

ON THE GENERALIZED ERDŐS–KNESER CONJECTURE: PROOFS AND REDUCTIONS

JAI ASLAM, SHULI CHEN, ETHAN COLDREN, FLORIAN FRICK, AND LINUS SETIABRATA

ABSTRACT. Alon, Frankl, and Lovász proved a conjecture of Erdős that one needs at least $\lceil \frac{n-r(k-1)}{r-1} \rceil$ colors to color the k -subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that any r of the k -subsets that have the same color are not pairwise disjoint. A generalization of this problem where one requires s -wise instead of pairwise intersections was considered by Sarkaria. He claimed a proof of a generalized Erdős–Kneser conjecture establishing a lower bound for the number of colors that reduces to Erdős’ original conjecture for $s = 2$. Lange and Ziegler pointed out that his proof fails whenever r is not a prime. Here we establish this generalized Erdős–Kneser conjecture for every r , as long as s is not too close to r . Our result encompasses earlier results but is significantly more general. We discuss relations of our results to conjectures of Ziegler and of Abyazi Sani and Alishahi, and prove the latter in several cases.

1. INTRODUCTION

Lovász [12] proved a conjecture of Kneser [10] that at least $n - 2(k - 1)$ colors are required in any coloring of the k -element subsets of $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ to ensure that any two k -subsets of the same color intersect. We will denote the k -element subsets of a set X by $\binom{X}{k}$. Erdős [7] conjectured that at least $\lceil \frac{n-r(k-1)}{r-1} \rceil$ colors are required in any coloring of $\binom{[n]}{k}$ to ensure that any r elements of $\binom{[n]}{k}$ that receive the same color are not pairwise disjoint. This was proven by Alon, Frankl, and Lovász [4].

Sarkaria [14] considered the natural extension, where one seeks to understand this combinatorial question beyond the case of pairwise intersections: He showed that for $s \geq 2$ at least $\lceil \frac{(s-1)n-r(k-1)}{r-1} \rceil$ colors are required in any coloring of $\binom{[n]}{k}$ to ensure that given any r (not necessarily distinct) elements of $\binom{[n]}{k}$ that receive the same color some s of them intersect, provided that r is a prime. While Sarkaria claimed this result for arbitrary r , his reduction to the prime case contained an error and only works if s is at most the largest prime divisor of r ; see Lange and Ziegler [11] and Ziegler [18]. Thus for larger s and composite r this generalized Erdős–Kneser conjecture has remained open.

Here we prove the generalized Erdős–Kneser conjecture for arbitrary r , and s not too close to r (see Theorem 3.7 where we prove a more general statement):

Theorem 1.1. *Let $r \geq 2$, $k \geq 1$, $n \geq sk$ be integers. Let $r = 2^{\alpha_0} \cdot p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t}$ be the prime factorization of r , i.e., the p_i are pairwise distinct odd primes and $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $2 \leq s \leq 2^{\alpha_0} \cdot (p_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (p_t - 1)^{\alpha_t}$. Then at least $\lceil \frac{(s-1)n-r(k-1)}{r-1} \rceil$ colors are required in any coloring of $\binom{[n]}{k}$ to ensure that given any r (not necessarily distinct) elements of $\binom{[n]}{k}$ that receive the same color some s of them intersect.*

Throughout the manuscript for an integer $r \geq 2$ with prime factorization $2^{\alpha_0} \cdot p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t}$ we define $b(r) = 2^{\alpha_0} \cdot (p_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (p_t - 1)^{\alpha_t}$. We emphasize that we distinguish “intersect” and “pairwise intersect”, where s sets intersect by definition if they all share a common element. This lower bound on the number of colors is known to be not optimal; see Lange and Ziegler [11]. In fact, they conjectured that their upper bound of $1 + \left\lceil \frac{1}{\lceil \frac{r-1}{s-1} \rceil} \frac{(s-1)n-rk+1}{s-1} \right\rceil$ is tight.

Our proof does not rely on Sarkaria's result, but instead employs techniques recently developed by the fourth author [9, 8]. In particular, our approach also yields new proofs of earlier results. We relate the generalized Erdős–Kneser conjecture to several other problems: Among others we observe that it follows from extending the optimal colored Tverberg theorem of Blagojević, Matschke, and Ziegler [5] from primes r to prime powers; see Remark 3.8.

We present some preliminaries on hypergraphs such as the standard translation of the generalized Erdős–Kneser conjecture into a hypergraph coloring problem in Section 2. Section 3 contains the proof of our main result and relations to other problems. We discuss a conjecture of Abyazi Sani and Alishahi in Section 4 and prove it in several cases. In fact, we prove a strengthening of the conjecture in those cases in Section 5; see Theorem 5.1. We use this to establish that one can restrict to a natural subfamily of all k -element subsets of $[n]$ and still observe the same intersection pattern as in Theorem 1.1; see Corollary 5.2.

2. TRANSITION TO HYPERGRAPH COLORINGS

Given a ground set X and an integer $r \geq 2$ an r -uniform hypergraph (with multiplicities) H is a set of r -element multisubsets of X , that is, repetitions of elements are allowed. Elements of X are the vertices of H , while elements of H are called hyperedges. An m -coloring of H for an integer $m \geq 1$ is a map $c: X \rightarrow [m]$ such that every hyperedge $\sigma \in H$ contains vertices $x, y \in \sigma$ with different colors $c(x) \neq c(y)$. The smallest integer m such that H admits an m -coloring is the chromatic number of H , denoted by $\chi(H)$.

There is a standard reformulation of Kneser's conjecture and its relatives in the language of hypergraph colorings. The vertex set is $\binom{[n]}{k}$. If $\text{KG}^r(n, k)$ is the hypergraph whose hyperedges are collections of r pairwise disjoint k -subsets in $[n]$, then the result of Alon, Frankl, and Lovász states that $\chi(\text{KG}^r(n, k)) \geq \lceil \frac{n-r(k-1)}{r-1} \rceil$. A simple greedy coloring shows that this lower bound is optimal.

Following Lange and Ziegler [11], denote by $\text{KG}_{s-1}^r(n, k)$ the hypergraph on vertex set $\binom{[n]}{k}$, where a multisubset $\{\{A_1, \dots, A_r\}\}$ of $\binom{[n]}{k}$ forms a hyperedge of $\text{KG}_{s-1}^r(n, k)$ if and only if $A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_s} = \emptyset$ for any pairwise distinct $i_1, \dots, i_s \in [r]$. In Theorem 1.1 we seek to establish a lower bound for the chromatic number of $\text{KG}_{s-1}^r(n, k)$. Indeed, if $\chi(\text{KG}_{s-1}^r(n, k)) \geq \lceil \frac{(s-1)n-r(k-1)}{r-1} \rceil$ then in any coloring with less than $\lceil \frac{(s-1)n-r(k-1)}{r-1} \rceil$ colors there are r (not necessarily distinct) k -element sets A_1, \dots, A_r that have the same color and form a hyperedge of $\text{KG}_{s-1}^r(n, k)$, that is, any s of them (with multiplicities) have empty intersection. More generally, for $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ with $s_i \in [r-1]$, Lange and Ziegler define the hypergraph $\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(n, k)$ on vertex set $\binom{[n]}{k}$, where a multisubset $\{\{A_1, \dots, A_r\}\}$ of $\binom{[n]}{k}$ forms a hyperedge of $\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(n, k)$ if and only if each $i \in [n]$ is contained in at most s_i of the sets A_1, \dots, A_r . In particular, $\text{KG}_{s-1}^r(n, k) = \text{KG}_{(s-1, \dots, s-1)}^r(n, k)$.

We will establish lower bounds for $\chi(\text{KG}_{s-1}^r(n, k))$ by comparing it to the chromatic number of a Kneser hypergraph whose vertex set consists of transversals of a partition of $[n]$: Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_\ell\}$ be a partition of $[n]$; denote by $\text{KG}^r(n, k; \mathcal{P})$ (or by $\text{KG}^r(n, k; P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$) the hypergraph whose vertices are k -element subsets $\sigma \subset [n]$ with $|\sigma \cap P_i| \leq 1$ for all $i \in [\ell]$, and whose hyperedges consists of r such sets that are pairwise disjoint. More generally, if \mathcal{F} is any system of subsets of $[n]$, let $\text{KG}^r(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{P})$ be the hypergraph whose vertices correspond to those $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}$ with $|\sigma \cap P_i| \leq 1$ for all $i \in [\ell]$, and with a hyperedge for any collection of r vertices that correspond to pairwise disjoint sets.

Lower bounds for the chromatic number of $\text{KG}^r(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{P})$ follow from [8] provided that r is a prime and the partition consists of sets of size at most $r-1$:

Lemma 2.1 ([8, Thm. 4.7]). *Let \mathcal{F} be a system of subsets of $[n]$, let r be a prime, and let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_\ell\}$ be a partition of $[n]$ with $|P_i| \leq r - 1$ for all $i \in [\ell]$. Then*

$$\chi(\text{KG}^r(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{P})) \geq \left\lceil \frac{\text{tcd}^r(\mathcal{F})}{r-1} \right\rceil.$$

We briefly recall the definition of the *topological r -colorability defect* $\text{tcd}^r(\mathcal{F})$ of a system \mathcal{F} of subsets of $[n]$ from [8]. Let K be a simplicial complex on vertex set $[N]$ with $N \geq n$ whose minimal nonfaces are the inclusion-minimal sets of \mathcal{F} . Let $d \geq 0$ be the least integer such that there is a continuous map $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ that does not identify r points from r pairwise disjoint faces of K . Now $\text{tcd}^r(\mathcal{F})$ is the maximum over all numbers $N - (r-1)(d+1)$ that arise in this way. With [8, Lemma 4.5] we easily see that $\text{tcd}^r(\binom{[n]}{k}) \geq n - r(k-1)$, and thus we immediately get the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $r \geq 2$ be a prime, and let $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq rk$ be integers. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_\ell\}$ be a partition of $[n]$ with $|P_i| \leq r - 1$ for all $i \in [\ell]$. Then*

$$\chi(\text{KG}^r(n, k; \mathcal{P})) = \left\lceil \frac{n - r(k-1)}{r-1} \right\rceil.$$

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Sarkaria [14] claimed to establish a lower bound for $\chi(\text{KG}_{s-1}^r(n, k))$ for arbitrary r , but his induction on the number of prime divisors of r contained a mistake that cannot be fixed; see Lange and Ziegler [11]. Here we first show that $\chi(\text{KG}_{s-1}^r(n, k))$ is bounded from below by the chromatic number of a specially constructed hypergraph, see Lemma 3.1, and then we can induct on prime divisors of r for this family of hypergraphs in a non-straightforward way, see Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $r \geq 2$, $k \geq 1$, and $n \geq k$ be integers and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ a vector of positive integers $s_i \in [r-1]$. Let $N = \sum_i s_i$ and let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ be a partition of $[N]$ with $|P_i| = s_i$. Then $\chi(\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(n, k)) \geq \chi(\text{KG}^r(N, k; \mathcal{P}))$.*

Proof. Given an m -coloring $c: \binom{[n]}{k} \rightarrow [m]$ of the hypergraph $\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(n, k)$ we will define an m -coloring c' of $\text{KG}^r(N, k; \mathcal{P})$. Let $f: [N] \rightarrow [n]$ be the map that projects every element of P_i to i . For a k -element subset $A \subset [N]$ with $|A \cap P_i| \leq 1$ for all i define $c'(A) = c(f(A))$.

We claim that c' defines an m -coloring: Let $A_1, \dots, A_r \subset [N]$ be sets with $c'(A_1) = \dots = c'(A_r)$. Since c is an m -coloring, the vertices $f(A_1), \dots, f(A_r)$ do not form a hyperedge of $\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(n, k)$. Thus there is an element $\ell \in [n]$ and pairwise distinct i_1, \dots, i_{s+1} with $\ell \in f(A_{i_1}) \cap \dots \cap f(A_{i_{s+1}})$, where $s = s_\ell$. Then $P_\ell \cap A_{i_j} \neq \emptyset$ for each $j \in [s+1]$. Since P_ℓ only has s elements, the sets $A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_{s+1}}$ cannot be pairwise disjoint. Hence the sets A_1, \dots, A_r do not form a hyperedge of $\text{KG}^r(N, k; \mathcal{P})$ and c' is an m -coloring. \square

The following reduction to prime divisors is similar to the one given by Alon, Drewnowski, and Łuczak [3, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $r_1, r_2 \geq 2$ and $b_1, b_2 \geq 1$ be integers. Suppose for all integers $k_1 \geq 1$, $n_1 \geq r_1 k_1$, and partitions $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_{l_1}\}$ of $[n_1]$ with $|P_i| \leq b_1$ for all i , we have $\chi(\text{KG}^{r_1}(n_1, k_1; \mathcal{P})) \geq \left\lceil \frac{n_1 - r_1(k_1 - 1)}{r_1 - 1} \right\rceil$, and suppose for all integers $k_2 \geq 1$, $n_2 \geq r_2 k_2$, and partitions $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_{l_2}\}$ of $[n_2]$ with $|Q_j| \leq b_2$ for all j , we have $\chi(\text{KG}^{r_2}(n_2, k_2; \mathcal{Q})) \geq \left\lceil \frac{n_2 - r_2(k_2 - 1)}{r_2 - 1} \right\rceil$. Then for all integers $k \geq 1$, $n \geq r_1 r_2 k$, and partitions $\mathcal{R} = \{R_1, \dots, R_{l_1}\}$ of $[n]$ with $|R_i| \leq b_1 b_2$ for all i , we have $\chi(\text{KG}^{r_1 r_2}(n, k; \mathcal{R})) \geq \left\lceil \frac{n - r_1 r_2(k - 1)}{r_1 r_2 - 1} \right\rceil$.*

Proof. Assume $r_1 \leq r_2$. Suppose there exists an L -coloring c of $\text{KG}^{r_1 r_2}(n, k; \mathcal{R})$ where $L < \left\lceil \frac{n - r_1 r_2(k - 1)}{r_1 r_2 - 1} \right\rceil$. Note that $(r_1 r_2 - 1)L \leq n - r_1 r_2(k - 1) - 1$. For $K_1 = L(r_2 - 1) + r_2(k - 1) + 1$ we now have

$$r_1(K_1 - 1) + L(r_1 - 1) = r_1 L(r_2 - 1) + r_1 r_2(k - 1) + L(r_1 - 1) = r_1 r_2(k - 1) + L(r_1 r_2 - 1) \leq n - 1.$$

For all $A \subset [n]$ with $|A| = K_1$ such that $|R_i \cap A| \leq b_2$, our assumption says that for the partition $\mathcal{R}' = \{R_1 \cap A, \dots, R_t \cap A\}$ of A we have $\chi(\text{KG}^{r_2}(\binom{A}{k}; \mathcal{R}')) \geq \left\lceil \frac{K_1 - r_2(k-1)}{r_2 - 1} \right\rceil \geq L + 1$, so any L -coloring of it yields a monochromatic hyperedge. In particular, the L -coloring of $\text{KG}^{r_2}(\binom{A}{k}; \mathcal{R}')$ induced by c yields a monochromatic pairwise disjoint r_2 -tuple of k -sets in A .

Now partition each R_i into $R_{i,1}, \dots, R_{i,\alpha_i}$ with $\alpha_i \leq b_2$ and $|R_{i,j}| \leq b_1$. Each vertex A of the hypergraph $\text{KG}^{r_1}(n, K_1; R_{1,1}, \dots, R_{1,\alpha_1}, \dots, R_{t,1}, \dots, R_{t,\alpha_t})$ contains at most $\alpha_i \leq b_2$ elements from each R_i . Then by the previous paragraph, each vertex A contains a monochromatic pairwise disjoint r_2 -tuple, so we can give an L -coloring c' of this hypergraph by assigning each vertex A the color of one of the monochromatic pairwise disjoint r_2 -tuples it contains. Then by assumption we have

$$\chi(\text{KG}^{r_1}(n, K_1; R_{1,1}, \dots, R_{1,\alpha_1}, \dots, R_{t,1}, \dots, R_{t,\alpha_t})) \geq \left\lceil \frac{n - r_1(K_1 - 1)}{r_1 - 1} \right\rceil \geq L + 1,$$

so this L -coloring of $\text{KG}^{r_1}(n, K_1; R_{1,1}, \dots, R_{1,\alpha_1}, \dots, R_{t,1}, \dots, R_{t,\alpha_t})$ yields a monochromatic hyperedge (A_1, \dots, A_{r_1}) . Since for each $i \in [r_1]$ the set A_i contains a monochromatic pairwise disjoint r_2 -tuple of k -sets of the same color, together we have $r_1 r_2$ pairwise disjoint k -sets of the same color in the original hypergraph $\text{KG}^{r_1 r_2}(n, k; R_1, \dots, R_t)$. This contradicts that c is a proper coloring. \square

As a last ingredient before proving our main result we need one additional reduction for r a power of two. For an integer $s \geq 1$ a set $\sigma \subset [n]$ is called s -stable if any two of its elements are at distance at least s in the cyclic ordering of $[n]$. Denote by $\text{KG}^r(n, k)_{s\text{-stab}}$ the subhypergraph of $\text{KG}^r(n, k)$ induced by those vertices that correspond to s -stable sets. Ziegler conjectured:

Conjecture 3.3 (Ziegler [17]). *For integers $r \geq 2$, $k \geq 1$, and $n \geq rk$ we have*

$$\chi(\text{KG}^r(n, k)_{r\text{-stab}}) = \left\lceil \frac{n - r(k-1)}{r-1} \right\rceil.$$

The $r = 2$ case of this conjecture recovers a classical result of Schrijver [15]. Alon, Drewnowski, and Łuczak [3] showed that if Conjecture 3.3 holds for $r = p$ and for $r = q$ then it also holds for their product pq . Thus in light of Schrijver's result Conjecture 3.3 holds for r a power of two. Otherwise it is open; for some recent progress see [8].

If $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_\ell\}$ is a partition of $[n]$ with $|P_i| \leq r$ for all $i \in [\ell]$, then the hypergraph $\text{KG}^r(n, k)_{r\text{-stab}}$ is a subhypergraph of $\text{KG}^r(n, k; \mathcal{P})$ after possibly reordering $[n]$ to make each part P_i consist of consecutive elements. Together with the preceding paragraph this implies:

Lemma 3.4. *Let $r = 2^t$ be a power of two, and let $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq rk$ be integers. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_\ell\}$ be a partition of $[n]$ with $|P_i| \leq r$ for all $i \in [\ell]$. Then*

$$\chi(\text{KG}^r(n, k; \mathcal{P})) = \left\lceil \frac{n - r(k-1)}{r-1} \right\rceil.$$

We conjecture that r being a power of two is a superfluous condition in the preceding lemma:

Conjecture 3.5. *Let $r \geq 2$, $k \geq 1$, and $n \geq rk$ be integers. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_\ell\}$ be a partition of $[n]$ with $|P_i| \leq r$ for all $i \in [\ell]$. Then*

$$\chi(\text{KG}^r(n, k; \mathcal{P})) = \left\lceil \frac{n - r(k-1)}{r-1} \right\rceil.$$

Conjecture 3.5 is implied by Conjecture 3.3. Lemma 3.2 shows that it suffices to establish Conjecture 3.5 for r a prime. Combining the lemmas above shows that Conjecture 3.5 holds in many cases; see Theorem 3.6 below. Recall that for an integer $r \geq 2$ with prime factorization $2^{\alpha_0} \cdot p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t}$ the quantity $b(r)$ denotes $2^{\alpha_0} \cdot (p_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (p_t - 1)^{\alpha_t}$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer. Then Conjecture 3.5 holds for r and any partition $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_\ell\}$ of $[n]$ with $|P_i| \leq b(r)$ for all $i \in [\ell]$.*

Proof. If r is a power of two, this follows from Lemma 3.4. If r is an odd prime this is guaranteed by Lemma 2.2. For general r this follows by induction using Lemma 3.2. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ be integers and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ a vector of positive integers $s_i \in [b(r) - 1]$. Then $\chi(\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(n, k)) \geq \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_i s_i) - r(k-1)}{r-1} \right\rceil$. In particular, $\chi(\text{KG}_{s-1}^r(n, k)) \geq \left\lceil \frac{(s-1)n - r(k-1)}{r-1} \right\rceil$.*

Proof. Let $N = \sum_i s_i$ and let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ be a partition of $[N]$ with $|P_i| = s_i$. Then by Lemma 3.1 $\chi(\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(n, k)) \geq \chi(\text{KG}^r(N, k; \mathcal{P}))$. For r a prime $\chi(\text{KG}^r(N, k; \mathcal{P})) = \left\lceil \frac{N - r(k-1)}{r-1} \right\rceil$ by Lemma 2.2. For arbitrary r we can induct on the number of prime divisors using Lemma 3.2. \square

The lower bound $\chi(\text{KG}_{s-1}^r(n, k)) \geq \left\lceil \frac{(s-1)n - r(k-1)}{r-1} \right\rceil$ (for parameters as in Theorem 3.7) implies our main result, Theorem 1.1: Any coloring of the vertices of $\text{KG}_{s-1}^r(n, k)$ with less than $\left\lceil \frac{(s-1)n - r(k-1)}{r-1} \right\rceil$ colors results in a monochromatic hyperedge. The vertices of this hyperedge are r elements of $\binom{[n]}{k}$ (counted with multiplicities) that receive the same color and such that any s of them (with multiplicities) have an empty intersection.

Remark 3.8. Conjecture 3.3 implies Conjecture 3.5 which in turn would yield the generalized Erdős–Kneser conjecture in full generality. Extending Lemma 2.2 to prime powers r would imply Conjecture 3.5 for partitions with parts P_i of size at most $r - 1$. Looking back at the proof of [8, Thm. 4.7] this would follow from extending the optimal colored Tverberg theorem of Blagojević, Matschke, and Ziegler [5] from primes r to prime powers.

4. A CONJECTURE OF ABYAZI SANI AND ALISHAHI

Let $A \subset [n]$. Abyazi Sani and Alishahi [1] consider the subhypergraph $\text{KG}_A^r(n, k)$ of $\text{KG}^r(n, k)$ induced by the vertices that are k -subsets $\sigma \subset [n]$ with $\sigma \not\subset A$. They conjecture:

Conjecture 4.1 (Abyazi Sani and Alishahi [1]). *Let $n \geq 2rk$. Then*

$$\chi(\text{KG}_A^r(n, k)) = \left\lceil \frac{n - \max\{r(k-1), |A|\}}{r-1} \right\rceil.$$

Abyazi Sani and Alishahi show that the conjecture holds when $|A| \leq 2(k-1)$ or $|A| \geq rk - 1$, so the open case left is when $2k - 1 \leq |A| \leq rk - 2$. For this open case, they establish the inequality

$$\left\lceil \frac{n - r(k-1) - \left\lfloor \frac{|A|}{k} \right\rfloor}{r-1} \right\rceil \leq \chi(\text{KG}_A^r(n, k)) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n - \max\{r(k-1), |A|\}}{r-1} \right\rceil.$$

Here we show:

Theorem 4.2. *Let $r \geq 2$. Then Conjecture 4.1 holds whenever $|A| \leq b(r) \cdot (k-1)$.*

Proof. Write b for $b(r)$. If $|A| \leq b(k-1)$ we take a partition P_1, \dots, P_ℓ of $[n]$ such that $|P_i| = b$ for all $i \in [k-1]$, $|P_i| \leq b$ for all $k \leq i \leq \ell$, and $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i$. Then $\text{KG}^r(n, k; P_1, \dots, P_\ell)$ is a subgraph of $\text{KG}_A^r(n, k)$. Then the result follows from Theorem 3.6. \square

We will further extend this result to a larger class of Kneser hypergraphs in the next section.

5. LOWER BOUNDS FOR THE CHROMATIC NUMBER OF WIDE SUBHYPERGRAPHS

When defining the hypergraph $\text{KG}_A^r(n, k)$ we can by symmetry always assume that $A = \{1, 2, \dots, |A|\}$, and thus we constrain $\text{KG}^r(n, k)$ by only retaining those vertices that correspond to sets that are not contained in the initial interval of length $|A|$ in $[n]$. More generally, we can disregard vertices that correspond to sets that are contained in any interval of some fixed length, and still establish the same lower

bounds for the chromatic number: For an integer $t \geq 1$ denote by $\text{KG}^r(n, k)_{t\text{-wide}}$ the subhypergraph of $\text{KG}^r(n, k)$ induced by those vertices that correspond to k -subsets σ in $[n]$ that are not contained in any of the sets $\{i, i+1, \dots, i+t-1\}$ for $i \in [n-t+1]$. We call such sets σ t -wide.

Lower bounds for these subhypergraphs of Kneser graphs induced by “wide” k -sets in $[n]$ are interesting in the context of the generalized Erdős–Kneser conjecture. For a partition $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_\ell\}$ of $[n]$ and an integer $t \geq 1$ let $\text{KG}^r(n, k; \mathcal{P})_{t\text{-wide}}$ be the subhypergraph of $\text{KG}^r(n, k)$ whose vertices correspond to k -sets $\sigma \subset [n]$ that are t -wide and transversal to \mathcal{P} , that is, $|\sigma \cap P_i| \leq 1$ for each $i \in [\ell]$. We will extend our lower bounds for the chromatic number to $\text{KG}^r(n, k; \mathcal{P})_{t\text{-wide}}$ for certain values of t and partitions with $|P_i| \leq r-1$ provided that r is a prime. Using the construction of Lemma 3.1 we thus obtain lower bounds for the chromatic number of certain natural subhypergraphs of $\text{KG}_s^r(n, k)$. This is analogous to a result of Schrijver [15] who identifies vertex-critical subgraphs of Kneser graphs $\text{KG}^2(n, k)$. Natural subhypergraphs of $\text{KG}^r(n, k)$ that still satisfy the same lower bound for the chromatic number were identified by Meunier [13], Alishahi and Hajiabolhassan [2], and the fourth author [8].

Theorem 5.1. *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer, $r \geq 2$ a prime, and $n \geq rk$ an integer. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_\ell\}$ be a partition of $[n]$ with $|P_i| \leq r-1$. Let $t \leq r(k-3) + 2$. Then*

$$\chi(\text{KG}^r(n, k; \mathcal{P})_{t\text{-wide}}) = \left\lceil \frac{n - r(k-1)}{r-1} \right\rceil.$$

Proof. Denote the k -element subsets of $[n]$ that are t -wide by $\binom{[n]}{k}_{t\text{-wide}}$. Then according to Lemma 2.1 we have to show that $\text{tcd}^r(\binom{[n]}{k}_{t\text{-wide}}) \geq n - r(k-1)$. Let d be the integer satisfying $r(k-1) - 1 \geq (r-1)d > r(k-2)$ and let $m = (r-1)d - r(k-2) - 1$. Let K be the simplicial complex on vertex set $[n+m]$ whose missing faces are precisely the t -wide k -element subsets of $[n]$. If we can show that there is a continuous map $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ that does not identify r points from r pairwise disjoint faces of K , then by definition of topological r -colorability defect

$$\text{tcd}^r \left(\binom{[n]}{k}_{t\text{-wide}} \right) \geq n + m - (r-1)(d+1) = n + (r-1)d - r(k-2) - 1 - (r-1)(d+1) = n - r(k-1).$$

We define the map $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ as an affine map. To define the images of the vertex set of K we need a result of Bukh, Loh, and Nivasch [6] on the existence of point configurations with certain *Tverberg partitions*, i.e., partitions of the point set into r parts whose convex hulls all share a common point. In order to state their result we need the following definitions: A partition of $[(r-1)(d+1)+1]$ into r parts X_1, \dots, X_r is called *colorful* if for each $k \in [d+1]$ the set $Y_k = \{(r-1)(k-1)+1, \dots, (r-1)k+1\}$ satisfies $|Y_k \cap X_i| = 1$ for all i . Given a sequence x_1, \dots, x_N of points in \mathbb{R}^d we say that a partition $X_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup X_r$ of $[(r-1)(d+1)+1]$ *occurs* as a Tverberg partition if there is a subsequence $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{\widehat{N}}}$ of length $\widehat{N} = (r-1)(d+1)+1$ such that $\text{conv}\{x_{i_k} \mid k \in X_1\} \cap \dots \cap \text{conv}\{x_{i_k} \mid k \in X_r\} \neq \emptyset$. The result of Bukh, Loh, and Nivasch [6] can now be phrased as: There are arbitrarily long sequences of points in \mathbb{R}^d such that the Tverberg partitions that occur are precisely the colorful ones. The significance of the number $\widehat{N} = (r-1)(d+1)+1$ is explained by Tverberg’s theorem [16], which guarantees that any point set in \mathbb{R}^d of size \widehat{N} can be split into r parts whose convex hulls share a common point, while any point set of size $\widehat{N}-1$ and in sufficiently general position does not admit such a Tverberg partition. We remark that for the sequences whose Tverberg partitions are precisely the colorful ones, no subset of size $\widehat{N}-1$ can admit a Tverberg partition.

Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}\}$ be a point set in \mathbb{R}^d whose Tverberg partitions are precisely the colorful ones. Define the map f by sending vertex i of K to x_i and extending linearly onto the faces of K . Then the faces of K map precisely to the convex hulls of the images of its vertices. Now suppose that K has pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ such that $f(\sigma_1) \cap \dots \cap f(\sigma_r) \neq \emptyset$. Then without loss of generality (by Tverberg’s theorem) the σ_i involve precisely $(r-1)(d+1)+1$ vertices. More precisely, choose a vertex v

of the convex polytope $f(\sigma_1) \cap \cdots \cap f(\sigma_r)$, and for each $i \in [r]$ only consider a minimal set of vertices of σ_i whose convex hull captures v . This reduces the total number of vertices to $(r-1)(d+1)+1$. There is a $j \in [r]$ such that $|\sigma_j \cap [n]| \geq k$. This is because $|\sigma_i \cap [n]| \leq k-1$ for every $i \in [n]$ implies that the σ_i involve at most $r(k-1)+m = (r-1)(d+1)$ vertices—a contradiction. The σ_i are a colorful partition of some subset A of $[n+m]$, which implies that σ_j must have an element among the lowest r elements of A as well as among the highest r elements of A . Then σ_j cannot be contained in an interval of length $(r-1)(d-1)-1$. Now $(r-1)(d-1) \geq r(k-3)+2 \geq t$, and σ_j contains a k -subset of $[n]$ with two elements at distance at least t . Thus σ_j is a nonface of K , which is a contradiction since we chose $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ to be faces of K . \square

For an integer $t \geq 1$ we denote by $\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(n, k)_{t\text{-wide}}$ the subhypergraph of $\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(n, k)$ induced by those vertices that correspond to t -wide k -subsets of $[n]$.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $r \geq 2$ be a prime. Let $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq k$ be integers and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ a vector of positive integers $s_i \in [r-1]$. Let $t \geq 1$ be the maximal integer such that $\sum_{i=j}^{j+t-1} s_i \leq r(k-3)+2$ for every $j \in [n-t+1]$. Then $\chi(\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(n, k)_{t\text{-wide}}) \geq \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_i s_i) - r(k-1)}{r-1} \right\rceil$. In particular, $\chi(\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}-1}^r(n, k)_{t\text{-wide}}) \geq \left\lceil \frac{(s-1)n - r(k-1)}{r-1} \right\rceil$, where $t = \left\lfloor \frac{r(k-3)+2}{s-1} \right\rfloor$.*

Proof. Let $N = \sum_i s_i$ and let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ be the partition of $[N]$ with

$$P_j = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} s_i + 1, \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} s_i + 2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^j s_i \right\}.$$

Then as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 $\chi(\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(n, k)_{t\text{-wide}}) \geq \chi(\text{KG}^r(N, k; \mathcal{P})_{\bar{t}\text{-wide}})$, where $\bar{t} = r(k-3)+2$. This is because a \bar{t} -wide set in $[N]$ will project to a t -wide set in $[n]$. Now use Theorem 5.1. \square

6. FINAL REMARKS

Remark 6.1. Corollary 5.2 establishes the same lower bound for the chromatic number of $\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(n, k)_{t\text{-wide}}$ for certain values of t as Theorem 3.7 for the hypergraph $\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(n, k)$ of all k -subsets of $[n]$. This can easily be extended to varying t . For a vector $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ with $s_i \in [r-1]$ let H be the subhypergraph of $\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(n, k)$ where vertices correspond to those k -subsets $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\} \subset [n]$ such that constructing a k -subset of $[N]$, $N = \sum_i s_i$, by choosing one point in each P_{σ_i} as in the proof of Corollary 5.2 always results in a $(r(k-3)+2)$ -wide set. Then $\chi(H) \geq \left\lceil \frac{(\sum_i s_i) - r(k-1)}{r-1} \right\rceil$ as before.

Remark 6.2. Our results extend to arbitrary set systems if r is a prime. Let \mathcal{F} be a system of subsets of $[n]$. For a vector $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ with $s_i \in [r-1]$ denote by $\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(\mathcal{F})$ the hypergraph on vertex set \mathcal{F} where a multiset $\{\{F_1, \dots, F_r\}\}$, $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$, is a hyperedge if and only if i is contained in at most s_i of the sets F_1, \dots, F_r for every $i \in [n]$. Let $N = \sum_i s_i$ and let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ be a partition of $[N]$ with $|P_i| = s_i$. Let \mathcal{F}' be the system of subsets of $[N]$ that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ contains the set $F' = \bigcup_{i \in F} P_i$. Then in complete analogy to Lemma 3.1 one proves using Lemma 2.1 that

$$\chi(\text{KG}_{\mathbf{s}}^r(\mathcal{F})) \geq \chi(\text{KG}^r(\mathcal{F}'; \mathcal{P})) \geq \left\lceil \frac{\text{tcd}^r(\mathcal{F}')}{r-1} \right\rceil.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was performed during the *Summer Program for Undergraduate Research* 2017 at Cornell University. The authors are grateful for the excellent research conditions provided by the program. The authors would like to thank Maru Sarazola for many insightful conversations. This manuscript was written while the fourth author was in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during the Fall 2017 semester supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1440140.

REFERENCES

1. Roya Abyazi Sani and Meysam Alishahi, *A new lower bound for the chromatic number of general Kneser hypergraphs*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.07052 (2017).
2. Meysam Alishahi and Hossein Hajiabolhassan, *On the chromatic number of general Kneser hypergraphs*, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* **115** (2015), 186–209.
3. Noga Alon, Lech Drewnowski, and Tomasz Łuczak, *Stable Kneser Hypergraphs and Ideals in \mathbb{N} with the Nikodym Property*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (2009), 467–471.
4. Noga Alon, Peter Frankl, and László Lovász, *The chromatic number of Kneser hypergraphs*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **298** (1986), no. 1, 359–370.
5. Pavle V. M. Blagojević, Benjamin Matschke, and Günter M. Ziegler, *Optimal bounds for the colored Tverberg problem*, *J. Europ. Math. Soc.* **17** (2015), no. 4, 739–754.
6. Boris Bukh, Po-Shen Loh, and Gabriel Nivasch, *Classifying unavoidable Tverberg partitions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.01078 (2016).
7. Paul Erdős, *Problems and results in combinatorial analysis*, *Colloq. Internat. Theor. Combin. Rome*, 1973, pp. 3–17.
8. Florian Frick, *Chromatic numbers of stable Kneser hypergraphs via topological Tverberg-type theorems*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.09434 (2017).
9. Florian Frick, *Intersection patterns of finite sets and of convex sets*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (2017), no. 7, 2827–2842.
10. Martin Kneser, *Aufgabe 360*, *Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein.* **2** (1955), 27.
11. Carsten Lange and Günter M. Ziegler, *On generalized Kneser hypergraph colorings*, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **114** (2007), no. 1, 159–166.
12. László Lovász, *Kneser’s conjecture, chromatic number, and homotopy*, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **25** (1978), no. 3, 319–324.
13. Frédéric Meunier, *The chromatic number of almost stable Kneser hypergraphs*, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **118** (2011), no. 6, 1820–1828.
14. Karanbir S. Sarkaria, *A generalized Kneser conjecture*, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* **49** (1990), no. 2, 236–240.
15. Alexander Schrijver, *Vertex-critical subgraphs of Kneser-graphs*, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde* **26** (1978), 454–461.
16. Helge Tverberg, *A generalization of Radon’s theorem*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **41** (1966), 123–128.
17. Günter M. Ziegler, *Generalized Kneser coloring theorems with combinatorial proofs*, *Invent. Math.* **147** (2002), no. 3, 671–691.
18. Günter M. Ziegler, *Erratum: Generalized Kneser coloring theorems with combinatorial proofs*, *Invent. Math.* **163** (2006), no. 1, 227–228.

(JA) DEPT. MATH., NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY, BOSTON, MA
E-mail address: aslam.j@husky.neu.edu

(SC) DEPT. MATH., CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NY
E-mail address: sc2586@cornell.edu

(EC) DEPT. MATH., COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY, FORT COLLINS, CO
E-mail address: ethancorvids@gmail.com

(FF) MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE, BERKELEY, CA
 DEPT. MATH., CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NY
E-mail address: ff238@cornell.edu

(LS) DEPT. MATH., CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NY
E-mail address: ls823@cornell.edu