 \alpha^3 \\
&< z_{i-1} - \mu_i \cdot \frac{1}{64} \cdot \alpha^3 \\
&< (1 + \frac{4}{3} \mu_{i-1}) \alpha^3 - \mu_i \cdot \frac{1}{64} \cdot \alpha^3 \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{7}{96} \mu_{i-1}\right) \alpha^3 \\
&< (1 - \epsilon) \alpha^3,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality uses $\mu_i = 90\mu_{i-1}$, and the last inequality uses $\mu_{i-1} \geq \mu_1 = 90p\epsilon^{1/4}$ and $\epsilon \leq 2^{-160}p^{-8}$.

If d is nonzero in the first $\mathbb{F}_p^{n_{i-1}}$ coordinates, we prove in Lemma 12 below that the density $\rho_i(d) = \rho_{i-1}(d) < (1 - \epsilon)\alpha^3$. This shows that f_i has the fourth desired property.

We thus need to show that f_i satisfies the third desired property, and we have shown this for $i = 1$. Note that f_i has a fraction μ_i more of its input than f_{i-1} having value not equal to $(1 + \eta)\alpha^3$. It follows that the fraction of input for f_i having value not equal to $(1 + \eta)\alpha^3$ is at most

$$p\epsilon^{1/2} + \sum_{2 \leq j \leq i} \mu_j = p\epsilon^{1/2} + \sum_{j=2}^i 90^j p\epsilon^{1/4} < 2 \cdot 90^i p\epsilon^{1/4} \leq 2 \cdot (8p\epsilon^{1/4})^{-1} \cdot p\epsilon^{1/4} = 1/4.$$

This inequality ensures that for each step i , there is sufficient space to choose H_i . Indeed, we need a fraction μ_i of the subspaces to still have weight $(1 + \eta)\alpha$, and this holds by the above inequality as $\mu_i < 1 - 1/4 = 3/4$.

We now estimate the dimension of our final space. Since $n = n_s \geq m_s$, we get from Inequalities (11) and (12) that n is at least a tower of p 's of height $s - 2$ with $m_2 > \epsilon^{-1/8} \geq p$ on top, where $s = \lceil \log_{90}(1/(8\epsilon^{1/4}p)) \rceil$. Thus we get the dimension n is at least a tower of p 's of height $\log_{90}(1/(8\epsilon^{1/4}p)) - 2 \geq \log_{90}(2^{17}\epsilon^{-1/8}) - 2 \geq \frac{1}{52} \log(2/\epsilon)$, where we used $\epsilon \leq 2^{-160}p^{-8}$. \square

To complete the proof, we now prove Lemma 12.

Lemma 12. *Let $2 \leq i \leq s$. For $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i}$, let $d^* \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_{i-1}}$ be the first n_{i-1} coordinates of d . If d^* is nonzero, then the density of 3-APs with common difference d in f_i is the same as the density of 3-APs with common difference d^* in f_{i-1} . That is, if d^* is nonzero, then $\rho_i(d) = \rho_{i-1}(d^*)$.*

Proof. Since d^* is nonzero, in any three-term arithmetic progression a, b, c with common difference d , the restrictions of the three points to the first n_{i-1} coordinates are distinct. Let a^* be the first n_{i-1} coordinates of a . Similarly define b^*, c^* . Fix $a^* = a_0, b^* = b_0, c^* = c_0$ for any 3-AP (a_0, b_0, c_0) in $\mathbb{F}_p^{n_{i-1}}$ of common difference d^* , and consider all the 3-APs with common difference d such that the first n_{i-1}

coordinates of points in the 3-AP coincide with a_0, b_0, c_0 . Since a_0, b_0, c_0 are distinct, $v(a_0), v(b_0), v(c_0)$ are linearly independent. Hence, we can change the basis and view $v(a_0), v(b_0), v(c_0)$ as three basis vectors of $\mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$. Denote this set of basis vectors B . Let a', b', c', d' be the restriction of a, b, c, d to the last m_i coordinates.

Let a_1, b_1, c_1 be any three fixed values in \mathbb{F}_p . Let $L = p^{m_i-3}$. We prove that the number of 3-APs in $\mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ with common difference d , $a^* = a_0$ and $a' \cdot v(a_0) = a_1$, $b^* = b_0$ and $b' \cdot v(b_0) = b_1$, $c^* = c_0$ and $c' \cdot v(c_0) = c_1$ is L . Since B is a basis for $\mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$, if $x, x' \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ satisfy $x \cdot v = x' \cdot v$ for all $v \in B$ then by linearity $x \cdot v = x' \cdot v$ for all $v \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$, in which case $x = x'$. Since there are p^{m_i} elements in $\mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$, and p^{m_i} possible tuples $(x \cdot v)_{v \in B}$, each tuple must appear exactly once. The 3-APs with common difference d , $a^* = a_0$ and $a' \cdot v(a_0) = a_1$, $b^* = b_0$ and $b' \cdot v(b_0) = b_1$, $c^* = c_0$ and $c' \cdot v(c_0) = c_1$ are given by triples $(a', a' + d', a' + 2d')$ such that $a' \cdot v(a_0) = a_1$, $a' \cdot v(b_0) = b_1 - d' \cdot v(b_0)$, $a' \cdot v(c_0) = c_1 - 2d' \cdot v(c_0)$. Hence the number of such 3-APs is equal to the number of $a' \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ such that $a' \cdot v(a_0) = a_1$, $a' \cdot v(b_0) = b_1 - d' \cdot v(b_0)$, $a' \cdot v(c_0) = c_1 - 2d' \cdot v(c_0)$. There is exactly one element of $\mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ such that its dot product with each vector in the basis $B \supset \{v(a_0), v(b_0), v(c_0)\}$ is fixed to an arbitrary value. Since there are exactly $p^{m_i-3} = L$ ways to choose the value of $a' \cdot v$ for $v \in B \setminus \{v(a_0), v(b_0), v(c_0)\}$, there are exactly L elements $a' \in \mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$ such that $a' \cdot v(a_0) = a_1$, $a' \cdot v(b_0) = b_1 - d' \cdot v(b_0)$, $a' \cdot v(c_0) = c_1 - 2d' \cdot v(c_0)$. Hence, there are L 3-APs with common difference d , $a^* = a_0$ and $a' \cdot v(a_0) = a_1$, $b^* = b_0$ and $b' \cdot v(b_0) = b_1$, $c^* = c_0$ and $c' \cdot v(c_0) = c_1$.

Let $I \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ be an interval of length $\lceil 2p/3 \rceil$ as in the end of Subsection 4.2. For $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i-1}$, define $i_x : \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows. If $x \in H_i$ and $m \notin I$, let $i_x(m) = -(1 + \eta)\alpha$, if $x \in H_i$ and $m \in I$, let $i_x(m) = (\frac{p}{|I|} - 1)(1 + \eta)\alpha$, and if $x \notin H_i$ and $m \in \mathbb{F}_p$, let $i_x(m) = 0$. For each $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n_i-1}$, we have $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_p} i_x(m) = 0$. By our definition, we have $f_i(a) = f_{i-1}(a^*) + i_{a^*}(a' \cdot v(a^*))$. The density of 3-AP with common difference d , fixing $a^* = a_0, b^* = b_0, c^* = c_0$, is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p^3 L} \left(\sum_{a_1, b_1, c_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p} L \cdot (f_{i-1}(a_0) + i_{a_0}(a_1)) \cdot (f_{i-1}(b_0) + i_{b_0}(b_1)) \cdot (f_{i-1}(c_0) + i_{c_0}(c_1)) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^3} \sum_{a_1, b_1, c_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p} ((f_{i-1}(a_0) + i_{a_0}(a_1)) \cdot (f_{i-1}(b_0) + i_{b_0}(b_1)) \cdot (f_{i-1}(c_0) + i_{c_0}(c_1))) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^3} \left(\sum_{a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p} (f_{i-1}(a_0) + i_{a_0}(a_1)) \right) \left(\sum_{b_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p} (f_{i-1}(b_0) + i_{b_0}(b_1)) \right) \left(\sum_{c_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p} (f_{i-1}(c_0) + i_{c_0}(c_1)) \right) \\ &= f_{i-1}(a_0) f_{i-1}(b_0) f_{i-1}(c_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i(d) &= \mathbb{E}_{a_0, b_0, c_0} \left[\frac{1}{p^3 L} \left(\sum_{a_1, b_1, c_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p} L \cdot (f_{i-1}(a_0) + i_{a_0}(a_1)) \cdot (f_{i-1}(b_0) + i_{b_0}(b_1)) \cdot (f_{i-1}(c_0) + i_{c_0}(c_1)) \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{a_0, b_0, c_0} [f_{i-1}(a_0) f_{i-1}(b_0) f_{i-1}(c_0)] = \rho_{i-1}(d^*), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank David Fox for an observation in the game of SET which led to the study of multidimensional cap sets and this paper. We are indebted to Yufei Zhao for

many helpful comments, including observing that Schur’s inequality simplifies the density increment argument. We would like to thank Ben Green for many helpful comments, including suggestions which simplify the section on weak regularity and counting. We are grateful to Terry Tao for enlightening discussions.

References

- [1] N. Alon, A. Shpilka, and C. Umans, On sunflowers and matrix multiplication, *Computational Complexity* **22** (2013), 219–243.
- [2] M. Bateman and N. Katz, New bounds on cap sets, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (2012), 585–613.
- [3] V. Bergelson, B. Host and B. Kra, Multiple recurrence and nilsequences, with an appendix by Imre Ruzsa, *Invent. Math.* **160** (2005), 261–303.
- [4] J. Blasiak, T. Church, H. Cohn, J. A. Grochow, E. Naslund, W. F. Sawin, and C. Umans, On cap sets and the group-theoretic approach to matrix multiplication, *Discrete Analysis* **2017:3**, 27pp.
- [5] T. F. Bloom, A quantitative improvement for Roth’s theorem on arithmetic progressions, *J. London Math. Soc.* **93** (2016), 643–663.
- [6] T. C. Brown and J. P. Buhler, A density version of a geometric Ramsey theorem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **32** (1982), 20–34.
- [7] D. Conlon and J. Fox, Bounds for graph regularity and removal lemmas, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **22** (2012), 1191–256.
- [8] D. Conlon, J. Fox, and B. Sudakov, On two problems in graph Ramsey theory, *Combinatorica* **32** (2012), 513–535.
- [9] E. Croot, V. Lev, and P. Pach, Progression-free sets in \mathbb{Z}_4^n are exponentially small, *Ann. of Math.* **185** (2017), 331–337.
- [10] Y. Edel, Extensions of generalized product caps, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **31** (2004), 5–14.
- [11] J. S. Ellenberg and D. Gijswijt, On large subsets of \mathbb{F}_q^n with no three-term arithmetic progression, *Ann. of Math.* **185** (2017), 339–343.
- [12] P. Erdős and P. Turán, On some sequences of integers, *J. London Math. Soc.* **11** (1936), 261–264.
- [13] J. Fox, A new proof of the graph removal lemma, *Annals of Math.* **174** (2011), 561–579.
- [14] J. Fox and L. M. Lovász, A tight bound for Green’s arithmetic triangle removal lemma in vector spaces, arXiv:1606.01230.
- [15] J. Fox and L. M. Lovász, A tight bound for Szemerédi’s regularity lemma, *Combinatorica*, to appear.
- [16] J. Fox and H. T. Pham, Popular progression differences in vector spaces, II, in preparation.
- [17] B. Green, A Szemerédi-type regularity lemma in abelian groups, with applications, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **15** (2005), 340–376.
- [18] B. Green, Finite field models in additive combinatorics, *Surveys in combinatorics 2005*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Cambridge University Press, 2005, pp. 1–27.

- [19] B. Green, Montreal lecture notes on quadratic Fourier analysis, 2006, arXiv:math/0604089.
- [20] B. Green and O. Sisask, On the maximal number of three-term arithmetic progressions in subsets of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **40** (2008), 945–955.
- [21] B. Green and T. Tao, An arithmetic regularity lemma, an associated counting lemma, and applications, in *An Irregular Mind (Szemerédi is 70)*, Springer, 2010, pp. 261–334.
- [22] W. T. Gowers, Lower bounds of tower type for Szemerédi’s uniformity lemma, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **7** (1997), 322–337.
- [23] W. T. Gowers, A new proof of Szemerédi’s theorem, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **11** (2001), 465–588.
- [24] A. Hajnal and E. Szemerédi, Proof of a conjecture of Erdős, in: *Combinatorial Theory and Its Applications, Vol. 2* (Editors P. Erdős, A. Rényi, and V. T. Sós). Amsterdam, Netherlands: North-Holland, pp. 601–623, 1970.
- [25] K. Hosseini, S. Lovett, G. Moshkovitz and A. Shapira, An improved lower bound for arithmetic regularity, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **161** (2016), 193–197.
- [26] C. Lee, Ramsey numbers of degenerate graphs, *Annals of Math.* **185** (2017), 791–829. arXiv:1505.04773.
- [27] I. Levitt, G. Sarkozy, and E. Szemerédi, How to avoid using the Regularity Lemma: Pósa’s conjecture revisited, *Discrete Math.* **310** (2010), 630–641.
- [28] R. Meshulam, On subsets of finite abelian groups with no 3-term arithmetic progressions, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **71** (1995), 168–172.
- [29] G. Moshkovitz and A. Shapira, A short proof of Gowers’ lower bound for the regularity lemma, *Combinatorica* **36** (2016), 187–194.
- [30] E. Nashlund and W. Sawin, Upper bounds for sunflower-free sets, 2016, arXiv:1606.09575.
- [31] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi. A Dirac-type theorem for 3-uniform hypergraphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **15** (2006), 229–251.
- [32] K. F. Roth, On certain sets of integers, *J. London Math. Soc.* **28** (1953), 104–109.
- [33] T. Sanders, On Roth’s theorem on progressions, *Annals of Math.* **174** (2011), 619–636.
- [34] A. Scott, Szemerédi’s regularity lemma for matrices and sparse graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **20** (2011), 455–466.
- [35] E. Szemerédi, Regular partitions of graphs, Problèmes combinatoires et th eorie des graphes (Colloq. Internat. CNRS, Univ. Orsay, Orsay, 1976), Colloq. Internat. CNRS, vol. 260, CNRS, Paris, 1978, pp. 399–401.
- [36] T. Tao, The spectral proof of the Szemerédi regularity lemma, blog post, 2012, <https://terrytao.wordpress.com/2012/12/03/the-spectral-proof-of-the-szemerédi-regularity-lemma/>