

# VIRTUAL RESIDUE AND GENERALIZED CAYLEY- BACHARACH THEOREM

MU-LIN LI

ABSTRACT. Using virtual residue, which is a generalization of Grothendieck residue, we generalized Cayley- Bacharach Theorem to the cases with positive dimensions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $C_1, C_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be plane curves of degrees  $d$  and  $e$  respectively, meeting in a collection of  $de$  distinct points  $\Gamma := \{p_1, \dots, p_{de}\}$ . Cayley- Bacharach Theorem said that if  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  is any plane curve of degree  $d + e - 3$  containing all but one point of  $\Gamma$ , then  $C$  contains all of  $\Gamma$ , see [3, Theorem CB4]. The extension of Cayley- Bacharach property on projective manifolds had been proved to be related to Fujita conjecture, and the construction of special bundles, see [6], [7], [8] and [9].

By using Grothendieck residue, Griffiths and Harris [5, Chapter5] proved the following generalized Cayley- Bacharach theorem. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a compact complex manifold and let  $E$  be a holomorphic bundle over  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\text{rank } E = \dim \mathcal{M} = n$ . Let  $\tilde{s}$  be a holomorphic section of  $E$  who zero loci  $\mathcal{Z}$  are isolated points. If  $\mathcal{Z}$  consists of distinct simple points, then each  $D \in |K \otimes \det E|$  that passes through all but one point of  $\mathcal{Z}$  necessarily contains that remaining points, where  $K_{\mathcal{M}}$  is the canonical line bundle of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

In this paper we will deal with the cases where some connected components of  $\mathcal{Z}$  may have positive dimensions.

Let  $M$  be a complex manifold and let  $V$  be a holomorphic bundle over  $M$  with  $\text{rank } V = \dim M = n$ . Let  $s$  be a holomorphic section of  $V$  with compact zero loci  $Z$ . Given any holomorphic section

$$\psi \in \Gamma(M, K_M \otimes \det V),$$

using the Koszul complex of  $(V, s)$ , the authors [2] constructed a closed form  $\eta_\psi \in \Omega^{n, n-1}(M \setminus Z)$  via Griffiths-Harris's construction [5, Chapter 5]. Then they define the virtual residue as

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Res}_Z \frac{\psi}{s} := \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^n \int_N \eta_\psi \in \mathbb{C}$$

where  $N$  is a real  $2n - 1$  dimensional piecewise smooth compact subset of  $M$  that ‘‘surrounds  $Z$ ’’, in the sense that  $N = \partial T$  for some compact domain  $T \subset M$ , which contains  $Z$  and is homotopically equivalent to  $Z$ . When  $\dim Z > 0$ , it is a generalization of Grothendieck residue. It vanishes whenever  $M$  is compact by Stokes theorem.

Denote  $\mathcal{A}^{i,j}(\wedge^k V \otimes \wedge^l V^*)$  to be the sheaf of smooth  $(i, j)$  forms on  $M$  valued in  $\wedge^k V \otimes \wedge^l V^*$ . The Hermitian metrics of  $M$  and  $V$  induce a metric on the bundle

which corresponds to the sheaf  $\oplus_{i,j,k,l} \mathcal{A}^{i,j}(\wedge^k V \otimes \wedge^l V^*)$ . Denote this metric by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle(z)$  for  $z \in M$  and set  $|\alpha|(z) = \sqrt{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle(z)}$ .

Denote  $\Omega^{(i,j)}(\wedge^k V \otimes \wedge^l V^*) := \Gamma(M, \mathcal{A}^{i,j}(\wedge^k V \otimes \wedge^l V^*))$  and assign its element  $\alpha$  to have degree  $\sharp \alpha = i + j + k - l$ .

Given  $u \in \Omega^{(i,j)}(\wedge^k V)$  and  $k \geq l$ , we define

$$(1.2) \quad u_{\lrcorner} : \Omega^{(p,q)}(\wedge^l V^*) \longrightarrow \Omega^{(p+i,q+j)}(\wedge^{k-l} V)$$

where for  $\theta \in \Omega^{(p,q)}(\wedge^l V^*)$ , the  $u_{\lrcorner} \theta$  is determined by

$$(u_{\lrcorner} \theta, \nu^*) = (-1)^{(i+j)l + (p+q)\sharp u + \frac{l(l-1)}{2}} (u, \theta \wedge \nu^*), \quad \forall \nu^* \in A^0(\wedge^{k-l} V^*).$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the dual pairing between  $\wedge^k V, \wedge^k V^*$ .

Applying the integral representation for the virtual residue  $\text{Res} \frac{\psi}{s}$  ([2, Theorem 1.1]) to the case where  $M$  is compact, we have

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $M$  be a compact complex manifold. Pick a Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $V$  and let  $\nabla$  be its associated Hermitian connection with  $\nabla^{0,1} = \bar{\partial}$ . Let  $\xi = -\langle *, s \rangle$  be a smooth section of  $V^*$  and*

$$S = -|s|^2 + \bar{\partial} \xi \in \oplus_{p=0,1} \Omega^{(0,p)}(\wedge^p V^*).$$

One has

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Res}_Z \frac{\psi}{s} = \frac{(-1)^n}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_M (\psi_{\lrcorner} e^S) = 0.$$

Here  $\lrcorner$  is the operation contracting  $\det V$  with  $\det V^*$  so that  $\psi_{\lrcorner} e^S \in \Omega^{*,*}$ .

Assuming that all the connected components  $Z_i \subset Z = s^{-1}(0)$  are smooth, and  $V$  is splitting over  $Z_i$ ,  $V|_{Z_i} = V_i \oplus N_i$ , where  $N_i = N_{Z_i/M}$ . Let  $j : Z_i \rightarrow M$  be the embedding. Then we evaluate the integral in (1.3) as following

**Theorem 1.2.**

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{(-1)^n}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_M (\psi_{\lrcorner} e^{-S}) = \sum \frac{(-1)^n}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{Z_i} \left( \frac{\psi}{\det ds} \lrcorner \frac{1}{\det_{N_i}((1 + R_s^{V_i}) / -2\pi\sqrt{-1})} \right) = 0,$$

where  $R_s^{V_i} := -(ds)^{-1} P^{Im ds} R(\cdot, j_*) P^{V_i} \in \overline{T^* Z_i} \otimes V_i^* \otimes \text{End } N_i$ .

This can be considered as a mathematical interpretation of the residue formula used in [1, (2.11)].

Let  $Z_1$  be one of the zero dimension components, then we have the following generalized Cayley- Bacharach Theorem.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2. If  $\psi$  is vanishing on all components of  $Z$  except  $Z_1$ , then it is vanishing on  $Z$ .*

**Question 1.4.** *Can we extend the Cayley- Bacharach Theorem to the cases where all the connect components of  $Z$  are positive dimensions?*

**Acknowledgment:** The author thanks Hao Sun for informing him the relations between Cayley- Bacharach property and Fujita conjecture. This work was supported by Start-up Fund of Hunan University.

## 2. LOCALIZATION BY SECTION

Let  $Z = \cup Z_i$ , and  $Z_i$  be smooth connected component. Denote by  $N_i = N_{Z_i/M}$  the normal bundle of  $Z_i \subset M$ . Because  $Z_i$  is smooth, the Kuranishi sequence gives us a exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{Z_i} \longrightarrow T_M|_{Z_i} \xrightarrow{ds} V|_{Z_i} \longrightarrow V_i \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $N_i \cong T_M|_{Z_i}/T_{Z_i}$ , the above sequence gives us the following short exact sequece

$$0 \longrightarrow N_i \xrightarrow{ds} V|_{Z_i} \longrightarrow V_i \longrightarrow 0.$$

Assuming that the above exact sequence is splitting, therefore  $V|_{Z_i} = V_i \oplus N_i \cong V_i \oplus \text{Im } ds$ . Let  $\psi \in \Gamma(M, K_M \otimes \det V)$ , thus it can be viewed as morphism

$$(2.1) \quad \psi : \det V^* \rightarrow K_M.$$

$ds$  induced the following isomorphism

$$(2.2) \quad \det ds : \det N_i \rightarrow \det \text{Im } ds.$$

(2.1) and (2.2) induced a morphism

$$\frac{\psi}{\det ds} : \det V_i^* \rightarrow K_{Z_i}.$$

The correspondent element in  $\Gamma(Z, K_{Z_i} \otimes \det V_i)$  is also denoted by  $\frac{\psi}{\det ds}$ .

Let  $h$  be a Hermitian metric on  $V$  such that  $V_i$  and  $N_i$  are orthogonal on  $Z_i$ . Let  $g_i$  be a Hermitian metric on  $N_i$  such that  $ds : N_i \cong \text{Im } ds$  is an isometry. Let  $R^V$  be the curvature of the holomorphic Hermitian connection  $\nabla$  on  $(V, h)$ . Let  $j : Z_i \rightarrow M$  be the embedding. Let  $P^{V_i}$  and  $P^{\text{Im } ds}$  be the natural projections from  $V$  onto  $V_i$  and  $\text{Im } ds$ . Let

$$R_s^{V_i} := -(ds)^{-1} P^{\text{Im } ds} R^V(., j_*) P^{V_i} \in \overline{T^* Z_i} \otimes V_i^* \otimes \text{End } N_i$$

$R_s^{V_i}$  is well defined since  $P^{\text{Im } ds} R^V(j_*, j_*) P^{V_i} = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Under the above assumptions we have the following formula,*

$$(2.3) \quad \int_M (\psi \lrcorner e^{-S}) = \sum \int_{Z_i} \left( \frac{\psi}{\det ds} \lrcorner \frac{1}{\det_{N_i}((1 + R_s^{V_i}) / -2\pi\sqrt{-1})} \right) = 0.$$

*Proof.* By [2, Proposition 4.14],  $\int_M (\psi \lrcorner e^{(2t)^{-1}S})$  is independent of  $t$  for  $t > 0$ . Therefore we can do the calculation by letting  $t \rightarrow 0$ . This method is parallel to the one used in [4]. For arbitrary  $y \in Z_i$ , since  $Z_i$  is a complex submanifold with dimension  $m_i$ , we can find out holomorphic coordinates  $\{z_i\}$  of the neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$  such that  $y$  corresponds to 0, and  $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\}_{i=m_i+1}^n$  is an orthonormal basis of the normal bundle  $N_y$ . Moreover  $U \cap Z_i = \{p \in U, z_{m_i+1}(p) = \dots = z_n(p) = 0\}$ . Denote  $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_{m_i})$ ,  $z'' = (z_{m_i+1}, \dots, z_n)$ ,  $z = (z', z'')$ .

Let  $\{\mu_k(z', 0)\}_{k=1}^n$  and  $\{\mu_k(z', 0)\}_{k=m_i+1}^n$  be the holomorphic frame for  $V$  and  $\text{Im } ds$  on  $U \cap Z_i$  with

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}} s|_y = \frac{\partial s}{\partial z_k}|_y = \mu_k(0) \quad m_i + 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Let  $\{\mu^k(z', 0)\}_{k=1}^n$  be the correspondent basis of  $V^*$ . Define  $\mu_k(z)$  by parallel transport of  $\mu_k(z', 0)$  with respect to  $\nabla$  along the curve  $u \rightarrow (z', uz'')$ . Identify  $V_z$  with

$V_{(z',0)}$  by identify  $\mu_k(z)$  with  $\mu_k(z',0)$ . Denote by  $W_y(\epsilon)$  the neighborhood of  $y$  in the normal space  $N_i$ . Then

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{Z_i \cap U} \int_{W_y(\epsilon)} (\psi \lrcorner e^{-\frac{1}{2t}(\bar{\partial}(-\xi) + |s|^2)}) \\ &= \int_{Z_i \cap U} \int_{W_y(\epsilon)/\sqrt{t}} t^{n-m_i} (\psi(y, \sqrt{t}z) \lrcorner e^{-\frac{1}{2t}(\bar{\partial}(-\xi)(\sqrt{t}z) + |s(\sqrt{t}z)|^2)}). \end{aligned}$$

From now on we set  $z = (0, z'')$ ,  $v_z = \sum_{j=m_i}^n z_j (\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j})$  and  $Y = v_z + \bar{v}_z$ . The tautological vector field is  $Y = v_z + \bar{v}_z$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{2t} |s(\sqrt{t}z)|^2 = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_Y s|^2 + O(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{1}{2} |z|^2 + O(\sqrt{t}),$$

and

$$\bar{\partial} \langle *, s \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \mu_k, \nabla s \rangle \mu^k.$$

Since  $\nabla_Y \mu_k(0) = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2t} \bar{\partial} \langle *, s \rangle (\sqrt{t}z) &= \frac{1}{2t} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \mu_k, \nabla s \rangle (\sqrt{t}z) \mu^k(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2t} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \langle \mu_k, \nabla s \rangle (0) + \sqrt{t} \langle \mu_k, \nabla_Y \nabla s \rangle (0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{t}{2} (\langle \nabla_Y \nabla_Y \mu_k, \nabla s \rangle + \langle \mu_k, \nabla_Y \nabla_Y \nabla s \rangle) (0) + O(t^{\frac{3}{2}}) \right) \mu^k(0). \end{aligned}$$

Because there is a factor  $t^{n-m_i}$  in (2.4), it should be clear that in the limit, only those monomials in the vertical form

$$d\bar{z}_{m_i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_n \otimes \mu^{m_i+1}(0) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu^n(0)$$

whose weight is exactly  $t^{m_i-n}$  should be kept.

So the second term contribute zero to the integral, and the terms contributes nonzero in the third term are

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} (\langle \nabla_Y \nabla_Y \mu_k, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}} s \rangle (0) + \langle \mu_k, \nabla_Y \nabla_Y \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}} s \rangle (0)) d\bar{z}_j \otimes \mu^k(0).$$

But for  $1 \leq j \leq m_i$ , both  $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}} s(0) = 0$ ,  $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}} \nabla_{\bar{v}_z} \nabla_{v_z} s(0) = \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}} (R^V(\bar{v}_z, v_z)s)(0) = 0$ . Thus

$$\nabla_Y \nabla_Y \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}} s(0) = 2R^V(\bar{v}_z, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}) \nabla_{v_z} s(0) + \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}} \nabla_{v_z} \nabla_{v_z} s(0).$$

Note that  $\nabla = \nabla^{N_i} \oplus \nabla^{V_i}$  on  $Z_i$ , where  $\nabla^{N_i}$  and  $\nabla^{V_i}$  are induced connections. By previous discussion, as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we should replace  $\frac{1}{2t}(\bar{\partial}(-\xi) + |s|^2)$  by,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=m_i+1}^n \frac{1}{2t} \langle \mu_k, \nabla s \rangle(0) \mu^k(0) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \langle \mu_k, R^V(\bar{v}_z, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}) \nabla_{v_z} s \rangle(0) d\bar{z}_j \otimes \mu^k(0). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\int_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{z}^i e^{-|z|^2} dz \wedge d\bar{z} = 0$ . So as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , the integral becomes:

$$\int_{Z_i} \int_{N_i} (\psi \lrcorner \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \sum \langle \mu_k, \nabla s \rangle(0) \mu^k(0) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \cdot, P^{V_i} R^V(\bar{v}_z, j_*) \nabla_{v_z} s \rangle(0) - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_{v_z} s|^2)).$$

The second integrand is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=m_i+1}^n d\bar{z}_k \wedge \mu^k(0) + \frac{1}{2} \langle R^V(v_z, j_*) P^{V_i}, \nabla_{v_z} s \rangle(0) - \frac{1}{2} |z|^2) \\ = & \exp(\frac{1}{2} \langle R^V(v_z, j_*) P^{V_i}, \nabla_{v_z} s \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |z|^2) (\frac{1}{2})^{n-m_i} dz_{m_i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \otimes \mu^{m_i+1}(0) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu^n(0). \end{aligned}$$

So the whole integral is equal to

$$\int_{Z_i} \left( \frac{\psi}{\det ds} \lrcorner \frac{1}{\det_{N_i}((1 + R_s^{V_i}) / -2\pi\sqrt{-1})} \right),$$

where  $P^{V_i}$  is the projection from  $V$  to  $V_i$ ,  $P^{Im ds}$  is the projection from  $V$  to  $Im ds$  and  $R_s^{V_i} := -(ds)^{-1} P^{Im ds} R^V(\cdot, j_*) P^{V_i} \in \overline{T^*Z_i} \otimes V_i^* \otimes \text{End} N_i$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.2.** Let  $M$  be a compact complex manifold with  $\dim M = n$ ,  $L$  be a holomorphic bundle with rank  $r < n$ . Let  $s \in \Gamma(M, L)$  be transversal. Then the zero locus  $Z$  of  $s$  is smooth with  $\dim Z = n - r$ . Let  $V = L \oplus V_1$ , where  $V_1$  is a holomorphic bundle with rank  $n - r$ .  $s$  can be considered as a section of  $V$ . It satisfies all the condition of the above theorem.

Let  $V$  be a holomorphic bundle over a compact complex manifold  $M$ , with rank  $V = \dim M = n$ . Let  $\psi \in \Gamma(M, K_M \otimes \det V)$ , and  $s \in \Gamma(M, V)$  be a transversal section with smooth zero loci. Then the zero locus of  $s$  are finite points  $\{p_i\}$ . Assuming that around a neighborhood of  $p_i$ ,  $s = \sum s_k e_k$ , and  $\psi = h(z) dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \otimes e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n$ . Then we have the following equalities, which recovered the residue theorem in [5, Page 731].

**Corollary 2.3.**  $\text{Res}_Z \frac{\psi}{s} = \sum_{p_i} \frac{h(p_i)}{\det(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \bar{z}}(p_i))} = 0$ .

Let  $M$  be a compact manifold,  $V$  be a holomorphic bundle over  $M$  that  $\text{rk} V = \dim M$ , with a section  $s \in \Gamma(M, V)$  and the zero loci  $Z = \cup_{i=1}^w Z_i$ , where all  $Z_i$  are smooth and at least one  $Z_i$  is zero dimension.  $V$  is splitting on  $Z_i$  as in Theorem 2.1. Let  $\psi$  be a section of  $K_M \otimes \det V$ . Then we have the following general Cayley-Bacharach theorem.

**Corollary 2.4.** With the assumptions as above and  $\psi$  is vanishing on all components of  $Z$  except one of the zero dimension component  $Z_i$ , then it is vanishing on whole  $Z$ .

*Proof.* Assuming that one of  $Z_i$  is point  $p$ , then by the corollary 2.3,  $\text{Res}_p \frac{\psi}{s} = \frac{h(p)}{\det(\frac{\partial s}{\partial z}(p))}$ . For  $M$  is compact, we have

$$\text{Res}_Z \frac{\psi}{s} = \frac{(-1)^n}{(2\pi i)^n} \sum \int_{Z_i} \left( \frac{\psi}{\det ds} \lrcorner \frac{1}{\det_{N_i}((1 + R_s^{V_i}) / -2\pi\sqrt{-1})} \right) = \frac{h(p)}{\det(\frac{\partial s}{\partial z}(p))} = 0.$$

So  $h(p) = 0$ . □

#### REFERENCES

1. C Breasley and E Witten. *Residues and World-Sheet Instantons*, arxiv 0304115
2. H-L Chang and M-L Li. *Virtual residue and an integral formalism*, arXiv:1508.02769. To appear in The Journal of Geometric Analysis.
3. D Eisenbud, M Green and J Harris. *Cayley-Bacharach theorems and conjectures*. Bulletin of The American Mathematical Society, Volume 33, Number 3, July 1996
4. H Feng and X Ma. *Transversal holomorphic sections and localization of analytic torsion*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics. 219(2005), 255-270
5. P Griffiths and J Harris. *Principles in Algebraic Geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1978)
6. H Sun. *On the Cayley-Bacharach property and the construction of vector bundles*, Sci China Math, 2011, 54(9): 1891C1898,
7. S-L Tan. *Cayley-Bacharach property of an algebraic variety and Fujitas conjecture*, J Algebraic Geometry, 2000, 9:201C222
8. S-L Tan and E Viehweg. *A note on Cayley-Bacharach property for vector bundles*, In: Thomas Peternell, Frank-Olaf Schreyer, eds. Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2000, 361C373
9. S-L Tan. *Cayley-Bacharach property and  $k$ -very ampleness*. AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 2001, 20: 241C250

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND ECONOMETRICS, HUNAN UNIVERSITY, CHINA  
*E-mail address:* `mulin@hnu.edu.cn`