

On rainbow Hamilton cycles in random hypergraphs

Andrzej Dudek* Sean English

Department of Mathematics
Western Michigan University
Kalamazoo, U.S.A.

{andrzej.dudek, sean.j.english}@wmich.edu

Alan Frieze†

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, U.S.A.

alan@random.math.cmu.edu

Abstract

Let $H_{n,p,r}^{(k)}$ denote a *randomly colored random hypergraph*, constructed on the vertex set $[n]$ by taking each k -tuple independently with probability p , and then independently coloring it with a random color from the set $[r]$. Let H be a k -uniform hypergraph of order n . An ℓ -*Hamilton cycle* is a spanning subhypergraph C of H with $n/(k-\ell)$ edges and such that for some cyclic ordering of the vertices each edge of C consists of k consecutive vertices and every pair of adjacent edges in C intersects in precisely ℓ vertices.

In this note we study the existence of *rainbow* ℓ -Hamilton cycles (that is every edge receives a different color) in $H_{n,p,r}^{(k)}$. We mainly focus on the most restrictive case when $r = n/(k-\ell)$. In particular, we show that for the so called tight Hamilton cycles ($\ell = k-1$) $p = e^2/n$ is the sharp threshold for the existence of a rainbow tight Hamilton cycle in $H_{n,p,n}^{(k)}$ for each $k \geq 4$.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C80, 05C15

1 Introduction

Suppose that $k > \ell \geq 1$. An ℓ -*Hamilton cycle* C in a k -uniform hypergraph $H = (V, \mathcal{E})$ on n vertices is a collection of $m_\ell = n/(k-\ell)$ edges of H such that for some cyclic order of $[n]$ every edge consists of k consecutive vertices and for every pair of consecutive edges E_{i-1}, E_i in C (in the natural ordering of the edges) we have $|E_{i-1} \cap E_i| = \ell$ (see Figure 1).

*Supported in part by Simons Foundation grant 522400.

†Supported in part by NSF grant DMS1661063.

Thus, in every ℓ -Hamilton cycle the sets $C_i = E_i \setminus E_{i-1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m_\ell$, are a partition of V into sets of size $k - \ell$. Hence, $m_\ell = n/(k - \ell)$. We thus always assume, when discussing ℓ -Hamilton cycles, that this necessary condition, $k - \ell$ divides n , is fulfilled. In the literature, when $\ell = k - 1$ we have a *tight* Hamilton cycle and when $\ell = 1$ we have a *loose* Hamilton cycle.




Figure 1: A 2-Hamilton and a 3-Hamilton 5-uniform cycles.

Let $H_{n,p}^{(k)}$ denote a *random hypergraph*, constructed on the vertex set $[n]$ by taking each k -tuple from $\binom{[n]}{k}$ independently with probability p . When $k = 2$ we have the well-known Erdős-Rényi-Gilbert model $G_{n,p}$.

The threshold for the existence of Hamilton cycles in the random graph $G_{n,p}$ has been known for many years, see, e.g., [1], [4] and [16]. Recently these results were extended to hypergraphs, see, e.g., [2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 15, 18, 19]. Below we summarize some of them.

In the following and throughout the paper, $\omega = \omega(n)$ can be any function tending to infinity with n . All logarithms in this paper are natural (base e). Recall that an event \mathcal{E}_n occurs *with high probability*, or **whp** for brevity, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(\mathcal{E}_n) = 1$.

Theorem 1 ([6]). *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Then:*

- (i) *For all integers $k > \ell \geq 2$, if $p \leq (1 - \varepsilon)e^{k-\ell}/n^{k-\ell}$, then **whp** $H_{n,p}^{(k)}$ is not ℓ -Hamiltonian.*
- (ii) *For all integers $k > \ell \geq 3$, there exists a constant $K = K(k)$ such that if $p \geq K/n^{k-\ell}$ and n is a multiple of $k - \ell$ then $H_{n,p}^{(k)}$ is ℓ -Hamiltonian **whp**.*
- (iii) *If $k > \ell = 2$ and $p \geq \omega/n^{k-2}$ and n is a multiple of $k - 2$, then $H_{n,p}^{(k)}$ is 2-Hamiltonian **whp**.*
- (iv) *For all $k \geq 4$, if $p \geq (1 + \varepsilon)e/n$, then **whp** $H_{n,p}^{(k)}$ is $(k - 1)$ -Hamiltonian, i.e. it contains a tight Hamilton cycle.*

In particular, this theorem shows that e/n is the sharp threshold for the existence of a tight Hamilton cycle in a k -uniform hypergraph, when $k \geq 4$. As it was explained in [6], quite surprisingly, the proof of (ii)-(iv) in Theorem 1 is based on the second moment method.

Theorem 2 ([7, 8, 9, 12]). *Fix $k \geq 3$ and suppose that n is a multiple of $k - 1$. Let $p \geq \omega(\log n)/n^{k-1}$. Then, **whp** $H_{n,p}^{(k)}$ contains a loose Hamilton cycle.*

Thus, $(\log n)/n^{k-1}$ is the asymptotic threshold for the existence of loose Hamilton cycles. This is because if $p \leq (1 - \varepsilon)(k - 1)!(\log n)/n^{k-1}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is constant, then **whp** $H_{n,p}^{(k)}$ contains isolated vertices.

In this note we study the existence of rainbow Hamilton cycles in $H_{n,p}^{(k)}$ with independently colored edges. Let $H_{n,p,r}^{(k)}$ denote a *randomly colored random hypergraph*, constructed on the vertex set $[n]$ by taking each k -tuple independently with probability p , and then independently coloring it with a random color from the set $[r]$. We also denote $H_{n,p,r}^{(2)}$ by $G_{n,p,r}$. Rainbow properties of $G_{n,p,r}$ attracted a considerable amount of attention, see, e.g., [3, 5, 10, 14, 11].

Here we only focus on rainbow Hamilton cycles, which are Hamilton cycles where every edge of the cycle receives a different color. Improving the previous results of Cooper and Frieze [5] and Frieze and Loh [14], Ferber and Krivelevich [10] determined the very sharp threshold for the existence of the rainbow Hamilton cycle in $G_{n,p,r}$ assuming nearly optimal number of colors.

Theorem 3 ([10]). *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $r = (1 + \varepsilon)n$ and let $p = (\log n + \log \log n + \omega)/n$. Then, **whp** $G_{n,p,r}$ contains a rainbow Hamilton cycle.*

For expressions such as $r = (1 + \varepsilon)n$ that clearly have to be an integer, we round up or down but do not specify which: the reader can choose either one, without affecting the argument.

Ferber and Krivelevich [10] were the first to study rainbow Hamilton cycles in $H_{n,p}^{(k)}$. They showed the following. (Recall that $m_\ell = n/(k - \ell)$ is the number of edges in an ℓ -Hamilton cycle.)

Theorem 4 ([10]). *Let $k > \ell \geq 1$ be integers. Suppose that n is a multiple of $k - \ell$. Let $p \in [0, 1]$ be such that **whp** $H_{n,p}^{(k)}$ contains an ℓ -Hamilton cycle. Then, for every $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n) \geq 0$, letting $r = (1 + \varepsilon)m_\ell$ and $q = rp/(\varepsilon m_\ell + 1)$ we have that **whp** $H_{n,q,r}^{(k)}$ contains a rainbow ℓ -Hamilton cycle.*

Observe that if ε is a constant, then by losing a multiplicative constant in the threshold, a rainbow ℓ -Hamilton **whp** exists. By combining this result with Theorems 1 and 2 one can obtain some explicit values of q . However, for small ε (including $\varepsilon = 0$) Theorem 4 does not provide optimal q . In our results we focus on the case when $r = m_\ell$. (But we also allow more colors.) Here we state our first result.

Theorem 5. *Let $k > \ell \geq 2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Let $c \geq 1/(k - \ell)$ and $r = cn$. Then:*

(i) *For all integers $k > \ell \geq 2$, if*

$$p \leq \begin{cases} (1 - \varepsilon)e^{k-\ell+1}/n^{k-\ell} & \text{if } c = 1/(k - \ell) \\ (1 - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{c-1/(k-\ell)}{c} \right)^{(k-\ell)c-1} e^{k-\ell+1}/n^{k-\ell} & \text{if } c > 1/(k - \ell), \end{cases}$$

*then **whp** $H_{n,p,r}^{(k)}$ is not rainbow ℓ -Hamiltonian.*

(ii) For all integers $k > \ell \geq 3$, there exists a constant $K = K(k)$ such that if $p \geq K/n^{k-\ell}$ and n is a multiple of $k - \ell$ then $H_{n,p,r}^{(k)}$ is rainbow ℓ -Hamiltonian **whp**.

(iii) If $k > \ell = 2$ and $p \geq \omega/n^{k-2}$ and n is a multiple of $k - 2$, then $H_{n,p,r}^{(k)}$ is rainbow 2-Hamiltonian **whp**.

(iv) For all $k \geq 4$, if

$$p \geq \begin{cases} (1 + \varepsilon)e^2/n & \text{if } c = 1 \\ (1 + \varepsilon) \left(\frac{c-1}{c}\right)^{c-1} e^2/n & \text{if } c > 1, \end{cases}$$

then **whp** $H_{n,p,r}^{(k)}$ is rainbow $(k - 1)$ -Hamiltonian, i.e. it contains a rainbow tight Hamilton cycle.

Consequently, if $k \geq 4$, then

$$p = \begin{cases} e^2/n & \text{if } c = 1 \\ \left(\frac{c-1}{c}\right)^{c-1} e^2/n & \text{if } c > 1 \end{cases}$$

is the sharp threshold for the existence of a rainbow tight Hamilton cycle. Furthermore, observe that $\lim_{c \rightarrow 1^+} \left(\frac{c-1}{c}\right)^{c-1} = 1$. Thus, in (iv) the case $c > 1$ approaches the case $c = 1$ in the continuous way. Finally, also observe that $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{c-1}{c}\right)^{c-1} = 1/e$. Hence, when c tends to infinity (that means that each edge receives a different color) the threshold function is e/n , which is consistent with Theorem 1. The proof of Theorem 5 modifies the proof of Theorem 1.

We also establish a similar result for loose Hamilton cycles. Recall that a loose Hamilton cycle of order n has exactly $n/(k - 1)$ edges. So for a rainbow loose Hamilton cycle we always need at least $n/(k - 1)$ colors. Here we only consider this most restrictive case with $r = n/(k - 1)$.

Theorem 6. Fix $k \geq 3$ and suppose that n is a multiple of $k - 1$. Let $r = n/(k - 1)$ and $p \geq \omega(\log n)/n^{k-1}$. Then, **whp** $H_{n,p,r}^{(k)}$ contains a rainbow loose Hamilton cycle.

The proof is a modification of the proof of Theorem 2.

Some notation: For sequences $A_n, B_n, n \geq 1$ we write $A_n \approx B_n$ to mean that $A_n = (1 + o(1))B_n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, we write $A_n \lesssim B_n$ to mean that $A_n \leq (1 + o(1))B_n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2 Proof of Theorem 5

The proof modifies the proof of Theorem 3 from [6].

Let $([n], \mathcal{E})$ be a k -uniform hypergraph. A permutation π of $[n]$ is ℓ -Hamilton cycle inducing if

$$E_\pi(i) = \{\pi((i - 1)(k - \ell) + j) : j \in [k]\} \in \mathcal{E} \text{ for all } i \in [n/(k - \ell)].$$

(We use the convention $\pi(n+r) = \pi(r)$ for $r > 0$.) Let the term *hamperm* refer to such a permutation.

Let Y be the random variable that counts the number of rainbow hamperms π for $H_{n,p,r}^{(k)}$. Every ℓ -Hamilton cycle induces at least one hamperm and so we can concentrate on estimating $\mathbf{Pr}(Y > 0)$.

Observe that

$$\mathbf{E}(Y) = n! \cdot p^{n/(k-\ell)} \cdot \frac{(r)_{n/(k-\ell)}}{r^{n/(k-\ell)}},$$

where $(x)_t = x(x-1)\cdots(x-t+1)$ is the *falling factorial*. This is because π induces an ℓ -Hamilton cycle if and only if a certain $n/(k-\ell)$ edges are present and are colored rainbow.

Now let $c > 1/(k-\ell)$. Then, by Stirling's formula we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(Y) &= n! p^{n/(k-\ell)} \frac{r!}{r^{n/(k-\ell)} (r - n/(k-\ell))!} \\ &\approx \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n p^{n/(k-\ell)} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{r}{r-n/(k-\ell)}} \left(\frac{r}{e}\right)^r}{r^{n/(k-\ell)} \left(\frac{r-n/(k-\ell)}{e}\right)^{r-n/(k-\ell)}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2\pi nr}{r-n/(k-\ell)}} \left(\frac{np^{1/(k-\ell)}}{e^{1+1/(k-\ell)}} \cdot \left(\frac{r}{r-n/(k-\ell)}\right)^{r/n-1/(k-\ell)}\right)^n \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2\pi nr}{r-n/(k-\ell)}} \left(\frac{np^{1/(k-\ell)}}{e^{1+1/(k-\ell)}} \cdot \left(\frac{c}{c-1/(k-\ell)}\right)^{c-1/(k-\ell)}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $c = 1/(k-\ell)$ we get

$$\mathbf{E}(Y) \approx 2\pi n \sqrt{\frac{1}{k-\ell}} \left(\frac{np^{1/(k-\ell)}}{e^{1+1/(k-\ell)}}\right)^n.$$

Thus, if

$$p \leq \begin{cases} (1-\varepsilon)e^{k-\ell+1}/n^{k-\ell} & \text{if } c = 1/(k-\ell) \\ (1-\varepsilon) \left(\frac{c-1/(k-\ell)}{c}\right)^{(k-\ell)c-1} e^{k-\ell+1}/n^{k-\ell} & \text{if } c > 1/(k-\ell), \end{cases}$$

then $\mathbf{E}(Y) = o(1)$. This verifies part (i).

Now we prove parts (ii)-(iv) by the second moment method. First observe that if

$$p \geq \begin{cases} (1+\varepsilon)e^{k-\ell+1}/n^{k-\ell} & \text{if } c = 1/(k-\ell) \\ (1+\varepsilon) \left(\frac{c-1/(k-\ell)}{c}\right)^{(k-\ell)c-1} e^{k-\ell+1}/n^{k-\ell} & \text{if } c > 1/(k-\ell), \end{cases}$$

then $\mathbf{E}(Y) \rightarrow \infty$ together with n .

Fix a hamperm π . Let $H(\pi) = (E_\pi(1), E_\pi(2), \dots, E_\pi(m_\ell))$ be the Hamilton cycle induced by π . Then let $N(b, a)$ be the number of permutations π' such that $|E(H(\pi)) \cap$

$E(H(\pi'))| = b$ and $E(H(\pi)) \cap E(H(\pi'))$ consists of a edge disjoint paths. Here a path is a maximal sub-sequence F_1, F_2, \dots, F_q of the edges of $H(\pi)$ such that $F_i \cap F_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i < q$. The set $\bigcup_{j=1}^q F_j$ may contain other edges of $H(\pi)$. Observe that $N(b, a)$ does not depend on π .

Now,

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}(Y^2)}{\mathbf{E}(Y)^2} \leq \frac{n!N(0,0)p^{2n/(k-\ell)} \left(\frac{(r)_{n/(k-\ell)}}{r^{n/(k-\ell)}} \right)^2}{\mathbf{E}(Y)^2} + \sum_{b=1}^{n/(k-\ell)} \sum_{a=1}^b \frac{n!N(b,a)p^{2n/(k-\ell)-b}}{\mathbf{E}(Y)^2} \cdot \frac{(r)_{n/(k-\ell)}}{r^{n/(k-\ell)}} \cdot \frac{(r-b)_{n/(k-\ell)-b}}{r^{n/(k-\ell)-b}}.$$

Since trivially $N(0,0) \leq n!$, we get

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}(Y^2)}{\mathbf{E}(Y)^2} \leq 1 + \sum_{b=1}^{n/(k-\ell)} \sum_{a=1}^b \frac{n!N(b,a)p^{2n/(k-\ell)-b}}{\mathbf{E}(Y)^2} \cdot \frac{(r)_{n/(k-\ell)}}{r^{n/(k-\ell)}} \cdot \frac{(r-b)_{n/(k-\ell)-b}}{r^{n/(k-\ell)-b}}.$$

Let X be the number of ℓ -hamperms in $H_{n,p}^{(k)}$. Then,

$$\mathbf{E}(X) = n!p^{n/(k-\ell)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}(Y) = \mathbf{E}(X) \cdot \frac{(r)_{n/(k-\ell)}}{r^{n/(k-\ell)}}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbf{E}(Y^2)}{\mathbf{E}(Y)^2} &\leq 1 + \sum_{b=1}^{n/(k-\ell)} \sum_{a=1}^b \frac{n!N(b,a)p^{2n/(k-\ell)-b}}{\mathbf{E}(X)^2} \cdot \frac{(r)_{n/(k-\ell)}}{r^{n/(k-\ell)}} \cdot \frac{(r-b)_{n/(k-\ell)-b}}{r^{n/(k-\ell)-b}} \cdot \left(\frac{r^{n/(k-\ell)}}{(r)_{n/(k-\ell)}} \right)^2 \\ &= 1 + \sum_{b=1}^{n/(k-\ell)} \sum_{a=1}^b \frac{N(b,a)p^{n/(k-\ell)-b}}{\mathbf{E}(X)} \cdot r^b \cdot \frac{(r-b)_{n/(k-\ell)-b}}{(r)_{n/(k-\ell)}} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{b=1}^{n/(k-\ell)} \sum_{a=1}^b \frac{N(b,a)p^{n/(k-\ell)-b}}{\mathbf{E}(X)} \cdot r^b \cdot \frac{(r-b)!}{r!} \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{b=1}^{n/(k-\ell)} \sum_{a=1}^b \frac{N(b,a)p^{n/(k-\ell)-b}}{\mathbf{E}(X)} \cdot e^b \left(\frac{r-b}{r} \right)^{r-b}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Part (ii): $\ell \geq 3$

We trivially bound $\left(\frac{r-b}{r} \right)^{r-b} \leq 1$. It was shown in [6] (equation (10)) that

$$\frac{N(b,a)p^{n/(k-\ell)-b}}{\mathbf{E}(X)} \lesssim \left(\frac{2k!ke^k}{n^{k-\ell}p} \right)^b \frac{1}{n^{a(\ell-2)}}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathbf{E}(Y^2)}{\mathbf{E}(Y)^2} &\leq 1 + \sum_{b=1}^{n/(k-\ell)} \sum_{a=1}^b \frac{N(b, a) p^{n/(k-\ell)-b}}{\mathbf{E}(X)} \cdot e^b \\
&\lesssim 1 + \sum_{b=1}^{n/(k-\ell)} \sum_{a=1}^b \left(\frac{2k! k e^k}{n^{k-\ell} p} \right)^b \frac{1}{n^{a(\ell-2)}} \cdot e^b \\
&\leq 1 + \sum_{b=1}^{n/(k-\ell)} \sum_{a=1}^b \left(\frac{2k! k e^{k+1}}{n^{k-\ell} p} \right)^b \frac{1}{n^a}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set $K = 4k! k e^{k+1}$ and $p = K/n^{k-\ell}$. Thus,

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}(Y^2)}{\mathbf{E}(Y)^2} \leq 1 + \sum_{b=1}^{n/(k-\ell)} \sum_{a=1}^b \frac{1}{2^b} \cdot \frac{1}{n^a} \leq 1 + \left(\sum_{b=1}^n \frac{1}{2^b} \right) \left(\sum_{a=1}^n \frac{1}{n^a} \right) \approx 1.$$

Part (iii): $\ell = 2$

Let $p \geq \omega/n^{k-2}$. Similarly as in the previous case

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathbf{E}(Y^2)}{\mathbf{E}(Y)^2} &\leq 1 + \sum_{b=1}^{n/(k-2)} \sum_{a=1}^b \left(\frac{2k! k e^k}{n^{k-2} p} \right)^b \cdot e^b \\
&\leq 1 + \sum_{b=1}^{n/(k-2)} \sum_{a=1}^b \left(\frac{2k! k e^{k+1}}{\omega} \right)^b \\
&\leq 1 + \sum_{b=1}^{n/(k-2)} b \left(\frac{2k! k e^{k+1}}{\omega} \right)^b \approx 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Part (iv): $\ell = k - 1$ (tight cycles)

If $c = 1$ (that means $r = n$), then we trivially bound $\left(\frac{r-b}{r}\right)^{r-b} \leq 1$. Otherwise, we use a simple fact.

Claim 7. *Let $r = cn$, where $c > 1$. Then,*

$$\max_{0 < b \leq n} \left(\frac{r-b}{r} \right)^{\frac{r-b}{b}} = \left(\frac{r-n}{r} \right)^{\frac{r-n}{n}} = \left(\frac{c-1}{c} \right)^{c-1}.$$

Proof of the claim. Let $x = b/n$. Note that since $1 \leq b \leq n$, $x \in (0, 1]$ and $c > x$. Then

$$\left(\frac{r-b}{r} \right)^{\frac{r-b}{b}} = \left(\frac{c-b/n}{c} \right)^{\frac{c-b/n}{b/n}} = \left(\frac{c-x}{c} \right)^{\frac{c-x}{x}}.$$

Taking the derivative gives us

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{c-x}{c} \right)^{\frac{c-x}{x}} = -\frac{c}{x^2} \left(\frac{c-x}{c} \right)^{\frac{c-x}{x}} \left(\log \left(\frac{c-x}{c} \right) + \frac{x}{c} \right).$$

Since $\frac{c}{x^2} \left(\frac{c-x}{c} \right)^{\frac{c-x}{x}} > 0$, we have

$$\operatorname{sgn} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{c-x}{c} \right)^{\frac{c-x}{x}} \right) = -\operatorname{sgn} \left(\log \left(\frac{c-x}{c} \right) + \frac{x}{c} \right) = -\operatorname{sgn} \left(\log \left(1 - \frac{x}{c} \right) + \frac{x}{c} \right)$$

and since $\log \left(1 - \frac{x}{c} \right) < \log e^{-\frac{x}{c}} = -\frac{x}{c}$ we get $\log \left(1 - \frac{x}{c} \right) + \frac{x}{c} < 0$. Thus

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{c-x}{c} \right)^{\frac{c-x}{x}} > 0$$

for $0 < x \leq 1$ and $c > x$. Thus $\left(\frac{c-x}{c} \right)^{\frac{c-x}{x}}$ is maximized at $x = 1$ in our domain, which corresponds to $b = n$, proving the claim. \square

Due to (1) and the above claim we obtain

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}(Y^2)}{\mathbf{E}(Y)^2} \leq \begin{cases} 1 + \sum_{b=1}^n \sum_{a=1}^b \frac{N(b,a)p^{n-b}}{\mathbf{E}(X)} \cdot e^b, & \text{if } c = 1 \\ 1 + \sum_{b=1}^n \sum_{a=1}^b \frac{N(b,a)p^{n-b}}{\mathbf{E}(X)} \cdot \left(e \left(\frac{c-1}{c} \right)^{c-1} \right)^b, & \text{if } c > 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, it was shown in [6] (equation (13)) that for $k \geq 4$,

$$\sum_{b=1}^n \sum_{a=1}^b \frac{N(b,a)p^{n-b}}{\mathbf{E}(X)} \leq \frac{2c_k k! e^{k-1}}{n^{k-3}} \exp \left\{ \frac{2k! e^{k-1}}{n^{k-4}} \right\} \sum_{b=1}^n \left(\frac{e}{np} \right)^b$$

for some positive constant c_k that depends on k only. Thus,

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}(Y^2)}{\mathbf{E}(Y)^2} \leq \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{2c_k k! e^{k-1}}{n^{k-3}} \exp \left\{ \frac{2k! e^{k-1}}{n^{k-4}} \right\} \sum_{b=1}^n \left(\frac{e}{np} \right)^b \cdot e^b, & \text{if } c = 1 \\ 1 + \frac{2c_k k! e^{k-1}}{n^{k-3}} \exp \left\{ \frac{2k! e^{k-1}}{n^{k-4}} \right\} \sum_{b=1}^n \left(\frac{e}{np} \right)^b \cdot \left(e \left(\frac{c-1}{c} \right)^{c-1} \right)^b, & \text{if } c > 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, both for $c = 1$, $p \geq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)e^2}{n}$ and for $c > 1$, $p \geq (1+\varepsilon) \left(\frac{c-1}{c} \right)^{c-1} \frac{e^2}{n}$, we get that

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}(Y^2)}{\mathbf{E}(Y)^2} \leq 1 + \frac{2c_k k! e^{k-1}}{n^{k-3}} \exp \left\{ \frac{2k! e^{k-1}}{n^{k-4}} \right\} \sum_{b=1}^n \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^b} \approx 1.$$

In all three cases we showed that $\frac{\mathbf{E}(Y^2)}{\mathbf{E}(Y)^2} \lesssim 1$. Thus, the Chebyshev inequality completes the proof of Theorem 5.

3 Proof of Theorem 6

Let $n = (k - 1)m$ and assume that m is even. Clearly, $m = m_1 = r$. In this case the proof is a straightforward modification of the proof of Theorem 2 from [7]. The idea being that we interpret an edge $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ of color $c \in [r]$ as an edge $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, c\}$ in an auxiliary $(k + 1)$ -uniform hypergraph. Care must be taken in the proofs that (i) the components corresponding to colors are not used as the intersections of edges of the cycle and (ii) we do not have edges $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, c_1\}$ and $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, c_2\}$ i.e. we give the same edge two colors. Neither of these requirements are difficult to ensure. Indeed, requirement (ii) happens **whp**.

In a little more detail, let $X = [m]$ and $Y = [m + 1, n]$ and $Z = [n + 1, n + m]$. Given $H = H_{n,p,m}^{(k)}$ we define the $(k + 1)$ -uniform hypergraph Γ with vertex set $[n]$ and an edge $\phi(e)$ for each edge $e = \{x_1, x_2, y_1, \dots, y_{k-2}\}$ of H that satisfies $|e \cap X| = 2$. Here $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $y_i \in Y, 1 \leq i \leq k - 2$. We then let $\phi(e) = \{x_1, x_2, y_1, \dots, y_{k-2}, c(e) + n\}$, where $c(e)$ is the color of e and $c(e) + n \in Z$. The proof in [7] can be adapted (and therefore we need to assume that m is even) to show that **whp** Γ contains a loose Hamilton cycle where consecutive edges intersect in vertices of X . We will give sufficient detail in Appendix A to justify this claim. A loose Hamilton cycle in Γ corresponds to a rainbow loose Hamilton cycle of H , where we re-interpret the vertex z of an edge as the color $z - n$.

We can easily remove the requirement that m be even by using an idea of Ferber [9]. In particular, one can follow his proof of Theorem 2 to show that Γ contains a loose Hamilton cycle in this case. More details are given in Appendix B.

References

- [1] M. Ajtai, J. Komlós and E. Szemerédi, The first occurrence of Hamilton cycles in random graphs, *Annals of Discrete Mathematics* **27** (1985), 173–178.
- [2] P. Allen, J. Böttcher, Y. Kohayakawa, Y. Person, Tight Hamilton cycles in random hypergraphs, *Random Structures Algorithms* **46** (2015), no. 3, 446–465.
- [3] D. Bal and A.M. Frieze, Rainbow matchings and Hamilton cycles in random graphs, *Random Structures Algorithms* **48** (2016), no. 3, 503–523.
- [4] B. Bollobás, *The evolution of sparse graphs, in Graph Theory and Combinatorics*, Academic Press, Proceedings of Cambridge Combinatorics, Conference in Honour of Paul Erdős (B. Bollobás; Ed) (1984), 35–57.
- [5] C. Cooper and A. Frieze, Multi-coloured Hamilton cycles in random edge-coloured graphs, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* **11** (2002), 129–133.
- [6] A. Dudek and A.M. Frieze, Tight Hamilton cycles in random uniform hypergraphs, *Random Structures Algorithms* **42** (2013), 374–385.
- [7] A. Dudek and A.M. Frieze, Loose Hamilton cycles in random uniform hypergraphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18** (2011), no. 1, Paper 48, 14 pp.

- [8] A. Dudek, A.M. Frieze, Po-Shen Loh, and S. Speiss, Optimal divisibility conditions for loose Hamilton cycles in random hypergraphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **19** (2012), no. 4, Paper 44, 17 pp.
- [9] A. Ferber, Closing gaps in problems related to Hamilton cycles in random graphs and hypergraphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **22** (2015), no. 1, Paper 1.61, 7 pp.
- [10] A. Ferber and M. Krivelevich, Rainbow Hamilton cycles in random graphs and hypergraphs, *Recent trends in combinatorics, IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its applications*, A. Beveridge, J. R. Griggs, L. Hogben, G. Musiker and P. Tetali, Eds., Springer 2016, 167–189.
- [11] A. Ferber, R. Nenadov, and U. Peter, Universality of random graphs and rainbow embedding, *Random Structures Algorithms* **48** (2016), no. 3, 546–564.
- [12] A.M. Frieze, Loose Hamilton cycles in random 3-uniform hypergraphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17** (2010), no. 1, Note 28, 4 pp.
- [13] A.M. Frieze and M. Krivelevich, Packing Hamilton cycles in random and pseudo-random hypergraphs, *Random Structures Algorithms* **41** (2012), no. 1, 1–22.
- [14] A.M. Frieze and Po-Shen Loh, Rainbow Hamilton cycles in random graphs, *Random Structures Algorithms* **44** (2014), no. 3, 328–354.
- [15] R.J. Gould, Recent advances on the Hamiltonian problem: Survey III, *Graphs Combin.* **30** (2014), no. 1, 1–46.
- [16] J. Komlós and E. Szemerédi, Limit distributions for the existence of Hamilton circuits in a random graph, *Discrete Math.* **43** (1983), 55–63.
- [17] C. McDiarmid, Clutter percolation and random graphs, Combinatorial optimization, II (Proc. Conf., Univ. East Anglia, Norwich, 1979). *Math. Programming Stud.* **13** (1980), 17–25.
- [18] R. Nenadov and N. Škorić, Powers of Hamilton cycles in random graphs and tight Hamilton cycles in random hypergraphs, to appear in *Random Structures Algorithms*.
- [19] O. Parczyk and Y. Person, Spanning structures and universality in sparse hypergraphs, *Random Structures Algorithms* **49** (2016), no. 4, 819–844.

A Modifying the proof in [7]

Suppose that $p = \omega(\log n)/n^{k-1}$, where $\omega = o(\log n)$ and $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. Let $M = \binom{n}{k}p$ and consider a random $(k + 1)$ -uniform hypergraph K with approximately $M' \approx M$ edges.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr(\exists e_1, e_2 \in E(K) : |e_1 \cap e_2| = k) &\leq \binom{n}{k+1} \binom{k+1}{k} n \frac{\binom{n}{M'-2}^{k+1}}{\binom{n}{M'}} \\
&\leq n^{k+2} \left(\frac{M'}{\binom{n}{k+1}} \right)^2 \\
&\leq n^{k+1} \left(\frac{2(k+1)! \omega n \log n}{n^{k+1}} \right)^2 \\
&= o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

In this way we can justify viewing $H_{n,p,m}^{(k)}$ as a random $(k+1)$ -uniform hypergraph. This would be a problem if the latter model gave an edge more than one color.

Let $m = 2m_1$. Then m_1 will replace m in the proof in [7]. The proof in [7] involves proving that **whp** $H_{n,p}^{(k)}$ contains a loose Hamilton cycle that respects a certain vertex partition. Such a Hamilton cycle will consist of $2m_1$ edges of the form $\{x_i, x_{i+1}, y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,r}\}$, where $r = k-2$, $1 \leq i \leq 2m_1$, $x_{2m_1+1} = x_1$, $\{x_1, \dots, x_{2m_1}\} = X$ and $\{y_{1,1}, \dots, y_{2m_1,r}\} = Y$. This is done as follows: we choose a large positive integer d . Let \mathcal{X} be a set of size $2dm_1$ representing d copies of each $x \in X$. Denote the j th copy of $x \in X$ by $x^{(j)} \in \mathcal{X}$ and let $\mathcal{X}_x = \{x^{(j)}, j = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$. Then let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d be a uniform random partition of \mathcal{X} into d sets of size $2m_1$. Define $\psi_1 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ by $\psi_1(x^{(j)}) = x$ for all j and $x \in X$. Similarly, we let \mathcal{Y} be a set of size drm_1 representing $d/2$ copies of each $y \in Y$. Denote the j th copy of $y \in Y$ by $y^{(j)} \in \mathcal{Y}$ and let $\mathcal{Y}_y = \{y^{(j)}, j = 1, 2, \dots, d/2\}$. Then let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_d be a uniform random partition of \mathcal{Y} into d sets of size rm_1 . Define $\psi_2 : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow Y$ by $\psi_2(y^{(j)}) = y$ for all $y \in Y$. Finally, let $\psi : \binom{\mathcal{X}}{2} \times \binom{\mathcal{Y}}{r} \rightarrow X^2 \times Y^r$ be such that $\psi(\nu_1, \nu_2, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r) = (\psi_1(\nu_1), \psi_1(\nu_2), \psi_2(\xi_1), \psi_2(\xi_2), \dots, \psi_2(\xi_r))$.

All we need do is add a set \mathcal{Z} of size dm_1 representing $d/2$ copies of each $z \in Z$. We denote the j th copy of $z \in Z$ by $z^{(j)} \in \mathcal{Z}$ and let $\mathcal{Z}_z = \{z^{(j)}, j = 1, 2, \dots, d/2\}$. Then let Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_d be a uniform random partition of \mathcal{Z} into d sets of size m_1 . Define $\psi_3 : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow Z$ by $\psi_3(z^{(j)}) = z$ for all $z \in Z$. We then modify ψ so that $\psi : \binom{\mathcal{X}}{2} \times \binom{\mathcal{Y}}{r} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow X^2 \times Y^r \times Z$ be such that $\psi(\nu_1, \nu_2, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r, \zeta) = (\psi_1(\nu_1), \psi_1(\nu_2), \psi_2(\xi_1), \psi_2(\xi_2), \dots, \psi_2(\xi_r), \psi_3(\zeta))$. After this, the proof in [7] can be carried out with straightforward modifications involving adding a component for members of Z .

B Removing the requirement that m is even

We begin by defining a random colored directed hypergraph $D = D_{V,C,q}^{(k)}$. Here V is the vertex set, C is the set of colors for each edge $e \in \binom{V}{k}$ and for each of the $k!$ orderings \vec{e} of the vertices in e , we include \vec{e} as an oriented edge with probability q and give it a color c chosen uniformly from C . When $V = [n], C = [r]$, we refer to this graph as $\vec{H}_{n,q,r}^{(k)}$. Generalizing Lemma 6 of [9] we have the following lemma.

Lemma 8. *If q satisfies $q - 2q^2 = p$ then*

$$\Pr(\vec{H}_{n,q,r}^{(k)} \text{ has a loose rainbow Hamilton cycle}) \geq \Pr(H_{n,p,r}^{(k)} \text{ has a loose rainbow Hamilton cycle}) \quad (2)$$

Proof. Using an idea of McDiarmid [17] we define a sequence of random colored directed hypergraphs $\Gamma_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, N = \binom{n}{k}$. Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_N be an enumeration of $\binom{[n]}{k}$ and let η_i denote the $k!$ distinct orderings of the elements of e_i . In Γ_i , we add all of $\eta_j, j \geq i$ to our graph with probability p and none with probability $1 - p$. For $j < i$ we add each member of η_i independently to Γ_i with probability q . Thus $\Gamma_0 = H_{n,p,r}^{(k)}$ and $\Gamma_N = \vec{H}_{n,q,r}^{(k)}$ and to prove (2) we show that for each $i \geq 0$,

$$\Pr(\Gamma_i \text{ has a loose rainbow Hamilton cycle}) \geq \Pr(\Gamma_{i-1} \text{ has a loose rainbow Hamilton cycle}). \quad (3)$$

To prove (3) we condition on all edges associated with $\eta_j, j \neq i$. Denote this conditioning by \mathcal{E} . Then Γ_{i-1} and Γ_i differ only in the existence of the edges in η_i . We focus on the case where the existence of a loose rainbow cycle depends on the edges of η_i . (In the remaining cases, there is a cycle without these edges or there is no such cycle regardless of these edges.) For each $\sigma \in \eta_i$ let C_σ be the set of colors such that if we include σ of a color in C_σ then we create a new loose rainbow cycle. Then,

$$\Pr(\Gamma_{i-1} \text{ has a loose rainbow Hamilton cycle} \mid \mathcal{E}) = \frac{p |\bigcup_{\sigma} C_{\sigma}|}{|C|} \leq \frac{p \sum_{\sigma} |C_{\sigma}|}{|C|}. \quad (4)$$

On the other hand,

$$\Pr(\Gamma_i \text{ has a loose rainbow Hamilton cycle} \mid \mathcal{E}) \geq \frac{q \sum_{\sigma} |C_{\sigma}|}{|C|} - \frac{q^2 \sum_{\sigma, \tau} |C_{\sigma}| |C_{\tau}|}{|C|^2} = \frac{q \sum_{\sigma} |C_{\sigma}|}{|C|} - \left(\frac{q \sum_{\sigma} |C_{\sigma}|}{|C|} \right)^2. \quad (5)$$

Comparing (4), (5) we see that (3) holds. This follows from the fact that $q\theta - q^2\theta^2 \geq p\theta$ for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, by our choice of q . \square

Now suppose that $n = (k - 1)m$ and m is not even. The next idea is to generate $H_{n,p,r}^{(k)}$ as the union of independent random hypergraphs $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\omega_1} H_i, \omega_1 = \omega^{1/2}$. Let the hypergraph $H_0 = H_{n,p/2,r}^{(k)}$. For $i \geq 1$, we let the H_i be independent copies of $\vec{H}_{n,q,r}$, where we ignore orientation. Here q satisfies $1 - p = (1 - p/2)(1 - q)^{k\omega}$ and so the decomposition is valid. Next note that the probability an edge occurs twice as an edge of an H_i is $O(\omega n^k p^2) = o(1)$. So **whp** there is no problem with an edge having two colors.

Now fix an edge e^* of H_0 and let c be its color in H_0 . Also fix an ordering x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k of the vertices of e^* . Supplying e^* is the only role of H_0 . As we expose H_i , we construct a random colored directed hypergraph D_i . The vertices of D_i are $V^* \setminus \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\} \cup v^*$ and $C^* = [r] \setminus \{c\}$, where v^* is a new vertex. Note that $|V^*| = (k - 1)(m - 1)$. An arc e gives rise to an edge of H_i if it satisfies one of the following:

- (a) $e \cap e^* = \emptyset$.
- (b) $e \cap e^* = \{x_1\}$ and x_1 is not the first vertex of e . In which case we add the edge $(e \setminus \{x_1\}) \cup v^*$ to D_i .
- (c) $e \cap e^* = \{x_k\}$ and x_k is the first vertex of e . In which case we add the edge $(e \setminus \{x_k\}) \cup v^*$ to D_i .

We then observe that by this construction, each D_i is distributed as $D_{V^*, C^*, q}$. It follows from Lemma 8 that if $\rho = q - 2q^2$, then

$$\Pr(D_i \text{ contains a loose rainbow Hamilton cycle}) \geq \Pr(H_{n-(k-1), \rho, r-1} \text{ contains a loose rainbow Hamilton cycle}). \quad (6)$$

We have $\rho = \Omega(p/\omega_1) = \Omega(\omega^{1/2} n^{-(k-1)} \log n)$, so D_i contains a loose rainbow Hamilton cycle **whp** from the case where m is even. Now, by symmetry v^* is a start/end point of the edge of such a cycle with probability $2/k$. If D_i contains such a cycle and v^* is a start/end, then when it is replaced by e^* in the implied permutation of V^* , we obtain a loose rainbow Hamilton cycle in H_i . Thus, the probability that $H_{n,p,r}^{(k)}$ contains no loose rainbow Hamilton cycle, given e^* exists, can be bounded by $(1 - 2/k - o(1))^{\omega_1} = o(1)$.