

Rotation Symmetric Bent Boolean Functions for $n = 2p$

T. W. Cusick

University at Buffalo, 244 Math. Bldg. Buffalo, NY 14260

Corresponding author- e-mail: cusick@buffalo.edu

E. M. Sanger

University at Buffalo, 244 Math. Bldg., Buffalo, NY 14260

e-mail: ellynsan@buffalo.edu

Abstract

It has been conjectured that there are no homogeneous rotation symmetric bent Boolean functions of degree greater than two. In this paper we begin by proving that sums of short-cycle rotation symmetric bent Boolean functions must contain a specific degree two monomial rotation symmetric Boolean function. We then prove most cases of the conjecture in $n = 2p$, $p > 2$ prime, variables and extend this work to the nonhomogeneous case.

1 Introduction

A Boolean function in n variables can be defined as a map from \mathbb{V}_n , the n -dimensional vector space over the two element field \mathbb{F}_2 , to \mathbb{F}_2 . If f is a Boolean function in n variables, the *truth table* of f is defined to be the 2^n -tuple given by $(f(\mathbf{v}_0), f(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, f(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}))$ where $\mathbf{v}_0 = (0, \dots, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{v}_1 = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$, \dots , $\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1} = (1, \dots, 1, 1)$ are the 2^n elements of \mathbb{V}_n listed in lexicographical order. The *weight* or *Hamming weight* of f (notation $wt(f)$) is the number of 1's that appear in the truth table of f .

As described in [1, pp. 5-6], every Boolean function on \mathbb{V}_n can be expressed as a polynomial over \mathbb{F}_2 in n binary variables by:

$$f(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{V}_n} c_{\mathbf{a}} x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}}$$

where $c_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ with each a_i equal to 0 or 1. The above representation is the *algebraic normal form* (ANF) of f . Let d_i be the

number of variables in the i -th monomial of f , so d_i is the *algebraic degree* (or just the *degree*) of the monomial. If we let D be the set of the distinct degrees of the monomials in f which have non-zero coefficients, then the *degree* (notation $\deg(f)$) of f is given by $\max(D)$. If D contains only one element, then each monomial in f has the same degree and f is *homogeneous*. If $\deg(f) = 1$, then f is *affine*, and if f is affine and homogeneous (i.e. the constant term is 0), then f is *linear*.

A Boolean function f is *rotation symmetric* (RotS) if its ANF is invariant under any power of the cyclic permutation $\rho(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_0)$. We will use the notation $u \sim v$ to indicate that there exists some $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $\rho^k(u) = v$. Clearly \sim defines an equivalence relation on \mathbb{V}_n .

Let $O_n(\mathbf{x})$ denote the orbit of \mathbf{x} under the action of ρ^k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, and let \mathcal{G}_n be the set of representatives of all the orbits in \mathbb{V}_n . Then a rotation symmetric Boolean function f can be written as

$$a_0 \oplus a_1 x_0 \oplus \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{1j} x_0 x_j \oplus \dots \oplus a_{12\dots n} x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{n-1},$$

where the coefficients $a_0, a_1, a_{1j}, \dots, a_{12\dots n} \in \mathbb{F}_2$, and the existence of a representative term $x_0 x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_l}$ implies the existence of all the terms from $O_n(x_0, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_l})$ in the algebraic normal form. We call this representation the *short algebraic normal form* (SANF) of f .

Unless otherwise specified, all subscripts in any monomial will be taken mod (n) with entries in $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. We may omit the modulus if it is clear from the context.

Suppose f has SANF $x_0 x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_l}$, then we say f is a *monomial rotation symmetric* (MRS) function and call it *full-cycle* if $|O_n(x_0, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_l})| = n$. Thus if f is full-cycle then it contains n monomials in its ANF. If $|O_n(x_0, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_l})| < n$ we say f is *short-cycle*. In this case f will contain n/w monomials in its ANF for some divisor $w > 1$ of n .

The *Hamming distance* between two Boolean functions f and g , denoted $d(f, g)$, is defined as $d(f, g) = wt(f \oplus g)$. Each Boolean function f has an associated *sign function*, $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, defined by $\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^{f(\mathbf{x})}$.

Definition 1.1. The *Walsh transform* of a function $f : \mathbb{V}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is the map $W(f) : \mathbb{V}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by,

$$W(f)(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V}_n} f(\mathbf{x}) (-1)^{\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$$

The *Walsh spectrum* of f is the list of the 2^n *Walsh coefficients* given by $W(f)(\mathbf{w})$ as \mathbf{w} varies.

Definition 1.2. A matrix H is called a *Hadamard matrix* of order n if it is an $n \times n$ matrix of ± 1 s such that

$$HH^t = nI_n,$$

where H^t is the transpose of H and I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Definition 1.3. A Boolean function f in n variables is called *bent* if and only if the Walsh transform coefficients of \hat{f} are all $\pm 2^{n/2}$.

Definition 1.4. The *nonlinearity* of a function f , denoted by \mathcal{N}_f , is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_f = \min_{g \in \mathcal{A}_n} d(f, g),$$

where \mathcal{A}_n is the set of all affine functions on \mathbb{V}_n .

From [1, pp. 76-77] we have the following equivalent definition of the bent property:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{V}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ be a Boolean function. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) f is bent.

(ii) $M_{\hat{f}} = (\hat{f}(\mathbf{u} \oplus \mathbf{v}))$ is a Hadamard matrix.

(iii) The nonlinearity of f is $\mathcal{N}_f = 2^{n-1} - 2^{n/2-1}$.

It is easy to observe that bent functions exist only for even dimensions. Let n be even and $\mathbf{v} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$; then we will use the notation $\mathbf{v}' = (a_0, \dots, a_{\frac{n}{2}-1})$ and $\mathbf{v}'' = (a_{\frac{n}{2}}, \dots, a_{n-1})$.

Lemma 1.2. *For $n = 2$, the degree of a bent function on \mathbb{V}_2 is 2. For $n > 2$, the degree of a bent function is at most $n/2$.*

Proof. The proof of this can be found in [1, pp. 80]. □

2 Rotation symmetric bent functions when $n=2m$

In this section we will consider rotation symmetric functions of any even degree n . We will first prove that any degree 2 rotation symmetric bent function must contain $f_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n/2-1} x_i x_{\frac{n}{2}+i}$ and then extend this to the case where f is composed of short-cycle MRS functions. We begin by proving the well known fact that f_0 is bent.

Lemma 2.1. Let $n = 2m$ and $f_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} x_i x_{m+i}$. Then $wt(f_0) = 2^{2m-1} - 2^{m-1}$ and f_0 is bent.

Proof. The fact that f is bent follows from [1, Cor. 5.23, p. 82], after a permutation of the variables. Then a computation gives the weight. \square

To prove that any degree 2 rotation symmetric bent function, f , must contain f_0 , we will look at the matrix $M = (\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{v}_j))(\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{v}_j))^T$ to determine when $(\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{v}_j))$ is a Hadamard matrix. We will first simplify the matrix M to a useful form.

Lemma 2.2. Let $M = (\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{v}_j))(\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{v}_j))^T$ where f is a Boolean function in n variables, then

$$M_{i,j} = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{v}_k) \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_k \oplus \mathbf{v}_j). \quad (1)$$

Proof. Let $A = (\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{v}_j))$. Notice $A^T = A$, so $M = AA$. Then

$$M_{i,j} = (AA)_{i,j} = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} A_{ik} A_{kj} = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{v}_k) \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_k \oplus \mathbf{v}_j).$$

\square

Lemma 2.3. We have $\mathbf{v}_i \sim \mathbf{v}_j$ if and only if there exists $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $2^k i \equiv j \pmod{2^n - 1}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{v}_i = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1})$, so $i = \sum_{u=1}^n a_{n-u} 2^{u-1}$. \Rightarrow : Assume $\mathbf{v}_i \sim \mathbf{v}_j$, then there exists $\rho^l, 1 \leq l \leq n$, such that

$$\rho^l(\mathbf{v}_i) = (\rho^l(a_0), \dots, \rho^l(a_{n-2}), \rho^l(a_{n-1})) = (a_l, \dots, a_{l+n-2}, a_{l+n-1}) = \mathbf{v}_j.$$

Thus, $j = \sum_{u=1}^n a_{l+n-u} 2^{u-1}$ where $1 \leq l \leq n$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
2^l i &= \sum_{u=1}^n a_{n-u} 2^{l+u-1} \\
&= a_{n-1} 2^l + \dots + a_{n-(n-l)} 2^{l+(n-l)-1} + a_{n-(n-l+1)} 2^{l+(n-l+1)-1} + \\
&\quad a_{n-(n-l+2)} 2^{l+(n-l+2)-1} + \dots + a_0 2^{l+n-1} \\
&= a_{n-1} 2^l + \dots + a_l 2^{n-1} + a_{l-1} 2^n + a_{l-2} 2^{n+1} \dots + a_0 2^{n-1+l} \\
&\equiv a_{l-1} + a_{l-2} 2 + \dots + a_l 2^{n-1} \pmod{2^n - 1} \\
&\equiv a_{l+n-1} + a_{l+n-2} 2 + \dots + a_{l+1} 2^{n-2} + a_l 2^{n-1} \pmod{2^n - 1} \\
&\equiv j \pmod{2^n - 1},
\end{aligned}$$

since indices are taken mod n .

Thus there exists $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $2^k i \equiv j \pmod{2^n - 1}$.

\Leftarrow : Assume there exists $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $2^k i \equiv j \pmod{2^n - 1}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
2^k i &= \sum_{u=1}^n a_{n-u} 2^{k+u-1} \\
&= a_{n-1} 2^k + \dots + a_{n-(n-k)} 2^{k+(n-k)-1} + a_{n-(n-k+1)} 2^{k+(n-k+1)-1} \\
&\quad + a_{n-(n-k+2)} 2^{k+(n-k+2)-1} + \dots + a_0 2^{n-1+k} \\
&= a_{n-1} 2^k + \dots + a_k 2^{n-1} + a_{k-1} 2^n + a_{k-2} 2^{n+1} + \dots + a_0 2^{n-1+k} \\
&\equiv a_{k-1} + a_{k-2} 2 + \dots + a_k 2^{n-1} \pmod{2^n - 1} \\
&= j.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathbf{v}_j = (a_{k-1}, a_{k-2}, \dots, a_k)$ so $\rho^{k-1}(\mathbf{v}_i) = \mathbf{v}_j$ and $\mathbf{v}_i \sim \mathbf{v}_j$. \square

Lemma 2.4. *We have $\mathbf{v}_a \sim \mathbf{v}_b$ if and only if $\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-a} \sim \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-b}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{v}_a \sim \mathbf{v}_b &\iff \text{there exists } k, 1 \leq k \leq n \text{ such that } 2^k a \equiv b \pmod{2^n - 1} \\
&\iff 2^n - 1 - 2^k a \equiv 2^n - 1 - b \\
&\iff 2^k(2^n - 1 - a) \equiv 2^n - 1 - b \\
&\iff \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-a} \sim \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-b}.
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 2.5.

$$M_{0,2^n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} 2\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_k)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-k}). \quad (2)$$

Proof. From (1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{0,2^n-1} &= \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_0 \oplus \mathbf{v}_k)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_k \oplus \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_k)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-k}) \\ &= \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_0)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}) + \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_1)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-2}) + \dots + \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1}-1})\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}) \\ &\quad + \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1})\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1}-1}) + \dots + \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-2})\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_1) + \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1})\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_0) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} 2\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_k)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-k}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.6. *The function $f_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} x_i x_{m+i}$ is the only MRS short-cycle function of degree 2 in $n = 2m$ variables.*

Proof. Let f be an MRS function with SANF $x_0 x_k$. Suppose f is short-cycle, then f has $1 \leq M < 2m$ monomials and

$$f = x_0 x_k \oplus x_1 x_{k+1} \oplus \dots \oplus x_{M-1} x_{k+M-1}.$$

Thus $\{0, k\} = \{M, k+M\}$. Since $1 \leq M < 2m = n$ then we have the following cases:

- (i) $0 = M$ and $k \equiv M + k \pmod{n}$. This is a contradiction since $1 \leq M$.
- (ii) $k = M$ and $0 \equiv M + k \pmod{n}$. Thus $0 \equiv M + k \pmod{n} \equiv 2M \pmod{2m}$. So $2M = 2am$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $1 \leq M < 2m$, then $2 \leq 2am < 4m$ and so $a = 1$. Thus $M = m$.

Thus f is a short-cycle if and only if $k = m$ which gives $f = f_0$. □

We now have enough to prove that f_0 is the only homogeneous MRS bent function of degree 2:

Theorem 2.7. *Let f be a rotation symmetric boolean function in $n = 2m$ variables which has the SANF $x_0 x_k$. Then f is bent if and only if $k = m$.*

Proof. Assume $k \neq m = \frac{n}{2}$. Then by Lemma 2.6, f is full cycle and we write

$f = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i x_{k+i}$. Then each variable x_i , $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, appears in two distinct monomials in f and there are n (even) monomials in f . We will first show $f(\mathbf{v}_i) = f(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-i})$, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and hence $\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i) = \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-i})$. Notice $f(\mathbf{v}_i) = 0$ when there are an even number of monomials in f which have a value of 1 and $f(\mathbf{v}_i) = 1$ when there are an odd number of monomials which have a value of 1.

Fix $i = I$ and let $wt(\mathbf{v}_I) = l$. Suppose $f(\mathbf{v}_I)$ has r, s , and t monomials of the form $x_j x_h$ with $\{x_j, x_h\} = \{1, 1\}, \{1, 0\}$, and $\{0, 0\}$ respectively, so $f(\mathbf{v}_I) \equiv r \pmod{2}$. Since each variable x_i appears in two distinct monomials of f , then by counting the number of times $x_i = 1$ in f we see $2r + s = 2l$ and s must be even. Also, we know there are n monomials in f so $r + s + t = n$. Clearly $f(\mathbf{v}_I) = 0 \iff r$ is even $\iff t$ is even, since s, n are both even and $r + s + t = n$. It is easy to see that $f(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-I}) = f(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_I) \equiv t \pmod{2}$. Thus $f(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-I}) = 0 \iff t$ is even.

Hence for any i , $0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$, we have $f(\mathbf{v}_i) = f(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-i})$ and therefore $\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i) = \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-i})$. So (2) becomes:

$$M_{0,2^n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} 2(\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i))^2 = 2^n.$$

Thus if $k \neq m$ then $M_{0,2^n-1} \neq 0$ and we have that $(\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{v}_j))$ is not Hadamard. Thus by Theorem 1.1 f is not bent. The remainder of the theorem follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.8. *If $n = 2m$, then any degree 2 rotation symmetric bent function must contain $f_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} x_i x_{m+i}$.*

Proof. Assume f is a rotation symmetric function of degree 2. By [1, Th. 5.29, p. 83] we can assume f has only quadratic monomials, so f has SANF $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i x_0 x_i$ where the coefficients $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Let g_k be the function with SANF $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} a_k x_0 x_k$ where $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Suppose f does not contain f_0 , then $a_{\frac{n}{2}} = 0$.

We have $g_k = a_k \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i x_{k+i}$ when $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and from the proof of Theorem 2.7, since $a_{n/2} = 0$ we know $\hat{g}_k(\mathbf{v}_i) = \hat{g}_k(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-i})$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Also, $\hat{f} = \widehat{\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n-1} g_k} = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \hat{g}_k$. Thus $\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \hat{g}_k(\mathbf{v}_i) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \hat{g}_k(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-i}) =$

$\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-i})$. So (2) gives:

$$M_{0,2^n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} 2(\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_k))^2 = 2^n.$$

Thus $M_{0,2^n-1} \neq 0$, so $(\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{v}_j))$ is not Hadamard and therefore by Theorem 1.1 f is not bent. \square

Necessary and sufficient conditions for a bent quadratic function are given in [6, Lemma 1, p. 4909]. The Lemma gives an alternate proof of Corollary 2.8 as discussed in [6, Rmk. 1, p. 4910]. A characterization of *any* bent RS function of degree 2 follows from [4, Th. 3.7, p. 6]. Examples show that [4, Th. 3.1, p. 3] is false.

We will now look at rotation symmetric functions $f = \bigoplus_i f_i$ of any degree where each of the f_i is a short-cycle MRS Boolean function. We will prove that f is bent only if $f_i = f_0$ for some i . To do this we need the following result from [5, pp. 218].

Theorem 2.9. *Let f be a function on \mathbb{V}_n and $J \subset \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ such that f does not contain any term $x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_t}$ where $t > 1$ and $j_1, \dots, j_t \in J$. Then the nonlinearity of f satisfies $\mathcal{N}_f \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^{s-1}$, where $s = |J|$.*

Theorem 2.10. *Let $n = 2m$, then $f_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} x_i x_{m+i}$ is the only bent short-cycle MRS Boolean function in n variables.*

Proof. Let f have SANF $x_0 x_{a_1} \cdots x_{a_{d-1}}$ and suppose f is a short-cycle function. Then the number of monomials in f is k where $1 \leq k < 2m$. Thus,

$$f = x_0 x_{a_1} \cdots x_{a_{d-1}} \oplus x_1 x_{a_1+1} \cdots x_{a_{d-1}+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus x_{k-1} x_{a_1+k-1} \cdots x_{a_{d-1}+k-1}$$

It is easy to see then that $\{0, a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}\} = \{k, a_1 + k, \dots, a_{d-1} + k\} = \dots = \{lk, a_1 + lk, \dots, a_{d-1} + lk\}$ where $lk < 2m$. By Lemma 3.1 we know k must divide $n = 2m$, thus $1 \leq k \leq m$. Thus by rotation symmetry the tuple $\{a_i, a_i + k, \dots, a_i + lk\}$ appears in each monomial of f , for every i , $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. If $k = m$ then $l = 1$ and f has SANF

$$f = x_0 x_{a_1} x_{a_2} \cdots x_{a_{g-1}} x_m x_{m+a_1} \cdots x_{m+a_{g-1}}, \quad (3)$$

where $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{g-1} < m$. First consider $g > 1$. Suppose $a_k < m$ and $a_k + 1 = m$; then $m + a_k < 2m$ and $m + a_k + 1 = 2m$. So

if $x_{a_k+1} = x_m$ then $x_{m+a_k+1} = x_0$. Thus for each monomial in f, g of the variables x_i have $i < m$ and g have $i \geq m$. Let $J = \{m-1, m, \dots, n-1\}$. Thus by Theorem 2.9 we have $\mathcal{N}_f \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^m < 2^{n-1} - 2^{m-1}$, so f is not bent by Theorem 1.1. If $g = 1$ then $f = f_0$ which we know is bent. Thus if $k = m$ then f is bent only if $f = f_0$. If $k < m$ then by regrouping the terms we have that,

$$f = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} x_i x_{b_1+i} \cdots x_{b_g+i} x_{k+i} x_{b_1+k+i} \cdots x_{b_g+k+i} \cdots x_{lk+i} x_{b_1+lk+i} \cdots x_{b_g+lk+i}$$

From the first term in the monomial, x_i , we see that each monomial contains at least one element of the set $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$. Since $k < m$ then $k-1 < m-1$. So if we let $J = \{m-1, m, m+1, \dots, n-1\}$, then by Theorem 2.9 we have $\mathcal{N}_f \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^m < 2^{n-1} - 2^{m-1}$. Thus f is not bent by Theorem 1.1. \square

Theorem 2.11. *Let $n = 2m$ and let f in n variables be a sum of MRS short-cycle functions, so $f = \bigoplus_i f_i$, where each f_i has fewer than n monomials. If*

$$f \text{ is bent then one of the } f_i \text{ is } f_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} x_i x_{m+i}.$$

Proof. Assume f is bent and no f_i is f_0 . Then any short-cycle of the form in equation (3) has $g > 1$ and from the proof of Theorem 2.10 we see that each monomial in f contains at least one variable x_i where $i < m-1$. Thus if we let $J = \{m-1, m, \dots, n-1\}$ then f does not contain any term $x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_t}$ where $t > 1$ and $j_i \in J$; hence from Theorem 2.9 we have $\mathcal{N}_f \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^m < 2^{n-1} - 2^{m-1}$. Thus f cannot be bent, contradiction. Therefore one of the f_i is f_0 . \square

3 Homogeneous rotation symmetric bent functions when $n=2p$

Using ideas similar to those in section 2, we can prove that the only homogeneous MRS bent function in $n = 2p$ variables, where $p > 2$ is prime, is

$$f_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} x_i x_{p+i}.$$

By a different method, this result was proven for any n by Meng et al. [3, Th. 11, pp. 1114]

Notice we have already proven this for some cases in section 2. For the remainder of the cases we must first further simplify (2). To do this we will need a few facts about the rotation symmetric equivalence classes of \mathbb{V}_n .

Lemma 3.1. $|O_n(\mathbf{v}_i)|$ divides n .

Proof. $O_n(\mathbf{v}_i)$ is the orbit generated by $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{V}_n$ under the action of G where G is the group of left cyclic shifts. Thus $|O_n(\mathbf{v}_i)| = |G : G_{\mathbf{v}_i}|$, where $G_{\mathbf{v}_i} = \{\rho \in G | \rho(\mathbf{v}_i) = \mathbf{v}_i\}$. Since $|G| = n$ then $|O_n(\mathbf{v}_i)|$ divides n . \square

Lemma 3.2. $|O_n(\mathbf{v}_i)| = 2 \iff \mathbf{v}_i \sim \mathbf{v}_{\frac{2^n-1}{3}}$.

Proof. \Rightarrow : $|O_n(\mathbf{v}_i)| = 2 \iff O_n(\mathbf{v}_i) = \{(1, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 1, 0), (0, 1, 0, 1, \dots, 0, 1)\}$. Let $\mathbf{v}_i = (0, 1, 0, 1, \dots, 0, 1) \Rightarrow i = 1 + 2^2 + 2^4 + \dots + 2^{n-2} \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} 3i &= (1+2)i = (1+2)(1+2^2+2^4+\dots+2^{n-2}) \\ &= (1+2^2+2^4+\dots+2^{n-2}) + (2+2^3+2^5+\dots+2^{n-1}) \\ &= 1+2+2^2+2^3+2^4+\dots+2^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_{3i} = \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}$ since $\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1} = (1, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$. So we have $i = \frac{2^n-1}{3}$. Since $(0, 1, 0, 1, \dots, 0, 1) \sim (1, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 1, 0)$ we have $(1, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 1, 0) \sim \mathbf{v}_{\frac{2^n-1}{3}}$. \Leftarrow : Reverse the argument used above. \square

Theorem 3.3. If n is even and $\frac{n}{2}$ is an odd prime, then

$$\begin{aligned} M_{0,2^n-1} &= 2 + 2\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_0)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}) + 2n \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{v}_k \in \mathcal{G}_n \\ |O_n(\mathbf{v}_k)|=n \\ \mathbf{v}_k \sim \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-k}}} \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_k)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-k}) \\ &\quad + n \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{v}_k \in \mathcal{G}_n \\ |O_n(\mathbf{v}_k)|=\frac{n}{2}}} \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_k)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-k}) + n \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{v}_k \in \mathcal{G}_n \\ |O_n(\mathbf{v}_k)|=n \\ \mathbf{v}_k \sim \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-k}}} (\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_k))^2. \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $n = 2p$ where p is an odd prime. Then by Lemma 3.1, $|O_n(\mathbf{v}_i)| = 1, 2, p$, or n .

Let $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{V}_n$ such that $|O_n(\mathbf{v}_i)| = 1$, then $\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{v}_0$ or \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1} which appears as the first term of (2) with $k = 0$.

If $|O_n(\mathbf{v}_i)| = 2$ then $\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{v}_{\frac{2^n-1}{3}}$ and $2^n - 1 - \frac{2^n-1}{3} = 2\frac{2^n-1}{3}$, so $\mathbf{v}_i \sim \mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-i}$ and we have one $2(\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{\frac{2^n-1}{3}}))^2$ term. Since $\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i) = \pm 1$ for any $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{V}_n$ then $(\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i))^2 = 1$ for any \mathbf{v}_i . So when $k = \frac{2^n-1}{3}$ in (2), then $2\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_k)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-k}) = 2$.

Now suppose $\mathbf{v}_i = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and $|O_n(\mathbf{v}_i)| = p$, then $\mathbf{v}'_i = \mathbf{v}''_i$. So to consider the cyclic shifts of \mathbf{v}_i we need only consider the cyclic shifts of $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{p-1})$. Notice $\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1-i} = (1+a_0, 1+a_1, \dots, 1+a_{n-1})$ and we have $(1+a_0, 1+a_1, \dots, 1+a_{p-1}) = (1+a_p, 1+a_{p+1}, \dots, 1+a_{n-1})$

so $|O_n(\mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1-i}})| = p$ as well. Since p is odd $(1+a_0, 1+a_1, \dots, 1+a_{p-1})$ will have a different number of 1's than $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{p-1})$. Hence $\mathbf{v}_i \approx \mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1-i}}$ since $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}) \approx (1+a_0, 1+a_1, \dots, 1+a_{p-1})$. Thus from Lemma 2.4, if $|O_n(\mathbf{v}_i)| = p$, then grouping the corresponding terms in (2) by the equivalence class representatives gives $2p\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1-i}}) = n\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1-i}})$.

If $|O_n(\mathbf{v}_i)| = n$ and $\mathbf{v}_i \approx \mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1-i}}$ then grouping the corresponding terms in (2) by the equivalence class representative gives the new coefficient $2n$. If $\mathbf{v}_i \sim \mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1-i}}$ then this coefficient becomes $2\frac{n}{2} = n$.

Thus (2) reduces to the above equation. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $n = 2p$, where $p > 2$ is prime. If f is a rotation symmetric bent Boolean function in n variables, then $\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_0) = -\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1}})$.*

Proof. From Theorem 1.1 f is bent if and only if $M = 2^n I_{2^n}$. Thus if f is bent then $M_{0,2^{n-1}} = 0$. From (4) we see that in order for $M_{0,2^{n-1}}$ to be 0 we need the 2 which appears from the case $\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{v}_{\frac{2^{n-1}}{3}}$ to cancel. Let

$$a = \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_0)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1}}), \quad b = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{v}_k \in \mathcal{G}_n \\ |O_n(\mathbf{v}_k)|=n \\ \mathbf{v}_k \approx \mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1-k}}}} \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_k)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1-k}}),$$

$$c = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{v}_k \in \mathcal{G}_n \\ |O_n(\mathbf{v}_k)|=\frac{n}{2}}} \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_k)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1-k}}), \quad \text{and } d = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{v}_k \in \mathcal{G}_n \\ |O_n(\mathbf{v}_k)|=n \\ \mathbf{v}_k \sim \mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1-k}}} (\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_k))^2.$$

Assume f is bent, then (4) becomes:

$$2 + 2a + 4pb + 2pc + 2pd = 0 \Rightarrow p(2b + c + d) = -1 - a.$$

If $a = 1$, then $p(2b + c + d) = -2$. Since $p > 2$ and $2b + c + d \in \mathbf{Z}$ this is a contradiction. Thus $a = -1$ and $\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_0)\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1}}) = -1$. Therefore $\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_0) = -\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1}})$ since $\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_i) = \pm 1$ for all i . \square

The following corollary now proves that full-cycle homogeneous MRS Boolean functions in $2p$ variables cannot be bent.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $n = 2p$ where p is an odd prime. Let f be an MRS boolean function with SANF $x_0x_{a_1}x_{a_2} \dots x_{a_{d-1}}$. If the number of monomials in f is n , then f is not bent.*

Proof. Using Theorem 1.1 we need only show $M_{0,2^{n-1}} \neq 0$. If the number of monomials in f is n , then we have $\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_0) = \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^{n-1}})$ since $n = 2p$ is even. Thus $M_{0,2^{n-1}} \neq 0$ so f is not bent. \square

We can now prove that f_0 is the only homogeneous MRS bent function.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $n = 2p$, where $p > 2$ is prime. The only bent homogeneous MRS Boolean function in n variables is $f_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} x_i x_{p+i}$.*

Proof. Any monomial homogeneous rotation symmetric function, f , has SANF $x_0 x_{a_1} \dots x_{a_{d-1}}$ and is either short-cycle or full-cycle. If f is full-cycle then from Corollary 3.5 f is not bent. If f is short-cycle then from Theorem 2.10, f is bent if and only if $f = f_0$. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let $n = 2p$, where $p > 2$ is prime, and let f be a rotation symmetric Boolean function in n variables with SANF $x_0 x_{a_1} \dots x_{a_{d-1}}$. If f has p monomials, then d is even and f has SANF given by equation (3).*

Proof. If the number of monomials in f is p then

$$f = x_0 x_{a_1} \dots x_{a_{d-1}} \oplus x_1 x_{a_1+1} \dots x_{a_{d-1}+1} \oplus \dots \oplus x_{p-1} x_{a_1+p-1} \dots x_{a_{d-1}+p-1}$$

Thus $\{0, a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}\} = \{p, a_1 + p, \dots, a_{d-1} + p\}$ so each pair $\{x_{a_i}, x_{a_i+p}\}$ appears in every monomial and f is of the form in (3). The result that d is even follows immediately since each monomial contains the pairs $\{x_{a_i}, x_{a_i+p}\}$. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let $n = 2p$, where $p > 2$ is prime. Let $f = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s f_i$ where each f_i has SANF $x_0 x_{a_{i1}} \dots x_{a_{i,d-1}}$ and $\deg(f) = d$. Let r be the number of f_i that are short-cycle and l be the number of f_i that are long-cycle. If f is bent then d is even. Furthermore, if $d = 2$ then f contains f_0 and if $d \neq 2$ then $r \geq 1$ is odd and $l \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $f = f_1 \oplus f_2 \oplus \dots \oplus f_s$ where each f_i has SANF $x_0 x_{a_{i1}} \dots x_{a_{i,d-1}}$. Thus $\deg(f_i) = d \forall i$ and so $\deg(f) = d$. Since $n = 2p$ then $\text{GCD}(n, d) = 1, 2, p, \text{ or } n$ and from Lemma 3.1 we know that the number of monomials in each f_i is either 1, 2, p , or n .

- (i) Suppose the number of monomials in f_i for some i is 1, then since f_i is rotation symmetric then $f_i = x_0 x_1 \dots x_{n-1}$ and $d = n$.
- (ii) Suppose the number of monomials in f_i for some i is 2, then $f = x_0 x_{a_{i1}} \dots x_{a_{i,d-1}} \oplus x_1 x_{a_{i1}+1} \dots x_{a_{i,d-1}+1}$. It is easy to see by induction that the first monomial contains all of the even indices and the second monomial contains all of the odd indices. Thus $d = p$.

(iii) Suppose the number of monomials in f_i for some i is p , then from Theorem 3.7 f_i is of the form in equation (3) and d is even.

Thus f_i is a short-cycle function only if $d = p, n$, or is even. Let $\text{GCD}(n, d) = 1$, then the number of monomials in f_i is n for all i . Thus, $\hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_0) = \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}) \forall i$ and so $\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_0) = \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1})$ and by Lemma 3.4 f is not bent. If $\text{GCD}(n, d) = p$ then f_i either has 2 monomials or n monomials for each i . Thus $\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_0) = \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1})$ and so f is not bent. If $\text{GCD}(n, d) = n$ then $d = n$ and f is not bent by Lemma 1.2. Now suppose $\text{GCD}(n, d) = 2$, thus d is even. If $d = 2$ then by Corollary 2.8 f must contain f_0 which is the only degree 2 short-cycle. If $d \neq 2$ then by (iii), the short-cycle functions of degree d are of the form in equation (3). By Theorem 2.11 we know that any combination of these short-cycle functions is not bent, thus f must also contain a long-cycle and so $l \geq 1$. If r is even then,

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_0) = \prod_{i=1}^s \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_0) = (-1)^r \prod_{i=1}^s \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}) = \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1})$$

since $\hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_0) = -\hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1})$ when f_i is short-cycle and $\hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_0) = \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1})$ when f_i is long-cycle. Thus by Lemma 3.4 f is not bent. \square

Remark. The previous Theorem says that any homogeneous RotS bent function must have even degree and contain an odd number of short-cycle MRS functions. If the degree is greater than 2 then it must also contain at least one long-cycle MRS function.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $n = 2p$, p an odd prime, and f be a homogeneous RotS Boolean function in n variables with $\text{deg}(f) = d = 2k$ where $k > 1$. Let*

$f = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s f_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=s+1}^l f_i$ where for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, f_i is an MRS short-cycle function and for all $s+1 \leq i \leq l$, f_i is an MRS full-cycle function. Suppose f_i has SANF $x_0 x_{a_{i_1}} \dots x_{a_{i_{d-1}}}$. If $2 \leq r \leq d-2$ for all $s+1 \leq i \leq l$, where r is the number of odd indices in $\{a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_{d-1}}\}$, then f is not bent.

Proof. Since the degree of f is even, we know from the proof of Theorem 3.8 that any short-cycle is of the form in (3). Thus no short-cycle contains a term $x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_t}$ where $t > 1$ and j_1, \dots, j_t are in the set $J = \{0, 2, 4, \dots, n-2, n-1\}$ since $\text{deg}(f) \geq 4$. If the number of odd indices, r , in the first monomial of any full-cycle in f is $2 \leq r \leq d-2$, then each monomial in the full-cycles has either r odd indices and $d-r$ even indices or $d-r$ odd indices and r even indices. Since $2 \leq r \leq d-2$ then $2 \leq d-r \leq d-2$, thus each

monomial contains at least 2 odd indices. Thus, because J contains only one odd number, $n - 1$, then no monomial, $x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_t}$, in a long-cycle has $x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_t} \in J$ where $t > 1$. Thus by Theorem 2.9, $\mathcal{N}_f \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^p < 2^{n-1} - 2^{p-1}$ and so f is not bent by Theorem 1.1. \square

Remark. Lemma 3.9 says that if $f = \bigoplus_i f_i$ is a homogeneous RotS Boolean function of even degree d , $d > 2$, in $n = 2p$ variables then one of the f_i must have a monomial with exactly one odd index.

Let f be a homogeneous RotS function of degree d with SANF $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq s} \beta_i$, where $\beta_i = x_{k_0^{(i)}} x_{k_2^{(i)}} \dots x_{k_{d-1}^{(i)}}$ and $k_0^{(i)} = 0$ for all i . Define a sequence $s_j^{(i)}, j = 1, 2, \dots, d$, by $s_j^{(i)} = k_j^{(i)} - k_{j-1}^{(i)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq d - 1$, and $s_d^{(i)} = k_0^{(i)} + n - k_{d-1}^{(i)}$. Let s_f be the largest distance between two consecutive indices in all of the monomials in f , thus $s_f = \max_{i,j} s_j^{(i)}$. Then from [3, Th. 13, pp. 1116] we have the following:

Theorem 3.10. *Let f be a homogeneous RotS function with degree $d \geq 3$ in n variables. If $s_f \leq \frac{n}{2}$, then f is not bent.*

We can use Theorem 3.10 to get a useful bound on the degree of any possible homogeneous RotS bent function in $2p$ variables:

Theorem 3.11. *Let f be a homogeneous RotS bent function of degree $d \geq 3$ in $n = 2p$ variables, p an odd prime. Then $d \leq (p + 3)/2$.*

Proof. Suppose $d > (p + 3)/2$. We choose $J = \{0, 1, 2, 4, \dots, 2p - 2\}$ (so $|J| = p + 1$ and J has p even elements) in Theorem 2.9. The theorem applies since now no monomial $x_0 x_{a_1} \dots x_{a_{d-1}}$ can have all of its variables x_j in J and also have a gap of length $\geq (n/2) + 1$ in its index set (necessary for f to be bent by Theorem 3.10). Suppose the monomial $x_0 x_{a_1} \dots x_{a_{d-1}}$ has all of its variables x_j in J and $d > \frac{p+3}{2}$. If there is a gap in the indices greater than or equal to $p + 1$, then at least $\frac{p-1}{2}$ of the indices in J do not appear in the monomial. Thus there are at most $p + 1 - \frac{p-1}{2} = \frac{p+3}{2}$ possible indices in J which appear in the monomial. Thus $d \leq \frac{p+3}{2}$, contradiction. Note, for example, that if the indices are $0, 1, \underbrace{2, p+3}_{\text{gap}}, p+5, \dots, 2p-2$ then

we have a gap of length $p + 1$ but only $(p + 3)/2$ indices, contradicting our assumption about d . Now Theorem 2.9 gives

$$\mathcal{N}_f \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^p \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^{n/2} < 2^{n-1} - 2^{n/2-1},$$

so f is not bent by Theorem 1.1, contradicting our hypothesis. \square

4 Nonhomogeneous rotation symmetric bent functions when $n=2p$

We have already shown in Theorem 2.11 that any bent function composed only of short-cycle MRS functions must contain f_0 .

We can now extend the ideas used in section 3 to show that in most cases any bent rotation symmetric Boolean function in $n = 2p$, $p > 2$ prime, variables must contain f_0 .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n = 2p$ where p is an odd prime. Let $f = f_1 \oplus f_2 \oplus \dots \oplus f_s$ be a rotation symmetric Boolean function where each f_i has SANF $x_0 a_{a_1} \dots x_{a_{d_i-1}}$. If $d_i = 2$ or the number of monomials in each f_i is either*

2 or n , then f is bent only if f contains $f_0 = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{p-1} x_j x_{p+j}$. If f contains an even number of f_i , where the number of monomials in f_i is p and all other f_i contain 2 or n monomials, then f is not bent.

Proof. Let $f = f_1 \oplus f_2 \oplus \dots \oplus f_s$ where for each f_i , $d_i = 2$ or the number of monomials in f_i is 2 or n . Suppose f is bent and does not contain f_0 . Then since f_0 is the only short-cycle RotS function with degree 2 then each f_i contains either 2 or n monomials. Thus from the proof of Corollary 3.5, $\hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_0) = \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1})$ for all i . Thus

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_0) = \prod \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_0) = \prod \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}) = \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1})$$

and from Lemma 3.4 we see that f cannot be bent which is a contradiction. Thus f must contain f_0 .

Now suppose the number of monomials in f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{2r} is p and $f_{2r+1}, f_{2r+2}, \dots, f_s$ contain either 2 or n monomials. Then $\hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_0) = -\hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1})$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2r$ and $\hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_0) = \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1})$ for $2r+1 \leq i \leq s$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_0) &= \prod_{i=1}^s \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_0) = \prod_{i=1}^{2r} -\hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}) \prod_{i=2r+1}^s \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}) = (-1)^{2r} \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}) \\ &= \hat{f}(\mathbf{v}_{2^n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus from Lemma 3.4, f cannot be bent. \square

Remark. If f_i has 1 monomial, for some i , then $\deg(f_i) = n$. Thus $\deg(f) = n$ and so f is not bent from Lemma 1.2.

Remark. The previous Theorem says that any nonhomogeneous RotS bent function must contain an odd number of short-cycle MRS functions of even degree and at least one function which is a long-cycle MRS function.

References

- [1] T. W. Cusick and P. Stănică, *Cryptographic Boolean Functions and Applications* (San Diego: Academic Press, 2009).
- [2] H. Kim, S-M. Park and S. G. Hahn, On the weight and nonlinearity of homogeneous rotation symmetric Boolean functions of degree 2, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 157, 428-432 (2009)
- [3] Q. Meng, L. Chen, F.-W. Fu, On homogeneous rotation symmetric bent functions, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 158 (2010), 1111–1117.
- [4] X. Zhang, G. Gao, On the conjecture about the nonexistence of rotation symmetric bent functions, arXiv.org, arXiv:1303.2282v1, 7 pp. (2013).
- [5] Y. Zheng, X-M. Zhang, H. Imai, Restriction, terms and nonlinearity of Boolean functions, *Theoretical Computer Science* 226, 207-223 (1999)
- [6] Guangpu Gao, Xiyong Zhang, Wenfen Liu, and Claude Carlet, Constructions of Quadratic and Cubic Rotation Symmetric Bent Functions, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 58, 4908-4913 (2012)