

# Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constants by avoiding three-term arithmetic progressions

Jacob Fox\*

Lisa Sauermann†

## Abstract

For a finite abelian group  $G$ , the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant  $\mathfrak{s}(G)$  is the smallest  $s$  such that every sequence of  $s$  (not necessarily distinct) elements of  $G$  has a zero-sum subsequence of length  $\exp(G)$ . For a prime  $p$ , let  $r(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$  denote the size of the largest subset of  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$  without a three-term arithmetic progression. Although similar methods have been used to study  $\mathfrak{s}(G)$  and  $r(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$ , no direct connection between these quantities has previously been established. We give an upper bound for  $\mathfrak{s}(G)$  in terms of  $r(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$  for the prime divisors  $p$  of  $\exp(G)$ . For the special case  $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$ , we prove  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \leq 2p \cdot r(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$ . Using the upper bounds for  $r(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$  of Ellenberg and Gijswijt, this result improves the previously best known upper bounds for  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$  given by Naslund.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a non-trivial finite abelian group, additively written. We denote the exponent of  $G$  by  $\exp(G)$ ; this is the least common multiple of the orders of all elements of  $G$ .

The Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant  $\mathfrak{s}(G)$  is the smallest integer  $s$  such that every sequence of  $s$  (not necessarily distinct) elements of  $G$  has a subsequence of length  $\exp(G)$  whose elements sum to zero in  $G$ . Furthermore, let  $\mathfrak{g}(G)$  denote the smallest integer  $a$  such that every subset  $A \subseteq G$  of size  $|A| \geq a$  contains  $\exp(G)$  distinct elements summing to zero in  $G$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{g}(G) \leq \mathfrak{s}(G)$  and  $\mathfrak{s}(G) \leq (\exp(G) - 1)(\mathfrak{g}(G) - 1) + 1$ .

A three-term arithmetic progression is a subset of  $G$  consisting of three distinct elements such that the sum of two of these elements equals twice the third element, i.e. a set of the form  $\{x, y, z\} \subseteq G$  with  $x, y, z$  distinct and  $x + z = 2y$ . For  $y \in G$ , a three-term arithmetic progression with middle term  $y$  is a set of the form  $\{x, y, z\} \subseteq G$  with  $x, y, z$  distinct and  $x + z = 2y$ . For a finite abelian group  $G$ , let  $r(G)$  denote the largest size of a subset of  $G$  without a three-term arithmetic progression. Note that  $r(\mathbb{F}_2^n) = 2^n$ , since there are no three-term arithmetic progressions in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Also note that in the case of  $G = \mathbb{F}_3^n$ , a three-term arithmetic progression is the same as a set of three distinct elements summing to zero, hence  $r(\mathbb{F}_3^n) = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{F}_3^n) - 1$  (see also [2] and [10]).

In 1961, Erdős, Ginzburg and Ziv [13] proved for each positive integer  $k$  that any sequence of  $2k - 1$  integers contains a subsequence of length  $k$  whose sum is divisible by  $k$ . The same statement is clearly not true for sequences of length  $2k - 2$ . Thus, their result can be reformulated as  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = 2k - 1$ . The work of Erdős, Ginzburg and Ziv [13] was the starting point for a whole field studying different zero-sum problems in various finite abelian groups; see for example the survey article by Gao and Geroldinger [15].

Note that  $\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^n)$  has a simple geometric interpretation: it is the smallest number  $s$  such that among any  $s$  points in the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  one can choose  $k$  points such that their centroid is again a lattice point in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Harborth [18] investigated  $\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^n)$  in this context and was the first to study Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constants for non-cyclic groups. He proved

$$(k - 1)2^n + 1 \leq \mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^n) \leq (k - 1)k^n + 1,$$

\*Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305. Email: jacobfox@stanford.edu. Research supported by a Packard Fellowship and by NSF Career Award DMS-1352121.

†Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305. Email: lsauerma@stanford.edu. Research supported by Jacob Fox's Packard Fellowship.

where the upper bound is easily obtained from the pigeonhole principle. Harborth [18] also established  $\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z})^n) = (2^m - 1)2^n + 1$  and in particular  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_2^n) = 2^n + 1$ . For  $n = 2$ , Reiher [20] determined that  $\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^2) = 4k - 3$  for all positive integers  $k$ . Alon and Dubiner [3] proved  $\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^n) \leq (cn \log n)^n k$  for some absolute constant  $c$ . Hence, for any fixed  $n$ , the quantity  $\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^n)$  grows linearly with  $k$ . It remains an interesting question to estimate  $\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^n)$  when  $k$  is fixed and  $n$  is large. Elsholtz [12] obtained the lower bounds  $\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^n) \geq 1.125^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} (k-1)2^n + 1$  for  $k \geq 3$  odd and all  $n$ , and in particular  $\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^n) \geq 2.08^n$  if  $k \geq 3$  is odd and  $n$  is sufficiently large.

For general finite abelian groups, Gao and Yang [16] proved the upper bound  $\mathfrak{s}(G) \leq |G| + \exp(G) - 1$  (see also [17, Theorem 5.7.4]). Alon and Dubiner's result [3] has been used to obtain upper bounds on  $\mathfrak{s}(G)$  when  $G$  has small rank (the rank of  $G$  is  $\max(n_1, \dots, n_m)$ , where  $n_1, \dots, n_m$  are defined as in Theorem 1 below), see [10, Theorem 1.4] and [8, Theorem 1.5]. In this paper, we will focus on the opposite case where at least one of  $n_1, \dots, n_m$  is large compared to  $\exp(G)$ .

The case  $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$  for a prime  $p \geq 3$  has attracted particular interest. In this case, Naslund [19] proved that  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \leq (2^p - p - 2) \cdot (J(p)p)^n$  and  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \leq (p-1)2^p \cdot (J(p)p)^n$ , where  $0.8414 \leq J(p) \leq 0.9184$ . To prove these bounds, Naslund introduced a variant of Tao's slice rank method [22]. Tao developed this method as an alternative formulation of the proof of  $r(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \leq (J(p)p)^n$  by Ellenberg and Gijswijt [11], which in turn used the new polynomial method introduced by Croot, Lev and Pach [9] to prove  $r((\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^n) \leq 3.62^n$ . Note that the constant  $J(p)p$  in Naslund's bounds for  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$  and  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$  is the same as in the bound  $r(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \leq (J(p)p)^n$  by Ellenberg and Gijswijt [11], see also [6].

While similar methods have been applied to prove upper bounds for the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant and upper bounds for sets without arithmetic progressions, no direct connection between the two problems has previously been established (apart from the case  $G = \mathbb{F}_3^n$  mentioned above). In this note, we derive upper bounds for  $\mathfrak{s}(G)$  for all finite abelian groups  $G$  in terms of  $r(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$  for the prime divisors  $p$  of  $\exp(G)$ . It is also possible to prove an upper bound of the form  $\mathfrak{s}(G) \leq O(\exp(G)r(G))$ . However,  $\exp(G)r(G)$  is usually much larger than our upper bound in Theorem 1.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a non-trivial finite abelian group. Let  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  be the distinct prime factors of  $\exp(G)$ . When writing  $G$  as a product of cyclic groups of prime power order, all the occurring prime powers are powers of  $p_1, \dots, p_m$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , let  $n_i$  be the number of cyclic factors of  $G$  whose order is a power of  $p_i$ . Then we have*

$$\mathfrak{s}(G) < 3 \exp(G) \cdot (r(\mathbb{F}_{p_1}^{n_1}) + \dots + r(\mathbb{F}_{p_m}^{n_m})).$$

For the case  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^n$  we obtain the following corollary (note that  $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$  has precisely one cyclic factor of prime power order for each distinct prime dividing  $k$ , hence  $(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^n$  has precisely  $n$  cyclic factors for each distinct prime dividing  $k$ ).

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer and let  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  be its distinct prime factors. Then we have*

$$\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^n) < 3k(r(\mathbb{F}_{p_1}^n) + \dots + r(\mathbb{F}_{p_m}^n))$$

for every positive integer  $n$ .

Recall that  $r(\mathbb{F}_2^n) = 2^n$ . For primes  $p \geq 3$  it is known from [11] and [6] that  $r(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \leq (J(p)p)^n$ , with  $0.8414 \leq J(p) \leq 0.9184$  and with  $J(p)$  being a decreasing function that tends to  $0.8414\dots$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  (see [6] for more details and for the precise definition of the function  $J(p)$ ). As a lower bound, we have  $r(\mathbb{F}_p) \geq p^{1-o(1)}$  by Behrend's construction [5] and  $r(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \geq p^{(1-o(1))n}$  by taking a product with Behrend's construction in each coordinate (here  $o(1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  independently of  $n$ ). Furthermore, Alon, Shpilka and Umans [4], relying on a construction of Salem and Spencer [21], proved  $r(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \geq (p/2)^{(1-o(1))n}$ , where  $o(1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  with  $p$  fixed. A variant of Behrend's construction due to Alon gives an improvement of the  $o(1)$ -term (see [14, Lemma 17]). Note that in light of  $r(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \geq (p/2)^{(1-o(1))n}$ , for large  $n$  and odd  $k \geq 3$  there is still a big gap between Elsholtz' lower bound  $\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^n) \geq 2.08^n$  and the upper bound for  $\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^n)$  in Corollary 2.

The bounds in Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 look clean and simple, but they are not the optimal results that can be obtained from our arguments (see Remark 9 and the second inequality in Lemma 10 where certain terms are just ignored). However, the improvements when optimizing the estimates in our proof are not very significant as long as  $\exp(G)$  is small compared to at least one of  $n_1, \dots, n_m$ .

In Section 2, we will first prove the following upper bounds for  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$  and  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$  using the probabilistic method. In Section 3 we will then deduce Theorem 1 from Theorem 4.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $p \geq 3$  be a prime and  $n \geq 2$  be an integer. Then  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \leq 2p \cdot r(\mathbb{F}_p^{n-1})$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $p \geq 3$  be a prime and  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. Then  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \leq 2p \cdot r(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$ .

For  $p \geq 3$  prime, using  $r(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \leq (J(p)p)^n$ , we obtain

$$\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \leq 2p \cdot r(\mathbb{F}_p^{n-1}) \leq 2p \cdot (J(p)p)^{n-1} < 3(J(p)p)^n$$

and

$$\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \leq 2p \cdot r(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \leq 2p \cdot (J(p)p)^n,$$

which slightly improves the previously best known bounds for  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$  and  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$  from [19].

To obtain an upper bound for  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$  in terms of  $r(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$ , note that a product construction shows

$$r(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \geq r(\mathbb{F}_p^{n-1}) \cdot r(\mathbb{F}_p) \geq 2r(\mathbb{F}_p^{n-1})p^{1-o(1)}.$$

Hence, Theorem 3 implies  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \leq p^{o(1)}r(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$ , where  $o(1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  independently of  $n$ .

## 2 Proof of Theorems 3 and 4

**Lemma 5.** Let  $p \geq 3$  be a prime and  $n \geq 1$ . If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  does not contain  $p$  distinct elements summing to zero, then for every  $x \in A$  the set  $A$  contains at most  $\frac{p-3}{2}$  different three-term arithmetic progressions with middle term  $x$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that for some  $x \in A$  the set  $A$  contains  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  different three-term arithmetic progressions with middle term  $x$ . Each of them consists of  $x$  and two more elements of  $A$  whose sum equals  $2x$ . So we obtain  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  pairs of elements of  $A$ , each pair with sum  $2x$ . It is not hard to see that the  $p-1$  elements of  $A$  involved in these  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  pairs are all distinct and distinct from  $x$ . So taking these  $p-1$  elements together with  $x$  itself, we obtain  $p$  distinct elements of  $A$  with sum  $\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot 2x + x = p \cdot x = 0$ . This is a contradiction to the assumption on  $A$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.** By definition,  $r(\mathbb{F}_p^{n-1})$  is the largest size of a subset of  $\mathbb{F}_p^{n-1}$  without a three-term arithmetic progression. Let  $V$  be an affine subspace of dimension  $n-1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ , i.e. a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ . We can consider a translation moving  $V$  to the origin (so that it becomes a linear subspace of dimension  $n-1$ ) and then an isomorphism to  $\mathbb{F}_p^{n-1}$ . This gives a bijection between  $V$  and  $\mathbb{F}_p^{n-1}$  which preserves three-term arithmetic progressions. Hence the largest size of a subset of  $V$  without a three-term arithmetic progression is also equal to  $r(\mathbb{F}_p^{n-1})$ .

We will now prove Theorem 3. Note that  $\exp(\mathbb{F}_p^n) = p$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  be a subset that does not contain  $p$  distinct elements summing to zero. We need to show that  $|A| < 2p \cdot r(\mathbb{F}_p^{n-1})$ .

By Lemma 5 we know that for every  $x \in A$  the set  $A$  contains at most  $\frac{p-3}{2}$  different three-term arithmetic progressions with middle term  $x$ . Hence the total number of three-term arithmetic progressions contained in the set  $A$  is at most  $\frac{p-3}{2}|A|$ .

Pick an affine subspace  $V$  of dimension  $n-1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$  uniformly at random. Let  $X_1 = |A \cap V|$  and let  $X_2$  be the number of three-term arithmetic progressions that are contained in  $A \cap V$ . Since each point of  $A$  is contained in  $V$  with probability  $\frac{1}{p}$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \frac{1}{p}|A|$ .

For any three-term arithmetic progression, the probability that its first element is contained in  $V$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{p}$ . Conditioned on this, the probability that its second element is also contained in  $V$  is  $\frac{p^{n-1}-1}{p^n-1} < \frac{1}{p}$  (and note that then the third element will be contained in  $V$  as well). Hence for any three-term arithmetic progression contained in  $A$ , the probability that it is contained in  $A \cap V$  is less than  $\frac{1}{p^2}$ . Since  $A$  contains at most  $\frac{p-3}{2}|A|$  three-term arithmetic progressions, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[X_2] < \frac{1}{p^2} \cdot \frac{p-3}{2}|A| < \frac{1}{2p}|A|.$$

Thus,  $\mathbb{E}[X_1 - X_2] > \frac{1}{2p}|A|$ . So we can choose an affine subspace  $V$  of dimension  $n - 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$  such that  $X_1 - X_2 > \frac{1}{2p}|A|$ . Let  $B$  be a set obtained from  $A \cap V$  after deleting one element from each three-term arithmetic progression contained in  $A \cap V$ . Then  $|B| \geq X_1 - X_2 > \frac{1}{2p}|A|$ . By construction,  $B$  is a subset of  $V$  that does not contain any three-term arithmetic progression. By Remark 6, we can conclude that  $|B| \leq r(\mathbb{F}_p^{n-1})$ . Thus,  $\frac{1}{2p}|A| < |B| \leq r(\mathbb{F}_p^{n-1})$  and therefore  $|A| < 2p \cdot r(\mathbb{F}_p^{n-1})$ .  $\square$

Our proof of Theorem 3 is somewhat similar to the first half of the proof of Proposition 2.5 in Alon's paper [1]. There, he also considered points which are the middle term of only few three-term arithmetic progressions and obtained a subset without any three-term arithmetic progressions, yielding a contradiction. However, Alon's work [1] is in a very different context and does not use a subspace sampling argument.

Finally, we will deduce Theorem 4 from Theorem 3.

*Proof of Theorem 4.* Assume we are given a sequence of vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$  without a zero-sum subsequence of length  $p$ . Every vector occurs at most  $p - 1$  times in the sequence. Hence by attaching one additional coordinate we can make all the vectors in the sequence distinct. This way, we obtain a subset of  $\mathbb{F}_p^{n+1}$  without  $p$  distinct elements summing to zero. Since this subset has size at most  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{F}_p^{n+1}) - 1$ , we can conclude that the original sequence had length at most  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{F}_p^{n+1}) - 1$ . This shows  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \leq \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{F}_p^{n+1})$  and together with Theorem 3 with  $n$  replaced by  $n + 1$ , we obtain  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n) \leq \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{F}_p^{n+1}) \leq 2p \cdot r(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$  as desired.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we will first bound  $\mathfrak{s}(G)$  for any finite abelian group  $G$  by terms of the form  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n)$ . Then, applying Theorem 4, we will obtain Theorem 1.

The following lemma was proved by Chi, Ding, Gao, Geroldinger and Schmid [7, Proposition 3.1] and is a generalization of [18, Hilfssatz 2]. For the reader's convenience we repeat the proof here.

**Lemma 7** (Proposition 3.1 in [7]). *Let  $G$  be a non-trivial finite abelian group and  $H \subseteq G$  be a subgroup such that  $\exp(G) = \exp(H)\exp(G/H)$ . Then*

$$\mathfrak{s}(G) \leq \exp(G/H)(\mathfrak{s}(H) - 1) + \mathfrak{s}(G/H).$$

*Proof.* Consider a sequence of length  $\exp(G/H)(\mathfrak{s}(H) - 1) + \mathfrak{s}(G/H)$  with elements in  $G$ . Then we can find a subsequence of length  $\exp(G/H)$  summing to zero in  $G/H$ , i.e. summing to an element of  $H$ . Delete this subsequence and repeat. We can do this  $\mathfrak{s}(H)$  many times (since after  $\mathfrak{s}(H) - 1$  many times we still have  $\mathfrak{s}(G/H)$  elements left). So we find  $\mathfrak{s}(H)$  disjoint subsequences each of length  $\exp(G/H)$  and the sum of each of the subsequences is in  $H$ . Now writing down these  $\mathfrak{s}(H)$  sums, we get a sequence of length  $\mathfrak{s}(H)$  with elements in  $H$ . So we can choose  $\exp(H)$  of them summing to zero. Now taking the union of the corresponding subsequences of the original sequence we obtain  $\exp(H)\exp(G/H) = \exp(G)$  elements summing to zero.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *For any finite abelian  $p$ -group  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_1}\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_n}\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$  are positive integers and  $p \geq 2$  is prime, we have*

$$\mathfrak{s}(G) = \mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_1}\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_n}\mathbb{Z})) \leq \frac{p^{a_1} - 1}{p - 1} \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n) < \frac{\exp(G)}{p - 1} \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\exp(G) = p^{a_1}$ , the second inequality is clearly true. Now, let us prove the first inequality by induction on  $a_1$ . If  $a_1 = 1$ , then  $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 1$  and so

$$\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_1}\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_n}\mathbb{Z})) = \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n) = \frac{p^{a_1} - 1}{p - 1} \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n).$$

For  $a_1 > 1$  we can apply Lemma 7 to  $H = pG$ . Indeed,  $G/H \cong \mathbb{F}_p^n$  and  $H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_1-1}\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_n-1}\mathbb{Z})$ . In particular,  $\exp(G) = p^{a_1} = p^{a_1-1} \cdot p = \exp(H)\exp(G/H)$ . So by Lemma 7 we have

$$\mathfrak{s}(G) \leq \exp(\mathbb{F}_p^n)(\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_1-1}\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_n-1}\mathbb{Z})) - 1) + \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n) < p\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_1-1}\mathbb{Z}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_n-1}\mathbb{Z})) + \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n).$$

Let  $n' \leq n$  be such that  $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_{n'} \geq 2$  and  $a_{n'+1} = \dots = a_n = 1$ . Then by the induction assumption we have

$$\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_1-1}\mathbb{Z}) \times \dots \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_{n'}-1}\mathbb{Z})) = \mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_1-1}\mathbb{Z}) \times \dots \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_{n'}-1}\mathbb{Z})) \leq \frac{p^{a_1-1} - 1}{p-1} \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^{n'}) \leq \frac{p^{a_1-1} - 1}{p-1} \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n).$$

Thus,

$$\mathfrak{s}(G) = \mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_1}\mathbb{Z}) \times \dots \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_n}\mathbb{Z})) \leq p \cdot \frac{p^{a_1-1} - 1}{p-1} \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n) + \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n) = \frac{p^{a_1} - 1}{p-1} \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^n),$$

completing the induction.  $\square$

**Remark 9.** The proof of Lemma 8 also gives the stronger but more complicated bound

$$\mathfrak{s}((\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_1}\mathbb{Z}) \times \dots \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^{a_n}\mathbb{Z})) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{a_1} p^{j-1} \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_p^{b_j}),$$

where  $b_j = \max\{i \mid a_i \geq j\}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, a_1$ . Note that  $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_{a_1}$  is the conjugate of  $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_n$  in the sense of Young diagrams.

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $G$  be a non-trivial finite abelian group. Let  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  be the distinct prime factors of  $\exp(G)$ . Let us write  $G \cong G_1 \times \dots \times G_m$  where each  $G_i$  is a  $p_i$ -group. Then*

$$\mathfrak{s}(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \exp(G_1) \cdots \exp(G_{i-1}) \mathfrak{s}(G_i) \leq \exp(G) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{s}(G_1)}{\exp(G_1)} + \dots + \frac{\mathfrak{s}(G_m)}{\exp(G_m)} \right).$$

*Proof.* First, note that  $\exp(G) = \exp(G_1) \cdots \exp(G_m)$ . In particular

$$\exp(G_1) \cdots \exp(G_{i-1}) \leq \frac{\exp(G)}{\exp(G_i)}$$

for every  $i$ , which makes the second inequality true. We prove the first inequality by induction on  $m$ . If  $m = 1$ , the statement is trivial. If  $m > 1$ , note that we can apply Lemma 7 to  $H = G_m$  and obtain

$$\mathfrak{s}(G) \leq \exp(G_1 \times \dots \times G_{m-1}) (\mathfrak{s}(G_m) - 1) + \mathfrak{s}(G_1 \times \dots \times G_{m-1}).$$

Plugging in  $\exp(G_1 \times \dots \times G_{m-1}) = \exp(G_1) \cdots \exp(G_{m-1})$  as well as using the induction assumption for  $G_1 \times \dots \times G_{m-1}$  yields

$$\mathfrak{s}(G) \leq \exp(G_1) \cdots \exp(G_{m-1}) \mathfrak{s}(G_m) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \exp(G_1) \cdots \exp(G_{i-1}) \mathfrak{s}(G_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \exp(G_1) \cdots \exp(G_{i-1}) \mathfrak{s}(G_i)$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1 we have*

$$\mathfrak{s}(G) < \exp(G) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_{p_1}^{n_1})}{p_1 - 1} + \dots + \frac{\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_{p_m}^{n_m})}{p_m - 1} \right).$$

*Proof.* As in Lemma 10, let us write  $G \cong G_1 \times \dots \times G_m$  where each  $G_i$  is a  $p_i$ -group. Each  $G_i$  can be written as a product of cyclic groups whose orders are powers of  $p_i$ . Note that the number of factors of each  $G_i$  is precisely  $n_i$ , because together all these factorizations form the unique representation of  $G$  as a product of cyclic groups of prime power order. So, by Lemma 8, we have

$$\mathfrak{s}(G_i) < \frac{\exp(G_i)}{p_i - 1} \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_{p_i}^{n_i})$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Now the desired inequality follows directly from Lemma 10.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Note that by Theorem 4 we have

$$\frac{\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_{p_i}^{n_i})}{p_i - 1} \leq \frac{2p_i}{p_i - 1} r(\mathbb{F}_{p_i}^{n_i}) \leq 3r(\mathbb{F}_{p_i}^{n_i})$$

for all the odd  $p_i$ . Since  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_2^n) = 2^n + 1$  (see [18, Korollar 1]) and  $r(\mathbb{F}_2^n) = 2^n$ , we also have  $\frac{\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{F}_{p_i}^{n_i})}{p_i - 1} \leq 3r(\mathbb{F}_{p_i}^{n_i})$  if  $p_i = 2$ . Thus, Lemma 11 gives

$$\mathfrak{s}(G) < \exp(G) \cdot (3r(\mathbb{F}_{p_1}^{n_1}) + \cdots + 3r(\mathbb{F}_{p_m}^{n_m})) = 3 \exp(G) \cdot (r(\mathbb{F}_{p_1}^{n_1}) + \cdots + r(\mathbb{F}_{p_m}^{n_m})),$$

as desired. □

*Acknowledgements.* We would like to thank the anonymous referees for helpful comments, including pointing out the reference [1].

## References

- [1] N. Alon, Subset sums, *J. Number Theory* **27** (1987), 196–205.
- [2] N. Alon and M. Dubiner, Zero-sum sets of prescribed size, *Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is eighty*, Vol. 1, 33–50, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 1993.
- [3] N. Alon and M. Dubiner, A lattice point problem and additive number theory, *Combinatorica* **15** (1995), 301–309.
- [4] N. Alon, A. Shpilka, and C. Umans, On sunflowers and matrix multiplication, *Comput. Complexity* **22** (2013), 219–243.
- [5] F. A. Behrend, On sets of integers which contain no three terms in arithmetical progression, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **32** (1946), 331–332.
- [6] J. Blasiak, T. Church, H. Cohn, J. A. Grochow, E. Naslund, W. F. Sawin, and C. Umans, On cap sets and the group-theoretic approach to matrix multiplication, *Discrete Anal.* 2017, Paper No. 3, 27pp.
- [7] R. Chi, S. Ding, W. Gao, A. Geroldinger, and W. A. Schmid, On zero-sum subsequences of restricted size. IV, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **107** (2005), 337–344.
- [8] M. N. Chintamani, B. K. Moriya, W. D. Gao, P. Paul, and R. Thangadurai, New upper bounds for the Davenport and for the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constants, *Arch. Math.* **98** (2012), 133–142.
- [9] E. Croot, V. F. Lev, and P. P. Pach, Progression-free sets in  $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$  are exponentially small, *Ann. of Math.* **185** (2017), 331–337.
- [10] Y. Edel, C. Elsholtz, A. Geroldinger, S. Kubertin, and L. Rackham, Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups and affine caps, *Q. J. Math.* **58** (2007), 159–186.
- [11] J. S. Ellenberg and D. Gijswijt, On large subsets of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  with no three-term arithmetic progression, *Ann. of Math.* **185** (2017), 339–343.
- [12] C. Elsholtz, Lower bounds for multidimensional zero sums, *Combinatorica* **24** (2004), 351–358.
- [13] P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg, and A. Ziv, Theorem in the additive number theory, *Bull. Res. Council Israel* **10F** (1961), 41–43.
- [14] J. Fox and H. T. Pham, Popular progression differences in vector spaces II, *Discrete Analysis*, to appear.
- [15] W. Gao and A. Geroldinger, Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups: a survey, *Expo. Math.* **24** (2006), 337–369.
- [16] W. D. Gao and Y. X. Yang, Note on a combinatorial constant, *J. Math. Res. Expo.* **17** (1997), 139–140.

- [17] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, Non-Unique Factorizations. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory, *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
- [18] H. Harborth, Ein Extremalproblem für Gitterpunkte, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **262** (1973), 356–360.
- [19] E. Naslund, Exponential Bounds for the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Constant, preprint, 2018, arXiv:1701.04942v2.
- [20] C. Reiher, On Kemnitz’ conjecture concerning lattice-points in the plane, *Ramanujan J.* **13** (2007), 333–337.
- [21] R. Salem and D. C. Spencer, On sets of integers which contain no three terms in arithmetical progression, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **28** (1942), 561–563.
- [22] T. Tao, A symmetric formulation of the Croot-Lev-Pach-Ellenberg-Gijswijt capset bound, blog post, 2016, <http://terrytao.wordpress.com/2016/05/18/a>.