

Divisibility of L-Polynomials for a Family of Curves

Ivan Blanco–Chacón

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University College Dublin, Ireland

Robin Chapman

Department of Mathematics, University of Exeter, Exeter, EX4 4QE, UK

Stiofáin Fordham

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University College Dublin, Ireland

Gary McGuire¹

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University College Dublin, Ireland

Abstract

We consider the question of when the L-polynomial of one curve divides the L-polynomial of another curve. A theorem of Tate gives an answer in terms of jacobians. We consider the question in terms of the curves. The last author gave an invited talk at the 12th International Conference on Finite Fields and Their Applications on this topic, and stated two conjectures. In this article we prove one of those conjectures.

1 Introduction

Let p be a prime and $q = p^f$ where f is a positive integer and let \mathbb{F}_q denote the finite field of order q . Let X be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{F}_q , of dimension d . Let $\overline{X} = X(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ be the corresponding variety over the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_q and let $F: \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ be the Frobenius morphism. The zeta function $Z_X(t)$ of X is defined by

$$\log Z_X(t) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{t^m}{m} N_m,$$

where N_m is the cardinality of the set $X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$: the points of X with values in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} . Via the Weil conjectures (proved by Weil, Dwork, Grothendieck and others), one knows that $Z_X(t)$ is a rational function and may be written in the form

$$Z_X(t) = \frac{P_1(t) \cdots P_{2d-1}(t)}{P_0(t) \cdots P_{2d}(t)}, \quad (1)$$

where each of the $P_i(t) = \det(1 - F^*t; H^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$ are polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} , where $H^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is the i th ℓ -adic cohomology ($\ell \neq p$) of \overline{X} with coefficients in \mathbb{Q}_ℓ and F^* is the map on cohomology induced by F .

¹email gary.mcguire@ucd.ie. This paper appeared in the proceedings of Fq12, the 12th International Conference on Finite Fields and their Applications, 2015.

In the case that $X = C$ is a curve then the zeta function of C has the form

$$Z_C(t) = \frac{L_C(t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)},$$

and the numerator $L_C(t) = P_1(t)$ is called the L-polynomial of C .

We wish to consider the question of divisibility of L-polynomials. In previous papers [2], [3], we have studied conditions under which the L-polynomial of one curve divides the L-polynomial of another curve. In this article we discuss two divisibility conjectures for specific families of curves, and prove one of them.

2 Two Families of Curves

A hyperelliptic curve X of genus $g > 1$ over \mathbb{F}_q is the projective non-singular model of the affine curve

$$y^2 + Q(x)y = P(x), \quad P(x), Q(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x],$$

where

$$2g + 1 \leq \max\{2 \deg Q(x), \deg P(x)\} \leq 2g + 2.$$

2.1 The C_k Family

For a positive integer k , define the curve C_k over \mathbb{F}_2 to be the projective non-singular model of the curve with affine equation

$$y^2 + y = x^{2^k+1} + x.$$

The genus of C_k is 2^{k-1} , the affine model of C_1 is smooth everywhere, and the affine model of C_k for $k > 1$ has one singular point at ∞ .

Conjecture 1. *The L-polynomial of C_k is divisible by the L-polynomial of C_1 .*

The first six L-polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 , computed and factored into irreducible factors over \mathbb{Z} using MAGMA [4] are

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &: 2t^2 + 2t + 1 \\
C_2 &: (2t^2 + 2t + 1)(2t^2 + 1) \\
C_3 &: (2t^2 + 2t + 1)(2t^2 - 2t + 1)(4t^4 + 4t^3 + 2t^2 + 2t + 1) \\
C_4 &: (2t^2 + 2t + 1)^2(2t^2 - 2t + 1)(2t^2 + 1)(16t^8 + 1) \\
C_5 &: (2t^2 + 2t + 1)^2(2t^2 - 2t + 1)^2(16t^8 - 16t^7 + 8t^6 - 4t^4 + 2t^2 - 2t + 1) \\
&\quad \times (16t^8 + 16t^7 + 8t^6 - 4t^4 + 2t^2 + 2t + 1)^2 \\
C_6 &: (2t^2 - 1)^2(2t^2 + 1)^4(4t^4 - 2t^2 + 1)^3(4t^4 + 2t^2 + 1)^2 \\
&\quad \times (2t^2 - 2t + 1)^3(2t^2 + 2t + 1)^3(4t^4 - 4t^3 + 2t^2 - 2t + 1)^2 \\
&\quad \times (4t^4 + 4t^3 + 2t^2 + 2t + 1)^3.
\end{aligned}$$

2.2 The E_k Family

For a positive integer k , define the curve E_k over \mathbb{F}_2 to be the projective non-singular model of the curve with affine model

$$y^2 + xy = x^{2^k+3} + x.$$

The genus of E_k is $2^{k-1} + 1$ and similar to above, the affine model of E_1 is smooth everywhere, and the affine model of E_k for $k > 1$ has one singular point at ∞ .

Conjecture 2. *The L-polynomial of E_k is divisible by the L-polynomial of E_1 .*

In an invited talk at the Fq12 conference, the last author spoke about this topic and stated these two conjectures. Conjecture 2 is proposed and discussed in Ahmadi et al. [3]. In this paper we will prove Conjecture 1.

3 Other Approaches

Here we discuss three possible approaches to proving the conjectures. The first two do not seem to work for Conjecture 1, but the third method does work as we will show in this paper. None of these methods appear to work for proving Conjecture 2.

3.1 Number of Rational Points

The following theorem was proved in [2].

Theorem 1 (Ahmadi–McGuire). *Let $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $D(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be smooth projective curves such that*

1. $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $D(\mathbb{F}_q)$ have the same number of points over infinitely many extensions of \mathbb{F}_q .
2. The L-polynomial of C over \mathbb{F}_{q^k} has no repeated roots, for all $k \geq 1$.

Then there exists a positive integer s such that the L-polynomial of $D(\mathbb{F}_{q^s})$ is divisible by the L-polynomial of $C(\mathbb{F}_{q^s})$.

The first hypothesis holds for the curves C_k , but the second hypothesis does not. Thus we cannot use Theorem 1 to prove Conjecture 1. To see that the first hypothesis holds, we use the following theorem proved by Lahtonen–McGuire–Ward [11].

Theorem 2. *Let $K = \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ where n is a non-negative odd integer. Let*

$$Q(x) = \text{Tr}(x^{2^k+1} + x^{2^j+1}), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j < k.$$

Then if $\gcd(k \pm j, n) = 1$, then the number of zeros of Q in K is

$$2^{n-1} + \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) 2^{(n-1)/2},$$

where $\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)$ is the Jacobi symbol.

If we put $j = 0$ in Theorem 2 then $Q(x) = \text{Tr}(x^{2^k+1} + x)$. It follows that C_1 and C_k have the same number of rational points over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} for any m with $\gcd(k, m) = 1$. Therefore the first hypothesis of Theorem 1 holds.

The C_k curves are supersingular so the L-polynomial of C_1 (which is $2t^2 + 2t + 1$) has repeated roots over some extensions of \mathbb{F}_2 , something that can also be seen directly. Therefore the second hypothesis of Theorem 1 does not hold.

We remark that the second hypothesis of Theorem 1 *does* hold for the E_k curves, see [3]. However, we are unable to prove that the first hypothesis holds, although it is conjectured that it does.

A similar but different theorem was proved in [3].

Theorem 3 (Ahmadi–McGuire–Rojas–León). *Let C and D be two smooth projective curves over \mathbb{F}_q . Assume there exists a positive integer $k > 1$ such that*

1. $\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) = \#D(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$ for every m that is not divisible by k , and
2. the k -th powers of the roots of $L_C(t)$ are all distinct.

Then $L_D(t) = q(t^k) L_C(t)$ for some polynomial $q(t)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[t]$.

We cannot use Theorem 3 to prove Conjecture 1, because the first hypothesis does not hold. It is not true that C_1 and C_k have the same number of rational points over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} for any m not divisible by k (or another integer). This can be seen by looking at small examples using a computer algebra package.

It is interesting to compare the first hypothesis in Theorem 3 with the first hypothesis in Theorem 1 (which does hold for C_1 and C_k).

3.2 The Kani–Rosen theorem

Let X be an affine variety over a field k with coordinate ring A . Given an action of an algebraic group G on X , one may construct a so-called quotient variety X/G given by $\text{Spec}(A^G)$ where A^G denotes the ring of invariants of A with the induced action of G . If furthermore, G is reductive then A^G is finitely generated so X/G is also an affine variety (A^G will be reduced if A is).

Let G be a finite subgroup of the automorphism group of a curve C and let $\text{Jac}(C)$ denote the Jacobian of C . The Kani–Rosen theorem [9, thm. B] concerns isogenies and idempotents in the rational group algebra $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ and is useful in proving divisibility relations between L-polynomials.

Theorem 4 (Kani–Rosen). *Let $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(C)$ be a (finite) subgroup such that $G = H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots \cup H_r$ where the subgroups $H_i \subseteq G$ satisfy $H_i \cap H_j = \{1\}$ when $i \neq j$. Then there is an isogeny relation*

$$\text{Jac}(C)^{r-1} \times \text{Jac}(C/G)^g \cong \text{Jac}(C/H_1)^{h_1} \times \dots \times \text{Jac}(C/H_r)^{h_r},$$

where $g = |G|$ and $h_i = |H_i|$.

For any subgroup H of G there is an idempotent

$$\varepsilon_H = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} h.$$

If G is the Klein 4-group with subgroups H_1, H_2, H_3 , we have the idempotent relation

$$\varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_G = \varepsilon_{H_1} + \varepsilon_{H_2} + \varepsilon_{H_3}.$$

Applying the Kani–Rosen theorem we get an isogeny

$$\text{Jac}(C) \times \text{Jac}(C/G)^2 \sim \text{Jac}(C/H_1) \times \text{Jac}(C/H_2) \times \text{Jac}(C/H_3).$$

In order to apply this isogeny to C_k , we need two involutions in the automorphism group of C_k . We want involutions that are defined over \mathbb{F}_2 . One is the hyperelliptic involution

$$\iota : (x, y) \mapsto (x, y + 1)$$

and the other is the map from [6]

$$\phi : (x, y) \mapsto (x + 1, y + B(x))$$

where $B(x) = x + x^2 + x^4 + x^8 + \dots + x^{2^{k-1}}$. Then

$$\iota \circ \phi = \phi \circ \iota : (x, y) \mapsto (x + 1, y + 1 + B(x)).$$

Note that ϕ is an involution if and only if $B(1) = 0$ if and only if k is even. When k is odd, ϕ has order 4 and $\phi^2 = \iota$. When k is even, ϕ and ι together generate a Klein 4-group in $\text{Aut}(C_k)$. In fact we have the following.

Proposition 1. *If k is odd then there are no non-hyperelliptic involutions on C_k of the form $(x, y) \mapsto (x + 1, y + B(x))$ where $B(x)$ is a linearised polynomial $B(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i x^{2^i}$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$.*

Proof. Such a $B(x)$ must satisfy $B(1) = 0$ and $B(x)^2 + B(x) = x^{2^k} + x$. The resulting conditions thus imposed on the coefficients a_i mean that $B(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^{2^i}$ but then $B(1) \neq 0$ if k is odd. \square

Remark: It follows now from van der Geer and van der Vlugt [6] that this exhausts the subgroup of $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(C_k)$ fixing the branch points of $C_k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

Therefore, the first problem in using the Kani–Rosen theorem to prove Conjecture 1 is that we only have the appropriate automorphism group for k even. It therefore appears that for k odd, one cannot use the Kani–Rosen theorem to prove the conjecture, at least not directly.

3.3 Kleiman–Serre

The following theorem is well-known in the area.

Theorem 5. (Kleiman–Serre) *If there is a surjective morphism of curves $C \rightarrow C'$ that is defined over \mathbb{F}_q then $L_{C'}(t)$ divides $L_C(t)$.*

Proof. (Sketch) Given a surjective morphism $f: C \rightarrow C'$ one obtains an induced map f^* on the étale cohomology groups that is injective (Kleiman [10, prop. 1.2.4]). Given the interpretation of the polynomials $P_i(t)$ described in the introduction (equation 1) as determinants via the Weil conjectures, the result follows. \square

We will use this result in the next section to prove Conjecture 1.

4 Proof of Conjecture 1

We prove Conjecture 1 using Theorem 5. In fact we will prove something more general: that there is a map from C_k to C_l for any integer l dividing k . Putting $l = 1$ proves Conjecture 1.

Before we construct the morphism we consider a simpler case as motivation. Let A_k denote the smooth projective model of the affine curve defined over \mathbb{F}_2

$$y^2 + y = x^{2^k} + x.$$

One can easily verify that the map

$$(x, y) \mapsto (\mathrm{Tr}_{nk/k}(x), y), \quad \text{for } \mathrm{Tr}_{nk/k}(x) = x + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x^{2^{ik}},$$

is a morphism $A_{nk} \rightarrow A_k$ for n, k positive integers and $n > 1$.

The similarity between the curves C_k and A_k is apparent, however the morphism above differs quite radically from the one to be described below.

Theorem 6. *Let $k > l$ be integers with l dividing k . Then there is a non-constant morphism $C_k \rightarrow C_l$ defined over \mathbb{F}_2 .*

Proof. Write $k = lr$ and set $q = 2^l$. We claim that there is a morphism of the form $x \mapsto f(x)$, $y \mapsto y + g(x)$ from C_k to C_l where f and g are polynomials. For this to be the case it suffices that

$$f(x)^{q+1} + f(x) = x^{q^r+1} + x + g(x)^2 + g(x). \quad (2)$$

Let us take

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} x^{q^j},$$

and

$$g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x^{q^j} + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq r-1} \sum_{s=0}^{l-1} x^{2^l(q^i+q^j)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) + f(x)^{q+1} &= \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} x^{q^j} + \left(x + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x^{q^j} \right) \left(x^{q^r} + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x^{q^j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x^{q^j} + x + x^{1+q^r} + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x^{1+q^j} + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x^{q^r+q^j} + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x^{2q^j}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(x)^2 + g(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x^{q^j} + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x^{2q^j} + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq r-1} (x^{q^i+q^j} + x^{q(q^i+q^j)}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x^{q^j} + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x^{2q^j} + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq r-1} x^{q^i+q^j} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} x^{q^i+q^j} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x^{q^j} + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x^{2q^j} + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} x^{1+q^j} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} x^{q^i+q^r}. \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting these gives (2). □

Corollary 1. *Conjecture 1 is true.*

The Corollary follows from Theorem 5 and Theorem 6.

We used Theorem 5 to prove Conjecture 1. We remark that Theorem 5 cannot be used to prove Conjecture 2, because it is shown in [3] that there is no morphism $E_2 \rightarrow E_1$. Thus a proof of Conjecture 2 will probably use different methods.

As a final remark, we point out where the argument of Theorem 6 breaks down in odd characteristic for the analogous curves

$$C_k^{(p)} : \quad y^p - y = x^{p^k+1} + x,$$

where p is an odd prime. In the case $k = 2, l = 1$, in order to give a morphism of the form $(x, y) \mapsto (f(x), y + g(x))$ from $C_2^{(p)}$ to $C_1^{(p)}$ we need to find polynomials f and g with

$$f(x)^{p+1} + f(x) = x^{p^2+1} + x + g(x)^p - g(x).$$

If we take $f(x) = x + x^p$ by analogy with Theorem 6, then we require

$$x^{p^2+p} + x^{2p} + x^{p+1} + x^p = g(x)^p - g(x),$$

but this is insoluble for polynomial g unless $p = 2$.

Notwithstanding the above, the analogous conjecture for odd p does appear to be true based on computations for small k, p .

Conjecture 3. *Let p be an odd prime. Then the L -polynomial of $C_1^{(p)}$ divides the L -polynomial of $C_k^{(p)}$.*

Acknowledgment I.B.–C., S.F. and G.M. are supported by Science Foundation Ireland grant 13/IA/1914 and are members of the Computational and Adaptive Systems Laboratory (CASL) in University College Dublin. I.B.–C. is a member of the MICINN project MTM2010-17389. S.F. is partially supported by an Irish Department of Education scholarship.

References

- [1] J. D. Achter, Fiber products and class groups of hyperelliptic curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 9, 3159–3161. MR2653940 (2011g:11218)
- [2] O. Ahmadi and G. McGuire, Curves over finite fields and linear recurring sequences, Surveys in Combinatorics 2015, Cambridge.

- [3] O. Ahmadi, G. McGuire and A. Rojas-León, Decomposing Jacobians of curves over finite fields in the absence of algebraic structure, *J. Number Theory* **156** (2015), 414–431. MR3360347
- [4] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I. The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265. MR1484478
- [5] S. Farnell and R. Pries, Families of Artin-Schreier curves with Cartier-Manin matrix of constant rank, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **439** (2013), no. 7, 2158–2166. MR3090462
- [6] G. van der Geer and M. van der Vlugt, Reed-Muller codes and supersingular curves. I, *Compositio Math.* **84** (1992), no. 3, 333–367. MR1189892 (93k:14038)
- [7] G. van der Geer and M. van der Vlugt, Fibre products of Artin-Schreier curves and generalized Hamming weights of codes, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **70** (1995), no. 2, 337–348. MR1329398 (96a:94019)
- [8] D. Glass and R. Pries, Hyperelliptic curves with prescribed p -torsion, *Manuscripta Math.* **117** (2005), no. 3, 299–317. MR2154252 (2006e:14039)
- [9] E. Kani and M. Rosen, Idempotent relations and factors of Jacobians, *Math. Ann.* **284** (1989), no. 2, 307–327. MR1000113 (90h:14057)
- [10] S. L. Kleiman, Algebraic cycles and the Weil conjectures, in *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas*, 359–386, North-Holland, Amsterdam. MR0292838 (45 #1920)
- [11] J. Lahtonen, G. McGuire and H. N. Ward, Gold and Kasami-Welch functions, quadratic forms, and bent functions, *Adv. Math. Commun.* **1** (2007), no. 2, 243–250. MR2306312 (2008d:11137)
- [12] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite fields*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 20, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1983. MR0746963 (86c:11106)
- [13] B. Poonen, Varieties without extra automorphisms. II. Hyperelliptic curves, *Math. Res. Lett.* **7** (2000), no. 1, 77–82. MR1748289 (2001g:14052b)
- [14] J. Scholten and H. J. Zhu, Hyperelliptic curves in characteristic 2, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2002, no. 17, 905–917. MR1899907 (2003d:11089)