

ROOT GEOMETRY OF POLYNOMIAL SEQUENCES III: TYPE (1, 1) WITH POSITIVE COEFFICIENTS

DAVID G.L. WANG^{†‡} AND JERRY J.R. ZHANG[†]

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the root distribution of some univariate polynomials $W_n(z)$ satisfying a recurrence of order two with linear polynomial coefficients over positive numbers. We discover a sufficient and necessary condition for the overall real-rootedness of all the polynomials, in terms of the polynomial coefficients of the recurrence. Moreover, in the real-rooted case, we find the set of limits of zeros, which turns out to be the union of a closed interval and one or two isolated points; when non-real-rooted polynomial exists, we present a sufficient condition under which every polynomial with n large has a real zero.

1. INTRODUCTION

The root distribution of a single polynomial is a long-standing topic all along the history of mathematics; see Rahman and Schmeisser's book [12]. Motivated by the LCGD conjecture from topological graph theory, Gross, Mansour, Tucker and the first author [9, 10] studied the root distribution of polynomials satisfying some recurrences of order two, with one of the polynomial coefficients in the recurrence linear and the other constant. The generating function of such polynomials $W_n(z)$ is

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} W_n(z)t^n = \frac{1 + (z - A(z))t}{1 - A(z)t - B(z)t^2},$$

where $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ are the polynomial coefficients in the recurrence. Orthogonal polynomials and quasi-orthogonal polynomials have closed relations with such recurrences; see Andrews, Richard and Ranjan [1] and Brezinski, Driver and Redivo-Zaglia [7]. A general study for common zeros as a particular case of root distribution for polynomials defined by recurrences of order two can be found in [11].

In the study of root distribution, both the real-rootedness and the limiting distribution of zeros of the polynomials received much attention. Stanley on his website [13] provides some figures for the root distribution of some polynomials

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03D20, 26C10, 30C15.

Key words and phrases. Interlacing property; real-rootedness; recurrence; root distribution.

in a sequence arising from combinatorics. The significance of real-rootedness of polynomials can be found from [14, §4]. See also [4–6, 8].

In this paper, we continue the study of root distribution of polynomials generated by Eq. (1.1), where both $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ are linear and over \mathbb{R}^+ . It turns out that the linearities bring much richer root geometry. We find a sufficient and necessary condition for the real-rootedness of such polynomials, and determine the set of limits of zeros when the polynomials are real-rooted, by using the characterization of the limits given by Beraha, Kahane and Weiss [2, 3]. A study on the root distribution of polynomials generated by Eq. (1.1) with another sort of linear polynomial coefficients $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ can be found in [16], in which we introduced the notion of piecewise interlacing zeros to establish the real-rootedness subject to some technical conditions, but failed to find a sufficient and necessary condition for the overall real-rootedness. Polynomials generated by the function

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} W_n(z)t^n = \frac{1}{1 - A(z)t - B(z)t^2}$$

has been investigated in [15], in which Tran found an algebraic curve containing the zeros of all polynomials with large subscripts.

This paper is organised as follows. In §2, we state the main results Theorems 2.1 – 2.3. The first two results are shown in §3 while the last result is proved in §4.

2. MAIN RESULTS

One main result of this paper is a characterisation for the real-rootedness of some polynomials defined by a recurrence.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\{W_n(z)\}_n$ be the polynomial sequence satisfying the recurrence*

$$(2.1) \quad W_n(z) = (az + b)W_{n-1}(z) + (cz + d)W_{n-2}(z).$$

with $W_0(z) = 1$ and $W_1(z) = z$, where $a, b, c, d > 0$. Then every polynomial $W_n(z)$ is real-rooted if and only if $ad \leq bc$.

The sufficiency part of Theorem 2.1 will be established by proving that consecutive polynomials have piecewise interlacing zeros by induction, while the necessity part is shown with the aid of the limits of zeros; see §3.1 and 3.3 for details respectively.

Another main result is about the limit of zeros of such polynomials for the real-rooted case $ad < bc$. A complex number x is said to be a *limit of zeros* of a sequence $\{W_n(z)\}_n$ of polynomials if there is a zero z_n of $W_n(z)$ for each n such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = x$. Such a limit x is said to be *non-isolated* if x is a limit point of the set of all limits of zeros.

In this paper, we consider polynomials $W_n(z)$ satisfying Recurrence (2.1) with $a, b, c, d > 0$. Let $\Delta_\Delta = -a^2d + abc + c^2$ and $\Delta_g = (b+c)^2 + 4d(1-a)$. Let

$$x_\Delta^\pm = \frac{-ab - 2c \pm 2\sqrt{\Delta_\Delta}}{a^2} \quad \text{and}$$

$$x_g^\pm = \begin{cases} \frac{b+c}{2(1-a)} \pm \frac{\sqrt{\Delta_g}}{2|1-a|}, & \text{if } a \neq 1, \\ -\frac{d}{b+c}, & \text{if } a = 1. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $ad \leq bc$. It is direct to check that $\Delta_\Delta > 0$ and $\Delta_g > 0$. Then $x_\Delta^\pm, x_g^\pm \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_\Delta^- < -b/a < x_\Delta^+$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\{W_n(z)\}_n$ be the polynomial sequence satisfying Recurrence (2.1) with $W_0(z) = 1$ and $W_1(z) = z$, where $a, b, c, d > 0$. Then the points $-b/a$ and x_Δ^\pm are non-isolated limits of zeros of $\{W_n(z)\}_n$. Moreover, when $ad < bc$, the set of limits of zeros is*

$$\mathcal{L}^* = \begin{cases} [x_\Delta^-, x_\Delta^+] \cup \{x_g^-\}, & \text{if } 0 < a \leq 1; \\ [x_\Delta^-, x_\Delta^+] \cup \{x_g^+\}, & \text{if either } 1 < a \leq 2, \text{ or } a > 2 \text{ and } \Delta_\Delta \leq \Delta_g; \\ [x_\Delta^-, x_\Delta^+] \cup \{x_g^\pm\}, & \text{if } a > 2 \text{ and } \Delta_\Delta > \Delta_g. \end{cases}$$

When $ad > bc$, even the polynomial $W_2(z) = az^2 + (b+c)z + d$ may have no real zeros. We obtain a sufficient condition for the existence of one real zero for large n .

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\{W_n(z)\}_n$ be the polynomial sequence satisfying Recurrence (2.1) with $W_0(z) = 1$ and $W_1(z) = z$, where $a, b, c, d > 0$ and $ad > bc$. Suppose that at least one of the numbers $(2-a)x_\Delta^\pm - b$ is positive. Then there exists N such that the polynomial $W_n(z)$ has at least one real zero for all $n > N$.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 2.1 and 2.2

To the end of this paper, we use the notation $\{W_n(z)\}_n$ to denote a polynomial sequence satisfying Recurrence (2.1) with $W_0(z) = 1$ and $W_1(z) = z$, where $a, b, c, d > 0$. We employ the notations

$$\Delta(z) = A(z)^2 + 4B(z) = a^2z^2 + (2ab + 4c)z + (b^2 + 4d),$$

$$\alpha_\pm(z) = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(z)} \pm h(z)}{2\sqrt{\Delta(z)}}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$g(z) = -\alpha_+(z)\alpha_-(z)\Delta(z) = \frac{h^2(z) - \Delta(z)}{4} = (1-a)z^2 - (b+c)z - d,$$

where $h(z) = (2 - a)z - b$; see [9, 10]. Then the numbers x_g^\pm and x_Δ^\pm are zeros of the polynomials $\Delta(z)$ and $g(z)$ respectively, and

$$(3.1) \quad W_n(x_g^\pm) = (x_g^\pm)^n,$$

$$(3.2) \quad W_n(x_\Delta^\pm) = \frac{A(x_\Delta^\pm) + nh(x_\Delta^\pm)}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{A(x_\Delta^\pm)}{2} \right)^{n-1},$$

For convenience, we denote $x_A = -b/a$ and $x_B = -d/c$. We use the notation $\text{sgn}(\cdot)$ to denote the sign function for real numbers. Let

$$n^\pm = -\frac{A(x_\Delta^\pm)}{h(x_\Delta^\pm)}, \quad \text{if } h(x_\Delta^\pm) \neq 0.$$

Lemma 3.1. *We have*

$$\text{sgn}(W_n(x_A)) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\lceil n/2 \rceil}, & \text{if } x_A < x_B, \\ 0, & \text{if } x_A = x_B, \\ (-1)^n, & \text{if } x_A > x_B. \end{cases}$$

If $\Delta_g \geq 0$, then $W_n(x_g^-)(-1)^n > 0$. If $\Delta_\Delta \geq 0$, then

$$\text{sgn}(W_n(x_\Delta^-)) = \begin{cases} (-1)^n, & \text{if } h(x_\Delta^-) \leq 0 \text{ or } n < n^-; \\ 0, & \text{if } n = n^-; \\ (-1)^{n+1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have $h(x_\Delta^\pm) < 0$ if $a \leq 2$.

Proof. The sign of $W_n(x_A)$ can be shown directly from Recurrence (2.1), that of $W_n(x_g^-)$ by Eq. (3.1), and that of $W_n(x_\Delta^-)$ by Eq. (3.2). Note that $x_\Delta^- \leq x_\Delta^+ < 0$. Hence $h(x_\Delta^\pm) = (2 - a)x_\Delta^\pm - b < 0$ if $a \leq 2$. \square

In §3.1, we show the sufficiency part of Theorem 2.1. Theorem 2.2 is established in §3.2, and used in proving the necessity part of Theorem 2.1 in §3.3.

3.1. The sufficiency part of Theorem 2.1. For convenience, we denote

$$u = \begin{cases} x_\Delta^-, & \text{if } a \leq 2, \\ x_g^-, & \text{if } a > 2, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad v = \begin{cases} x_g^-, & \text{if } a \leq 1, \\ x_g^+, & \text{if } a > 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $x_A \leq x_B$. Then $W_n(x_\Delta^+) < 0$, $W_n(u)(-1)^n > 0$ and $W_n(v)(-1)^n > 0$. When $a > 1$, we have $x_g^- \leq x_\Delta^-$, with the equality holds if and only if $\Delta_\Delta = \Delta_g$. Moreover, we have the following.*

- If $x_A < x_B$, then $u \leq x_\Delta^- < x_A < x_\Delta^+ < v < 0$.

- If $x_A = x_B$, then $u < x_A = x_\Delta^+ < v < 0$.

Proof. It is clear that

$$x_A \leq x_B \iff ad \leq bc.$$

Suppose that $x_A \leq x_B$. It is direct to check that $\Delta_\Delta, \Delta_g \geq 0$, which implies $x_\Delta^\pm, x_g^\pm \in \mathbb{R}$. From definition, one may verify $x_\Delta^- < x_A \leq x_\Delta^+ < 0$. When $x_A < x_B$, we have $\Delta(x_A) = 4B(x_A) < 0$, which implies $x_A < x_\Delta^+$. When $x_A = x_B$, we have $x_\Delta^+ = x_A$ from definition. It follows that $A(x_\Delta^-) \leq 0 \leq A(x_\Delta^+)$, and that

$$(3.3) \quad h(x_\Delta^\pm) = (2-a)x_\Delta^\pm - b \leq -b < 0, \quad \text{if } a \leq 2,$$

$$(3.4) \quad h(x_\Delta^+) = (2-a)x_\Delta^+ - b \leq (2-a)x_A - b = 2x_A < 0, \quad \text{if } a > 2.$$

Therefore, we have

$$A(x_\Delta^\pm) + nh(x_\Delta^\pm) \leq A(x_\Delta^\pm) + h(x_\Delta^\pm) = 2x_\Delta^\pm < 0, \quad \text{if } a \leq 2, \quad \text{and}$$

$$A(x_\Delta^+) + nh(x_\Delta^+) \leq A(x_\Delta^+) + h(x_\Delta^+) = 2x_\Delta^+ < 0, \quad \text{if } a > 2.$$

By Eq. (3.2), we find $W_n(x_\Delta^+) < 0$, and

$$W_n(x_\Delta^-)(-1)^n > 0 \quad \text{if } a \leq 2.$$

On the other hand, from definition, one may verify that

$$\begin{aligned} x_g^- < 0 < x_g^+, & \quad \text{if } a < 1 \quad \text{and} \\ x_g^- \leq x_g^+ < 0, & \quad \text{if } a \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Eq. (3.1) and the definition of v , we find $W_n(v)(-1)^n > 0$.

From definition, we have $g(x_\Delta^\pm) = h^2(x_\Delta^\pm)/4$. By using Ineqs. (3.3) and (3.4), we find

- if $a \leq 2$, then $g(x_\Delta^\pm) > 0$; and
- if $a > 2$, then $g(x_\Delta^+) > 0$ and $g(x_\Delta^-) \geq 0$.

When $a > 1$, the function $g(z)$ is a downward parabola. Then the points x_Δ^\pm lie inside the closed interval $[x_g^-, x_g^+]$. When $a < 1$, $g(z)$ is an upward parabola and x_Δ^\pm lie outside the open interval (x_g^-, x_g^+) . Since $x_\Delta^+ < 0 < x_g^+$, both x_Δ^\pm lie to the left of the interval. When $a = 1$, the function $g(z)$ is a decreasing line and $x_\Delta^+ \leq x_g^+$. In summary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_\Delta^- < x_\Delta^+ < x_g^- < 0 < x_g^+, & \quad \text{if } a < 1, \\ x_\Delta^- < x_\Delta^+ < x_g^- = x_g^+ < 0, & \quad \text{if } a = 1, \\ x_g^- \leq x_\Delta^- < x_\Delta^+ < x_g^+ < 0, & \quad \text{if } a > 1. \end{aligned}$$

The equality $x_g^- = x_\Delta^-$ holds if and only if $g(x_\Delta^-) = 0$, that is, $h(x_\Delta^-) = 0$. It is routine to check that

$$h(x_\Delta^-) = \frac{2(\Delta_g - \Delta_\Delta)}{-ab + ac - 2c - (a - 2)\sqrt{\Delta_\Delta}}.$$

Hence $x_g^- = x_\Delta^-$ if and only if $\Delta_\Delta = \Delta_g$. All the other desired inequalities are verified in the above proof. This completes the proof. \square

We remark that $\Delta_\Delta = \Delta_g$ implies that $a > 2$.

Let $X, Y \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $|X| - |Y| \in \{0, 1\}$. We say that X *interlaces* Y *from the left*, if the elements x_i of X and the elements y_j of Y can be arranged so that $x_1 \leq y_1 \leq x_2 \leq y_2 \leq \dots$, and that X *strictly interlaces* Y *from the left* if no equality holds in the ordering. We say X *interlaces* Y *from the right* if the elements can be arranged as $x_1 \geq y_1 \geq x_2 \geq y_2 \geq \dots$, and X *strictly interlaces* Y *from the right* if $x_1 > y_1 > x_2 > y_2 > \dots$. For any set S and any interval J , we denote $S^J = S \cap J$ for short notation.

It is clear that the leading coefficient of $W_n(z)$ is $a^{n-1}z^n$, and the polynomials $W_n(z)$ satisfy another recurrence

$$(3.5) \quad W_n(z) = (A^2(z) + 2B(z))W_{n-2}(z) - B^2(z)W_{n-4}(z), \quad \text{for } n \geq 4.$$

Using the idea of piecewise interlacing zeros introduced in [16], one may derive the root distribution of the polynomials $W_n(z)$ when $x_A < x_B$; see Theorem 3.3, and Figs. 3.1 and 3.2 for illustration.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that $x_A < x_B$. Then every polynomial $W_n(z)$ is real-rooted. Denote by R_n the zero set of $W_n(z)$. Let*

$$J_1 = (u, x_A), \quad J_2 = (x_A, x_\Delta^+), \quad J_3 = (x_\Delta^+, v), \quad J_4 = (v, 0].$$

Then $|R_n^{J_1}| = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, $|R_n^{J_2}| = \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$, and $|R_{2n}^{J_3}| = |R_{2n-1}^{J_4}| = 1$. Moreover, for any $k = 1, 2$ and any $j = 1, 2$, the set $R_n^{J_j}$ strictly interlaces $R_{n-k}^{J_j}$, from the left if n is odd, and from the right if n is even.




FIGURE 3.1. Illustration of the root distribution of the polynomials $W_{2n-1}(z)$ in Theorem 3.3.

Proof. Suppose that $x_A < x_B$. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$(3.6) \quad W_n(u)(-1)^n > 0.$$




FIGURE 3.2. Illustration of the root distribution of the polynomials $W_{2n}(z)$ in Theorem 3.3.

By the intermediate value theorem and with the aids of Ineq. (3.6) and Lemma 3.1, it is routine to check that the polynomial $W_n(z)$ ($n = 2, 3, 4$) has zeros $\xi_{n,1} < \cdots < \xi_{n,n}$ such that

$$u < \xi_{4,1} < \xi_{3,1} < \xi_{2,1} < \xi_{4,2} < x_A < \xi_{3,2} < \xi_{4,3} < x_{\Delta}^+ < \xi_{2,2} < \xi_{4,4} < v < \xi_{3,3} < 0,$$

agreeing with all the desired results for $n \leq 4$. Let $n \geq 5$. We proceed by induction. Denote by x_1, \dots, x_{n-2} the zeros of $W_{n-2}(z)$ in increasing order. Then the interlacing properties by induction imply that

$$\operatorname{sgn}(W_{n-4}(x_j)) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n+j+1}, & \text{if } x_j \in J_1, \text{ or equivalently, } 1 \leq j \in \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1; \\ (-1)^{n+j}, & \text{if } x_j \in J_2, \text{ or equivalently, } \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \leq j \leq n-3; \\ (-1)^n, & \text{if } x_j \in J_3 \cup J_4, \text{ or equivalently, } j = n-2. \end{cases}$$

Note that $B(x_j) \neq 0$. By Recurrence (3.5) and the fact $W_{n-2}(x_j) = 0$, we infer that

$$\operatorname{sgn}(W_n(x_j)) = \operatorname{sgn}(-B^2(x_j)W_{n-4}(x_j)) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n+j}, & \text{if } x_j \in J_1; \\ (-1)^{n+j+1}, & \text{if } x_j \in J_2; \\ (-1)^{n+1}, & \text{if } x_j \in J_3 \cup J_4. \end{cases}$$

By the intermediate value theorem, together with Ineq. (3.6) and Lemma 3.1, we infer that the polynomial $W_n(z)$ has zeros z_j ($j \in [n]$) such that

$$u < z_1 < x_1 < z_2 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} < z_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} < x_A \\ < z_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} < x_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} < z_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2} < x_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} < \cdots < x_{n-3} < z_{n-1} < x_{\Delta}^+,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\Delta}^+ < x_{n-2} < z_n < v, & \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ v < z_n < x_{n-2} < 0, & \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\deg W_n(z) = n$, we conclude that the zeros z_j ($j \in [n]$) constitute the zero set of $W_n(z)$. This proves the real-rootedness of $W_n(z)$, verifies the cardinalities $|R_n^{J_j}|$, and establishes the desired interlacing properties for $k = 2$. In the same way, one may show those for $k = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

The idea of piecewise interlacing also works when $x_A = x_B$, yet some more efforts on handling the repeated zero x_A are needed; see Fig. 3.3 for illustration.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that $x_A = x_B$. Then the function $W_n(z)/A^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(z)$ is a polynomial. Denote its zero set by S_n . Then*

$$|S_{2n}^{(u,v)}| = n, \quad |S_{2n-1}^{(u,v)}| = n-1 \quad \text{and} \quad |S_{2n-1}^{(v,0)}| = 1.$$

Moreover, for $k = 1, 2$, the set $S_n^{(u,v)}$ strictly interlaces $S_{n-k}^{(u,v)}$ from the left if n is odd, and from the right if n is even.




FIGURE 3.3. Illustration of the root distribution of the polynomials $W_n(z)$ in Theorem 3.4.

Proof. Suppose that $x_A = x_B$. Then $B(x) = c' \cdot A(x)$, where $c' = c/a$. Denote

$$U_n(z) = \frac{W_n(z)}{A^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(z)}.$$

In view of Recurrence (2.1), the functions $U_n(z)$ can be defined alternatively by

$$(3.7) \quad U_n(z) = \begin{cases} U_{n-1}(x) + c' \cdot U_{n-2}(x), & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ A(x)U_{n-1}(x) + c' \cdot U_{n-2}(x), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

with $U_0(x) = 1$ and $U_1(x) = x$. From Recurrence (3.7), it is direct to see that every functions $U_n(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Denoted $d_n = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$.

By Lemma 3.1, we deduce that

$$(3.8) \quad U_n(u)(-1)^{d_n} = \frac{W_n(u)(-1)^n}{(-A(u))^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} (-1)^{d_n + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + n} > 0,$$

$$(3.9) \quad U_n(v)(-1)^n = \frac{W_n(v)(-1)^n}{A^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(v)} > 0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(3.10) \quad U_n(0) = \frac{W_n(0)}{A^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(0)} > 0.$$

By Recurrences (3.5) and (3.7), we infer that

$$(3.11) \quad U_n(z) = \tilde{A}(x)U_{n-2}(x) + \tilde{B}(x)U_{n-4}(x).$$

where $\tilde{A}(x) = A(x) + 2c'$ and $\tilde{B}(x) = -c'^2$. Now, by the intermediate value theorem and using Recurrences (3.7) and (3.11) and Ineqs. (3.8) — (3.10), it is routine to check that the polynomial $U_n(z)$ ($n = 2, 3, 4$) has zeros $\xi_{n,j}$ ($j \in [d_n]$) such that

$$u < \xi_{4,1} < \xi_{3,1} < \xi_{2,1} < \xi_{4,2} < v < \xi_{3,2} < 0.$$

Let $n \geq 5$, $J'_1 = (u, v)$ and $J'_2 = (v, 0]$. By induction, we can suppose that the polynomial $U_{n-2}(x)$ has zeros $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{d_{n-2}}$, where

$$x_j \in \begin{cases} J'_2, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } j = d_{n-2}; \\ J'_1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using the same technique in the proof of Theorem 3.3, one may show that

$$(3.12) \quad \text{sgn}(U_n(x_j)) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{d_n+j}, & \text{if } x_j \in J'_1, \\ 1, & \text{if } x_j \in J'_2, \end{cases}$$

and that the polynomial $U_n(z)$ has zeros z_1, z_2, \dots , such that

$$(3.13) \quad u < z_1 < x_1 < z_2 < x_2 < \cdots < z_m < x_m$$

as long as $x_m \in J'_1$.

If n is even, then one may take $m = d_{n-2} = d_n - 1$ in Ineq. (3.13). In this case, since $U_n(x_{d_{n-2}}) < 0 < U_n(v)$, there exists $z_{d_n} \in (x_{d_{n-2}}, v)$. Since $\deg U_n(z) = d_n$, we conclude that the zeros z_j ($j \in [d_n]$) constitute the zero set of $U_n(z)$. This proves all desired results for even n with $k = 2$. Otherwise n is odd, then one may take $m = d_{n-2} - 1 = d_n - 2$ in Ineq. (3.13). In this case, we have $x_{d_{n-2}-1} < v < x_{d_{n-2}}$. By Eq. (3.12) and Ineq. (3.9), we have

$$U_n(x_{d_{n-2}-1}) > 0, \quad U_n(v) < 0, \quad \text{and} \quad U_n(x_{d_{n-2}}) > 0.$$

By the intermediate value theorem, the polynomial $U_n(z)$ has zeros $z_{d_{n-1}}$ and z_{d_n} such that $x_{d_{n-2}-1} < z_{d_{n-1}} < v < z_{d_n} < x_{d_{n-2}}$. Thus the zeros z_j ($j \in [d_n]$) constitute the zero set of $U_n(z)$. This proves all desired results for odd n and $k = 2$.

Denote by $y_1, \dots, y_{d_{n-1}}$ the zeros of $U_{n-1}(x)$ in increasing order. In the same way, one may show that $U_n(z)$ has zeros μ_j such that

$$(3.14) \quad u < \mu_1 < y_1 < \mu_2 < y_2 < \cdots < \mu_m < y_m,$$

as long as $y_m \in J'_1$. If n is odd, then one may take $m = d_{n-1} = d_n$ in Ineq. (3.14). It follows that the zero μ_j coincides with z_j for $j \in [d_n]$. Otherwise n is even, one may take $m = d_{n-1} - 1 = d_n - 2$ in Ineq. (3.14). Since $U_n(y_{d_{n-1}-1}) < 0 < U_n(v)$, there exists $\mu_{d_{n-1}} \in (y_{d_{n-1}-1}, v)$. Since $U_n(z)$ has $d_n - 1$ zeros in the interval J'_1 , we conclude that the zero μ_j coincides with z_j for $j \in [d_n - 1]$. This proves all desired results for $k = 1$, and completes the whole proof. \square

From Theorem 3.4 we see that every polynomial $W_n(z)$ has the number x_A as a repeated zero of multiplicity $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ or $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$. We remark that by using the results in [10], one may find the real-rootedness of every polynomial $U_{2n}(z)$, the best bounds, and some convergence results of the union of zeros of all polynomials $U_{2n}(z)$.

3.2. The proof of Theorem 2.2. Denote by \mathcal{L} the set of limits of zeros of the polynomials $W_n(z)$. For convenience, we define

$$f(z) = |A(z) + \sqrt{\Delta(z)}| - |A(z) - \sqrt{\Delta(z)}|.$$

According to Beraha et al.'s result [3], under the two non-degeneracy conditions

- (N-i) the sequence $\{W_n(z)\}_n$ does not satisfy a recurrence of order less than two,
- (N-ii) $f(z) \neq 0$ for some $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

a number $z \in \mathcal{L}$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (C-i) $\alpha_-(z) = 0$ and $f(z) < 0$;
- (C-ii) $\alpha_+(z) = 0$ and $f(z) > 0$;
- (C-iii) $f(z) = 0$.

In fact, Condition (N-i) is satisfied since otherwise one would have $W_n(z) = z^n$ for each n , contradicting the fact $W_2(z) = az^2 + (b+c)z + d$. On the other hand, Condition (N-ii) holds true because

$$f(0) = |b + \sqrt{b^2 + 4d}| - |b - \sqrt{b^2 + 4d}| \neq 0.$$

By using the maximum principle for the characteristic function of Recurrence (2.1), one may show that every point satisfy Condition (C-iii) is a non-isolated limit of zeros; see [3, page 221]. In particular, the points x_A and x_Δ^\pm are such limits since $f(x_A) = f(x_\Delta^\pm) = 0$.

Suppose that $ad < bc$, i.e., $x_A < x_B$. By Theorem 3.3, we infer immediately that

$$\mathcal{L} \subseteq [u, 0].$$

Denote $J_\Delta = [x_\Delta^-, x_\Delta^+]$. We claim that

$$J_\Delta \subseteq \mathcal{L} \subseteq J_\Delta \cup \{x_g^-, x_g^+\}.$$

For $x \in J_\Delta$, the numbers $A(x) \pm \sqrt{\Delta(x)}$ are conjugate to each other. Thus $f(x) = 0$, i.e., every point in the interval J_Δ satisfies Condition (C-iii). Hence $J_\Delta \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. On the other hand, Theorem 3.3 tells us that every polynomial $W_n(z)$ has only real zeros. Thus $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{L} \setminus J_\Delta$. Then either Condition (C-i) or Condition (C-ii) holds true for $z = x$. Thus $\alpha_-(x)\alpha_+(x) = 0$, which implies $g(x) = 0$ from definition. Hence $x \in \{x_g^\pm\}$. This proves the claim.

In order to show $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^*$, we proceed according to the domain of a .

Case 1 ($0 < a \leq 1$). We shall show that $\mathcal{L} = J_\Delta \cup \{x_g^-\}$. From Lemma 3.2, we see that $x_\Delta^- < x_A < x_\Delta^+ < x_g^- < 0$. It follows that $A(x_g^-) > 0$, $\Delta(x_g^-) > 0$ and thus

$f(x_g^-) > 0$. From the definition of $g(z)$, we deduce that $\alpha_-(x_g^-)\alpha_+(x_g^-) = 0$. Since $h(x_g^-) = (2-a)x_g^- - b < 0$, we have

$$\alpha_-(x_g^-) = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(x_g^-) - h(x_g^-)}}{2\sqrt{\Delta(x_g^-)}} > 0.$$

Hence $\alpha_+(x_g^-) = 0$ and $x_g^- \in \mathcal{L}$ by Condition (C-ii). On the other hand, we have $x_g^+ > 0$ from definition. Since $\mathcal{L} \subseteq [u, 0]$, we infer that $x_g^+ \notin \mathcal{L}$. This proves $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^*$.

Case 2 ($1 < a \leq 2$). We shall show that $\mathcal{L} = J_\Delta \cup \{x_g^+\}$. By Lemma 3.2, we have $x_g^- \leq x_\Delta^- < x_A < x_\Delta^+ < x_g^+ < 0$. Note that $\mathcal{L} \subseteq [u, 0] = [x_\Delta^-, 0]$. If $x_g^- < x_\Delta^-$, then $x_g^- \notin \mathcal{L}$; otherwise $x_g^- = x_\Delta^- \in J_\Delta$. On the other hand, same to Case 1, we may derive $\Delta(x_g^+) > 0$, $f(x_g^+) > 0$, $h(x_g^+) < 0$, $\alpha_-(x_g^+) > 0$, $\alpha_+(x_g^+) = 0$, and $x_g^+ \in \mathcal{L}$.

Case 3 ($a > 2$). We shall show that

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{cases} J_\Delta \cup \{x_g^+\}, & \text{if } \Delta_\Delta \leq \Delta_g; \\ J_\Delta \cup \{x_g^\pm\}, & \text{if } \Delta_\Delta > \Delta_g. \end{cases}$$

In this case, we have $x_g^- \leq x_\Delta^- < x_A < x_\Delta^+ < x_g^+$ from Lemma 3.2. It follows that $A(x_g^-) < 0 < A(x_g^+)$, $\Delta(x_g^\pm) > 0$ and thus $f(x_g^-) < 0 < f(x_g^+)$.

Since the function $h(x)$ is decreasing and $h(x_A) = 2x_A < 0$, we have $h(x_g^+) < 0$. Along the same line as in Case 2, one may deduce that $x_g^+ \in \mathcal{L}$.

It remains to figure out whether $x_g^- \in \mathcal{L}$. If $\Delta_\Delta = \Delta_g$, then $x_g^- = x_\Delta^- \in J_\Delta$. Below we suppose that $\Delta_\Delta \neq \Delta_g$. Then $x_g^- < x_\Delta^-$ by Lemma 3.2. Denote by $x_h = b/(2-a)$ the zero of the function $h(z)$. From definition, one may derive that

$$h(x_g^-) > 0 \iff g(x_h) = -\frac{\Delta(x_h)}{4} > 0 \iff x_h < x_\Delta^+ \iff \Delta_\Delta > \Delta_g.$$

If $\Delta_\Delta < \Delta_g$, then $h(x_g^-) \leq 0$ by the above equivalence relation, and $\alpha_-(x_g^-) > 0$. Hence $x_g^- \notin \mathcal{L}$ because none of Conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) holds for $z = x_g^-$. Otherwise $\Delta_\Delta > \Delta_g$. Then $h(x_g^-) > 0$ by the equivalence relation, and

$$\alpha_+(x_g^-) = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(x_g^-) + h(x_g^-)}}{2\sqrt{\Delta(x_g^-)}} > 0.$$

It follows that $\alpha_-(x_g^-) = 0$. By Condition (i), we infer that $x_g^- \in \mathcal{L}$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

3.3. The necessity part of Theorem 2.1. It suffices to show that $W_n(z)$ has a non-real root for large n whenever $x_A > x_B$. Suppose that $x_A > x_B$. Then $\Delta(x_A) = 4B(x_A) > 0$. By continuity, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that (i) $\Delta(x) > 0$ for any $x \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ and (ii) $x_g^\pm \notin \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$, where

$$\mathcal{N}_\epsilon = \{z \in \mathbb{R} : |z - x_A| < \epsilon\} \setminus \{x_A\}.$$

Consequently, none of Conditions (C-i), (C-ii) and (C-iii) is satisfied by any point $x \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$. In other words, the neighbourhood \mathcal{N}_ϵ contains no limit of zeros. By Theorem 2.2, the point x_A is a non-isolated limit of zeros. Therefore, there is a non-real limit of zeros in any neighbourhood of x_A , which implies the existence of a non-real zero of $W_n(z)$ for every large n . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3

When $x_A > x_B$, the root distribution of the polynomials $W_n(z)$ are totally different from that when $x_A \leq x_B$. In this section, we show the existence of a real zero of $W_n(z)$ for large n under some technical conditions.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $x_A > x_B$ and $\Delta_\Delta \geq 0$. Then*

$$\text{sgn}(W_n(x_\Delta^+)) = \begin{cases} (-1)^n, & \text{if } h(x_\Delta^+) \leq 0 \text{ or } n < n^+, \\ 0, & \text{if } n = n^+, \\ (-1)^{n+1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have $h(x_\Delta^+) > 0$ holds if and only if

$$\Delta_g > \Delta_\Delta \quad \text{and} \quad -ab + ac - 2c > 0.$$

Proof. The sign of $W_n(x_\Delta^+)$ can be determined by Eq. (3.2) directly. Since $\Delta_\Delta \geq 0$, we have $x_\Delta^\pm \in \mathbb{R}$. One may compute that

$$(4.1) \quad h(x_\Delta^+) = \frac{(a-2)(c - \sqrt{\Delta_\Delta}) - ab}{a^2/2}.$$

Since $x_A > x_B$, we have $\Delta_\Delta = c^2 - a(ad - bc) < c^2$. Suppose that $h(x_\Delta^+) > 0$. In view of Eq. (4.1), we infer that $a > 2$ and that

$$-ab + ac - 2c = (a-2)c - ab > (a-2)\sqrt{\Delta_\Delta}$$

is positive. Squaring both sides of the above inequality gives

$$0 < (-ab + ac - 2c)^2 - (a-2)^2\Delta_\Delta = a^2(\Delta_g - \Delta_\Delta).$$

This proves the sufficiency. Conversely, the inequality $-ab + ac - 2c > 0$ implies $a > 2$. The above deductions holds true line by line backwards, as desired. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that $x_A > x_B$ and $h(x_\Delta^+) > 0$. Let $n \geq n^+$. Then the polynomial $W_n(z)$ has at least two distinct real zeros.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, we have $a > 2$ and the polynomial $h(z)$ is linear. Since $h(x_\Delta^+) > 0$ is real, we deduce that $x_\Delta^+ \in \mathbb{R}$, that is, $\Delta_\Delta \geq 0$. By Lemma 4.1, we have $\Delta_g > \Delta_\Delta \geq 0$. It follows that $x_\Delta^\pm, x_g^\pm \in \mathbb{R}$. Applying Viète's theorem to the quadratic polynomial $g(z)$, one infers that $x_g^\pm < 0$. Since $g(x_\Delta^\pm) = h^2(x_\Delta^\pm)/4 \geq 0$, we find

$$(4.2) \quad x_g^- \leq x_\Delta^- \leq x_\Delta^+ \leq x_g^+ < 0.$$

Since $a > 2$, we infer that

$$h(x_\Delta^-) = \frac{(a-2)(c + \sqrt{\Delta_\Delta}) - ab}{a^2/2} \geq \frac{(a-2)(c - \sqrt{\Delta_\Delta}) - ab}{a^2/2} = h(x_\Delta^+) > 0.$$

It follows that the difference

$$(4.3) \quad n^+ - n^- = -\frac{A(x_\Delta^+)}{h(x_\Delta^+)} + \frac{A(x_\Delta^-)}{h(x_\Delta^-)} = \frac{8b\sqrt{\Delta_\Delta}}{a^2 h(x_\Delta^-) h(x_\Delta^+)}$$

is positive. Let $n \geq n^+$. From Lemmas 3.1 and 4.1 and Eq. (3.1), we see that

$$W_n(x_\Delta^-)(-1)^{n+1} > 0, \quad W_n(x_\Delta^+)(-1)^{n+1} \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad W_n(x_g^\pm)(-1)^n > 0.$$

As a consequence, we have $x_g^- \neq x_\Delta^-$ and $x_\Delta^+ \neq x_g^+$. By using the intermediate value theorem, we find that every polynomial $W_n(z)$ has a zero in the interval (x_g^-, x_Δ^-) and another zero in the interval $[x_\Delta^+, x_g^+)$. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that $x_A > x_B$ and $h(x_\Delta^+) < 0 < h(x_\Delta^-)$. Let $n > n^-$. Then the polynomial $W_n(z)$ has at least one real zero. If $\Delta_g \geq 0$ in addition, then $W_n(z)$ has at least two distinct real zeros.*

Proof. From the premise $h(x_\Delta^-) > 0$, we infer that $a > 2$. Along the lines of the proof of Theorem 4.2, one may obtain Ineq. (4.2), and $n^- > n^+$ by Eq. (4.3). Let $n > n^-$. By Eq. (3.1) and Lemmas 3.1 and 4.1, we have

$$W_n(x_g^-)(-1)^n > 0, \quad W_n(x_\Delta^-)(-1)^{n+1} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad W_n(x_\Delta^+)(-1)^n > 0.$$

Thus $x_g^- \neq x_\Delta^-$ and $x_\Delta^+ \neq x_g^+$. By the intermediate value theorem, the polynomial $W_n(z)$ has a real zero in the interval (x_Δ^-, x_Δ^+) . If, additionally, $\Delta_g \geq 0$, then $x_g^- \in \mathbb{R}$, and the polynomial $W_n(z)$ has another real zero in the interval (x_g^-, x_Δ^-) . This completes the proof. \square

Combining Theorems 4.2 and 4.3, one obtains a complete proof of Theorem 2.3, in which the number N can be taken to be $\lceil \max(n^-, n^+, 1) \rceil$. In fact, with some extra efforts one may show that $n^+ > 1$ in Theorem 4.2 and that $n^- > 1$ in Theorem 4.3. Hence the number N can be set to $\lceil \max(n^-, n^+) \rceil$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. Andrews, A. Richard, and R. Ranjan, *Special Functions*, Camb. Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] S. Beraha, J. Kahane, and N. J. Weiss, Limits of zeroes of recursively defined polynomials, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 72(11) (1975), 4209.
- [3] —, Limits of zeroes of recursively defined families of polynomials, *Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud.* 1 (1978), 213–232.
- [4] P. Bleher, R. Mallison Jr., Zeros of sections of exponential sums, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2006 (2006), 1–49, Article ID 38937.
- [5] R. Boyer and W.M.Y. Goh, On the zero attractor of the Euler polynomials, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 38(1) (2007), 97–132.
- [6] —, Appell polynomials and their zero attractors, *Gems in experimental mathematics*, 69–96, *Contemp. Math.* 517 (2008), 69–96. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [7] C. Brezinski, K.A. Driver, M. Redivo-Zaglia, Quasi-orthogonality with applications to some families of classical orthogonal polynomials, *Appl. Numer. Math.* 48 (2004), 157–168.
- [8] W. Goh, M.X. He, P.E. Ricci, On the universal zero attractor of the Tribonacci-related polynomials, *Calcolo* 46 (2009), 95–129.
- [9] J.L. Gross, T. Mansour, T.W. Tucker, and D.G.L. Wang, Root geometry of polynomial sequences I: Type $(0, 1)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 433(2) (2016), 1261–1289.
- [10] J.L. Gross, T. Mansour, T.W. Tucker, and D.G.L. Wang, Root geometry of polynomial sequences II: type $(1, 0)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 441(2) (2016), 499–528.
- [11] D.D.D. Jin and D.G.L. Wang, Common zeros of polynomials satisfying a recurrence of order two, [arXiv\[math.CO\]:1712.04231](https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.04231).
- [12] Q.I. Rahman, G. Schmeisser, *Analytic Theory of Polynomials*, London Math. Soc. Monogr. New Ser. 26., The Clarendon Press, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [13] R.P. Stanley, <http://www-math.mit.edu/~rstan/zeros>.
- [14] R.P. Stanley, Positivity problems and conjectures in algebraic combinatorics, in V. Arnold, M. Atiyah, P. Lax, and B. Mazur (Eds.), *Mathematics: frontiers and perspectives*, Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 2000, pp. 295–319.
- [15] K. Tran, Connections between discriminants and the root distribution of polynomials with rational generating function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 410 (2014), 330–340.
- [16] D.G.L. Wang and J.J.R. Zhang, Piecewise interlacing property of polynomials satisfying some recurrence of order two, [arXiv\[math.CO\]:1712.04225](https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.04225).

[†]SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 102488 BEIJING, P. R. CHINA, [‡]BEIJING KEY LABORATORY ON MCAACI, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 102488 BEIJING, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: david.combin@gmail.com

[†]SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 102488 BEIJING, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: jrzhang.combin@gmail.com