

# EXISTENCE OF SUPERSINGULAR REPRESENTATIONS OF $p$ -ADIC REDUCTIVE GROUPS

M.-F. VIGNÉRAS

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Iwahori Hecke ring	4
3. Supersingular and discrete modules	6
4. Characters	8
5. Discrete simple modules with supersingular reduction	10
6. Admissible integral structure via discrete cocompact subgroups	12
7. Supercuspidality criterion	13
8. Change of coefficient field	14
9. Reduction to an absolutely simple adjoint group	15
References	19

Let  $G$  be a connected simple adjoint  $p$ -adic group not isomorphic to a projective linear group  $PGL_m(D)$  of a division algebra  $D$  ( $m \geq 2$ ), or an adjoint ramified unitary group  $PU(h)$  of a split hermitian form  $h$  in 3 variables. We prove that  $G$  admits an irreducible admissible supercuspidal (= supersingular) representation over any field of characteristic  $p$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper,  $F$  is a local non-archimedean field of characteristic  $a$  and residue field of characteristic  $p$  with  $q$  elements,  $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$  where  $\mathbf{G}$  is a connected reductive  $F$ -group,  $C$  a field (of coefficients) of characteristic  $c$  and  $C^{alg}$  an algebraic closure of  $C$ .

Recent applications of automorphic forms to number theory have imposed the study of smooth representations of  $G$  on  $C$ -vector spaces for  $C$  not algebraically closed and often finite with  $c = p$ . Indeed one expects a strong relation, à la Langlands, with  $C$ -representations of the Galois group of  $F$  - the only established case, however, is that of  $GL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

An irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  is called supercuspidal if it is not isomorphic to a subquotient of a representation parabolically induced from an irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation of a Levi subgroup. In the established cases of the Langlands correspondence, they correspond to the irreducible continuous  $C$ -representations of the Galois group

---

*Date:* March 15, 2022.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 20C08, secondary 11F70.

*Key words and phrases.* integral structure, admissibility, discrete cocompact subgroups, minimal representations, square integrable representations, reflection module, parabolic induction, pro- $p$  Iwahori Hecke algebra.

of  $F$ . All irreducible admissible  $C$ -representations of  $G$  are constructed from the irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $C$ -representations of the Levi subgroups of  $G$  using parabolic induction.

When  $c = p$ , the finite group analogue  $\mathbf{H}(k)$  of  $G$ , where  $\mathbf{H}$  is a connected reductive group over a finite field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ , admits no irreducible supercuspidal  $C$ -representation [CE04, Thm.6.12].

For  $C = \mathbb{F}_p^{alg}$ , irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $C$ -representations have been constructed for some rank 1 groups:

$PGL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  Breuil [Bre03] after the pionner work of Barthel-Livne [BL94]; this was the starting point of the Langlands  $p$ -adic correspondance (Colmez and al.),

$PGL(2, F)$ , Paskunas [Pas04],[BP12], using  $G$ -equivariant coefficient systems on the adjoint Bruhat-Tits building of  $G$ ,

$SL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  [Abd14], [Che13],

$U(1, 1)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  unramified [Koz16],

$U(1, 2)(F)$  unramified and  $p \neq 2$  [KX15].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume  $(a, c) = (0, p)$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  absolutely simple adjoint not isomorphic to the projective linear group  $\mathbf{PGL}_m$  of a  $d^2$ -dimensional central division  $F$ -algebra ( $m \geq 2, d \geq 1$ ), or to the adjoint unitary group of a split hermitian form in 3 variables over a ramified quadratic extension of  $F$ .*

*Then,  $G$  admits an irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $C$ -representation.*

The irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $C$ -representation of  $G$  will be a subquotient of a subrepresentation  $\rho_C^\Gamma := C(\Gamma \backslash G)^\infty$  (smooth induction of the trivial  $C$ -representation) for a discrete cocompact subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  [BH78]. The proof is local and uses a criterion of supercuspidality proved with R. Ollivier for  $C$  algebraically closed [OV, Thm 3] and that we extend to any  $C$ :

**Proposition 1.2.** [Supercuspidality criterion] *Assume  $c = p$ . An irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  is supercuspidal if and only if it contains a non-zero pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariant supersingular element if and only if  $\pi$  is supersingular (all pro- $p$ -Iwahori invariant elements of  $\pi$  are supersingular).*

The equivalence supercuspidal  $\Leftrightarrow$  supersingular follows also from the classification [HV17, Thm.9]. Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  denote an Iwahori subgroup of  $G$  and  $H_C(G, \mathfrak{B})$  the Iwahori Hecke  $C$ -algebra.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Assume  $(a, c) = (0, p)$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  as in Thm.1.1. Then, there exists a discrete cocompact subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  such that the  $H_C(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module  $C[\Gamma \backslash G / \mathfrak{B}]$  contains a non-zero supersingular element.*

To prove the theorem, we pick a non-zero supersingular element  $v$  in  $C[\Gamma \backslash G / \mathfrak{B}]$  and an irreducible quotient  $\pi$  of the subrepresentation of  $\rho_C^\Gamma$  generated by  $v$ . Then  $\pi$  is admissible ( $\rho_C^\Gamma$  is admissible and  $a = 0$ ) and contains a non-zero  $\mathfrak{B}$ -invariant supersingular element, hence is supercuspidal by the supercuspidality criterion.

We explain now the meaning of supersingular, why we exclude  $PGL(m, D)$  and  $PU(h)$  and how we prove Prop.1.3.

We choose a minimal parabolic  $F$ -subgroup  $\mathbf{B}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  containing a maximal  $F$ -subtorus  $\mathbf{T}$ . Bruhat and Tits associated to them an affine Coxeter system  $(W, S)$ , parameters  $q_s = q^{d(s)}$

for  $s \in S$ , where  $q$  is the residue field of  $F$  and the  $d(s)$  are integers  $\geq 1$ , and a commutative group  $\Omega$  acting on the Dynkin diagram  $Dyn$  of  $(W, S)$  decorated with the parameters  $d(s)$ . The diagram  $Dyn$  is the completed Dynkin diagram of a reduced root system  $\Sigma$ .

The Iwahori Hecke ring  $H(G^{sc}, \mathfrak{B}^{sc})$  of an Iwahori subgroup  $\mathfrak{B}^{sc}$  of the simply connected cover  $G^{sc}$  of the derived group of  $G$  is isomorphic to the Hecke ring  $H := H(W, S, q_s)$  associated to  $(W, S), (q_s)_{s \in S}$ , and the Iwahori Hecke ring  $H(G, \mathfrak{B})$  is isomorphic to  $H := \mathbb{Z}[\Omega] \rtimes H(W, S, q_s)$ . To each cocharacter  $\mu \in X_*(T)$  of  $T$  is associated a central element  $z_\mu$  of  $H$  [Vig17]. Write  $H_C := C \otimes H$ . When  $c = p$ , an element  $v$  in a right  $H_C$ -module is called supersingular if  $vz_\mu^n = 0$  for all  $\mu \in X_*(T)$  dominant but  $\mu^{-1}$  not dominant and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large.

A simple right  $H_C$ -module  $M_C$  which is the  $\mathfrak{B}$ -invariants of an irreducible admissible square integrable modulo center  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation of  $G$  is called discrete. If  $\mathbf{G}$  is semi-simple,  $M_C$  is discrete if and only if the simple components of its restriction to  $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{sc}$  are discrete; the equivalence uses Casselman's criterion of square integrability [Cas, §2.5] and the Bernstein Hecke elements [Vig16, Cor. 5.28].

Assume that  $\mathbf{G}$  is absolutely simple adjoint. If the type of  $\Sigma$  is  $A_\ell$  ( $\ell \geq 2$ ), the parameters are equal and  $G = PGL_m(D)$  for a  $d^2$ -dimensional central division  $F$ -algebra  $D$  ( $m \geq 2, d \geq 1$ ). If the type of  $\Sigma$  is  $A_1$  and the two parameters are equal, then  $G = PU(h)$  is the adjoint unitary group of a split hermitian form  $h$  in 3 variables over a ramified quadratic extension of  $F$  [Tit79, §4].

When the type of  $\Sigma$  is different from  $A_\ell$  ( $\ell \geq 1$ ) if the parameters are equal, we find a simple discrete  $H_C$ -module  $M_C$  with an  $H_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]}$ -integral structure  $M$  of reduction, modulo a maximal ideal  $P$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]$ , a supersingular  $H_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ -module  $M_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ :

- When the type is  $B_\ell$  ( $\ell \geq 3$ ),  $C_\ell$  ( $\ell \geq 2$ ),  $F_4, G_2$ , or  $A_1$  with two distinct parameters, then  $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{sc}$  admits a discrete character which is not the special character [Bor76, 5.9]<sup>1</sup>. By extending or inducing such a character, we construct a simple discrete right  $H_C$ -module of dimension  $\leq 2$  with a natural  $H$ -integral structure. As we avoided the Steinberg character, the reduction modulo  $p$  of the  $H$ -integral structure is a supersingular  $H_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ -module. See Prop. 5.2.

- When the type is  $D_\ell$  ( $\ell \geq 3$ ),  $E_6, E_7, E_8$ , the group  $\mathbf{G}$  is  $F$ -split [Tit79, §4]. The image by a natural involution of  $H_C$  of the reflection right  $H_C$ -module of  $\mathbb{C}$ -dimension  $|S|$  is discrete [Lus83, 4.23], has a natural  $H_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]}$ -integral structure of reduction modulo a maximal ideal  $P$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]$  a supersingular  $H_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ -module. See Prop. 5.3.

Now, we find  $\Gamma$  such that  $C[\Gamma \backslash G / \mathfrak{B}]$  contains a non-zero supersingular element. The existence of discrete cocompact subgroups  $\Gamma$  in  $G$  is ensured by  $a = 0$  [Mar91]. By the  $p$ -adic version of the de George-Wallach limit multiplicity formula ([DKV84, Appendice3, Prop.] plus [Kaz86, Thm.K]), the simple discrete  $H_C$ -module  $M_C$  embeds in  $C[\Gamma \backslash G / \mathfrak{B}]$  for some discrete cocompact subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$ . All the  $H_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]}$ -integral structures of  $M_C$  have the same semi-simplification hence have supersingular reduction modulo  $P$ , and  $M' := M_C \cap \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}][\Gamma \backslash G / \mathfrak{B}]$  is another  $H_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]}$ -integral structure of  $M_C$  of reduction modulo  $P$  a  $H_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ -submodule  $M'_{\mathbb{F}_p}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p[\Gamma \backslash G / \mathfrak{B}]$ . See Prop. 6.3. The scalar extension from  $\mathbb{F}_p$  to  $C$  of the supersingular  $H_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ -module  $M'_{\mathbb{F}_p}$  is a non-zero supersingular  $H_C$ -submodule of  $C[\Gamma \backslash G / \mathfrak{B}]$ . This ends the proof of Prop.1.3.

A similar argument for an irreducible admissible supercuspidal complex representation of  $G$  produces an integral structure with admissible reduction (Cor. 6.5).

<sup>1</sup>In Borel, the Iwahori group is the fixer  $\tilde{Z}_0 \mathfrak{B}$  of an alcove, where  $\tilde{Z}_0$  is the maximal compact subgroup of a minimal Levi subgroup; if  $\mathbf{G}$  is  $F$ -split,  $\tilde{Z}_0 \mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}$

Returning to  $\mathbf{G}$  general, Kret proved that  $G$  admits irreducible admissible supercuspidal complex representations [Kre12] (if  $a = 0$  [BP16]). We extend this to any field  $C$  of characteristic 0 in §8:

**Proposition 1.4.** [Change of coefficient field] *If  $G$  admits an irreducible admissible supercuspidal representation over some field of characteristic  $c$ , supposed to be finite if  $c = p$ , then  $G$  admits an irreducible admissible supercuspidal representation over any field of characteristic  $c$ .*

We reduce the construction of an irreducible admissible supercuspidal representation of  $G$  to the case of  $\mathbf{G}$  absolutely simple and adjoint in §9:

**Proposition 1.5.** [Reduction to a simple adjoint group] *Assume  $a = 0$  and  $C$  algebraically closed or finite. If any connected absolutely simple adjoint  $p$ -adic group admits an irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $C$ -representation, then any connected reductive  $p$ -adic group admits an irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $C$ -representation.*

Summarizing our results, we obtain:

**Theorem 1.6.** *Assume  $(a, c) = (0, p)$ . Then  $G$  admits an irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $C$ -representation, if:*

*$PGL(m, D)$  and  $PU(h)$  (as in Thm.1.1) admit an irreducible admissible supercuspidal representation over some finite field of characteristic  $p$ .*

When  $(a, c) = (0, p)$ ,  $PGL(m, D)$  and  $PU(h)$  should also admit an irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $C$ -representation. We miss  $PGL(m, D)$  and  $PU(h)$  because we do not know the integrality properties of the unramified irreducible admissible complex representations of  $G$  corresponding to the integral reflections modules of the generalized affine Hecke algebras of supersingular reduction modulo  $p$ . The missing cases will probably be completed by Herzig with a global method for  $PGL(m, D)$  and by Koziol with coefficient systems on the tree for  $PU(h)$ .

*Acknowledgements* I thank Pioline, Savin and the organizers of the conference on automorphic forms and string theory in Banff (2017-10-30) for emails, discussions and their invitation who led me to look closely at unramified minimal representations corresponding to the reflection modules of the affine Hecke algebras [GS05, Thm. 12.3]. Minimal representations are important for string theorists [KPW02] and for mathematicians as they allow to construct analogues of theta series. Their relation to supersingular representations, a complete surprise for me, is at the origin of this work on a basic question raised fifteen years ago for modulo  $p$  representations.

I thank also Heiermann for a discussion on discrete modules, Harder for emails on discrete cocompact subgroups, Waldspurger for reminding me the antipode and a reference, Henniart for providing the proof of Prop.9.2 b), Herzig for writing a draft of his global method for  $GL(m, F)$ , Herzig and Koziol for working on the missing cases.

## 2. IWAHORI HECKE RING

We review in this section the parts of the theory of Iwahori Hecke algebras [Vig16], [Vig14], [Vig17] appearing in the proof of the key proposition 1.3.

Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a reductive connected  $F$ -group,  $\mathbf{T}$  a maximal  $F$ -split subtorus of  $\mathbf{G}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}$  a minimal  $F$ -parabolic subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  containing  $\mathbf{T}$ , and  $x_0$  a special point of the apartment of the

adjoint Bruhat-Tits building defined by  $\mathbf{T}$ . Associated to the triple  $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{B}, x_0)$  are: the center  $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})$  of  $\mathbf{G}$ , the root system  $\Phi$ , the set of simple roots  $\Delta$ , the  $\mathbf{G}$ -centralizer  $\mathbf{Z}$  of  $\mathbf{T}$ , the normalizer  $\mathbf{N}$ , the unipotent radical  $\mathbf{U}$  of  $\mathbf{B}$ , (hence  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{U}$ ), the triples  $(\mathbf{G}^{\text{sc}}, \mathbf{T}^{\text{sc}}, \mathbf{B}^{\text{sc}})$  and  $(\mathbf{G}^{\text{ad}}, \mathbf{T}^{\text{ad}}, \mathbf{B}^{\text{ad}})$  for the simply connected covering and the adjoint group of the derived subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$ , the natural homomorphisms  $G^{\text{sc}} := \mathbf{G}^{\text{sc}}(F) \xrightarrow{i_{\text{sc}}} G = \mathbf{G}(F) \xrightarrow{i^{\text{ad}}} G^{\text{ad}} = \mathbf{G}^{\text{ad}}(F)$ , an alcove  $\mathcal{C}$  of the apartment with vertex  $x_0$ , the Iwahori subgroups  $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}^{\text{sc}}, \mathfrak{B}^{\text{ad}}$  of  $G, G^{\text{sc}}, G^{\text{ad}}$  fixing  $\mathcal{C}$ , the Iwahori Hecke ring  $H(G, \mathfrak{B}) := \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}G} \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{B} \backslash G]$  and similarly for the simply connected and adjoint semi-simple groups.

The natural ring homomorphism  $H(G^{\text{sc}}, \mathfrak{B}^{\text{sc}}) \rightarrow H(G, \mathfrak{B})$  induced by  $i_{\text{sc}}$  is injective and we identify  $H(G^{\text{sc}}, \mathfrak{B}^{\text{sc}})$  with a subring of  $H(G, \mathfrak{B})$ . There is a canonical isomorphism  $H(G^{\text{sc}}, \mathfrak{B}^{\text{sc}}) \xrightarrow{j^{\text{sc}}} H(W, S, q_s)$  where  $H := H(W, S, q_s)$  is the Hecke ring of an affine Coxeter system  $(W, S)$  with parameters  $(q_s = q^{d(s)})_{s \in S}$  where  $q$  is the residue field of  $F$  and the  $d(s)$  are integers  $\geq 1$ . The Dynkin diagram of  $(W, S)$  is the completed Dynkin diagram  $\text{Dyn}$  of a reduced root system  $\Sigma$ . The image  $\Omega = \Omega_G$  of the Kottwitz morphism of  $G$  acts on the Dynkin diagram  $\text{Dyn}$  decorated with the parameters  $(d_s)_{s \in S}$ , and the isomorphism  $j^{\text{sc}}$  extends to an isomorphism

$$(2.1) \quad H(G, \mathfrak{B}) \xrightarrow{j} \mathbb{Z}[\Omega] \rtimes H(W, S, q_s),$$

The Iwahori Hecke ring of  $G$  is determined by the type of  $\Sigma$ , the parameters and the group  $\Omega$  acting on the decorated Dynkin diagram  $\text{Dyn}$ . The quotient of  $\Omega$  by the fixer of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\Omega$  is isomorphic to a subgroup  $\Psi$  of the group of automorphisms  $\text{Aut}(W, S, d_s)$  of the decorated Dynkin diagram. The generalized affine Hecke ring  $\tilde{H} := \mathbb{Z}[\Omega] \rtimes H(W, S, q_s)$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of basis  $T_w$  for  $w \in \tilde{W} := W \rtimes \Omega$  satisfying the braid and quadratic relations:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} T_w T_{w'} &= T_{ww'} \quad \text{for } w, w' \in \tilde{W}, \ell(w) + \ell(w') = \ell(ww'), \\ (T_s - q_s T_1)(T_s + T_1) &= 0 \quad \text{for } s \in S, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\ell$  is the length on  $W$  associated to  $S$  extended to  $\tilde{W}$  by  $\ell(uw) = \ell(wu) = \ell(w)$  for  $u \in \Omega, w \in W$ . The linear map  $T_w \mapsto (-1)^{\ell(w)} T_w^*$  for  $w \in \tilde{W}$ , where for  $w = us_1 \dots s_n$  with  $u \in \Omega, s_i \in S, n = \ell(w)$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad T_w T_{w^{-1}}^* = q_w, \quad \text{where } q_w := q_{s_1} \dots q_{s_n}, \quad T_s^* := T_s - q_s + 1, \quad T_w^* := T_u T_{s_1}^* \dots T_{s_n}^*,$$

is an automorphism of  $\tilde{H}$ .

The unique parahoric subgroup  $Z_0$  of  $Z := \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{F})$  is contained in the maximal compact subgroup  $\tilde{Z}_0$ . When  $\mathbf{G}$  is  $F$ -split or semi-simple simply connected,  $Z_0 = \tilde{Z}_0$ . The group  $N/Z_0$  is isomorphic to  $\tilde{W}$ , acts on the apartment and forms a system of representatives of the double classes of  $G$  modulo  $\mathfrak{B}$ . The subgroup  $\Lambda = Z/Z_0$  of  $N/Z_0$  is commutative finitely generated of torsion  $\tilde{Z}_0/Z_0$  acting by translation on the apartment, the quotient map  $N/Z_0 \rightarrow N/Z$  splits, identifying the (finite) Weyl group  $W_0$  of  $\Sigma$  with the fixer in  $W$  of a special vertex of the alcove  $\mathcal{C}$ . The semi-direct product  $\Lambda \rtimes W_0$  is equal to  $\tilde{W}$  and  $\Lambda^{\text{sc}} \rtimes W_0 = W$  where  $\Lambda^{\text{sc}} := \Lambda \cap W$ . An element  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  is called dominant (and  $\lambda^{-1}$  anti-dominant), if  $z(U \cap \mathfrak{B})z^{-1} \subset (U \cap \mathfrak{B})$  for  $z \in Z$  lifting  $\lambda$ . The dominant monoid  $\Lambda^+$  consists of dominant elements of  $\Lambda$ .

The cocharacter group  $X_*(T)$  of  $T$  is isomorphic to  $T/T_0 \simeq TZ_0/Z_0 = \Lambda_T$  by the  $W_0$ -equivariant map  $\mu \mapsto \lambda_\mu := \mu(p_F)Z_0/Z_0$  for a fixed uniformizer  $p_F$  of  $F$ . A cocharacter  $\mu$  is dominant if  $\lambda_\mu$  is. For  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large,  $\lambda^n \in \Lambda_T$ , and  $\langle \chi, \lambda^n \rangle$  is defined for any character  $\chi \in X^*(T)$ . The fixer of the alcove  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\Lambda$  is  $\Lambda_{Z(G)\tilde{Z}_0} = Z(G)\tilde{Z}_0/Z_0$  and

$$\Lambda_{Z(G)\tilde{Z}_0} = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \langle \alpha, \lambda^n \rangle = 0 \text{ } n \text{ large such that } \lambda^n \in \Lambda_T \text{ and } \alpha \in \Delta\}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *The fixer of the alcove  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\Omega$  is  $\Lambda_{Z(G)\tilde{Z}_0}$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $u \in \Omega$  as  $u = \lambda w_0$  with  $\lambda \in \Lambda, w_0 \in W_0$ . As  $w_0$  fix a special point of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\lambda$  does also. As  $\lambda$  acts by translation on the apartment,  $\lambda$  fixes  $\mathcal{C}$ . As  $\lambda$  and  $u$  fix  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $w_0$  fixes also  $\mathcal{C}$  hence  $w_0 = 1$ .  $\square$

The action of  $\Omega$  on the alcove  $\mathcal{C}$  and the embeddings of  $\Lambda$  and  $\Omega$  into  $\tilde{W}$  induce isomorphisms

$$(2.4) \quad \Omega/\Lambda_{Z(G)\tilde{Z}_0} \rightarrow \Psi, \quad \Lambda/(\Lambda_{Z(G)\tilde{Z}_0} \times \Lambda^{sc}) \rightarrow \tilde{W}/(\Lambda_{Z(G)\tilde{Z}_0} \times W) \leftarrow \Omega/\Lambda_{Z(G)\tilde{Z}_0}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *The subgroup  $\Lambda_{Z(G)} \times \Lambda^{sc}$  of  $\Lambda$  is finitely generated of finite index.*

*The submonoid  $\Lambda_{Z(G)} \times \Lambda_{sc}^+$  of the dominant monoid  $\Lambda^+$  is finitely generated of finite index.*

*Proof.* The commutative group  $\Lambda_{Z(G)} \times \Lambda^{sc}$  is finitely generated and a finite index subgroup of  $\Lambda$ , as  $\tilde{Z}_0/Z_0$  is finite and (2.4) implies that  $\Lambda/(\Lambda_{Z(G)\tilde{Z}_0} \times \Lambda^{sc}) \simeq \Psi$ . Gordan's lemma implies the second assertion (as in the proof of [HV15, 7.2 Lemma]).  $\square$

The  $\tilde{W}$ -conjugacy class of  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  is the  $W_0$ -orbit of  $\lambda$ . A basis of the center of  $\tilde{H}$  [Vig14, Thm.1.2] is

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}} E_\lambda \quad \text{for the } W_0\text{-orbits } \mathcal{O} \subset \Lambda,$$

where  $E_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  are the integral Bernstein elements of  $\tilde{H}$  [Vig16, Cor. 5.28, Ex.5.30]:

$$(2.5) \quad E_\lambda = \begin{cases} T_\lambda & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is anti-dominant} \\ T_\lambda^* & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is dominant} \end{cases}, \quad E_{\lambda_1} E_{\lambda_2} = (q_{\lambda_1} q_{\lambda_2} q_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{-1})^{1/2} E_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \text{ for } \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda.$$

When  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are both dominant (or anti-dominant),  $E_{\lambda_1} E_{\lambda_2} = E_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$ . For  $\mu \in X_*(T)$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_\mu$  denote the  $W_0$ -orbit of  $\lambda_\mu$  and write  $z_\mu := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_\mu} E_\lambda$ . Any  $W_0$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}_\mu$  contains a unique dominant (resp. anti-dominant) cocharacter  $\mu^+$  (resp.  $\mu^-$ ), and  $z_\mu = z_{\mu^+} = z_{\mu^-}$ .

The invertible elements in the dominant monoids  $\Lambda^+$  and  $X_*(T)^+$  are the subgroups  $\Lambda_{Z(G)\tilde{Z}_0}$  and  $\cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} \text{Ker } \alpha$ . Only the trivial element is invertible in the dominant monoid  $\Lambda_{sc}^+$ . Write  $\Lambda_{Z(G)} := Z(G)Z_0/Z_0$ .

The generalized affine ring  $\tilde{H}$  contains the commutative subring  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $(E_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ . When  $G = Z$ , the Bernstein elements are simply the classical elements  $T_\lambda^Z$ , and the Iwahori Hecke ring  $H(Z, Z_0)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ . In general,  $\mathcal{A}$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$  but the subring  $\mathcal{A}^+$  of basis  $(E_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda^+}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda^+]$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{A}^{sc}, \mathcal{A}_T, \mathcal{A}_{sc}^+, \mathcal{A}_T^+$  the subrings of respective bases  $(E_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{sc}}, (E_{\lambda_\mu})_{\mu \in X_*(T)}, (E_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{sc}^+}, (E_{\lambda_\mu})_{\mu \in X_*(T)^+}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.**  *$\mathcal{A}$  is finitely generated as an  $\mathcal{A}_T$ -module and as a  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda_{Z(G)}] \times \mathcal{A}_{sc}$ -module.*

*$\mathcal{A}^+$  is finitely generated as an  $\mathcal{A}_T^+$ -module and as a  $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda_{Z(G)}] \times \mathcal{A}_{sc}^+$ -module.*

*Proof.* For  $T$  [Vig14, Lemma 2.14, 2.15]. Otherwise, Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

### 3. SUPERSINGULAR AND DISCRETE MODULES

Let  $C$  be a field of characteristic  $c$  and the Iwahori Hecke  $C$ -algebras  $H_C(G^{sc}, \mathfrak{B}^{sc}) := C \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H(G^{sc}, \mathfrak{B}^{sc})$  and  $H_C(G, \mathfrak{B}) := C \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H(G, \mathfrak{B})$ , isomorphic to the affine and generalized affine Hecke  $C$ -algebras  $H_C = C \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H(W, S, q_s)$  and  $\tilde{H}_C = C[\Omega] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H(W, S, q_s)$ .

This section introduces the supersingular right  $\tilde{H}_C$ -modules when  $c = p$  (there are none when  $c \neq p$ ) and the discrete simple right  $H_C(G, \mathfrak{B})$  modules.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $M$  be a non-zero right  $\tilde{H}_C$ -module. An element  $v \in M$  is called supersingular if and only if  $vz_\mu^n = 0$  for all dominant  $\mu \in X_*(T)$  with  $\mu^{-1}$  not dominant, and some large positive integer  $n$ . The  $\tilde{H}_C$ -module  $M$  is called supersingular when all its elements are supersingular<sup>2</sup>.

*Remark 3.2.*  $v$  is supersingular if and only if  $vz_\lambda^n = 0$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_{Z(G)\tilde{Z}_0}$  and large  $n$ . We can restrict to  $\mu$  (or  $\lambda$ ) dominant, or anti-dominant.

**Fact 3.3.** - A simple  $\tilde{H}_C$ -module  $M$  is finite dimensional, and is semi-simple as an  $H_C$ -module.

- A  $\tilde{H}_C$ -module is supersingular if and only if its restriction to  $H_C$  is supersingular [Vig17, Cor.6.13].

- The simple supersingular  $H_C$ -modules are<sup>3</sup> the characters which are not special or trivial (see the next section) when  $C$  is “large” of characteristic  $c = p$  [Vig17, Cor.6.13].

We denote by  $\text{Mod}_C(G, \mathfrak{B})$  and  $\text{Mod}_C(H(G, \mathfrak{B}))$  the categories of  $C$ -representations of  $G$  generated by their  $\mathfrak{B}$ -invariant vectors and of right  $H_C(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -modules. The  $\mathfrak{B}$ -invariant functor  $\pi \mapsto \pi^{\mathfrak{B}} : \text{Mod}_C(G, \mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_C(H(G, \mathfrak{B}))$  has a left adjoint  $\mathfrak{T} : \tau \mapsto \tau \otimes_{H_C(G, \mathfrak{B})} C[\mathfrak{B} \backslash G]$ .

**Fact 3.4.** When  $c \neq p$ , the functor  $\pi \mapsto \pi^{\mathfrak{B}}$  induces a bijection between the isomorphism classes of the irreducible  $C$ -representations  $\pi$  of  $G$  with  $\pi^{\mathfrak{B}} \neq 0$  and of the simple right  $H_C(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -modules [Vig96, I.6.3]. When  $C = \mathbb{C}$ , the functors are inverse equivalences of categories (Bernstein-Borel-Casselman).

Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible complex representation of  $G$  with  $\pi^{\mathfrak{B}} \neq 0$ . We recall the classical properties of  $\pi$ , including the Casselman’s criterion of square integrability modulo center, before giving the definition of a discrete simple right  $H_C(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module.

**Fact 3.5.** - a)  $\pi$  is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of  $\text{ind}_B^G \sigma$  where  $\sigma$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -character of  $Z$  trivial on  $\tilde{Z}_0$ .

- b) The representation of  $Z$  on the  $U$ -coinvariants  $(\text{ind}_B^G \sigma)_U$  is semi-simple, trivial on  $\tilde{Z}_0$  and contains a subrepresentation isomorphic to  $\pi_U$ .

- c) The quotient map  $f : \pi \mapsto \delta_B^{-1/2} \pi_U$  induces an  $H_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1/2}]}(Z, Z_0)$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\pi^{\mathfrak{B}} \rightarrow \pi_U^{Z_0} (= \pi_U)$  for the Bernstein  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1/2}]$ -algebra embedding

$$H_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1/2}]}(Z, Z_0) \xrightarrow{t_B} H_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1/2}]}(G, \mathfrak{B}) \quad t_B(T_\lambda^Z) = \theta_\lambda := q_\lambda^{-1/2} E(\lambda) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \Lambda,$$

that is,  $f(v\theta_\lambda) = f(v)T_\lambda^Z$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda, v \in \pi^{\mathfrak{B}}$  [Vig98, II.10.1]. Note that  $q_\lambda = \delta_B(z)$  where  $\delta_B$  is the modulus of  $B$  and  $z \in Z$  of image  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\theta_{\lambda_1} \theta_{\lambda_2} = \theta_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$  for  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ .

- d) Casselman’s criterion:  $\pi$  is square integrable modulo center [Cas, §2.5] if and only if its central character is unitary and

$$|\chi(\mu(p_F))| \leq 1 \text{ for all anti-dominant } \mu \in X_*(T) \text{ but } \mu^{-1} \text{ not anti-dominant,}$$

for any character  $\chi$  of  $Z$  contained in  $\delta_B^{-1/2} \pi_U$  [Cas, Thm. 6.5.1].

<sup>2</sup>In [Vig17, Def. 6.10] there is a different definition: there exists  $n > 0$  with  $Mz_\mu^n = 0$  for all  $\mu$  not invertible in  $X_*(T)^+$

<sup>3</sup>There are no non-zero supersingular modules if  $c \neq p$

**Definition 3.6.** A simple right  $H_{\mathbb{C}}(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module is called discrete when it is isomorphic to  $\pi^{\mathfrak{B}}$  for an irreducible admissible square integrable modulo center  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** *A simple right  $H_{\mathbb{C}}(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module  $M$  is discrete if and only if any complex character  $\chi$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  contained in  $M$  satisfies: the restriction of  $\chi$  to  $\Lambda_{Z(G)}$  is unitary and*

$$|\chi(\theta_{\mu})| \leq 1 \text{ for any dominant } \mu \in X_*(T) \text{ but } \mu^{-1} \text{ not dominant, } \theta_{\mu} := \theta_{\lambda_{\mu}}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\chi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  be a character trivial on  $Z_0$ . Writing  $\chi(z) = \chi(\lambda)$  for  $z \in Z$  of image  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and noting  $f(v)T_{\lambda}^Z = z^{-1}f(v)$ , we have in c)

$$z^{-1}f(v) = \chi(z^{-1})f(v) \Leftrightarrow f(v\theta(\lambda)) = \chi(\lambda^{-1})f(v) \Leftrightarrow v\theta(\lambda) = \chi(\lambda^{-1})v.$$

Hence  $\chi$  is contained in  $\delta_B^{-1/2}\pi_U$  if and only if  $\chi^{-1} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\chi^{-1}(\theta_{\lambda}) := \chi^{-1}(\lambda)$ , is contained in  $\pi^{\mathfrak{B}}$ . Apply Casselman's criterion (Fact 3.5 d)) (the inverse of an anti-dominant element is dominant).  $\square$

*Remark 3.8.* Some authors see  $\pi^{\mathfrak{B}}$  as a left  $H_{\mathbb{C}}(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module. One exchanges “left” and “right” by putting  $T_w v = vT_{w^{-1}}$  for  $w \in \tilde{W}, v \in \pi^{\mathfrak{B}}$ . The left or right  $H_{\mathbb{C}}(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module  $\pi^{\mathfrak{B}}$  is called discrete if  $\pi$  is square integrable modulo center. For left modules, the proposition holds true with anti-dominant instead of dominant.

**Lemma 3.9.** *For a character  $\chi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\chi|_{\Lambda_{Z(G)}}$  is unitary and  $|\chi(\theta_{\mu})| \leq 1$  for any dominant  $\mu \in X_*(T)$  with  $\mu^{-1}$  not dominant.
- (ii)  $|\chi(\theta_{\lambda})| \leq 1$  for any dominant  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .
- (iii)  $|\chi(\theta_{\lambda})| = 1$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{Z(G)}$  and  $|\chi(\theta_{\lambda})| \leq 1$  for any dominant  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{sc}$ .

*Proof.* (ii) implies (i) because  $|\chi(\theta_{\lambda})| \leq 1$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ , implies  $|\chi(\theta_{\lambda})| = 1$  when  $\lambda$  is invertible in  $\Lambda^+$ , i.e.  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{Z(G)\tilde{Z}_0}$ . Conversely, (i) implies that for  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large with  $\lambda^n \in \Lambda_T^+$  we have  $|\chi(\theta_{\lambda^n})| \leq 1$ . This implies  $|\chi(\theta_{\lambda})| \leq 1$  hence (ii). The arguments of the equivalence between (i) and (iii) are similar using Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.10.** *A simple right  $H_{\mathbb{C}}(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module  $M$  is discrete if and only if  $\Lambda_{Z(G)}$  acts on  $M$  by a unitary character and the simple components of  $M$  restricted to  $H_{\mathbb{C}}(G^{sc}, \mathfrak{B}^{sc})$  are discrete.*

*Proof.* Lemma 3.9 (iii).  $\square$

#### 4. CHARACTERS

In this section,  $C$  is a field of characteristic  $c$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  is absolutely simple. We give the characters  $H \rightarrow C$  which extend to  $\tilde{H}$ . This is an exercise, already in the literature when  $C = \mathbb{C}$  is the complex field [Bor76].

For distinct  $s, t \in S$ , the order  $n_{s,t}$  of  $st$  is finite except if the type is  $A_1$ . In the finite case,

$$(4.1) \quad (T_s T_t)^r = (T_t T_s)^r \text{ if } n_{s,t} = 2r, \quad (T_t T_s)^r T_t = T_t (T_s T_t)^r \text{ if } n_{s,t} = 2r + 1.$$

The  $T_s$  for  $s \in S$  and the relations (2.2), (4.1) give a presentation of  $H$ . A presentation of  $\tilde{H}$  is given by the  $T_u, T_s$  for  $u \in \Omega, s \in S$  and the relations (2.2), (4.1) and

$$(4.2) \quad T_u T_{u'} = T_{uu'}, T_u T_s = T_{u(s)} T_u \text{ for } u \in \Omega, s \in S.$$



We have a disjoint decomposition  $S = \sqcup_{i=1}^m S_i$  where  $S_i$  is the intersection of  $S$  with a conjugation class of  $W$  and [Bor76, 3.3]:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} m & = & 1 \quad \text{type of } \Sigma = A_\ell(\ell \geq 2), D_\ell(\ell \geq 2), E_6, E_7, E_8 \\ & & 2 \quad \quad \quad A_1, B_\ell(\ell \geq 3), F_4, G_2 \\ & & 3 \quad \quad \quad C_\ell(\ell \geq 2) \end{array}$$

When  $m > 1$ , we fix a numerotation such that  $|S_1| > |S_2|$  when  $m = 2$  (except for  $A_1$  where  $S_1 = \{s_1\}, S_2 = \{s_2\}$ ) and  $|S_1| > |S_2| = |S_3|$  when  $m = 3$ . We have  $S_3 = \{s_3\}$  for  $C_\ell$ ,  $S_2 = \{s_2\}$  for  $A_1, B_\ell, C_\ell, G_2$  and  $S_2$  has two elements for  $F_4$ , and  $S_1$  has respectively  $\ell, \ell-1, 3, 2$  elements for  $B_\ell, C_\ell, F_4, G_2$ . The parameters  $d_s$  are equal on  $S_i$  to a positive integer  $d_i$ . The automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(W, S)$  of the completed Dynkin diagram  $\text{Dyn}$  is [IM65, 1.8]:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Aut}(W, S) & = & \mathbb{Z}/(\ell+1)\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{type of } \Sigma = A_\ell(\ell \geq 1) \\ & & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \quad \quad \quad B_\ell(\ell \geq 3), C_\ell(\ell \geq 2), E_7 \\ & & (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \quad \quad \quad D_{2\ell}(2\ell \geq 2) \\ & & \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \quad \quad \quad D_{2\ell+1}(2\ell+1 \geq 3) \\ & & \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \quad \quad \quad E_6 \\ & & \{1\} \quad \quad \quad E_8, F_4, G_2 \end{array}$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *The automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(W, S, d_i)$  of the decorated Dynkin diagram  $\text{Dyn}$  is equal to  $\text{Aut}(W, S)$  with two exceptions: type  $A_1$  and different parameters  $d_1 \neq d_2$ , type  $C_\ell(\ell \geq 2)$  and different parameters  $d_2 \neq d_3$ , where  $\text{Aut}(W, S, d_i) = \{1\}$ ,  $\text{Aut}(W, S) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* When  $m = 2$ ,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are  $\text{Aut}(W, S)$ -stable except for  $A_1$  where the non-trivial element of  $\text{Aut}(W, S)$  permutes  $s_1, s_2$ ;  $\text{Aut}(W, S, d_i)$  fixes  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  if  $d_1 \neq d_2$ .

When  $m = 3$ , the type is  $C_\ell(\ell \geq 2)$ , the non-trivial element of  $\text{Aut}(W, S)$  permutes  $s_2, s_3$ ;  $\text{Aut}(W, S, d_i)$  fixes  $s_2$  and  $s_3$  if  $d_2 \neq d_3$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *A map  $(T_s)_{s \in S} \rightarrow C$  is the restriction of a character  $\chi : H \rightarrow C$  if and only if: when  $c \neq p$ , it is constant and equal to  $\chi_i = -1$  or  $q^{d_i}$  on each  $S_i$ . There are  $2^m$  characters if  $q+1 \neq 0$  in  $C$ .*

*when  $c = p$ , its values are  $-1$  or  $0$ . There are  $2^{|S|}$  characters.*

*Proof.* This follows from the presentation of  $H$ . When  $c \neq p$ , the  $T_w$  are invertible. When  $c = p$  [Vig17, Prop.2.2].  $\square$

The unique character  $\chi : H \rightarrow C$  with  $\chi(T_s) = q_s$  (resp.  $\chi(T_s) = -1$ ) for all  $s \in S$  is called the trivial (resp. special)  $C$ -character. If they are equal then  $c \neq p, 0$ .

A character  $\chi : H \rightarrow C$  extends to a character of  $\tilde{H}$  if and only if  $\chi(T_s) = \chi(T_{u(s)})$  for all  $s \in S$  and  $u \in \Omega$ . When the image  $\Psi$  of  $\Omega$  in  $\text{Aut}(W, S, d_i)$  is trivial or when  $m = 1$ , any character of  $H$  extends to  $\tilde{H}$ . The extensions are not unique in general. The trivial and special characters extend, their extensions are also called trivial and special.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume  $c \neq p$ . A character  $\chi = (\chi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m} : H \rightarrow C$  extends to a character of  $\tilde{H}$  except for*

- type  $A_1$ , equal parameters  $d_1 = d_2$ ,  $\Psi \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , when  $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ ,
- type  $C_\ell(\ell \geq 2)$ , equal parameters  $d_2 = d_3$ ,  $\Psi \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , when  $\chi_2 \neq \chi_3$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $m > 1$  and  $\Psi$  not trivial. Then  $\Psi \simeq \text{Aut}(W, S) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  with three cases:

Type  $A_1$  and equal parameters  $d_1 = d_2$ , say  $d$ . Then  $\Psi$  permutes  $s_1, s_2$ . Only the special and trivial characters of  $H$  extends to  $\tilde{H}$ .

Type  $C_\ell(\ell \geq 2)$  and equal parameters  $d_2 = d_3$ , say  $d$ . Then  $\Psi$  permutes  $s_2, s_3$ , only the  $C$ -characters of  $H$  with  $\chi_2 = \chi_3$  extend to  $\tilde{H}$ .

Type  $B_\ell(\ell \geq 3)$ . Then,  $\Psi$  stabilizes  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  hence all  $C$ -characters of  $H$  extend to  $\tilde{H}$ .  $\square$

We combine these results in a proposition:

**Proposition 4.4.** (i)  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$  admits  $2^m$  characters  $\chi$ , they are all  $\mathbb{Z}$ -integral, and their reduction modulo  $p$  are supersingular except for the special and trivial character.

(ii)  $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$  admits a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -integral simple (left or right) module of supersingular reduction modulo  $p$ , and restriction  $\chi \oplus \bar{\chi}$  to  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ , when

$\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2), \bar{\chi} = (\chi_2, \chi_1)$ , type  $A_1$ ,  $d_1 = d_2$ ,  $\Psi \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ ,

$\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3), \bar{\chi} = (\chi_1, \chi_3, \chi_2)$ , type  $C_\ell(\ell \geq 2)$ ,  $d_2 = d_3$ ,  $\Psi \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\chi_2 \neq \chi_3$ .

(iii) Otherwise the characters  $\chi$  of  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$  extends to  $\mathbb{Z}$ -integral complex characters of  $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , of supersingular reduction modulo  $p$  if  $\chi$  is not special or trivial.

*Proof.* (i) Lemma 4.2, Fact 3.3. The reduction modulo  $p$  of a special or trivial character of  $H$  is not supersingular.

(ii) When  $\chi$  extends to  $\tilde{H} = H \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\Omega]$  we can extend it trivially ( $\Omega$  acts by the trivial character). When  $\chi$  does not extend, the normal subgroup  $\Lambda_{Z(G)\tilde{Z}_0}$  of  $\Omega$  has index 2 (2.4). We extend  $\chi$  trivially to  $H \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda_{Z(G)\tilde{Z}_0}]$  and then induce to  $\tilde{H}$ .  $\square$

## 5. DISCRETE SIMPLE MODULES WITH SUPERSINGULAR REDUCTION

In this section,  $\mathbf{G}$  is absolutely simple and  $P$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]$  of residue field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** [Key result] *There exists a simple discrete right  $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$  with a  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]$ -integral structure  $M$  of supersingular reduction modulo  $P$ , except if the type of  $\Sigma$  is  $A_\ell(\ell \geq 1)$  and the parameters are equal.*

*Proof.* A special complex character of  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$  extending the  $\mathfrak{B}^{sc}$ -invariant of the Steinberg complex representation of  $G^{sc}$ , is discrete and integral but its reduction modulo  $p$  is not supersingular. A trivial complex character is not discrete. The discrete non-special characters complex characters are integral of supersingular reduction modulo  $p$ . The discrete non-special characters  $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  were computed by Borel [Bor76, 5.8] and those extending to  $\tilde{H}$  have been described in Prop. 4.4(i). Applying Prop. 3.10, we have:

- if a discrete non-special character of  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$  extends to  $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , we obtain a simple discrete integral  $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$  of supersingular reduction modulo  $p$  and of dimension 1.

- if  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$  admits discrete non-special characters complex characters but none extends to  $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Using Prop. 4.4(ii), we obtain a simple discrete integral  $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$  of supersingular reduction modulo  $p$  and of dimension 2.

- if the special character is the only discrete character of  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ , the reflection left  $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]}$ -module  $M$ , free of rank  $|S|$  over  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]$  has a supersingular reduction modulo  $P$ . The left  $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$  is discrete when the type is different from  $A_\ell(\ell \geq 1)$  [Lus83].

These are the main lines of the proof. We give the details in the rest of this section.  $\square$

Instead of  $\chi = (\chi_i)$  as in section §4, we write  $\chi = \chi_{(\epsilon_i)}$  where  $\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \chi_i = -1 \\ d_i & \text{if } \chi_i = q^{d_i} \end{cases}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** *There exists a discrete non special right  $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$  when the type of  $\Sigma$  is  $B_{\ell}(\ell \geq 3), C_{\ell}(\ell \geq 2), F_4, G_2, A_1$  with  $d_1 \neq d_2$ . The  $\mathbb{C}$ -dimension  $r$  of  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$  is:*

*$r = 1$  when  $\Psi$  is trivial or when the type is  $B_{\ell}(\ell \geq 3), C_{\ell}(\ell \geq 6), F_4, G_2, A_1$  with  $d_1 \neq d_2$ ,  $C_2$  with parameters  $(1, 2, 2), (2, 3, 3)$ ,  $C_3$  with parameters  $(2, 1, 1)$ ,  $C_4$  with parameters  $((d, d, d), (2, 1, 1))$ ,  $C_5$  with parameters  $(d, d, d), (2, 1, 1), (2, 3, 3)$ .*

*$r = 2$  when the type is  $C_2$  with parameters  $(d, d, d), (2, 1, 1)$ ,  $C_3$  with parameters  $(d, d, d), (1, 2, 2), (2, 3, 3)$ ,  $C_4$  with parameters  $(1, 2, 2), (2, 3, 3)$ ,  $C_5$  with parameters  $(1, 2, 2)$ ; then  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$  extends the  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module  $\chi_{(-1, -1, d)} \oplus \chi_{(-1, d, -1)}$ .*

*Proof.* When  $m = 1$  the only discrete complex character is the special one [Bor76, 5.7]. When  $m > 1$   $H_{\mathbb{C}}$  admits a discrete non-special character except for the type  $A_1$  and parameters  $d_1 = d_2$  [Bor76, 5.8].

Assume  $m > 1$  or the type is  $A_1$  and  $d_1 \neq d_2$ . Some discrete non-special character of  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$  extends to  $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$  with the exception: type  $C_{\ell}(\ell \geq 2)$ , different  $\epsilon_2 \neq \epsilon_3$ ,  $\Psi \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , parameters  $d_2 = d_3$ , say  $d$ ,

-  $d_1 = d$  and  $\ell = 2, 3$ .

-  $d_1 \neq d$ <sup>4</sup> and  $\ell = 2$  with parameters  $(2, 1, 1)$ , or  $\ell = 3, 4$  with parameters  $(1, 2, 2), (2, 3, 3)$ , or  $\ell = 5$  with parameters  $(1, 2, 2)$ .

In the exceptional case, no discrete character of  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$  extends to  $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\chi_{(-1, -1, d)}, \chi_{(-1, d, -1)}$  are discrete characters of  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and the simple  $\tilde{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module of dimension 2 equal to  $\chi_{(-1, -1, d)} \oplus \chi_{(-1, d, -1)}$  as a  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module (Prop. 4.4) is discrete by Prop. 3.10.  $\square$

We consider now the types  $D_{\ell}(\ell \geq 2), E_6, E_7, E_8$ . Then  $\mathbf{G}$  is  $F$ -split and for distinct  $s, t \in S$ , the order  $n_{s,t}$  of  $st$  is 2 or 3 [Tit79, §4].

**Proposition 5.3.** *Assume that the type of  $\Sigma$  is  $D_{\ell}(\ell \geq 2), E_6, E_7, E_8$ . Then, the image  $M$  by the automorphism  $T_w \mapsto (-1)^{\ell(w)} T_w^*$  of  $H(G, \mathfrak{B})$  of the reflection right  $H_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]}(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module has a supersingular reduction modulo  $P$  and  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a discrete simple right  $H_{\mathbb{C}}(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module of dimension  $|S|$ .*

*Proof.* The reflection left  $H_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]}(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module is the free  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]$ -module of basis  $(e_s)$  for  $s \in S$  with the structure of  $H_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]}(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module satisfying for  $s, t \in S, u \in \Omega$ ,

$$T_u(e_t) = e_{u(t)}, \quad T_s(e_t) = \begin{cases} -e_t & \text{for } s = t, \\ qe_t & \text{for } s \neq t, n_{s,t} = 2, \\ qe_t + q^{1/2}e_s & \text{for } s \neq t, n_{s,t} = 3. \end{cases}$$

of image by the automorphism  $T_w \mapsto (-1)^{\ell(w)} T_w^*$  for  $w \in \tilde{W}$ , satisfying

$$T_u(e_t) = e_{u(t)}, \quad T_s(e_t) = \begin{cases} qe_t & \text{for } s = t, \\ -e_t & \text{for } s \neq t, n_{s,t} = 2, \\ -e_t + q^{1/2}e_s & \text{for } s \neq t, n_{s,t} = 3. \end{cases}$$

The reduction modulo  $P$  of this left  $H_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]}(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module, say  $M$ , is the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space of basis  $(e_s)$  for  $s \in S$  with the structure of left  $H(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module satisfying for  $s, t \in S, u \in \Omega$ ,

$$T_u(e_t) = e_{u(t)}, \quad T_s(e_t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } s = t, \\ -e_t & \text{for } s \neq t, \end{cases}$$

<sup>4</sup>As  $d_1 \neq d$ , the possible parameters  $(d_1, d, d)$  are  $(2, 1, 1)(1, 2, 2), (2, 3, 3)$  [Tit79, §4]

The restriction to  $H_{\mathbb{F}_p}(G^{sc}, \mathfrak{B}^{sc})$  of this  $H_{\mathbb{F}_p}(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module is the direct sum of the characters

$$\chi_s(T_t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } s = t, \\ -1 & \text{for } s \neq t \end{cases} \quad \text{for } s \in S.$$

These characters are supersingular hence the reduction of  $M$  modulo  $P$  is supersingular (Fact 3.3). The scalar extension of  $M$  from  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  is a discrete simple  $H_{\mathbb{C}}(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$  [Lus83, 4.23]. The properties (discrete scalar extension of  $\mathbb{C}$  and supersingular reduction modulo  $P$ ) remain true if one sees  $M$  as a right  $H_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]}(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module ( $T_w v = v T_{w^{-1}}$  for  $w \in \tilde{W}, v \in M$ ).  $\square$

## 6. ADMISSIBLE INTEGRAL STRUCTURE VIA DISCRETE COCOMPACT SUBGROUPS

Let  $E$  be a number field of ring of integers  $O_E$ ,  $P_E$  a maximal ideal of  $O_E$  with residue field  $k = O_E/P_E$ , and  $C/E$  a field extension.

**Definition 6.1.** We say that a  $C$ -representation  $\pi$  of  $G$

a) descends to  $E$  if there exists an  $E$ -representation  $V$  of  $G$  and a  $G$ -equivariant  $C$ -linear isomorphism  $\varphi : C \otimes_E V \rightarrow \pi$ . We call  $\varphi$  (and more often  $V$ ) an  $E$ -structure of  $\pi$ .

b) is  $O_E$ -integral if  $\pi$  contains a  $G$ -stable  $O_E$ -submodule  $L$  such that, for any open compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ , the  $O_E$ -module  $L^K$  is finitely generated, and the natural map  $\varphi : C \otimes_{O_E} L \rightarrow \pi$  is an isomorphism. We call  $\varphi$  (and more often  $L$ ) an  $O_E$ -integral structure of  $\pi$  (we say integral if  $O_E = \mathbb{Z}$ ). The  $G$ -equivariant map  $L \rightarrow k \otimes_{O_E} L$  (and more often the  $k$ -representation  $k \otimes_{O_E} L$  of  $G$ ) is called the reduction of  $L$  modulo  $P_E$ . When  $k \otimes_{O_E} L$  is admissible for all  $P_E$ , we say that  $L$  is admissible.

We give analogous definitions for a  $H_C(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module.

For any commutative ring  $A$  and a discrete cocompact subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$ , let  $\rho_A^\Gamma$  denote the smooth  $A$ -representation of  $G$  acting by right translation on

$$A(\Gamma \backslash G)^\infty = \{f : G \rightarrow A \mid f(\gamma g k) = f(g) \ (\gamma \in \Gamma, g \in G, k \in K_f)\}$$

where  $K_f$  is some open compact subgroup of  $G$  depending on  $f$ . The complex representation  $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}^\Gamma$  of  $G$  has an admissible integral structure  $\rho^\Gamma := \rho_{\mathbb{Z}}^\Gamma$ . The reduction of  $\rho^\Gamma$  modulo a prime number  $c$  is the admissible representation  $\rho_{\mathbb{F}_c}^\Gamma$ . The next proposition supposes  $\mathbf{G}$  semi-simple only to simplify.

**Proposition 6.2.** *Assume  $a = 0$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  semi-simple. If  $\pi$  is a square integrable  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation of  $G$ , then there exists a discrete cocompact subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  such that  $\text{Hom}_G(\pi, \rho_{\mathbb{C}}^\Gamma) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* As  $a = 0$ , there exists a strictly decreasing sequence  $(\Gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of discrete cocompact subgroups of  $G$ , normal of finite index in  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$  [BH78],[Mar91, IX (4.7) (D) Thm. and (4.8) Corollary (iv)], [Rog90, Prop. 1.3]. The normalized multiplicity of  $\pi$  in  $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}^\Gamma$  is

$$m_{\Gamma, dg}(\pi) := \text{vol}_\Gamma \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Hom}_G(\pi, \rho_{\mathbb{C}}^\Gamma))$$

where  $\text{vol}_\Gamma$  is the volume of  $\Gamma \backslash G$  for a  $G$ -invariant measure induced by a Haar measure on  $G$ . By the limit multiplicity formula, the sequence  $(m_{\Gamma_n, dg}(\pi))$  converges, and its limit is not 0 ([DKV84, Appendix 3] plus [Kaz86]).  $\square$

**Proposition 6.3.** *Assume  $a = 0$ . Let  $\pi$  an irreducible  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation of  $G$  such that  $\pi^{\mathfrak{B}} \neq 0$  and  $\Gamma$  a discrete cocompact subgroup of  $G$ .*

1) If  $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \otimes_E V \rightarrow \pi$  is an  $E$ -structure of  $\pi$ , then  $\varphi^{\mathfrak{B}} : \mathbb{C} \otimes_E V^{\mathfrak{B}} \rightarrow \pi^{\mathfrak{B}}$  is an  $E$ -structure of  $\pi^{\mathfrak{B}}$ , and the natural map  $\mathrm{Hom}_{EG}(V, \rho_E^\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}G}(\pi, \rho_{\mathbb{C}}^\Gamma)$  is an isomorphism.

2) If  $\psi : \mathbb{C} \otimes_E W \rightarrow \pi^{\mathfrak{B}}$  is an  $E$ -structure of  $\pi^{\mathfrak{B}}$ , then  $\mathfrak{T}(\psi) : \mathbb{C} \otimes_E \mathfrak{T}(W) \rightarrow \pi$  is an  $E$ -structure of  $\pi$ .

3) An irreducible subrepresentation  $V$  of  $\rho_E^\Gamma$  admits an admissible  $O_E$ -integral structure  $V \cap \rho_{O_E}^\Gamma$  of reduction modulo  $P_E$  contained in  $\rho_k^\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* We recall a general result in algebra [Bou12, §12, n°2 Lemme 1]: Let  $C/C'$  be a field extension,  $A$  a  $C'$ -algebra. For  $A$ -modules  $M, N$ , the natural map

$$(6.1) \quad C \otimes_{C'} \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{C \otimes_{C'} A}(C \otimes_{C'} M, C \otimes_{C'} N)$$

is injective, and bijective if  $C/C'$  is finite or the  $A$ -module  $M$  is finitely generated.

1) Take  $C/C' = \mathbb{C}/E$ ,  $(M, N) = (E[\mathfrak{B} \setminus G], V)$  or  $(V, \rho_E^\Gamma)$ . Then (6.1) is an isomorphism because  $E[\mathfrak{B} \setminus G]$  (resp.  $V$ ) is an  $E$ -representation of  $G$  generated by the characteristic function of  $\mathfrak{B}$  (resp. irreducible).

2) The functors  $\pi \mapsto \pi^{\mathfrak{B}}$ -invariants and its left adjoint  $\mathfrak{T}$  commute with scalar extension from  $E$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  and when  $C = \mathbb{C}$ , are inverse equivalences of categories (Fact 3.4). Hence  $\mathfrak{T}(\psi) : \mathfrak{T}(\mathbb{C} \otimes_E W) = \mathbb{C} \otimes_E \mathfrak{T}(W) \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}(\pi^{\mathfrak{B}}) = \pi$  is an isomorphism.

3) For any open compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ , the  $O_E$ -module  $(\rho_{O_E}^\Gamma)^K$  is free and  $\rho_{O_E}^\Gamma$  contains  $L := V \cap \rho_{O_E}^\Gamma$  as  $O_E$ -representations of  $G$ . The  $O_E$ -submodule  $L^K$  of  $(\rho_{O_E}^\Gamma)^K$  is finitely generated because the ring  $O_E$  is noetherian. The natural linear  $G$ -equivariant isomorphism  $E \otimes_{O_E} \rho_{O_E}^\Gamma \rightarrow \rho_E^\Gamma$  restricts to a linear  $G$ -equivariant isomorphism  $E \otimes_{O_E} L \rightarrow V$  and therefore  $L$  is an  $O_E$ -integral structure of  $V$ . We have  $P_E \rho_{O_E}^\Gamma \cap L = P_E(\Gamma \setminus G)^\infty \cap L = P_E(\Gamma \setminus G)^\infty \cap V = P_E(O_E(\Gamma \setminus G)^\infty \cap V) = P_E L$ . Therefore, the reduction modulo  $P_E$  of  $L$ , i.e.  $(O_E/P_E) \otimes_{O_E} L = k \otimes_{O_E} L$  is contained in the reduction modulo  $P_E$  of  $\rho_{O_E}^\Gamma$ , i.e.  $\rho_k^\Gamma$ , as  $k$ -representations of  $G$ . As  $\rho_k^\Gamma$  is admissible,  $k \otimes L$  is also.  $\square$

Although the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]$  is not always the ring of integers  $O_E$  of  $E = \mathbb{Q}[q^{1/2}]$ , the arguments of 3) apply to this ring. The key proposition Prop. 5.1 implies:

**Corollary 6.4.** *Assume  $a = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{G}$  absolutely simple, the type of  $\Sigma$  is different from  $A_\ell (\ell \geq 1)$  when the parameters are equal, and  $M_{\mathbb{C}}$  a right  $H_{\mathbb{C}}(G, \mathfrak{B})$ -module as in Prop. 5.1. Then the irreducible square integrable  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation  $\mathfrak{T}(M_{\mathbb{C}})$  of  $G$  admits an admissible  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}]$ -integral structure.*

When  $\mathbf{G}$  is semi-simple, an irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation of  $G$  descends to a number field [Vig96, II.4.9]. Propositions 6.2 and 6.3 imply:

**Corollary 6.5.** *Assume  $a = 0$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  semi-simple. An irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation admits an admissible  $O_E$ -integral structure of reduction modulo  $P_E$  contained in  $\rho_k^\Gamma$ , for some discrete cocompact subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$ .*

## 7. SUPERCUSPIDALITY CRITERION

This section contains the proof of Proposition 1.2. We use the description of the scalar extension of an irreducible admissible representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  (a theorem with Henniart [HV17]).

The commutant  $D$  of  $\pi$  is a division algebra of finite dimension over  $C$ . Let  $E$  denote the center of  $D$ ,  $E_s/C$  the maximal separable extension contained in  $E/C$  and  $\delta$  the reduced degree of  $D/E$ . Let  $C^{alg}$  be an algebraic closure of  $C$  containing  $E$  and  $\pi_{C^{alg}}$  the scalar extension of  $\pi$  from  $C$  to  $C^{alg}$ .

**Fact 7.1.** [HV17] *The length of  $\pi_{C^{alg}}$  is  $\delta[E : C]$  and*

$$\pi_{C^{alg}} \simeq \bigoplus^{\delta} \left( \bigoplus_{i \in \text{Hom}(E_s, C^{alg})} V_i \right)$$

where  $V_i$  is indecomposable of commutant  $C^{alg} \otimes_{i, E_s} E$ , descends to a finite extension  $C'$  of  $C$ , has length  $[E : E_s]$  and its irreducible subquotients are all isomorphic, say to  $\rho_i$ . The  $\rho_i$  are admissible, of commutant  $C^{alg}$ ,  $\text{Aut}_C(C^{alg})$ -conjugate, not isomorphic each other, descend to a finite extension  $C'/C$ , and the descents seen as  $C$ -representations of  $G$ , are  $\pi$ -isotypic of finite length.

It is straightforward to deduce, using that parabolic induction commutes with scalar extension from  $C$  to  $C^{alg}$ ,  $\text{Aut}_C(C^{alg})$ , descent and finite direct sums, the following compatibility between supercuspidality and scalar extension from  $C$  to  $C^{alg}$ :

**Proposition 7.2.**  *$\pi$  is supercuspidal if and only if some irreducible subquotient  $\rho$  of  $\pi_{C^{alg}}$  is supercuspidal if and only if any irreducible subquotient  $\rho$  of  $\pi_{C^{alg}}$  is supercuspidal.*

*Remark 7.3.* When  $C \subset \mathbb{C}$ , some irreducible subquotient  $\rho$  of  $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$  is square integrable modulo center, if and only if any irreducible subquotient  $\rho$  of  $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$  is square integrable modulo center.

The pro- $p$  Sylow subgroup  $\mathfrak{U}$  of the Iwahori subgroup  $\mathfrak{B}$  of  $G$  is a pro- $p$  Iwahori subgroup of  $G$ . The theory of modules over the pro- $p$  Iwahori Hecke ring  $H(G, \mathfrak{U})$  is similar to the theory of the Iwahori Hecke ring  $H(G, \mathfrak{B})$  and we refer the reader to [Vig16] and to [Vig17] for the description of  $H(G, \mathfrak{U})$  and of the supersingular right  $H_C(G, \mathfrak{U})$ -modules. It is easy to see that the  $\mathfrak{U}$ -invariant functor and supersingularity commute with scalar extension from  $C$  to  $C^{alg}$ ,  $\text{Aut}_C(C^{alg})$ , descent and finite direct sums.

We prove Proposition 1.2, by descending to  $C$  the supercuspidal criterion proved over  $C^{alg}$  with Ollivier in [OV, Thm.3].

Suppose that  $\pi$  contains a non-zero  $\mathfrak{U}$ -invariant supersingular element. Some irreducible subquotient  $\rho$  of  $\pi_{C^{alg}}$  does also. By the supercuspidality criterion over  $C^{alg}$ ,  $\rho$  is supercuspidal and the  $H_{C^{alg}}(G, \mathfrak{U})$ -module  $\rho^{\mathfrak{U}}$  is supersingular. A descent  $\rho'$  of  $\rho$  to a finite extension  $C'/C$  is also supercuspidal and  $\rho'^{\mathfrak{U}}$  is also a supersingular  $H_{C'}(G, \mathfrak{U})$ -module. As  $\rho'$  is  $\pi$ -isotypic as a  $C$ -representation,  $\rho'^{\mathfrak{U}}$  is a direct sum of  $\pi^{\mathfrak{U}}$  as a  $H_C(G, \mathfrak{U})$ -module. Hence  $\pi$  is supercuspidal and the  $H_C(G, \mathfrak{U})$ -module  $\pi^{\mathfrak{U}}$  is supersingular.

Conversely, suppose that  $\pi$  is supercuspidal. By Prop.7.2, an irreducible subquotient  $\rho$  of  $\pi_{C^{alg}}$  is supercuspidal. By the supercuspidality criterion over  $C^{alg}$ , the  $H_{C^{alg}}(G, \mathfrak{U})$ -module  $\rho^{\mathfrak{U}}$  is supersingular. As above, we deduce that the  $H_C(G, \mathfrak{U})$ -module  $\pi^{\mathfrak{U}}$  is supersingular.  $\square$

## 8. CHANGE OF COEFFICIENT FIELD

This section contains the proof of Prop.1.4, divided in three steps. Let  $F_c = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } c = 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_c & \text{if } c \neq 0 \end{cases}$

be the prime field of characteristic  $c$  and  $C/F_c$  a field extension of algebraic closure  $C^{alg}/F_c^{alg}$ .

Step 1 shows that, if  $c \neq p$  and  $G$  admits an irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $C$ -representation  $\pi$ , then  $G$  admits one over a finite extension of  $F_c$ .

Indeed, twisting by a  $C^{alg}$ -character of  $G$  we can suppose that the values of the central character of  $\pi$  belong to  $F_c^{alg}$ . By [Vig96, II.4.9], when  $c \neq p$ ,  $\pi$  descends to a finite extension  $F'_c/F_c$ . The descent preserves irreducibility, admissibility and supercuspidality. A descent of  $\pi$  from  $C^{alg}$  to  $F'_c$  is an irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $F'_c$ -representation of  $G$ .

Step 2 shows that if  $G$  admits an irreducible admissible supercuspidal representation over a finite extension of  $F_c$  then  $G$  does over  $F_c$ .

Indeed, let  $C/C'$  be a finite field extension and  $\pi$  an irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation of  $G$ . Then  $\pi$  is admissible and finitely generated as a  $C'$ -representation of  $G$ . This implies that  $\pi$  contains an irreducible admissible  $C'$ -representation  $\pi'$  ([HV12, Lemma 7.10] for  $c = p$  and the next lemma for  $c \neq p$ ). By adjunction,  $\pi$  is a quotient of the scalar extension  $\pi'_C$  of  $\pi'$  from  $C'$  to  $C$ . We prove that if  $\pi$  is supercuspidal then  $\pi'$  is supercuspidal. Suppose that  $\pi'$  is not supercuspidal, subquotient of  $\text{ind}_P^G \tau'$  for a proper parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  and  $\tau'$  an irreducible admissible  $C'$ -representation of a Levi subgroup  $M$  of  $P$ . The parabolic induction is compatible with the scalar extension from  $C'$  to  $C$ , hence  $\pi'_C$  is a subquotient of  $\text{ind}_P^G \tau'_C$  and  $\pi$  is also. The  $C$ -representation  $\tau'_C$  of  $M$  has finite length and its irreducible subquotients are admissible [HV17, Cor.4]. Hence  $\pi$  is a subquotient of  $\text{ind}_P^G \rho$  for some irreducible admissible subquotient of  $\tau'_C$ , therefore  $\pi$  is not supercuspidal.

Step 3 shows that if  $G$  admits an irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $F_c$ -representation then  $G$  does over any field of characteristic  $c$ .

Indeed, let  $L/C$  be a field extension of algebraic closure  $L^{alg}/C^{alg}$  and  $\pi$  an irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $C$ -representation of  $G$ . Let  $\tau$  be an irreducible subquotient of the scalar extension  $\pi_L$  of  $\pi$  from  $C$  to  $L$ . It is admissible [HV17, Cor.4]. The scalar extension  $\tau_{L^{alg}}$  of  $\tau$  from  $L$  to  $L^{alg}$  is a subquotient of the scalar extension  $\pi_{L^{alg}}$  of  $\pi$  from  $C$  to  $L^{alg}$ , equal to the scalar extension of  $\pi_L$  from  $L$  to  $L^{alg}$ . By §7,  $\pi_{L^{alg}}$  has finite length, the irreducible subquotients of  $\pi_{L^{alg}}$  are absolutely irreducible, admissible, supercuspidal and descend to a finite extension  $C'/C$ , and the descents are  $\pi$ -isotypic as  $C$ -representations of  $G$ . Therefore  $\tau_{L^{alg}}$  has the same properties. We deduce that the extension  $\tau_{L'}$  of  $\tau$  from  $L$  to a finite extension  $L'$  of  $L$  has finite length, the irreducible subquotients of  $\tau'$  are absolutely irreducible, admissible, supercuspidal and  $\tau$ -isotypic as  $L$ -representations of  $G$ . This implies that  $\tau$  is supercuspidal.

To end the proof of Prop.1.4, it remains to prove the lemma announced in Step 2:

**Lemma 8.1.** *Assume  $c \neq p$ . An admissible finitely generated  $C$ -representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  has finite length.*

*Proof.* The extension  $\pi_{C^{alg}}$  of  $\pi$  from  $C$  to  $C^{alg}$  is also admissible finitely generated. The lemma is known when  $C$  is algebraically closed [Vig96, II.5.1] hence  $\pi_{C^{alg}}$  has finite length, implying that  $\pi$  has finite length.  $\square$

## 9. REDUCTION TO AN ABSOLUTELY SIMPLE ADJOINT GROUP

As well known, the adjoint group  $\mathbf{G}^{\text{ad}}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  is  $F$ -isomorphic to a finite product of reductive connected  $F$ -groups

$$(9.1) \quad \mathbf{G}^{\text{ad}} \simeq \mathbf{H} \times \prod_i R_{F'_i/F}(\mathbf{G}'_i)$$

where  $H := \mathbf{H}(F)$  is compact (hence any smooth irreducible  $C$ -representation of  $H$  is admissible and supercuspidal), the  $F'_i/F$  are finite separable extensions and  $R_{F'_i/F}(\mathbf{G}'_i)$  are scalar restrictions from  $F'_i$  to  $F$  of absolutely simple adjoint connected  $F'_i$ -groups  $\mathbf{G}'_i$ ,

**Proposition 9.1.** *Assume that the field  $C$  is algebraically closed or finite. If for any  $i$ ,  $G'_i := \mathbf{G}'_i(F')$  admits an irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $C$ -representation, then  $G$  admits an irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $C$ -representation.*

For  $C = \mathbb{C}$  and the finite group analogue  $\mathbf{H}(k)$  of  $G$ , where  $\mathbf{H}$  is a connected reductive group over a finite field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ , this is proved in [Kre12, Proof of Prop. 2.1].

The proposition is the combination of the Propositions 9.2, 9.4, 9.5, 9.8 corresponding to the operations: finite product, central extension, scalar restriction, with  $C$  algebraically closed or finite.

1) *Finite product* Let  $\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2$  be two connected reductive  $F$ -groups and  $\sigma, \tau$  irreducible admissible  $C$ -representations of  $G_1 := \mathbf{G}_1(F), G_2 := \mathbf{G}_2(F)$ .

**Proposition 9.2.** *Assume that  $C$  is algebraically closed.*

- a) *The tensor product  $\sigma \otimes_C \tau$  is an irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation of  $G_1 \times G_2$ .*
- b) *Each irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation of  $G_1 \times G_2$  is of this form.*
- c)  *$\sigma \otimes_C \tau$  determines  $\sigma, \tau$  (modulo isomorphism).*
- d)  *$\sigma \otimes_C \tau$  is supercuspidal if and only if  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are supercuspidal.*

*Proof.*  $\sigma \otimes_C \tau$  is admissible as, for open compact subgroups  $K_i$  of  $G_i$ , we have a natural isomorphism [Bou12, §12, 2 Lemme 1]:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K_1}(1, \sigma) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{K_2}(1, \tau) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{K_1 \times K_2}(1 \times 1, \sigma \otimes \tau).$$

Suppose now  $C$  algebraically closed.

a) It is known that  $\sigma \otimes_C \tau$  is irreducible [Bou12, §12, 2 Cor.1] (the commutant of  $\sigma$  is  $C$  [HV17]).

b) Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation of  $G_1 \times G_2$  and let  $K_1, K_2$  be any compact open subgroups of  $G_1, G_2$  such that  $\pi^{K_1 \times K_2} \neq 0$ .

When  $c = p$ , the  $C$ -representation of  $G_1$  generated by  $\pi^{K_2}$  is admissible as  $\pi^{K_1 \times K_2}$  is finite dimensional over  $C$ . It contains an irreducible admissible  $C$ -subrepresentation  $\sigma$  [HV12, Lemma 7.10]. Let  $\tau := \mathrm{Hom}_{G_1}(\sigma, \pi)$  with the natural action of  $G_2$ . The representation  $\sigma \otimes_C \tau$  embeds naturally in  $\pi$ ; as  $\pi$  is irreducible, it is isomorphic to  $\sigma \otimes_C \tau$ , and  $\tau$  is irreducible. As  $\pi$  is admissible,  $\tau$  is admissible<sup>5</sup>.

When  $c \neq p$ ,  $\pi^{K_1 \times K_2}$  is a simple right  $H_C(G_1 \times G_2, K_1 \times K_2)$ -module [Vig96, I.6.3]. We have  $H_C(G_1 \times G_2, K_1 \times K_2) \simeq H_C(G_1, K_1) \otimes_C H_C(G_2, K_2)$ . By [Bou12, §1 Proposition 2], the finite dimensional simple  $H_C(G_1, K_1) \otimes_C H_C(G_2, K_2)$ -modules are factorizable (we can also imitate with the Hecke algebras the argument above for  $c = p$ ) hence  $\pi^{K_1 \times K_2} \simeq \sigma^{K_1} \otimes \tau^{K_2}$  for irreducible admissible  $C$ -representations  $\sigma, \tau$  of  $G_1, G_2$ , and  $\pi \simeq \sigma \otimes_C \tau$  [Vig96, I.6.3].

c) As a  $C$ -representation of  $G_1$ ,  $\sigma \otimes_C \tau$  is  $\sigma$ -isotypic. As a representation of  $G_2$ ,  $\sigma \otimes_C \tau$  is  $\tau$ -isotypic. Hence c).

d) The parabolic subgroups of  $G_1 \times G_2$  are product of parabolic subgroups of  $G_1$  and of  $G_2$ . Let  $P, Q$  be parabolic subgroups of  $G_1, G_2$  of Levi subgroups  $M, L$  and let  $\pi_1$  be an irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation of  $M \times L$ . By b),  $\pi_1$  is factorizable,  $\pi_1 = \sigma_1 \otimes_C \tau_1$  for irreducible admissible  $C$ -representations  $\sigma_1, \tau_1$  of  $M, L$ ; we have a natural isomorphism  $\mathrm{ind}_P^{G_1} \sigma_1 \otimes_C \mathrm{ind}_Q^{G_2} \tau_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{ind}_{P \times Q}^{G_1 \times G_2} \pi_1$ . The irreducible subquotients of  $\mathrm{ind}_{P \times Q}^{G_1 \times G_2} \pi_1$  are the tensor products of the irreducible subquotients of  $\mathrm{ind}_P^{G_1} \sigma_1$  and of  $\mathrm{ind}_Q^{G_2} \tau_1$ . Hence d).  $\square$

Until the end of 1), we assume that  $C$  is a finite field. The next proposition is deduced from [HV17, Thm.1].

---

<sup>5</sup>The proof is due to Henniart



**Proposition 9.3.** *Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation of  $G$ . The commutant  $D$  of  $\pi$  is a finite extension of  $C$  and the scalar extension  $\pi_D$  of  $\pi$  from  $C$  to  $D$  is isomorphic to*

$$\pi_D \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in \text{Gal}(D/C)} \pi_i$$

for irreducible admissible  $D$ -representations  $\pi_i$  of  $G$  of commutant  $D$ , not isomorphic to each other, forming a single  $\text{Gal}(D/R)$ -orbit and seen as  $C$ -representations, are isomorphic to  $\pi$ .

*Proof.* The commutant  $D$  of  $\pi$  is a division algebra of finite dimension over  $C$ , and a finite extension of  $C$  is a finite field and is Galois. As a  $C$ -representation,  $\pi_D$  is  $\pi$ -isotypique of length  $[D : C]$ . Apply [HV17, Thm.1] with  $R' = D$ .  $\square$

Returning to  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  when  $C$  is finite, the commutants  $D_\sigma$  and  $D_\tau$  of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are finite extensions of  $C$  of dimensions  $d_\sigma, d_\tau$ . We embed them in  $C^{alg}$  and we consider the field  $D$  generated by  $D_\sigma$  and  $D_\tau$  of  $C$ -dimension  $\text{lcm}(d_\sigma, d_\tau)$ , and the intersection  $D' = D_\sigma \cap D_\tau$  of  $C$ -dimension  $\text{gcd}(d_\sigma, d_\tau)$ . The fields  $D_\sigma, D_\tau$  are linearly disjoint on  $D'$ ,  $D_\sigma \otimes_{D'} D_\tau \simeq D$ , and

$$(9.2) \quad D_\sigma \otimes_C D_\tau \simeq \prod_{[D':C]} D,$$

because  $D_\sigma \otimes_C D_\tau \simeq D_\sigma \otimes_{D'} (D' \otimes_C D') \otimes_{D'} D_\tau$ ,  $D' \otimes_C D' \simeq D' \otimes_C C[X]/(P[X]) \simeq D'[X]/(P[X]) \simeq \prod^{[D':C]} D'$  for any  $P[X] \in C[X]$  irreducible of degree  $[D' : C]$ .

**Proposition 9.4.** *The  $C$ -representation  $\sigma \otimes_C \tau$  of  $G_1 \times G_2$  is isomorphic to*

$$\sigma \otimes_C \tau \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\text{gcd}(d_\sigma, d_\tau)} \pi_j$$

for irreducible admissible  $C$ -representations  $\pi_j$  of commutant  $D$  and not isomorphic to each other. We have  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  supercuspidal  $\Leftrightarrow$  all the  $\pi_j$  supercuspidal  $\Leftrightarrow$  some  $\pi_j$  supercuspidal.

*Proof.* From Prop.9.3, the scalar extensions  $\sigma_D, \tau_D$  of  $\sigma, \tau$  from  $C$  to  $D$  are isomorphic to

$$\sigma_D \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in \text{Gal}(D_\sigma/C)} \sigma_i, \quad \tau_D \simeq \bigoplus_{r \in \text{Gal}(D_\tau/C)} \tau_r$$

for irreducible admissible  $D$ -representations  $\sigma_i, \tau_r$  of  $G_1, G_2$  of commutant  $D$ , not isomorphic to each other, forming a single  $\text{Gal}(D/R)$ -orbit, descending to  $D_\sigma$  (resp.  $D_\tau$ ) and seen as  $C$ -representations, isomorphic to  $\sigma$  (resp.  $\tau$ ). The  $C$ -representation  $\sigma \otimes_C \tau$  of  $G_1 \times G_2$  is admissible, of scalar extension from  $C$  to  $D$ :

$$(9.3) \quad (\sigma \otimes_C \tau)_D \simeq \sigma_D \otimes_D \tau_D \simeq \bigoplus_{(i,r) \in \text{Gal}(D_\sigma/C) \times \text{Gal}(D_\tau/C)} \sigma_i \otimes_D \tau_r.$$

The  $D$ -representation  $\sigma_i \otimes_D \tau_r$  of  $G_1 \times G_2$  is admissible of commutant  $D \otimes_D D = D$  [Bou12, §12, no 2, lemma 1]. Hence  $\sigma_i \otimes_D \tau_r$  is absolutely irreducible and  $(\sigma \otimes_C \tau)_D$  is semi-simple (9.3). This implies that  $\sigma \otimes_C \tau$  is semi-simple [Bou12, §12, no7, Prop.8]; its commutant contains  $D_\sigma \otimes_C D_\tau$ . From Prop.9.3,  $\sigma \otimes_C \tau$  has length  $d_\sigma d_\tau / \text{lcm}(d_\sigma, d_\tau) = \text{gcd}(d_\sigma, d_\tau)$ ; by (9.2), its commutant is  $D_\sigma \otimes_C D_\tau$  and its irreducible components  $\pi_j$  are admissible of commutant  $D$  and not isomorphic to each other.

From Prop. 9.2 over  $C^{alg}$ ,  $\sigma_i \otimes \tau_r$  is supercuspidal if and only if  $\sigma_i$  and  $\tau_r$  are, if and only if all  $\sigma_i$  and all  $\tau_r$  are. From Prop. 7.2, this is also equivalent to  $\pi_j$  supercuspidal for some  $j$ , and to  $\pi_j$  supercuspidal for all  $j$ .  $\square$

2) *Central extension* The natural surjective  $F$ -morphism  $\mathbf{G} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbf{G}^{ad}$  of kernel  $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})$  induces between the  $F$ -points an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z(G) \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{i} G^{ad} \rightarrow H^1(F, \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G})).$$

The image  $i(G)$  of  $G$  is a closed cocompact normal subgroup of  $G^{ad}$  and  $H^1(F, \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G}))$  is commutative.

Until the end of 2), we assume  $a = 0$ . The group  $H^1(F, \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{G}))$  is finite [PR94, Thm. 6.14] implying that  $i(G)$  is an open normal subgroup of  $G^{ad}$  of finite commutative quotient.

**Proposition 9.5.**  *$G^{ad}$  admits an irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $C$ -representation if and only if  $G$  does.*

The inflation from  $i(G)$  to  $G$  identifies the representations of  $i(G)$  with the representations of  $G$  trivial on  $Z(G)$ ; it respects irreducibility and admissibility. The functor (inflation from  $i(G)$  to  $G$ )  $\circ$  (restriction from  $G^{ad}$  to  $i(G)$ ) from  $C$ -representations of  $G^{ad}$  to representations of  $G$  trivial on  $Z(G)$  is denoted by  $- \circ i$ .

Let  $\tilde{\rho}$  be an irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation of  $G$  inflating a representation  $\rho$  of the normal open subgroup  $i(G)$  of finite index in  $G^{ad}$ . The  $C$ -representation  $\rho$  of  $i(G)$  is irreducible admissible and induces a representation  $\text{ind}_{i(G)}^{G^{ad}} \rho$  of  $G^{ad}$  which is admissible of finite length. Any irreducible quotient  $\pi$  of  $\text{ind}_{i(G)}^{G^{ad}} \rho$  is admissible (when  $c = p$  this uses that  $a = 0$ ), by adjunction  $\pi|_{i(G)}$  contains a subrepresentation isomorphic to  $\rho$  and by inflation from  $i(G)$  to  $G$ ,  $\tilde{\rho}$  is isomorphic to a subquotient of  $\pi \circ i$ .

Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation of  $G^{ad}$ . The restriction  $\pi|_{i(G)}$  of  $\pi$  to  $i(G)$  is semi-simple of finite length, its irreducible components  $\rho$  are  $G^{ad}$ -conjugate [Vig96, I.6.12]<sup>6</sup>. The  $C$ -representation  $\pi \circ i$  of  $G$  is semi-simple of finite length, of irreducible components the inflations  $\tilde{\rho}$  of the irreducible components  $\rho$  of  $\pi|_{i(G)}$ . Remark that if  $\pi'$  is a smooth  $C$ -representation of  $G^{ad}$  such that some subquotient of  $\pi' \circ i$  is isomorphic to some  $\tilde{\rho}$ , then some subquotient of  $\pi' \circ i$  is isomorphic to  $\pi \circ i$ .

Proposition 9.5 follows from:

**Proposition 9.6.**  *$\pi$  is supercuspidal if and only if some  $\tilde{\rho}$  is supercuspidal if and only if all  $\tilde{\rho}$  are supercuspidal.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove:  $\pi$  not supercuspidal  $\Rightarrow$  all  $\tilde{\rho}$  not supercuspidal, and then, some  $\tilde{\rho}$  not supercuspidal  $\Rightarrow \pi$  not supercuspidal. We check first the compatibility of the parabolic induction with  $- \circ i$ .

(i) The parabolic  $F$ -subgroups of  $\mathbf{G}$  and of  $\mathbf{G}^{ad}$  are in bijection. If the parabolic  $F$ -subgroup  $\mathbf{P}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  corresponds to the parabolic  $F$ -subgroup  $\mathbf{Q}$  of  $\mathbf{G}^{ad}$ ,  $\mathbf{i}$  restricts to an isomorphism between their unipotent radicals [Bor91, 22.6 Thm.], sends a Levi subgroup  $\mathbf{M}$  of  $\mathbf{P}$  onto a Levi subgroup  $\mathbf{L}$  of  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and induces between the  $F$ -points the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow Z(G) \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{i} L \rightarrow H^1(F, \mathbf{Z}(G)).$$

We have  $G^{ad} = Qi(G)$ ,  $Q \cap i(G) = i(P) = i(M)U$  where  $i(M)$  is an open normal subgroup of  $L$  of finite commutative quotient and  $U$  is the unipotent radical of  $Q$ . If  $\sigma$  is a smooth  $C$ -representation of  $L$ , then  $(\text{ind}_Q^{G^{ad}} \sigma)|_{i(G)} \simeq \text{ind}_{i(P)}^{i(G)}(\sigma|_{i(M)})$  and by inflation from  $i(G)$  to  $G$ :

$$(9.4) \quad (\text{ind}_Q^{G^{ad}} \sigma) \circ i \simeq \text{ind}_P^G(\sigma \circ i).$$

(ii) Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible admissible not supercuspidal  $C$ -representation of  $G^{ad}$ , isomorphic to a subquotient of  $\text{ind}_Q^{G^{ad}} \sigma$  for  $Q \neq G^{ad}$  and  $\sigma$  irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation

<sup>6</sup> in [Vig96, I.6.12] the condition that the index is invertible in  $C$  is not necessary and not used in the proof

of  $L$ . Therefore  $\pi \circ i$  is isomorphic to a subquotient of  $(\text{ind}_Q^{G^{ad}} \sigma) \circ i$ , and by (9.4) all the  $\tilde{\rho}$  are isomorphic to a subquotient of  $\text{ind}_P^G \tilde{\tau}$  for some irreducible subquotient  $\tilde{\tau}$  of  $\sigma \circ i$  (depending on  $\rho$ ). As  $\tilde{\tau}$  is admissible and  $P \neq G$ , all the  $\tilde{\rho}$  are not supercuspidal.

(iii) Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation of  $G^{ad}$  such that some irreducible component  $\tilde{\rho}$  of  $\pi \circ i$  is not supercuspidal, isomorphic to a subquotient of  $\text{ind}_P^G \tau$  for  $P \neq G$  and  $\tau'$  irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation of  $M$ . The central subgroup  $Z(G)$  acts trivially on  $\tilde{\rho}$  hence also on  $\tau'$ . Therefore  $\tau' = \tilde{\tau}$  for an irreducible subquotient  $\tau$  of  $\sigma|_{i(G)}$  where  $\sigma$  is an irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation of  $L$ . The representation  $\tilde{\rho}$  is isomorphic to a subquotient of  $\text{ind}_P^G(\sigma \circ i)$ . By (9.4) and the remark above Prop. 9.6,  $\pi \circ i$  is isomorphic to a subquotient of  $(\text{ind}_Q^{G^{ad}} \sigma) \circ i$ . By adjunction  $\pi$  is isomorphic to a subquotient of  $\text{ind}_{i(G)}^{G^{ad}}((\text{ind}_Q^{G^{ad}} \sigma)|_{i(G)})$ . This representation is isomorphic to

$$\text{ind}_{i(M)U}^{G^{ad}}(\sigma|_{i(M)}) \simeq \text{ind}_Q^{G^{ad}}(\text{ind}_{i(M)}^L(\sigma|_{i(M)})) \simeq \text{ind}_Q^{G^{ad}}(\sigma \otimes_C C[i(M)\backslash L]).$$

The  $C$ -representation  $\sigma \otimes_C C[i(M)\backslash L]$  of  $L$  has finite length and its irreducible subquotients  $\nu$  are admissible (Lemma 9.7 below). Therefore  $\pi$  is isomorphic to a subquotient of  $\text{ind}_Q^{G^{ad}} \nu$  for some  $\nu$  and  $Q \neq G^{ad}$ , hence  $\pi$  is not supercuspidal.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.7.** *Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible admissible  $C$ -representation of  $G$  and let  $V$  be a finite dimensional smooth  $C$ -representation of  $G$ . Then the representation  $\pi \otimes_C V$  of  $G$  has finite length and its irreducible subquotients are admissible.*

*Proof.* The scalar extension  $(\pi \otimes_C V)_{C^{alg}}$  of  $\pi \otimes_C V$  to an algebraic closure  $C^{alg}$  of  $C$  is isomorphic to  $\pi_{C^{alg}} \otimes_C V_{C^{alg}}$ . The length of  $\pi \otimes_C V$  is bounded above by the length of  $(\pi \otimes_C V)_{C^{alg}}$  and a  $C$ -representation of  $G$  is admissible if and only if its scalar extension from  $C$  to  $C^{alg}$  is admissible. By Fact 3.3, the length of the  $C^{alg}$ -representation  $\pi_{C^{alg}} \otimes_C V_{C^{alg}}$  of  $G$  is the product of the finite lengths of  $\pi_{C^{alg}}$  and of  $V_{C^{alg}}$ , and its irreducible subquotients are  $\pi_i \otimes \chi$  for the irreducible quotients  $\pi_i$  of  $\pi_{C^{alg}}$  and the  $C^{alg}$ -characters  $\chi$  of  $L$  trivial on  $i(M)$ . As  $\pi_i$  is admissible,  $\pi_i \otimes \chi$  is admissible, and all subquotients of  $\pi_{C^{alg}} \otimes_C V_{C^{alg}}$  are admissible.  $\square$

3) *Scalar restriction* Let  $F'/F$  be a finite separable extension,  $\mathbf{G}'$  a connected reductive  $F'$ -group and  $\mathbf{G} := \mathbf{R}_{F'/F}(\mathbf{G}')$  the scalar restriction of  $\mathbf{G}'$  from  $F'$  to  $F$ . As topological groups,  $G' := \mathbf{G}'(F')$  is equal to  $G := \mathbf{G}(F)$ . By [BT65, 6.19. Cor.],  $G'$  and  $G$  have the same parabolic subgroups, hence:

**Proposition 9.8.**  *$G'$  admits an irreducible admissible supercuspidal  $C$ -representation if and only if  $G$  does.*

## REFERENCES

- [Abd14] Ramla Abdellatif, *Classification des représentations modulo  $p$  de  $\text{SL}(2, F)$* , Bull. Soc. Math. France **142** (2014), no. 3, 537–589. MR 3295722
- [BH78] A. Borel and G. Harder, *Existence of discrete cocompact subgroups of reductive groups over local fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **298** (1978), 53–64. MR 483367
- [BL94] L. Barthel and R. Livné, *Irreducible modular representations of  $\text{GL}_2$  of a local field*, Duke Math. J. **75** (1994), no. 2, 261–292. MR 1290194
- [Bor76] Armand Borel, *Admissible representations of a semi-simple group over a local field with vectors fixed under an Iwahori subgroup*, Invent. Math. **35** (1976), 233–259. MR 0444849

- [Bor91] ———, *Linear algebraic groups*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. MR 1102012
- [Bou12] N. Bourbaki, *éléments de mathématique. Algèbre. Chapitre 8. Modules et anneaux semi-simples*, Springer, Berlin, 2012, Second revised edition of the 1958 edition [MR0098114]. MR 3027127
- [BP12] Christophe Breuil and Vytautas Paskunas, *Towards a modulo  $p$  Langlands correspondence for  $GL_2$* , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **216** (2012), no. 1016, vi+114. MR 2931521
- [BP16] Raphael Beuzart-Plessis, *A short proof of the existence of supercuspidal representations for all reductive  $p$ -adic groups*, Pacific J. Math. **282** (2016), no. 1, 27–34. MR 3463423
- [Bre03] Christophe Breuil, *Sur quelques représentations modulaires et  $p$ -adiques de  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . I*, Compositio Math. **138** (2003), no. 2, 165–188. MR 2018825
- [BT65] Armand Borel and Jacques Tits, *Groupes réductifs*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1965), no. 27, 55–150. MR 0207712
- [Cas] Guy Casselman, *Introduction to admissible representations of a  $p$ -adic group*, Notes.
- [CE04] Marc Cabanes and Michel Enguehard, *Representation theory of finite reductive groups*, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004. MR 2057756 (2005g:20067)
- [Che13] Chuangxun Cheng, *Mod  $p$  representations of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$* , J. Number Theory **133** (2013), no. 4, 1312–1330. MR 3004002
- [DKV84] P. Deligne, D. Kazhdan, and M.-F. Vignéras, *Représentations des algèbres centrales simples  $p$ -adiques*, Representations of reductive groups over a local field, Travaux en Cours, Hermann, Paris, 1984, pp. 33–117. MR 771672
- [GS05] Wee Teck Gan and Gordan Savin, *On minimal representations definitions and properties*, Represent. Theory **9** (2005), 46–93.
- [HV12] Guy Henniart and Marie-France Vignéras, *Comparison of compact induction with parabolic induction*, Pacific J. Math. **260** (2012), no. 2, 457–495. MR 3001801
- [HV15] ———, *A Satake isomorphism for representations modulo  $p$  of reductive groups over local fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **701** (2015), 33–75. MR 3331726
- [HV17] Guy Henniart and Marie-France Vignéras, *Representations of a  $p$ -adic group in characteristic  $p$* , submitted (2017).
- [IM65] N. Iwahori and H. Matsumoto, *On some Bruhat decomposition and the structure of the Hecke rings of  $p$ -adic Chevalley groups*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1965), no. 25, 5–48. MR 0185016
- [Kaz86] David Kazhdan, *Cuspidal geometry of  $p$ -adic groups*, J. Analyse Math. **47** (1986), 1–36. MR 874042
- [Koz16] Karol Koziol, *A classification of the irreducible mod- $p$  representations of  $U(1, 1)(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$* , Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **66** (2016), no. 4, 1545–1582. MR 3494178
- [KPW02] David Kazhdan, Boris Pioline, and Andrew Waldron, *Minimal representations, spherical vectors and exceptional theta series*, Comm. Math. Phys. **226** (2002), no. 1, 1–40.
- [Kre12] Arno Kret, *Existence of cuspidal representations of  $p$ -adic reductive groups*, arXiv:1205.2771 [math.RT] (2012).
- [KX15] Karol Koziol and Peng Xu, *Hecke modules and supersingular representations of  $U(2, 1)$* , Represent. Theory **19** (2015), 56–93. MR 3321473
- [Lus83] George Lusztig, *Some examples of square integrable representations of semisimple  $p$ -adic groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **277** (1983), no. 2, 623–653. MR 694380
- [Mar91] G. A. Margulis, *Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 17, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991. MR 1090825
- [OV] Rachel Ollivier and Marie-France Vignéras, *Parabolic induction in characteristic  $p$* , submitted.
- [Pas04] Vytautas Paskunas, *Coefficient systems and supersingular representations of  $GL_2(F)$* , Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) (2004), no. 99, vi+84. MR 2128381
- [PR94] Vladimir Platonov and Andrei Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 139, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994, Translated from the 1991 Russian original by Rachel Rowen. MR 1278263
- [Rog90] Jonathan D. Rogawski, *Automorphic representations of unitary groups in three variables*, vol. 123, 1990.

- [Tit79] J. Tits, *Reductive groups over local fields*, Automorphic forms, representations and  $L$ -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, pp. 29–69. MR 546588
- [Vig96] Marie-France Vignéras, *Représentations  $l$ -modulaires d'un groupe réductif  $p$ -adique avec  $l \neq p$* , Progress in Mathematics, vol. 137, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996. MR 1395151
- [Vig98] ———, *Induced  $R$ -representations of  $p$ -adic reductive groups*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **4** (1998), no. 4, 549–623. MR 1668044
- [Vig14] ———, *The pro- $p$ -Iwahori-Hecke algebra of a reductive  $p$ -adic group, II*, Muenster J. Math. **7** (2014), no. 1, 363–379. MR 3271250
- [Vig16] Marie-France Vignéras, *The pro- $p$ -Iwahori Hecke algebra of a reductive  $p$ -adic group I*, Compos. Math. **152** (2016), no. 4, 693–753. MR 3484112
- [Vig17] ———, *The pro- $p$ -Iwahori Hecke algebra of a reductive  $p$ -adic group III (spherical Hecke algebras and supersingular modules)*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **16** (2017), no. 3, 571–608. MR 3646282

(M.-F. Vignéras) INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU, 175 RUE DU CHEVALERET, PARIS 75013 FRANCE

*E-mail address:* vigneras@math.jussieu.fr