

The diachromatic number of digraphs *

Gabriela Araujo-Pardo[†] Juan José Montellano-Ballesteros[†] Mika Olsen[‡]
Christian Rubio-Montiel[§]

December 26, 2021

Abstract

We consider the extension to directed graphs of the concept of achromatic number in terms of acyclic vertex colorings. The achromatic number have been intensely studied since it was introduced by Harary, Hedetniemi and Prins in 1967. The dichromatic number is a generalization of the chromatic number for digraphs defined by Neumann-Lara in 1982. A coloring of a digraph is an acyclic coloring if each subdigraph induced by each chromatic class is acyclic, and a coloring is complete if for any pair of chromatic classes x, y , there is an arc from x to y and an arc from y to x . The dichromatic and diachromatic numbers are, respectively, the smallest and the largest number of colors in a complete acyclic coloring. We give some general results for the diachromatic number and study it for tournaments. We also show that the interpolation property for complete acyclic colorings does hold and establish Nordhaus-Gaddum relations.

Keywords. Achromatic number, complete coloring, directed graph, elementary homomorphism.

1 Introduction

A complete coloring of an undirected graph G is a vertex coloring of G such that for every pair of colors there is at least one edge in G whose endpoints are colored with this pair of colors. The chromatic and achromatic numbers of G are the smallest and the largest number of colors in a complete proper coloring of G , respectively. The concept of achromatic number have been intensely studied in graphs since it was introduced by Harary, Hedetniemi and Prins [14] in 1967, for more references of results related to this parameter see for instance [2, 3, 1, 5, 8, 15, 26]. The achromatic number has been extended to digraphs with two different colorings one by Edwards [11] and another by Sopena [24]. Edwards considered colorings such that the underlying graph is proper colored and the completeness such that for each ordered pair (c, c') of distinct colors, there is at least one arc xy such that x has color c and y has color c' , and he proved that a directed graph does not necessarily have a complete coloring and that determining whether one exists is an NP-complete problem. Sopena proposed another extension of the achromatic number, the oriented achromatic number, using the oriented chromatic number defining a completeness that corresponds to complete homomorphisms of oriented graphs. He proved that for every integers a and b with $2 \leq a \leq b$, there exists an oriented graph $G_{a,b}$ with oriented chromatic number a and oriented achromatic number b . He also studied the behavior of the oriented achromatic number adding or deleting a vertex or an arc. In both extensions of the achromatic number for digraphs, it is proven that the interpolation property does not

*Research supported by CONACyT-México under Project 282280 and PAPIIT-México under Project IN104915 and IN107218.

[†]Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico. garaujo|juancho@math.unam.mx.

[‡]Departamento de Matemáticas Aplicadas y Sistemas, UAM-Cuajimalpa, Mexico City, Mexico. olsen.mika@gmail.com.

[§]División de Matemáticas e Ingeniería, FES Acatlán, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Naucalpan, Mexico. christian.rubio@apolo.acatlan.unam.mx.

hold. In this paper, we propose yet another extension of the achromatic number to digraphs in terms of acyclic colorings. We stress that with our extension, the interpolation property does hold.

A *vertex coloring* of a digraph D is called *acyclic* if each chromatic class induces a subdigraph with no directed cycles. The *dichromatic number* $dc(D)$ of a digraph D is the smallest k such that D admits an acyclic coloring, it was introduced by Neumann-Lara in [20] as a generalization of the chromatic number, for more references of results related to this parameter see for instance [4, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22]. A coloring of a digraph D is called *complete* if for every ordered pair (i, j) of different colors there is at least one arc (u, v) such that u has color i and v has color j [11]. It is not hard to see that any acyclic coloring of D with $dc(D)$ colors is a complete coloring. We define the *diachromatic number* $dac(D)$ of a digraph D as the largest number of colors for which there exists a complete and acyclic coloring of D . Hence, the dichromatic and diachromatic numbers of a digraph D are, respectively, the smallest and the largest number of colors in a complete acyclic coloring of D . The *pseudoachromatic number* $\psi(D)$ of a digraph D is the largest number k for which there exists a complete coloring of D using k colors (see [11, 13]).

Since the dichromatic number of a symmetric digraph is equal to the chromatic number of the underlying graph, the diachromatic number of a symmetric digraph is equal to the achromatic number of the underlying graph; and the pseudoachromatic number of a symmetric digraph is equal to the pseudoachromatic number of the underlying graph, the dichromatic number, the diachromatic number and the pseudoachromatic number of a digraph generalizes the chromatic number, the achromatic number and the pseudoachromatic number of a graph; recall that the *underlying graph* G_D of a digraph D is obtained from D changing all symmetric and asymmetric arcs by edges. Note that the chromatic number of the underlying graph is an upper bound for the dichromatic number of a digraph and the pseudoachromatic number of a digraph is a lower bound for the pseudoachromatic number of the underlying graph, but this kind of relation can not be established between the diachromatic and the achromatic numbers.

This paper is organized in six sections: the second section contains general results for the diachromatic number of a digraph, in the third section we study the diachromatic number of tournaments; in the four and five sections we generalize some results on graphs, given in Chapter 12 of [10], for digraphs; also in four section, we define the concept of dihomomorphisms and show that the interpolation property does hold for complete acyclic colorings; and five section establishes the Nordhaus-Gaddum relations. Finally, the last section has some conclusions and future work.

2 Definitions and basic results

In this paper, we consider finite digraphs. The arc $uv \in A(D)$ is symmetric if $vu \in A(D)$ and asymmetric if $vu \notin A(D)$. A digraph is symmetric (resp. asymmetric) if every arc of D is symmetric (resp. asymmetric); for general concepts see [9]. Let k be a natural number. A *vertex coloring* ζ of a digraph D with k colors is a surjective function that assigns to each vertex of D a color of $[k] := \{1, \dots, k\}$. For each $i \in [k]$, the set $\zeta^{-1}(i) \subseteq V(D)$ will be called *chromatic class*. The coloring ζ of D with k colors is called *acyclic* if no chromatic class induces a subdigraph with a directed cycle. An acyclic coloring with k colors is denoted (for short) as k -coloring. The *dichromatic number* $dc(D)$ of a digraph D is the smallest k such that D admits an acyclic coloring [20]. An acyclic coloring of a digraph D with k colors is called *complete* if for every ordered pair (i, j) of different colors there is at least one arc (u, v) such that u has color i and v has color j [11]. It is not hard to see that any $dc(D)$ -coloring of D is a complete coloring. We define the *diachromatic number* $dac(D)$ of a digraph D as the largest number k for which there exists a complete and acyclic k -coloring of D .

From the definition, we obtain the following for any digraph D of order n :

$$1 \leq dc(D) \leq dac(D) \leq \psi(D) \leq n. \quad (1)$$

The *converse digraph* D^{op} of D is obtained replacing each arc (u, v) of D by the arc (v, u) . The complement D^c of a digraph D is that digraph whose vertex set is $V(D)$ and where (u, v) is an arc of D if and only if (u, v) is not an arc of D . It is not difficult to prove the following remark.

Remark 1. If G is a graph, and \vec{G} is an orientation of G we have that

$$dc(\vec{G}) = dc(\vec{G}^{op}), dac(\vec{G}) = dac(\vec{G}^{op}), \text{ and } \psi(\vec{G}) = \psi(\vec{G}^{op}).$$

The following theorem gives a rather simple (and sharp) bound for the diachromatic number of a digraph in terms of its size.

Theorem 2. Let D be a digraph of size m . Then $\psi(D) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4m}}{2} \right\rfloor$. Moreover, if \vec{M} is an oriented matching of size m , then $dac(\vec{M}) = \left\lfloor \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4m}}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Proof. Since $m \geq 2 \binom{\psi}{2} = \psi(D)(\psi(D) - 1)$, we obtain $\psi(D) \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4m}}{2}$ and the result follows. Let \vec{M} be an oriented matching of size m . We exhibit a k -complete and acyclic coloring of \vec{M} for $m = k(k-1)$ and $k \geq 1$. Let $A(\vec{M}) = \{(x_i, y_i) : i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ and $Y_j := \{y_i \in V(\vec{M}) : (j-1)(k-1) + 1 \leq i \leq j(k-1)\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Notice that the coloring $\phi_i : Y_j \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, k\}$ that assign different colors to different elements of Y_j is bijective because Y_j has exactly $k-1$ elements.

We define $\phi : V(\vec{M}) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ as $\phi(x_i) = l$ and $\phi(y_i) = \phi_i(y_i)$ for $(l-1)(k-1) + 1 \leq i \leq l(k-1)$ and $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. The k -coloring is complete because for any pair of different colors a and b all the vertices x_i for $(a-1)(k-1) + 1 \leq i \leq a(k-1)$ satisfies that $\phi(x_i) = a$ and, by construction, always exists exactly one vertex in Y_a of color b . Furthermore, if $m \geq k(k-1)$ and $m' = k(k-1)$, we can repeat some colors used previously on the vertices $\{x_i, y_i\}$ for $i \in \{m'+1, \dots, m\}$ preserving the property of ϕ .

Finally, it is not difficult to prove that if $k = \left\lfloor \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4m}}{2} \right\rfloor$ then $m \geq k(k-1)$ and we have a $\left\lfloor \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4m}}{2} \right\rfloor$ -complete and acyclic coloring of \vec{M} . By the upper bound given in this theorem, we complete the proof. \square

The following theorems give some results related to the diachromatic number of digraphs in terms of their dichromatic number and structural properties.

Theorem 3. For every asymmetric digraph D of order n , $dac(D) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$.

Proof. Since every complete coloring of D has at most one chromatic class of cardinality 1, the result follows. \square

Since the dichromatic number of a non acyclic digraph is at least 2, we obtain the following corollary, which is a generalization of a theorem given by Shaoji Xu [25]. It establishes an upper bound for $dac(D) - dc(D)$ in terms of the order of D .

Corollary 4. For every non acyclic digraph D of order n ,

$$dac(D) - dc(D) \leq \frac{n-3}{2}.$$

Let D be a digraph of order n whose n vertices are listed in some specified order. In a *greedy coloring* of D , the vertices are successively colored with positive integers according to an algorithm that assigns to the vertex under consideration the smallest available color. Hence, if the vertices of D are listed in the order v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n , then the resulting greedy coloring ς assigns the color 1 to v_1 , that is, $\varsigma(v_1) = 1$. If v_1 and v_2 are not a 2-cycle, then assign $\varsigma(v_2) = 1$, else $\varsigma(v_2) = 2$. In general suppose that the first j vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j , where $1 \leq j < n$, in the sequence have been colored with the colors $1, \dots, t-1$. Let $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^{t-1}$ be the set of chromatic classes. Consider the vertex v_{j+1} , if there exists a chromatic class C_i for which, either $N^+(v_{j+1}) \cap C_i = \emptyset$ or $N^-(v_{j+1}) \cap C_i = \emptyset$, then $\varsigma(v_{j+1}) = i$, else $\varsigma(v_{j+1}) = t$. When the algorithm ends, the vertices of D have been assigned colors from the set $[k]$ for some positive integer k . Thus,

$$dc(D) \leq k \leq dac(D)$$

and so k is an upper bound for the dichromatic number of D and a lower bound for the diachromatic number of D .

It is useful to know how the diachromatic number of a digraph can be affected by the removal of a single vertex.

Theorem 5. For each vertex u in a nontrivial digraph D ,

$$dac(D) - 1 \leq dac(D - u) \leq dac(D).$$

Proof. Let $dac(D) = l$, and let ζ be a complete l -coloring of D where the set of vertices colored l is U and suppose that $u \in U$. Therefore, the partial l -coloring of ζ of D restricted to $D - U$ is a complete $(l - 1)$ -coloring. We can obtain a complete coloring using a greedy coloration for the remaining vertices $x \in U - u$. Hence, $dac(D - u) \geq l - 1 = dac(D) - 1$.

Let $dac(D - u) = k$, and consider a complete k -coloring of $D - u$. We can obtain a complete coloring of D using a greedy coloration for u . Therefore, $dac(D) \geq k = dac(D - u)$. \square

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.

Corollary 6. For every induced subdigraph H of a digraph D ,

$$dac(H) \leq dac(D).$$

Corollary 7. Every digraph D with a vertex partition (X, Y) , such that for every $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, $(x, y) \in A(D)$ has

$$dac(D) \geq \min\{|X|, |Y|\}.$$

Proof. Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$. Suppose that $r \leq s$ and color the vertices x_i and y_i with i if $i \in [r]$. The digraph $D' = D[X \cup \{y_i\}_{i \leq r}]$ is an induced digraph of D with diachromatic number r . By Corollary 6, $dac(D) \geq dac(D') = \min\{|X|, |Y|\}$. \square

By Theorem 5, the removal of a single vertex from a digraph D can result in a digraph whose diachromatic number is either one less than or is the same as the diachromatic number of D ; whereas there exist three possibilities when a single edge is removed.

Theorem 8. For each arc $f = (u, v)$ in a nonempty digraph D ,

$$dac(D) - 1 \leq dac(D - f) \leq dac(D) + 1.$$

Proof. Let $dac(D) = k$, then there exists a complete k -coloring of D , where the colors assigned to u and v are the same or distinct. If u and v have the same color assigned, the complete k -coloring of D is also a complete k -coloring of $D - f$. Hence, $dac(D - f) \geq dac(D) > dac(D) - 1$ then $dac(D - f) \geq dac(D) - 1$. Assume that u and v have different colors, say u is colored $k - 1$ and v is colored k . If the resulting k -coloring of $D - f$ is not a complete k -coloring, then no directed cycle in $D - f$ is bicolored with the colors k and $k - 1$. Hence, every vertex colored k may be recolored $k - 1$, resulting in a complete $(k - 1)$ -coloring of $D - f$. In any case, $dac(D - f) \geq k - 1 = dac(D) - 1$.

Let $dac(D - f) = l$, then there exists a complete l -coloring of $D - f$. The vertices u and v are either assigned distinct colors or the same color. If u and v are assigned distinct colors, then the complete l -coloring of $D - f$ is also a complete l -coloring of D . Hence, we may assume that u and v are assigned the same color, say l . The complete l -coloring of $D - f$ is also a complete coloring of D with l colors. If the set of vertices colored l is acyclic in D , then the complete l -coloring of $D - f$ is also a complete l -coloring of D . Assume that the set of vertices colored l has monochromatic directed cycles in D , where f is an arc of any monochromatic cycle. If there is a chromatic class C_j , for some $j \in [l - 1]$, such that $u \cup C_j$ (resp. $v \cup C_j$) induces an acyclic digraph, then by recoloring the vertex u (v resp.) with the color j , we obtain an acyclic complete coloring using a greedy coloration for the remaining vertices x colored l . Assume that for each $i \in [l - 1]$ the vertex set $C_i \cup u$ y $C_i \cup v$ contains a directed cycle, then recoloring v with the color $l + 1$. The resulting coloring is an acyclic complete $(l + 1)$ -coloring of D . In any case, $dac(D) \geq l - 1 = dac(D - f) - 1$. \square

A digraph D is k -minimal (with respect to diachromatic number) if $dac(D) = k$ and $dac(D - f) < k$ for every arc f of D . By Theorem 8, if D is a k -minimal digraph, then $dac(D - f) = dac(D) - 1$. Since k -minimal digraphs have diachromatic number k , the size of every such graph is at least $k(k - 1)$ and every chromatic

class induces an arc-less digraph, else if f is an arc of an induced subdigraph of a chromatic class, the complete k -coloring of D is also a complete k -coloring of $D - f$. Hence, $dac(D - f) \geq dac(D) > dac(D) - 1$, contradicting that D is a k -minimal digraph. The following theorem is a generalization of a result given by Bhawe [7] characterized graphs that are k -minimal in terms of their size.

Theorem 9. *Let D be a digraph with diachromatic number k . Then D is k -minimal if and only if its size is $k(k - 1)$.*

Proof. Assume first that the size of D is $k(k - 1)$. Then for every arc f of D , the size of $D - f$ is $k(k - 1) - 1$. Since the size of $D - f$ is less than $k(k - 1)$, it follows that $dac(D - f) < k = dac(D)$ and that D is k -minimal. We now verify the converse. Assume, to the contrary, that there is a k -minimal graph H whose size m is not $k(k - 1)$. Since $dac(H) = k$, it follows that $m \geq k(k - 1)$. Since $m \neq k(k - 1)$, it follows that $m \geq k(k - 1) + 1$. Let ϕ be a complete k -coloring of H . For every two distinct colors $i, j \in [k]$, there exist an arc such that its vertices are colored i and j . Since there are only $\binom{k}{2}$ pairs of two distinct colors from the set $[k]$ and two arc for each pair, there are two distinct arc $f = (u, v)$ and $f' = (u', v')$ such that $\phi(u) = \phi(u')$ and $\phi(v) = \phi(v')$, then ϕ is also a complete k -coloring of $H - f$, contradicting the assumption that H is k -minimal. \square

3 Tournaments

A *tournament* T of order n is an orientation of the complete graph K_n . An acyclic tournament T is *transitive*, the vertex set $V(T) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of a transitive tournament has a unique (acyclic) order (v_1, \dots, v_n) , where $N^-(v_1) = \emptyset$ and $N^-(v_i) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}$ for $1 < i \leq n$.

Let $T = (V, A)$ be a tournament. A transitive subtournament $T' = (V', A')$ of T is *discordant* if for every $x \in V \setminus V'$ there is a pair $\{z, w\} \subseteq V'$ such that $\{xz, wx\} \subseteq A$. Let $\Xi_2(T)$ be the minimum order of a discordant subtournament of T .

Lemma 10. *Let T be a tournament of order $n \geq 3$. Then*

$$\Xi_2(T) \leq 2 \log_2 \left(\frac{2n + 2}{3} \right).$$

Proof. For every $xy \in A$ let $C_3(xy)$ be the number of directed triangles of T with xy as an arc, and $TT^*(xy)$ be the number of transitive triangles C of T such that x is the source and y is the sink of C . Let $x_0y_0 \in A$ such that $C_3(x_0y_0) + TT^*(x_0y_0)$ is maximum.

Claim 1 $C_3(x_0y_0) + TT^*(x_0y_0) \geq \frac{n-2}{3}$.

Let $C_3(T)$ and $TT_3(T)$ be the number of directed triangles and transitive triangles in T , respectively. Observe that

$$\sum_{xy \in A} (C_3(xy) + TT^*(xy)) = 3C_3(T) + TT_3(T) = \binom{n}{3} + 2C_3(T),$$

by an average argument there exists $zw \in A$ such that

$$C_3(zw) + TT^*(zw) \geq \frac{\binom{n}{3} + 2C_3(T)}{\binom{n}{2}} \geq \frac{n-2}{3} + \frac{2C_3(T)}{\binom{n}{2}}$$

and the claim follows.

Let $B^+ = \{z \in V : \{x_0z, y_0z\} \subseteq A\}$ and $B^- = \{z \in V : \{zx_0, zy_0\} \subseteq A\}$. By definition, it follows that $|B^+| + |B^-| = n - 2 - C_3(x_0y_0) - TT^*(x_0y_0)$.

Let $B_0^+ = B^+$ and let $z_0 \in B_0^+$ be a vertex with maximum in-degree in $T[B_0^+]$. For each $i \geq 1$ let $B_i^+ = B_{i-1}^+ \setminus N^-[z_{i-1}]$ and $z_i \in B_i^+$ be a vertex with maximum in-degree in $T[B_i^+]$. Let j be the minimum integer such that $B_{j+1}^+ = \emptyset$. Observe that $j \leq \log_2(|B^+| + 1) - 1$ and that $V' = \{z_j, z_{j-1}, \dots, z_0, x_0, y_0\}$ induces a transitive subtournament of T such that for every $w \in V \setminus (B^- \cup V')$ there is a pair $\{x, y\} \subseteq V'$ such that $\{xw, wy\} \subseteq A$.

Let $B^* = \{w \in B^- : \{wz_j, wz_{j-1}, \dots, wz_0, wx_0, wy_0\} \subseteq A\}$, $B_0^- = B^*$ and $w_0 \in B_0^-$ be a vertex with maximum out-degree in $T[B_0^-]$. As before, for each $i \geq 1$ let $B_i^- = B_{i-1}^- \setminus N^+[w_{i-1}]$ and $w_i \in B_i^-$ be a vertex with maximum out-degree in $T[B_i^-]$. Let q be the minimum integer such that $B_{q+1}^- = \emptyset$. Observe that $q \leq \log_2(|B^*| + 1) - 1 \leq \log_2(|B^-| + 1) - 1$ and that $V' = \{z_j, z_{j-1}, \dots, z_0, x_0, y_0, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_q\}$ induces a discordant subtournament of T of order

$$\begin{aligned} 2 + \log_2(|B^+| + 1) + \log_2(|B^-| + 1) &= 2 + \log_2((|B^+| + 1)(|B^-| + 1)) \\ &= \log_2(4(|B^+| + 1)(|B^-| + 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|B^+| + |B^-| = n - 2 - C_3(x_0y_0) - TT^*(x_0y_0)$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \log_2(4(|B^+| + 1)(|B^-| + 1)) &\leq \log_2\left(4\left(\frac{n - C_3(x_0y_0) - TT^*(x_0y_0)}{2}\right)^2\right) \\ &= 2\log_2(n - C_3(x_0y_0) - TT^*(x_0y_0)). \end{aligned}$$

From here, and by Claim 1, the result follows. \square

Theorem 11. *Let T be a tournament of order $n \geq 3$. Hence,*

$$\frac{n}{2\log_2\left(\frac{2n+2}{3}\right)} \leq \text{dac}(T) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Proof. Given any complete vertex coloring Γ of T , it follows that there is no pair of colors i, j such that $|\Gamma^{-1}(i)| = |\Gamma^{-1}(j)| = 1$, otherwise either there is no $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(j)$ -arc or there is no $\Gamma(j)\Gamma(i)$ -arc. Thus, except for at most one color, every color appear at least twice in V . Therefore, $\text{dac}(T) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. For the lower bound, let $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ be a partition of V such that, for every $i \in [k-1]$, $T[V_i]$ is a discordant subtournament of $T[V \setminus \bigcup_{j \in [i-1]} V_j]$, and k is maximum. Let $\Gamma : V \rightarrow [k]$ be the vertex coloring of T such that $\Gamma(x) = j$ if and

only if $x \in V_j$. On the one hand, for every pair $i, j \in [k]$, if $i < j$, since V_i is a discordant subtournament of $T[V \setminus \bigcup_{j \in [i-1]} V_j]$, it follows that in T there are V_iV_j -arcs and V_jV_i -arcs, and therefore Γ is a complete coloring

of T . On the other hand, by Lemma 10, there is a partition $\{V'_1, \dots, V'_{k'}\}$ of V such that for every $i \in [k'-1]$, $T[V'_i]$ is a discordant subtournament of $T[V \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} V'_j]$, with $|V'_i| \leq 2\log_2\left(\frac{2\left(n - \sum_{j \in [i-1]} |V'_j| + 2\right)}{3}\right) \leq 2\log_2\left(\frac{2n+2}{3}\right)$,

and $|V'_{k'}| \leq 2$. Since $2 \leq 2\log_2\left(\frac{2n+2}{3}\right)$,

$$\text{dac}(T) \geq k \geq k' \geq \frac{n}{2\log_2\left(\frac{2n+2}{3}\right)}$$

and the result follows. \square

Let \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1} be the cyclic group of integers modulo $2m+1$ ($m \geq 1$) and J a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{2m+1} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $|\{-j, j\} \cap J| = 1$ for every $j \in J$. The *circulant tournament* $\vec{C}_{2m+1}(J)$ has vertex-set $V(\vec{C}_{2m+1}(J)) = \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}$ and arc-set $A(\vec{C}_{2m+1}(J)) = \{(i, j) : i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1} \text{ and } j - i \in J\}$.

Corollary 12. *Let T be a circulant tournament or a transitive tournament of order n . Then*

$$\text{dac}(T) = \psi(T) = \lceil n/2 \rceil.$$

Proof. Let $\vec{C}_n(J)$ be a circulant tournament, with $n = 2m+1$, the coloring $\zeta(0) = 0$ and $\zeta(i) = \zeta(2m+1-i) = i$ for $i \in [m]$ defines a complete $m+1$ -coloring of $\vec{C}_{2m+1}(J)$ and $m+1 = \lceil 2m+1/2 \rceil = \lceil n/2 \rceil$. For a transitive tournament T of order n with the acyclic order of its vertex set (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) , the coloring $\zeta(v_i) = \zeta(v_{n+1-i}) = i$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil\}$ defines a complete $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ -coloring of T . The upper bound in Theorem 11 completes the proof. \square

Corollary 13. *If T is a tournament of order n , then $n/2 \leq dc(T) \leq dac(T)$.*

Proof. Let ς be a $dc(T)$ -coloring and x the order of the largest chromatic class i , therefore, $n \leq xdc(T)$. By Corollaries 6 and 12, we obtain $\frac{x}{2} \leq dac(T)$ because the subdigraph induced by $\varsigma^{-1}(i)$ is a transitive tournament. \square

Recall that a digraph is *strongly connected* if for every pair of vertices u and v there exist a directed $u-v$ walk. The *strongly components* of a digraph D form a partition \sim into subdigraphs that are themselves strongly connected. Such partition induces the digraph $\tilde{D} := D/\sim$.

Theorem 14. [9] *If T is a tournament with (exactly) k strong components, then \tilde{T} is the transitive tournament of order k .*

Corollary 15. *If T is a tournament with (exactly) k strong components, then $\lceil k/2 \rceil \leq dac(T)$.*

Proposition 16. *Every digraph D that admits two vertex disjoint transitive tournaments T_r of order r and T_s of order s has $dac(D) \geq \min\{r, s\} + \lfloor \frac{s-r}{2} \rfloor$.*

Proof. Let $V(T_r) = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ and $V(T_s) = \{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$. Suppose that $r \leq s$ and let $k = \lfloor \frac{s-r}{2} \rfloor$. Color the vertices x_i and $y_{k+r+1-i}$ with i if $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, color the vertices $\{y_{k+i}, y_{k+r+1-i}\}$ with i if $i \in \{r+1, \dots, r+k\}$ and color the remaining vertices using a greedy coloration. The coloring is complete since for the pair of distinct colors (i, j) , with $i, j \leq r$, there are at least an arc (x_i, x_j) or (y_i, y_j) such that x_i or y_i has the color i and x_j or y_j has the color j ; and for each integer j , with $r < j \leq r+k$ and $i < j$ there are at least the arcs $(y_{i'}, y_{k+j})$ and $(y_{k+r+1-j}, y_{i'})$ such that $y_{i'}$ has the color i and the vertices y_{k+j} and $y_{k+r+1-j}$ have the color j . \square

Theorem 17. *If T is a tournament of order n , then $\sqrt{n} - 1/2 \leq dac(T)$.*

Proof. Let ς be a $dac(T)$ -coloring and let x be the order of the largest chromatic class i . Since the subdigraph induced by $\varsigma^{-1}(i)$ is a transitive tournament, by Corollary 12 we obtain $\frac{x}{2} \leq dac(T)$, and $-x \geq -2dac(T)$. By Proposition 16, $dac(T) \geq y$ where y is the order of the second largest chromatic class j . Since $y \geq \frac{n-x}{dac(T)-1}$, we obtain

$$dac(T)(dac(T) - 1) \geq n - x \geq n - 2dac(T).$$

Finally, we solve $dac(T)^2 + dac(T) - n \geq 0$ obtaining $dac(T) \geq \frac{\sqrt{1+4n}-1}{2}$ and the result follows. \square

Let F be a digraph. The digraph D is *F-free* if D has no subdigraph isomorphic to F . A tournament F is a *hero* if and only if there exists $c > 0$ such that every F -free tournament D has a transitive subset of cardinality at least $c|V(D)|$, see [6]. Let us say a tournament F is a *hero*, if there exists c' (depending on F) such that every F -free tournament has dichromatic number at most c' .

Corollary 18. *If T is a hero then $dac(T) \in \theta(n)$.*

In the following sections, we generalize some results on graphs, given in Chapter 12 of [10], for digraphs; in Section 4, we define the concept of dihomomorphisms and show that the interpolation property does hold for complete acyclic colorings; and in Section 5, we establish the Nordhaus-Gaddum relations.

4 The interpolation theorem

Recall that two vertices are adjacent if they are the vertices of a 2-cycle. An *elementary dihomomorphism* of a digraph D is obtained by identifying two nonadjacent vertices u and v of D . The vertex obtained by identifying u and v may be denoted by either u or v . Thus the resulting dihomomorphic image D' can be considered to have vertex set $V(D) \setminus \{u\}$ and arc set

$$\begin{aligned} A(D') &= \{(x, y) : (x, y) \in A(D), x, y \in V(D) \setminus \{u\}\} \\ &\cup \{(v, x) : (u, x) \in A(D), x \in V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}\} \\ &\cup \{(x, v) : (x, u) \in A(D), x \in V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, the mapping $\epsilon: V(D) \rightarrow V(D')$ defined by

$$\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}; \\ v & \text{if } x \in \{u, v\}; \end{cases}$$

is an elementary dihomomorphism from D to D' . The dihomomorphic image $\epsilon(D)$ of a digraph D obtained from an elementary dihomomorphism ϵ is also referred to as an elementary dihomomorphic image. Not only is D' a dihomomorphic image of D , a digraph F is a dihomomorphic image of a digraph D if and only if F can be obtained by a sequence of elementary dihomomorphisms beginning with D .

The fact that each dihomomorphic image of a digraph D can be obtained from D by a sequence of elementary dihomomorphisms tells us that we can obtain each dihomomorphic image of D by an appropriate partition

$$P = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}$$

of $V(D)$ into acyclic sets such that $V(F) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$, where v_i is adjacent to v_j if and only if some vertices u and u' in V_i and some vertices v and v' in V_j are arcs (u, v) and (v', u) of D . The partition P of $V(D)$ then corresponds to the coloring ζ of D in which each vertex in V_i is assigned the color i ($1 \leq i \leq k$). In particular, if the coloring ζ is a complete k -coloring, then F is the complete symmetric digraph of order k .

Therefore, if a digraph F is a dihomomorphic image of a digraph D , then there is a dihomomorphism ϕ from D to F and for each vertex v in F , the set $\phi^{-1}(v)$ of those vertices of D having v as their image is acyclic in D . Consequently, each coloring of F gives rise to a coloring of D by assigning to each vertex of D in $\phi^{-1}(v)$ the color that is assigned to v in F . For this reason, the digraph D is said to be F -colorable. This provide us the following remark:

Remark 19. *If F is a dihomomorphic image of a digraph D , then*

$$dc(D) \leq dc(F).$$

Theorem 20. *Let ϵ be an elementary dihomomorphism of a digraph D , then*

$$dc(D) \leq dc(\epsilon(D)) \leq dc(D) + 1.$$

Moreover, $dc(\epsilon(D)) = dc(D)$ if and only if there exists a $dc(D)$ -coloring of D in which the identified vertex share the color.

Proof. Suppose that ϵ identifies the nonadjacent vertices u and v of D . We have already noted the inequality $dc(D) \leq dc(\epsilon(D))$. Let $dc(D) = k$ and consider a k -coloring ζ of D . Define a coloring ζ' of $\epsilon(D)$ by

$$\zeta'(x) = \begin{cases} \zeta(x) & \text{if } x \in V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}; \\ k + 1 & \text{if } x \in \{u, v\}. \end{cases}$$

Since ζ' is a $(k + 1)$ -coloring of $\epsilon(D)$,

$$dc(\epsilon(D)) \leq k + 1 = dc(D) + 1.$$

Clearly, if there exists a $dc(D)$ -coloring of D in which u and v are assigned the same color, we have that:

$$\zeta'(x) = \begin{cases} \zeta(x) & \text{if } x \in V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}; \\ \zeta(u) = \zeta(v) & \text{if } x \in \{u, v\}; \end{cases}$$

Conversely, if $dc(\epsilon(D)) = dc(D) = k$. Let ζ' be a k -coloring of $\epsilon(D)$, then the coloring of D that assign to $\epsilon^{-1}(x)$ the color of x is a coloring with the required property. \square

Beginning with a noncomplete digraph D , we can always perform a sequence of elementary dihomomorphisms until arriving at some complete graph. As we saw, a complete graph K_k obtained in this manner corresponds to a complete k -coloring of D . Consequently, we have the following.

Corollary 21. *The largest order of a complete graph that is a dihomomorphic image of a digraph D is the diachromatic number of D .*

The following theorem is a generalization of *The Homomorphism Interpolation Theorem* due to Harary, Hedetniemi, and Prins [14] and is an immediate consequence of Theorem 20 (see also [10]).

Theorem 22. *Let D be a digraph. For every integer l with $dc(D) \leq l \leq dac(D)$ there is a dihomomorphic image F of D with $dc(F) = l$.*

Proof. The theorem is certainly true if $l = dc(D)$ or $l = dac(D)$. Hence, we may assume that $dc(D) < l < dac(D)$. Suppose that $dac(D) = k$. Then there is a sequence

$$D = D_0, D_1, \dots, D_t = K_k$$

of digraphs where $D_i = \epsilon_i(D_{i-1})$ for some elementary dihomomorphism ϵ_i of D_{i-1} for $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. Since $dc(D_0) < l < dc(D_t) = k$, there exists a largest integer j with $j \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$ such that $dc(D_j) < l$. Hence, $dc(D_{j+1}) \geq l$. By Theorem 20,

$$dc(D_{j+1}) \leq dc(D_j) + 1 < l + 1.$$

Hence, $dc(D_{j+1}) = l$. □

The Dihomomorphism Interpolation Theorem can be rephrased in terms of complete colorings, namely:
For a digraph D and an integer l , there exists a complete l -coloring of D if and only if $dc(D) \leq l \leq dac(D)$.
 We bound the diachromatic number of an elementary dihomomorphism of a digraph D in terms of $dac(D)$.

Theorem 23. *If ϵ is an elementary dihomomorphism of a digraph D , then*

$$dac(D) - 2 \leq dac(\epsilon(D)) \leq dac(D).$$

Moreover, for every noncomplete digraph D , there is an elementary dihomomorphism ϵ of D such that $dac(\epsilon(D)) = dac(D)$.

Proof. Let ϵ be an elementary dihomomorphism of a digraph D that identifies the two nonadjacent vertices u and v and let the vertex in $\epsilon(D)$ obtained by identifying u and v be denoted by v . Let $dac(\epsilon(D)) = k$ and consider a complete k -coloring ζ of $\epsilon(D)$. Assigning the vertices u and v in D the color $\zeta(v)$ in $\epsilon(D)$ produces a complete k -coloring of D , and $dac(D) \geq k = dac(\epsilon(D))$.

Let $dac(D) = l$. Then $dac(D - u - v) \geq l - 2$ by Theorem 5. Furthermore, $D - u - v$ is an induced subgraph of $\epsilon(D)$. By Corollary 6, $dac(\epsilon(D)) \geq dac(D - u - v) \geq l - 2$. Hence, $dac(\epsilon(D)) \geq dac(D) - 2$.

For every noncomplete digraph D , there is an elementary dihomomorphism ϵ of D such that $dac(\epsilon(D)) = dac(D)$.

Suppose that $dac(D) = k$. Hence, there exists a sequence

$$D = D_0, D_1, \dots, D_t = K_k$$

of digraphs, where $\epsilon_i(D_{i-1}) = D_i$ for an elementary dihomomorphism ϵ_i of D_{i-1} ($1 \leq i \leq t$). Thus,

$$k = dac(D_t) \leq dac(D_{t-1}) \leq \dots \leq dac(D_1) \leq dac(D) = k.$$

Therefore, $dac(D_i) = k$ for all $i \in [t]$ and the result follows. □

5 Nordhaus-Gaddum relations

The Nordhaus-Gaddum Theorems [13, 23] state

$$\chi(G) + \chi(G^c) \leq \alpha(G) + \chi(G^c) \leq n + 1$$

$$\chi(G)\chi(G^c) \leq \alpha(G)\chi(G^c) \leq \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2$$

for every graph G of order n . For digraphs, we have the following results:

We have seen that for every digraph D of order n (see Equation 1),

$$dc(D) \leq dac(D) \leq n.$$

With the aid of Theorem 20, we show that $dac(D)$ can never be closer to n than to $dc(D)$.

Theorem 24. *For every digraph D of order n ,*

$$dac(D) \leq \frac{dc(D) + n}{2}.$$

Proof. Let $dac(D) = k$. Then there is a sequence $D = D_0, D_1, \dots, D_t = K_k$ of graphs where $D_i = \epsilon_i(D_{i-1})$ for $1 \leq i \leq t = n - k$ (since $t + k = n$) and an elementary dihomomorphism ϵ_i of D_{i-1} . By Theorem 20, $dc(\epsilon_i(D_{i-1})) \leq dc(D_{i-1}) + 1$ and so $dc(D_i) \leq dc(D_{i-1}) + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^t dc(D_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^t (dc(D_{i-1}) + 1)$$

and so $k \leq dc(D) + t = dc(D) + (n - k)$. Hence, $2k = 2dac(D) \leq dc(D) + n$ and the result follows. \square

The following result is the analogue of Theorem 20 for complementary graphs.

Theorem 25. *If ϵ is an elementary dihomomorphism of a digraph D , then*

$$dc(D^c) - 1 \leq dc(\epsilon(D)^c) \leq dc(D^c) + 1.$$

Proof. We first show that $dc(D^c) - 1 \leq dc(\epsilon(D)^c)$. Let $dc((\epsilon(D))^c) = k$ for some elementary dihomomorphism ϵ of D that identifies two nonadjacent vertices u and v in D , where the vertex in $\epsilon(D)$ obtained by identifying u and v is denoted by v . Let there be given a k -coloring of $\epsilon(D)^c$. We may assume that the vertex v in $\epsilon(D)$ is assigned the color k . Remember that, if v and a vertex w in $\epsilon(D)^c$ lie on a (directed) common cycle, w has a different color to k . Assign to each vertex in D^c distinct from u the same color assigned to that vertex in $\epsilon(D)^c$ and assign u the color $k + 1$. Since no vertex in D^c on a common cycle with v is assigned the color k , this produces an $(k + 1)$ -coloring of D and so

$$dc(D^c) \leq k + 1 = dc(\epsilon(D)^c) + 1.$$

Therefore, $dc(D^c) - 1 \leq dc(\epsilon(D)^c)$.

Next, we show that $dc(\epsilon(D)^c) \leq dc(D^c) + 1$. Let $dc(D^c) = k$ and consider a k -coloring ζ of D^c . Define a coloring ζ' of $\epsilon(D)^c$ by

$$\zeta'(x) = \begin{cases} \zeta(x) & \text{if } x \in V(D) \setminus \{u, v\}; \\ k + 1 & \text{if } x \in \{u, v\}. \end{cases}$$

Since ζ' is a $(k + 1)$ -coloring of $\epsilon(D)^c$,

$$dc(\epsilon(D)^c) \leq k + 1 = dc(D^c) + 1.$$

\square

Theorem 26. (Nordhaus-Gaddum relations) *If D is a digraph of order n , then*

$$dc(D) + dc(D^c) \leq \left\lceil \frac{4n}{3} \right\rceil \quad \text{and} \quad dc(D)dc(D^c) \leq \left(\frac{2n+1}{3} \right)^2, \quad (2)$$

$$dac(D) + dc(D^c) \leq \left\lceil \frac{3n}{2} \right\rceil \quad \text{and} \quad dac(D)dc(D^c) \leq \left(\frac{3n+1}{4} \right)^2. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Let $dac(D) = k$. Then there exists a sequence

$$D = D_0, D_1, \dots, D_t = K_k$$

of graphs, where $D_i = \epsilon_i(D_{i-1})$ for an elementary dihomomorphism ϵ_i of D_{i-1} ($i \in [t]$). Then $t = n - k = n$. By Theorem 25, $dc(D_{i-1}^c) \leq dc(\epsilon_i(D_{i-1})^c) + 1 \leq dc(D_i^c) + 2$. Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^t dc(D_{i-1}^c) \leq \sum_{i=1}^t (dc(D_i^c) + 2)$$

and so $dc(D^c) \leq dc(D_t^c) + 2t = dc(K_k^c) + 2(n - k)$. Hence, $2k + dc(D^c) = 2dac(D) + dc(D^c) \leq 2n + 1$.

On one hand, since $dc(D) \leq dac(D)$, it follows that $dc(D) + dc(D^c) \leq \lfloor \frac{4n+2}{3} \rfloor = \lceil \frac{4n}{3} \rceil$ and the geometric mean of two positive real numbers never exceeds their arithmetic mean $dc(D)dc(D^c) \leq \left(\frac{2n+1}{3} \right)^2$.

On the other hand, since $2dc(D^c) \leq dc(D^c) + n$, it follows that $dac(D) + dac(D^c) \leq \lfloor \frac{3n+1}{2} \rfloor = \lceil \frac{3n}{2} \rceil$ and then $dc(D)dc(D^c) \leq \left(\frac{3n+1}{4} \right)^2$, completing the proof. \square

6 Conclusions and Future work

As we state in the introduction, the diachromatic number generalizes the achromatic number and as it turned out, several classic results for the achromatic number are extended to results for the diachromatic number. To future work we propose extend other known results to the diachromatic number as well as to study proper results for digraphs, and study the diachromatic number in fixed families of digraphs or oriented graphs. For instance, inspired in the definition of the dichromatic number of a graph, the diachromatic number of a graph can be defined as the minimum diachromatic number over all possible orientations of the graph. On the other hand, we also propose study the *diGrundy number*, defined as the the maximum positive integer k for which a digraph D has a greedy k -coloring, extending the results of undirected graphs to directed and oriented graphs and find this parameter for some families of digraphs. Also, we wish to improve the Nordhaus-Gaddum bounds or to find an infinite family of graphs to establish the sharpness of such bound.

References

- [1] G. Araujo-Pardo, J. J. Montellano-Ballesteros, C. Rubio-Montiel, and R. Strausz. On the pseudoachromatic index of the complete graph II. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex.*, 20(1):17–28, 2014.
- [2] G. Araujo-Pardo, J. J. Montellano-Ballesteros, C. Rubio-Montiel, and R. Strausz. On the pseudoachromatic index of the complete graph III. *Graphs Combin.*, 34(2):277–287, 2018.
- [3] G. Araujo-Pardo, J. J. Montellano-Ballesteros, and R. Strausz. On the pseudoachromatic index of the complete graph. *J. Graph Theory*, 66(2):89–97, 2011.
- [4] G. Araujo-Pardo and M. Olsen. A conjecture of Neumann-Lara on infinite families of r -dichromatic circulant tournaments. *Discrete Math.*, 310:489–492, 2010.
- [5] G. Araujo-Pardo and C. Rubio-Montiel. On $\omega\psi$ -perfect graphs. *Ars Combin. In press.*

- [6] E. Berger, K. Choromanski, M. Chudnovsky, J. Fox, M. Loeb, A. Scott, P. Seymour, and S. Thomassé. Tournaments and colouring. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 103(1):1–20, 2013.
- [7] V. N. Bhave. On the pseudoachromatic number of a graph. *Fund. Math.*, 102(3):159–164, 1979.
- [8] N. Cairnie and K. J. Edwards. Some results on the achromatic number. *J. Graph Theory*, 26(3):129–136, 1997.
- [9] G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak. *Graphs & digraphs*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, fourth edition, 2005.
- [10] G. Chartrand and P. Zhang. *Chromatic graph theory*. Discrete Mathematics and its Applications. CRC Press, 2009.
- [11] K. J. Edwards. Harmonious chromatic number of directed graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 161(3):369–376, 2013.
- [12] P. Erdős, J. Gimbel, and D. Kratsch. Some extremal results in cochromatic and dichromatic theory. *J. Graph Theory*, 15(6):579–585, 1991.
- [13] R. P. Gupta. Bounds on the chromatic and achromatic numbers of complementary graphs. In *Recent Progress in Combinatorics (Proc. Third Waterloo Conf. on Comb., 1968)*, pages 229–235. Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- [14] F. Harary, S. Hedetniemi, and G. Prins. An interpolation theorem for graphical homomorphisms. *Portugal. Math.*, 26:453–462, 1967.
- [15] P. Hell and D. J. Miller. Graph with given achromatic number. *Discrete Math.*, 16(3):195–207, 1976.
- [16] W. Hochstättler. A flow theory for the dichromatic number. *European J. Combin.*, 66:160–167, 2017.
- [17] Z. Li and B. Mohar. Planar digraphs of digirth four are 2-colorable. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 31(3):2201–2205, 2017.
- [18] H. Lin and J. Shu. Spectral radius of digraphs with given dichromatic number. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 434(12):2462–2467, 2011.
- [19] B. Llano and M. Olsen. Disproof of a conjecture of Neumann-Lara. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 24(4):P4.5, 2017.
- [20] V. Neumann-Lara. The dichromatic number of a digraph. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 33(3):265–270, 1982.
- [21] V. Neumann-Lara. Dichromatic number, circulant tournaments and zykov sums of digraphs. *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, 20(2):197–207, 2000.
- [22] V. Neumann-Lara and M. Olsen. Molds of regular tournaments. *Ars Combin.*, 112:81–96, 2013.
- [23] E. A. Nordhaus and J. W. Gaddum. On complementary graphs. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 63:175–177, 1956.
- [24] É. Sopena. Complete oriented colourings and the oriented achromatic number. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 173:102–112, 2014.
- [25] Shao Ji Xu. Relations between parameters of a graph. *Discrete Math.*, 89(1):65–88, 1991.
- [26] V. Yegnanarayanan. Graph colourings and partitions. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 263(1–2):59–74, 2001.