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Abstract

In this article, we introduce the notion of star-Ricci tensors in the real hypersurfaces of complex quadric \( Q^m \). It is proved that there exist no Hopf hypersurfaces in \( Q^m, m \geq 3 \), with commuting star-Ricci tensor or parallel star-Ricci tensor. As a generalization of star-Einstein metric, star-Ricci solitons on \( M \) are considered. In this case we show that \( M \) is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic \( \mathbb{C}P^{m+1} \subset Q^m, m \geq 4 \).
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1 Introduction

The complex quadric \( Q^m \) is a Hermitian symmetric space \( \text{SO}_{m+2}/\text{SO}_m\text{SO}_2 \) with rank two in the class of compact type. It can be regarded as a complex hypersurface of complex projective space \( \mathbb{C}P^{m+1} \). Also, the complex quadric \( Q^m \) can be regarded as a kind of real Grassmannian manifolds of compact type with rank two. In the complex quadric \( Q^m \) there are two important geometric structures, a complex conjugation structure \( A \) and Kähler structure \( J \), with each other being anti-commuting, that is, \( AJ = -JA \). Another distinguish geometric structure in \( Q^m \) is a parallel rank two vector bundle \( \mathcal{U} \) which contains an \( S^1 \)-bundle of real structures, that is, complex conjugations \( A \) on the tangent spaces of \( Q^m \). Here the parallel vector bundle \( \mathcal{U} \) means that \( (\nabla_X A)Y = q(X)AY \) for all \( X, Y \in T_zQ^m, z \in Q^m \), where \( \nabla \) and \( q \) denote a connection and a certain 1-form on \( T_zQ^m \), respectively.
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Recall that a nonzero tangent vector $W \in T_z Q^m$, $z \in Q^m$, is called singular if it is tangent to more than one maximal flat in $Q^m$. There are two types of singular tangent vectors for the complex quadric $Q^m$:

1. If there exists a conjugation $A \in \mathfrak{U}$ such that $W \in V(A)$, then $W$ is singular. Such a singular tangent vector is called $\mathfrak{U}$-principal.

2. If there exist a conjugation $A \in \mathfrak{U}$ and orthonormal vectors $X, Y \in V(A)$ such that $W/\|W\| = (X + JY)/\sqrt{2}$, then $W$ is singular. Such a singular tangent vector is called $\mathfrak{U}$-isotropic.

Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $Q^m$. The Kähler structure $J$ on $Q^m$ induces a structure vector field $\xi$ called Reeb vector field on $M$ by $\xi := -JN$, where $N$ is a local unit normal vector field of $M$ in $Q^m$. It is well-known that there is an almost contact structure $(\phi, \eta, \xi, g)$ on $M$ induced from complex quadric. Moreover, if the Reeb vector field $\xi$ is invariant under the shape operator $S$, i.e. $S\xi = \alpha \xi$, where $\alpha = g(S\xi, \xi)$ is a smooth function, then $M$ is said to be a Hopf hypersurface. For the real Hopf hypersurfaces of complex quadric many characterizations were obtained by Suh (see [9, 10, 11, 12, 13] etc.). In particular, we note that Suh in [9] introduced parallel Ricci tensor, i.e. $\nabla \text{Ric} = 0$, for the real hypersurfaces in $Q^m$ and gave a complete classification for this case. In addition, if the real hypersurface $M$ admits commuting Ricci tensor, i.e. $\text{Ric} \circ \phi = \phi \circ \text{Ric}$, Suh also proved the followings:

**Theorem 1.1** ([13]). Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of the complex quadric $Q^m$, $m \geq 3$, with commuting Ricci tensor. Then the unit normal vector field $N$ of $M$ is either $\mathfrak{U}$-principal or $\mathfrak{U}$-isotropic.

**Theorem 1.2** ([13]). There exist no Hopf real hypersurfaces in the complex quadric $Q^m$, $m \geq 4$, with commuting and parallel Ricci tensor.

Since the Ricci tensor of an Einstein hypersurface in the complex quadric $Q^m$ is a constant multiple of $g$, it satisfies naturally commuting and parallelism. Thus we have the following.

**Corollary 1.3** ([13]). There exist no Hopf Einstein real hypersurfaces in the complex quadric $Q^m$, $m \geq 4$.

As a generalization of Einstein metrics, recently Suh in [14] has shown a complete classification of Hopf hypersurfaces with a Ricci soliton, which is given by

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_W g)(X, Y) + \text{Ric}(X, Y) = \lambda g(X, Y).$$

Here $\lambda$ is a constant and $W$ is a vector field on $M$, which are said to be Ricci soliton constant and potential vector field, respectively, and $\mathcal{L}_W$ denotes the Lie derivative along the direction of the vector field $W$.

Notice that, as the corresponding of Ricci tensor, Tachibana [15] introduced the idea of star-Ricci tensor. These ideas apply to almost contact metric manifolds, and in particular, to real hypersurfaces in complex space forms by Hamada in [3]. The star-Ricci tensor $\text{Ric}^*$ is defined by

$$\text{Ric}^*(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}\text{trace}(\phi \circ R(X, \phi Y)), \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in TM. \quad (1.1)$$

If the star-Ricci tensor is a constant multiple of $g(X, Y)$ for all $X, Y$ orthogonal to $\xi$, then $M$ is said to be a star-Einstein manifold. Hamada gave a classification of
star-Einstein hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{CP}^n$ and $\mathbb{CH}^n$, and further Ivey and Ryan updated and refined the work of Hamada in 2011([4]).

Motivated by the present work, in this paper we introduce the notion of star-Ricci tensor in the real hypersurfaces of complex quadric $Q^m$ and study the characterizations of a real Hopf hypersurface whose star-Ricci tensor satisfies certain conditions.

First we consider the real hypersurface with commuting star-Ricci tensor, i.e. $\phi \circ \text{Ric}^* = \text{Ric}^* \circ \phi$. We assert the following:

**Theorem 1.4.** There exist no Hopf hypersurfaces of $Q^m$, $m \geq 3$, with commuting star-Ricci tensor.

For the Hopf hypersurfaces of $Q^m$, $m \geq 3$, with parallel star-Ricci tensor, we also prove the following non-existence.

**Theorem 1.5.** There exist no Hopf hypersurfaces of $Q^m$, $m \geq 3$, with parallel star-Ricci tensor.

As the generalization of star-Einstein metric Kaimakamis and Panagiotidou [5] introduced a so-called star-Ricci soliton, that is, a Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2}L_W g + \text{Ric}^* = \lambda g. \quad (1.2)$$

In this case we obtain the following characterization:

**Theorem 1.6.** Let $M$ be a real hypersurface in $Q^m$, $m \geq 4$, admitting a star-Ricci soliton with potential vector field $\xi$, then $M$ is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{CP}^2 \subset Q^m$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 and Section 3, some basic concepts and formulas for real hypersurfaces in complex quadric are presented. In Section 4 we consider Hopf hypersurfaces with commuting star-Ricci tensor and give the proof of Theorem 1.4. In Section 5 we will prove Theorem 1.5. At last we assume that a Hopf hypersurface admits star-Ricci soliton and give the proof of Theorem 1.6 as the Section 6.

## 2 The complex quadric

In this section we will summarize some basic notations and formulas about the complex quadric $Q^m$. For more detail see [1, 2, 7, 6]. The complex quadric $Q^m$ is the hypersurface of complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^{m+1}$, which is defined by $z_1^2 + \cdots + z_{m+2}^2 = 0$, where $z_1, \ldots, z_{m+2}$ are homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{CP}^{m+1}$. In the complex quadric it is equipped with a Riemannian metric $\bar{g}$ induced from the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{CP}^{m+1}$ with constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. Also the Kähler structure on $\mathbb{CP}^{m+1}$ induces canonically a Kähler structure $(J, \bar{g})$ on the complex quadric $Q^m$.

The complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^{m+1}$ is a Hermitian symmetric space of the special unitary group $SU_{m+2}$, i.e. $\mathbb{CP}^{m+1} = SU_{m+2}/S(U_1 U_{m+1})$. The special orthogonal group $SO_{m+2} \subset SU_{m+2}$ acts on $\mathbb{CP}^{m+1}$ with cohomogeneity one. The orbit containing $o$ is a totally geodesic real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^{m+1} \subset \mathbb{CP}^{m+1}$, where $o = [0, \ldots, 0, 1] \in \mathbb{CP}^{m+1}$ is the fixed point of the action of the stabilizer $S(U_{m+1} U_1)$. We can identify $Q^m$ with a homogeneous space $SO(m+2)/SO_2SO_m$, which is the second singular orbit of this action. Such a homogeneous space model leads to the geometric interpretation of the complex quadric $Q^m$ as the Grassmann manifold $G^+_2(\mathbb{R}^{m+2})$ of oriented 2-planes in $\mathbb{R}^{m+2}$. From now on we always assume $m \geq 3$ because it is well known that
$Q^1$ is isometric to a sphere $S^2$ with constant curvature and $Q^2$ is isometric to the Riemannian product of two 2-spheres with constant curvature.

For a unit normal vector $\rho$ of $Q^m$ at a point $z \in Q^m$ we denote by $A = A_\rho$ the shape operator of $Q^m$ in $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ with respect to $\rho$, which is an involution on the tangent space $T_z Q^m$, and the tangent space can be decomposed as

$$T_z Q^m = V(A_\rho) \oplus JV(A_\rho),$$

where $V(A_\rho)$ is the $(+1)$-eigenspace and $JV(A_\rho)$ is the $(-1)$-eigenspace of $A_\rho$. This means that the shape operator $A$ defines a real structure on $T_z Q^m$, equivalently, $A$ is a complex conjugation. Since the real codimension of $Q^m$ in $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ is 2, this induces an $S^1$-subbundle $\mathfrak{U}$ of the endomorphism bundle $\text{End}(T Q^m)$ consisting of complex conjugations. Notice that $J$ and each complex conjugation $A \in \mathfrak{U}$ anti-commute, i.e. $AJ = -JA$.

### 3 Real hypersurface of complex quadric and its star-Ricci tensor

Let $M$ be an immersed real hypersurface of $Q^m$ with induced metric $g$. There exists a local defined unit normal vector field $N$ on $M$ and we write $\xi := -JN$ by the structure vector field of $M$. An induced one-form $\eta$ is defined by $\eta(\cdot) = \bar{g}(J\cdot, N)$, which is dual to $\xi$. For any vector field $X$ on $M$ the tangent part of $JX$ is denoted by $\phi X = JX - \eta(X)N$. Moreover, the following identities hold:

\begin{align}
\phi^2 = -Id + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad \phi \circ \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad (3.3) \\
g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \quad (3.4)
\end{align}

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. By these formulas, we know that $(\phi, \eta, \xi, g)$ is an almost contact metric structure on $M$. The tangent bundle $TM$ can be decomposed as $TM = C \oplus \mathbb{R} \xi$, where $C = \text{ker} \eta$ is the maximal complex subbundle of $TM$. Denote by $\nabla, S$ the induced Riemannian connection and the shape operator on $M$, respectively. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given respectively by

\begin{align}
\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(SX, Y)N, \quad \bar{\nabla}_X N = -SX, \quad (3.5)
\end{align}

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the connection on $Q^m$ with respect to $\bar{g}$. Also, we have

\begin{align}
(\nabla_X \phi) Y = \eta(Y) SX - g(SX, Y) \xi, \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi SX. \quad (3.6)
\end{align}

The curvature tensor $R$ and Codazzi equation of $M$ are given respectively as follows[see 9]):

\begin{align}
R(X, Y)Z = & g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z \\
& + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY + g(JAY, Z)JAX - g(JAX, Z)JAY \\
& + g(SY, Z)SX - g(SX, Z)SY, \quad (3.7)
\end{align}

\begin{align}
g((\nabla_X S)Y - (\nabla_Y S)X, Z) = & \eta(X)g(\phi Y, Z) - \eta(Y)g(\phi X, Z) - 2\eta(Z)g(\phi X, Y) \\
& + g(X, AN)g(AY, Z) - g(Y, AN)g(AX, Z) + g(X, A\xi)g(JAY, Z) - g(Y, A\xi)g(JAX, Z) \quad (3.8)
\end{align}

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z$ on $M$.  
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At each point $z \in M$ we denote

$$Q_z = \{ X \in T_zM \mid AX \in T_zM \text{ for all } A \in \mathfrak{U}_z \}$$

by a maximal $\mathfrak{U}$-invariant subspace of $T_zM$. For the subspace the following lemma was proved.

**Lemma 3.1 (see [10]).** For each $z \in M$ we have

- If $N_z$ is $\mathfrak{U}$-principal, then $Q_z = C_z$.
- If $N_z$ is not $\mathfrak{U}$-principal, there exist a conjugation $A \in \mathfrak{U}$ and orthonormal vectors $X, Y \in V(A)$ such that $N_z = \cos(t)X + \sin(t)JY$ for some $t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$. Then we have $Q_z = C_z \ominus C(JX + Y)$.

For each point $z \in M$ we choose $A \in \mathfrak{U}_z$, then there exist two orthonormal vectors $Z_1, Z_2 \in V(A)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
N &= \cos(t)Z_1 + \sin(t)JZ_2, \\
AN &= \cos(t)Z_1 - \sin(t)JZ_2, \\
\xi &= \sin(t)Z_2 - \cos(t)JZ_1, \\
A\xi &= \sin(t)Z_2 + \cos(t)JZ_1
\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$ (see [8, Proposition 3]). From this we get $g(AN, \xi) = 0$.

In the real hypersurface $M$ we introduce the star-Ricci tensor $\text{Ric}^*$ defined by

$$\text{Ric}^*(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}\{\phi \circ R(X, \phi Y)\}, \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in TM.$$ 

Taking a local frame $\{e_i\}$ of $M$ such that $e_1 = \xi$ and using (3.4), we derive from (3.7)

$$\begin{align*}
2^{m-1} \sum_{i=1} \langle \phi \circ R(X, \phi Y)e_i, e_i \rangle \\
&= g(\phi Y, \phi X) - g(X, \phi^2 Y) + g(\phi^2 X, \phi^2 Y) - g(\phi X, \phi^3 Y) + 2(2m - 2)g(\phi X, \phi Y) \\
&\quad + g(A\phi Y, \phi AX) - g(AX, \phi A\phi Y) + g(JA\phi Y, \phi JAX) - g(JAX, \phi JA\phi Y) \\
&\quad + g(S\phi Y, \phi SX) - g(SX, \phi S\phi Y) \\
&= 4mg(\phi X, \phi Y) - 2g(AX, \phi A\phi Y) + 2g(JA\phi Y, \phi JAX) - 2g(SX, \phi S\phi Y).
\end{align*}$$

In view of (1.1), the star-Ricci tensor is given by

$$\text{Ric}^*(X, Y) = 2mg(\phi X, \phi Y) - g(AX, \phi A\phi Y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&+ g(JA\phi Y, \phi JAX) - g(SX, \phi S\phi Y). \\
\end{aligned}$$

(3.10)

Since $AJ = -JA$ and $\xi = -JN$, we have

$$\begin{align*}
JA\phi Y &= -AJ\phi Y = AY - \eta(Y)A\xi, \\
\phi JAX &= J(JAX) - \eta(JAX)N = -AX + g(N, AX)N.
\end{align*}$$

Then

$$\begin{align*}
g(JA\phi Y, \phi JAX) &= -g(AX, AY) + \eta(Y)\eta(X) + g(N, AX)g(AY, N) \\
&= g(\phi^2 X, Y) + g(N, AX)g(AY, N).
\end{align*}$$

(3.11)
Because
\[ J\alpha Y = \alpha A\phi Y + \eta(A\phi Y)N \]
\[ = \alpha A\phi Y + g(\xi, AJY - \eta(Y)AN)N \]
\[ = \alpha A\phi Y + g(J\xi, AY)N \]
\[ = \alpha A\phi Y + g(N, AY)N, \]
we have
\[ g(AX, \alpha A\phi Y) = g(AX, JA\phi Y - g(N, AY)N) \]
\[ = g(AX, JA\phi Y) - g(N, AY)g(AX, N) \]
\[ = -g(\phi^2 X, Y) - g(N, AY)g(AX, N). \] (3.12)
Thus substituting (3.11) and (3.12) into (3.10) implies
\[ \text{Ric}^*(X, Y) = -2(m - 1)g(\phi^2 X, Y) - 2g(N, AX)g(AY, N) - g((\phi S)^2 X, Y) \] (3.13)
for all \( X, Y \in TM \).
In the following we always assume that \( M \) is a Hopf hypersurface in \( Q^m \), i.e. \( S\xi = \alpha\xi \) for a smooth function \( \alpha = g(S\xi, \xi) \). As in [9], since \( g(AN, \xi) = 0 \), by taking \( Z = \xi \) in the Codazzi equation (3.8), we have
\[ g(\nabla_X S)Y - (\nabla_Y S)X, \xi) \]
\[ = -2g(\phi X, Y) + 2g(X, AN)g(AY, \xi) - 2g(Y, AN)g(AX, \xi). \]
On the other hand,
\[ g(\nabla_X S)Y - (\nabla_Y S)X, \xi) \]
\[ = g(\nabla_X S)\xi, Y) - g(\nabla_Y S)\xi, X) \]
\[ = (X\alpha)\eta(Y) - (Y\alpha)\eta(X) + \alpha g((\phi S + S\phi)X, Y) - 2g(S\phi SX, Y). \]
Comparing the previous two equations and putting \( X = \xi \) gives
\[ Y\alpha = (\xi\alpha)\eta(Y) + 2g(Y, AN)g(\xi, A\xi). \] (3.14)
Moreover, we have the following.

**Lemma 3.2.** ([10, Lemma 4.2]) Let \( M \) be a Hopf hypersurface in complex quadric \( Q^m \), with \( (local) \) unit normal vector field \( N \). For each point in \( z \in M \) we choose \( A \in \mathfrak{A}_z \) such that \( N_z = \cos(t)Z_1 + \sin(t)JZ_2 \) holds for some orthonormal vectors \( Z_1, Z_2 \in V(A) \) and \( 0 \leq t \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \). Then
\[ 0 = 2g(S\phi SX, Y) - \alpha g((\phi S + S\phi)X, Y) - 2g(\phi X, Y) \]
\[ + 2g(X, AN)g(Y, A\xi) - 2g(Y, AN)g(X, A\xi) \]
\[ + 2g(\xi, A\xi)\{g(Y, AN)\eta(X) - g(X, AN)\eta(Y)\} \] (3.15)
holds for all vector fields \( X, Y \) on \( M \).
From this lemma we can prove the following.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( M \) be a Hopf hypersurface in complex quadric \( Q^m \), then
\[ (\phi S)^2 = (S\phi)^2. \] (3.16)
Proof. From the equation (3.15) we assert the followings:

\[
g((S\phi)^2X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha g((\phi S + S\phi)\phi X, Y) + g(\phi^2 X, Y) - g(\phi X, AN)g(Y, AN) \\
+ g(\phi X, A\xi)g(Y, AN) + g(\xi, A\xi)g(\phi X, AN)\eta(Y),
\]

\[
g((\phi S)^2X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha g(\phi(\phi S + S\phi)X, Y) + g(\phi^2 X, Y) - g(X, AN)g(\phi A\xi, Y) \\
+ g(X, A\xi)g(\phi AN, Y) - g(\xi, A\xi)\eta(X)g(\phi AN, Y).
\]

Thus we obtain

\[
g((S\phi)^2X - (\phi S)^2X, Y) = -g(\phi X, AN)g(Y, AN) + g(\phi X, A\xi)g(Y, AN) \\
+ g(\xi, A\xi)g(\phi X, AN)\eta(Y) + g(\xi, A\xi)g(\phi A\xi, Y) \\
- g(X, A\xi)g(\phi AN, Y) + g(\xi, A\xi)\eta(X)g(\phi AN, Y) \\
= \eta(X)g(AN, N)g(Y, A\xi) - g(\xi, A\xi)g(X, A\xi)\eta(Y) \\
- g(X, A\xi)\eta(Y)g(AN, N) + g(\xi, A\xi)\eta(X)g(AN, Y, A\xi) \\
= \left(\eta(X)g(A\xi, Y) - g(X, A\xi)\eta(Y)\right)\left(g(AN, N) + g(\xi, A\xi)\right).
\]

Here we have used the following relations:

\[
g(A\xi, \phi X) = g(A\xi, JX - \eta(X)N) = g(AN, X), \quad (3.18)
\]

\[
g(A\phi X, N) = g(AJX - \eta(X)AN, N) = -g(X, A\xi) - \eta(X)g(AN, N). \quad (3.19)
\]

From (3.9), we get \(g(AN, N) + g(\xi, A\xi) = 0\), which yields (3.16). \(\square\)

4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section we suppose that \(M\) is a real Hopf hypersurface with commuting star-Ricci tensor, that is, \(\phi \circ \text{Ric}^* = \text{Ric}^* \circ \phi\). Making use of (3.13), a straightforward computation gives

\[
0 = g((\phi \circ \text{Ric}^* - \text{Ric}^* \circ \phi)X, Y) \\
= -\text{Ric}^*(X, \phi Y) - \text{Ric}^*(\phi X, Y) \\
= 2g(N, AX)g(A\phi Y, N) + 2g(N, A\phi X)g(AY, N) \\
+ g(\phi((S\phi)^2 - (\phi S)^2)X, Y).
\]

Thus Lemma 3.3 implies

\[
g(N, AX)g(A\phi Y, N) + g(N, A\phi X)g(AY, N) = 0.
\]

Replacing \(X\) and \(Y\) by \(\phi X\) and \(\phi Y\) respectively gives

\[
g(N, A\phi X)g(Y, AN) + g(X, AN)g(A\phi Y, N) = 0.
\]

Now, if \(X = Y\), we find \(g(AN, \phi X)g(AN, X) = 0\) for all vector field \(X\) on \(M\), which means \(AN = N\). Therefore we prove the following.

Lemma 4.1. Let \(M\) be a Hopf hypersurface of complex quadric \(Q^m, m \geq 3\), with commuting star-Ricci tensor. Then the unit normal vector field \(N\) is \(\Delta\)-principal.
In terms of (3.17), the star-Ricci tensor (3.13) becomes

\[ \text{Ric}^\ast(X, Y) = (-2m + 1)g(\phi^2X, Y) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha g(\phi(\phi S + S\phi)X, Y). \]

Moreover, from (3.15) we obtain

\[ \text{Ric}^\ast(X) = (-2m + 1)\phi^2X - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\phi(\phi S + S\phi)X \]

\[ = (-2m + 1)\phi^2X - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\phi^2SX - \frac{1}{4}\alpha^2(\phi S + S\phi)X - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\phi X. \]

By virtue of [9, Lemma 4.3] and Lemma 4.1, it implies that \( \alpha \) is constant. If \( \alpha \neq 0 \), making use of the previous formula, we conclude that

\[ 0 = \phi\text{Ric}^\ast(X) - \text{Ric}^\ast(\phi X) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha(\phi SX - S\phi X) \]

for all \( X \in TM \). That means that the Reeb flow is isometric. In view of [2, Proposition 6.1], the normal vector field \( N \) is isotropic everywhere, which is contradictory with Lemma 4.1. Hence \( \alpha = 0 \) and the star-Ricci tensor becomes

\[ \text{Ric}^\ast(X, Y) = (-2m + 1)g(\phi^2X, Y). \quad (4.20) \]

Now replacing \( X \) and \( Y \) by \( \phi X \) and \( \phi Y \) respectively in (3.13) and using (4.20), we get

\[ (2m - 1)(\phi X, \phi Y) = 2(2m - 1)g(X, \phi Y) - 2g(N, A\phi X)g(A\phi Y, N) - g((S\phi)^2X, Y). \]

Interchanging \( X \) and \( Y \) and applying the resulting equation to subtract the previous equation, we obtain

\[ g((S\phi)^2X - (\phi S)^2X, Y) = 4(m - 1)g(X, \phi Y). \]

So from Lemma 3.3, we conclude that

\[ 4(m - 1)g(X, \phi Y) = 0, \]

which is impossible since \( m \geq 3 \). We finish the proof of Theorem 1.4. \( \square \)

**Remark 4.2.** Formula (4.20) with \( X, Y \in \mathcal{C} \), we have \( \text{Ric}^\ast(X, Y) = (2m - 1)g(X, Y) \), namely \( M \) is star-Einstein, thus we have proved that there exist no star-Einstein Hopf hypersurfaces in complex quadric \( Q^m, m \geq 3 \), which is analogous to the conclusion of Corollary 1.3 in the introduction.

### 5 Proofs of Theorem 1.5

In this section we assume \( M \) is a Hopf hypersurface of \( Q^m, m \geq 3 \), with parallel star-Ricci tensor. In order to prove Theorem 1.5, we first prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \( M \) be a Hopf hypersurface of \( Q^m, m \geq 3 \), with parallel star-Ricci tensor. Then the unit normal vector \( N \) is either \( \Phi \)-principal or \( \Phi \)-isotropic.
Proof. Since $\nabla \mathrm{Ric}^* = 0$, differentiating equation (3.13) covariantly along vector field $Z$ gives

\[
0 = 2(m - 1)g((\nabla_Z \phi)\phi X + \phi(\nabla_Z \phi)X, Y) \\
+ 2g(\nabla_Z N, AX)g(AY, N) + 2g(N, (\nabla_Z A)X)g(AY, N) \\
+ 2g(\nabla_Z N, AY)g(AX, N) + 2g(N, (\nabla_Z A)Y)g(AX, N) \\
+ g((\nabla_Z \phi)S\phi SX, Y) + g(\phi(\nabla_Z S)\phi SX, Y) \\
+ g(\phi S(\nabla_Z \phi)SX, Y) + g(\phi S\phi(\nabla_Z S)X, Y).
\]

Here we have used $(\nabla_Z A)X = q(Z)AX$ for a certain 1-form $q$ as in the introduction. Moreover, by (3.5) we have

\[
0 = -2(m - 1)g(SZ, \phi X)\eta(Y) + 2(m - 1)\eta(X)g(\phi SZ, Y) \\
- 2g(SZ, AX)g(AY, N) + 4g(Z)g(N, AX)g(AY, N) \\
- 2g(SZ, AY)g(AX, N) - g(SZ, S\phi SX)\eta(Y) + g(\phi(\nabla_Z S)\phi SX, Y) \\
+ \eta(SX)g(\phi S^2 Z, Y) + g(\phi S\phi(\nabla_Z S)X, Y).
\]

Since $S\xi = \alpha \xi$, letting $X = \xi$ we get

\[
0 = 2(m - 1)g(\phi SZ, Y) - 2g(SZ, A\xi)g(AY, N) \\
+ \alpha g(\phi S^2 Z, Y) + g((\nabla_Z S)\xi, \phi S\phi Y) \\
= 2(m - 1)g(\phi SZ, Y) - 2g(SZ, A\xi)g(AY, N) \\
+ \alpha g(\phi S^2 Z, Y) + g(\alpha \phi SZ - S\phi SZ, \phi S\phi Y).
\]

Moreover, if $Z = \xi$ then we get $\alpha g(A\xi, \xi)g(AY, N) = 0$. If $\alpha \neq 0$ then $\cos(2t)g(AY, N) = 0$ by (3.9). That means that $t = \frac{\pi}{4}$ or $AY \in TM$, that is, the unit normal vector $N$ is $\Phi$-principal or $\Phi$-isotropic. If $\alpha = 0$ then $g(Y, AN)g(\xi, A\xi) = 0$ for any $Y \in TM$ by (3.14), thus we have same conclusion. The proof is complete. \(\square\)

We first assume that the unit normal vector field $N$ is $\Phi$-isotropic. In this case these expressions in (3.9) become

\[
\begin{align*}
N &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_1 + JZ_2), \\
AN &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_1 - JZ_2), \\
\xi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_2 - JZ_1), \\
A\xi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_2 + JZ_1).
\end{align*}
\]

Thus

\[
g(A\xi, \xi) = g(AN, N) = 0. \tag{5.22}
\]

So (3.15) becomes

\[
S\phi SX = \frac{1}{2} \alpha(\phi S + S\phi)X + \phi X \\
- g(X, AN)A\xi + g(X, A\xi)AN. \tag{5.23}
\]

The formula (5.21) with $Z = \xi$ implies

\[
0 = -2g(S\xi, AX)g(AY, N) + 4\alpha g(N, AX)g(AY, N) \\
- 2g(S\xi, AY)g(AX, N) - g((\nabla_\xi S)\phi SX, \phi Y) \\
+ g((\nabla_\xi S)X, \phi S\phi Y). \tag{5.24}
\]
By Codazzi equation (3.8), we get
\[
(\nabla_\xi S)Y = \alpha \phi SY - S\phi SY + \phi Y - g(Y, AN)A\xi \\
+ g(Y, A\xi)AN \\
= \frac{1}{2}\alpha (\phi S - S\phi)Y.
\]
Thus substituting this into (5.24) gives
\[
0 = -2\alpha g(\xi, AX)g(AY, N) - 4q(\xi)g(N, AX)g(AY, N) \\
- 2\alpha g(\xi, Y)g(AX, N) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha g(S\phi SX + \phi S\phi S\phi X, Y).
\] (5.25)
Moreover, by (5.23) we have \( S\phi SX + \phi S\phi S\phi X = 0 \), thus taking \( X = A\xi \) in (5.25) yields
\[
\alpha g(AY, N) = 0.
\]
Here we have used \( g(A\xi, A\xi) = 1 \) and \( g(AN, A\xi) = 0 \). From this we derive \( \alpha = 0 \) since \( N \) is \( \mu \)-isotropic.

On the other hand, we put \( Y = \xi \) in (5.21) and get
\[
0 = 2(m - 1)g(SZ, \phi X) + 2g(SZ, A\xi)g(AX, N) + g(SZ, S\phi SX).
\]
Applying (5.23) in the above formula, we have
\[
0 = (2m - 1)g(SZ, \phi X) + g(SZ, A\xi)g(AX, N) + g(SZ, AN)g(X, A\xi).
\]
That is,
\[
0 = (2m - 1)S\phi X + g(AX, N)SA\xi + g(X, A\xi)SAN.
\] (5.26)
When \( X = AN \), it comes to
\[
0 = (2m - 1)S\phi AN + SA\xi.
\]
Then \( A\xi = \phi AN \) implies \( SA\xi = 0 \). Similarly, \( SAN = 0 \). Therefore from (5.26) we obtain \( S\phi X = 0 \) for all \( X \in TM \). As \( S\xi = 0 \) we know \( SX = 0 \) for all \( X \in TM \), thus \( \nabla_\xi S = 0 \), that means that the hypersurface \( M \) admits parallel shape operator. But Suh [10] has showed the non-existence of this type hypersurfaces.

In the following if \( N \) is \( \mu \)-principal, that is, \( AN = N \), then (3.13) becomes
\[
\text{Ric}^*(X, Y) = -2(m - 1)g(\phi^2 X, Y) - g((\phi S)^2 X, Y).
\]
In this case we see that the star-Ricci tensor is commuting by Lemma 3.3. Thus we see \( \alpha = 0 \) from the proof of Theorem 1.4. In this case, the formulas (5.21) with \( Y = \xi \) and (3.15) respectively become \( 2(m - 1)g(SZ, \phi X) + g(SZ, S\phi SX) = 0 \) and \( S\phi SX = \phi X \), respectively. From these two equations we obtain \( g(SZ, \phi X) = 0 \), that is, \( \phi SZ = 0 \). This implies \( SZ = \alpha \eta(Z)\xi = 0 \). As before, this is impossible.

Summing up the above discussion, we complete the proof Theorem 1.5.
6 Proof of Theorem 1.6

In order to prove our theorem, we first give the following property.

**Proposition 6.1.** Let $M$ be a real hypersurface in $Q^m$, $m \geq 3$, admitting a star-Ricci soliton with potential vector field $\xi$, then $M$ must be Hopf.

**Proof.** Since $\mathcal{L}_W g$ and $g$ are symmetry, the *-Ricci soliton equation (1.2) implies the star-Ricci tensor is also symmetry, i.e. $\text{Ric}^*(X, Y) = \text{Ric}^*(Y, X)$ for any vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$. It yields from (3.13) that

$$(\phi S)^2 X = (S\phi)^2 X$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.27)

for all $X \in T M$.

On the other hand, from the star-Ricci soliton equation (1.2) it follows

$$\text{Ric}^*(X, Y) = \lambda g(X, Y) + \frac{1}{2} g((S\phi - \phi S) X, Y).$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.28)

By (3.13), we have

$$-2(m-1)g(\phi^2 X, Y) - 2g(N, AX)g(AY, N) - g((\phi S)^2 X, Y)$$

$$= \lambda g(X, Y) + \frac{1}{2} g((S\phi - \phi S) X, Y).$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.29)

Putting $X = Y = \xi$ gives $\lambda = 0$ since $g(AN, \xi) = 0$. Therefore the previous formula with $X = \xi$ yields

$$(\phi S)^2 \xi = \frac{1}{2} \phi S\xi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.30)

Using (6.27) we get $\phi S\xi = 0$, which shows $S\xi = \alpha \xi$ with $\alpha = g(S\xi, \xi)$. \hfill \Box

Moreover, by (6.28) we have

$$\text{Ric}^*(X) = \frac{1}{2} (S\phi - \phi S) X.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.30)

Thus by a straightforward computation we find $\phi \circ \text{Ric}^* + \text{Ric}^* \circ \phi = 0$ since the relation $\phi^2 S = S\phi^2$ holds by Proposition 6.1. Namely the following result holds.

**Proposition 6.2.** Let $M$ be a real hypersurface in $Q^m$, $m \geq 3$, admitting a star-Ricci soliton with potential vector field $\xi$, then the star-Ricci tensor is anti-commuting.

Next we will compute the covariant derivative of $\phi \circ \text{Ric}^* + \text{Ric}^* \circ \phi = 0$. First of all, by (6.30) and (3.6), we compute

$$(\nabla_X \text{Ric}^*)(Y) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\nabla_X S)\phi Y + S(\nabla_X \phi) Y - (\nabla_X \phi) SY - \phi(\nabla_X S) Y \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\nabla_X S)\phi Y + \eta(Y) S^2 X - \alpha g(SX, Y) \xi$$

$$- \alpha \eta(Y) SX + g(SX, SY) \xi - \phi(\nabla_X S) Y \right\}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (6.31)

Now differentiating $\phi \circ \text{Ric}^* + \text{Ric}^* \circ \phi = 0$ covariantly gives

$$0 = (\nabla_X \phi) \text{Ric}^*(Y) + \phi(\nabla_X \text{Ric}^*) Y + (\nabla_X \text{Ric}^*) \phi Y + \text{Ric}^*(\nabla_X \phi) Y$$

$$= - g(SX, \text{Ric}^*(Y)) \xi + \phi(\nabla_X \text{Ric}^*) Y + (\nabla_X \text{Ric}^*) \phi Y + \eta(Y) \text{Ric}^*(SX)$$

$$= - \frac{1}{2} g(SX, S\phi Y - \phi SY) \xi + \phi(\nabla_X \text{Ric}^*) Y + (\nabla_X \text{Ric}^*) \phi Y$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \eta(Y)(S\phi SX - \phi S^2 X).$$
Applying (6.31) in the above formula, we get

$$0 = g(SX, \phi SY)\xi + \left\{ -\alpha\eta(Y)\phi SX + g((\nabla_X S)Y, \xi)\xi \right\} + \left\{ \eta(Y)(\nabla_X S)\xi - \alpha g(SX, \phi Y)\xi \right\} + \eta(Y) \phi SX$$

$$= g(SX, \phi SY)\xi - \alpha\eta(Y)\phi SX + \left\{ g((Y, X(a))\xi + \alpha\phi SX - S\phi SX)\xi \right\}$$

$$+ \eta(Y)\left\{ X(a)\xi + \alpha\phi SX - S\phi SX \right\} - \alpha g(SX, \phi Y)\xi + \eta(Y)S\phi SX$$

$$= 2g(SX, \phi SY)\xi + 2\eta(Y)X(a)\xi - 2\alpha g(SX, \phi Y)\xi,$$

i.e.

$$g(SX, \phi SY) + \eta(Y)X(a) - \alpha g(SX, \phi Y) = 0. \quad (6.32)$$

From this we know $X(a) = 0$ by taking $Y = \xi$, i.e. $\alpha$ is constant. Hence formula (6.32) becomes

$$g(SX, \phi SY) = \alpha g(SX, \phi Y).$$

Now interchanging $X$ and $Y$ and comparing the resulting equation with the previous equation, we have $\alpha(\phi S - \phi S)X = 0$, which shows that either $\alpha = 0$ or $\phi S = S\phi$. Namely the following lemma has been proved.

**Lemma 6.3.** Let $M$ be a real hypersurface in $Q^m, m \geq 3$, admitting a star-Ricci soliton with potential vector field $\xi$, then either the Reeb flow is isometric, or $\alpha = 0$.

If the Reeb flow of $M$ is isometric, Berndt and Suh proved the following conclusion:

**Theorem 6.4 ([2]).** Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of the complex quadric $Q^m, m \geq 3$. The Reeb flow on $M$ is isometric if and only if $m$ is even, say $m = 2k$, and $M$ is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $CP^k \subset Q^{2k}$.

In the following we set $\alpha = 0$, it follows from (6.32) that

$$S\phi SX = 0, \quad \text{for all} \quad X \in TM. \quad (6.33)$$

And it is easy to show that the normal vector $N$ is either $\Omega$-principal or $\Omega$-isotropic from (3.14). In the following let us consider these two cases.

**Case I:** $N$ is $\Omega$-principal, that is, $AN = N$. We follow from (3.15) that

$$S\phi SX = \phi X.$$

By comparing with (6.33) we find $\phi X = 0$, which is impossible.

**Case II:** $N$ is $\Omega$-isotropic. Using (6.33), we derive from (3.15)

$$g(\phi X, Y) = g(X, AN)g(Y, A\xi) - g(Y, AN)g(X, A\xi). \quad (6.34)$$

Using (6.33) again, we learn (6.29) becomes

$$-2(m - 1)g(\phi^2 X, Y) - 2g(N, AX)g(AY, N)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}g((S\phi - \phi S)X, Y).$$

Moreover, replacing $Y$ by $\phi Y$ gives

$$-2(m - 1)g(\phi X, Y) + 2g(N, AX)g(Y, A\xi)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}g((S\phi - \phi S)X, \phi Y). \quad (6.35)$$
Here we have used \( g(A\phi Y, N) = -g(Y, A\xi) \), which follows from (3.19) and (5.22).

By interchanging \( Y \) and \( X \) in the formula (6.35) and applying the resulting equation to subtract this equation, we get

\[
2g(N, AX)g(Y, A\xi) - 2g(N, AY)g(X, A\xi) = 1
\]

Combining this with (6.34) we get \((m - 3)\phi X = 0\), which is a contradiction if \( m \geq 4 \). Hence we complete the proof of Theorem 1.6.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for the valuable comments on this paper.

References
