

Stabilization of the weakly coupled wave-plate system with one internal damping^{*}

Xiaoyu Fu[†] and Qi Lü[‡]

Abstract

This paper is addressed to a stabilization problem of a system coupled by a wave and a Euler-Bernoulli plate equation. Only one equation is supposed to be damped with a damping function $d(\cdot)$. Under some assumption about the damping and the coupling terms, it is shown that sufficiently smooth solutions of the system decay logarithmically at infinity without any geometric conditions on the effective damping domain. The proofs of these decay results rely on the interpolation inequalities for the coupled elliptic-parabolic systems and make use of the estimate of the resolvent operator for the coupled system. The main tools to derive the desired interpolation inequalities are global Carleman estimates.

Key Words. Logarithmic stability, coupled wave-plate equations, interpolation inequality, resolvent operator estimate.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) with the C^4 boundary Γ . Consider the following weakly coupled wave-plate system:

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt} - \Delta y + c(x)z + \alpha d(x)y_t = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega, \\ z_{tt} + \Delta^2 z + c(x)y + (1 - \alpha)d(x)z_t = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega, \\ y = z = \Delta z = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Gamma, \\ (y(0), y_t(0)) = (y^0, y^1), (z(0), z_t(0)) = (z^0, z^1) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here $c(\cdot) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ denotes the coupling function, $d(\cdot) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ denotes the damping function, $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = 1$. Both $c(\cdot)$ and $d(\cdot)$ are nonnegative functions.

When $d = 0$, the system (1.1) is a classical model to describe the propagation of waves in elastic solids (e.g.[1, 22]). In recent years, the model in which $d \neq 0$ attracts lots of

^{*}This work is partially supported by the NSFC under grants 11471231, 11231007 and 11322110, by the Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University under grant IRT_16R53, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities in China under grant 2015SCU04A02.

[†]School of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China. E-mail address: rj_xy@163.com.

[‡]School of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China. E-mail address: lu@scu.edu.cn.

attentions due to the study of the plastic composite materials. These materials are widely used in industry, such as aircraft, ships, submarines and automobiles. Light weight is one of the main advantage of them. However, light weight could lead the structural elements of the composite to subject to unnecessary vibrations. Then it is important to add some damping on the system to attenuate unnecessary vibrations in the design of composite dynamic structures(e.g. [2, 32]). In (1.1), if $\alpha = 1$, then the term “ $d(x)y_t$ ” is an damping acted on the wave which is used to stabilize the wave directly and the plate indirectly. On the other hand, if $\alpha = 0$, then “ $d(x)z_t$ ” is an damping acted on the plate which is used to stabilize the plate directly and the wave indirectly.

The stabilization of both wave and plate equations are studied extensively in the literature (see [9, 12, 17, 25, 26, 28, 29, 34] and the rich references therein). Generally speaking, there are three types of decays for the energy of damped systems, that is, exponential decay, polynomial decay and logarithmic decay. To obtain the first two decays, one needs restrictive conditions on the support of the damping. For example, to get the exponential decay of the energy of wave equations, one needs the set

$$\omega \triangleq \{x \in \Omega : d(x) \geq d_0 \text{ for some } d_0 > 0\}$$

fulfills the geometric control condition (e.g. [9]). To get the polynomial decay, one needs Ω and ω fulfills some special geometric condition (see [12, 14, 29] for example). Similar things happen for plate equations (e.g.[8, 13, 23]).

When Ω and ω does not fulfill any special condition, people find that the energies of both wave and plate equations satisfy logarithmic decay(e.g. [25, 26]). In this paper, we show such decay also holds for (1.1).

There exist many results on the stabilization of coupled systems in the literature (see [3, 4, 5, 7, 6, 31, 33] and the rich references therein). Particularly, in [5], the author obtained the polynomial decay of the system (1.1) with a constant coupling parameter and a damping which is effective on the whole boundary. As far as we know, there is no reference addressing the asymptotic behavior of the system (1.1) (with only one damping but without geometric assumptions on the effective damping domain).

In this paper, we will show the logarithmic decay property for solutions of the system (1.1). According to a well known result of Burq (see [11, Theorem 3]), to obtain the logarithmic decay rate, it suffices to show some high-frequency estimates with exponential loss on the resolvent. Thus, the main difficulty is the estimate of the resolvent operators, which will be solved by using some Carleman inequalities. To this end, we borrow some idea in [20]. However, there are some new essential difficulties. Indeed, to get the energy decay for a system coupled by two wave equations, one should establish an interpolation inequality for a system coupled by two elliptic equations. In our case, we have to get two interpolation inequalities for a system coupled by one elliptic and two parabolic equations. One cannot simply mimic the techniques in [20] to obtain our result. Please see Section 4 for more details.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give the main results in this paper. Section 3 is devoted to establish Carleman estimates for some second order partial differential operators. Section 4 is addressed to proving some interpolation inequalities by those Carleman estimates. At last, in Section 5, we prove our main results.

2 Statement of the main results

Throughout this paper, we always assume that $c(\cdot)$ and $d(\cdot)$ are bounded real valued non-negative functions satisfying

$$c(x) \geq c_0 > 0 \quad \text{in } \omega_c \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$d(x) \geq d_0 > 0 \quad \text{in } \omega_d, \quad (2.2)$$

where ω_c and ω_d are any fixed non-empty open subsets of Ω , and c_0 and d_0 are given constants.

Remark 2.1 *The condition (2.1) means that the two equations are at least coupled effectively on the non-empty open set ω_c . If it does not hold, then the wave and the plate may not impact each other efficaciously. Then one cannot stabilize the system by only put damping on one equation.*

Remark 2.2 *The condition (2.2) means that the damping are at least worked effectively on the non-empty open set ω_d . If it does not hold, then the damping may not be strong enough to stabilize the system. An extreme example is that $d = 0$.*

In what follows, we will use $C = C(\Omega, \omega_c, \omega_d)$ to denote generic positive constants which may vary from line to line.

Let

$$H \triangleq H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \times (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \times L^2(\Omega).$$

Write an element $U \in H$ as $U = (y, u, z, v)$, where $y \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $u, v \in L^2(\Omega)$, $z \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. Define an unbounded operator $\mathcal{A} : D(\mathcal{A}) \subset H \rightarrow H$ by

$$\begin{cases} D(\mathcal{A}) \triangleq \{U \in H : \mathcal{A}U \in H, y|_\Gamma = z|_\Gamma = \Delta z|_\Gamma = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{A}U = \left(u, \Delta y - c(x)z - \alpha d(x)u, v, -\Delta^2 z - c(x)y - (1 - \alpha)d(x)v\right). \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

It is easy to show that \mathcal{A} generates a C_0 -semigroup $\{e^{t\mathcal{A}}\}_{t \geq 0}$ on H . Therefore, system (1.1) is well-posed. The energy of a solution (y, z) to the system (1.1) at time t is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla y(t)|^2 + |y_t(t)|^2) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta z(t)|^2 + |z_t(t)|^2) dx + \int_{\Omega} c(x) \operatorname{Re} \left(y(t) \bar{z}(t) \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

When $d = 0$, it is easy to see that $E(\cdot)$ is conservative. When $d \neq 0$, our main results are stated as follows:

Theorem 2.1 *Let $c(\cdot)$ and $d(\cdot)$ satisfy (2.1) and (2.2). Suppose that $\omega_c \cap \omega_d \neq \emptyset$. Then, solutions $e^{t\mathcal{A}}(y^0, y^1, z^0, z^1) \equiv (y, y_t, z, z_t) \in C(\mathbb{R}; D(\mathcal{A})) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}; H)$ to system (1.1) satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{t\mathcal{A}}(y^0, y^1, z^0, z^1)\|_H &\leq \frac{C}{\log(2+t)} \|(y^0, y^1, z^0, z^1)\|_{D(\mathcal{A})}, \\ &\quad \forall (y^0, y^1, z^0, z^1) \in D(\mathcal{A}), \quad \forall t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Remark 2.3 From [25], we know the decay rate in Theorem 2.1 is sharp.

Following [16, Theorem 7.1] (see also [11, Theorem 3]), Theorem 2.1 is a consequence of the following resolvent estimate for the operator \mathcal{A} :

Theorem 2.2 Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that if

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda \in [-e^{-C|\operatorname{Im} \lambda}/C, 0],$$

then it holds that

$$\|(\mathcal{A} - \lambda I)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq C e^{C|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|}, \quad \text{for } |\lambda| > 1.$$

Remark 2.4 In this paper, we assume that $\omega_c \cap \omega_d \neq \emptyset$. It would be quite interesting to consider the case that $\omega_c \cap \omega_d = \emptyset$. As far as we know, this is an unsolved problem.

3 Carleman estimates for the elliptic and parabolic operators

In this section, we establish Carleman estimates for elliptic operator $\partial_{ss} + \Delta$, and parabolic operators $\partial_t + \Delta$ and $\partial_s - \Delta$, respectively.

To begin with, we assume that ω_k ($k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) are subdomains of Ω such that $\omega_0 \subset \omega_1 \subset \omega_2 \subset \omega_3 \subset \omega_c \cap \omega_d \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \omega_4$. Recall that there exists a function $\hat{\psi} \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ such that (see [21] for example)

$$\hat{\psi} > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \hat{\psi} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad |\nabla \hat{\psi}| > 0 \text{ in } \overline{\Omega} \setminus \omega_0. \quad (3.1)$$

With the aid of the function $\hat{\psi}$ defined above, we introduce weight functions as follows:

$$\theta = e^\ell, \quad \ell = \lambda\phi, \quad \phi = e^{\mu\psi}, \quad \psi = \psi(s, x) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \frac{\hat{\psi}(x)}{\|\hat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}} + b^2 - s^2. \quad (3.2)$$

Here $1 < b \leq 2$ will be given later, $\lambda, \mu, s \in \mathbb{R}$ are parameters and $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.

We first recall the following known global Carleman estimate for elliptic equations.

Lemma 3.1 ([20, Theorem 3.1]) Let $p \in C^2((-b, b) \times \Omega; \mathbb{C})$, and let $\ell \in C^2((-b, b) \times \Omega)$ be given by (3.2). Then, there is a constant $\mu_0 > 0$ such that for all $\mu \geq \mu_0$, one can find two constants $C = C(\mu) > 0$ and $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\mu)$ so that for all $p \in H_0^1((-b, b) \times \Omega)$ and $p_{ss} + \Delta p = f_1$ (in $(-b, b) \times \Omega$, in the sense of distribution) with $f_1 \in L^2((-b, b) \times \Omega)$, and for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi (|\nabla p|^2 + |p_s|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |p|^2) dx ds \\ & \leq C \left[\int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |f_1|^2 dx ds + \lambda \mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_0} \theta^2 \phi (|\nabla p|^2 + |p_s|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |p|^2) dx ds \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Further, choose some cut-off functions $\eta_{j+1} \in C_0^\infty(\omega_{j+1})$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) such that $\eta_{j+1}(x) = 1$ in ω_j . Then, we have the following local weighted energy estimate.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\ell \in C^2((-b, b) \times \Omega; \mathbb{R})$ be given by (3.2). Then, there is a constant $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, one can find a constant $C > 0$ so that for all $q \in H_0^1((-b, b) \times \Omega)$ and $\gamma q_s + \Delta q = f_2$ (in $(-b, b) \times \Omega$, in the sense of distribution) with $f_2 \in L^2((-b, b) \times \Omega)$, for any $\beta \geq 2$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_{j+1}^2 \phi^k |\nabla q|^2 dx ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(\lambda \mu)^\beta} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |f_2|^2 dx ds + C(\lambda \mu)^\beta \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_{j+1}^2 \phi^{k+2} |q|^2 dx ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We choose a cut-off function $\eta_{j+1} \in C_0^\infty(\omega_{j+1}; [0, 1])$ such that $\eta(x) = 1$ in ω_j . A short calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta^2 \phi^k \eta_{j+1}^2 \left[\overline{q}(\gamma q_s + \Delta q) + q(\overline{\gamma q_s + \Delta q}) \right] \\ & = (\gamma \theta^2 \phi^k \eta_{j+1}^2 |q|^2)_s - (\gamma \theta^2 \phi^k \eta_{j+1}^2)_s |q|^2 + \sum_{l=1}^n \left[\theta^2 \phi^k \eta_{j+1}^2 (\overline{q} q_{x_l} + q \overline{q}_{x_l}) \right]_{x_l} \\ & \quad - 2\theta^2 \phi^k \eta_{j+1}^2 |\nabla q|^2 - \sum_{l=1}^n \left(\theta^2 \phi^k \eta_{j+1}^2 \right)_{x_l} (\overline{q} q_{x_l} + q \overline{q}_{x_l}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Next, recalling (3.2) for the definition of ℓ , it is easy to see that

$$\begin{cases} \ell_s = \lambda \mu \phi \psi_s, & \ell_{x_j} = \lambda \mu \phi \psi_{x_j}, & \ell_{x_j s} = \lambda \mu^2 \phi \psi_s \psi_{x_j}, \\ \ell_{ss} = \lambda \mu^2 \phi \psi_s^2 + \lambda \mu \phi \psi_{ss}, & \ell_{x_j x_k} = \lambda \mu^2 \phi \psi_{x_j} \psi_{x_k} + \lambda \mu \phi \psi_{x_j x_k}. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Integrating (3.5) in $(-b, b) \times \Omega$, noting that $q(-b) = q(b) = 0$ in Ω and $q = 0$ on the boundary, by (3.6), we get the desire result immediately. \square

Further, we recall the following point-wise weighted inequality for the parabolic operators.

Lemma 3.3 ([18, Theorem 2.1]) *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n}; \mathbb{C})$. Set $\theta = e^\ell$, $v = \theta q$ and $\Psi = -2\Delta \ell$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 |\gamma q_s + \Delta q|^2 + M_s + \operatorname{div} V \\ & \geq 2 \sum_{j,k=1}^n \ell_{x_j x_k} (v_{x_k} \overline{v}_{x_j} + \overline{v}_{x_k} v_{x_j}) + 2\Delta \ell |\nabla v|^2 + B|v|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$A \triangleq |\nabla \ell|^2 + \Delta \ell, \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} M \triangleq (\gamma^2 \ell_s - \gamma A) |v|^2 + \gamma |\nabla v|^2, \\ V \triangleq [V^1, \dots, V^k, \dots, V^n], \\ V^k \triangleq -\gamma (v_{x_k} \bar{v}_s + \bar{v}_{x_k} v_s) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \ell_{x_j} (v_{x_j} \bar{v}_{x_k} + \bar{v}_{x_j} v_{x_k}) - 2 \ell_{x_k} |\nabla v|^2 \\ \quad + 2 \Delta \ell (v_{x_k} \bar{v} + \bar{v}_{x_k} v) + (2A \ell_{x_k} - 2 \Delta \ell_{x_k} - 2 \gamma \ell_{x_k} \ell_s) |v|^2, \\ B \triangleq \gamma^2 \ell_{ss} - \gamma A_s - 2 \gamma (\nabla \ell \cdot \nabla \ell_s - \Delta \ell \ell_s) - 2 \Delta^2 \ell + 2 (\nabla A \cdot \nabla \ell - A \Delta \ell). \end{array} \right. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Taking $\alpha = \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta = 0$, $m = n$ and $(a^{jk})_{n \times n} = I_n$ (the unit matrix) in [18, Theorem 2.1] with t replaced by s , in this case $\mathcal{P}q = \gamma q_s + \Delta q$. Noting that $\Psi = -2 \Delta \ell$ and $\theta(\mathcal{P}q \bar{I}_1 + \bar{\mathcal{P}}q I_1) \leq 2 |I_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 |\mathcal{P}q|^2$, we immediately get the desired result. \square

Remark 3.1 *Similar point-wise weighted identity was given in [27, Lemma 2.1] for the real valued parabolic equation.*

Based on Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we have the following Carleman estimate for the heat equations.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\ell \in C^2((-b, b) \times \Omega; \mathbb{R})$ be given by (3.2). Then, there is a constant $\mu_1 > 0$ such that for all $\mu \geq \mu_1$, one can find two constants $C = C(\mu) > 0$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(\mu)$ so that for all $q \in H_0^1((-b, b) \times \Omega)$ and $\gamma q_s + \Delta q = f_2$ (in $(-b, b) \times \Omega$, in the sense of distribution) with $f_2 \in L^2((-b, b) \times \Omega)$, and for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (\lambda \phi)^{-1} (|\Delta q|^2 + |\gamma q_s|^2) dx ds + \lambda \mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi (|\nabla q|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |q|^2) dx ds \\ & \leq C \left[\int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |f_2|^2 dx ds + \lambda^3 \mu^4 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_1} \theta^2 \phi^3 |q|^2 dx ds \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1. By (3.6), a short calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{j,k=1}^n \ell_{x_j x_k} (v_{x_k} \bar{v}_{x_j} + \bar{v}_{x_k} v_{x_j}) + 2 \Delta \ell |\nabla v|^2 \\ & \geq 4 \lambda \mu^2 \phi |\nabla \psi \cdot \nabla v|^2 + 2 \lambda \mu^2 \phi |\nabla \psi|^2 |\nabla v|^2 - C \lambda \mu \phi |\nabla v|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Next, by (3.2), (3.6) and (3.8), we obtain that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A = \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 + \lambda \mu^2 \phi |\nabla \psi|^2 + \lambda \mu \phi \Delta \psi \\ \nabla (\phi^2 |\nabla \psi|^2) \cdot \nabla \ell = 2 \lambda \mu^2 \phi^3 |\nabla \psi|^4 + \lambda \mu \phi^3 \nabla (|\nabla \psi|^2) \cdot \nabla \psi. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.12)$$

Recalling (3.9) for the definition of B , it follows from (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \gamma^2 \ell_{ss} - \gamma A_s - 2\gamma(\nabla \ell \cdot \nabla \ell_s - \Delta \ell \ell_s) - 2\Delta^2 \ell + 2(\nabla A \cdot \nabla \ell - A \Delta \ell) \\ &\geq 2\lambda^3 \mu^4 \phi^3 |\nabla \psi|^4 - C\lambda^3 \mu^3 \phi^3 - C\lambda \mu^4 \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Combining (3.11) and (3.13), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS of (3.7)} &\geq 2\lambda \mu^2 \phi |\nabla \psi|^2 (|\nabla v|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 v^2) \\ &\quad - C\lambda \mu \phi (|\nabla v|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |v|^2 + \mu^3 |v|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Integrating inequality (3.7) on $(-b, b) \times \Omega$, using integration by parts, noting that $v_{x_j} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \nu_j$ on Σ (which follows from $v|_{\Sigma} = 0$), $v(-b) = v(b) = 0$ and by (3.1) and (3.14), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda \mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \phi |\nabla \psi|^2 (|\nabla v|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 |v|^2) dx ds \\ &\leq C \left[\|\theta f_2\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \lambda \mu \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \phi (|\nabla v|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |v|^2 + \mu^3 |v|^2) dx ds \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where we use the following fact

$$\int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} V dx ds = 2\lambda \mu \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Gamma} \phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 dx ds \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, by (3.1) and (3.2), it is easy to see that

$$h \triangleq |\nabla \psi| = \frac{1}{\|\hat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}} |\nabla \hat{\psi}(x)| > 0, \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega \setminus \omega_0}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda \mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \phi h^2 (|\nabla v|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 h^2 |v|^2) dx ds \\ &\geq c_1 \lambda \mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\omega_0}} \phi (|\nabla v|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |v|^2) dx ds \\ &\quad - C\lambda \mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_0} \phi (|\nabla v|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |v|^2) dx ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Combining (3.15)–(3.16), noting that $v = \theta q$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda \mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi (|\nabla q|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |q|^2) dx ds \\ &\leq C \left[\|\theta f_2\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \lambda \mu \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi (|\nabla q|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |q|^2 + \mu^3 |q|^2) dx ds \right] \\ &\quad + C\lambda \mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_0} \theta^2 \phi (|\nabla q|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |q|^2) dx ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Taking $\mu_1 \triangleq 4C + 1 > 0$, for any $\mu \geq \mu_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda\mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi \left(|\nabla q|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |q|^2 \right) dx ds \\
& \leq C \left(\|\theta f_2\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \lambda\mu^4 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi |q|^2 dx ds \right) \\
& \quad + C\lambda\mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_0} \theta^2 \phi \left(|\nabla q|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |q|^2 \right) dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Taking $\lambda_2 \triangleq 2\sqrt{C} + 1 > 0$, for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda\mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi \left(|\nabla q|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |q|^2 \right) dx ds \\
& \leq C \left[\int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |f_2|^2 dx ds + \lambda\mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_0} \theta^2 \phi \left(|\nabla q|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |q|^2 \right) dx ds \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Step 2. Let us estimate “ $\int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (\lambda\phi)^{-1} (|\Delta q|^2 + |\gamma q_s|^2) dx ds$ ”.

By (3.6) and (3.1), a short calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (\lambda\phi)^{-1} \gamma (\bar{q}_s \cdot \Delta q + q_s \bar{\Delta} q) dx ds \\
& = -\gamma \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \nabla (\theta^2 (\lambda\phi)^{-1}) \cdot (\nabla \bar{q}_s + \nabla q \bar{q}_s) dx ds + \gamma \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} (\theta^2 (\lambda\phi)^{-1})_s |\nabla q|^2 dx ds \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (\lambda\phi)^{-1} |\gamma q_s|^2 dx dt + C\lambda\mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi |\nabla q|^2 dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Combining (3.20) and (3.19), we end up with

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (\lambda\phi)^{-1} (|\Delta q|^2 + |\gamma q_s|^2) dx ds \\
& \leq C \left[\int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |f_2|^2 dx ds + \lambda\mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_0} \theta^2 \phi \left(|\nabla q|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |q|^2 \right) dx ds \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Finally, Combing (3.19) and (3.21), by Lemma 3.2, taking $\lambda_1 = \max\{\lambda_0, \lambda_2\}$, we get the desired estimate in Lemma 3.4 immediately. \square

4 Two interpolation inequalities for the weakly coupled elliptic-parabolic system

In this section we shall prove two interpolation inequalities for the following weakly coupled elliptic-parabolic system:

$$\begin{cases} p_{ss} + \Delta p - c(x)q + i\alpha d(x)p_s = p^0 & \text{in } X, \\ w_s + \Delta w - c(x)p + i(1-\alpha)d(x)q_s = w^0 & \text{in } X, \\ q_s - \Delta q = w & \text{in } X, \\ p = q = w = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Here $X = (-2, 2) \times \Omega$, $\Sigma = (-2, 2) \times \Gamma$ and $p^0, w^0 \in L^2(X)$. In what follows, we will use the notations $Y \triangleq (-1, 1) \times \Omega$, $X^* \triangleq (-2, 2) \times (\omega_c \cap \omega_d)$. We have the following interpolation inequalities for system (4.1).

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\alpha = 1$. Under the assumptions in Theorem 2.1, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any solutions (p, w, q) of system (4.1) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|p\|_{H^1(Y)} + \|w\|_{L^2(Y)} + \|q\|_{L^2(Y)} \\ & \leq Ce^{C/\varepsilon} (\|p^0\|_{L^2(X)} + \|w^0\|_{L^2(X)} + \|p\|_{L^2(X^*)} + \|p_s\|_{L^2(X^*)}) \\ & \quad + Ce^{-2/\varepsilon} (\|p\|_{L^2(X)} + \|p_s\|_{L^2(X)} + \|w\|_{L^2(X)} + \|q\|_{L^2(X)}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Theorem 4.2 *Let $\alpha = 0$. Under the assumptions in Theorem 2.1, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any solutions (p, w, q) of system (4.1) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|p\|_{H^1(Y)} + \|w\|_{L^2(Y)} + \|q\|_{L^2(Y)} \\ & \leq Ce^{C/\varepsilon} (\|p^0\|_{L^2(X)} + \|w^0\|_{L^2(X)} + \|q\|_{L^2(X^*)} + \|q_s\|_{L^2(X^*)}) \\ & \quad + Ce^{-2/\varepsilon} (\|p\|_{L^2(X)} + \|p_s\|_{L^2(X)} + \|w\|_{L^2(X)} + \|q\|_{L^2(X)}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The proof is based on the global Carleman estimates presented in Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.4. In case of $\alpha = 1$, the damping we imposed related to $d(x)p_s$, in this situation, the main difficulty is to estimate the energy of the coupled system (p, w, q) only localized in $\omega_c \cap \omega_d$ by $\int_{\omega_c \cap \omega_d} (|p|^2 + |p_s|^2) dx$. The proof is long, hence we divide it into several steps.

Step 1. Note that there is no boundary conditions for p , w and q at $s = \pm 2$ in system (4.1). Therefore, we need to introduce a cut-off function $\varphi = \varphi(s) \in C_0^\infty(-b, b) \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \varphi(s) \leq 1 & |s| < b, \\ \varphi(s) = 1, & |s| \leq b_0, \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

where $1 < b_0 < b \leq 2$ are given as follows:

$$b \triangleq \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\mu} \ln(2 + e^\mu)}, \quad b_0 \triangleq \sqrt{b^2 - \frac{1}{\mu} \ln\left(\frac{1 + e^\mu}{e^\mu}\right)}, \quad \forall \mu > \ln 2. \quad (4.5)$$

Put

$$\hat{p} = \varphi p, \quad \hat{w} = \varphi w, \quad \hat{q} = \varphi q. \quad (4.6)$$

Then, noting that φ does not depend on x , by (4.1), it follows

$$\begin{cases} \hat{p}_{ss} + \Delta \hat{p} = F_1 & \text{in } X, \\ \hat{w}_s + \Delta \hat{w} = F_2 & \text{in } X, \\ \hat{q}_s - \Delta \hat{q} = F_3 & \text{in } X, \\ \hat{p} = \hat{q} = \hat{w} = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} F_1 \triangleq \varphi_{ss} p + 2\varphi_s p_s + \varphi p^0 - i\alpha d(x)\varphi p_s + c(x)\hat{q}, \\ F_2 \triangleq \varphi_s w + \varphi w^0 - i(1-\alpha)d(x)\varphi q_s + c(x)\hat{p}, \\ F_3 \triangleq \varphi_s q + \hat{w}. \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

For system (4.7), by using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4, noting that $\alpha = 1$, we conclude that there is a $\mu_1 > 0$ such that for all $\mu \geq \mu_1$, one can find two constants $C = C(\mu) > 0$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(\mu)$ so that for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi (|\nabla \hat{p}|^2 + |\hat{p}_s|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |\hat{p}|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 |\hat{w}|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 |\hat{q}|^2) dx ds \\ & + \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (\lambda \phi)^{-1} (|\Delta \hat{w}|^2 + |\hat{w}_s|^2 + |\Delta \hat{q}|^2 + |\hat{q}_s|^2) dx ds \\ & \leq C \left[\int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (|F_1|^2 + |F_2|^2 + |F_3|^2) dx ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + C \lambda \mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_1} \theta^2 \phi \left(\lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |\hat{p}|^2 + |\hat{p}_s|^2 + |\nabla \hat{p}|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |\hat{w}|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |\hat{q}|^2 \right) dx ds. \right. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Step 2. Let us estimate “ $\int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_1} \theta^2 \phi^3 |\hat{w}|^2 dx ds$ ”.

Recall that $\eta_2 \in C_0^\infty(\omega_2)$ satisfying $\eta_2 = 1$ in ω_1 . By (4.7) and (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_2^2 |\hat{w}|^2 \\ & = \theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_2^2 \overline{\hat{w}} (\hat{q}_s - \Delta \hat{q}) - \theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_2^2 \overline{\hat{w}} \varphi_s q \\ & = -\theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_2^2 \overline{\hat{q}} (\hat{w}_s + \Delta \hat{w}) + (\theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_2^2 \overline{\hat{w}} \hat{q})_s - (\theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_2^2)_s \overline{\hat{w}} \hat{q} - \theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_2^2 \overline{\hat{w}} \varphi_s q \\ & \quad - \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_2^2 (\overline{\hat{w}} \hat{q}_{x_j} - \overline{\hat{w}_{x_j}} \hat{q}) \right]_{x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n (\theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_2^2)_{x_j} (\overline{\hat{w}} \hat{q}_{x_j} - \overline{\hat{w}_{x_j}} \hat{q}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Now, integrating (4.10) on $(-b, b) \times \Omega$, noting that $\hat{w}(-b) = \hat{w}(b) = 0$ in Ω , by (4.7) and

(3.6), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_2^2 \phi^3 |\hat{w}|^2 dx ds \\
& \leq - \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_2^2 \hat{q} \overline{F_2} dx ds + C \lambda^2 \mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi^5 \eta_2^2 |\hat{q}|^2 dx ds \\
& \quad + C \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n (\theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_2^2)_{x_j} (\overline{\hat{w}}_{x_j} \hat{q} - \overline{\hat{w}_{x_j}} \hat{q}) \right| dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

However, by Lemma 3.2, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n (\theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_2^2)_{x_j} (\overline{\hat{w}}_{x_j} \hat{q} - \overline{\hat{w}_{x_j}} \hat{q}) \right| dx ds \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(\lambda \mu)^3} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_1} \theta^2 \eta_2^2 \phi (|\nabla \hat{w}|^2 + \phi^2 |\hat{w}|^2) dx ds \\
& \quad + C (\lambda \mu)^5 \left[\int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_1} \theta^2 \eta_2^2 \phi^5 |\nabla \hat{q}|^2 dx ds + \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_2} \theta^2 \phi^7 |\hat{q}|^2 dx ds \right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(\lambda \mu)^5} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |F_2|^2 dx ds + \frac{C}{\lambda \mu} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_2^2 |\hat{w}|^2 dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{C}{(\lambda \mu)^4} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |F_3|^2 dx ds + C (\lambda \mu)^{14} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_2} \theta^2 \phi^7 |\hat{q}|^2 dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Combining (4.11) and (4.12), for fixed μ , we conclude that there is a $\lambda_3 > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_3$, one can find two constants $C = C(\mu) > 0$ and $\lambda_3 = \lambda_3(\mu)$ so that for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_3$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^3 \mu^4 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_2^2 \phi^3 |\hat{w}|^2 dx ds \\
& \leq C \left[\int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (|F_2|^2 + |F_3|^2) dx ds + (\lambda \mu)^{18} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_2^2 \phi^7 |\hat{q}|^2 dx ds \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Step 3. Let us estimate “ $\int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_2} \theta^2 \phi^7 |\hat{q}|^2 dx ds$ ”.

Recall cut-off function $\eta_3 \in C_0^\infty(\omega_3)$ satisfying $\eta_3 = 1$ in ω_2 . By elementary calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta^2 \phi^7 \eta_3^2 \overline{\hat{q}} (\hat{p}_{ss} + \Delta \hat{p}) \\
& = (\theta^2 \phi^7 \eta_3^2 \overline{\hat{q}} \hat{p}_s)_s - \theta^2 \phi^7 \eta_3^2 \hat{p}_s \overline{\hat{q}}_s - (\theta^2 \phi^7 \eta_3^2)_s \overline{\hat{q}} \hat{p}_s + \theta^2 \phi^7 \eta_3^2 \hat{p} \Delta \overline{\hat{q}} \\
& \quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\theta^2 \phi^7 \eta_3^2 (\overline{\hat{q}} \hat{p}_{x_j} - \overline{\hat{q}_{x_j}} \hat{p}) \right]_{x_j} - \sum_{j=1}^n (\theta^2 \phi^7 \eta_3^2)_{x_j} (\overline{\hat{q}} \hat{p}_{x_j} - \overline{\hat{q}_{x_j}} \hat{p}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

On the other hand, multiplying the first equation of (4.7) by $\theta^2 \phi^7 \eta_3^2 \overline{\hat{q}}$, we have

$$c(x) \theta^2 \phi^7 \eta_3^2 |\hat{q}|^2 = \theta^2 \phi^7 \eta_3^2 \overline{\hat{q}} (\hat{p}_{ss} + \Delta \hat{p}) - \theta^2 \phi^7 \eta_3^2 \overline{\hat{q}} (F_1 - c(x) \hat{q}). \tag{4.15}$$

Now, integrating (4.15) on $(-b, b) \times \Omega$, noting that $\hat{p}(-b) = \hat{p}(b) = 0$ in Ω , by (4.7), (3.6), (4.14) and (2.1), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_3^2 \phi^7 |\hat{q}|^2 dx ds \\
& \leq C \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi^7 |F_1 - c(x)\hat{q}|^2 dx ds + \frac{C}{(\lambda\mu)^{16}} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi^5 \eta_3^2 |\nabla \hat{q}|^2 dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{(\lambda\mu)^{18}} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (\lambda\phi)^{-1} (|\hat{q}_s|^2 + |\Delta \hat{q}|^2) dx ds \\
& \quad + C(\lambda\mu)^{19} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_3} \theta^2 \phi^{15} (|\nabla \hat{p}|^2 + |\hat{p}_s|^2 + |\hat{p}|^2) dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

On the one hand, by Lemma 3.2, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{C}{(\lambda\mu)^{16}} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi^5 \eta_3^2 |\nabla \hat{q}|^2 dx ds \\
& \leq \frac{C}{(\lambda\mu)^{18}} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |F_3|^2 dx ds + \frac{C}{(\lambda\mu)^{14}} \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_3^2 \phi^7 |\hat{q}|^2 dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{(\lambda\mu)^{18}} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (\lambda\phi)^{-1} (|\hat{q}_s|^2 + |\Delta \hat{q}|^2) dx ds \\
& \leq \frac{C}{(\lambda\mu)^{18}} \left[\int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |F_3|^2 dx ds + \lambda^3 \mu^4 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_1} \theta^2 \phi^3 |\hat{q}|^2 dx ds \right] \\
& \leq \frac{C}{(\lambda\mu)^{18}} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |F_3|^2 dx ds + \frac{C}{(\lambda\mu)^{14}} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_2} \theta^2 \phi^7 |\hat{q}|^2 dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

By (4.16)–(4.18), for fixed μ , we conclude that there is a $\lambda_4 > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_4$, one can find two constants $C = C(\mu) > 0$ and $\lambda_4 = \lambda_4(\mu)$ so that for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_3$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda\mu)^{18} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_2} \theta^2 \phi^7 |\hat{q}|^2 dx ds & \leq C \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi^7 \left((\lambda\mu)^{18} |F_1 - c(x)\hat{q}|^2 + |F_3|^2 \right) dx ds \\
& \quad + C(\lambda\mu)^{37} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_3} \theta^2 \phi^{15} (|\nabla \hat{p}|^2 + |\hat{p}_s|^2 + |\hat{p}|^2) dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Finally, we choose cut-off function $\eta_4 \in C_0^\infty(\omega_c \cap \omega_d)$ such that $\eta_4 = 1$ in ω_3 . Multiplying the first equation of (4.7) by $\theta^2 \phi^{15} \eta_4^2 \hat{p}$, integrating it on $(-b, b) \times \Omega$, using integration by parts, and noting that $\hat{p}(-b) = \hat{p}(b) = 0$ in Ω , by a simple calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_3} \theta^2 \phi^{15} (|\nabla \hat{p}|^2 + |\hat{p}_s|^2) dx ds \\
& \leq C \left[e^{C\lambda} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_c \cap \omega_d} |\hat{p}|^2 dx ds + \frac{1}{(\lambda\mu)^{37}} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |F_1|^2 dx ds \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Combing (4.9) and (4.16)–(4.20), by (2.1) and (4.4), noting that $\hat{p} = \varphi p$, $\hat{w} = \varphi w$, $\hat{q} = \varphi q$, by (4.8) and noting that $\alpha = 1$, taking $\lambda_* = \max\{\lambda_j, j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda\mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi (|\nabla p|^2 + |p_s|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |p|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |w|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |q|^2) dx ds \\ & \leq C e^{C\lambda} \left\{ \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} (|p^0|^2 + |w^0|^2) dx ds + \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_c \cap \omega_d} (|p|^2 + |p_s|^2) dx ds \right\} \\ & \quad + C(\lambda\mu)^{18} \int_{(-b, -b_0) \cup (b_0, b)} \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi^7 (|p| + |p_s|^2 + |q| + |w|^2) dx ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Step 4. Recalling (3.2) and (4.5) for the definitions of ϕ and b , b_0 , respectively, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{cases} \phi(s, \cdot) \geq 2 + e^\mu, & \text{for any } s \text{ satisfying } |s| \leq 1, \\ \phi(s, \cdot) \leq 1 + e^\mu, & \text{for any } s \text{ satisfying } b_0 \leq |s| \leq b. \end{cases} \quad (4.22)$$

Finally, denote $c_0 = 2 + e^\mu > 1$. Fixing the parameter μ in (4.9), and using (4.22), one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda e^{2\lambda c_0} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla p|^2 + |p_s|^2 + |p|^2 + |w|^2 + |q|^2) dx ds \\ & \leq C e^{C\lambda} \left\{ \int_{-2}^2 \int_{\Omega} (|p^0|^2 + |w^0|^2) dx ds + \int_{-2}^2 \int_{\omega_c \cap \omega_d} (|p|^2 + |p_s|^2) dx ds \right\} \\ & \quad + C(\lambda\mu)^{18} e^{c_2\mu} e^{2\lambda(c_0-1)} \int_{(-b, -b_0) \cup (b_0, b)} \int_{\Omega} (|p|^2 + |p_s|^2 + |w|^2 + |q|^2) dx ds \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

where $c_2 = 7\mu \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} > 0$.

From (4.23), one concludes that there exists an $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that the desired inequality (4.2) holds for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_2]$, which, in turn, implies that it holds for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This completes the proofs of Theorem 4.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.2. In case of $\alpha = 0$, the damping we imposed related to $d(x)q_s$, in this situation, we will estimate the energy of the coupled system (p, w, q) only localized in $\omega_c \cap \omega_d$ by $\int_{\omega_c \cap \omega_d} (|q|^2 + |q_s|^2) dx$. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1. Recall $\eta_2 \in C_0^\infty(\omega_2)$ such that $\eta_2 = 1$ in ω_1 . Multiplying the first equation of (4.7) by $\theta^2 \phi \eta_2^2 \bar{p}$, integrating it on $(-b, b) \times \Omega$, using integration by parts, noting that $\hat{p}(-b) = \hat{p}(b) = 0$ in Ω and $\alpha = 0$, by a simple calculation, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \eta_2^2 \theta^2 \phi (|\nabla \hat{p}|^2 + |\hat{p}_s|^2) dx ds \\ & \leq C(\lambda\mu)^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_2^2 \phi^3 |\hat{p}|^2 dx ds + \frac{1}{(\lambda\mu)^2} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |F_1|^2 dx ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Therefore, by (4.9) and (4.24), we conclude that there is a $\mu_1 > 0$ such that for all $\mu \geq \mu_1$, one can find two constants $C = C(\mu) > 0$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(\mu)$ so that for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda\mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi (|\nabla \hat{p}|^2 + |\hat{p}_s|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |\hat{p}|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 |\hat{w}|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 |\hat{q}|^2) dx ds \\
& \leq C \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (|F_1|^2 + |F_2|^2 + |F_3|^2) dx ds \\
& \quad + C \lambda^3 \mu^4 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_2} \theta^2 \phi^3 (|\hat{p}|^2 + |\hat{w}|^2) dx ds + C e^{C\lambda} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_2} |\hat{q}|^2 dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Step 2. Let us estimate “ $\int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_2} \theta^2 \phi^3 |\hat{p}|^2 dx ds$ ”.

Recall that $\eta_3 \in C_0^\infty(\omega_3)$ such that $\zeta_3 = 1$ in ω_2 . Then, multiplying the second equation of (4.7) by $\theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_3^2 \bar{p}$, we have

$$c(x) \theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_3^2 |\hat{p}|^2 = \theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_3^2 \bar{p} (\hat{w}_s + \Delta \hat{w}) - \theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_3^2 \bar{p} (F_2 - c(x) \hat{p}). \tag{4.26}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_3^2 \bar{p} (\hat{w}_s + \Delta \hat{w}) \\
& = (\theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_3^2 \bar{p} \hat{w})_s - (\theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_3^2)_s \bar{p} \hat{w} - \theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_3^2 \bar{p}_s \hat{w} \\
& \quad + \sum_{j=1}^n (\theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_3^2 \bar{p} \hat{w}_{x_j})_{x_j} - \sum_{j=1}^n (\theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_3^2)_{x_j} \bar{p} \hat{w}_{x_j} - \sum_{j=1}^n \theta^2 \phi^3 \eta_3^2 \bar{p}_{x_j} \hat{w}_{x_j}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Now, integrating (4.26) on $(-b, b) \times \Omega$, by (4.27) and Lemma 3.4, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_3^2 \phi^3 |\hat{p}|^2 dx ds \\
& \leq C \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi^3 |F_2 - c(x) \hat{p}|^2 dx ds + C (\lambda\mu)^3 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_3^2 \phi^5 (|\hat{w}|^2 + |\nabla \hat{w}|^2) dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{C}{(\lambda\mu)^3} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_3^2 \phi (|\hat{p}_s|^2 + |\nabla \hat{p}|^2) dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

Proceeding exactly as (4.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{C}{(\lambda\mu)^3} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_3^2 \phi (|\hat{p}_s|^2 + |\nabla \hat{p}|^2) dx ds \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\lambda\mu} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_2^2 \phi^3 |\hat{p}|^2 dx ds + \frac{C}{(\lambda\mu)^5} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |F_1|^2 dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Combining (4.28) and (4.29), for fixed μ , there is a $\lambda_5 > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_5$, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_2} \theta^2 \phi^3 |\hat{p}|^2 dx ds &\leq C \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi^3 (|F_2 - c(x)\hat{p}|^2 + (\lambda\mu)^{-5} |F_1|^2) dx ds \\ &\quad + C(\lambda\mu)^3 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_3^2 \phi^5 (|\hat{w}|^2 + |\nabla \hat{w}|^2) dx ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Step 3. By using Lemma (3.2) and proceeding similarly analysis as (4.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &C(\lambda\mu)^3 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_3^2 \phi^5 (|\hat{w}|^2 + |\nabla \hat{w}|^2) dx ds \\ &\leq C(\lambda\mu)^6 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta_3^2 \phi^5 |\hat{w}|^2 dx ds + C \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi^3 |F_2|^2 dx ds \\ &\leq C(\lambda\mu)^{-4} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi^3 (|F_2|^2 + |F_3|^2) dx ds + C e^{C\lambda} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_c \cap \omega_d} |\hat{q}|^2 dx ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Finally, by (4.25), (4.10) and (4.28), noting that $\hat{p} = \varphi p$, $\hat{w} = \varphi w$, $\hat{q} = \varphi q$, by (4.8), taking $\lambda^* = \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_5\}$, for any $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda\mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi (|\nabla p|^2 + |p_s|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |p|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |w|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \phi^2 |q|^2) dx ds \\ &\leq C e^{C\lambda} \left[\int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} (|p^0|^2 + |w^0|^2) dx ds + \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_c \cap \omega_d} (|q|^2 + |q_s|^2) dx ds \right] \\ &\quad + C(\lambda\mu)^5 \int_{(-b, -b_0) \cup (b_0, b)} \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \phi^3 (|p| + |p_s|^2 + |q| + |w|^2) dx ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Then, proceeding exactly the same analysis as (4.22) and (4.23), we complete the proof of Theorem 4.2. \square

5 Proof of the main results

In this section, we shall give the proof of logarithmic decay results. Recall (2.3) for the definition of \mathcal{A} and $D(\mathcal{A})$. Denote by $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A})$ the resolvent set of \mathcal{A} . The proof of the logarithmic decay relies on a result of Burq ([11, Theorem 3]), which links it to estimates on the resolvent of $(\mathcal{A} - i\mu)$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Later, Duyckaerts consider the resolvent operator $(\mathcal{A} - \lambda I)^{-1}$ for complex number λ . Concerning the resolvent estimate established in Theorem 2.2, let us recall the following known result.

Lemma 5.1 ([16, Theorem 7.1]) *Let $D > 0$, and*

$$\mathcal{O}_D \triangleq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Re} \lambda| < D^{-1} e^{-D|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|}\}.$$

Assume that for some $D > 0$, \mathcal{O}_D is included in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A})$, and that in \mathcal{O}_D there is a positive constant C such that

$$\|(\mathcal{A} - \lambda I)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq C e^{C|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|}.$$

Then for all k there exists C_k such that

$$\|e^{t\mathcal{A}}U_0\|_H \leq \frac{C_k}{(\log(t+2))^k} \|U_0\|_{D(\mathcal{A}^k)}, \quad \forall U_0 \in D(\mathcal{A}^k).$$

Therefore, once we prove the existence and the estimate of the norm of the resolvent $(\mathcal{A} - \lambda I)^{-1}$ when $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \in \left[-e^{-C|\operatorname{Im} \lambda}/C, 0\right]$, stated in Theorem 2.2, by virtue of Lemma 5.1, we can get Theorem 2.1, immediately.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1. First, fix $F = (f^0, f^1, g^0, g^1) \in H$ and $U_0 \triangleq U(0) = (y^0, y^1, z^0, z^1) \in D(\mathcal{A})$. It is easy to see that the following equation

$$(\mathcal{A} - \lambda I)U_0 = F \tag{5.1}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} -\lambda y^0 + y^1 = f^0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta y^0 - [\alpha d(x) + \lambda]y^1 - c(x)z^0 = f^1, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\lambda z^0 + z^1 = g^0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta^2 z^0 - [(1 - \alpha)d(x) + \lambda]z^1 - c(x)y^0 = g^1, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ y^0 = z^0 = \Delta z^0 = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

By (5.2), we conclude that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta y^0 - \lambda^2 y^0 - \alpha \lambda(x)y^0 - c(x)z^0 = [d(x) + \lambda]f^0 + f^1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta^2 z^0 - \lambda^2 z^0 - (1 - \alpha)\lambda d(x)z^0 - c(x)y^0 = \lambda g^0 + g^1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ y^0 = z^0 = \Delta z^0 = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ y^1 = f^0 + \lambda y^0, \quad z^1 = g^0 + \lambda z^0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

Put

$$p = e^{i\lambda s}y^0, \quad q = e^{i\lambda s}z^0. \tag{5.4}$$

It is easy check that p and q satisfy the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} p_{ss} + \Delta p + i\alpha d(x)p_s - c(x)q = (\lambda f^0 + df^0 + f^1)e^{i\lambda s} & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ q_{ss} - \Delta^2 q + i(1 - \alpha)d(x)q_s - c(x)p = (\lambda g^0 + g^1)e^{i\lambda s} & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ p = q = \Delta q = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

Further, we set

$$w = q_s - \Delta q. \tag{5.6}$$

Then, clearly, p, q and w satisfy the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} p_{ss} + \Delta p + i\alpha d(x)p_s - c(x)q = (\lambda f^0 + df^0 + f^1)e^{i\lambda s} & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ w_s + \Delta w + i(1 - \alpha)d(x)q_s - c(x)p = (\lambda g^0 + g^1)e^{i\lambda s} & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ q_s - \Delta q = w & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ p = q = w = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \Gamma. \end{cases} \quad (5.7)$$

Step 2. By (5.4), we have the following estimates.

$$\begin{cases} \|y^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|z^0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq Ce^{C|\text{Im}\lambda|} (\|p\|_{H^1(Y)} + \|w\|_{L^2(Y)} + \|q\|_{L^2(Y)}) \\ \|p\|_{H^1(X)} + \|w\|_{L^2(X)} + \|q\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C(|\lambda| + 1)e^{C|\text{Im}\lambda|} (\|y^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|z^0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}), \\ \|p\|_{L^2(X^*)} + \|p_s\|_{L^2(X^*)} \leq C(1 + |\lambda|)e^{C|\text{Im}\lambda|} \|y^0\|_{L^2(\omega_c \cap \omega_d)}, \\ \|q\|_{L^2(X^*)} + \|q_s\|_{L^2(X^*)} \leq C(1 + |\lambda|)e^{C|\text{Im}\lambda|} \|z^0\|_{L^2(\omega_c \cap \omega_d)}. \end{cases} \quad (5.8)$$

Now, in case of $\alpha = 1$, applying Theorem 4.1 to equation (5.5), and combining (5.8), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|y^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|z^0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \\ & \leq Ce^{C|\text{Im}\lambda|} \left(\|f^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|f^1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g^0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|g^1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|y^0\|_{L^2(\omega_c \cap \omega_d)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

In case of $\alpha = 0$, applying Theorem 4.2 to equation (5.5), and combining (5.8), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|y^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|z^0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \\ & \leq Ce^{C|\text{Im}\lambda|} \left(\|f^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|f^1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g^0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|g^1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|z^0\|_{L^2(\omega_c \cap \omega_d)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

On the other hand, multiplying the first equation of (5.3) by $2\bar{y}^0$ and integrating it on Ω , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left(-\Delta y^0 + \lambda^2 y^0 + \alpha \lambda d(x) y^0 + c(x) z^0 \right) \cdot 2\bar{y}^0 dx \\ & = 2\lambda^2 \int_{\Omega} |y^0|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y^0|^2 dx + 2\alpha \int_{\Omega} \lambda d(x) |y^0|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} c(x) z^0 \bar{y}^0 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Similarly, multiplying the second equation of (5.3) by $2\bar{z}^0$ and integrating it on Ω , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left(\Delta^2 z^0 + \lambda^2 z^0 + (1 - \alpha) \lambda d(x) z^0 + c y^0 \right) \cdot 2\bar{z}^0 dx \\ & = 2\lambda^2 \int_{\Omega} |z^0|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta z^0|^2 dx + 2(1 - \alpha) \int_{\Omega} \lambda d(x) |z^0|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} c(x) y^0 \bar{z}^0 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Taking the imaginary part in the both sides of (5.11) +(5.12), by (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\alpha|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \int_{\Omega} d(x)|y^0|^2 dx + 2(1-\alpha)|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \int_{\Omega} d(x)|z^0|^2 dx \\
& \leq C \left[\|(\lambda f^0 + df^0 + f^1)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|y^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| |\operatorname{Re} \lambda| \|y^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right] \\
& \quad + C \left[\|(\lambda g^0 + g^1)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|z^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| |\operatorname{Re} \lambda| \|z^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

where we have use the following obvious fact:

$$\operatorname{Im} \int_{\Omega} c(x)z^0 \bar{y}^0 dx + \operatorname{Im} \int_{\Omega} c(x)y^0 \bar{z}^0 dx = \operatorname{Im} \int_{\Omega} c(x)(z^0 \bar{y}^0 + y^0 \bar{z}^0) dx = 0.$$

Hence, combining (5.9) and (5.13), and noting that $d(x) \geq d_0 > 0$ on ω_d , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|y^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|z^0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \\
& \leq C e^{C|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|} (\|f^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|f^1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g^0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|g^1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \\
& \quad + C e^{C|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|} |\operatorname{Re} \lambda| (\|y^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|z^0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

We now take

$$C e^{C|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|} |\operatorname{Re} \lambda| \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

which holds, whenever $|\operatorname{Re} \lambda| \leq e^{-C|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|}/C$ for some sufficiently large $C > 0$. Then, by (5.14), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|y^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|z^0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \\
& \leq C e^{C|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|} (\|f^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|f^1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g^0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|g^1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Recalling that $y^1 = f^0 + \lambda y^0$, $z^1 = g^0 + \lambda z^0$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|y^1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|z^1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
& \leq \|f^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |\lambda| \|y^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g^0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + |\lambda| \|z^0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \\
& \leq C e^{C|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|} (\|f^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|f^1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g^0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|g^1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

By (5.15)–(5.16), we know that $\mathcal{A} - \lambda I$ is injective. Therefore $\mathcal{A} - \lambda I$ is bi-injective from $D(\mathcal{A})$ to H_1 . Moreover,

$$\|(\mathcal{A} - \lambda I)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H;H)} \leq C e^{C|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda \in [-e^{-C|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|}/C, 0], \quad |\lambda| \geq 1.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. \square

References

- [1] J. D. Achenbach, *Wave propagation in elastic solids*, North Holland publishing company, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [2] R. D. Adams, *Damping properties analysis of composites*, engineering materials handbook, composites, ASM, **1**(1987), 206–217.
- [3] F. Alabau, *Stabilisation frontière indirecte de systèmes faiblement couplés*, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér I Math*, **328** (1999), 1015–1020.
- [4] F. Alabau, *Indirect boundary stabilization of weakly coupled hyperbolic systems*, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **41** (2002), 511–541.
- [5] F. Alabau, P. Cannarsa and V. Komornik, *Indirect internal stabilization of weakly coupled evolution equations*, *J. Evol. Equ.*, **2** (2002), 127–150.
- [6] F. Alabau and M. Léautaud, *Indirect stabilization of locally coupled wave-types equations*, *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, **18** (2010), 548–582.
- [7] K. Ammari and S. Nicaise, *Stabilization of a transmission wave/plate equation*. *J. Differential Equations* **249**(2010), 707–727.
- [8] N. Anantharaman, M. Léautaud and F. Macià, *Wigner measures and observability for the Schrödinger equation on the disk*. *Invent. Math.* **206** (2016), 485–599.
- [9] C. Bardos, G. Lebeau and J. Rauch, *Sharp sufficient conditions for the observation, control and stabilization from the boundary*, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **30** (1992), 1024–165.
- [10] C. J. K. Batty and T. Duyckaerts, *Non-uniform stability for bounded semi-groups on Banach spaces*, *J. Evol. Equ.*, **8** (2008), 765–780.
- [11] N. Burq, *Décroissance de l'énergie locale de l'équation des ondes pour le problème extérieur et absence de résonance au voisinage du réel*, *Acta Math.*, **180** (1998), 1–29.
- [12] N. Burq and M. Hitrik, *Energy decay for damped wave equations on partially rectangular domains*, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **14** (2007), 35–47.
- [13] N. Burq and M. Zworski, *Geometric control in the presence of a black box*. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (2004), 443–471.
- [14] N. Burq and C. Zuily, *Concentration of Laplace eigenfunctions and stabilization of weakly damped wave equation*. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **345** (2016), 1055–1076.
- [15] G. Chen, S. A. Fulling, F. J. Narcowich and S. Sun, *Exponential decay of energy of evolution equations with locally distributed damping*, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **51** (1991), 266–301.

- [16] T. Duyckaerts, *Optimal decay rates of the energy of a hyperbolic-parabolic system coupled by an interface*, *Asymptot. Anal.*, 51 (2007), 17–45.
- [17] X. Fu, *Logarithmic decay of hyperbolic equations with arbitrary small boundary damping*, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 34(2009), 957–975.
- [18] X. Fu, *Null controllability for the parabolic equation with a complex principal part*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 257(2009), 1333–1354.
- [19] X. Fu, *Longtime behavior of the hyperbolic equations with an arbitrary internal damping*, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 62(2011), 667–680.
- [20] X. Fu, *Sharp decay rates for the weakly coupled hyperbolic system with one internal damping*, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 50 (2012), 1643–1660.
- [21] A. V. Fursikov and O. Yu. Imanuvilov, *Controllability of Evolution Equations*, Lecture Notes Series 34, Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea, 1994.
- [22] K. F. Graff, *Wave motion in elastic solids*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1975.
- [23] A. Haraux, *Séries lacunaires et contrôle semi-interne des vibrations d’une plaque rectangulaire*. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9)68 (1989), 457–465.
- [24] J. E. Lagnese, *Boundary stabilization of thin plates*. SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics, 10. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1989.
- [25] G. Lebeau, *Equation des ondes amorties*, in Algebraic and Geometric Methods in Mathematical Physics (Kaciveli, 1993), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1996, 73–109.
- [26] G. Lebeau and L. Robbiano, *Stabilisation de l’équation des ondes par le bord*, *Duke Math. J.*, 86 (1997), 465–491.
- [27] X. Liu and X. Zhang, *Local controllability of multidimensional quasi-linear parabolic equations*, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 50 (2012), 2046–2064.
- [28] J. H. Ortega and E. Zuazua, Enrique(E-MADC-AM) Generic simplicity of the spectrum and stabilization for a plate equation. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 39 (2000), 1585–1614.
- [29] K.-D. Phung, *Polynomial decay rate for the dissipative wave equation*, *J. Differential Equations*, 240 (2007), 92–124.
- [30] K.-D. Phung and X. Zhang, *Time reversal focusing of the initial state for Kirchoff plate*, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 68 (2008), 1535–1556.
- [31] J. Rauch, X. Zhang and E. Zuazua, *Polynomial decay of a hyperbolic-parabolic coupled system*, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 84 (2005), 407–470.
- [32] J. H. Yim and B. Z. Jang, *An analytical method for prediction of the damping in symmetric balanced laminated composites*. *Polymer Composites* 20 (1999), 192–199.

- [33] X. Zhang and E. Zuazua, *Long time behavior of a coupled heat-wave system arising in fluid-structure interaction*, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 184 (2007), 49–120.
- [34] E. Zuazua, *Exponential decay for the semilinear wave equation with locally distributed damping*, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 15 (1990), 205–235.