

THE BICANONICAL MAP OF FAKE PROJECTIVE PLANES WITH AN AUTOMORPHISM

FABRIZIO CATANESE AND JONGHAE KEUM

ABSTRACT. We show, for several fake projective planes with nontrivial automorphism group, that the bicanonical map is an embedding.

1. INTRODUCTION

A smooth compact complex surface with the same Betti numbers as the complex projective plane $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is either $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ or is called a fake projective plane. Indeed, such a surface has $c_2 = 3$, $c_1^2 = K^2 = 9$ and Picard number 1, thus its canonical class is either ample or anti-ample, and in the latter case it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. In other words a fake projective plane is a surface of general type with $p_g = 0$ and $c_1^2 = 3c_2 = 9$. Furthermore, its universal cover is the unit 2-ball in \mathbb{C}^2 by [Au] and [Y] and its fundamental group is a co-compact arithmetic subgroup of $\mathrm{PU}(2, 1)$ by [Kl].

Prasad and Yeung [PY] classified all possible fundamental groups of fake projective planes. Their proof also shows that the automorphism group of a fake projective plane has order 1, 3, 9, 7, or 21. Then Cartwright and Steger ([CS], [CS2]) carried out a computer based group theoretic enumeration to obtain a more precise result: there are exactly 50 distinct fundamental groups, each corresponding to a pair of fake projective planes, complex conjugate but not isomorphic to each other [KK]. They also computed the automorphism groups of all fake projective planes X . There occur four groups:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) \cong \{1\}, C_3, C_3^2 \text{ or } G_{21} \cong C_7 : C_3,$$

where C_n is the cyclic group of order n and G_{21} is the unique non-abelian group of order 21 (semidirect product of C_7 with C_3). Among the 50 pairs, 33 admit a non-trivial group of automorphisms: 3 pairs have $\mathrm{Aut} \cong G_{21}$, 3 pairs have $\mathrm{Aut} \cong C_3^2$ and 27 pairs have $\mathrm{Aut} \cong C_3$.

For each pair of fake projective planes the first homology group (abelianization of the fundamental group)

$$H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Tor}(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})) = \mathrm{Tor}(\mathrm{Pic}(X))$$

was also computed in [CS2].

By Reider's theorem [Rei] (see the next section) the bicanonical system of a ball quotient X is base point free, thus it defines a morphism. If the

Date: March 20, 2018.

AMS Classification: 14J29, 14F05, 32Q40, 32N15 .

Key words: fake projective planes, bicanonical map, embeddings, automorphisms.

The present work was supported by the ERC Advanced grant n. 340258, 'TADMICAMT' and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF). The first author would also like to acknowledge the support and hospitality of KIAS, Seoul during his visit as KIAS scholar.

ball quotient X has $\chi(X) \geq 2$, then $K_X^2 \geq 9\chi(X) \geq 10$, and since a ball quotient cannot contain a curve of geometric genus 0 or 1, the bicanonical map embeds X unless X contains a smooth genus 2 curve C with $C^2 = 0$, and $CK_X = 2$.

In the case $\chi(X) = 1$, for instance if we have a fake projective plane, we are below the Reider inequality $K_X^2 \geq 10$, and the question of the very-ampleness of the bicanonical system is interesting.

Every fake projective plane X with automorphism group of order 21 cannot contain an effective curve with self-intersection 1, as was first proved in [K13] (published in [K17], see also [GKMS]). Thus by applying I. Reider's theorem, one sees that the bicanonical map of such a fake projective plane is an embedding into \mathbb{P}^9 (see for instance [DBDC]).

In addition to these 3 pairs of fake projective planes, for 7 more pairs we confirm here the very-ampleness of the bicanonical system.

Theorem 1.1. *For the 7 pairs of fake projective planes given in Table 1 the bicanonical map is an embedding into \mathbb{P}^9 .*

TABLE 1. Seven pairs of fake projective planes

X	$\text{Aut}(X)$	$H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$	$\pi_1(X/C_3)$
$(a = 15, p = 2, \{3, 5\}, D_3)$	C_3	$C_3 \times C_7$	C_3
$(a = 15, p = 2, \{3, 5\}, 3_3)$	C_3	$C_2^2 \times C_3$	C_3
$(a = 15, p = 2, \{3, 5\}, (D3)_3)$	C_3	C_3	C_3
$(C2, p = 2, \{3\}, d_3 D_3)$	C_3^2	C_7	$C_7, \{1\}, \{1\}, \{1\}$
$(C10, p = 2, \{17-\}, D_3)$	C_3	C_7	$\{1\}$
$(C18, p = 3, \emptyset, d_3 D_3)$	C_3^2	$C_2^2 \times C_{13}$	$C_{13}, Q_8, \{1\}, \{1\}$
$(C2, p = 2, \emptyset, d_3 D_3)$	C_3^2	$C_2 \times C_7$	C_{14}, S_3, C_2, C_2

Remark 1.2. (1) When $\text{Aut}(X) \cong C_3^2$, there are four quotients corresponding to the four order 3 subgroups of $\text{Aut}(X)$.

(2) By [CS2], the fundamental groups of these surfaces lift to $\text{SU}(2, 1)$, thus the tautological line bundle of \mathbb{P}^2 restricted to the ball descends to give a cube root of the canonical bundle of X . Note that the first three pairs in Table 1 have 3-torsions, thus have multiple cube roots of K_X .

The first six pairs in Table 1 are covered by the following vanishing result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a fake projective plane with a nontrivial C_3 -action. Suppose that the quotient surface X/C_3 has $H_1(X/C_3, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ or C_3 . Then*

$$H^0(X, L) = 0$$

for any ample line bundle L with $L^2 = 1$, or equivalently, X contains no effective curve D with $D^2 = 1$.

For the last pair in Table 1 we do not have the vanishing theorem. The surfaces possess either none or 3 curves D with $D^2 = 1$. But even in the latter case we prove the very-ampleness of the bicanonical system (See Theorem 4.4).

In Section 5 we discuss three more pairs with a nontrivial C_3 -action, for which we prove that the bicanonical map is an embedding outside 3 points, the fixed locus of the C_3 -action.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For the reader's convenience, we recall Reider's theorem [Rei] by stating the expanded version given in Theorem 11.4 of [BHPV04].

Theorem 2.1. [Rei] *Let L be nef divisor on a smooth projective surface X .*

- (1) *Assume that $L^2 \geq 5$. If P is a base point of the linear system $|K_X + L|$, then P lies on an effective divisor D such that*
 - (a) $DL = 0$, $D^2 = -1$, or
 - (b) $DL = 1$, $D^2 = 0$.
- (2) *Assume that $L^2 \geq 9$. If two different points P and Q , possibly infinitely near, are not base points of $|K_X + L|$ and fail to be separated by $|K_X + L|$, then they lie on an effective curve D , depending on P, Q , satisfying one of the following:*
 - (a) $DL = 0$, $D^2 = -2$ or -1 ;
 - (b) $DL = 1$, $D^2 = -1$ or 0 ;
 - (c) $DL = 2$, $D^2 = 0$;
 - (d) $L^2 = 9$ and L is numerically equivalent to $3D$.

A ball quotient cannot contain a curve of geometric genus 0 or 1. By Reider's theorem the bicanonical system of a ball quotient is base point free, thus defines a morphism. Let X be a fake projective plane and let

$$\Phi_{2,X} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^9$$

be the bicanonical morphism.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a fake projective plane.*

- (1) *If D is an effective curve on X with $D^2 = 1$, then D is an irreducible curve of arithmetic genus 3, $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - D)) = 0$ and $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 1$. In particular X may contain at most finitely many curves D with $D^2 = 1$. Their number is bounded by $|H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})|$.*
- (2) *If two different points P and Q on X (possibly infinitely near) are not separated by $\Phi_{2,X}$, then there is a curve D with $D^2 = 1$ containing P, Q such that $h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D((K_X - D)|_D)) = 1$ and $P + Q$ is the unique effective divisor in the linear system of $(K_X - D)|_D$. In particular, a curve D with $D^2 = 1$ may contain at most one pair of points (possibly infinitely near) that are not separated by $\Phi_{2,X}$. Such a curve D is uniquely determined by P, Q .*
- (3) *The bicanonical map $\Phi_{2,X}$ yields an isomorphism with its image of the complement U of a finite set of points. The bicanonical image Σ is a surface with isolated singularities only and $\Phi_{2,X} : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ is the normalization map.*

Proof. (1) If $h^0(X, D) \geq 2$, then $h^0(X, 4D) \geq 5$. On the other hand, since $4D - K$ is ample, we have $h^1(X, 4D) = h^2(X, 4D) = 0$, hence, by Riemann-Roch, $h^0(X, 4D) = 3$.

(2) By Reider's theorem (Theorem 2.1), if the bicanonical system $|2K_X|$ does not separate two points P, Q (possibly infinitely near) then there exists a divisor D containing both P, Q and such that

$K \equiv 3D$ modulo torsion (\equiv denotes here as classical linear equivalence). One sees immediately in fact that, since $NS(X)$ has rank equal to 1, and its torsion free part is generated by a divisor L with $L^2 = 1$, the alternatives (a), (b), (c) in Theorem 2.1 are not possible ($D^2 \leq 0$ contradicts that D is numerically equivalent to a nontrivial multiple of L). Write then $K = 3D + \tau$, and observe that

$$2K = K + D + (2D + \tau).$$

By [CF96] and [CFHR99] in view of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{P,Q}\omega_D(2D + \tau) \rightarrow \omega_D(2D + \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow 0$$

it must also hold that

$$H^1(D, \mathcal{I}_{P,Q}\omega_D(2D + \tau)) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

hence there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{I}_{P,Q}(2D + \tau) \cong \mathcal{O}_D$, thus

$$\mathcal{I}_{P,Q} \cong \mathcal{O}_D(-2D - \tau),$$

$\mathcal{I}_{P,Q}$ is invertible and $P+Q$ is the unique divisor of a section $\in H^0(\mathcal{O}_D(2D + \tau)) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

If P and Q are contained in two different curves D_1 and D_2 , then $D_1D_2 \geq 2$, which is not possible since the curves D_1, D_2 are numerically equivalent and have self-intersection 1. This proves the uniqueness of such a curve D .

(3) follows from (1) and (2). \square

In [K08], all possible structures of the quotient surface of a fake projective plane and its minimal resolution were classified.

Theorem 2.3. [K08] *Let X be a fake projective plane with a group G acting on it. Then the fixed locus of any automorphism in G consists of 3 isolated points. Moreover the following hold.*

- (1) *If $G = C_3$, then X/G is a \mathbb{Q} -homology projective plane with 3 singular points of type $1/3(1, 2)$ and its minimal resolution is a minimal surface of general type with $p_g = 0$ and $K^2 = 3$.*
- (2) *If $G = C_3^2$, then X/G is a \mathbb{Q} -homology projective plane with 4 singular points of type $1/3(1, 2)$ and its minimal resolution is a minimal surface of general type with $p_g = 0$ and $K^2 = 1$.*
- (3) *If $G = C_7$, then X/G is a \mathbb{Q} -homology projective plane with 3 singular points of type $1/7(1, 5)$ and its minimal resolution is a $(2, 3)$ -, $(2, 4)$ -, or $(3, 3)$ -elliptic surface.*
- (4) *If $G = 7 : 3$, then X/G is a \mathbb{Q} -homology projective plane with 4 singular points, where three of them are of type $1/3(1, 2)$ and one of them is of type $1/7(1, 5)$, and its minimal resolution is a $(2, 3)$ -, $(2, 4)$ -, or $(3, 3)$ -elliptic surface.*

Here a \mathbb{Q} -homology projective plane is a normal projective surface with the same Betti numbers as $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ (cf. [HK1], [HK2]). A normal projective surface with quotient singularities only is a \mathbb{Q} -homology projective plane if its second Betti number is 1 (if the first Betti number were positive, then, since the Picard scheme is compact for a normal surface, looking at the Albanese map one sees that the Picard number is at least 2). A fake projective plane is a nonsingular \mathbb{Q} -homology projective plane, hence, by the invariance of the class of the canonical divisor, every quotient is again a \mathbb{Q} -homology projective plane.

Lemma 2.4. *On a fake projective plane, there is no totally geodesic curve, smooth or singular.*

Proof. The proof has the following two steps. We are indebted to Bruno Klingler and In Kang Kim.

I) In general, if an arithmetic ball quotient X contains a totally geodesic ball quotient Y (possibly singular), then Y is arithmetic.

This follows from the definition. If $\Gamma < G$ is an arithmetic lattice (it means that there exists a number field k such that Γ is commensurable with $G(\mathcal{O}_k) < G(k)$ where \mathcal{O}_k is the ring of integers of k), one may assume that Γ is contained in $G(\mathcal{O}_k)$ up to finite index. If the arithmetic ball quotient X corresponding to $\Gamma < G$ contains a totally geodesic space Y corresponding to $\Gamma' < G' < G$, then since it is totally geodesic there is an injection from Γ' to Γ (any loop in Γ' cannot be contractible in Γ .) Here G is the isometry group of the complex n -ball and G' is the isometry group of the complex m -ball with $m < n$. Hence $\Gamma' < \Gamma < G(\mathcal{O}_k)$ and consequently $\Gamma' < G(\mathcal{O}_k) \cap G' < G'(\mathcal{O}_k)$. Since $G'(\mathcal{O}_k)$ gives a finite volume ball quotient, so does Γ' , i.e., Γ' is a finite index subgroup of $G'(\mathcal{O}_k)$. Hence Γ' is an arithmetic lattice in G' .

II) In the case where X is obtained from a division algebra (as in the case of a FPP), such a Y has to be a point.

It is known that the defining number field k is totally real, and there is one real place whose real point of G is $SU(2, 1)$, and at all other real places it is $SU(3)$. In division algebra terms we have (\mathcal{D}, l) , where l is a quadratic extension of k , the k -group G is identified with $SU(h)$ where h is a Hermitian form on some power of \mathcal{D} . Hence $SU(h)$ is isotropic at one real place of k , and anisotropic at all other real places of k . In our case the lattice is contained in the anisotropic places, hence must be contained in a compact group $U(3) \times U(3) \times \dots \times U(3)$. Such a lattice contained in a compact group must be trivial. In the language of quaternion algebras, if it is a division algebra (or ramified), the group is contained in a compact group. \square

When the central simple algebra \mathcal{D} splits over l (as in the case of the Cartwright-Steger surface), it is a matrix algebra i.e., contained in a matrix group, and the ball quotient always contains a totally geodesic curve, possibly singular.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a fake projective plane. If a curve D on X has $D^2 = 1$, then it is a smooth curve of genus 3.*

Proof. For a curve C on a ball quotient Z

$$3(2g(C') - 2) \geq 2K_Z C,$$

where C' is the normalization of C , with equality iff C is totally geodesic [Yau78]. In our case, since $D^2 = 1$, we have $K_X D = 3$ and $p_a(D) = 3$. By Lemma 2.4 D is not totally geodesic, so the above inequality implies that $g(D') \geq 3$. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let C be a smooth curve on a smooth complex surface X with $C^2 > 0$. Then the natural restriction map*

$$\text{Tor Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C)$$

is injective.

Proof. Let τ be a nontrivial torsion line bundle on X . Then it defines an unramified cover $X' \rightarrow X$ of finite degree, say $d > 1$. If $\tau|_C$ is trivial, then C splits into a disjoint union of curves C_1, \dots, C_d in X' with $C_i^2 = C^2 > 0$, contradicting the Hodge index theorem. □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3.

First, we state a general result on the first homology group of a quotient space $Y = X/G$.

Recall here (cf. 6.7 of [Cat15]) that, for a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module M , the group of coinvariants M_G is the quotient of M by the submodule generated by $Im(g - 1)$, for $g \in G$. In particular, M_G is the quotient of M modulo the relations $g_i(x) \equiv x$, for a system of generators g_i of G .

The functor $M \mapsto M_G$ is the same as tensor product with the trivial $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module \mathbb{Z} , i.e., $M_G = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} \mathbb{Z}$. Recall that tensor product is right exact, and that the left derived functors are the homology groups $H_i(G, M)$. In particular,

$$H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = G^{ab}, \quad H_i(G, \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1, \quad H_0(G, \mathbb{Z}[G]) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that X is a good topological space (arcwise connected and semilocally 1-connected) and assume that the group G is a properly discontinuous group of homeomorphisms of X . Let $Y = X/G$ be the quotient space. Then:*

(I) *If G is generated by the stabilizer subgroups G_x , then $H_1(X/G, \mathbb{Z})$ is a quotient of the group of co-invariants $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})_G$,*

$$H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})_G \twoheadrightarrow H_1(X/G, \mathbb{Z}).$$

(II) *More generally, if $K(X)$ is the normal subgroup generated by the stabilizer subgroups G_x , then $H_1(X/G, \mathbb{Z})$ is an extension of a quotient of the group of co-invariants $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})_G$ by the abelianization of $G/K(X)$, i.e. the following sequence is exact:*

$$H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})_G \rightarrow H_1(X/G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow (G/K(X))^{ab} \rightarrow 0.$$

(III) *If X is homotopically equivalent to a simplicial complex on which G acts simplicially, and with only isolated fixed points, then:*

the kernel of the homomorphism $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})_G \rightarrow H_1(X/G, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the image of a group $H_1(G, Z_0)$ sitting in an exact sequence:

$$H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(G, Z_0) \rightarrow H_1(G, C_0) \rightarrow H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = G^{ab},$$

where $H_1(G, C_0)$ is the direct sum of groups of the form

$$H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}[G/G']) \cong (G')^{ab},$$

where G' is a subgroup of G (here $\mathbb{Z}[G/G']$ is just a module over the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$).

(IV) In particular, if G is a finite abelian group, G is generated by stabilizers, and $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})_G$ is a torsion group of order relatively prime to $|G|$, then $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})_G = H_1(X/G, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. Let $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the universal cover, $\pi := \pi_1(X)$, so that $X = \tilde{X}/\pi$.

The group G (cf. 6.1 of [Cat15]) admits an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1,$$

where Γ acts properly discontinuously on \tilde{X} and $Y = X/G = \tilde{X}/\Gamma$.

By the theorem of Armstrong [Arm65, Arm68] we have that $\pi_1(Y) = \Gamma/K$, where K is the subgroup generated by stabilizers Γ_z , for $z \in \tilde{X}$. As π acts freely, Γ_z maps isomorphically to the stabilizer G_x , if $x = p(z)$. Indeed, for each $z \in p^{-1}(x)$ there is a splitting of G_x , and changing z only changes Γ_z up to conjugation by π .

In particular, K maps onto the normal subgroup $K(X)$ of G generated by the stabilizers G_x , and we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi/(\pi \cap K) \cong (\pi K)/K \rightarrow \pi_1(Y) = \Gamma/K \rightarrow G/K(X) = \Gamma/(\pi K) \rightarrow 1.$$

Set

$$H := H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \pi^{ab}, \quad H' := H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = \pi_1(Y)^{ab} = (\Gamma/K)^{ab} = \Gamma/(K[\Gamma, \Gamma]),$$

hence an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow (\pi K[\Gamma, \Gamma])/(K[\Gamma, \Gamma]) \rightarrow H' \rightarrow (G/K(X))^{ab} = \Gamma/(\pi K[\Gamma, \Gamma]) \rightarrow 1.$$

The left hand side equals $\pi/(\pi \cap (K[\Gamma, \Gamma]))$, and is clearly a quotient of $H = \pi/[\pi, \pi]$. Moreover, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma, \phi \in \pi$, we have that $\gamma\phi\gamma^{-1}$ and ϕ have the same image in H' . So, for each $g \in G$, G acts trivially on the image of H inside the kernel of the surjection $H' \rightarrow (G/K(X))^{ab}$. So, we get an exact sequence

$$H_G \rightarrow H' \rightarrow (G/K(X))^{ab} \rightarrow 0,$$

and (I) and (II) are proven.

For (III), observe that H is the first homology group of the complex of simplicial chains in X

$$C_2 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow Z_0,$$

where we take as Z_0 the group of degree zero 0-chains.

We hence have several exact sequences, where Z_i is the subgroup of i -cycles, B_i is the group of i -boundaries:

$$0 \rightarrow Z_1 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow Z_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow Z_1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying the functor of coinvariants we get exact sequences:

$$B_{1,G} \rightarrow Z_{1,G} \rightarrow H_G \rightarrow 0,$$

$$C_{2,G} \rightarrow B_{1,G} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$H_1(G, Z_0) \rightarrow Z_{1,G} \rightarrow C_{1,G} \rightarrow Z_{0,G} \rightarrow 0.$$

Denote now by H'' the homology of the complex

$$C_{2,G} \rightarrow C_{1,G} \rightarrow Z_{0,G}.$$

By what we have observed above, H'' is a quotient of $Z_{1,G}$ by the subgroup generated by the image of $B_{1,G}$ and by the image of $H_1(G, Z_0)$, hence a quotient of H_G by the image of $H_1(G, Z_0)$.

Now, by our hypothesis, for $i \geq 1$, $C_{i,G} = C'_i$, the group of simplicial i -chains on $Y = X/G$. This is true since G acts freely on i -chains, for $i \geq 1$.

And the first homology group H' of X/G is the homology of the complex

$$C'_2 \rightarrow C'_1 \rightarrow Z'_0.$$

Moreover, $Z_0 \rightarrow Z'_0$ factors through $Z_{0,G}$, hence H'/H'' equals the quotient of two kernels

$$\ker(C_{1,G} \rightarrow Z'_0) / \ker(C_{1,G} \rightarrow Z_{0,G}),$$

and we shall now again see that it is isomorphic to $(G/K(X))^{ab}$. Indeed, if a 1-cycle on Y maps to zero in Z'_0 , then it lifts to a 1-cycle on X with boundary of the form $x - g(x)$. Adding zero, a path from one vertex to another minus the same path, we can take x to lie on any fibre over a vertex of Y . In particular, if $g \in G_x$, we get $x - g(x) = 0$, hence similarly, adding a path from x to z , minus its transform via g , we get $z - g(z) = x - g(x) = 0$ for each other vertex z . Finally, since $z = g(z) \Rightarrow hz = gh(z) = hg(z)$ (use the fact that we work in the group of coinvariants for the second equality), we obtain that our quotient H'/H'' equals $(G/K(X))^{ab}$.

Finally, the module C_0 is a direct sum $M \oplus M'$, where M is a free module corresponding to vertices of X on which G acts freely, and M' is the direct sum of modules corresponding to orbits of vertices with a nontrivial stabilizer G' , hence modules of the form $\mathbb{Z}[G/G']$.

For the former summand $H_1(G, M) = 0$, for the latter the Hochschild-Lyndon-Serre spectral sequence, if G' is a normal subgroup, yields

$$H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}[G/G']) = (G')^{ab}.$$

If G' is not normal, the same assertion follows from Shapiro's lemma (see [Brown82], 6.2, page 73), since the $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module $\mathbb{Z}[G/G']$ is just the representation of G induced from the trivial representation \mathbb{Z} of G' . From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z_0 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

the exact group homology sequence yields an exact sequence

$$H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(G, Z_0) \rightarrow H_1(G, C_0) \rightarrow H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = G^{ab} \rightarrow Z_{0,G} \rightarrow C_{0,G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

(IV) follows easily once we observe that the finite group $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ has exponent dividing $|G|$ (cf. theorem 6.14 of [Jacob-2-80]). \square

Example 3.2. Let X be a hyperelliptic curve of genus g , and $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ acting via the hyperelliptic involution, so that $X/G = \mathbb{P}^1$. Here $H_G = (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{2g}$, while $H_1(X/G, \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

More generally, take X be the product of two hyperelliptic curves of genera g_1, g_2 , and $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ acting diagonally via the two hyperelliptic involutions. Again the quotient is simply connected, the locus of fixed points has complex codimension 2, $H_G = (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{2g_1+2g_2}$.

These examples show the optimality of the results of Proposition 3.1.

If $G = \langle g \rangle$ is cyclic, then $g^i - 1 = (g-1)(g^{i-1} + \dots + 1)$, hence $\text{Im}(g^i - 1) \subset \text{Im}(g - 1)$ for all i and, as already mentioned,

$$M_G = M_g := M/\text{Im}(g - 1).$$

Lemma 3.3. (I) *If a cyclic group G of order m acts on an abelian group H , and if m is coprime to the order $|h|$ of every element $h \in H$, then*

$$H_G \cong H^G.$$

(II) *If a finite cyclic group G acts on X with only isolated fixed points, G is generated by the stabilizer subgroups and $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is finite and has order coprime to $|G|$, then*

$$H_1(X/G, \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})_G = H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})^G.$$

Proof. (I) Let $g \in G$ be a generator: g has order m . Consider the trace homomorphism $\text{Tr}(g) = 1 + g + g^2 + \dots + g^{m-1} : H \rightarrow H$. Since

$$(g-1)\text{Tr}(g) = \text{Tr}(g)(g-1) = g^m - 1 = 0$$

we have

$$\text{Im}(\text{Tr}(g)) \subset \text{Ker}(g-1) = H^G, \quad \text{Im}(g-1) \subset \text{Ker}(\text{Tr}(g)).$$

We will show that both are equalities under the assumption.

If $h \in \text{Ker}(g-1) = H^G$, then, choosing an integer a such that $am \equiv 1 \pmod{|h|}$, we see that $\text{Tr}(g)(ah) = mah = h$, hence $h \in \text{Im}(\text{Tr}(g))$.

If $h \in \text{Ker}(\text{Tr}(g))$, then, again by choosing a positive integer a such that $am \equiv 1 \pmod{|h|}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} h &= -g(h) - g^2(h) - \dots - g^{am-1}(h) \\ &= (g-1)(g(h) + 2g^2(h) + \dots + (am-1)g^{am-1}(h)) - (am-1)h \\ &= (g-1)(g(h) + 2g^2(h) + \dots + (am-1)g^{am-1}(h)) \in \text{Im}(g-1). \end{aligned}$$

(II) This follows from (I) and Proposition 3.1. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let X be a fake projective plane with $\text{Aut}(X) \cong C_7 : C_3$. Then*

$$H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})^{C_7} \cong H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})_{C_7} \cong H_1(X/C_7, \mathbb{Z}) = \pi_1(X/C_7) \cong 0 \text{ or } C_2.$$

More precisely the C_7 action on $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ fixes no 2-torsion element in the case of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong C_2^3, C_2^6$, and only one in the case of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong C_2^4$.

Proof. Recall that, by [CS2], the three pairs of fake projective planes with $\text{Aut}(X) \cong G_{21}$ have torsion groups

$$H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong C_2^3, C_2^4, C_2^6,$$

respectively. By Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.3

$$H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})^{C_7} \cong H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})_{C_7} \cong H_1(X/C_7, \mathbb{Z}).$$

By Theorem 2.3, since an (a, b) -elliptic surface has fundamental group isomorphic to the cyclic group of order $\gcd(a, b)$ [D], we see that

$$\pi_1(X/C_7) = \pi_1(X/C_7)^{ab} = H_1(X/C_7, \mathbb{Z})$$

is of order at most 3, hence either 0 or C_2 (this coincides with the computation of $\pi_1(X/C_7)$ in [CS2].) Since the polynomial $x^7 - 1$ in $C_2[x]$ is the product of three prime factors $(x+1)(x^3+x^2+1)(x^3+x+1)$ we see that any linear action of C_7 on a vector space C_2^n is a direct sum of subspaces of cardinality 2 or 8. Thus the C_7 action on $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ fixes no 2-torsion element in the case of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong C_2^3, C_2^6$, and one in the case of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong C_2^4$. \square

This is no strange. In fact, $\text{Aut}(C_2^3) \cong GL(3, 2) \cong PSL(2, 7)$, a simple group of order 168 containing a subgroup $\cong G_{21}$.

Remark 3.5. The paper by S.-K. Yeung [A surface of maximal canonical degree, Math. Ann. 368 (2017), 1171-1189] contains wrong proofs, thus the main result is not proven at all. His proof of the base point freeness of $|K_M|$ relies on his wrong claim that the C_7 action on $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = C_2^4$ is trivial, which is not true by our Corollary 3.4.

Lemma 3.6. *Let F be a finite abelian group of order not divisible by 9. Suppose that F admits an order 3 automorphism σ such that the group of co-invariants $F_\sigma \cong C_3$ or 0. Then for every $t \in F$*

$$t + \sigma(t) + \sigma^2(t) = 0 \text{ in } F.$$

Proof. In the case

$$0 = F_\sigma = F/(\sigma - 1)F$$

$1 - \sigma$ is invertible hence

$$0 = \sigma^3 - 1 = (\sigma - 1)(\sigma^2 + \sigma + 1) \Rightarrow (\sigma^2 + \sigma + 1) = 0.$$

Note that the action of σ on F is the product of its actions on the p -primary summands of F .

In the second case $F_\sigma \cong C_3$, the previous argument applies for p -primary summands of F for $p \neq 3$.

For $p = 3$ clearly $\sigma = 1$, hence $(\sigma^2 + \sigma + 1) = 3 = 0$. \square

Now we prove Theorem 1.3.

Suppose that X has no 3-torsion. Then K_X has a unique cube root L_0 . Then L_0 is fixed by every automorphism. Let L be an ample line bundle with $L^2 = 1$. Then $L = L_0 + t$ for some torsion line bundle t , and

$$\sigma^*(L_0 + t) = L_0 + \sigma^*(t), \quad \sigma^{2*}(L_0 + t) = L_0 + \sigma^{2*}(t).$$

By the above lemma, $t + \sigma^*(t) + \sigma^{2*}(t) = 0$. Thus

$$(L_0 + t) + \sigma^*(L_0 + t) + \sigma^{2*}(L_0 + t) = 3L_0 + (t + \sigma^*(t) + \sigma^{2*}(t)) = 3L_0 = K_X.$$

If $L_0 + t$ is effective, then all the three summands are effective, so is K_X , a contradiction. Thus $H^0(X, L_0 + t) = 0$.

Suppose that X has nontrivial 3-torsion. In this case, K_X has a cube root L_0 , not unique (see Remark under Table 1). Note that L_0 may not be fixed by the order 3 automorphism σ .

If $\sigma^*(L_0) = L_0$, then the previous argument shows that $H^0(X, L_0 + t) = 0$. If $\sigma^*(L_0) = L_0 + t_3$ for some 3-torsion t_3 , then for any torsion line bundle t

$$\sigma^*(L_0 + t) = L_0 + t_3 + \sigma^*(t), \quad \sigma^{2*}(L_0 + t) = L_0 + 2t_3 + \sigma^{2*}(t).$$

By the above lemma, $t + \sigma^*(t) + \sigma^{2*}(t) = 0$. Thus

$$(L_0 + t) + \sigma^*(L_0 + t) + \sigma^{2*}(L_0 + t) = 3L_0 + 3t_3 = K_X.$$

Since K_X is not effective, none of the three summands is effective.

4. FAKE PROJECTIVE PLANES WITH $\text{Aut}(X) = C_3^2$ AND $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = C_{14}$

This is one of the 3 pairs of fake projective planes with $\text{Aut}(X) = C_3^2$. The other two pairs are also listed in Table 1. Note that the unique 2-torsion element is fixed by every automorphism.

If $\text{Aut}(X) = C_3^2$ acts trivially on $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, then $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{Aut}(X)} = C_{14}$. This group has order coprime to 3, thus by Proposition 3.1 $H_1(X/\text{Aut}(X), \mathbb{Z}) = C_{14}$. But $X/\text{Aut}(X)$ has four A_2 -singularities and its minimal resolution is a numerical Godeaux surface (a minimal surface of general type with $p_g = 0$ and $K^2 = 1$). This is impossible, as a numerical Godeaux surface has a torsion group of order ≤ 5 . Thus, $\text{Aut}(X) = C_3^2$ does not act trivially on $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Note that

$$\text{Aut}(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})) \cong (C_2 \times C_7)^* \cong C_6.$$

Thus we have

$$\text{Ker}(\text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}))) \cong C_3.$$

If $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X) = C_3^2$ acts trivially on $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, then by Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.3

$$H_1(X/\langle \sigma \rangle, \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})_\sigma = H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})^\sigma = C_{14}.$$

If $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X) = C_3^2$ does not act trivially on $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, then it fixes the 2-torsion element, permutes the six 7-torsion elements and the six 14-torsion elements, hence

$$H_1(X/\langle \sigma \rangle, \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})_\sigma = H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})^\sigma = C_2.$$

This coincides with the computation of Cartwright and Steger [CS2]:

$$\pi_1(X/C_3) = C_{14}, S_3, C_2, C_2$$

respectively for four order 3 subgroups of $\text{Aut}(X) = C_3^2$ (see Table 1).

Since X has no 3-torsion, it has a unique cubic root of K_X . Let $L_0 \in \text{Pic}(X)$ be the unique cubic root of K_X .

First we recall the following vanishing result from ([K17], Theorem 0.2 and its proof).

Theorem 4.1. [K17] *Let X be a fake projective plane with $\text{Aut}(X) \cong C_3^2$. Then $H^0(X, 2L_0 + t) = 0$ for any $\text{Aut}(X)$ -invariant torsion line bundle t . In particular, $H^0(X, 2L_0) = 0$*

Remark 4.2. Among the three pairs of fake projective planes with $\text{Aut}(X) \cong C_3^2$, only the pair with $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = C_{14}$ has an $\text{Aut}(X)$ -invariant non-trivial torsion line bundle, which corresponds to the unique 2-torsion in $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. It follows that for this pair of fake projective planes, $\mathcal{O}_X, -(L_0 + t_2), -2L_0$ also form an exceptional collection.

Lemma 4.3. *For a fake projective plane X with $\text{Aut}(X) = C_3^2$ and $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = C_{14}$,*

$$H^0(X, L_0 + t) = 0$$

for any torsion element $t \in \text{Pic}(X)$, except possibly for three 14-torsion elements that are rotated by an order 3 automorphism.

Proof. Suppose that $t = t_7$ is a 7-torsion element. We know that there is an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$ such that $\sigma^*(t) = 2t$ (by replacing it by σ^2 if $\sigma^*(t) = 4t$). Thus

$$\sigma^*(L_0 + t) = L_0 + 2t, \quad \sigma^{2*}(L_0 + t) = L_0 + 4t.$$

Since $p_g(X) = H^0(X, K_X) = 0$ and

$$(L_0 + t) + (L_0 + 2t) + (L_0 + 4t) = 3L_0 = K_X,$$

we have $H^0(X, L_0 + t) = 0$.

Suppose that $t = t_2$ is the unique 2-torsion element. It is fixed by every automorphism. Thus

$$(L_0 + t_2) + \sigma^*(L_0 + t_2) + \sigma^{2*}(L_0 + t_2) = 3(L_0 + t_2) = K_X + t_2$$

and one cannot use the previous argument. But the vanishing $H^0(X, L_0 + t_2) = 0$ follows from Theorem 4.1, since $2(L_0 + t_2) = 2L_0$ is $\text{Aut}(X)$ -invariant.

Suppose that $H^0(X, L_0 + t_2 + t_7) \neq 0$ for some 7-torsion element t_7 . Theorem 4.1 we know that there is an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X)$ such that $\sigma^*(t_7) = 2t_7$. Thus

$$\sigma^*(L_0 + t_2 + t_7) = L_0 + t_2 + 2t_7, \quad \sigma^{2*}(L_0 + t_2 + t_7) = L_0 + t_2 + 4t_7$$

and these two line bundles are effective. We know that $H^0(X, 2L_0) = 0$. Since

$$(L_0 + t_2 + at_7) + (L_0 + t_2 + (7-a)t_7) = 2L_0,$$

we have

$$H^0(X, L_0 + t_2 + 6t_7) = H^0(X, L_0 + t_2 + 5t_7) = H^0(X, L_0 + t_2 + 3t_7) = 0.$$

□

From now on, assume that $H^0(X, L_0 + t_2 + t_7) \neq 0$ for some 7-torsion element t_7 . Then

$$H^0(X, L_0 + t_2 + t_7) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Let D_1 be the unique effective curve in the linear system, i.e.

$$D_1 \equiv L_0 + t_2 + t_7.$$

Define

$$D_2 = \sigma^* D_1 \equiv L_0 + t_2 + 2t_7, \quad D_3 = \sigma^* D_2 \equiv L_0 + t_2 + 4t_7.$$

There is another automorphism $\nu \in \text{Aut}(X)$ acting trivially on $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = C_{14}$. Then

$$\nu^*(M) = M$$

for any line bundle M . In particular,

$$\nu^*(D_i) = D_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

By Lemma 2.5, each D_i is a smooth curve of genus 3. Note that the intersection number

$$D_i D_j = 1, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence D_i and D_j intersect transversally in a point x_{ij} . Then D_1, D_2, D_3 form a triangle with vertices x_{ij} . (If the three curves intersect at a point x , then both σ and ν fix x , impossible by Theorem 2.3.) We know that the fixed locus of ν consists of three isolated points, so we infer that

$$\text{Fix}(\nu) = \{x_{12}, x_{23}, x_{31}\}.$$

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be a FPP with $\text{Aut}(X) = C_3^2$ and $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = C_{14}$. The bicanonical map $\Phi_{2,X}$ of X is an embedding.*

Proof. If two different points P and Q , with Q possibly infinitely near to P , are not separated by the bicanonical system, then they must belong to one of the three curves D_i , say D_1 . We know that $P + Q$ is the unique divisor of $H^0(D_1, \mathcal{O}_{D_1}((K_X - D_1)|_{D_1}))$. Since ν preserves the line bundle $K_X - D_1$ and the curve D_1 , it preserves the divisor $P + Q$. Since $\nu|_{D_1}$ is of order 3, both P and Q are fixed points of $\nu|_{D_1}$. If Q is not infinitely near to P , then

$$P + Q = x_{12} + x_{13},$$

thus in our previous notation, we should have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2L_0 + 6t_7) &\cong \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(D_2 + D_3) \cong \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(x_{12} + x_{13}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(K_X - D_1) \\ &\cong \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2L_0 + t_2 - t_7), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\mathcal{O}_{D_1}(t_2) \cong \mathcal{O}_{D_1}.$$

This contradicts however Lemma 2.6.

If Q is infinitely near to P , then $P + Q|_{D_1} = 2x_{12}$ or $P + Q|_{D_1} = 2x_{13}$. In the former case we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2L_0 + 4t_7) &\cong \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2D_2) \cong \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2x_{12}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(K_X - D_1) \\ &\cong \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2L_0 + t_2 - t_7), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\mathcal{O}_{D_1}(t_2 + 5t_7) \cong \mathcal{O}_{D_1},$$

contradicting again Lemma 2.6. The argument in the latter case is identical. \square

5. FAKE PROJECTIVE PLANES WITH $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = C_6$

Among the 50 pairs of fake projective planes, exactly three pairs have $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = C_6$, as listed in Table 2. Moreover they all have $\text{Aut}(X) = C_3$. Since $\text{Aut}(H_1) \cong C_2$ in this case, the automorphism group acts trivially on $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = C_6$. For each of the 6 surfaces the previous argument shows that $\text{Aut}(X)$ preserves every line bundle L with $L^2 = 1$, and there are on X at most two curves with self intersection 1. If X has only one curve D with $D^2 = 1$, then $\text{Aut}(X) = C_3$ fixes 2 points on D and the bicanonical map embeds away from the two points. If X has two curves D_1, D_2 with $D_1^2 = D_2^2 = D_1D_2 = 1$, then $\text{Aut}(X) = C_3$ fixes three points on $D_1 \cup D_2$ and the bicanonical map embeds away from the three points.

TABLE 2. Fake projective planes with $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = C_6$

X	$\text{Aut}(X)$	$H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$	$\pi_1(X/C_3)$
$(a = 15, p = 2, \{3\}, (D3)_3)$	C_3	C_6	C_6
$(C18, p = 3, \{2\}, (dD)_3)$	C_3	C_6	C_6
$(C18, p = 3, \{2\}, (d^2D)_3)$	C_3	C_6	C_6

6. REMARKS ON YEUNG'S PAPER

In this section we point out that, due to many wrong proofs, the main result of the paper by S.-K. Yeung [Very ampleness of the bicanonical line bundle on compact complex 2-ball quotients, Forum Math. 2017;30(2): 419-432] is not proven at all. On page 426 his computation

$$\hat{C} = \tau^*C - E_{11}/2 - E_{21}/2$$

is false (see the next sentence for a correct calculation), thus his proof of Case (b) does not stand. Consequently his proof of Case (c) and (d) does not stand either, since it is based on Case (b). His arguments also rely on another wrong claim of him that a curve C with $C^2 = 1$, if exists, is unique. No uniqueness is guaranteed in general, as is explained in our Lemma 2.2. For a Galois or non-Galois cover p , it may happen that p^*p_*C contains a component different from C . Finally his proof of Case (a), the Cartwright-Steger surface case, is not complete at all, based on too strong assumptions which should be proved. A correct proof for the Cartwright-Steger surface case was given in [K18].

Let X be a fake projective plane with a nontrivial C_3 -action. Suppose X contains a C_3 -invariant curve D with $D^2 = 1$. Here is a correct calculation of the image of D on the minimal resolution $\tau : Y \rightarrow X/C_3$.

Since the fixed locus of C_3 consists of 3 isolated points [K08], applying the Hurwitz formula to the C_3 -action on D it is easy to see that it fixes 2 points on D , say x_1 and x_2 , as explained in the previous section, and that the quotient curve D/C_3 has genus 1. The image $\bar{D} \subset X/C_3$ has self-intersection

$$\bar{D}^2 = D^2/3 = 1/3$$

and the proper transform $D' \subset Y$ intersects exactly one of the two (-2) -curves E_{i1}, E_{i2} that lie over the A_2 -singular point $\bar{x}_i \in X/C_3$. One may assume $D'E_{i1} = 1, D'E_{i2} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then a simple linear algebra calculation determines the coefficients in the following numerical equivalence:

$$(6.1) \quad D' = \tau^* \bar{D} - (2E_{11} + E_{12})/3 - (2E_{21} + E_{22})/3.$$

Thus

$$(6.2) \quad D'^2 = \bar{D}^2 - 2/3 - 2/3 = -1,$$

which does not contradict $g(D') = g(D/C_3) = 1$, because $K_Y = \tau^* K_{X/C_3}$ and $D'K_Y = (\tau^* \bar{D})(\tau^* K_{X/C_3}) = \bar{D}K_{X/C_3} = (1/3)DK_X = 1$.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Michael Lönne for a useful suggestion concerning the proof of (III) of Proposition 3.1, and In Kang Kim and Bruno Klingler for useful email correspondence concerning the non existence of geodesic curves on FPP's.

REFERENCES

- [Arm65] M. A. Armstrong, *On the fundamental group of an orbit space*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 61 (1965) 639–646.
- [Arm68] M. A. Armstrong, *The fundamental group of the orbit space of a discontinuous group*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 64 (1968) 299–301.
- [Au] T. Aubin, *Équations du type Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kähleriennes compactes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B **283** (1976), no. 3, Aiii, A119–A121.
- [BHPV04] Barth, Wolf P.; Hulek, Klaus; Peters, Chris A. M.; Van de Ven, Antonius; *Compact complex surfaces. Second edition.* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, 4. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. xii+436 pp.
- [Brown82] K.S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Springer GTM 87, 309. pp (1982).
- [CS] D. Cartwright, T. Steger, *Enumeration of the 50 fake projective planes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **348** (2010), 11–13.
- [CS2] D. Cartwright, T. Steger, <http://www.maths.usyd.edu.au/u/donaldc/fakeprojectiveplanes>
- [Cat15] F. CATANESE: Topological methods in moduli theory. Bull. Math. Sci. 5, No. 3, 287–449 (2015).
- [CF96] Catanese, Fabrizio; Franciosi, Marco; *Divisors of small genus on algebraic surfaces and projective embeddings.* Proceedings of the Hirzebruch 65 Conference on Algebraic Geometry (Ramat Gan, 1993), 109–140, Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 9, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1996.
- [CFHR99] Catanese, Fabrizio; Franciosi, Marco; Hulek, Klaus; Reid, Miles; *Embeddings of curves and surfaces.* Nagoya Math. J. **154** (1999), 185–220.
- [DBDC] G. Di Brino, L. Di Cerbo, *Exceptional collections and the bicanonical map of Keum's fake projective planes*, arXiv:1603.04378, to appear in Commun. Contemp. Math.
- [D] I. Dolgachev, *Algebraic surfaces with $q = p_g = 0$* , C.I.M.E. Algebraic surfaces, pp 97–215, Liguori Editori, Napoli 1981.
- [HK1] D. Hwang and J. Keum, *The maximum number of singular points on rational homology projective planes*, J. Algebraic Geom. **20** (2011), 495–523.
- [HK2] D. Hwang and J. Keum, *Algebraic Montgomery-Yang Problem: the nonrational surface case*, Michigan Math. J. **62** (2013), 3–37.
- [GKMS] S. Galkin, L. Katzarkov, A. Mellit, E. Shinder, *Derived categories of Keum's fake projective planes* Adv. Math. **278** (2015), 238–253.
- [Jacob-2-80] N. JACOBSON: Basic Algebra II, W.H. Freeman and co., San Francisco (1980), 666 pp.
- [K08] J. Keum, *Quotients of fake projective planes*, Geom. Topol. **12** (2008), 2497–2515.

- [K13] J. Keum, *Every fake projective plane with an order 7 automorphism has $H^0(2L) = 0$ for any ample generator L* , manuscript circulated on July 8 2013.
- [K17] J. Keum, *Vanishing theorem on fake projective planes with enough automorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369** (2017) 7067-7083.
- [K18] J. Keum, *The bicanonical map of the Cartwright-Steger surface*, arXiv:1801.00733v4.
- [KK] V. S. Kharlamov, V. M. Kulikov, *On real structures on rigid surfaces*, Izv. Russ. Akad. Nauk. Ser. Mat. **66**, no. 1, (2002), 133-152; Izv. Math. **66**, no. 1, (2002), 133-150.
- [KI] B. Klingler, *Sur la rigidité de certains groupes fondamentaux, l'arithmétique des réseaux hyperboliques complexes, et les "faux plans projectifs"*, Invent. Math. **153** (2003), 105-143.
- [Ko] J. Kollár, *Shafarevich Maps and Automorphic Forms*, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1995.
- [PY] G. Prasad, and S.-K. Yeung, *Fake projective planes*, Invent. Math. **168** (2007), 321-370; Addendum, **182** (2010), 213-227.
- [Rei] Reider, Igor *Vector bundles of rank 2 and linear systems on algebraic surfaces*. Ann. of Math. (2) **127** (1988), no. 2, 309-316
- [Y] S.-T. Yau, *Calabi's conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **74** (1977), 1798-1799.
- [Yau78] S.-T. Yau, *A general Schwarz lemma for Kähler manifolds* Amer. J. Math. **100** (1978), 197-203.

LEHRSTUHL MATHEMATIK VIII, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER UNIVERSITÄT BAYREUTH,
 NW II, UNIVERSITÄTSSTR. 30, 95447 BAYREUTH, GERMANY
E-mail address: fabrizio.catanese@uni-bayreuth.de

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, HOEGIRO 85,
 DONGDAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 02455, KOREA
E-mail address: jhkeum@kias.re.kr