

The Tutte Polynomial of Symmetric Hyperplane Arrangements

Hery Randriamaro *

February 28, 2022

Abstract

The Tutte polynomial is originally a bivariate polynomial which enumerates the colorings of a graph and of its dual graph. Ardila extended in 2007 the definition of the Tutte polynomial on the real hyperplane arrangements. He particularly computed the Tutte polynomials of the hyperplane arrangements associated to the classical Weyl groups. Those associated to the exceptional Weyl groups were computed by De Concini and Procesi one year later. This article has two objectives: On one side, we extend the Tutte polynomial computing to the complex hyperplane arrangements. On the other side, we introduce a wider class of hyperplane arrangements which is that of the symmetric hyperplane arrangements. Computing the Tutte polynomial of a symmetric hyperplane arrangement permits us to deduce the Tutte polynomials of some hyperplane arrangements, particularly of those associated to the imprimitive reflection groups.

Keywords: Tutte Polynomial, ζ_m -Coboundary Polynomial, Symmetric Hyperplane Arrangement, Imprimitive Reflection Group

MSC Number: 05A15

1 Introduction

The Tutte Polynomial

The concept of coloring originates from the map coloring problem. One assigns a vertex to each territory, and connect two vertices if and only if the corresponding territories are adjacent. One associates in this way a graph to any map of countries. A graph coloring corresponds to a way of coloring the vertices, so that two connected vertices are differently colored. And the chromatic polynomial is a graph polynomial which counts the number of graph colorings. In 1954, Tutte obtained a two-variable polynomial from which the chromatic polynomial of a graph, and that of its dual graph can be deduced [13, § 3]: it is the Tutte polynomial. Although this polynomial reveals more of the internal structure of the graph like its number of forests, of spanning subgraphs, and of acyclic orientations. Crapo showed also that it determines the number of subgraphs with any stated number of edges and any stated rank [3, Theorem 1]. In this article, we study the Tutte polynomial on objects generalizing the finite simple graphs, which are the hyperplane arrangements. Determining the

*This research was funded by my mother
Lot II B 32 bis Faravohitra, 101 Antananarivo, Madagascar
e-mail: hery.randriamaro@gmail.com

Tutte polynomial of a graph is indeed equivalent to determining the Tutte polynomial of an associated hyperplane arrangement called graphic arrangement.

Let $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ be a vector variable of the Hermitian space \mathbb{C}^n , and c_1, \dots, c_n, d $n + 1$ complex coefficients such that $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$. A hyperplane H of \mathbb{C}^n is an affine subspace $H := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid c_1 z_1 + \dots + c_n z_n = d\}$. For simplicity, we just write

$$H := \{c_1 z_1 + \dots + c_n z_n = d\}.$$

A hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{A} in \mathbb{C}^n is a finite set of hyperplanes. Denote by $\cap \mathcal{A}$ the subspace

$$\cap \mathcal{A} := \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H.$$

One says that the arrangement \mathcal{A} is said central if $\cap \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$.

A subarrangement of \mathcal{A} is a subset of \mathcal{A} . The rank function r is defined for each central subarrangement \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} by

$$r(\mathcal{B}) := n - \dim \cap \mathcal{B}.$$

This function is extended to the function $r : 2^{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by defining the rank of a noncentral subarrangement \mathcal{B} to be the largest rank of a central subarrangement of \mathcal{B} .

Take two variables x and y . The *Tutte polynomial* of a hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{A} is

$$T_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) := \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \\ \text{central}}} (x - 1)^{r(\mathcal{A}) - r(\mathcal{B})} (y - 1)^{|\mathcal{B}| - r(\mathcal{B})}.$$

At various points and lines of the (x, y) -plane, the Tutte polynomial evaluates to quantities studied in diverse fields of Mathematics and Physics. For examples,

- Along the hyperbola $xy = 1$, the Tutte polynomial specializes to the Jones polynomial of an associated alternating knot [12, § 3]. The Jones polynomial is an invariant of an oriented knot which assigns to each oriented knot a Laurent polynomial in the variable $t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with integer coefficients.
- For any positive integer q , along the hyperbola $(x - 1)(y - 1) = q$, the Tutte polynomial specializes to the partition function of the q -state Potts model [6, § I]. By studying the Potts model, one may gain insight into the behavior of ferromagnets, and certain other phenomena of solid-state physics.
- At $x = 1$, the Tutte polynomial specializes to the all-terminal reliability polynomial studied in network theory [2, Proposition 3.3]. The reliability polynomial gives the probability that every pair of vertices of the graph remains connected after edges fail.

Let \mathcal{A} be a hyperplane arrangement in \mathbb{C}^n , and $M_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$ the complement of its variety. Then, the Poincaré polynomial of the cohomology ring of $M_{\mathcal{A}}$ is given by [7]

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \text{rank } H^k(M_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{Z}) q^k = (-1)^{r(\mathcal{A})} q^{n - r(\mathcal{A})} T_{\mathcal{A}}(1 - q, 0).$$

If \mathcal{A} is a hyperplane arrangement in \mathbb{R}^n , then the number of regions into which \mathcal{A} dissects \mathbb{R}^n is equal to $|T_{\mathcal{A}}(2, 0)|$ [10, Theorem 2.5].

Take two other variables q, t , and consider a simple transformation of the Tutte polynomial, called the *coboundary polynomial* of the hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{A} , defined by

$$\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}(q, t) := \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \\ \text{central}}} q^{r(\mathcal{A})-r(\mathcal{B})} (t-1)^{|\mathcal{B}|}.$$

Since $T_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) = \frac{\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}((x-1)(y-1), y)}{(y-1)^{r(\mathcal{A})}}$, computing the coboundary polynomial of a hyperplane arrangement is equivalent to computing its Tutte polynomial.

Recall that the hyperplane arrangements associated to the classical Weyl groups are

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{n-1}) \quad \mathcal{A}_{A_{n-1}} &= \{\{z_i - z_j = 0\}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, \\ (B_n, C_n) \quad \mathcal{A}_{B_n} &= \{\{z_i \pm z_j = 0\}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sqcup \{\{z_i = 0\}\}_{i \in [n]}, \\ (D_n) \quad \mathcal{A}_{D_n} &= \{\{z_i \pm z_j = 0\}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}. \end{aligned}$$

Ardila proved that

$$\begin{aligned} [1, \text{Theorem 4.1}] \quad 1 + q \sum_{n \geq 1} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}_{A_n}}(q, t) \frac{x^n}{n!} &= \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^q, \\ [1, \text{Theorem 4.2}] \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}_{B_n}}(q, t) \frac{x^n}{n!} &= \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t^{n^2} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^n t^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}, \\ [1, \text{Theorem 4.3}] \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}_{D_n}}(q, t) \frac{x^n}{n!} &= \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t^{n(n-1)} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^n t^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

De Concini and Procesi computed the Tutte polynomials of the hyperplane arrangements associated to the exceptional Weyl groups [4, 3.4. Exceptional types].

Symmetric Hyperplane Arrangement

We aim to give a more general result by introducing the symmetric hyperplane arrangements, and the ζ_m -coboundary polynomial of a hyperplane arrangement: More exactly, we compute the ζ_m -coboundary polynomial of a symmetric hyperplane arrangement. That permits us to deduce the Tutte polynomials of hyperplane arrangements having symmetric structure, particularly those of the hyperplane arrangements associated to the imprimitive reflection groups.

Let \mathbb{U}_m be the group of all m^{th} roots of unity. For a primitive m^{th} root of unity ζ_m , denote by l_m the dimension of the module $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$:

- If m is odd, $l_m = m$,
- If m is even, $l_m = \frac{m}{2}$.

It is useful for us to generalize the coboundary polynomial into what we call ζ_m -coboundary polynomial of a hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{A} defined by

$$\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) := \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \\ \text{central}}} q^{l_m(r(\mathcal{A})-r(\mathcal{B}))} (t-1)^{|\mathcal{B}|}.$$

Since $T_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) = \frac{\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(\zeta_m)}((x-1)^{\frac{1}{l_m}}(y-1)^{\frac{1}{l_m}}, y)}{(y-1)^{r(\mathcal{A})}}$, computing the ζ_m -coboundary polynomial of a hyperplane arrangement is equivalent to computing its Tutte polynomial.

An element σ of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n acts on the hyperplane $H = \{c_1 z_1 + \cdots + c_n z_n = d\}$ in \mathbb{C}^n by

$$\sigma \cdot H := \{c_1 z_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + c_n z_{\sigma(n)} = d\}.$$

More generally, an element σ of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n acts on the hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A} = \{H_1, \dots, H_m\}$ by

$$\sigma \cdot \mathcal{A} := \{\sigma \cdot H_1, \dots, \sigma \cdot H_m\}.$$

Definition 1.1. A *symmetric hyperplane arrangement* or a *SH-arrangement* is a hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{A} in \mathbb{C}^n such that, for every permutation σ in \mathfrak{S}_n , we have $\sigma \cdot \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$.

The hyperplane arrangements associated to the classical Weyl groups are symmetric hyperplane arrangements. Another is the hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{I}_n in \mathbb{C}^n defined, for $n \geq 2$, by

$$\mathcal{I}_n := \{\{z_i = 0\}\}_{i \in [n]} \sqcup \{\{z_i = 1\}\}_{i \in [n]} \sqcup \{\{z_i + z_j = 1\}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}.$$

We particularly study the special case of the colored symmetric hyperplane arrangements. Recall that the colored permutation group of n elements with m colors is the wreath product $\mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$, and one represents one of its elements π by

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \xi_1 \sigma(1) & \xi_2 \sigma(2) & \cdots & \xi_n \sigma(n) \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \text{ and } \xi_i \in \mathbb{U}_m.$$

The colored permutation π of $\mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ acts on the hyperplane $H = \{c_1 z_1 + \cdots + c_n z_n = d\}$ in \mathbb{C}^n by

$$\pi \cdot H := \{c_1 \xi_1 z_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + c_n \xi_n z_{\sigma(n)} = d\}.$$

More generally, the colored permutation π acts on the hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A} = \{H_1, \dots, H_m\}$ in \mathbb{C}^n by

$$\pi \cdot \mathcal{A} := \{\pi \cdot H_1, \dots, \pi \cdot H_m\}.$$

Definition 1.2. A *colored symmetric hyperplane arrangement* or a *CSH-arrangement* is a hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{A} in \mathbb{C}^n such that, for every colored permutation π of $\mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$, we have $\pi \cdot \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$.

An example of colored symmetric hyperplane arrangement is the hyperplane arrangement associated to the imprimitive reflection group $G(m, p, n)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_{m,p,n} := \{\{z_i = 0\}\}_{i \in [n]} \sqcup \{\{z_i - \xi z_j = 0\}\}_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ \xi \in \mathbb{U}_m}}.$$

Structure of this Article

Consider a finite set \mathbb{S} , and take an element $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of \mathbb{S}^n .

Define the function $\mathbf{s}(v) := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, and extend it to every subset M of $\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{S}^n$ by

$$\mathbf{s}(M) := \bigcup_{v \in M} \mathbf{s}(v).$$

For an element t in \mathbb{S} , denote by $\mathfrak{o}_t(v)$ its number of occurrences in v . Define the integer sequence $\mathfrak{c}(v)$ indexed by \mathbb{S} by

$$\mathfrak{c}(v) := (\mathfrak{o}_t(v))_{t \in \mathbb{S}}.$$

Denote by $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{S}}$ the set of integer sequences $\mathfrak{a} = (\mathfrak{a}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}}$ indexed by \mathbb{S} . We write

$$\binom{n}{\mathfrak{a}} \text{ for the multinomial } \binom{n}{(\mathfrak{a}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}}},$$

and $\|\mathfrak{a}\|$ for the norm

$$\|\mathfrak{a}\| := \sum_{t \in \mathbb{S}} \mathfrak{a}_t.$$

Define the function $\mathfrak{f} : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{S}} \times \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\mathfrak{f}(\mathfrak{a}, v) := \prod_{t \in \mathbb{S}(v)} \binom{\mathfrak{a}_t}{\mathfrak{o}_t(v)}.$$

Extend that function to every subset M of $\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{S}^n$ by

$$\mathfrak{f}(\mathfrak{a}, M) := \sum_{v \in M} \mathfrak{f}(\mathfrak{a}, v).$$

Definition 1.3. A $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$ -hyperplane is a hyperplane $H = \{c_1 z_1 + \cdots + c_n z_n = d\}$ in \mathbb{C}^n whose coefficients c_1, \dots, c_n, d are in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$.

From now on, unless explicitly stated, every hyperplane considered in this article is a $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$ -hyperplane, and every hyperplane arrangement an arrangement of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$ -hyperplanes.

\mathbb{F}_q is the usually field of order q . In **Section 4**, we define what we call solution indice partition M_1, \dots, M_s of a SH-arrangement \mathcal{A} , and prove that its ζ_m -coboundary polynomial is

$$\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) = q^{l_m(r(\mathcal{A})-n)} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]} \\ \|\mathfrak{a}\|=n}} \binom{n}{\mathfrak{a}} t^{\sum_{j=1}^s \mathfrak{f}(\mathfrak{a}, M_j)}.$$

One directly obtains the coboundary polynomials of the hyperplane arrangements associated to the classical Weyl groups from it. As computing example, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{I}_n}(q, t) \frac{x^n}{n!} &= \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \times \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{a+b=n} \binom{n}{a, b} t^{a+b+ab} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{a+b=n} \binom{n}{a, b} t^{ab} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{q-3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Take an element u in \mathbb{S} and a positive integer m . Define the function $\mathfrak{f}_u^{(m)} : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{S}} \times \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\mathfrak{f}_u^{(m)}(\mathfrak{a}, v) = \begin{cases} m^{n-1} \binom{\mathfrak{a}_u}{\mathfrak{o}_u(v)} & \text{if } \mathfrak{o}_u(v) = n \\ m^{\mathfrak{o}_u(v)} \mathfrak{f}(\mathfrak{a}, v) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Extend that function to every subset M of $\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{S}^n$ by

$$\mathbf{f}_u^{(m)}(\mathbf{a}, M) := \sum_{v \in M} \mathbf{f}_u^{(m)}(\mathbf{a}, v).$$

In **Section 5**, we define what we call solution indice partition M_{1*}, \dots, M_{s*} of a CSH-arrangement \mathcal{A} , and prove that its ζ_m -coboundary polynomial is

$$\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) = q^{l_m(r(\mathcal{A})-n)} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^*} \\ \|\mathbf{a}\|=n}} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}} m^{n-\mathbf{a}_0} t^{\sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{f}_0^{(m)}(\mathbf{a}, M_{j*})}.$$

That permits us to deduce the exponential generating function of the ζ_m -coboundary polynomials of the hyperplane arrangements $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,n}$

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{G}_{m,p,n}}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t^{n+m} \binom{n}{2} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m^n t \binom{n}{2} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{q^{l_m}-1}{m}}.$$

The author would like to thank Federico Ardila who suggested him to compute the Tutte polynomials of the hyperplane arrangements associated to the imprimitive reflection groups.

But before determining the ζ_m -coboundary polynomials, we have to prove an exponential generating function formula in **Section 2** that we need later.

We also extend the finite field method to hyperplane arrangements in \mathbb{C}^n in **Section 3**. This extension is fundamental to compute the ζ_m -coboundary polynomials of a SH-arrangement, and a CSH-arrangement.

2 Exponential Generating Function

We briefly recall some properties of the exponential generating functions in this section. We particularly need Proposition 2.2 to prove Proposition 4.6, and Theorem 5.7.

Definition 2.1. Let x be a variable, and $\mathbb{R}[t]$ the polynomial ring with variable t . The *exponential generating function* $u(x)$ or EGF of a sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, u_n belonging to $\mathbb{R}[t]$, is the formal power serie

$$u(x) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} u_n \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Take q other EGF's $v^{(1)}(x), \dots, v^{(q)}(x)$ such that

$$v^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} v_n^{(i)} \frac{x^n}{n!}, \text{ and } u(x) = v^{(1)}(x) \cdot \dots \cdot v^{(q)}(x).$$

We know from the convolution formula [5, § II.2] that

$$u_n = \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_q = n} \binom{n}{a_1, \dots, a_q} v_{a_1}^{(1)} \dots v_{a_q}^{(q)}.$$

In the next proposition, we have a more general form of the convolution formula.

Proposition 2.2. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $j \in [q]$. Take q vector variables $\mathbf{a}^{(j)} = (a_1^{(j)}, \dots, a_{i_j}^{(j)})$.

Consider q multi-indexed sequences of polynomials $(p_{\mathbf{a}^{(j)}}^{(j)})_{\mathbf{a}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{N}^{i_j}}$ with $p_{\mathbf{a}^{(j)}}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}[t]$.

Let $u(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} u_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$ be an EGF such that

$$u_n = \sum_{\|\mathbf{a}^{(1)}\| + \dots + \|\mathbf{a}^{(q)}\| = n} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}^{(1)} \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{a}^{(q)}} p_{\mathbf{a}^{(1)}}^{(1)} \cdots p_{\mathbf{a}^{(q)}}^{(q)}.$$

Then, there exist q EGF $v^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} v_n^{(j)} \frac{x^n}{n!}$ such that $u(x) = v^{(1)}(x) \cdots v^{(q)}(x)$, and

$$v_n^{(j)} = \sum_{\|\mathbf{a}^{(j)}\| = n} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}^{(j)}} p_{\mathbf{a}^{(j)}}^{(j)}.$$

Proof. Take q variables b_j such that $b_j = \|\mathbf{a}^{(j)}\|$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= \sum_{\|\mathbf{a}^{(1)}\| + \dots + \|\mathbf{a}^{(q)}\| = n} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}^{(1)} \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{a}^{(q)}} p_{\mathbf{a}^{(1)}}^{(1)} \cdots p_{\mathbf{a}^{(q)}}^{(q)} \\ &= \sum_{\|\mathbf{a}^{(1)}\| + \dots + \|\mathbf{a}^{(q)}\| = n} n! \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}^{(1)}}^{(1)}}{a_1^{(1)}! \cdots a_{i_1}^{(1)}!} \cdots \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}^{(q)}}^{(q)}}{a_1^{(q)}! \cdots a_{i_q}^{(q)}!} \\ &= \sum_{\|\mathbf{a}^{(1)}\| + \dots + \|\mathbf{a}^{(q)}\| = n} \binom{n}{b_1, \dots, b_q} \prod_{j=1}^q \binom{b_j}{\mathbf{a}^{(j)}} p_{\mathbf{a}^{(j)}}^{(j)} \\ &= \sum_{b_1 + \dots + b_q = n} \binom{n}{b_1, \dots, b_q} \prod_{j=1}^q \sum_{\|\mathbf{a}^{(j)}\| = b_j} \binom{b_j}{\mathbf{a}^{(j)}} p_{\mathbf{a}^{(j)}}^{(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce from the convolution formula that $u(x) = v^{(1)}(x) \cdots v^{(q)}(x)$ with

$$v_{b_j}^{(j)} = \sum_{\|\mathbf{a}^{(j)}\| = b_j} \binom{b_j}{\mathbf{a}^{(j)}} p_{\mathbf{a}^{(j)}}^{(j)}.$$

□

3 Extension of the Finite Field Method

The finite field method developed by Ardila [1, Theorem 3.3] reduces the determination of the coboundary polynomial to a counting problem for the case of the real hyperplane arrangements. In this section, we extend this method to the complex hyperplane arrangements, and reduce the determination of the ζ_m -coboundary polynomial to a counting problem. We use it after to compute the ζ_m -coboundary polynomials of the SH and the CSH-arrangements.

Let q be a prime number, and \mathbb{F}_q the field of integer modulo q . The reduction modulo q of the element $k = \sum_{i=0}^{l_m-1} k_i \zeta_m^i$ of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$ is

$$\check{k} := \sum_{i=0}^{l_m-1} \check{k}_i \zeta_m^i \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m] \quad \text{with} \quad \check{k}_i \equiv k_i \pmod{q}.$$

For two elements $\sum_{i_1=0}^{l_m-1} \check{h}_{i_1} \zeta_m^{i_1}$ and $\sum_{i_2=0}^{l_m-1} \check{k}_{i_2} \zeta_m^{i_2}$ of the field $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]$, the multiplication is naturally defined by

$$\sum_{i_1=0}^{l_m-1} \check{h}_{i_1} \zeta_m^{i_1} \cdot \sum_{i_2=0}^{l_m-1} \check{k}_{i_2} \zeta_m^{i_2} := \sum_{i_1, i_2=0}^{l_m-1} \check{h}_{i_1} \check{k}_{i_2} \zeta_m^{i_1+i_2}.$$

Take a hyperplane $H = \{c_1 z_1 + \cdots + c_n z_n = d\}$ in \mathbb{C}^n , where $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$, and a prime number q . We say that H reduces correctly over $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]$ if, modulo q , it induces a hyperplane in $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n$ denoted $\check{H} := \{\check{c}_1 \check{z}_1 + \cdots + \check{c}_n \check{z}_n = \check{d}\}$.

Definition 3.1. A hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{A} in \mathbb{C}^n with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$ reduces correctly over $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]$ if it induces a hyperplane arrangement $\check{\mathcal{A}}$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n$, formed by the induced hyperplanes of \mathcal{A} modulo q , and the hyperplane arrangements \mathcal{A} and $\check{\mathcal{A}}$ are isomorphic.

To get the isomorphism, the determinants of all minors of the matrix formed by the coefficients of the hyperplanes in \mathcal{A} must be nonzero modulo q . Thus, if we choose q to be a prime larger than the coefficients, as elements in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$, of all these determinants, we can guarantee to have a correct reduction.

Theorem 3.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a hyperplane arrangement in \mathbb{C}^n with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$, and $\check{\mathcal{A}}$ its reduced hyperplane arrangement in $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n$, for a large enough prime number q . Then,

$$q^{l_m(n-r(\mathcal{A}))} \bar{\chi}_{\check{\mathcal{A}}}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) = \sum_{\check{z} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n} t^{h(\check{z})},$$

where $h(\check{z})$ is the number of hyperplanes in $\check{\mathcal{A}}$ the vector \check{z} lies on.

Proof. To prove Theorem 3.2, we need both remarks:

(R1) If \check{V} is a d -dimensional submodule of $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n$, then $|\check{V}| = q^{l_m \times d}$.

(R2) For a strictly positive integer d , we have

$$\sum_{I \subseteq [d]} t^{|I|} = \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} t^k = (1+t)^d.$$

We can now proceed to the proof of the theorem. Let $H(\check{z})$ the set of hyperplanes in $\check{\mathcal{A}}$

that \check{z} lies on. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{l_m(n-r(\mathcal{A}))} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \\ \text{central}}} q^{l_m(n-r(\mathcal{B}))} (t-1)^{|\mathcal{B}|} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \\ \text{central}}} q^{l_m \times \dim \cap \mathcal{B}} (t-1)^{|\mathcal{B}|} = \sum_{\substack{\check{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \check{\mathcal{A}} \\ \text{central}}} q^{l_m \times \dim \cap \check{\mathcal{B}}} (t-1)^{|\check{\mathcal{B}}|} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\check{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \check{\mathcal{A}} \\ \text{central}}} |\cap \check{\mathcal{B}}| (t-1)^{|\check{\mathcal{B}}|} \quad (\text{R1}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\check{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \check{\mathcal{A}} \\ \text{central}}} \sum_{z \in \cap \check{\mathcal{B}}} (t-1)^{|\check{\mathcal{B}}|} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n} \sum_{\check{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq H(z)} (t-1)^{|\check{\mathcal{B}}|} \\
&= \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n} t^{h(z)} \quad (\text{R2}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

4 The ζ_m -Coboundary Polynomial of a SH-Arrangement

We compute the ζ_m -coboundary polynomial of a SH-arrangement, and deduce the EGF of a sequence of SH-arrangement ζ_m -coboundary polynomials. As example, we apply the results on the hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{I}_n . Song computed the characteristic polynomials of the arrangements \mathcal{I}_2 and \mathcal{I}_3 [9, § 3]. Remark that, by means of Whitney's theorem [10, Theorem 2.4], one can express the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(q)$ of a hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{A} in term of its coboundary polynomial by $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(q) = q^{n-r(\mathcal{A})} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}(q, 0)$.

Definition 4.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a SH-arrangement in \mathbb{C}^n . We can choose some hyperplanes H_1, \dots, H_r in \mathcal{A} such that

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r \{\sigma \cdot H_i\}_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n}.$$

The hyperplanes H_1, \dots, H_r are called representative hyperplanes for \mathcal{A} .

Recall that $\mathcal{I}_n = \{\sigma \cdot \{z_1 = 0\}\}_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sqcup \{\sigma \cdot \{z_1 = 1\}\}_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sqcup \{\sigma \cdot \{z_1 + z_2 = 1\}\}_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n}$.

Definition 4.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a SH-arrangement in \mathbb{C}^n , and H_i a representative hyperplane. A representative equation of \mathcal{A} is a multivariate equation

$$(E_i) : c_1^{(i)} z_1 + \dots + c_{i_j}^{(i)} z_{i_j} = d^{(i)}, \quad 1 \leq i_j \leq n, \quad c_1^{(i)}, c_{i_j}^{(i)} \neq 0$$

such that for all hyperplane H of a subarrangement $\{\sigma \cdot H_i\}_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n}$ of \mathcal{A} , there exists a permutation τ of \mathfrak{S}_n such that $H = \{c_1^{(i)} z_{\tau(1)} + \dots + c_{i_j}^{(i)} z_{\tau(i_j)} = d^{(i)}\}$.

The representative equations of \mathcal{I}_n are $(E_0) : z_1 = 0$, $(E_1) : z_1 = 1$, and $(E_2) : z_1 + z_2 = 1$.

Definition 4.3. Take a SH-arrangement $\mathcal{A} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r \{\sigma \cdot H_i\}_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n}$ in \mathbb{C}^n . For each representative hyperplane $H_i = \{c_1^{(i)} z_1 + \cdots + c_{i_j}^{(i)} z_{i_j} = d^{(i)}\}$, define an equivalence relation \sim_{H_i} on \mathfrak{S}_n by

$$\sigma \sim_{H_i} \tau \iff c_1^{(i)} z_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + c_{i_j}^{(i)} z_{\sigma(i_j)} = c_1^{(i)} z_{\tau(1)} + \cdots + c_{i_j}^{(i)} z_{\tau(i_j)}.$$

For simplicity, we write $\mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim_{H_i}}$ for the equivalence class set instead of $\mathfrak{S}_n / \sim_{H_i}$. Then, we have

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r \{\sigma \cdot H_i\}_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim_{H_i}}}.$$

For the case of the hyperplane arrangement \mathcal{I}_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_n = & \left\{ \sigma \cdot \{z_1 = 0\} \right\}_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n / \sim_{\{z_1=0\}}} \sqcup \left\{ \sigma \cdot \{z_1 = 1\} \right\}_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n / \sim_{\{z_1=1\}}} \\ & \sqcup \left\{ \sigma \cdot \{z_1 + z_2 = 1\} \right\}_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n / \sim_{\{z_1+z_2=1\}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider an equation $(E) : c_1 z_1 + \cdots + c_j z_j = d$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$. Denote the reduced equation modulo q of (E) by $(\check{E}) : \check{c}_1 \check{z}_1 + \cdots + \check{c}_j \check{z}_j = \check{d}$, and the solution set of the equation (\check{E}) in $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^j$ by $\text{Sol}(\check{E})$. For \mathcal{I}_n , we have

$$\text{Sol}(\check{E}_0) = \{\check{0}\}, \text{Sol}(\check{E}_1) = \{\check{1}\}, \text{ and } \text{Sol}(\check{E}_2) = \left\{ \left(\frac{q \check{i} + 1}{2}, \frac{q \check{i} + 1}{2} \right) \right\} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=2}^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \{(\check{i}, q - \check{i} + 1)\}.$$

Lemma 4.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a SH-arrangement in \mathbb{C}^n having r representative equations $(E_i) : c_1^{(i)} z_1 + \cdots + c_{i_j}^{(i)} z_{i_j} = d^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i_j \leq n$, $c_1^{(i)}, c_{i_j}^{(i)} \neq 0$. Then, the number of hyperplanes of the arrangement $\check{\mathcal{A}}$ an element \check{u} of $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n$ lies on is

$$h(\check{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{f}(c(\check{u}), \text{Sol}(\check{E}_i)) \quad \text{with} \quad c(\check{u}) = (\mathfrak{o}_{\check{k}}(\check{u}))_{\check{k} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]}.$$

Proof. First, consider a SH-arrangement $\{\sigma \cdot H\}_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim_H}}$, with representative hyperplane H , and representative equation $(E) : c_1 z_1 + \cdots + c_j z_j = d$. Suppose that \check{H} is the hyperplane $\{\check{c}_1 \check{z}_1 + \cdots + \check{c}_j \check{z}_j = \check{d}\}$ with $\check{c}_1, \check{c}_j \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h(\check{u}) &= \left| \left\{ \sigma \cdot \check{H} \mid \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim_H}, \check{c}_1 \check{u}_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + \check{c}_j \check{u}_{\sigma(j)} = \check{d} \right\} \right| \\ &= \left| \left\{ \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim_H} \mid \check{c}_1 \check{u}_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + \check{c}_j \check{u}_{\sigma(j)} = \check{d} \right\} \right| \\ &= \left| \left\{ \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim_H} \mid (\check{u}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \check{u}_{\sigma(j)}) \in \text{Sol}(\check{E}) \right\} \right| \\ &= \sum_{\check{z} \in \text{Sol}(\check{E})} \left| \left\{ \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim_H} \mid (\check{u}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \check{u}_{\sigma(j)}) = \check{z} \right\} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

One can find a permutation class σ of $\mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim_H}$ such that $(\check{u}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \check{u}_{\sigma(j)}) = \check{z}$ if and only if, for all \check{k} in $\mathbf{S}(\check{z})$, $\mathfrak{o}_{\check{k}}(\check{u}) \geq \mathfrak{o}_{\check{k}}(\check{z})$. In this case, there are $\binom{\mathfrak{o}_{\check{k}}(\check{u})}{\mathfrak{o}_{\check{k}}(\check{z})}$ possibilities to choose $\mathfrak{o}_{\check{k}}(\check{z})$

coordinates of \check{z} having the value \check{k} . Since all the elements of $\mathbf{S}(\check{z})$ should be considered, we obtain

$$|\{\check{\sigma} \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim H} \mid (\check{u}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \check{u}_{\sigma(j)}) = \check{z}\}| = \prod_{\check{k} \in \mathbf{S}(\check{z})} \binom{o_{\check{k}}(\check{u})}{o_{\check{k}}(\check{z})} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}(\check{u}), \check{z}).$$

Thus, we have

$$h(\check{u}) = \sum_{\check{z} \in \text{Sol}(\check{E})} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}(\check{u}), \check{z}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}(\check{u}), \text{Sol}(\check{E})).$$

Now, let $\mathcal{A} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r \{\sigma \cdot H_i\}_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim H_i}}$, and $h_i(\check{u})$ be the number of hyperplanes in the arrangement $\{\sigma \cdot H_i\}_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim H_i}}$ the element \check{u} lies on. Then,

$$h(\check{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(\check{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}(\check{u}), \text{Sol}(\check{E}_i)).$$

□

Let (E_i) be the r representative equations of a SH-arrangement. We partition the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^r \text{Sol}(\check{E}_i)$ into subsets M_1, \dots, M_s having the following properties:

- For every element \check{z} in M_i , there exists another element \check{u} in M_i such that $\mathbf{S}(\check{z}) \cap \mathbf{S}(\check{u}) \neq \emptyset$.
- If $i \neq j$, then, for every \check{z} in M_i , and \check{u} in M_j , we have $\mathbf{S}(\check{z}) \cap \mathbf{S}(\check{u}) = \emptyset$.

The partition M_1, \dots, M_s is called indice partition of $\bigcup_{i=1}^r \text{Sol}(\check{E}_i)$.

For \mathcal{I}_n , the indice partition of $\text{Sol}(\check{E}_0) \cup \text{Sol}(\check{E}_1) \cup \text{Sol}(\check{E}_2)$ is

$$M_0 = \{\check{0}, \check{1}, (\check{0}, \check{1})\}, M_1 = \left\{ \left(\frac{q-1}{2}, \frac{q-1}{2} \right) \right\}, \text{ and } M_i = \{(\check{i}, q - \check{i} + 1)\} \text{ for } i \in \{2, \dots, \frac{q-1}{2}\}.$$

Proposition 4.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a SH-arrangement having representative equations whose solution indice partition is M_1, \dots, M_s . Then its ζ_m -coboundary polynomial is*

$$\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) = q^{lm(r(\mathcal{A})-n)} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]} \\ \|\mathbf{a}\|=n}} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}} t^{\sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}, M_j)}.$$

Proof. Using the indice partition of $\bigcup_{i=1}^r \text{Sol}(\check{E}_i)$, the number of hyperplanes the element \check{u} of lies on is

$$h(\check{u}) = \sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}(\check{u}), M_j) \quad (\text{Lemma 4.4}).$$

From Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q^{lm(n-r(\mathcal{A}))} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) &= \sum_{\check{u} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n} t^{\sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{f}(c(\check{u}), M_j)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]} \\ \|\mathbf{a}\|=n}} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}} t^{\sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}, M_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

With $r(\mathcal{I}_n) = n$, the coboundary polynomial of \mathcal{I}_n is

$$\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{I}_n}(q, t) = \sum_{a_0 + \dots + a_{q-1} = n} \binom{n}{a_0, \dots, a_{q-1}} t^{a_0 + a_1 + a_0 a_1} t^{\binom{a_{q-1}}{2}} \prod_{i=2}^{q-1} t^{a_i a_{q-i+1}}.$$

Take r hyperplanes $H_i = \{c_1^{(i)} z_1 + \dots + c_{i_j}^{(i)} z_{i_j} = d^{(i)}\}$ with $c_{i_j}^{(i)} \neq 0$. Setting $h = \max(i_1, \dots, i_r)$, define a sequence of SH-arrangements with representative hyperplanes H_1, \dots, H_r by a sequence of hyperplane arrangements $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{n \geq h}$ in $(\mathbb{C}^n)_{n \geq h}$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r \{\sigma \cdot H_i\}_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim H_i}}.$$

Proposition 4.6. *Let $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{n \geq h}$ be a sequence of SH-arrangements having the same representative equations, and M_1, \dots, M_s their common solution indice partition. Consider the EGF*

$$g(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n \frac{x^n}{n!} \quad \text{with} \quad g(x) = \prod_{j=1}^s \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{S}(M_j)} \\ \|\mathbf{a}\|=n}} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}} t^{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}, M_j)} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Then,

$$\sum_{n \geq h} q^{lm(n-r(\mathcal{A}_n))} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) \frac{x^n}{n!} = g(x) - \sum_{n=0}^{h-1} g_n \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Proof. From Proposition 4.5, we know that

$$q^{lm(n-r(\mathcal{A}_n))} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]} \\ \|\mathbf{a}\|=n}} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}} t^{\sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}, M_j)}.$$

Set the EGF $g(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$ with $g_n = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]} \\ \|\mathbf{a}\|=n}} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}} t^{\sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}, M_j)}$. We deduce from Proposition 2.2 that

$$g(x) = \prod_{j=1}^s \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{S}(M_j)} \\ \|\mathbf{a}\|=n}} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}} t^{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}, M_j)} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Since $\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t)$ is not defined for $n < h$, and $q^{lm(n-r(\mathcal{A}_n))} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) = g_n$ for $n \geq h$, we get the result. □

Letting $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{\{0\}, \{1\}\}$, the EGF of the coboundary polynomials of \mathcal{I}_n is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{I}_n}(q, t) \frac{x^n}{n!} &= \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \times \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{a+b=n} \binom{n}{a, b} t^{a+b+ab} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{a+b=n} \binom{n}{a, b} t^{ab} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{q-3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

5 The ζ_m -Coboundary Polynomial of a CSH-Arrangement

This section describes the most interesting part of this article, namely the ζ_m -coboundary polynomial of a CSH-arrangement. We deduce the exponential generating function of a sequence of CSH-arrangement coboundary polynomials. Then, we apply the results on the hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,n}$. Shephard and Todd extended in 1954 the concept of reflection in an Euclidean space to reflection in a Hermitian space [8]. Recall that a complex reflection $r_{a,\alpha}$ of order m is a linear transformation on \mathbb{C}^n which sends some nonzero vector a to αa , where α is a primitive m^{th} root of unity, while fixing pointwise the hyperplane $H_a = \text{Fix } r_{a,\alpha}$ orthogonal to a . It has the formula $r_{a,\alpha} := v - (1 - \alpha) \frac{(v, a)}{(a, a)} a$. The complex reflection groups are the finite groups generated by complex reflections. They naturally contain the real reflection groups, and have a wide range of applications, including knot theory, Hecke algebras, and differential equations [11]. We focus on the imprimitive reflection groups, or the complex reflection groups whose action representations permute subspaces among themselves, which are the groups $G(m, p, n)$ with p dividing m .

For the presentations and explanations, we use both colored permutations of $\mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ \xi_1 \sigma(1) & \xi_2 \sigma(2) & \dots & \xi_n \sigma(n) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ \nu_1 \tau(1) & \nu_2 \tau(2) & \dots & \nu_n \tau(n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 5.1. We can choose some hyperplanes H_1, \dots, H_r of a CSH-arrangement \mathcal{A} in \mathbb{C}^n to write it in the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r \{\pi \cdot H_i\}_{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n}.$$

The hyperplanes H_1, \dots, H_r are called representative hyperplanes for \mathcal{A} .

Recall that the hyperplane arrangement associated to $G(m, p, n)$ is

$$\mathcal{G}_{m,p,n} = \left\{ \pi \cdot \{z_i = 0\} \right\}_{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n} \sqcup \left\{ \pi \cdot \{z_i - z_j = 0\} \right\}_{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n}.$$

Definition 5.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a CSH-arrangement in \mathbb{C}^n , and H_i a representative hyperplane. A representative equation of \mathcal{A} associated to H_i is a multivariate equation

$$(E_i) : c_1^{(i)} z_1 + \dots + c_{i_j}^{(i)} z_{i_j} = d^{(i)}, \quad 1 \leq i_j \leq n, \quad c_1^{(i)}, c_{i_j}^{(i)} \neq 0$$

such that for all hyperplane H of a subarrangement $\{\pi \cdot H_i\}_{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n}$ of \mathcal{A} , there exists a colored permutation ϕ such that $H = \{c_1^{(i)} \nu_1 z_{\tau(1)} + \dots + c_{i_j}^{(i)} \nu_{i_j} z_{\tau(i_j)} = d^{(i)}\}$.

The representative equations of $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,n}$ are $(E_1) : z_1 = 0$, and $(E_2) : z_1 - z_2 = 0$.

Definition 5.3. Take a CSH-arrangement $\mathcal{A} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r \{\pi \cdot H_i\}_{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n}$ in \mathbb{C}^n . For each representative hyperplane $H_i = \{c_1^{(i)} z_1 + \cdots + c_{i_j}^{(i)} z_{i_j} = d^{(i)}\}$, we define an equivalence relation \sim_{H_i} on $\mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ by

$$\pi \sim_{H_i} \phi \iff c_1^{(i)} \xi_1 z_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + c_{i_j}^{(i)} \xi_{i_j} z_{\sigma(i_j)} = c_1^{(i)} \nu_1 z_{\tau(1)} + \cdots + c_{i_j}^{(i)} \nu_{i_j} z_{\tau(i_j)}.$$

For simplicity, we write $\mathbb{U}_m \wr \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{H_i}$ for the equivalence class set instead of $\mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n / \sim_{H_i}$. Then, we have

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r \{\pi \cdot H_i\}_{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{H_i}}.$$

For the hyperplane arrangement associated to $G(m, p, n)$, we have

$$\mathcal{G}_{m,p,n} = \{\pi \cdot \{z_i = 0\}\}_{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{\{z_i=0\}}} \sqcup \{\pi \cdot \{z_i - z_j = 0\}\}_{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{\{z_i-z_j=0\}}}.$$

Define the set $\mathbb{U}_m * v := \{(\xi_1 v_1, \dots, \xi_n v_n) \mid \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{U}_m\}$. More generally, for a subset M of $\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{S}^n$, define the set

$$\mathbb{U}_m * M := \bigcup_{v \in M} \mathbb{U}_m * v.$$

Lemma 5.4. Consider a CSH-arrangement \mathcal{A} in \mathbb{C}^n with representative hyperplanes H_1, \dots, H_r . Take a vector \check{u} of $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n$. Then,

$$\forall \check{x}, \check{y} \in \mathbb{U}_m * \check{u}, \quad h(\check{x}) = h(\check{y}).$$

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{A}(\check{x})$ resp. $\mathcal{A}(\check{y})$ the set of hyperplanes in \mathcal{A} containing $\check{x} = (\check{x}_1, \dots, \check{x}_n)$ resp. $\check{y} = (\check{y}_1, \dots, \check{y}_n)$. There are elements ξ_i of \mathbb{U}_m such that $\check{y}_i = \xi_i \check{x}_i$. Since the following function

$$g_{\check{x}, \check{y}} : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}(\check{x}) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A}(\check{y}) \\ \pi \cdot H_i & \mapsto & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \bar{\xi}_1 1 & \bar{\xi}_2 2 & \cdots & \bar{\xi}_n n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \pi \cdot H_i \end{array},$$

where $\bar{\xi}_i$ is the conjugate of ξ_i , is bijective, then $|\mathcal{A}(\check{x})| = |\mathcal{A}(\check{y})|$. \square

Lemma 5.4 leads us to define the equivalence relation \sim_* on $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n$ by

$$\check{x} \sim_* \check{y} \iff \check{x} \in \mathbb{U}_m * \check{y}.$$

We write \check{x} for the set $\{\check{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n \mid \check{x} \sim_* \check{y}\}$, and $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]_*^n$ for the equivalence class set $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n / \sim_*$. More generally, for a subset V of $\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n$, define the set

$$V_* := \{\check{v} \mid v \in V\}.$$

For $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,n}$, we have $\text{Sol}(\check{E}_1) = \{\check{0}\}$ and $\text{Sol}(\check{E}_2) = \{(\check{k}, \check{k}) \mid \check{k} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]\}$, that means

$$\text{Sol}(\check{E}_1)_* = \{\check{0}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sol}(\check{E}_2)_* = \{(\check{k}, \check{k}) \mid \check{k} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]_*\}.$$

Proposition 5.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a CSH-arrangement in \mathbb{C}^n having r representative equations $(E_i) : c_1^{(i)} z_1 + \cdots + c_{i_j}^{(i)} z_{i_j} = d^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i_j \leq n$, $c_1^{(i)}, c_{i_j}^{(i)} \neq 0$. Then, the number of hyperplanes of the arrangement \mathcal{A} an element \check{u} of $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n$ lies on is*

$$h(\check{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{f}_{\check{0}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{c}(\check{u}), \text{Sol}(\check{E}_i)_*) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{c}(\check{u}) = (\mathfrak{o}_{\check{k}}(\check{u}))_{\check{k} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^*}.$$

Proof. Consider first a CSH-arrangement $\{\pi \cdot H\}_{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim H}}$, with representative hyperplane H , and representative equation $(E) : c_1 z_1 + \cdots + c_j z_j = d$. Suppose that \check{H} is the hyperplane $\{\check{c}_1 \check{z}_1 + \cdots + \check{c}_j \check{z}_j = \check{d}\}$ with $\check{c}_1, \check{c}_j \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h(\check{u}) &= |\{\pi \cdot \check{H} \mid \pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim H}, \check{c}_1 \xi_1 \check{u}_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + \check{c}_j \xi_j \check{u}_{\sigma(j)} = \check{d}\}| \\ &= |\{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim H} \mid \check{c}_1 \xi_1 \check{u}_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + \check{c}_j \xi_j \check{u}_{\sigma(j)} = \check{d}\}| \\ &= |\{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim H} \mid (\xi_1 \check{u}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \xi_j \check{u}_{\sigma(j)}) \in \text{Sol}(\check{E})\}| \\ &= \sum_{\check{z} \in \text{Sol}(\check{E})} |\{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim H} \mid (\xi_1 \check{u}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \xi_j \check{u}_{\sigma(j)}) = \check{z}\}|. \end{aligned}$$

One can find a colored permutation class π of $\mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim H}$ such that $(\xi_1 \check{u}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \xi_j \check{u}_{\sigma(j)}) = \check{z}$ if and only if, for all \check{k} in $\mathbf{S}(\check{z})$, $\mathfrak{o}_{\check{k}}(\check{u}) \geq \mathfrak{o}_{\check{k}}(\check{z})$. In this case, there are $\binom{\mathfrak{o}_{\check{k}}(\check{u})}{\mathfrak{o}_{\check{k}}(\check{z})}$ possibilities to choose $\mathfrak{o}_{\check{k}}(\check{z})$ coordinates of \check{z} having the value \check{k} .

- If $\mathbf{S}(\check{z}) = \{\check{0}\}$, then

$$|\{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim H} \mid (\xi_1 \check{u}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \xi_j \check{u}_{\sigma(j)}) = \check{z}\}| = m^{j-1} \binom{\mathfrak{o}_{\check{0}}(\check{u})}{\mathfrak{o}_{\check{0}}(\check{z})} = \mathbf{f}_{\check{0}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{c}(\check{u}), \check{z}).$$

- If $\mathbf{S}(\check{z}) \neq \{\check{0}\}$, then

$$|\{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim H} \mid (\xi_1 \check{u}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \xi_j \check{u}_{\sigma(j)}) = \check{z}\}| = m^{\mathfrak{o}_{\check{0}}(\check{z})} \prod_{\check{k} \in \mathbf{S}(\check{z})} \binom{\mathfrak{o}_{\check{k}}(\check{u})}{\mathfrak{o}_{\check{k}}(\check{z})} = \mathbf{f}_{\check{0}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{c}(\check{u}), \check{z}).$$

Thus, we have

$$h(\check{u}) = \sum_{\check{z} \in \text{Sol}(\check{E})} \mathbf{f}_{\check{0}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{c}(\check{u}), \check{z}) = \mathbf{f}_{\check{0}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{c}(\check{u}), \text{Sol}(\check{E})_*).$$

Now, let $\mathcal{A} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r \{\pi \cdot H_i\}_{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim H_i}}$, and $h_i(\check{u})$ be the number of hyperplanes in the arrangement $\{\pi \cdot H_i\}_{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim H_i}}$ the element \check{u} lies on. Then,

$$h(\check{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(\check{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{f}_{\check{0}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{c}(\check{u}), \text{Sol}(\check{E}_i)_*).$$

□

In analogy with M_1, \dots, M_s and $\bigcup_{i=1}^r \text{Sol}(\check{E}_i)$, define the indice partition M_{1*}, \dots, M_{s*} of the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^r \text{Sol}(\check{E}_i)_*$ as follows:

- For every element \check{z} in M_{i*} , there is another element \check{u} in M_{i*} such that $\mathbf{S}(\check{z}) \cap \mathbf{S}(\check{u}) \neq \emptyset$.
- If $i \neq j$, then, for every \check{z} in M_{i*} , and \check{u} in M_{j*} , we have $\mathbf{S}(\check{z}) \cap \mathbf{S}(\check{u}) = \emptyset$.

For $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,n}$, the indice partition of $\text{Sol}(\check{E}_1)_* \cup \text{Sol}(\check{E}_2)_*$ is

$$M_{\check{0}*} = \{\check{0}, (\check{0}, \check{0})\}, \text{ and } M_{\check{k}*} = \{(\check{k}, \check{k})\} \text{ for } \check{k} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]_* \setminus \{\check{0}\}.$$

Now, we come to the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a CSH-arrangement having representative equations whose indice partition is M_{1*}, \dots, M_{s*} . Then its ζ_m -coboundary polynomial is*

$$\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) = q^{l_m(r(\mathcal{A})-n)} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]_*} \\ \|\mathbf{a}\|=n}} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}} m^{n-\mathbf{a}_{\check{0}}} t^{\sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{f}_{\check{0}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{a}, M_{j*})}.$$

Proof. Using the indice partition of $\bigcup_{i=1}^r \text{Sol}(\check{E}_i)$, the number of hyperplanes the element \check{u} of lies on is

$$h(\check{u}) = \sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{f}_{\check{0}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{c}(\check{u}), M_{j*}) \quad (\text{Proposition 5.5}).$$

From Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q^{l_m(n-r(\mathcal{A}))} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) &= \sum_{\check{u} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n} t^{\sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{f}_{\check{0}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{c}(\check{u}), M_{j*})} \\ &= \sum_{\check{u} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n} m^{n-\mathbf{o}_{\check{0}}(\check{u})} t^{\sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{f}_{\check{0}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{c}(\check{u}), M_{j*})} \\ &\quad (\text{Lemma 5.4 with } |\mathbb{U}_m * \check{u}| = m^{n-\mathbf{o}_{\check{0}}(\check{u})}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]_*} \\ \|\mathbf{a}\|=n}} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}} m^{n-\mathbf{a}_{\check{0}}} t^{\sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{f}_{\check{0}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{a}, M_{j*})}. \end{aligned}$$

□

With $r(\mathcal{G}_{m,p,n}) = n$, the ζ_m -coboundary polynomial of $\mathcal{G}_{m,p,n}$ is

$$\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{G}_{m,p,n}}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]_*} \\ \|\mathbf{a}\|=n}} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}} m^{n-\mathbf{a}_{\check{0}}} t^{\mathbf{a}_{\check{0}} + m \binom{\mathbf{a}_{\check{0}}}{2}} t^{\sum_{\check{k} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]_* \setminus \{\check{0}\}} \binom{\mathbf{a}_{\check{k}}}{2}}.$$

Take r hyperplanes $H_i = \{c_1^{(i)}z_1 + \dots + c_{i_j}^{(i)}z_{i_j} = d^{(i)}\}$ with $c_{i_j}^{(i)} \neq 0$. Setting $h = \max(i_1, \dots, i_r)$, define a sequence of CSH-arrangements with representative hyperplanes H_1, \dots, H_r by a sequence of hyperplane arrangements $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{n \geq h}$ in $(\mathbb{C}^n)_{n \geq h}$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r \{\pi \cdot H_i\}_{\tilde{\pi} \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim H_i}}.$$

Theorem 5.7. *Let $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{n \geq h}$ be a sequence of CSH-arrangements having the same representative equations, and M_{1^*}, \dots, M_{s^*} their common indice partition. Consider the EGF*

$$g(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n \frac{x^n}{n!} \quad \text{with} \quad g(x) = \prod_{j=1}^s \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathfrak{S}(M_{j^*})} \\ \|\mathbf{a}\| = n}} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}} m^{n - \mathbf{a}_{\tilde{0}}} t^{\mathbf{f}_{\tilde{0}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{a}, M_{j^*})} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

such that $\mathbf{a}_{\tilde{0}} = 0$ if $\tilde{0} \notin \mathfrak{S}(M_{j^*})$. Then,

$$\sum_{n \geq h} q^{lm(n-r(\mathcal{A}_n))} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) \frac{x^n}{n!} = g(x) - \sum_{n=0}^{h-1} g_n \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 5.6, we know that

$$q^{lm(n-r(\mathcal{A}_n))} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^*} \\ \|\mathbf{a}\| = n}} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}} m^{n - \mathbf{a}_{\tilde{0}}} t^{\sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{f}_{\tilde{0}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{a}, M_{j^*})}.$$

Set the EGF $g(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$ with $g_n = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^*} \\ \|\mathbf{a}\| = n}} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}} m^{n - \mathbf{a}_{\tilde{0}}} t^{\sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{f}_{\tilde{0}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{a}, M_{j^*})}$. We deduce

from Proposition 2.2 that

$$g(x) = \prod_{j=1}^s \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathfrak{S}(M_{j^*})} \\ \|\mathbf{a}\| = n}} \binom{n}{\mathbf{a}} m^{n - \mathbf{a}_{\tilde{0}}} t^{\mathbf{f}_{\tilde{0}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{a}, M_{j^*})} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Since $\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t)$ is not defined for $n < h$, and $q^{lm(n-r(\mathcal{A}_n))} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{A}_n}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) = g_n$ for $n \geq h$, we get the result. \square

Since $|\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^* \setminus \{\tilde{0}\}| = \frac{q^{lm}-1}{m}$, the EGF of the ζ_m -coboundary polynomials of $(\mathcal{G}_{m,p,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{G}_{m,p,n}}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t^{n+m} \binom{n}{2} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m^n t \binom{n}{2} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{q^{lm}-1}{m}}.$$

If $p = m$, the hyperplane arrangement associated to $G(m, m, n)$ is

$$\mathcal{G}_{m,m,n} = \{\pi \cdot \{z_i - z_j = 0\}\}_{\tilde{\pi} \in \mathbb{U}_m \wr \mathfrak{S}_n^{\sim \{z_i - z_j = 0\}}}.$$

And, for $m \geq 2$, the EGF of the ζ_m -coboundary polynomials associated to $(\mathcal{G}_{m,m,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{G}_{m,m,n}}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t^m \binom{n}{2} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m^n t \binom{n}{2} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{q^{lm}-1}{m}}.$$

References

- [1] F. Ardila, *Computing the Tutte Polynomial of a Hyperplane Arrangement*, Pacific J. Math. (230) 1 (2007), 1–26.
- [2] N. Brettell, *The Tutte Polynomial*, Honours Project, University of Canterbury, 2010.
- [3] H. Crapo, *The Tutte Polynomial*, Aequationes Math. (3) 3 (1969), 211–229.
- [4] C. De Concini, C. Procesi, *The Zonotope of a Root System*, Transform. Groups (13) 3-4 (2008), 507–526.
- [5] P. Flajolet, R. Sedgewick, *Analytic Combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [6] C. Merino, D. Welsh, *The Potts Model and the Tutte Polynomial*, J. Math. Phys. (41) 3 (2000)
- [7] P. Orlik, L. Solomon, *Combinatorics and Topology of Complements of Hyperplanes*, Invent. Math. (56) 2 (1980), 167–189.
- [8] G. C. Shephard, J. A. Todd, *Finite Unitary Reflection Groups*, Canad. J. Math. (6) (1954), 274–304.
- [9] J. Song, *On certain Hyperplane Arrangements and Colored Graphs*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. (54) 2 (2017), 375–382.
- [10] R. Stanley, *An Introduction to Hyperplane Arrangements*, Lecture notes IAS/Park City Mathematics Institute, 2004.
- [11] R. Steinberg, *Differential Equations Invariant under Finite Reflection Groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (112) 3 (1964), 392–400.
- [12] M. Thistlethwaite, *A Spanning Tree Expansion of the Jones Polynomial*, Topology (26) 3 (1987), 297–309.
- [13] W. Tutte, *A Contribution to the Theory of Chromatic Polynomials*, Canad. J. Math. (6) (1954), 80–91.