LOCAL LIMIT THEOREMS FOR SUSPENDED SEMIFLOWS

JON. AARONSON & DALIA TERHESIU

Abstract. We prove local limit theorems for a cocycle over a semiflow by establishing topological, mixing properties of the associated skew product semiflow. We also establish conditional rational weak mixing of certain skew product semiflows and order 2 rational weak mixing of hyperbolic geodesic flows of cyclic covers.

§0 Introduction

Semiflows.
For \((X, \mathcal{B}, m)\) a standard \(\sigma\)-finite, measure space, let
\[
\text{MPT}(X, \mathcal{B}, m) := \{ \text{measure preserving transformations of } (X, \mathcal{B}, m) \}.
\]
The collection \(\text{MPT}(X, \mathcal{B}, m)\) is a polish semigroup under composition with respect to the \textit{weak operator topology} defined by
\[
T_n \to T \quad \text{if} \quad 1_A \circ T_n \xrightarrow{\text{m}} 1_A \circ T \quad \forall \ A \in \mathcal{B}.
\]

A \textit{semiflow} on \((X, \mathcal{B}, m)\) is a continuous (semigroup) homomorphism \(\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \text{MPT}(X, \mathcal{B}, m)\).

Cocycles.
Let \(G\) be a locally compact, polish Abelian group and let \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)\) be a measure preserving transformation.

Given a measurable function \(c : X \to G\), we may define \(C : \mathbb{N} \times X \to G\) by \(C(n, x) = c_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c(T^k x)\) and obtain the \textit{cocycle equation}
\[
C(n + n', x) = C(n, x) + C(n', T^n x).
\]
Analogously, for \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, \Phi)\) a semiflow, a \(G\)-valued \(\Phi\)-\textit{cocycle} is a measurable function \(C : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \to G\) satisfying \(C(t + t', x) = C(t, x) + C(t', \Phi_t(x))\).
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A \( \Phi \)-cocycle is a \( \Phi \)-\textit{coboundary} if it has the form \((t,x) \mapsto H(x) - H(\Phi_t(x))\) where \(H : X \to \mathbb{G}\) is measurable and two \( \Phi \)-cocycles are \textit{cohomologous} if they differ by a \( \Phi \)-coboundary.

**Local limit theorems.** In this paper we study the local limit theory of cocycles over a semiflow. Let \( \mathbb{G} \leq \mathbb{R}^\kappa \) be a closed subgroup of full dimension, let \((X,m,\Phi)\) by a \( \mathbb{PP} \) semiflow and let \( C : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \to \mathbb{G} \) be a \( \Phi \)-cocycle. For \( 0 < p \leq 2 \), let \( S \) be a nonsingular \( S_p \) random variable on \( \mathbb{R}^\kappa \) and let \( b : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) be \( \frac{1}{p} \)-regularly varying. Fix a ring \( \mathcal{R} \subset B(X) \).

The \( \Phi \)-cocycle \( C : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \to \mathbb{G} \) is said to satisfy:

- the \textit{integrated} \((S,b)\)-local limit theorem (with respect to \( \mathcal{R} \)) if

\[
\left( \text{Int-LLT} \right) \quad b(t)^\kappa m(A \cap \Phi_t^{-1} B \cap [C(t,\cdot) \in x(t) + U]) \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} f_S(z) \cdot m(A)m(B)m_\mathbb{G}(U) \quad \forall \ A,B \in \mathcal{R} \ \& \ U \subset \mathbb{G} \text{ precompact}, \ m_\mathbb{G}(\partial U) = 0;
\]

and

- the \textit{conditional} \((S,b)\)-local limit theorem (with respect to \( \mathcal{R} \)) if

\[
\left( \text{Con-LLT} \right) \quad b(t)^\kappa \Phi_t(1_{A \cap [C(t,\cdot) \in x(t) + U]}) \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} f_S(z) \cdot m(A)m_\mathbb{G}(U) \quad \forall \ A \in \mathcal{R} \ \& \ U \subset \mathbb{G} \text{ precompact}, \ m_\mathbb{G}(\partial U) = 0.
\]

Here and throughout, for \( T \in \text{MPT}(X,\mathcal{B},m) \), \( \mathbb{T} : L^1(m) \to L^1(m) \) denotes the \textit{transfer operator} of \( T \) defined by

\[
\int_X \mathbb{T}f \cdot g dm = \int_X f \cdot g \circ T dm.
\]

The convergence in (Int-LLT) is uniform in \( z \) in compact subsets, and in (Con-LLT) also uniform on \( A \).

Consequently,

\[
\left( \text{Con-LLT} \right) \Rightarrow \left( \text{Int-LLT} \right) \Rightarrow \ \text{dist} \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \text{dist} S \text{ weakly}.
\]

Versions of (Int-LLT) in the case where \( p = 2 \) (and \( S \) is Gaussian) can be found in [Wad96], [Iwa08] and [DN17].

**What’s new.**

In this paper, inter alia, we give conditions for (Con-LLT) when \( p = 2 \) (\( \& \ S \) is Gaussian) and for (Int-LLT) when \( p \neq 2 \) (theorem 1).

Our approach is via mixing properties of the associated skew product semiflow (see below).

Conditions are obtained for \textit{conditional rational weak mixing} of skew product semiflows (theorem 2) and order 2 \textit{rational weak mixing} of the geodesic flow of a cyclic cover of a compact hyperbolic surface is established (theorem 3).
§1 Background

Skew product semiflows. Given a semiflow \((Y, C, \nu, \Phi)\) and a \(\Phi\)-cocycle \(G : \mathbb{R}_+ \times Y \to \mathbb{G}\), define the skew product semiflow \(\Phi^{(G)}\) on \((Z, \mu) := (Y \times \mathbb{G}, \nu \times m_G)\)

\[\Phi^{(G)}_t(y, z) := (\Phi_t(y), z + G(t, y)) \quad (t > 0).\]

It follows that \((Z, \mu, \Phi^{(G)})\) is a measure preserving semiflow. We define also the deck holonomies

\[Q_h(y, z) := (y, z + h) \quad (h \in \mathbb{G}).\]

Suspended semiflow and renewal process.

Let \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)\) be an ergodic MPT (EMPT) and let \(r : X \to \mathbb{R}_+\) be measurable (aka the roof function).

The renewal process generated is

\[N(y)(x) := \#(\Gamma(x) \cap (0, y])\]

where \(\Gamma(x) := \{r_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r(T^k x) : n \geq 1\}\).

In case \(m(X) = 1\) and \(E(r) < \infty\), by the ergodic theorem,

\[\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{E(N(t))}{t} = \frac{1}{E(r)} = \lambda = \lambda > 0\]

the asymptotic intensity of the renewal process.

The suspended semiflow \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)^t\) is the semiflow \((Y, C, \nu, \Phi)\) where \(Y = \{(x, y) \in X \times \mathbb{R}_+ : 0 \leq y < r(x)\}\), \(C = \mathcal{B}(Y)\), \(\nu(A \times C) = m(A) \text{Leb}(C)\)

\[\Phi_t(x, y) = (T^n x, y + t - r_n(x))\]

where \(n = N(t)(x, y)\) is such that

\[r_n(x) \leq y + t < r_{n+1}(x)\]

i.e. \(N(t)(x, y) = \#(\Gamma(x) \cap (0, y + t]) = N(t + y)(x)\).

It follows from the definitions that \(\nu(Y) = \int_X r dm\) and that for \(t > 0\),

\[t \mapsto \Phi_t \in \text{MPT}(Y, C, \nu)\]

is continuous.

Next it is routine to check that

\[(\mathcal{F}) \quad N(t + t') = N(t) + N(t') \circ \Phi_t \forall (x, y) \in Y, \ t, t' > 0;\]
whence \( \Phi_{t+t'} = \Phi_t \circ \Phi_{t'} \), showing that \( \Phi : \mathbb{R} \to \text{MPT}(Y, C, \nu) \) is indeed a semiflow and \( \mathcal{N} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times Y \to \mathbb{R} \) is a \( \Phi \)-cocycle.

We’ll call \( \mathcal{N} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times Y \to \mathbb{R} \) the renewal cocycle.

**Jump cocycles over a suspended semiflow.**

Let \( (Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu, \Phi) = (X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)^r \) be a suspended semiflow as above, let \( \mathcal{G} \leq \mathbb{R}^\kappa \), \( \dim \text{span } \mathcal{G} = \kappa \) and let \( \varphi : X \to \mathcal{G} \) be measurable.

Now define the jump cocycle \( J = J(\varphi) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times Y \to \mathcal{G} \) by

\[
(\star) \quad t, (x, y) := \varphi_{\mathcal{N}(t)(x, y)}(x).
\]

By \( \star \), \( J \) is a \( \Phi \)-cocycle.

In the sequel, we’ll refer to \( \varphi : X \to \mathcal{G} \) as the displacement and to \( J(\varphi) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times Y \to \mathcal{G} \) as the displacement cocycle.

As shown in \cite{AN17}, the skew product semiflow of the jump cocycle over a suspended semiflow is isomorphic with the suspension semiflow over the displacement skew product. That is: if \( (Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu, \Phi) = (X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)^r \) and \( J := J(\varphi) \), then

\[
( Z, \mu, \Phi(\mathcal{J})) \cong (X \times \mathcal{G}, m \times m_{\mathcal{G}}, T_\varphi)^r
\]

where \( \tau(x, z) := \tau(x) \).

**Example: Continuous time random walks.**

A random walk (RW) on the locally compact, polish, Abelian group \( \mathcal{G} \) is a sequence of \( \mathcal{G} \)-valued random variables \( (S_n : n \geq 1) \) defined by

\[
S_n := \sum_{k=1}^n X_k
\]

with \( (X_n : n \geq 1) \) independent, identically distributed random variables on \( \mathcal{G} \). The mutual distribution \( f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}) \) is known as the jump distribution of the RW.

A continuous time random walk (abbr. CTRW) (in \cite{MW65}, jump process in \cite{Bre68}) on \( \mathcal{G} \) is a one-parameter family \( (S(t) : t > 0) \) of random variables on \( \mathcal{G} \) defined by

\[
S(t) := S_{\mathcal{N}(t)}
\]

where \( S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \) is as above and \( \mathcal{N}(t) := \# \Gamma \cap (0, t) \) \( (t > 0) \) where \( \Gamma \) is a Poisson point process on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) which is independent of \( (X_n : n \geq 1) \) and where \( E(\mathcal{N}(t)) = \lambda t \ \forall \ t > 0 \) where \( \lambda > 0 \) (the intensity of the process).

We’ll exhibit a jump cocycle over a suspended semiflow with the same distribution as \( (S(t) : t > 0) \).
Let \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)\) be given by \(X := (\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{R}_+)^\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{B} = \{\text{Borel subsets of } X\}\), \(T = \text{shift and } m = \mu^\mathbb{N}\) where

\[
\mu(U \times A) := \frac{f(U)}{\lambda} \int_A e^{-tx} dx.
\]

Denote \(x \in X\) by \(x = (k, r) = ((k_1, k_2, \ldots), (r_1, r_2, \ldots))\) and define

- \(r : X \to \mathbb{R}_+\) by \(r(x) := r_1\)
- \(\varphi : X \to \mathbb{G}\) by \(\varphi(x) := k_1\).

Let \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)_T = (Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu, \Phi)\) and define \(J(\varphi) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times Y \to \mathbb{G}\) as above.

We claim that the \(\nu\)-distribution of \((J(\varphi)(t, \cdot) : t > 0)\) coincides with the distribution of \((S(t) : t > 0)\).

Indeed, considered with respect to \(m\), \(\{r_n(\cdot) : n \geq 1\} =: \Gamma(\cdot)\) is a Poisson point process on \(\mathbb{R}_+\) with intensity \(\lambda\). For \(y > 0\), so is \(\Gamma_y(\cdot) := (\Gamma(\cdot) - y) \cap \mathbb{R}_+\).

For \((x, y) \in Y\), \(N(t)(x, y) := \#(\Gamma(\cdot) \cap (y, y+t)) = \#(\Gamma_y(\cdot) \cap (0, t))\) and

\[
(J(\varphi)(t, (x, y)) : t > 0) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N(t)(x, y)} k_j : t > 0 \right).
\]

Thus for \(A \in \mathcal{B}((\mathbb{G})^{\mathbb{N}})\), considering \((x, y)\) as a random variable, we have

\[
\nu((J(\varphi)(t, \cdot) : t > 0) \in A) = E(\nu((J(\varphi)(t, \cdot) : t > 0) \in A)\|y))
= P((S(t) : t > 0) \in A). \quad \square
\]

**Riemann integrable semiflows on locally compact spaces.** Let \((Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)\) be a probability space with \(Y\) a locally compact, separable metric space.

We’ll call set \(A \in \mathcal{C}\) \(\nu\)-almost open if \(\nu(\partial A) = 0\) and a function \(f : Y \to M\) (a metric space) \(\nu\)-Riemann integrable \((\nu\text{-RI})\) if

\[
\nu(\{y \in Y : f \text{ not continuous at } y\}) = 0.
\]

Denote the collections of \(\nu\) – almost open sets and precompact, \(\nu\) – almost open sets by \(\mathcal{J}(\nu)\) and \(\mathcal{J}_o(\nu)\) respectively.

It is standard that if \(T : Y \to Y\) is \(\nu\)-nonsingular, then

- \(T\) is \(\nu\text{-RI}\) if and only if \(T^{-1}\mathcal{J}(\mu) \subset \mathcal{J}(\mu)\).

Let \((Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu, \Phi)\) be a \(\nu\text{-RI}, \text{PP}\) semiflow on a compact, metric space and let \(G : \mathbb{R}_+ \times Y \to \mathbb{G}\) be a \(m_{\mathbb{R}} \times \nu\text{-RI}, \Phi\)-cocycle where \(\mathbb{G} \leq \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}\) is a closed subgroup of full dimension.

Evidently the skew product semiflow \((Z, \mathcal{B}(Z), \mu, \Phi^{(G)})\) is \(\mu\text{-RI}\).
Local limit mixing.

This is a topological property of Riemann integrable semiflows on locally compact spaces.

Let \(0 < p \leq 2\), let \(S\) be a symmetric, \(p\)-stable, globally supported, random variable on \(\mathbb{R}^\infty\) and let \(b(t) \to \infty\) be \(\frac{1}{p}\)-regularly varying.

We call the \(\mu\)-RI skew product semiflow \((Z, \mathcal{B}(Z), \mu, \Phi^{(G)})\)
- \((\text{integrated}) (S, b)\)-mixing if \(\exists \, h := (H_k : \, k \geq 1)\), \(H_k \in \mathcal{J}_e(\mu)\), \(H_k \uparrow Z\) so that
  \[
  \forall \, A, \, B \in \mathcal{R}_h := \{ J \in \mathcal{J} : \, J \subset H_k \text{ for some } k \geq 1 \},
  \]
  \[
  (\therefore) \quad b(t)\mu(B \cap \Phi^{(G)}_{t}^{-1}Q_{k(t)}A) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty, k(t) \in G, \frac{k(t)}{b(t)} \to z]{} f_S(z)\mu(A)\mu(B); \]
and
- conditionally \((S, b)\)-mixing if for \(x \in Z\), \(A \in \mathcal{R}_h\),
  \[
  (\therefore) \quad b(t)\Phi^{(G)}_{t}(1_{Q_{k(t)}A})(x) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty, k(t) \in G, \frac{k(t)}{b(t)} \to z]{} f_S(z)\mu(A). \]

Theorem 1 (below) gives sufficient conditions for \((S, b)\)-mixing (both integrated and conditional).

**Remark** \((S, b)\)-mixing implies **Krickeberg mixing** as defined in [Kri69] (take \(k(t) := 0\)).

Let \((X, \mathcal{B})\) be a measurable space. A collection \(\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{B}\) is called **measure determining** if \(\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{B} \to [0, \infty]\) are measures and \(\alpha(C) = \beta(C) \forall \, C \in \mathcal{H}\), then \(\alpha \equiv \beta\).

**Mixing Lemma** Let \((Z, \mathcal{B}(Z), \mu, \Phi^{(G)})\) be a \(\mu\)-RI skew product semiflow and let \(0 < p \leq 2\), let \(S\) be a symmetric, \(p\)-stable, globally supported, random variable on \(\mathbb{R}^\infty\) and let \(b(t) \to \infty\) be \(\frac{1}{p}\)-regularly varying.

Suppose that \(\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{J}_e(\mu)\) is a countable, measure determining collection and \(\exists \, h := (H_k : \, k \geq 1)\), \(H_k \in \mathcal{H}\), \(H_k \uparrow Z\).

(i) If \((\therefore)\) holds \(\forall \, A, \, B \in \mathcal{H}\), then \(\Phi^{(G)}\) is \((S, b)\)-mixing.

(ii) If \((\therefore)\) holds \(\forall \, A \in \mathcal{H}\), then \(\Phi^{(G)}\) is conditionally \((S, b)\)-mixing.

**Remark** The local limit theorems (Int-LLT) and (Con-LLT) for \(G\) with respect to \(\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{J}(\nu)\) are equivalent to \((\therefore)\) and \((\therefore)\) (respectively) for the measure determining collection \(\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}(\nu) \times \mathcal{J}(m_0)\), whence by the mixing lemma to the above integrated and conditional LLT mixing properties (respectively) of the skew product.
Proof of (ii)  

Fix $k : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{G}$, $\frac{k(t)}{b(t)} \to z$ as $t \to \infty$.

By standard disintegration theory $\exists Z_0 \in \mathcal{B}$, $\mu(Z \setminus Z_0) = 0$ and a mapping 

$$(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times Z_0 \mapsto \mu_t(x) \in \mathcal{M}(Z, \mathcal{B}(Z)) = \{\sigma\text{-finite measures on } (Z, \mathcal{B})\},$$

measurable in the sense that for $A \in \mathcal{C}$, $x \in Z_0$, $t > 0$

$$(t, x) \mapsto \mu_t(x)(A) := b(t)^n \Phi_t^{(G)}(1_{Qk(t)A})(x) \text{ measurably.}$$

Fix $x \in Z_0$. Using Helly’s theorem and diagonalization, we obtain $t_k \to \infty$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}(Z, \mathcal{B})$ so that

$$\mu_{t_k}(x)(A) \to m(A) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{R}_0.$$ 

By assumption,

$$\mu_{t_k}(x)(A) \to \mu(A)f_S(z) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{H}.$$ 

Since $\mathcal{H}$ is a measure determining class, $m \equiv f_S(z)\mu$. \(\Box\)

Corollary

(i) Almost continuously isomorphic RI skew product semiflows share the same $(S, b)$-mixing properties.

(ii) The almost continuous natural extension of a RI, $(S, b)$-mixing skew product, semiflow is $(S, b)$-mixing.

The proof of the corollary is similar to that of the mixing lemma.

Smooth cocycles over suspended semiflows.

Given $f : Y \to \mathbb{R}^n$ measurable and flow integrable in the sense that

$$\int_0^r f(x, t)dt < \infty \text{ a.e.},$$

we can define (as in [Wad96], [Iwa08] and [DN17]) the smooth cocycle $F(f) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times Y \to \mathbb{G}$ by

$$F(f)(t, (x, y)) := \int_0^t f \circ \Phi_s(x, y)ds.$$ 

This is a $\Phi$-cocycle. It is cohomologous to the jump cocycle $J(\varphi)$ where

$\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by $\varphi(x) := \int_0^r f(x, y)dy$:

$$F(f)(t, (x, u)) = J(\varphi)(t, (x, u)) - \mathcal{E}(x, u) + \mathcal{E} \circ \Phi_t(x, u)$$

where $\mathcal{E}(x, u) := \int_0^u f(x, s)ds$.

In case the $\Phi \& f$ are $\nu$-RI, the skew product semiflows $\Phi^{(F)}$ and $\Phi^{(J)}$ (on $(Y \times \mathbb{R}^n, \nu \times m_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ are almost continuously isomorphic and share the same local limit mixing properties.

\(1\)the proof of (i) is similar and simpler
Conditional rational weak mixing.
We call the measure preserving transformation \((Z, \mu, R)\) \textit{conditionally rationally weakly mixing} if there exist constants \(u_n > 0\) so that with \(a(n) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k\),
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{a(N)} \sum_{k=1}^{N} |\hat{R}^k 1_C - u_k \mu(C)| \to 0 \quad \text{a.e.} \quad \forall \ C \in \mathcal{B}(Z), \ 0 < \mu(C) < \infty.
\]
This property was considered in [AN17].

Let \(0 < p \leq 2\), let \(S\) be a symmetric, \(p\)-stable, globally supported, random variable on \(\mathbb{R}\) and let \(b(t) \to \infty\) be \(\frac{1}{p}\)-regularly varying so that
\[
a(N) := \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{b(n)^\kappa} \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad N \to \infty
\]
(i.e. \(\kappa = 1 \& 1 \leq p \leq 2\) or \(\kappa = 2 \& p = 2\)).

We'll see that if \((Z, \mathcal{B}(Z), \mu, \Phi(G))\) is an ergodic, \((S, b)\)-mixing, skew product semiflow then each \(\Phi_t^{(G)}(\cdot)\) is conditionally rationally weakly mixing. Theorem 2 gives sufficient conditions for this which are more general than those of theorem 1.

Transfer operator of a suspended semiflow.
Let \((Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu, \Phi) = (X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)^t\) be a suspended semiflow. For \(t > 0\), the transfer operator of \(\Phi_t\) is the operator \(\hat{\Phi}_t : L^1(\nu) \to L^1(\nu)\) defined by
\[
\int_A \Phi_t f \, d\nu = \int_{\Phi_t^{-1} A} f \, d\nu \quad (f \in L^1(\nu), \ A \in \mathcal{C}).
\]
For \(f = 1_C\) with \(C \in \mathcal{C}\), it is given by
\[
\hat{\Phi}_t(1_C)(\omega, y) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \hat{T}^n(1_{[N(t)=n] \cap C})(\omega, y) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \hat{T}^n(1_{[r_n(\cdot) \in C(x)+t-y]})(\omega)
\]
where for \(x \in X\),
\[
C(x) := \{y \in [0, r(x)), \ (x, y) \in C\}.
\]
In case \(C = B \times I\), we have that \(C(x) = I\) for \(x \in B\) and \(C(x) = \emptyset\) otherwise, whence
\[
\hat{\Phi}_t(1_{B \times I})(\omega, y) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \hat{T}^n(1_{B \cap [r_n(\cdot) \in I+t-y]})(\omega).
\]
Fibered systems. A fibered system is a quadruple \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)\) where \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T)\) is a non-singular transformation and \(\alpha\) is a countable, measurable partition which generates \(\mathcal{B}\) under \(T\) (\(\sigma([\{T^{-n}\alpha : n \geq 0\}]) = \mathcal{B}\)) such that \(T\) invertible and nonsingular for \(\alpha \in \alpha\).

If \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)\) is a fibered system, then for \(n \geq 1\), so are \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha_n)\) & \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T^n, \alpha_n)\) where \(\alpha_n = \alpha_n^{-1} := \bigvee_{k=0}^{n-1} T^{-k}\alpha\).

The collection of \(\alpha\)-cylinders of \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)\) is
\[
\mathcal{C}_\alpha := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n.
\]

For \(n \geq 1\), there are \(m\)-nonsingular inverse branches of \(T^n\) denoted \(v_a : T^n a \to a (a \in \alpha_n)\) with Radon Nikodym derivatives
\[
v'_a := \frac{dm \circ v_a}{dm} : T^n a \to \mathbb{R}_+.
\]

The fibered system \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)\) is Markov if \(T \alpha \in \sigma(\alpha) \forall a \in \alpha\). In this case, so are \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha_n)\) & \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T^n, \alpha_n)\).

An interval map is a fibered system \((X, m, T, \alpha)\) with \(X \subset \mathbb{R}\) a bounded interval, \(m\) Lebesgue measure and \(\alpha\) a partition mod \(m\) of \(X\) into open intervals so that for each \(a \in \alpha, T\alpha\) is (the restriction of) a bi-absolutely continuous homeomorphism.

It is a \(m\)-RI, nonsingular transformation of \((X, \mathcal{B}, m)\) with \(\mathcal{C}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{J}_c(m)\).

Fibered systems \((X, m, T, \alpha)\) and \((X', m', T', \alpha')\) are isomorphic if there is a measure theoretic isomorphism \(\pi : (X, m, T) \to (X', m', T')\) so that \(\pi(\alpha) = \alpha'\).

Interval map lemma Let \((X, m, T, \alpha)\) be a Markov fibered system, then \((X, m, T, \alpha_2)\) is isomorphic to a Markov interval map.

Proof WLOG \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)\) has the form \(X \subset S^\mathbb{N}\) is \(T\)-invariant and closed with \(S \subset \mathbb{N}\), \(T : S^\mathbb{N} \to S^\mathbb{N}\) the shift and \(\alpha = \{[s] = \{(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \in X : x_1 = s\} : s \in S\}\) so that \(s, t \in S, s < t \implies m([s]) \geq m([t])\).

Let \(Y := [0, 1] \& \mu := \text{Leb}\).

Let \(\beta = \{B_s : s \in S\}\) be a partition of \(Y\) into open intervals so that
(i) \(t, u \in S, t < u \implies B_t < B_u\) (i.e. \(x < y \forall x \in B_t, y \in B_u\))
(ii) \(\mu(B_s) = m([s]) \forall s \in S\).

For fixed \(s \in S\), let \(\beta_{2}^{(s)} = \{B_{s,t} : t \in S\}\) be a partition into open intervals (some possibly empty) so that
(iii) \( t, u \in S, \ t < u \implies B_{s,t} < B_{s,u} \)

(iv) \( \mu(B_{s,t}) = m([s,t]) \forall \ t \in S \). Let \( \beta_2 := \bigcup_{s \in S} \beta_2^{(s)} \). Continue obtaining partitions \( \beta_n \ (n \geq 1) \) of \( Y \) into open intervals of form

\[
\beta_n := \{ B(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n) : k = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n) \in S^n \}
\]
satisfying

(a) \( k \prec \ell \) (lexicographically) \( \Rightarrow B_k < B_\ell \);
(b) \( \mu(B_k) = m([k]) \).
(c) \( \forall \ n \geq 1, \ k = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n) \in S^n, \ B_k = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} B_{k,i} \).

Define a map \( \pi : X \to [0,1] \) by

\[
\bigcap_{n \geq 1} B(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = \{ \pi(x) \}.
\]

The map is continuous and \( m \circ \pi^{-1} = \mu \). It is injective on \( \pi^{-1}(Y_0) \) with

\[
Y_0 := Y \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 1, \ b \in \beta_n} \partial b
\]
a dense \( G_\delta \) set of full measure.

Evidently \( \tau : Y_0 \to Y_0 \) defined by \( \tau(y) := \pi(T(\pi^{-1}(y))) \) is continuous and \( \pi : (X, m, T, \alpha_2) \to (Y, \mu, \tau, \beta_2) \) is an isomorphism of fibered systems.

It remains to show that \( (Y, \mu, \tau, \beta_2) \) is an interval map.

To this end, it suffices that for \( k, \ell \in S \) so that \( m([k, \ell]) = \mu(B_{k,\ell}) > 0 \), \( \tau : B_{k,\ell} \cap Y_0 \to B_\ell \cap Y_0 \) extends to a non-decreasing, continuous map \( \tau_{k,\ell} : B_{k,\ell} \to B_\ell \).

For such \( k, \ell \in S \), by the Markov property, \( T : [k, \ell] \to [\ell] \) is surjective, whence also \( \tau : B_{k,\ell} \cap Y_0 \to B_\ell \cap Y_0 \).

Next, we claim that \( \tau : B_{k,\ell} \cap Y_0 \to B_\ell \cap Y_0 \) is strictly increasing. To see this, let \( x, y \in B_{k,\ell} \cap Y_0, \ x < y \). By construction \( \exists \ n \geq 1 \) and \( x_j, y_j \in S^n \) with \( x_j = y_j \ \forall \ 1 \leq j < n \) \& \( x_n < y_n \) so that

\[
x \in B_{k,\ell,x} \ \& \ y \in B_{k,\ell,y}.
\]

Thus

\[
\tau(x) \in B_{\ell,x} \ \& \ \tau(y) \in B_{\ell,y}
\]
whence \( \tau(x) < \tau(y) \).

Next, for \( z \in B_{k,\ell} \), set

\[
\tau_{k,\ell}(z) := \lim_{x \to z, \ x \in B_{k,\ell} \cap Y_0} \tau(x).
\]

We claim that \( \tau_{k,\ell} : B_{k,\ell} \to B_\ell \) is continuous and increasing.
Proof of increasing:
\[ x, y \in B_{k,\ell}, \ x < y \Rightarrow \exists u, v \in (x, y) \cap Y_0, \ u < v \]
\[ \implies \tau_{k,\ell}(x) \leq \tau_{k,\ell}(u) < \tau_{k,\ell}(v) \leq \tau_{k,\ell}(y). \]

Proof of continuity: Being monotone, either \( \tau_{k,\ell} : B_{k,\ell} \to B_\ell \) is continuous or there is a nonempty, open interval \( J \subset B_\ell \) with \( \tau_{k,\ell}(B_{k,\ell}) \subset B_\ell \setminus J \). The latter would contradict \( \tau_{k,\ell}(B_{k,\ell}) \supset B_\ell \cap Y_0 \).

It is now standard to show that \((Y, \mu, \tau, \beta_2)\) is a Markov interval map.

Quasicompact action.
Let \( L \subset L^\infty \) be a Banach space which is admissible in the sense that \( \| \cdot \|_L \geq \| \cdot \|_\infty \). We say that \( \hat{T} \) acts quasicompactly on \( L \) if \( \hat{T} L \subset L \) and \( \exists M \geq 1, \rho \in (0, 1) \) so that
\[ \| \hat{T}^n f - \int_X f dm \|_L \leq M \rho^n \| f \|_L \quad \forall \ n \geq 1, \ f \in L. \]

Example 1: AFU maps.
An AFU map is an interval map \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)\) where for each \( a \in \alpha, T|_a \) is (the restriction of) a \( C^2 \) diffeomorphism \( T : a \to Ta \) satisfying in addition:

(A) \[ \sup_X \| T'_a \| < \infty, \]
(F) \[ T\alpha := \{ TA : A \in \alpha \} \] is finite,
(U) \[ \inf_{x \in a \alpha} |T'(x)| > 1. \]

An AFU map with finite \( \alpha \) is known as a Lasota-Yorke map after \([LY73]\).

It is known that
- \([Ryc83], [Zwe98]\): an AFU map has a Lebesgue-equivalent, invariant probability whose density has bounded variation and which is uniformly bounded below;
- \([Ryc83]\): for \( T \) a mixing, AFU map, \( \hat{T} \) acts quasicompactly on \( L_T = BV \), the space of functions on the interval \( X \) with bounded variation; this quasicomptacness persists when \( m \) is replaced by the absolutely continuous, \( T \)-invariant probability;
- \([Ryc83]\): a topologically mixing AFU map is exact and (\([AN05]\)) the generated stochastic process \( (\alpha \circ T^n : n \geq 1) \) is exponentially reverse \( \phi \)-mixing.
Example 2: Gibbs-Markov maps.

A Gibbs-Markov (G-M) map \((X, B, m, T, \alpha)\) is a fibered system which is Markov in the sense that
\[(a) \quad T^a \in \sigma(\alpha) \mod m \quad \forall \ a \in \alpha\]
and which satisfies
\[(b) \quad \inf_{a \in \alpha} m(T^a) > 0\]
and, for some \(\theta \in (0, 1)\)
\[(g_\theta) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1, \ a \in \alpha_n} D_{T^n a, \theta}(\log \nu'_a) < \infty\]
where, for \(A \subseteq X\), \(H : A \to \mathbb{R}\)
\[D_{A, \theta}(H) := \sup_{x, y \in A} \frac{|H(x) - H(y)|}{\theta t(x, y)} < \infty\]
with \(t(x, y) = \min\{n \geq 1 : \alpha_n(x) \neq \alpha_n(y)\} \leq \infty\).

A G-M map on a finite state space is a subshift of finite type (SFT).

It is known (see \([AD01]\)) that
- a G-M map has a \(m\)-equivalent, invariant probability whose density \(h\) is \(\theta\)-Hölder continuous on \(X\) in the sense that \(D_{X, \theta}(h) < \infty\) where, for \(A \subseteq X\)
\[D_{X, \theta}(h) := \sup_{x, y \in A} \frac{|h(x) - h(y)|}{\theta t(x, y)} < \infty\]
where \(t(x, y) = \min\{n \geq 1 : x_n \neq y_n\} \leq \infty\);
- for \(T\) a mixing, G-M map, \(\widetilde{T}\) acts quasicompactly on \(L_T = H_\theta\), the space of \(\theta\)-Hölder continuous functions \(X \to \mathbb{R}\) with \(\theta\) as in \((g_\theta)\).
- if the invariant density is bounded below, the quasicompactness persists when \(m\) is replaced by the absolutely continuous, \(T\)-invariant probability;
- a topologically mixing G-M map is exact and the stochastic process \((\alpha \circ T^n : n \geq 1)\) is exponentially continued fraction mixing,
- a Markov AFU map is also a G-M map.

Aperiodicity and non-arithmeticity.

Let \(\mathbb{H}\) be a locally compact, Polish group.
We call the measurable \(G : X \to \mathbb{H}\):
- non-arithmetic if \(\beta\) solution to
\[G = k + g - g \circ T, \quad g : X \to \mathbb{H} \text{ measurable,}\]
\[k : X \to \mathbb{K} \text{ measurable, where } \mathbb{K} \text{ is a proper subgroup of } \mathbb{H}.\]
and
• aperiodic if ∅ solution to 

\[ G = k + g - g \circ T, \quad g : X \to \mathbb{H} \text{ measurable,} \]

\[ k : X \to \mathbb{K} \text{ measurable, where } \mathbb{K} \text{ is a proper coset of } \mathbb{H}. \]

Geodesic flows on cyclic covers.

We denote by \((U(M), \Lambda, g)\), the geodesic flow on the unit tangent bundle \(U(M)\) of the hyperbolic surface \(M\) equipped with the hyperbolic measure \(\Lambda\) (for definitions see [Hop71], [Ree81], [AN17]).

The hyperbolic surface \(V\) is a cyclic cover of the compact hyperbolic surface \(M\) if there is a covering map \(p : V \to M\) and a monomorphism \(\gamma : \mathbb{Z} \to \text{Isom}(V)\) (hyperbolic isomotries of \(V\)), so that for \(y \in V\), \(p^{-1}\{p(y)\} = \{\gamma(n)y : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\).

It is shown in [Ree81] (see also [AN17]) that if \(V\) is a cyclic cover of a compact hyperbolic surface, then \((U(M), \Lambda, g)\) is isomorphic to the natural extension of a semiflow of form \((X \times \mathbb{Z}, m \times \#_r, T, \phi)\) where \((X,T,m,\alpha)\) is a mixing SFT and \((\phi, r) : X \to \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}\) is \(\alpha\)-Hölder and ([Sol01]) aperiodic. It was shown in [AN17] that such \((U(M), \Lambda, g)\) is rationally weakly mixing and rationally ergodic of order 2. This is strengthened in theorem 3 (below).

§2 Results

Set-up.

We’ll prove local limit mixing theorems (Theorems 1 and 2 below) for \(J(\varphi)\) (defined above in (\$\)) with respect to the semiflow \((Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu, \Phi) = (X, \mathcal{B}, T, \mu, \alpha)^r\) with \((X, \mathcal{B}, T, \mu, \alpha)\) a topologically mixing, probability preserving fibered system, \(r : X \to \mathbb{R}_+\) and \(\varphi : X \to \mathbb{G}\) measurable satisfying certain conditions listed below.

General assumptions.

For all results, we assume that

• \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)\) is probability preserving, mixing and either a G-M map or an AFU map;

• \(r \in L_T \& r > 0;\)

• \(D^{(T)}_\alpha(\varphi) < \infty\) where
  \[ D^{(T)}_\alpha(\varphi) := \sup_{a \in \alpha} D_{a,\theta}(\varphi) < \infty \]
  and for \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)\) an AFU map,
  \[ D^{(T)}_\alpha(\varphi) := \sup_{a \in \alpha} \text{Lip}_a(\varphi) < \infty. \]
Here, for $A \subset X$,

$$\text{Lip}_A(\varphi) := \sup \left\{ \frac{\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\|}{|x - y|} : x, y \in A \right\}.$$ 

Note that for $A \subset X$ an interval,

$$\forall f \leq \text{Lip}_A(\varphi).$$

Also, by (U), if $\vartheta \in (0, 1)$ is sufficiently large, then

$$D_{a, \vartheta}(\varphi) \leq \text{Lip}_a(\varphi) \forall a \in \alpha.$$

\textbf{Weak Independence.}

An independence assumption could be that the the roof process algebra $A_r := \sigma(\{r \circ T^n : n \geq 0\})$ and the displacement process algebra $A_\varphi := \sigma(\{\varphi \circ T^n : n \geq 0\})$ are independent on $(X, B, m)$.

Our weak independence assumption is that for some $c > 0$ we have

$$m(A \cap B) \leq cm(A)m(B) \forall A \in A_r, B \in A.$$ 

\textbf{Aperiodicity.} We’ll also consider the partial aperiodicity assumption:

(P-Ap) $r : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is aperiodic & $\varphi : X \to G$ is non-arithmetic; and the joint aperiodicity assumption:

(J-Ap) $(r, \varphi) : X \to \mathbb{R} \times G$ is aperiodic

Note that theorem 0 was proved under (P-Ap). In the sequel, we’ll prove the semiflow LLT under (J-Ap) (theorem 1) and under (P-Ap) in theorem 2 for a more restricted class of underlying fibered systems.

\textbf{Distributional assumptions.}

For some $0 < p \leq 2$ and some $\frac{1}{p}$-regularly varying sequence $(b(n) : n \geq 1)$,

\[ \frac{\varphi_n}{b(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{d}} S \]

where $S$ is a globally supported, symmetric $p$-stable random variable on $\mathbb{R}^\alpha$.

We’ll consider the following separate cases of (U):

(CN) the classical normal case where $E(\|\varphi\|^2) < \infty$, $b(n) = \sqrt{n}$ and $S$ is normal,

(NNS) the non-normal, stable case where $p \neq 2$. 

Statements.

Theorem 1: semiflow local limit mixing

(i) Under the general assumptions, (J-Ap), and (CN) with $E(\|\varphi\|^4) < \infty$, the skew product semiflow is conditionally local limit mixing.

(ii) Under the general assumptions, (J-Ap), weak independence and (NNS) or (CN) with $E(\|\varphi\|^4) = \infty$ the skew product semiflow is local limit mixing.

CTRW – a toy model for theorem 1.

LLT for CTRWs Let $(S^{(t)} : t > 0)$ be a CTRW on $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\kappa$, $\dim \text{span } G = \kappa$ with jump random variables $(X_k : k \geq 1)$ and intensity $\lambda > 0$.

Suppose that $\frac{S_n}{b(n)} \xrightarrow{b} S$ where $p \in (0, 2]$, $S$ is a globally supported, symmetric, $p$-stable random variable on $\mathbb{R}^\kappa$ and $b(t)$ is $\frac{1}{t^{p-\kappa}}$-regularly varying as $t \to \infty$, then for $U \subset G$ a compact neighborhood of 0 with $m_G(\partial U) = 0$

$$b(\lambda t)^\kappa P(S^{(t)} \in k(t) + U) \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} m_G(U)f_S(z)$$

uniformly in $z \in K$ a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^\kappa$ where $f_S$ is the probability density function of $S$.

For simple continuous time random walk, this is theorem 2.5.6 of [LL10]. The general case uses the same methods.

Replacing the independence assumption with a “recurrence” assumption, we have

Theorem 2

Under the general assumptions, (J-Ap), and (CN) or (NNS), with

$$a(n) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{b(k)^\kappa} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \infty,$$

the skew product semiflow is conditionally, rationally weakly mixing.

Theorem 3

For $V$ a cyclic cover of a compact, hyperbolic surface, the geodesic flow $(U(V), \Lambda, g)$ is rationally weakly mixing of order 2 in the sense that for each $\tau > 0$ fixed,
\[ \mathcal{H} := \mathcal{C}_\alpha \times \mathcal{J}_c(m_\mathcal{R}) \times \mathcal{J}_c(\nu) \subset \mathcal{J}_c(\mu). \]

Fix \( x : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathcal{G} \) so that \( \frac{z(t)}{b(M)} \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} z \in \mathbb{R}^\kappa \) and let \( A \times U \times I \in \mathcal{H} \).
We have
\[
\widehat{\Phi}(J)(1_{A \times I \times (x(t)+U)}) \cdot (x, z, y) = \widehat{\Phi}(1_{A \times I \cap [J^\circ(t) \in \mathbb{R}]})(\omega, y)
\]
\[
= \widehat{\Phi}(1_{A \times I \cap [J^\circ(t) \in \mathbb{R}]})(\omega, y)
\]
where \( V := -U \).

For each \( M > 0 \), we have (as in \( \text{[AN17]} \)) the following splitting:
\[
\widehat{\Phi}(1_{A \times I \cap [J^\circ(t) \in \mathbb{R}]})(\omega, y)
\]
\[
= \widehat{\Phi}(1_{A \times I \cap [J^\circ(t) \in \mathbb{R}]})(\omega, y) + \widehat{\Phi}(1_{A \times I \cap [J^\circ(t) \in \mathbb{R}]})(\omega, y)
\]
\[
= I(M, t, \omega) + II(M, t, \omega).
\]

The rest of the proof consists of
(I) \( \lim_{M \to -\infty} \lim_{t \to -\infty} b(\lambda t)^{\kappa} I(M, t, \omega) = f_S(z) \mu(A) \text{Leb}(I) m_\varepsilon(U) \).

which holds in all cases and where in case (i) (CN), we write \( b(t) := \sqrt{t} \).

There exists \( E : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+, \ E(M) \xrightarrow{M \to -\infty} 0 \) so that

(II) \( II(M, t, \cdot) \leq \frac{E(M)}{b(t)^{\kappa}} \) in case (i);
\( E(II(M, t, \cdot)) \leq \frac{E(M)}{b(t)^{\kappa}} \) in cases (ii).

Using \((Y, \nu, \Phi) \equiv (X, m, T, \alpha)^\ast\) we have for \( K = K(M, t) \in \mathbb{N}, \)
\[
\widehat{\Phi}(1_{A \times I \cap [J^\circ(t) \in \mathbb{R}]})(\omega, y)
\]
\[
= \sum_{n \in K} \widehat{\Phi}(1_{A \times I \cap [J^\circ(t) \in \mathbb{R}]})(\omega, y)
\]
\[
= \sum_{n \in K} \widehat{\Phi}(1_{A \times I \cap [J^\circ(t) \in \mathbb{R}]})(\omega, y)
\]
whence
\[
I(M, t, \omega) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n = \lambda \times M \sqrt{t}} \widehat{\Phi}(1_{A \times I \cap [J^\circ(t) \in \mathbb{R}]})(\omega, y)
\]
\[
II(M, t, \omega) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \ |n - \lambda| > M \sqrt{t}} \widehat{\Phi}(1_{A \times I \cap [J^\circ(t) \in \mathbb{R}]})(\omega, y).
\]

To prove (I), the weak independence assumption is not needed. We shall need:
• in the (CN) case, the local limit theorem for aperiodic \((\tau, \varphi)\) as in [GHSS], and

• in the (NNS) case, an operator local limit theorem (OpLLT) for \((\tau, \varphi)\) which we state now and prove in the sequel:

Here and throughout \(a_n \approx b_n\) as \(n \to \infty\) means that \(a_n - b_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0\).

**Operator local limit theorem**

Suppose (J-Ap), and (NNS) with \(0 < p < 2\), then there is a \(\frac{1}{p}\)-regularly varying sequence \((b(n)) : n \geq 1\), a random variable \(Z = (N, S)\) on \(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^\kappa\) with a positive, continuous, probability density function, where \(S\) is a globally supported, symmetric \(p\)-stable random variable on \(\mathbb{R}^\kappa\), \(N\) is centered, Gaussian on \(\mathbb{R}\), and independent of \(S\) so that for \(A \in C_\alpha\) and \(I \subset \mathbb{R}\) an interval so that \(A \times I \subset Y\), & \(U \subset \mathbb{G}\) a compact neighborhood of 0 with \(m_G(\partial U) = 0\),

\[
\text{OpLLT} \quad \sqrt{n}b(n)^c \hat{T}_n(1_{A \cap [\varphi \in z_n + U, \, \tau \in I + n E(\tau) + r_n]}) \approx \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{f}} \frac{z(\zeta_n, \rho_n) \mu(A) |I| m_G(U)}. 
\]

where \((z_n, r_n) \in \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{R}\) with \(z_n = b(n) \cdot \zeta_n\), \(r_n = \sqrt{n} \cdot \rho_n\) with \((\zeta_n, \rho_n) = O(1)\).

**Proof of (I) given the OpLLT.**

By the LLT for \((\tau, \varphi)\) in case (CN) and (Op-LLT) in case (NNS),

\[
\sqrt{n}b(n)^c \hat{T}_n(1_{A \cap [\varphi \in z_n + U, \, \tau \in I + n E(\tau) + r_n]}) \approx \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{f}} \frac{z(\zeta_n, \rho_n) \mu(A) |I| m_G(U)}. 
\]

for \(A \in C_\alpha\) and \(I \subset \mathbb{R}\) an interval so that \(A \times I \subset Y\), & \(U \subset \mathbb{G}\) a compact neighborhood of 0 with \(m_G(\partial U) = 0\), where \((z_n, r_n) \in \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{R}\) with \(z_n = b(n) \cdot \zeta_n\), \(r_n = \sqrt{n} \cdot \rho_n\) with \((\zeta_n, \rho_n) = O(1)\).

Fix \(t, \, M > 0\), then for \(n \geq 1\),

\[
t = E(\tau)n + x\sqrt{n} \quad \text{with} \quad x = x_{n,t}, \, |x| \leq ME(\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad \iff \quad n = \lambda t - \frac{x'}{E(\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sqrt{t} \quad \text{with} \quad |x - x'| = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \quad \& \quad \text{in this case}
\]

\[
\hat{T}_n(1_{A \cap [\varphi \in x(t) + U, \, \tau \in I + t - y]}) \sim \frac{m(A)|I|}{b(n)^c \sqrt{n}} f_z(z, x_{n,t}) 
\]

as \(t, \, n \to \infty\), \(|x_{n,t}| \leq M\).
It follows that for fixed \( M > 0 \),
\[
I(M, t) = b(\lambda t)^{\kappa} \sum_{n=\lambda t \pm M \sqrt{t}} \tilde{T}^n(1_{A'n[\varphi_n \in x(t) + U, \; t_n \in I + t - y]} )
\]
\[
\sim_{t \to \infty} b(n)^{\kappa} \sum_{n=\lambda t \pm M \sqrt{t}} \tilde{T}^n(1_{A'n[\varphi_n \in x(t) + U, \; t_n \in I + t - y]} )
\]
\[
\sim_{t \to \infty} m(A)|I|m_G(U) \sum_{n=\lambda t \pm M \sqrt{t}} \frac{f_Z(z, x_n, t)}{\sqrt{n}}
\]

Now,
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \sim \frac{1}{2n \sqrt{n}}
\]
so
\[
x_{n, t} - x_{n+1, t} = \frac{t - E(x) n}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{t - E(x)(n+1)}{\sqrt{n+1}}
\]
\[
= \frac{E(x)}{\sqrt{n}} + (t - E(x)n)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}\right)
\]
\[
= \frac{E(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right).
\]

Thus
\[
b(\lambda t)^{\kappa} \sum_{n=\lambda t \pm M \sqrt{t}} \tilde{T}^n(1_{A'n[\varphi_n \in x(t) + U, \; t_n \in I + t - y]} )
\]
\[
\approx_{t \to \infty} m(A)|I|m_G(U) \sum_{n=\lambda t \pm M \sqrt{t}} (x_{n+1, t} - x_{n, t})f_Z(z, x_n, t)
\]
\[
\longrightarrow_{t \to \infty} m(A)|I|m_G(U) \int_{[-M, M]} f_Z(z, x)dx
\]
\[
\longrightarrow_{M \to \infty} m(A)|I|m_G(U)f_s(z). \quad \square (I)
\]

**Proof of (II) & the deviation lemma.**

Fix \( I, \; U, \; x : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{C} \) as above, and a compact subset, then for \( (x, z, y) \in \mathfrak{K} : X \times K \times J \subset Z \) (compact),
\[
II(M, t, (x, z, y)) = \sum_{n \geq 1, \; |n - \lambda t| > M \sqrt{t}} \tilde{T}^n(1_{A'n[\varphi_n \in x(t) + z + V, \; t_n \in I + t - y]} ) (x)
\]
\[
= \sum_{n \in A(M, t)} \tilde{T}^n(1_{A'n[\varphi_n \in x(t) - z + V, \; t_n \in I + t - y]} ) (x)
\]
where
\[
A(M, t) := \{ n \geq 1 : \mu([x_n \in t + I - J]) > 0 \; \& \; |n - \lambda t| > M \sqrt{t} \}.
\]
Since $r$ is uniformly bounded away from $\{0, \infty\}$, $\exists K = K(\mathcal{R}) > 1$ so that

$$\mu([r_n \in t + I - J]) > 0 \implies n \in [t/K, tK].$$

In particular, with $M' := \frac{M}{K}$,

$$A(M, t) \subset B(M, t) := \{n \in [t/K, tK]: |n - \lambda t| > M'\sqrt{n}\}.$$

Thus

$$II(M, t, (x, z, y)) \leq \sum_{n \in B(M, t)} \tilde{T}^n(1_{A[\varphi_n \in (t)^- + V, \tau_n \in (t + t - y)])}(x).$$

We’ll need the following lemma which follows from a multidimensional version of proposition 4 in [P09] (“extended LLT estimate”). Both the lemma and the estimate are proved in the appendix (§6),

**Deviation Lemma**

(i) There are constants $\Gamma$, $\gamma > 0$ so that

$$\tilde{T}^n1_{A[\varphi_n \in (t)^- + V, \tau_n \in (t + t - y)]} \leq \Gamma \left(\frac{\exp\left[\frac{-\gamma|n-\lambda t|^2}{t}\right]}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \quad \forall \ n \in B(M, t).$$

(ii) If in addition, $E(\|\varphi\|^4) < \infty$, then, possibly changing $\Gamma$ and $\gamma$, we have

$$\tilde{T}^n1_{A[\varphi_n \in (t)^- + V, \tau_n \in (t + t - y)]} \leq \frac{\Gamma}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\frac{\exp\left[\frac{-\gamma|n-\lambda t|^2}{t}\right]}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \quad \forall \ n \in B(M, t).$$

**Proof of (II) given the Deviation Lemma.**

**Proof of (II)(i)** For $(x, z, y) \in \mathcal{R} := \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{K} \times J \subset Z$ (compact), using (ii) in the deviation lemma,

$$t^{\frac{3}{2}}II(M, t, (x, z, y))$$

$$= t^{\frac{3}{2}}\Phi_t(1_{A[\varphi_n \in (t)^- + V, \tau_n \in (t + t - y)]})(x, z, y)$$

$$= t^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{n \geq 1, |n-\lambda t| \geq M^{\sqrt{t}}} \tilde{T}^n(1_{A[\varphi_n \in (t)^- + V, \tau_n \in (t + t - y)]})(x)$$

$$\leq t^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{n \in B(M, t)} \tilde{T}^n(1_{A[\varphi_n \in (t)^- + V, \tau_n \in (t + t - y)]})(x)$$

$$\leq \frac{\Gamma}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{n \in B(M, t)} \exp\left[\frac{-\gamma|n-\lambda t|^2}{n}\right] + \Gamma \sum_{n \in B(M, t)} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$ 

Evidently

$$\sum_{n \in B(M, t)} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq 4\sqrt{K} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$
To finish, we show that for \( t \) large

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \sum_{n \in B(M,t)} \exp\left[-\frac{\zeta n - \lambda t^2}{n}\right] \leq \mathcal{E}(M) \quad \xrightarrow{M \to \infty} \quad 0. 
\]

**Proof of (9)** Let \( N_t := \lceil \lambda t \rceil \) & \( r_t := \{ \lambda t \} \), then

\[
\sum_{n \in B(M,t)} \exp\left[-\frac{\zeta n - \lambda t^2}{n}\right] \leq \sum_{n \in B(M,t)} \exp\left[-\frac{\zeta (n - N_t) - r_t^2}{t}\right] \\
\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, |k| \geq M^{1/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{\zeta k^2}{t}\right] \\
\leq 2 \sum_{k \geq M^{1/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{\zeta k^2}{t}\right] \\
\leq 2 \int_{M^{1/2}}^\infty \exp\left[-\frac{\zeta y^2}{2t}\right]dy 	ext{ for large } t, \\
\quad = 2\sqrt{t} \int_{M^{1/2}}^\infty \exp\left[-\frac{\zeta y^2}{2t}\right]dy \\
\quad = 2\sqrt{t} \mathcal{E}(M) 
\]

where \( \mathcal{E}(M) \xrightarrow{M \to \infty} 0. \quad \checkmark \) \( (9) \) & (II)(i)

**Proof of (II)(ii)** We show that

\[
b(t)^\kappa E(II(M,t,\cdot)) \leq \mathcal{E}(M) \quad \xrightarrow{M \to \infty} \quad 0. 
\]

To this end, define \( \eta : Y \to \mathbb{R}_+ \), \( \eta(x,y) := y \) then using (9) and weak independence,

\[
E(II(M,t,(x,z,\cdot)\|\eta) \leq \sum_{n \in B(M,t)} m(A \cap [\varphi_n \in x(t) - z + V, \tau_n \in I + t - y]) \\
\leq c \sum_{n \in B(M,t)} m([\varphi_n \in x(t) - z + V])m([\tau_n \in I + t - y]) 
\]

with \( c \) as in the weak independence assumption.

By the LLT for \((X,m,T,\varphi)\), \( \exists \mathcal{K} > 1 \) so that

\[
b(t)^\kappa m([\varphi_n \in x(t) - z + V]) \leq \mathcal{K} \forall \ t > 0 \text{ large, } n \in B(M,t). 
\]

By part (i) of the deviation lemma,

\[
m([\tau_n \in I + t - y]) \leq \Gamma\left(\frac{\exp\left[-\frac{\zeta n - \lambda t^2}{t}\right]}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\right) \forall \ t > 0, \ n \in B(M,t). 
\]

It follows that
\[ b(t)^\kappa E(II(M, t, (x, z, \cdot)\|\eta)) \leq c\Gamma_k \sum_{n \in B(M,t)} \left( \frac{\exp\left[ -\frac{\gamma n - \lambda t^2}{t} \right]}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{c\Gamma_k}{\sqrt{t}} \sum_{n \in B(M,t)} \exp\left[ -\frac{\gamma n - \lambda t^2}{t} \right] + c\Gamma_k \sum_{n \in B(M,t)} \frac{1}{n^2} \]

\[ \leq c\Gamma_k (E(M) + 4\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{t}}) \quad \text{by (\$\Phi\$) & (\$\mathcal{D}\$).} \]

This proves (II)(ii). \( \Box \)

**Proof of theorem 2.**

Under the assumptions for theorem 2, we have by [AD01] that the measure preserving transformation \((X \times G, m \times m_G, T_\varphi)\) is pointwise dual ergodic with

\[ a_n(T_\varphi) \sim \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f_S(0)}{b(k)^\kappa}. \]

Since \((X \times G, m \times m_G, T_\varphi)\) is a good section for the skew product semiflow \((Z, \mu, \Phi^{(J)})\) in the sense of [AD99], we have (as in [AN17]) that each \(\Phi_t^{(J)}\) is pointwise dual ergodic with

\[ a_n(\Phi_t^{(J)}) \sim \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f_S(0)}{b(\lambda t k)^\kappa}. \]

Now let \(A \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha, I \subset \mathbb{R}\) be an interval and \(U \subset G\) be a compact neighborhood with \(m_G(\partial U) = 0\).

By (1), as \(t \to \infty\),

\[ \Phi_t^{(J)}(1_{A \times U \times I})(\omega, z, y) = \Phi_t^{(J)}(1_{A \times I})_{[J(\varphi)(t), t+\epsilon z-U]}(\omega, y) \geq f_S(0)\mu(A)\text{Leb } I m_G(U) \cdot \frac{1}{b(\lambda t)^\kappa}. \]

By pointwise dual ergodicity, for fixed \(t > 0\), as \(N \to \infty\),

\[ \sum_{k=1}^N \Phi_{kt}(1_{A \times I})_{[J(\varphi)(t), t+\epsilon U]}(\omega, y) \sim f_S(z)m(A)\text{Leb } I m_G(U) \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{b(\lambda kt)^\kappa}. \]

The rest of the proof follows the proof of proposition 4.2 in [AN17]. \( \Box \)
Proof of theorem 3.
As mentioned in the introduction, \((U(M), \Lambda, g)\) is the natural extension of a skew product semiflow
\[(Z, \mu, \Phi^{(J)}) \cong (X \times \mathbb{Z}, m \times \#, T_\varphi)^\mathbb{R}\]
where \((X, m, T, \alpha)\) is a mixing SFT and \((\phi, r) : X \to \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}\) is \(\alpha\)-Hölder and aperiodic.

By theorem 1(i), for \(b(t) \propto \sqrt{t}\) and some \(S\) centered Gaussian on \(\mathbb{R}\), \((Z, \mu, \Phi^{(J)})\) is conditionally \((S, b)-\)mixing. It follows that
\[b(t)\Phi^{(J)}_t(1_A) \underset{t \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} f_S(0)\mu(A)\text{ uniformly on } A \forall A \in \mathcal{J}(\mu).\]

By proposition 3.1 in [AN17], \((Z, \mu, \Phi^{(J)})\) is rationally, weakly mixing of order 2 as in (\(\varnothing\)).

Remark
The almost continuous natural extension of \((Z, \mu, \Phi^{(J)})\) is a coding of \((U(M), \Lambda, g)\), (continuous, almost 1 - 1 extension & measurable isomorph) whence the latter is Krickeberg mixing of orders 1 and 2. That is, for \(A, B, C \in \mathcal{J}(\mu)\),
\[
\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad \Lambda(A \cap g_1B) \sim \frac{f_\mathcal{S}(0)}{b(1)} \cdot \Lambda(A)\Lambda(B); & & \\
(2) & \quad \Lambda(A \cap g_1B \cap g_2C) \sim \frac{f_\mathcal{S}(0)^2}{b(1)^2} \cdot \Lambda(A)\Lambda(B)\Lambda(C).
\end{align*}
\]

§4 Operator Local limit theorem

We’ll use the spectral and perturbation theories of the transfer operator of a fibered system \((X, \mathcal{B}, m, T, \alpha)\) which is assumed to be mixing, probability preserving and either a G-M map, or an AFU map. Details can be found in §2 in [AD01] for G-M maps and §5 in [ADSZ04] for AFU maps.

Let \(\mathcal{G} \leq \mathbb{R}^\kappa\), \(\dim \text{span } \mathcal{G} = \kappa\) and let \(\varphi = (\varphi^{(1)}, \ldots, \varphi^{(\kappa)}) : X \to \mathcal{G}\) satisfy
\[\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{(T)}(\varphi) < \infty.\]
For \(t \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}\) define \(P_t : L^1(m) \to L^1(m)\) by
\[P_t(f) := \overline{T}_t(e^{i(t, \varphi)} f),\]
then, each \(P_s : L_T \to L_T\) acts quasicompactly where as above \(L_T := H_\theta\) in the G-M case & \(L_T = \text{BV}\) in the AFU case.

Moreover \(s \mapsto P_s\) is continuous (\(\widehat{\mathcal{G}} \to \text{Hom}(L_T, L_T)\)).

For small \(t \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}\), the characteristic function operator \(P_t\) has a simple, dominant eigenvalue and indeed, by Nagaev’s theorem:
1) There are constants $\epsilon > 0$, $K > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$; and continuous functions $\lambda : B(0, \epsilon) \to B_C(0, 1)$, $N : B(0, \epsilon) \to \text{Hom}(L, L)$ such that
\[
\|P^n t h - \lambda(t)^n N(t)h\|_L \leq K\theta^n \|h\|_L \quad \forall \ |t| < \epsilon, \ n \geq 1, \ h \in L
\]
where $\forall |t| < \epsilon$, $N(t)$ is a projection onto a one-dimensional subspace (spanned by $g(t) := N(t)\mathbb{1}$).

2) If $\varphi$ is aperiodic, then $\forall \widetilde{M} > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists K' > 0$ and $\theta' \in (0, 1)$ such that
\[
\|P^n t h\|_L \leq K'\theta^n \|h\|_L \quad \forall \ \epsilon \leq |t| \leq \widetilde{M}, \ h \in L.
\]

**Operator Local limits.** Now suppose that $0 < p < 2$ and that the distribution of $\varphi$ is in the strict domain of attraction of a symmetric $p$-stable random variable $S$; equivalently for some $\frac{1}{p}$-regularly varying sequence $(b(n) : n \geq 1)$,
\[
E(\exp[i(\varphi_{b(n)}, u)])^n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} E(e^{i(S,u)}).
\]

As shown in [AD01], and [ADSZ04],
\[
E(\exp[i(\varphi_{b(n)}, u)])^n \approx \lambda(\frac{u}{b(n)})^n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} E(e^{i(S,u)}).
\]

Using this, one obtains (by the methods of [Bre68] & [Sto67]) the conditional LLT
\[
(\text{Con-LLT}) \quad b(n)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\overline{T}(1_{A_{\varphi_{n}\varphi_{n}+z_{n}}}) \approx f_S(\zeta_{n})\mu(A)m_{C}(U).
\]

for $A \in C_{\alpha}$ & $U \in G$ a compact neighborhood of $0$ with $m_{C}(\partial U) = 0$, where $z_{n} \in G$ with $z_{n} = b(n) \cdot \zeta_{n}$, $\zeta_{n} = O(1)$.

**Proof of the Op-LLT given the Infinite Divisibility Lemma**

We have
\[
\frac{\tau_{n} - nE(t)}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \mathcal{G} \quad \text{&} \quad \frac{\varphi_{b(n)}}{b(n)} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} S
\]

where $\mathcal{G}$ is centered Gaussian on $\mathbb{R}$ and $S$ is symmetric, $p$-stable on $\mathbb{R}^\times$

It follows that $((\frac{\tau_{n} - nE(t)}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\varphi_{b(n)}}{b(n)}) : n \geq 1)$ is a tight sequence of random variables on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^\times$.

Let $Z = (\mathcal{G}, S) \in \text{RV}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^\times)$ be a weak limit point of the sequence. By the Infinite Divisibility Lemma (see below), $Z$ is infinitely divisible. By the Levy-Ito decomposition (see [Sat99]), $Z = G + C + c$ where $G, C \in \text{RV}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^\times)$ are independent, $G$ is centered Gaussian, $C$ is compound Poisson (e.g. $p$-stable with $p < 2$) and $c$ is constant.
By uniqueness of the Levy-Ito decomposition, \( G = \mathcal{G} \), \( C = \mathcal{S} \) and \( c = 0 \), whence \( \mathcal{G} \& \mathcal{S} \) are independent. This determines \( Z \) uniquely and so

\[
(\frac{\tau_n - nE(r)}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\varphi_n}{b(n)}) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} Z.
\]

It follows that if \( \lambda(x, y) \) is the dominant eigenvalue of

\[
P_{(x,y)}f = \overline{T}(\exp[ix(h - E(r)) + iy(\varphi)])f),
\]

then

\[
\lambda(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{y}{b(n)}) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} E(e^{ix\overline{G}})E(e^{iy\mathcal{S}})
\]

uniformly on compact subsets.

Now, the stable characteristic functions have the forms

\[
E(e^{ix\overline{G}}) = e^{-ax^2} \& E(e^{iy\mathcal{S}}) = e^{-c_{p,\nu}(y)}.
\]

Here \( a > 0 \& c_{p,\nu}(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |(y, s)|^p\nu(ds) \) where \( \nu \) is a symmetric measure on \( S^{\kappa-1} \). In other words,

\[
-n \log \lambda(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{y}{b(n)}) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} ax^2 + c_{p,\nu}(y)
\]

uniformly on compact subsets.

As in [Sto67], fix \( f \in L^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{G}) \) so that \( \overline{f} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{C} \) is continuous, compactly supported, \( A \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}} \) and \( (r_n, z_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{G} \) with \( z_n = b(n) \cdot \zeta_n, \ r_n = \sqrt{n} \cdot \rho_n \) with \( (\zeta_n, \rho_n) \to (\zeta, \rho) \).

By Nagaev’s theorem, \((\mathcal{S}_n)\) and aperiodicity (respectively), there exist \( \vartheta \in (0, 1) \& \delta > 0 \) so that

(i) \( \sup_{(x,y) \in B(0,\delta)} \|P^n_{(x,y)}1_A - \lambda(x,y)^n N(x,y)1_A\|_{\text{Hom}(L,L)} = O(\vartheta^n) \) as \( n \to \infty \),

(ii) \(-n\text{Re} \log \lambda(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{y}{b(n)}) \geq \theta(ax^2 + c_{p,\nu}(y)) \forall \ n \geq 1, \ (x,y) \in B(0,\delta),

(iii) \( \sup_{(x,y) \in \supp \overline{f} \cdot B(0,\delta)} \|P^n_{(x,y)}1_A\|_L = O(\vartheta^n) \) as \( n \to \infty \).

We have, using (i) and (iii):

\[
\sqrt{nb(n)^{\kappa}}T^n(1_Af(\tau_n - E(r)n - r_n, \varphi_n - z_n))
\]

\[
= \sqrt{nb(n)^{\kappa}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{G}} e^{-ir_n, x - i(y, z_n)} \overline{f}(x, y)P^n_{(x,y)}1_A dxdy
\]

\[
= \sqrt{nb(n)^{\kappa}} \int_{B(0,\delta)} + \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{G} \setminus B(0,\delta)} e^{-ir_n, x - i(y, z_n)} \overline{f}(x, y)P^n_{(x,y)}1_A dxdy
\]

\[
= \sqrt{nb(n)^{\kappa}} \int_{B(0,\delta)} e^{-ir_n, x - i(y, z_n)} \overline{f}(x, y)P^n_{(x,y)}1_A dxdy + O(\sqrt{nb(n)^{\kappa}} \vartheta^n)
\]

\[
= \sqrt{nb(n)^{\kappa}} \int_{B(0,\delta)} e^{-ir_n, x - i(y, z_n)} \overline{f}(x, y)\lambda(x, y)^n N(x, y)1_A dxdy + O(\sqrt{nb(n)^{\kappa}} \vartheta^n).
\]
Writing $\Delta_n(x,y) := (\frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2}}, \frac{1}{b(n)} \cdot y)$ and changing variables,

$$\sqrt{nb(n)^k} \int_{B(0,\delta)} e^{-ir_n x - iy(z_n)} \tilde{f}(x,y) \lambda(x,y)^n N(x,y) 1_A dxdy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k+1}} 1_{\Delta_n B(0,\delta)}(x,y)e^{-i\rho_n x - iy(\zeta_n)} \tilde{f}(\Delta_n(x,y)) \lambda(\Delta_n(x,y))^n N(\Delta_n(x,y)) 1_A dxdy$$

$$\xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \tilde{f}(0) \cdot N(0) 1_A \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k+1}} e^{-i(\rho \xi - y(\zeta))} e^{-ax^2 + cp,\nu(y)} dxdy$$

$$= \int_G f(y)dy \cdot m(A) \cdot f_Z(\rho,\zeta).$$

The convergence here is by Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, since by (ii):

$$1_{\Delta_n B(0,\delta)}(x,y) |\lambda(\Delta_n(x,y))|^n \leq \exp(-\theta(a x^2 + c p,\nu(y))).$$

(0p-LLT) follows from this as in [Bre68].

**Remark**

Using (O5k), we can deduce (as in the proof of lemma 6.4 in [AD01]) that

$$-\log \lambda(su, tv) \sim a s^2 + \frac{cp,\nu(v)}{b^{-1}(\frac{1}{t})} \text{ as } \|s, t\| \to 0, \ b(\frac{1}{t}) \propto \frac{1}{s^2}$$

uniformly in $u = \pm 1, \ v \in S^{k-1}$.

This is a “Fourier transform” relative of lemma 2.4 in [Tho16].

§5 Infinite divisibility lemma

In this section, we complete the proof of the 0p-LLT by establishing:

**Infinite divisibility lemma**

Let $(X,B,m,T,\alpha)$ be a reverse $\phi$-mixing, fibered system and let $\varphi : X \to \mathcal{S}$ be $\theta$-Hölder continuous on each $a \in \alpha$ with $D_{\alpha,\theta}(\varphi) < \infty$.

Suppose that $\Delta_n = \text{diag}(\delta_1^{(n)}, \ldots, \delta_k^{(n)})$ are $k \times k$ diagonal matrices so that for some $0 < b < a$,

$$(\Psi) \quad \frac{1}{n^a} \leq \delta_j^{(n)} \leq \frac{1}{n^b} \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq k \ & \text{large } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and so that $\{\Delta_n \varphi_n : n \geq 1\}$ is uniformly tight.

Let $n_k \to \infty$ and suppose that

$$\Delta_{n_k} \varphi_{n_k} \xrightarrow{d} Z$$

where $Z$ is a random variable on $\mathbb{R}^k$, then $Z$ is infinitely divisible.

**Proof**
By tightness, \( c(t) := \sup_{n \geq 1} P(\|\Delta_n \varphi_n\| > t) \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} 0 \) and by (\( \star \)),
\[
\frac{1}{n^p} \ll \|\Delta_n\| \ll \frac{1}{n^p}.
\]

Suppose that \( 1 \leq r \leq n \), then
\[
\|\Delta_n \varphi_r\| = \|\Delta_n \Delta_r^{-1} \Delta_r \varphi_r\| \leq \|\Delta_n \Delta_r^{-1}\| \cdot \|\Delta_r \varphi_r\|
\]
whence for \( \epsilon > 0 \),
\[
(\diamond) \quad P(\|\Delta_n \varphi_r\| > \epsilon) \leq P(\|\Delta_r \varphi_r\| > \epsilon \|\Delta_n \Delta_r^{-1}\|)
\]
\[
\leq c\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\|\Delta_n \Delta_r^{-1}\|}\right) \xrightarrow{r \to \epsilon} 0 \text{ as } n \geq r \to \infty, \|\Delta_n \Delta_r^{-1}\| \to 0.
\]

Next, for \( Z \) a random variable, let
\[
\sigma(Z) := E\left(\frac{\|Z\|}{1 + \|Z\|}\right),
\]
then for \( Z_n \ (n \geq 1) \) random variables
\[
\sigma(\sum_{k=1}^{n} Z_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma(Z_k),
\]
\[
Z_n \xrightarrow{P \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty} 0 \quad \iff \quad \sigma(Z_n) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0.
\]

Similar to (\( \diamond \)), we have
\[
(\heartsuit) \quad C(t) := \sup \{\sigma(\Delta_n \varphi_r) : n \geq r \geq 1, \|\Delta_n \Delta_r^{-1}\| \leq t\} \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} 0.
\]

**Proof of (\( \heartsuit \))** If not, then \( \exists \nu_k \geq r_k \geq 1 \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \) so that
\[
\|\Delta_{\nu_k} \Delta_{r_k}^{-1}\| \to 0 \& \sigma(\Delta_{\nu_k} \varphi_{r_k}) \geq \epsilon > 0.
\]

But in this case, by (\( \diamond \)) \( \Delta_{\nu_k} \varphi_{r_k} \xrightarrow{P \quad k \to \infty} 0 \), whence \( \sigma(\Delta_{\nu_k} \varphi_{r_k}) \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 0 \). Contradiction. \( \blacklozenge(\heartsuit) \)

Now possibly passing to a subsequence of \( n_k \to \infty \), we can ensure that
\[
(\&) \quad \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2k} \|\Delta_{n_k} \Delta_{j}^{-1}\| \to 0
\]
in addition to
\[
\Delta_{n_k} \varphi_{n_k} \xrightarrow{P \quad k \to \infty} Z.
\]

Set \( m_k := \lfloor \frac{m_k}{k} \rfloor \), then \( n_k = km_k + r_k \) with \( 0 \leq r_k < m_k \).
Since \( \|\Delta_{n_k} \Delta_{m_k}^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{k^p} \to 0 \), we have by (\( \heartsuit \)) that
\[
\Delta_{n_k} \varphi_{m_k} \xrightarrow{P \quad k \to \infty} 0.
\]
Next, we claim that

\[
\Delta_n k \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \varphi_{2k} \circ T^{jm_k-2k} \xrightarrow{P \to \infty} 0.
\]

**Proof of (**)**

\[
\sigma \left( \Delta_n k \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \varphi_{2k} \circ T^{(j+1)m_k-2k} \right) \leq k \sigma \left( \Delta_n k \varphi_{2k} \right) \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 0 \quad \text{by (A).} \quad \square (**) \]

Next, we’ll use (**) to show:

\[
\Delta_n \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \varphi_{m_k-2k} \circ T^{jm_k} + \varphi_{r_k} \circ T^{jm_k} \right) \xrightarrow{P \to \infty} 0 \quad \text{by (A).} \quad \square (\text{A})
\]

**Proof**

\[
\Delta_n \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \varphi_{m_k-2k} \circ T^{jm_k} + \varphi_{r_k} \circ T^{jm_k} \right) - \Delta_n \varphi_{n_k} = \Delta_n \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \varphi_{2k} \circ T^{jm_k-2k} \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 0
\]

For \( n \geq 1, a \in \alpha_n, \) fix \( \zeta_n(a) \in T^n a \) so that \( \exists z_n(a) \in a \) satisfying \( T^n z_n(a) = \zeta_n(a) \).

Now define \( \pi_n : X \to X \) by

\[
\pi_n(x) := z_n(\alpha_n(x)).
\]

It follows that for \( n, k \geq 1, \)

\[
|\varphi_n - (\varphi \circ \pi_{n+k})| \leq \frac{D_{\alpha,\theta}(\varphi) \theta^k}{1 - \theta}.
\]

Set

\[
W_{k,j} := \Delta_n \varphi_{m_k-2k} \circ T^{jm_k}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad W_{k,k} := \Delta_n \varphi_{r_k} \circ T^{km_k}.
\]

\[
Y_{k,j} := \Delta_n \varphi_{m_k-2k} \circ \pi_{m_k-k} \circ T^{jm_k}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad Y_{k,k} := \Delta_n \varphi_{r_k} \circ T^{km_k}.
\]

By (\( \Theta \)), for each \( 0 \leq j \leq k, \)

\[
\|Y_{k,j} - W_{k,j}\| \leq \frac{\|\Delta_n \|D_{\alpha,\theta}(\varphi) \theta^k}{1 - \theta}.
\]
whence
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} \|Y_{k,j} - W_{k,j}\| \leq \frac{\|\Delta_m\| D_{\alpha,\theta}(\varphi) \kappa \theta^k}{1 - \theta} \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 0.
\]

It follows that
\[
\begin{align*}
(i) & \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k} Y_{k,j} \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} Z \quad \& \\
(ii) & \quad \max_{j} \sigma(Y_{k,j}) \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Now \(\{(Y_{k,k-j}: 0 \leq j \leq k): k \geq 1\}\) is a \(\phi\)-mixing, triangular array as in [Sam84] and as shown there, \(Z\) is infinitely divisible. \(\square\)

§6 Appendix

In this appendix, we state and prove a multidimensional version of proposition 4 in [P09] and deduce the the Deviation lemma from it.

Let
\begin{itemize}
  \item \((X, m, T, \alpha)\) be a mixing fibered system, either \(\text{GM}\) or \(\text{AFU}\);
  \item \(d \geq 2, \, \mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{R}^d\) be a closed subgroup of full dimension;
  \item \(\Psi: X \to \mathbb{H}\) be aperiodic, \(D_{\alpha}^{(T)}(\Psi) < \infty\) and \(E(\|\Psi\|^4) < \infty\).
\end{itemize}

Extended LLT estimate  \((P09)\)

\(\text{Fix } U \subset \mathbb{H}, \, m_T(\partial U) = 0 \text{ precompact}. \text{ There exist } \Gamma > 0, \text{ a symmetric, positive definite matrix } \gamma \text{ so that}
\]
\[
\bar{T}^n 1_{[\Psi_{n,x} \in U]} \leq \frac{\Gamma}{n^2} \cdot \left( e^{\frac{(x, x)}{n}} + \frac{\Gamma}{n} \right) \quad \forall \, n \geq 1, \, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

\textbf{Proof}

As before, consider the operators \(P(x) \in \text{Hom}(L, L) \ (x \in \mathbb{H})\) defined by
\[
P(x)f = \bar{T}([x, \Psi]f).
\]
As shown in [HH01], since $E(\|\Psi\|^4) < \infty$, the function $P : \hat{\mathbb{H}} \to \text{Hom}(L, L)$ is 4-times continuously differentiable with
\[
(\frac{\partial^{k_1}}{\partial x_{j_1}} \frac{\partial^{k_2}}{\partial x_{j_2}} \ldots \frac{\partial^{k_q}}{\partial x_{j_q}}) P(x))(f) = \hat{\nu}^{\mathcal{T}}(\prod_{i=1}^{q} \Psi_{j_i}^{k_i} \exp[i(x, \Psi)]f) \\
\quad \forall 1 \leq j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_q \leq d, k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_q, \sum k_i = p, 1 \leq p \leq 4.
\]

Moreover, by Nagaev’s theorem, there are constants $\epsilon > 0$, $K > 0$ and $\theta \in (0,1)$; and continuous functions $\lambda : B(0, \epsilon) \to B_C(0,1)$, $N : B(0, \epsilon) \to \text{Hom}(L, L)$ such that for $x \in B(0, \epsilon)$,
\[
(\Theta) \quad \|P(x)^n f - \lambda(x)^n N(x)f\|_L \leq K\theta^n \|f\|_L \quad \forall \ n \geq 1, \ f \in L
\]
where $N(x)$ is a projection onto a one-dimensional subspace (spanned by $N(x)1$).

It follows that $x \mapsto N(x)$ is also $C^4$ $B(0, \epsilon) \to \text{Hom}(L, L)$ as is $x \mapsto \lambda(x)$ ($B(0, \epsilon) \to B_C(0,1)$).

In particular, there is a symmetric, positive definite matrix $\gamma$, and $M > 0$ so that for $x \in B(0, \delta)$,
\[
(\bullet) \quad \log \lambda(x) = -\langle \gamma x, x \rangle (1 + \mathcal{E}(x)) \text{ where } |\mathcal{E}(x)| \leq M\|x\|.
\]

Next, fix $h \in L^1(\hat{\mathbb{H}})$, so that $1_U \leq h$ and $\widehat{h} \in C_C^\infty(\hat{\mathbb{H}})$.

Note that if $h_z(y) = h(y-z)$, then $1_{U+z} \leq h_z$ and $\widehat{h_z}(x) = e^{i(x,z)}\hat{h}(x)$ has the same bounded support as $\hat{h}(x)$.

Possibly increasing $\theta \in (0,1) \& K > 0$ in (\Theta), we see by aperiodicity, that
\[
(\ast) \quad \sup_{x \in \text{supp} \widehat{h} \setminus B(0,\delta)} \|P(x)^n1\|_L \leq K\theta^n.
\]

Thus
\[
n^{\frac{d}{2}}\hat{T}^n1_{[\Psi_n \varepsilon_z + U]} \leq n^{\frac{d}{2}}\hat{T}^n(h_z(\Psi_n)) = n^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\hat{\mathbb{H}}} \widehat{h_z}(x)(\hat{T}^n(\exp[i(x, \Psi_n)]))dx \\
= n^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\hat{\mathbb{H}}} \widehat{h_z}(x)P(x)^n1dx \\
= n^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{B(0,\delta)} \widehat{h_z}(x)\lambda(x)^n g(x)dx + \mathcal{E}_n
\]
where $g(x) := N(x)1$ and
\[
\mathcal{E}_n^{\frac{d}{2}} = \int_{B(0,\delta)} \widehat{h_z}(x)P(x)^n1 - \lambda(x)^n g(x))dx + \int_{\text{supp} \widehat{h} \setminus B(0,\delta)} \widehat{h_z}(x)(P(x)^n1dx,
\]
\[\text{e.g. } h = \widehat{g} \text{ with } g(x) = \int_{\hat{\mathbb{H}}} f(y)f(y-x)dm(y) \text{ for some } f \in C_C^\infty(\hat{\mathbb{H}}).\]
whence by (Θ) and (Ψ)

\[ |\mathcal{E}_n| \leq K(1 + m_\|\text{supp } \hat{h}\|_\infty) n^{3/2} \theta^n \leq K(1 + m_\|\text{supp } \hat{h}\|_1) n^{3/2} \theta^n. \]

Next, changing variables,

(△) \[ n^{3/2} \int_{B(0,\delta)} \hat{h}_z(x)\lambda(x)^n g(x) \, dx = \int_{B(0,\sqrt{n}\delta)} \hat{h}_z\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\lambda\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n g\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \, dx. \]

**Estimation of RHS of (△)**

By (Ψ), \( \exists \eta > 0 \) so that

\[ |\lambda\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)|, \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\gamma x}{n}\right)\right] \leq e^{-\frac{2\eta|x|^2}{n}} \quad \forall \ x \in B(0, \sqrt{n}\delta). \]

For \( n \geq 4, \ x \in B(0, \sqrt{n}\delta) \), set

\[ \lambda = \lambda\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\gamma x}{n}\right)\right], \]

then \( \phi \in (0,1), \ \lambda \in B(0,1) \) and some baby algebra shows that

(Θ) \[ \lambda^n = \phi^n + n\phi^{n-1}(\lambda - \phi) + (\lambda - \phi)^2 B_n \]

where \( B_n = B_n(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \phi^{n-1-k} \lambda^j \phi^{k-1-j}, \) whence

(Ψ) \[ |B_n| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left| \phi^{n-1-k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda^j \phi^{k-1-j} \right| \]

\[ = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \phi^{n-2+j} |\lambda|^j \]

\[ \leq n^2 e^{-\frac{2(n-2)\eta|x|^2}{n}} \leq n^2 e^{-\eta|x|^2}. \]

We now estimate the RHS of (△) according to (Θ),

\[ \int_{B(0,\sqrt{n}\delta)} \hat{h}_z\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\lambda\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n g\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \, dx = \mathcal{M}_n + \mathcal{Q}_n + \mathcal{D}_n \]

\[ =: \int_{B(0,\sqrt{n}\delta)} \hat{h}_z\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)g\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \left(\phi^n + n\phi^{n-1}(\lambda - \phi) + (\lambda - \phi)^2 B_n\right) \, dx \]

where

\[ \mathcal{M}_n := \int_{B(0,\sqrt{n}\delta)} e^{i\gamma x} \hat{h}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)g\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \exp\left[-(\gamma x, x)\right] \, dx \]

is the “main term” with “error terms”
\[ Q_n = n \int_{B(0, \sqrt{n})} e^{i(x, \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}})} \hat{h}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) g(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) \exp[-(\gamma x, x) \frac{n-1}{n}] (\lambda(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) - \exp[-\frac{(\gamma x, x)}{n}]) dx \]

and

\[ D_n = \int_{B(0, \sqrt{n})} e^{i(x, \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}})} \hat{h}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) g(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) \exp[-(\gamma x, x) \frac{n-2}{n}] (\lambda(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) - \exp[-\frac{(\gamma x, x)}{n}])^2 B_n(x) dx. \]

There is a polynomial \( q(x) = a_0 + a_1(x) + a_2(x) + a_3(x) \) (where each \( a_i \) is a sum of monomials of degree \( i \)) so that

\[ \hat{h}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) g(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) = q(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) + O(\frac{|x|^4}{n^2}). \]

**The term** \( M_n \)

We have

\[ M_n = \int_{B(0, \sqrt{n})} e^{i(x, \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}})} q(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) \exp[-(\gamma x, x)] dx + O(\frac{1}{n^2}). \]

For some \( \vartheta \in (0, 1) \) we have

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0, \sqrt{n})} \|x\|^j \exp[-(\gamma x, x)] dx = O(\vartheta^n) \quad (0 \leq j \leq 4). \]

Thus

\[ M_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(x, \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}})} q(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) \exp[-(\gamma x, x)] dx + O(\frac{1}{n^2}) \]

and

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(x, \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}})} q(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) \exp[-(\gamma x, x)] dx = \sum_{j=0}^3 \frac{1}{n^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(x, \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}})} a_j(x) \exp[-(\gamma x, x)] dx \]

\[ = \sum_{j=0}^3 \frac{1}{n^2} (a_j(\nabla) \phi)(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{n}}) \]

\[ = \sum_{j=0}^3 \frac{1}{n^2} b_j(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{n}}) \phi(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{n}}) \]

\[ = \sum_{j=0}^3 \frac{1}{n^2} b_j(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{n}}) \phi(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{n}}). \]

where \( b_j \) is a sum of degree \( j \) monomials and \( \phi(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(x, y)} \exp[-(\gamma x, x)] dx. \)

Thus, using \( b_0 = 1 \),

\[ M_n = \phi(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{n}})(1 + o(1)) + O(\frac{1}{n^2}). \]

**The term** \( D_n \)

To estimate \( D_n \) we use \((\cdot)\) and

\[ |\lambda(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) - \exp[-\frac{(\gamma x, x)}{n}]| \leq A \frac{|x|^3}{n^2}, \]
obtaining

$$|D_n| \leq \int_{B(0, \sqrt{\delta})} \exp[-\langle \gamma x, x \rangle \cdot \frac{n-2}{n}] |\lambda(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) - \exp[-\langle \gamma x, x \rangle n] | \, dx$$

$$\leq \frac{A^2}{n} \int_{B(0, \sqrt{\delta})} \|x\|^6 \exp[-\langle \gamma x, x \rangle 2] \, dx.$$  

**The term $Q_n$**

This needs a Taylor expansion of higher order than (A):

$$\lambda(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) - \exp[-\langle \gamma x, x \rangle n] = \frac{1}{n^2} c_3(x) + e_n(x)$$

where $c_3$ is a sum of degree 3 monomials and $|e_n(x)| \leq \frac{C \|x\|^4}{n^2}$.

Thus

$$Q_n = n \int_{B(0, \sqrt{\delta})} e^{i(x, \sqrt{n})} \overline{h}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) g(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) \exp[-\langle \gamma x, x \rangle \cdot \frac{n-1}{n}] (\lambda(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) - \exp[-\langle \gamma x, x \rangle n] ) \, dx$$

$$= n \int_{B(0, \sqrt{\delta})} e^{i(x, \sqrt{n})} q(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) \exp[-\langle \gamma x, x \rangle \cdot \frac{n-1}{n}] (\lambda(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) - \exp[-\langle \gamma x, x \rangle n] ) \, dx + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \text{ by (11)}$$

$$= n \int_{B(0, \sqrt{\delta})} e^{i(x, \sqrt{n})} q(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) \exp[-\langle \gamma x, x \rangle \cdot \frac{n-1}{n}] c_3(x) \, dx$$

$$\leq n \int_{B(0, \sqrt{\delta})} e^{i(x, \sqrt{n})} q(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) \exp[-\langle \gamma x, x \rangle \cdot \frac{n-1}{n}] c_3(x) \, dx + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

Now

$$\int_{B(0, \sqrt{\delta})} e^{i(x, \sqrt{n})} q(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) \exp[-\langle \gamma x, x \rangle \cdot \frac{n-1}{n}] c_3(x) \, dx$$

$$= \int_{R^d} e^{i(x, \sqrt{n})} q(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) \exp[-\langle \gamma x, x \rangle \cdot \frac{n-1}{n}] c_3(x) \, dx + O(\vartheta^n) \text{ by (12)}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{3} \frac{1}{n^j} \int_{R^d} a_j(x) c_3(x) q(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) \exp[-\langle \gamma x, x \rangle \cdot \frac{n-1}{n}] \, dx + O(\vartheta^n)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{3} \frac{1}{n^j} \left( a_j(\Delta) c_3(\Delta) \phi^{(n)}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) \right) \text{ where } \phi^{(n)}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp[i(u, x) - \langle \gamma x, x \rangle \cdot \frac{n-1}{n}] \, dx,$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{3} \frac{1}{n^j} p_j(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}) \phi^{(n)}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}})$$

where the $p_j$ are polynomials. \(\Box\)

**Deviation Lemma**
(i) There are constants $\Gamma, \gamma > 0$ so that
\[
\tilde{T}^n1_{\mathcal{A}[\psi, e^{(t)}] - z + V, t_n \in I + t - y]} \leq \Gamma \left( \frac{\exp\left[ -\frac{\gamma|n - \lambda t|^2}{t} \right] + \frac{1}{n^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}} }{\sqrt{t}} \right) \quad \forall \ n \in B(M, t).
\]

(ii) If in addition, $E(\|\varphi\|^4) < \infty$, then, possibly changing $\Gamma$ and $\gamma$, we have
\[
\tilde{T}^n1_{\mathcal{A}[\psi, e^{(t)}] - z + V, t_n \in I + t - y]} \leq \Gamma \left( \frac{\exp\left[ -\frac{\gamma|n - \lambda t|^2}{t} \right] + \frac{1}{n^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}} }{\sqrt{t}} \right) \quad \forall \ n \in B(M, t).
\]

Proof of (ii) in the deviation lemma

Let $\psi := (\varphi, \varphi - \varphi) : X \to \mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{R}$. By the assumptions, the estimate applies.

For $t > 0$, $n \in B(M, t)$,
\[
\tilde{T}^n1_{\mathcal{A}[\psi, e^{(t)}] - z + V, t_n \in I + t - y]} = \tilde{T}^n1_{\mathcal{A}[\psi, e^{(t)}] - z + V, t_n \in I + t - y]}
\]
where $x_{n,t} := (x(t) - z, t - n\varphi - y)$. By the estimate, there exist $\Gamma > 0$, a symmetric, positive definite matrix $\gamma$ so that
\[
\tilde{T}^n1_{\mathcal{A}[\psi, e^{(t)}] - z + V, t_n \in I + t - y]} \leq \Gamma \left( \frac{\exp\left[ -\frac{\gamma|n - \lambda t|^2}{t} \right] + \frac{1}{n^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}} }{\sqrt{t}} \right) \quad \forall \ n \in B(M, t).
\]

Next, set $y_{n,t} := \frac{x_{n,t}}{\sqrt{n}}$, then for $n \in B(M, t)$ large,
\[
\|y_{n,t}\| \geq \frac{|t - n\varphi|}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{|x(t)| + |x|}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{|t - n\varphi|}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\varphi}{2} \cdot \frac{|n - \lambda t|}{\sqrt{n}}
\]
and $\exists \ \eta > 0$ so that
\[
(\gamma x, x) \geq \eta \|x\|^2 \quad \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^{K+1}.
\]

It follows that for $n \in B(M, t)$,
\[
\frac{(\gamma x_{n,t}, x_{n,t})}{n} \geq (\gamma y_{n,t}, y_{n,t}) \geq \eta \|y_{n,t}\|^2 \geq \zeta \frac{|n - \lambda t|^2}{n}
\]
where $\zeta := \frac{\eta \varphi^2}{4}$.

Thus with $\Gamma' = K^\frac{\varphi^2}{4} \Gamma$,
\[
\tilde{T}^n1_{\mathcal{A}[\psi, e^{(t)}] - z + V, t_n \in I + t - y]} \leq \Gamma' \left( \frac{\exp\left[ -\frac{\eta n - \lambda t|^2}{n} \right] + \frac{\Gamma}{n^{\frac{\varphi^2}{4}}} }{\sqrt{n}} \right) \quad \forall \ n \in B(M, t). \quad \Box
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