

# PERVERSE SCHOBERS ON RIEMANN SURFACES: CONSTRUCTIONS AND EXAMPLES

W. DONOVAN

ABSTRACT. This note studies perverse sheaves of categories, or schobers, on Riemann surfaces, following ideas of Kapranov and Schechtman [27]. For certain wall crossings in geometric invariant theory, I construct a schober on the complex plane, singular at each imaginary integer. I use this to obtain schobers for standard flops: in the 3-fold case, I relate these to a further schober on a partial compactification of a stringy Kähler moduli space, and suggest an application to mirror symmetry.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Schobers on a disk	6
3. Local systems	9
4. Riemann surfaces	11
5. Geometric invariant theory	15
6. Examples: complex plane	18
7. Examples: Kähler moduli space	20
References	26

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Perverse sheaves are key objects in the interaction of algebraic geometry, analysis, and topology [29, 6]. They are related to D-modules by the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence [30, 31], and thence control global behaviour for differential equations.

Perverse sheaves may be defined in terms of constructible sheaves, but often admit characterizations using quiver representations, in particular by work of Beilinson [5], and Gelfand–MacPherson–Vilonen [19]. Kapranov and Schechtman have used such descriptions to define perverse sheaves of (triangulated) categories, or schobers [27]: in this approach, the vector spaces

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F05; Secondary 14E05, 14J33, 14L24, 18E30.

The author is supported by World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan, and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP16K17561.

in a quiver representation are replaced by categories, and the linear maps by functors satisfying appropriate conditions.

Harder and Katzarkov recently used schobers to give new proofs for cases of homological mirror symmetry (HMS), and study noncommutative projective spaces [23]. Nadler has used them to prove HMS for certain Landau–Ginzburg models [32]. They have been applied to study categorified Picard–Lefschetz theory by Katzarkov, Pandit, and Spaide [25]. Bodzenta and Bondal have associated them to flops of curves [7]. In previous work [12], I constructed them for wall crossings in geometric invariant theory (GIT).

Schobers are currently defined only on a few classes of spaces: Kapranov and Schechtman define them on a disk, and indicate a generalization to Riemann surfaces. In this paper, I explain this generalization, and give simple operations on the resulting objects.

I give examples for wall crossings in GIT, and standard flops, demonstrating that functors which appear in these settings have natural interpretations in terms of schobers. My results are summarized in Subsection 1.1.

In particular, I show that functors associated to a standard 3-fold flop, including the Bondal–Orlov flop functors, may be encoded in a schober on the projective line minus two points, thought of as a partially compactified stringy Kähler moduli space: I give a possible mirror symmetry interpretation of this in Subsection 1.2.

**Remark.** Harder and Katzarkov [23] give a related definition for schobers on Riemann surfaces. Continuing work of Dyckerhoff, Kapranov, Schechtman, and Soibelman seeks to further develop such definitions [16]. Schobers on higher-dimensional spaces have been discussed only in restricted cases: see [27, Section 4], and upcoming work of Bondal–Kapranov–Schechtman [9].

**1.1. Results.** One of the simplest classes of schobers consists of perverse sheaf of categories on a disk, singular at a point, known as ‘spherical pairs’. In previous work [12], I constructed spherical pairs for certain wall crossings in GIT stability. In this paper, under the same assumptions, I obtain a perverse sheaf on  $\mathbb{C}$ , singular at  $i\mathbb{Z}$ , in Theorem A.


**Remark.** Perverse sheaves restrict to local systems on their smooth loci: analogously, schobers yield local systems of categories. Such local systems are indicated below by an action of a fundamental group on a category, or a fundamental groupoid on categories: full definitions are given in Section 3.

Take a toric GIT problem  $V/G$  where  $V$  is a smooth, equivariantly Calabi–Yau variety, and consider a ‘simple balanced’ wall crossing. Informally, this is a wall crossing which affects only a single stratum of the GIT stratification: for details, see Definition 5.2. Let  $X_{\pm}$  denote the quotients

on either side of the wall, and  $D(X)$  be the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on  $X$ .

**Theorem A.** (Theorem 6.1, Corollary 6.2) *For a simple balanced wall crossing as above, there exists a schober on  $\mathbb{C}$ , singular at  $i\mathbb{Z}$ , with*

- *generic fibre  $D(X_-) \cong D(X_+)$ , and*
- *an induced local system of categories on  $\mathbb{C} - i\mathbb{Z}$  which may be presented in the following ways:*



The  $T^w$  are spherical twists obtained by Halpern-Leistner and Shipman [21], and the  $\Phi^w$  are window equivalences as given by Halpern-Leistner [20], and Ballard–Favero–Katzarkov [4].

The simplest cases of the theorem are toric standard flops, and certain flops of orbifold projective spaces: see Example 6.3.

**Remark.** The base  $\mathbb{C}$  of the schober above may be thought of as the complexification of the GIT stability space  $\mathbb{R}$  associated to the wall crossing.

**Remark.** Theorem A holds under the weaker hypothesis that  $V$  is smooth, and equivariantly Calabi–Yau, in a neighbourhood of a certain subvariety appearing in the GIT stratification: see Assumption 5.7 for details.

I now give an application of Theorem A to standard flops. Take a standard flopping contraction  $X \rightarrow Y$  with exceptional locus  $E \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ , so that the normal bundle of  $E$  is the sum of  $n + 1$  copies of  $\mathcal{O}_E(-1)$ , and write  $D(X|E)$  for the full subcategory of  $D(X)$  whose objects have set-theoretic support on  $E$ .

**Proposition B.** (Proposition 6.4) *For a standard flopping contraction as above, the schober in Theorem A induces a schober on  $\mathbb{C}$ , singular at  $i\mathbb{Z}$ , with*

- *generic fibre  $D(X|E)$ , and*
- *monodromy around  $iw \in i\mathbb{Z}$  given by the spherical twist of  $\mathcal{O}_E(w)$ .*

Restricting finally to standard 3-fold flops, I construct and study a schober on a Riemann surface with non-trivial topology, namely

$$M = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{3 \text{ points}\}.$$


I understand this as a stringy Kähler moduli space (SKMS), following a standard heuristic from mirror symmetry: see Subsection 7.1 for discussion. Let  $\overline{M}$  be the partial compactification of  $M$  with a point  $p$  replaced, so that

$$\overline{M} = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{2 \text{ points}\}.$$

Writing  $X'$  for the flop of  $X$ , with exceptional locus  $E'$ , I prove the following.

**Theorem C.** (Theorem 7.10) *For a standard 3-fold flopping contraction as above, there exists a schober on  $\overline{M}$ , singular at  $p$ , with*

- *generic fibres  $D(X|E)$  and  $D(X'|E')$ , and*
- *an induced local system of categories on  $M$  given by*



*with Bondal–Orlov flop functors  $F$ , and line bundle twists (1).*

I furthermore show that, in an appropriate sense, the pullback of the above local system on  $M$  to a covering space isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C} - i\mathbb{Z}$  is given by the schober from Proposition B: see Proposition 7.13. I give a criterion to extend the schober of Theorem C from  $\overline{M}$  to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  in a final Subsection 7.4.

**Remark.** Work of Halpern-Leistner and Sam [22] gives a local system of categories on an SKMS for an extensive class of examples, namely quotients for quasi-symmetric representations of reductive groups: it would be interesting to study schobers extending these.

**1.2. Mirror symmetry of schobers.** I outline a possible homological mirror symmetry (HMS) application for Theorem C. Given a standard 3-fold flop between  $X$  and  $X'$ , HMS may take the form of equivalences

$$D(X|E) \cong F(Y) \quad \text{and} \quad D(X'|E') \cong F(Y'),$$

where  $F$  is an appropriate Fukaya category, and  $Y$  and  $Y'$  are mirror symplectic manifolds.

**Remark.** Taking  $X$  and  $Y$  to be the resolved and deformed conifold respectively, with certain loci removed, an equivalence as above is proved by Chan, Pomerleano, and Ueda [10].

Under mirror symmetry the SKMS  $M$ , denoted by  $M_B$  below, should be identified with a complex structure moduli space  $M_A$ , which is the base of

a mirror family with  $Y$  and  $Y'$  as fibres. We may further identify partial compactifications  $\overline{M}_B \cong \overline{M}_A$ . Now assume given an extension of the mirror family on  $M_A$  to  $\overline{M}_A$ , allowing singularities over the boundary, and denote this symplectic fibration by

$$f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \overline{M}_A.$$

Letting  $\mathcal{Q}_B$  be the schober on  $\overline{M}_B$  from Theorem C, we have the following.

**Question.** Can a schober  $\mathcal{Q}_A$  on  $\overline{M}_A$  be constructed from the extended mirror family  $f$  such that there is an equivalence of schobers

$$\mathcal{Q}_B \cong \mathcal{Q}_A ?$$

The schober  $\mathcal{Q}_A$  should have generic fibres  $F(Y)$  and  $F(Y')$  by construction, and the equivalence of schobers should respect the identification  $\overline{M}_B \cong \overline{M}_A$ , and the HMS equivalences above. I hope this question will be addressed in future work, along with more general examples.

**Remark.** The mirror operation to the standard 3-fold flop given by Fan, Hong, Lau, and Yau [18] should induce half-monodromy equivalences for the schober  $\mathcal{Q}_A$  proposed above.

**1.3. Outline.** In Section 2, I review definitions of schobers on a disk, due to Kapranov and Schechtman; Section 3 discusses local systems of categories and operations on them. Section 4 uses these notions to define schobers on Riemann surfaces, following ideas of Kapranov–Schechtman, and gives criteria for extending these objects from an open subset. In Section 5, I review my previous work [12] constructing spherical pairs from GIT wall crossings. Section 6 constructs schobers on  $\mathbb{C}$ , singular at  $i\mathbb{Z}$ , proving Theorem A and Proposition B. Finally, in Section 7, I prove results for the SKMS discussed above, in particular Theorem C.

*Acknowledgements.* I am grateful to M. Kapranov for inspiring conversations. I thank A. Bondal, Y. Ito, A. King, S. Meinhardt, E. Segal, and M. Wemyss for useful discussions, and J. Stoppa and B. Fantechi for their hospitality and interest in my work at SISSA, Trieste. I am grateful to an anonymous referee, and to P. Schapira, for helpful comments. Finally, I thank the organizers of the 2016 Easter Island workshop on algebraic geometry for the opportunity to attend.

*Conventions.* Varieties  $X$  are assumed quasiprojective, with bounded derived category of coherent sheaves denoted by  $D(X)$ . For a subvariety  $Z$  of  $X$ , write  $D(X|Z)$  for the full subcategory of  $D(X)$  consisting of objects with (set-theoretic) support on  $Z$ .

## 2. SCOBERS ON A DISK

In this section I recall categorifications, due to Kapranov–Schechtman, of the data of a perverse sheaf on a disk with singular points. For the case of a single singular point we have two categorifications, namely a ‘spherical pair’ and a ‘spherical functor’. I explain how spherical pairs yield spherical functors.

**2.1. Perverse sheaves.** The following is a standard description of the category  $\text{Per}(\Delta, B)$  of perverse sheaves on a disk  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ , possibly singular at points  $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** [19, Proposition 1.2] *The category  $\text{Per}(\Delta, B)$  is equivalent to the category of diagrams of vector spaces  $D, D_1, \dots, D_n$  as follows, such that each endomorphism  $m_i = \mathbb{1} - v_i u_i$  of  $D$  is an isomorphism.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D_n & \xleftarrow{u_n} & \\
 & \searrow^{v_n} & \\
 \vdots & & D \\
 & \swarrow_{u_1} & \\
 D_1 & \xleftarrow{v_1} & 
 \end{array}$$

An equivalence may be constructed as follows. Fix  $x \in \partial\Delta$ , and choose a skeleton  $K$  as in Figure 1. Then for  $P$  in  $\text{Per}(\Delta, B)$  take the  $D_i$  and  $D$  to be the stalks at  $b_i$  and  $x$  respectively of the sheaf  $\mathbb{H}_K^1(P)$  of cohomology with support on  $K$ . The  $v_i$  are generalization maps, as described for instance in [26, Section 1D], and the  $u_i$  are dual to them. Other equivalences may be obtained by choosing a different skeleton, for instance  $K'$  in Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. Skeleton examples.

**Notation 2.2.** Write  $\mathcal{C}$  for the set of isotopy classes of skeleta for  $(\Delta, B)$ : here a *skeleton* is a union of simple arcs joining  $x$  to the  $b_i$ , coinciding near  $x$ .

**Remark 2.3.** The  $n$ -stranded braid group  $\text{Br}_n$  may be presented as the isotopy classes of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of  $\Delta$  which fix  $x$  and preserve  $B$ , giving a simply transitive action of  $\text{Br}_n$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Under this description, we may choose generators  $\sigma_i$  for  $i = 1 \dots n - 1$  by choosing diffeomorphisms of  $\Delta$  which act on  $B$  by swapping  $b_i$  and  $b_{i+1}$ , and satisfy Artin braid relations

$$\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}.$$

For instance, in Figure 1, for each  $i$  take a disk  $\delta$  with a diameter the line segment from  $b_i$  to  $b_{i+1}$ , and a slightly larger disk  $\delta_\epsilon$  with the same centre. Then a suitable diffeomorphism  $\sigma_i$  may be constructed which acts on  $\delta$  by a half turn in the counterclockwise direction, and as the identity on  $\Delta - \delta_\epsilon$ , so that, in particular,  $\sigma_{n-1}$  sends  $K$  to the isotopy class of  $K'$ .

In the special case  $B = \{b\}$ , we have the following alternative description due to Kapranov–Schechtman.

**Proposition 2.4.** [26, Section 9] *The category  $\text{Per}(\Delta, \{b\})$  is equivalent to the category of diagrams of vector spaces*

$$E_- \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{u_-} \\ \xleftarrow{v_-} \end{array} E_0 \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{u_+} \\ \xrightarrow{v_+} \end{array} E_+$$

such that

- (1)  $v_\pm u_\pm = \mathbf{1}$ , and
- (2)  $v_+ u_-$  and  $v_- u_+$  are isomorphisms.

An equivalence is obtained as follows. Fix  $x_\pm \in \partial\Delta$ , and choose a skeleton  $L$  as in Figure 2. Then for  $P$  in  $\text{Per}(\Delta, 0)$  take  $E_\pm$  and  $E_0$  to be the stalks at  $x_\pm$  and  $b$  respectively of the sheaf  $\mathbb{H}_K^1(P)$ . Again, the maps  $v_\pm$  are generalization maps, and the  $u_\pm$  dual to them.




FIGURE 2. Spherical pair skeleton.

**2.2. Schobers with multiple singular points.** This subsection explains a categorification, due to Kapranov–Schechtman, of the data of a perverse sheaf  $P$  on  $\Delta$ , possibly singular on some finite set of points  $B$ . I extend this to contractible domains in  $\mathbb{C}$  with certain countable singular sets in Subsection 4.3.

The following gives a categorification of the vector space data associated to the perverse sheaf from Proposition 2.1.

**Definition 2.5.** [27, Section 2B] A shadow of a schober on  $(\Delta, B)$  is a collection of triangulated categories  $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_n$  with spherical functors

$$S_i: \mathcal{D}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{D}.$$

Each spherical functor  $S$  induces a twist symmetry of  $\mathcal{D}$  as follows

$$T_S := \text{Cone} \left( S \circ S^{\text{RA}} \xrightarrow{\text{counit}} \text{Id} \right) \quad (1)$$

where  $S^{\text{RA}}$  denotes a right adjoint. Here we assume given enhancements of our triangulated categories, so that functorial cones make sense, as in for instance [27, Appendix A]. For brevity, we have the following.

**Notation 2.6.** Write  $T_i$  for the twist symmetry  $T_{S_i}$ .

The vector space data from Proposition 2.1 depends on a choice of isotopy class of skeletons. Write  $K$  for such a class. To categorify a perverse sheaf we will therefore take, in Definition 2.9, a shadow  $\mathcal{P}_K$  for each class  $K$ , satisfying appropriate compatibilities. For brevity, we refer to such a  $\mathcal{P}_K$  as a  $K$ -shadow. The following Definition 2.7 gives operations relating  $K$ -shadows with  $K'$ -shadows for a different isotopy class  $K' = \sigma K$ , where  $\sigma \in \text{Br}_n$ .

**Definition 2.7.** For a  $K$ -shadow  $\mathcal{P}_K$ , define a  $\sigma_i K$ -shadow  $f_{\sigma_i} \mathcal{P}_K$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} S'_i &= S_{i+1}, \\ S'_{i+1} &= T_{i+1}^{-1} \circ S_i, \\ S'_j &= S_j \text{ for } j \neq i, i+1. \end{aligned}$$

For general  $\sigma \in \text{Br}_n$ , define  $f_\sigma$  as a composition of  $f_{\sigma_i}$  and their inverses.

**Remark 2.8.** The operations  $f_{\sigma_i}$  are modelled on the transformation rules for vector space data from Proposition 2.1 under generators  $\sigma_i$  of  $\text{Br}_n$ , as given for instance in [19, Proposition 1.3, and Remark below]. In particular, the following braid relation may be easily checked for  $i = 1 \dots n-1$ .

$$f_{\sigma_i} f_{\sigma_{i+1}} f_{\sigma_i} \mathcal{P}_K \cong f_{\sigma_{i+1}} f_{\sigma_i} f_{\sigma_{i+1}} \mathcal{P}_K$$

The key here is that, for a spherical functor  $S: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and a general equivalence  $\Psi: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , we have

$$T_{\Psi \circ S} \cong \Psi \circ T_S \circ \Psi^{-1}.$$

We then make the following definition.

**Definition 2.9.** [27, Section 2B] A schober  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $(\Delta, B)$  is the data of a shadow  $\mathcal{P}_K$  for each isotopy class  $K$  of skeletons such that there are identifications

$$g_{K,\sigma}: f_\sigma \mathcal{P}_K \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_{\sigma K}$$

for each  $\sigma \in \text{Br}_n$ , compatible in the natural way.



**Remark 2.10.** The compatibility above is that, for  $\tau, \sigma \in \text{Br}_n$ , composing

$$f_\tau(g_{K,\sigma}) : f_\tau f_\sigma \mathcal{P}_K \longrightarrow f_\tau \mathcal{P}_{\sigma K} \quad \text{and} \quad g_{\sigma K, \tau} : f_\tau \mathcal{P}_{\sigma K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\tau(\sigma K)}$$

should coincide up to isomorphisms with  $g_{K, \tau\sigma} : f_{\tau\sigma} \mathcal{P}_K \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{(\tau\sigma)K}$ .

**Remark 2.11.** Spherical functors are exactly schobers on  $(\Delta, \{b\})$ , as there is a unique isotopy class  $K$  of skeletons in this case.

**2.3. Spherical pairs.** This subsection presents a categorification, again due to Kapranov–Schechtman, of the data of a perverse sheaf  $P$  on  $\Delta$ , possibly singular at a point  $b$ . This is a categorification of the vector space data of Proposition 2.4. It gives an alternate categorification for the case  $B = \{b\}$  of the previous Subsection 2.2.

Recall that a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle,$$

is determined by embeddings  $\delta_i : \mathcal{D}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and induces projection functors

$$\delta_1^{\text{LA}} : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2^{\text{RA}} : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_2$$

given by adjoints. We may then make the following definition.

**Definition 2.12.** [27] *A spherical pair  $\mathcal{P}$  is a triangulated category  $\mathcal{P}_0$  with admissible subcategories  $\mathcal{P}_\pm$  and semi-orthogonal decompositions*

$$\langle \mathcal{Q}_-, \mathcal{P}_- \rangle = \mathcal{P}_0 = \langle \mathcal{Q}_+, \mathcal{P}_+ \rangle,$$

*such that equivalences*

$$\mathcal{Q}_- \longleftarrow \mathcal{Q}_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_- \longleftarrow \mathcal{P}_+,$$

*are obtained by composition of the embeddings and projections above.*

Spherical pairs give spherical functors, as follows.

**Proposition 2.13.** [27, Propositions 3.7, 3.8] *Given a spherical pair as above, the canonical functor*

$$\mathcal{P}_- \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_+$$

*is spherical. Its twist acts by the composition of canonical functors*

$$\mathcal{Q}_+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_- \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_+.$$

### 3. LOCAL SYSTEMS

In this section I give definitions for local system of categories, and simple operations on them. These objects arise, in particular, by restricting a schober to its smooth locus, and will be used to describe and compare schobers later.

**3.1. Definitions.** We take the following.

**Definition 3.1.** *An  $X$ -coordinatized local system of categories on a path-connected manifold  $M$ , for basepoints  $X \subset M$ , is given by*

- *a category  $\mathcal{D}_i$  for each  $x_i \in X$ , and*
- *an action of the fundamental groupoid  $\pi_1(M, X)$  on  $\{\mathcal{D}_i\}$ .*

Concretely, we may take paths  $x_i \rightarrow x_j$  generating the groupoid, and corresponding functors  $F_{ij}: \mathcal{D}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_j$  satisfying the groupoid relations, up to natural isomorphism: in particular, for a single basepoint  $x$  we have simply an action of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(M, x)$  on a category  $\mathcal{D}$ .

We assume local systems as above to be *triangulated* in the natural way.

**Definition 3.2.** *Two local systems are isomorphic if their categories have equivalences  $\mathcal{D}_i \cong \mathcal{D}'_i$ , which intertwine their functors  $F_{ij}$  and  $F'_{ij}$ .*

**Definition 3.3.** *Two local systems are strongly isomorphic if  $\mathcal{D}_i = \mathcal{D}'_i$ , and their  $F_{ij}$  and  $F'_{ij}$  are naturally isomorphic.*

It would be desirable to allow isomorphisms in a suitable sense between local systems with different sets of basepoints: we do not treat this systematically here, however we supply the following notion for use later.

**Definition 3.4.** *Take sets of basepoints  $X \subset Y$  on  $M$ . We say that a  $Y$ -coordinatized local system is a refinement of an  $X$ -coordinatized one if their actions are compatible under  $\pi_1(M, X) \subset \pi_1(M, Y)$ .*

**Remark 3.5.** A further notion of local system, not considered here, would relate natural isomorphisms between the  $F_{ij}$  to the path 2-groupoid.


We have the usual notion of pullback of local systems, as follows.

**Definition 3.6.** *Take a continuous map  $f: M \rightarrow N$  of manifolds, and a  $Y$ -coordinatized local system on  $N$ . An  $X$ -coordinatized local system on  $M$ , for  $X = f^{-1}Y$ , is induced by the map  $\pi_1(M, X) \rightarrow \pi_1(N, Y)$ .*

In particular, pullback along inclusions gives a notion of restriction.

**3.2. Local systems from schobers.** Perverse sheaves of vector spaces restrict to local systems away from their singularities. The following is an analog for schobers on a disk.

For some skeleton  $K$ , let  $\gamma_i$  be a loop based at  $x$ , going counter-clockwise around  $b_i$  in a small neighbourhood, but otherwise contained within  $K$ . Then  $\pi_1(\Delta - B, x)$  is the free group with generators  $\gamma_i$ .



**Definition 3.7.** Given a schober on  $(\Delta, B)$  as in Definition 2.9, define an  $\{x\}$ -coordinatized local system on  $\Delta - B$  by, after choosing some  $K$ -shadow,

- assigning the category  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $x$ , and
- letting  $\gamma_i$  act by the twist  $\mathbb{T}_i$ .

**Remark 3.8.** Up to isomorphism, this local system is independent of  $K$  by construction of the braid group action on shadows from Definition 2.7.

For a spherical pair we obtain a local system on  $\Delta - \{b\}$  as follows. Fix two basepoints  $x_{\pm} \in \partial\Delta$ .

**Definition 3.9.** Given a spherical pair  $\mathcal{P}$  as in Definition 2.12, define an  $\{x_{\pm}\}$ -coordinatized local system on  $\Delta - \{b\}$  by

- assigning the category  $\mathcal{Q}_{\pm}$  to  $x_{\pm}$ , and
- letting minimal counter-clockwise paths  $x_{\mp} \rightarrow x_{\pm}$  act by equivalences

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mp} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\pm}$$

from Definition 2.12.

**Remark 3.10.** An  $\{x_{+}\}$ -coordinatized local system may be obtained from a spherical pair by taking the spherical functor provided by Proposition 2.13, interpreting it as a schober on  $(\Delta, \{b\})$ , and using Definition 3.7. The local system from Definition 3.9 above is a refinement of this, by the latter part Proposition 2.13.

**Remark 3.11.** Clearly a dual local system may be obtained in Definition 3.9 by taking  $\mathcal{P}_{\pm}$  instead of  $\mathcal{Q}_{\pm}$ , however we do not use this here.

#### 4. RIEMANN SURFACES

In this section, I give a definition of a schober on a Riemann surface, developing details of the definition indicated by Kapranov–Schechtman in [27, Section 2E]. A related definition, using more general skeleta than those considered here, is given by Harder and Katzarkov in [23, Section 3.4].

**4.1. Definitions.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a Riemann surface, possibly with boundary. Take a finite subset  $B$  of its interior, and choose furthermore a disk  $\Delta$  in its interior which contains  $B$ . We will define a schober on  $\Sigma$ , possibly singular

on  $B$ , as the data of a schober on  $\Delta$  and a local system on  $\overline{\Sigma - \Delta}$ , agreeing on their intersection.

**Remark 4.1.** Though the definitions in this section suffice for our present purposes, they are somewhat unwieldy, and should be considered as preliminary: improved notions would remove the choice of disk  $\Delta$ , as in [23, Section 3.4], and could incorporate a categorification of ‘parafermionic’ descriptions of perverse sheaves by Kapranov–Schechtman [28]. This is currently being pursued by Dyckerhoff, Kapranov, Schechtman, and Soibelman [16].

Fix as before a basepoint  $x$  in  $\partial\Delta$ , allow a finite (possibly empty) set of further basepoints  $Y$  in  $\Sigma - \Delta$ , and let  $X = Y \cup \{x\}$ .

**Definition 4.2.** [27, Section 2E] *A schober on  $(\Sigma, B)$  is the data:*

- (1) *a schober on  $(\Delta, B)$  as in Definition 2.9;*
- (2) *an  $X$ -coordinatized local system of categories on  $\overline{\Sigma - \Delta}$ ;*
- (3) *a strong isomorphism of the induced  $\{x\}$ -coordinatized local systems on  $\partial\Delta$ .*

**Remark 4.3.** The notion of strong isomorphism is from Definition 3.3: in particular, the local systems assign isomorphic categories to  $x$ .




FIGURE 3. Riemann surface skeleton example.

**Remark 4.4.** In Definition 4.2, after a choice of  $K$ -shadow, the induced local system on  $\partial\Delta$  is determined by the action on  $\mathcal{D}$  of

$$T_1 \circ \dots \circ T_n. \quad (2)$$

**Example 4.5.** Let  $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$ . Then the subset  $\overline{\Sigma - \Delta}$  is contractible, and so the ‘monodromy at infinity’ (2) for a schober on  $\Sigma$  is isomorphic to the identity: we give an example in Subsection 7.4.

Restricting to a single marked point  $b$ , and fixing basepoints  $x_{\pm}$  in  $\partial\Delta$ , we have the following.

**Definition 4.6.** A schober of spherical pair type on  $(\Sigma, \{b\})$  is the data:

- (1) a spherical pair as in Definition 2.12;
- (2) a local system as in (2) above, but with  $X = Y \cup \{x_{\pm}\}$ ;
- (3) a strong isomorphism as in (3) above, but of  $\{x_{\pm}\}$ -coordinatized local systems.

By construction, we have the following.

**Proposition 4.7.** A schober of spherical pair type on  $(\Sigma, \{b\})$  induces a schober on  $(\Sigma, \{b\})$ .

*Proof.* We give the required data for Definition 4.2. The schober on  $(\Delta, \{b\})$  for Definition 4.2(1) can be taken as the spherical functor associated to the spherical pair provided by Definition 4.6(1), using Proposition 2.13. A suitable local system for Definition 4.2(2), which is  $Y \cup \{x\}$ -coordinatized, may be induced from the  $Y \cup \{x_{\pm}\}$ -coordinatized local system provided by Definition 4.6(2).  $\square$

We also have the following restriction result.

**Proposition 4.8.** A schober on  $(\Sigma, B)$  induces an  $X$ -coordinatized local system of categories on  $\Sigma - B$ .

*Proof.* Definition 3.7 gives an  $\{x\}$ -coordinatized local system on  $\Delta - B$ , and Definition 4.2(2) gives an  $X$ -coordinatized local system on  $\overline{\Sigma - \Delta}$ , which glues to it along  $\partial\Delta$  by Definition 4.2(3).  $\square$

The same result holds for schobers of spherical pair type.

**4.2. Extension results.** We give simple criteria to extend schobers over points on Riemann surfaces.

Consider an  $X$ -coordinatized local system on  $\Sigma^p$ , where  $\Sigma^p = \Sigma - \{p\}$ , for  $p$  in the interior of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a disk neighbourhood of  $p$  also contained in the interior of  $\Sigma$ , where the only points of  $X$  in  $\Delta$  are two points  $x_{\pm} \in \partial\Delta$ . The following is a direct consequence of the definitions.

**Proposition 4.9.** Take an  $X$ -coordinatized local system on  $\Sigma^p$  as above. Given a spherical pair inducing the same local system on  $\partial\Delta$ , we obtain a schober of spherical pair type on  $(\Sigma, \{p\})$


Now consider a schober on  $(\Sigma^p, B)$ . Let  $C$  be a simple arc joining  $x$  and  $p$  in  $\overline{\Sigma - \Delta}$ , not meeting  $X$ . Let  $\gamma_0$  be a loop based at  $x$ , going counter-clockwise around  $p$  in a small neighbourhood  $N$ , but otherwise contained in  $C$ , and let  $F$  be the autoequivalence of  $\mathcal{D}$  associated to  $\gamma_0$ .

**Proposition 4.10.** Take a schober on  $(\Sigma^p, B)$  as above.

- (1) Given a presentation of  $F$  as the twist of a spherical functor, we obtain a schober on  $(\Sigma, B_p)$ , where  $B_p = B \cup \{p\}$ .

(2) *The two schobers induce isomorphic local systems on  $\Sigma^p - B$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that the data of a perverse schober on  $(\Sigma^p, B)$  includes the data of a perverse schober on  $(\Delta, B)$ . Choose a mutual neighbourhood  $\Delta_p$  in  $\Sigma$  of  $\Delta$ ,  $C$ , and  $N$ . Require furthermore that this does not meet  $Y$ , and deformation retracts to  $\Delta$  (we use that  $C$  is a simple arc here). Then for each skeleton  $K$  on  $\Delta$  we obtain a skeleton  $K_p$  on  $\Delta_p$ , as sketched below.



From a  $K$ -shadow for  $(\Delta, B)$  given by spherical functors  $S_i$ , we obtain a  $K_p$ -shadow for  $(\Delta_p, B_p)$  by appending the spherical functor  $S$  supplied by assumption (1). A general shadow for  $(\Delta_p, B_p)$  is obtained from such a  $K_p$ -shadow by the action of the braid group  $\text{Br}_{n+1}$ . To obtain a schober on  $(\Delta_p, B_p)$ , we thence obtain shadows using the transformation rule in Definition 2.7, and verify the compatibilities of Definition 2.9.

The data of a perverse schober on  $(\Sigma^p, B)$  includes the data of a local system on  $\overline{\Sigma^p - \Delta}$ , and we obtain a local system on  $\overline{\Sigma - \Delta_p}$  by restriction. Choosing a skeleton  $K_p$  as above for our schober on  $(\Delta_p, B_p)$ , the action of the induced local system on  $\partial\Delta_p$  is determined by

$$T_S \circ T_1 \circ \dots \circ T_n.$$

The definition of a schober on  $(\Sigma, B_p)$  requires that the same local system on  $\partial\Delta_p$  is induced by the above local system on  $\overline{\Sigma - \Delta_p}$ , but this follows by assumption. This shows (1).

The claim (2), that the schobers induce isomorphic local systems on  $\Sigma^p - B = \Sigma - B_p$ , can be checked on the cover given by  $\Delta - B$  and  $\overline{\Sigma^p - \Delta}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.11.** We may take  $B$  empty: then a schober on  $(\Sigma^p, B)$  is just a local system on  $\Sigma^p$ , and the above Proposition 4.10 says that this extends to a schober on  $(\Sigma, \{p\})$  if its monodromy around  $p$  is a twist.

**Remark 4.12.** E. Segal explains in [33] how all autoequivalences may be presented as a twist, by a dg-categorical construction. However, the criterion above remains relevant if we want to work in, for instance, the category of varieties and Fourier–Mukai kernels between them.

**4.3. Infinite singular points.** We consider a contractible domain  $\mathbb{D}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and define a perverse schober on  $(\mathbb{D}, B)$ , with  $B$  a countably infinite subset without accumulation points. We assume furthermore that  $B$  is the image of an embedding  $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}$  which extends to an embedding of a strip  $\mathbb{R} \times I \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ , as explained below.

For the set  $\mathcal{C}$  of isotopy classes of skeleta as in Notation 2.2, we restrict to *finitary skeleta*, namely those obtained from a reference skeleton  $K$  by an action of the infinite braid group with generators  $\sigma_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\mathrm{Br}_\infty = \langle \sigma_i \mid \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_j \sigma_k = \sigma_k \sigma_j \text{ for } |j - k| > 1 \rangle.$$

To obtain such an action, proceed as follows. Take  $I = [-1, +1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and identify  $\mathbb{Z}$  with the points  $(i, 0)$  of  $\mathbb{R} \times I$ . We assume that the embedding  $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}$  extends to an embedding  $\mathbb{R} \times I \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}$  under this identification.

Now for each  $i$  take a disk  $\delta$  in the strip  $\mathbb{R} \times I$  with a diameter the line segment from  $(i, 0)$  to  $(i + 1, 0)$ , and a slightly larger disk  $\delta_\epsilon$  with the same centre. Then a diffeomorphism  $\sigma_i$  of  $\mathbb{R} \times I$  may be constructed which acts on  $\delta$  by a half turn in the counterclockwise direction, and as the identity on the complement of  $\delta_\epsilon$ , so that the  $\sigma_i$  satisfy the relations of  $\mathrm{Br}_\infty$ . These  $\sigma_i$  may then be extended to diffeomorphisms  $\sigma_i$  of  $\mathbb{D}$ , acting as the identity on the complement of  $\mathbb{R} \times I$ , via the assumed embedding  $\mathbb{R} \times I \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ , giving an action of action of  $\mathrm{Br}_\infty$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

The induced action of  $\mathrm{Br}_\infty$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  is simply transitive by construction. The definition then proceeds as in Subsection 2.2.

**Remark 4.13.** Given a schober on  $(\mathbb{D}, B)$ , a local system on  $\mathbb{D} - B$  may be obtained as in Definition 3.7. The description used there of the fundamental group of  $\mathbb{D} - B$  still holds, using the accumulation point assumption above.

**Remark 4.14.** It would be more direct to consider all skeleta, not just finitary skeleta as above. Indeed for  $(\mathbb{D}, B) = (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z})$ , it follows from work of Fabel [17] that an appropriate mapping class group is a completion of  $\mathrm{Br}_\infty$ , but we wish to avoid considering limits of functor compositions.

## 5. GEOMETRIC INVARIANT THEORY

I review results from GIT sufficient to recall, from previous work [12], a construction of a spherical pair for certain GIT wall crossings: this is Theorem 5.9. For further details on GIT, I refer the reader to treatments of Halpern-Leistner [20], and Ballard, Favero, and Katzarkov [4].

**5.1. Setting.** We consider the following.

**Setup 5.1.** Take a projective-over-affine variety  $V$  with

- an action of a torus  $G$ , and
- a linearization  $\mathcal{M}$ .

This data determines a semistable locus  $V^{\text{ss}}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq V$ : we take the *GIT quotient* to be the quotient stack  $V^{\text{ss}}/G$ . The complement of  $V^{\text{ss}}$  admits a GIT stratification by strata  $S^i$ , with associated one-parameter subgroups  $\lambda^i$  of  $G$ : each  $S^i$  contains an open subvariety  $Z^i$  of the  $\lambda^i$ -fixed locus.

Take a wall crossing between linearizations  $\mathcal{M}_{\pm}$ , with linearization  $\mathcal{M}_0$  on the wall. Then the semistable loci for  $\mathcal{M}_{\pm}$  may be obtained from the locus for  $\mathcal{M}_0$  by removing appropriate strata, say  $S_{\pm}^i$ . We use the following notion, specializing a situation considered by Halpern-Leistner [20, Definition 4.4].

**Definition 5.2.** [12, Definition 3.18] *A wall crossing is simple balanced if*

$$V^{\text{ss}}(\mathcal{M}_0) = V^{\text{ss}}(\mathcal{M}_{\pm}) \cup S_{\pm}$$

where  $S_{\pm}$  are strata for the linearizations  $\mathcal{M}_{\pm}$ , associated with equal fixed subvarieties  $Z$ , and inverse one-parameter subgroups, as follows.

$$\lambda_- = (\lambda_+)^{-1}$$

We adopt a convention that the subgroup  $\lambda_-$  is associated to the wall, and write  $\lambda = \lambda_-$  for brevity.

Consider a single stratum  $S$ , dropping indices  $i$  for simplicity. By construction,  $S$  consists of the points of  $X$  which flow to  $Z$  under  $\lambda$ , inducing a  $G$ -equivariant morphism  $\pi: S \rightarrow Z$ .

**5.2. Derived categories.** Assume for simplicity in this subsection that the GIT stratification consists of a single stratum.

As notation, for  $F^{\bullet} \in \text{D}(V/G)$ , write  $\text{wt}_{\lambda} F^{\bullet}$  for the set of  $\lambda$ -weights which appear in some derived restriction of  $F^{\bullet}$  to  $Z$ . Then for an integer  $w$ , a *window*  $\mathcal{G}^{[w, w+\eta]}$  is defined as the full subcategory of  $\text{D}(V/G)$  with objects

$$\mathcal{G}^{[w, w+\eta]} = \{ F^{\bullet} \in \text{D}(V/G) \mid \text{wt}_{\lambda} F^{\bullet} \subseteq [w, w+\eta] \},$$

where  $\eta$  is given as follows.

**Definition 5.3.** *The window width  $\eta$  is the  $\lambda$ -weight of  $\det \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\vee} V$  on  $Z$ .*

Then we have the following theorem of Halpern-Leistner, and Ballard, Favero, and Katzarkov.

**Theorem 5.4.** [20, 4] *The restriction functor to the GIT quotient*

$$\text{res}: \mathcal{G}^{[w, w+\eta]} \subset \text{D}(V/G) \longrightarrow \text{D}(V^{\text{ss}}/G)$$

*is an equivalence.*

Given a wall crossing, we may then make the following definition. Denote the GIT quotients corresponding to either side of the wall by  $X_{\pm}$ .

**Definition 5.5.** *Let the window equivalence*

$$\Phi^w: \text{D}(X_{\pm}) \longrightarrow \text{D}(X_{\mp})$$



be given by composition of equivalences from Theorem 5.4 as follows.

$$D(X_-) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}^{[w, w+\eta]} \xrightarrow{\sim} D(X_+)$$

The following lemma is given by Halpern-Leistner and Shipman. Write  $D(Z/G)^w$  for the full subcategory of  $D(Z/G)$  whose objects have  $\lambda$ -weight  $w$ , and define a subcategory  $\mathcal{G}^{[w, w+\eta]}$  of  $D(V/G)$  similarly to  $\mathcal{G}^{[w, w+\eta]}$  above.

**Lemma 5.6.** [21] *Recall that we have  $\pi: S \rightarrow Z$ , and let  $j$  be the inclusion of  $S$  into  $V$ . For each  $\lambda$ -weight  $w$ , the following functor is an embedding.*

$$\iota = j_*\pi^*: D(Z/G)^w \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{[w, w+\eta]}$$

**5.3. Spherical pair from wall crossing.** Assume given a simple balanced wall crossing for a toric GIT problem  $V/G$  as in Setup 5.1. In this subsection I review a construction of a spherical pair in this setting, dependent on an integer weight  $w$ .

Recall that the wall crossing has an associated subvariety  $Z$ , and one-parameter subgroup  $\lambda$ .

**Assumption 5.7.** [12, Theorem A] *Assume that:*

- (1) *the variety  $V$  is smooth in a  $G$ -equivariant neighbourhood of  $Z$ ;*
- (2) *the canonical sheaf  $\omega_V$  has  $\lambda$ -weight zero on  $Z$ .*

**Remark 5.8.** Note that this is satisfied in particular if  $V$  is smooth and  $G$ -equivariantly Calabi–Yau.

Recall that we take a wall crossing between linearizations  $\mathcal{M}_\pm$  with GIT quotients  $X_\pm$ , and linearization  $\mathcal{M}_0$  on the wall. Window widths  $\eta_\pm$  are defined to be the  $\lambda_\pm$ -weight of  $\det \mathcal{N}_{S_\pm}^\vee V$  on  $Z$ . We then have the following.

**Theorem 5.9.** [12, Theorem A] *Take a simple balanced wall crossing for a toric GIT problem  $V/G$  satisfying Assumption 5.7. It follows that  $\eta_+ = \eta_-$ , and we write  $\eta$  for their common value.*

- (1) *For each integer  $w$ , there exists a spherical pair  $\mathcal{P}$  determined by*

$$\langle D(X_-), D(Z/G)^w \rangle = \mathcal{P}_0 = \langle D(X_+), D(Z/G)^{w+\eta} \rangle$$

*where superscripts denote  $\lambda$ -weight subcategories, and  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is a full subcategory with objects*

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \{ F^\bullet \in D(V^{\text{ss}}(\mathcal{M}_0)/G) \mid \text{wt}_\lambda F^\bullet \subseteq [w, w + \eta] \}.$$

- (2) *The spherical pair  $\mathcal{P}$  induces window equivalences*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^w &: D(X_-) \longrightarrow D(X_+) \\ \Phi^{w+1} &: D(X_+) \longrightarrow D(X_-) \end{aligned}$$

*obtained by applying Definition 5.5 to  $V^{\text{ss}}(\mathcal{M}_0)/G$ .*

**5.4. Spherical functors.** We will use the following sequence of spherical functors obtained by Halpern-Leistner and Shipman [21, Section 3.2], and shown to arise from the spherical pair of Theorem 5.9 by the author [12].

**Corollary 5.10.** [12, Corollary 4.6] *In the setting of Theorem 5.9, the given spherical pair induces a spherical functor*

$$S^w = \text{res}_+ \circ \iota_- : D(Z/G)^w \longrightarrow D(X_+),$$

where  $\iota_-$  is obtained from Lemma 5.6, applied to  $V^{\text{ss}}(\mathcal{M}_0)/G$ .

**Notation 5.11.** Write  $\mathbb{T}^w$  for the twist of  $S^w$ .

**Proposition 5.12.** [12, Proposition 3.7(1)] *In the setting of Theorem 5.9, we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{T}^w \cong \Phi^w \circ \Phi^{w+1}$$

of autoequivalences of  $D(X_+)$ .

## 6. EXAMPLES: COMPLEX PLANE

In this section we obtain schobers on  $(\mathbb{C}, i\mathbb{Z})$  associated with GIT wall crossings and standard flops.


**6.1. Schober from wall crossing.** The spherical pair of Theorem 5.9 was dependent on a choice of integer weight  $w$ : the result below should be seen as gluing the resulting spherical pairs for different  $w$  to obtain a perverse schober on  $(\mathbb{C}, i\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *Take a simple balanced wall crossing for a toric GIT problem  $V/G$  satisfying Assumption 5.7, with GIT quotients  $X_{\pm}$ . There exists a schober  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $(\mathbb{C}, i\mathbb{Z})$  with*

- generic fibre  $D(X_+)$ , and
- monodromy around  $iw \in i\mathbb{Z}$  given by  $\mathbb{T}^w$  from Notation 5.11.

*Proof.* Taking a skeleton  $K^0$  below, construct a  $K^0$ -shadow  $\mathcal{P}_{K^0}$  by associating to  $iw$ , for  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the following spherical functor from Corollary 5.10.


$$S^w : D(Z/G)^w \longrightarrow D(X_+)$$



The braid group  $\mathrm{Br}_\infty$  acts simply transitively on the set of isotopy classes of finitary skeleta, so any such isotopy class  $K$  is of the form  $\sigma K^0$  for a unique  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Br}_\infty$ . Then we may define a  $K$ -shadow (unique up to isomorphism) by applying the transformation rule for shadows from Definition 2.7 to  $\mathcal{P}_{K^0}$ , and verify compatibilities as in Definition 2.9.  $\square$

The following is then immediate, using Proposition 5.12.

**Corollary 6.2.** *In the setting of Theorem 6.1, the restriction of  $\mathcal{P}$  to  $\mathbb{C} - i\mathbb{Z}$  is a local system of categories as follows, with a refinement as indicated.*



**Example 6.3.** Take vector spaces  $U_\pm$  with  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -actions having strictly positive weights with the same sum. Then  $V = U_+ \oplus U_-^\vee$  is  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariantly Calabi–Yau, and so the above applies. The quotients  $X_\pm$  are related by a flop exchanging orbifold weighted projective spaces  $\mathbb{P}U_+$  and  $\mathbb{P}U_-^\vee$ .

**6.2. Schober for standard flop.** For a contraction  $X \rightarrow Y$  let  $E$  denote the exceptional locus, and recall that  $D(X|E)$  is the full subcategory of  $D(X)$  whose objects have (set-theoretic) support on  $E$ .

**Proposition 6.4.** *Take a standard flopping contraction  $X \rightarrow Y$ , so that in particular  $E \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ . Then the schober of Theorem 6.1 induces a schober  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $(\mathbb{C}, i\mathbb{Z})$  with*

- generic fibre  $D(X|E)$ , and
- monodromy around  $iw \in i\mathbb{Z}$  given by the spherical twist  $\mathbb{T}^w$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E(w)$ .

*Proof.* We first prove the result for a local model of the flopping contraction, denoted  $X_\pm \rightarrow Y_0$ , as follows. Take a vector space  $U$  with projectivization  $E$ , and let  $V = U \oplus U^\vee$  with  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action induced by the scalar action on  $U$ . The two GIT quotients  $X_\pm$  are the two sides of the flop, and have flopping contractions to the affine quotient  $Y_0 = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}[V]^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ . The exceptional locus in  $X_+$  is  $E$ , given by the quotient of the subspace  $U \oplus 0$  of  $V$ , after removing the unstable point 0.

Now  $V$  is smooth and Calabi–Yau, so Theorem 6.1 gives a perverse schober  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $(\mathbb{C}, i\mathbb{Z})$  with generic fibre  $D(X_+)$ . The fixed locus  $Z$  is 0, so the categories  $D(Z/G)^w$  appearing in the proof are just  $D(\mathrm{pt})$ . Using


Corollary 5.10, we find that the monodromy around  $iw$  is the spherical twist by  $\mathcal{O}_E(w)$ . This lies in  $D(X_+|E)$ , and therefore the monodromies preserve the latter category: we thus obtain a schober on  $(\mathbb{C}, i\mathbb{Z})$  with generic fibre  $D(X_+|E)$ , and with the required monodromy property.

It remains to construct a similar schober with generic fibre  $D(X|E)$ . As  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $X_+ \rightarrow Y_0$  are standard flopping contractions with shared exceptional locus  $E$ , the formal completions of  $\widehat{X}$  and  $\widehat{X}_+$  along  $E$  are isomorphic, and so  $D(\widehat{X}) \cong D(\widehat{X}_+)$ . The images of the restriction functors

$$D(X|E) \longrightarrow D(\widehat{X}) \quad \text{and} \quad D(X_+|E) \longrightarrow D(\widehat{X}_+)$$

coincide under this equivalence. We conclude  $D(X|E) \cong D(X_+|E)$ , where this last equivalence identifies objects  $\mathcal{O}_E(w)$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

The restriction of the schober  $\mathcal{P}$  to  $\mathbb{C} - i\mathbb{Z}$  is a local system as follows.



## 7. EXAMPLES: KÄHLER MODULI SPACE

In this section we study  $X \rightarrow Y$  a standard 3-fold flopping contraction, that is a contraction of a single  $(-1, -1)$ -curve  $E$ . There is currently no accepted mathematical definition of the stringy Kähler moduli space (SKMS) in general. However, as a heuristic for this example, we take

$$M = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{3 \text{ points}\}.$$

This heuristic is discussed below: we then construct schobers on partial compactifications of  $M$ , and relate them to the previous section.

**7.1. Discussion.** The space  $M$  may be obtained, following Toda, as a quotient of a certain space of normalized Bridgeland central charges on  $D(X|E)$ , see [35, end of Section 5.2, Example]. This turns out to be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{Z}$ . We write  $M$  for the quotient by the natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action, and  $\overline{M}$  for the partial compactification corresponding to the orbit  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{Z} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \hookrightarrow & \overline{M} \end{array}$$

Consequently we may write

$$\overline{M} = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{2 \text{ points}\} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \overline{M} - \{p\}.$$

**Remark 7.1.** For discussion in the physics literature, see for instance work of Aspinwall [3, around Figure 2]. The point  $p$  is referred to as the conifold point, following physics terminology.

**Remark 7.2.** As a warning, in the case where  $X$  is the local model given by the total space of  $\mathcal{O}_E(-1)^{\oplus 2}$ ,  $M$  may in fact be a double cover of the SKMS, as this  $X$  and its flop happen to be isomorphic. For discussion, see joint work of the author and E. Segal [13] where  $M$  appears as the Fayet–Iliopoulos parameter space, in particular [13, Remark 2.8].

**7.2. Schober on complex plane.** The contraction  $X \rightarrow Y$  yields a schober on  $(\mathbb{C}, i\mathbb{Z})$  with generic fibre  $D(X|E)$ , using Proposition 6.4. Changing conventions, we may take a schober  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z})$  with monodromy  $T^w$  around the integer  $w + 1$ , as in Figure 4.




FIGURE 4. Monodromies for schober  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Notation 7.3.** Write  $\mathcal{P}^\circ$  for the restriction of  $\mathcal{P}$  to  $\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{Z}$ .

**7.3. Schober on partial compactification  $\overline{M}$ .** To prepare to construct such a schober, in Theorem 7.10, we construct a spherical pair: this is a variant of a result of Bodzenta and Bondal [7], specialized to a standard 3-fold flop.

**Proposition 7.4.** *Take a standard 3-fold flopping contraction  $X_+ \rightarrow Y$ , with flop  $X_-$ , and exceptional curves  $E_\pm$ . There exists a spherical pair  $\mathcal{S}$  determined by*

$$\langle D(X_-|E_-), D(\text{pt}) \rangle = \mathcal{S}_0 = \langle D(X_+|E_+), D(\text{pt}) \rangle$$

*inducing the flop functors  $F$  of Bondal–Orlov [8] as half-monodromies, where  $\mathcal{S}_0$  is defined in the proof.*

*Proof.* We show this first for a local model. Consider, as in the proof of Proposition 6.4, the GIT quotient  $V/\mathbb{C}^*$ , with  $V = U \oplus U^\vee$  where  $U = \mathbb{C}^2$

and the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action is induced by the scalar action of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  on  $U$ . Write  $X_{\pm}^{\text{loc}}$  for the associated quotients. Then Theorem 5.9 gives a spherical pair

$$\langle D(X_-^{\text{loc}}), D(\text{pt}) \rangle = \mathcal{P}_0 = \langle D(X_+^{\text{loc}}), D(\text{pt}) \rangle \quad (3)$$

where we let  $w = -1$ , so that

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \left\{ F^\bullet \in D(V/\mathbb{C}^*) \mid \text{wt } F^\bullet \subseteq [-1, +1] \right\}.$$

Half-monodromy equivalences for this spherical pair, from Theorem 5.9(2), coincide with the flop functors by, for instance [13, Proposition 2.3]. The categories  $D(\text{pt})$  embed in  $\mathcal{P}_0$  by taking  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{pt}}$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{U \oplus 0}(-1)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{0 \oplus U^\vee}(+1)$  respectively, according to Lemma 5.6, where  $(\pm 1)$  denote  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -weights. Define  $\mathcal{S}_0$  as the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{P}_0$  with objects supported on the union of  $U \oplus 0$  and  $0 \oplus U^\vee$ . Restricting the semi-orthogonal decompositions from (3) to  $\mathcal{S}_0$  gives, for the local model, a spherical pair with the required properties.

Finally, note that for a flop  $X_{\pm}$  as in the statement, we have

$$D(X_{\pm}|E_{\pm}) \cong D(X_{\pm}^{\text{loc}}|E_{\pm}^{\text{loc}})$$

by the argument of Proposition 6.4, and that these equivalences intertwine the flop functors. The result follows.  $\square$

**Remark 7.5.** Bodzenta and Bondal [7] construct spherical pairs for flops of curves in much greater generality: these spherical pairs are determined by a category with a geometric description, in terms of the birational roof of the flop, which could be adapted to the setting of the proposition above.

The following is standard, and is used below.

**Proposition 7.6.** *Take a standard 3-fold flopping contraction  $X_+ \rightarrow Y$ , with flop  $X_-$ , and exceptional curves  $E_{\pm}$ . Then the flop-flop functor  $\text{FF}$  acting on  $D(X_{\pm})$  and the twist by  $\mathcal{O}_{E_{\pm}}(-1)$  are inverse.*

*Proof.* This follows by work of Toda [34, Theorem 3.1], noting a sign correction in [36, Appendix B]: these references take  $X$  projective, but the methods extend to the quasi-projective case, see for instance [14, 15].  $\square$

We make the following assumption to prove Theorem 7.10 below.

**Assumption 7.7.** *Assume there exist line bundles  $\mathcal{L}_{\pm}$  on  $X_{\pm}$  such that:*

- (1) *the restriction of  $\mathcal{L}_{\pm}$  to  $E_{\pm}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ ;*
- (2) *the restrictions of  $\mathcal{L}_-$  and  $\mathcal{L}_+$  to  $X_- - E_- \cong X_+ - E_+$  are dual.*

**Remark 7.8.** This assumptions holds in the local model where  $X_+$  and its flop  $X_-$  are isomorphic to the total space of the bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus 2}$ : we may take the pullback of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ . However, it may fail in general.

We now construct a schober on  $\overline{M}$ , and relate it to the schober  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  from Subsection 7.2. We let  $\overline{M} = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{\pm 1\}$ , and define  $g: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \overline{M}$  taking


$$z \mapsto (1 - e^{2\pi iz}) / (1 + e^{2\pi iz})$$

considered as a point of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  in the natural way. We let  $M = \overline{M} - \{0\}$ .

**Remark 7.9.** This  $g$  is modelled on the quotient map from Subsection 7.1.

**Theorem 7.10.** *Take a standard 3-fold flopping contraction  $X_+ \rightarrow Y$ , with flop  $X_-$ , and exceptional curves  $E_\pm$ , satisfying Assumption 7.7. There exists a schober  $\mathcal{Q}$  on  $(\overline{M}, \{0\})$ , restricting to a local system  $\mathcal{Q}^\circ$  on  $M$ , such that:*


- (1) the local system  $\mathcal{Q}^\circ$  is as follows;



- (2) the data associated to 0 in  $\overline{M}$  is the category  $\mathcal{S}_0$  of Proposition 7.4.

**Remark 7.11.** Taking Grothendieck groups gives a local system on  $M$ , which should relate to known ways to parallel transport  $K$ -groups, for instance as in work of Coates, Iritani, and Jiang [11]: see in particular [11, Figure 3].

*Proof of Theorem 7.10.* Take basepoints  $x_\pm = g(\pm i)$  in  $M$ , and choose  $\Delta$  in  $\overline{M}$  as below, with  $x_\pm$  on its boundary.



We associate  $D(X_\pm|E_\pm)$  to  $x_\pm$ , and claim that the diagram of functors above gives a local system  $\mathcal{Q}^\circ$  on  $M$ . We need only check that the following monodromy around infinity is trivial:

$$F^{-1} \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{L}_-) \circ F^{-1} \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{L}_+).$$

This is indeed isomorphic to the identity, by Proposition 7.12 below, using Assumption 7.7. We now apply Proposition 4.9 to extend  $\mathcal{Q}^\circ$  over  $0 \in \overline{M}$ . We need a spherical pair on  $\Delta$  which induces the same local system on  $\partial\Delta$  as  $\mathcal{Q}^\circ$ : this is supplied by Proposition 7.4, and we are done.  $\square$

The following proposition is standard.

**Proposition 7.12.** *For a standard 3-fold flop as in Theorem 7.10, satisfying Assumption 7.7, we have isomorphic functors  $D(X_-|E_-) \rightarrow D(X_+|E_+)$ :*

$$F^{-1} \cong (- \otimes \mathcal{L}_+^\vee) \circ F \circ (- \otimes \mathcal{L}_-^\vee)$$

*Proof.* Blowing up  $X_\pm$  in  $E_\pm$  gives a birational roof  $\widehat{X}$  as follows.

$$X_- \xleftarrow{p_-} \widehat{X} \xrightarrow{p_+} X_+$$

To calculate the left-hand side of the claimed isomorphism, we use that  $F = p_{-*} \circ p_+^*$ , so by taking right adjoints we have

$$F^{-1} = p_{+*} \circ p_-^! = p_{+*} \circ (\omega_{p_-} \otimes -) \circ p_-^*.$$

Here  $\omega_{p_-} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(\widehat{E})$ , where  $\widehat{E}$  is the shared exceptional locus of  $p_\pm$ .

Consider now the line bundle

$$p_-^* \mathcal{L}_-^\vee \otimes p_+^* \mathcal{L}_+^\vee.$$

This is trivial on  $\widehat{X} - \widehat{E} \cong X_\pm - E_\pm$  by Assumption 7.7(2), and is therefore isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(k\widehat{E})$  for some integer  $k$ . It restricts to  $\widehat{E} \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  as  $\mathcal{O}(-1, -1)$  by Assumption 7.7(1), and the same is true for  $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(\widehat{E})$  by an adjunction argument, see for instance [24, Section 11.3]: we deduce  $k = 1$ . An isomorphism of functors  $D(X_-) \rightarrow D(X_+)$  as in the statement then follows by the projection formula. Finally, we note that the functors restrict to the categories  $D(X_\pm|E_\pm)$ , and the result is proved.  $\square$

The following relates  $\mathcal{Q}$  to the schober  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  from Subsection 7.2.

**Proposition 7.13.** *In the setting of Theorem 7.10, the pullback  $g^* \mathcal{Q}^\circ$  to  $\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{Z}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{P}^\circ$  from Subsection 7.2.*

*Proof.* Observe that  $g^{-1}(x_\pm) = \pm i + \mathbb{Z}$ , for  $x_\pm$  the basepoints from the proof of Theorem 7.10. It follows immediately that  $g^* \mathcal{Q}^\circ$  is a local system on  $\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{Z}$  given as follows.



$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \xrightarrow{\otimes \mathcal{L}_+} & & \xrightarrow{\otimes \mathcal{L}_+} & & \\
 \cdots & D(X_+|E_+) & & D(X_+|E_+) & & D(X_+|E_+) & \cdots \\
 & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
 \cdots & \text{F} \left( \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \right) \text{F} & \cdots & \text{F} \left( \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \right) \text{F} & \cdots & \text{F} \left( \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \right) \text{F} & \cdots \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \cdots & D(X_-|E_-) & & D(X_-|E_-) & & D(X_-|E_-) & \cdots \\
 & & \xleftarrow{\otimes \mathcal{L}_-} & & \xleftarrow{\otimes \mathcal{L}_-} & & 
 \end{array}$$

This is a refinement of  $\mathcal{P}^\circ$  as shown in Figure 4, because  $T^w$  is inverse to

$$(- \otimes \mathcal{L}_+^{\otimes w+1}) \text{FF}(- \otimes \mathcal{L}_+^{\vee \otimes w+1})$$

using Proposition 7.6, and so the claim follows.  $\square$

**7.4. Schober on compactification.** Under the following assumption, the schober  $\mathcal{Q}$  extends from  $\overline{M} = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{\pm 1\}$  to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  in a straightforward manner.

**Assumption 7.14.** *Assume there exist smooth divisors  $Z_\pm$  of  $X_\pm$  such that:*

- (1) *the bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{X_\pm}(Z_\pm)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ ;*
- (2) *the divisors  $Z_\pm$  intersects  $E_\pm$  transversely in a single point  $x_\pm$ .*

**Proposition 7.15.** *In the setting of Theorem 7.10, the schober  $\mathcal{Q}$  extends from  $\overline{M}$  to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  if Assumption 7.14 holds.*

*Proof.* We apply Proposition 4.10 twice to  $\mathcal{Q}$ , taking points  $\pm 1$  respectively. For this we need to express, for instance,  $- \otimes \mathcal{L}_+ \cong - \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_+}(Z_+)$  as a twist of a spherical functor.

We proceed as in Addington [1, Section 1.2, Example 4]. Recall that the cotwist of a functor  $S$  is defined as follows

$$C_S := \text{Cone} \left( \text{Id} \xrightarrow{\text{unit}} S^{\text{RA}} \circ S \right)$$

where  $S^{\text{RA}}$  denotes a right adjoint. Dropping the subscripts  $+$  for brevity, let  $i$  be the inclusion of  $Z$  in  $X$ , and take  $S = i^*$ . Then  $S^{\text{RA}} \circ S = i_* i^* \cong - \otimes i_* \mathcal{O}_Z$  and thence

$$C_S = - \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-Z)[1].$$

Now by Anno–Logvinenko [2, Proposition 5.3] (noting that the definition of cotwist here differs by a shift) we have a left adjoint

$$C_S^{\text{LA}} \cong \text{Cone} \left( S^{\text{LA}} \circ S \xrightarrow{\text{counit}} \text{Id} \right)[-1] = T_{S^{\text{LA}}}[-1].$$

Combining, we see that the spherical functor

$$S^{\text{LA}} = i_! = i_*(\omega_i[\dim i] \otimes -) \cong i_*(\det \mathcal{N}_Z X \otimes -)[-1]$$

from  $D(Z)$  to  $D(X)$  has the required twist, and we are done.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Addington, *New derived symmetries of some hyperkähler varieties*, Alg. Geom. **3** (2) (2016) 223–260, [arXiv:1112.0487](#).
- [2] R. Anno and T. Logvinenko, *Spherical DG functors*, J. Eur. Math. Soc **19** (9) (2017) 2577–2656, [arXiv:1309.5035](#).
- [3] P. Aspinwall, *A Point’s Point of View of Stringy Geometry*, [arXiv:hep-th/0203111](#).
- [4] M. Ballard, D. Favero, and L. Katzarkov, *Variation of geometric invariant theory quotients and derived categories*, [arXiv:1203.6643](#), to appear J. Reine Angew. Math.
- [5] A. Beilinson, *How to glue perverse sheaves*, in K-theory, arithmetic and geometry (Moscow, 1984), Lecture Notes in Math. **1289**, Springer-Verlag, 1987, 42–51.
- [6] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque (Société Mathématique de France, Paris) **100**, 1982, available at [webusers.imj-prg.fr](#).
- [7] A. Bondzenta and A. Bondal, *Flops and spherical functors*, [arXiv:1511.00665](#).
- [8] A. Bondal and D. Orlov, *Semiorthogonal decomposition for algebraic varieties*, [arXiv:alg-geom/9506012](#).
- [9] A. Bondal, M. Kapranov, and V. Schechtman, *Perverse schobers and birational geometry*, Selecta Math. **24** (1) (2018), 85–143, [arXiv:1801.08286](#).
- [10] K. Chan, D. Pomerleano, and K. Ueda, *Lagrangian torus fibrations and homological mirror symmetry for the conifold*, Comm. Math. Phys. **341** (1) (2016), 135–178, [arXiv:1305.0968](#).
- [11] T. Coates, H. Iritani, and Y. Jiang, *The crepant transformation conjecture for toric complete intersections*, Adv. Math. **329** (2018) 1002–1087, [arXiv:1410.0024](#).
- [12] W. Donovan, *Perverse schobers and wall crossing*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2017) rnx280, [arXiv:1703.00592](#).
- [13] W. Donovan and E. Segal, *Mixed braid group actions from deformations of surface singularities*, Comm. Math. Phys. **335** (1) (2014), 497–543, [arXiv:1310.7877](#).
- [14] W. Donovan and M. Wemyss, *Noncommutative deformations and flops*, Duke Math. J. **165** (8) (2016) 1397–1474, [arXiv:1309.0698](#).
- [15] ———, *Twists and braids for general 3-fold flops*, to appear J. Eur. Math. Soc, [arXiv:1504.05320](#).
- [16] T. Dyckerhoff, M. Kapranov, V. Schechtman, and Y. Soibelman, *Perverse schobers on surfaces and Fukaya categories with coefficients*, in preparation.
- [17] P. Fabel, *The mapping class group of a disk with infinitely many holes*, J. Knot Theory Ramif. **15**, 21 (2006), [arXiv:math/0303042](#).
- [18] Y.-W. Fan, H. Hong, S.-C. Lau, and S.-T. Yau, *Mirror of Atiyah flop in symplectic geometry and stability conditions*, [arXiv:1706.02942](#).
- [19] S. Gelfand, R. MacPherson, and K. Vilonen, *Perverse sheaves and quivers*, Duke Math. J., **83** (3) (1996), 621–643.
- [20] D. Halpern-Leistner, *The derived category of a GIT quotient*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **28** (3) (2015) 871–912, [arXiv:1203.0276](#).
- [21] D. Halpern-Leistner and S. Sam, *Combinatorial constructions of derived equivalences*, [arXiv:1601.02030](#).
- [22] D. Halpern-Leistner and I. Shipman, *Autoequivalences of derived categories via geometric invariant theory*, Adv. Math. **303** (2016) 1264–1299, [arXiv:1303.5531](#).
- [23] A. Harder and L. Katzarkov, *Perverse sheaves of categories and some applications*, [arXiv:1708.01181](#).
- [24] D. Huybrechts. *Fourier–Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 2006. viii+307 pp.

- [25] L. Katzarkov, P. Pandit, and T. Spaide, *Calabi–Yau structures, spherical functors, and shifted symplectic structures*, [arXiv:1701.07789](#).
- [26] M. Kapranov and V. Schechtman, *Perverse sheaves over real hyperplane arrangements*, *Ann. Math.* **183** (2) (2016) 619–679, [arXiv:1403.5800](#).
- [27] ———, *Perverse schobers*, [arXiv:1411.2772](#).
- [28] ———, *Perverse sheaves and graphs on surfaces*, [arXiv:1601.01789](#).
- [29] M. Kashiwara, *On the maximally overdetermined system of linear differential equations. I*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **10** (1974/75), 563–579.
- [30] ———, *The Riemann-Hilbert problem for holonomic systems*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **20** (2) (1984), 319–365.
- [31] Z. Mebkhout, *Une autre équivalence de catégories*, *Compositio Math.* **51** (1) (1984) 63–88.
- [32] D. Nadler, *Mirror symmetry for the Landau-Ginzburg A-model  $M = \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $W = z_1 \dots z_n$* , [arXiv:1601.02977](#).
- [33] E. Segal, *All autoequivalences are spherical twists*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2017) rnw326, [arXiv:1603.06717](#).
- [34] Y. Toda, *On a certain generalization of spherical twists*, *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France* **135**, fascicule 1 (2007), 119–134, [arXiv:math/0603050](#).
- [35] ———, *Stability conditions and crepant small resolutions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **360** (2008), 6149–6178, [arXiv:math/0512648](#).
- [36] ———, *Non-commutative width and Gopakumar–Vafa invariants*, *Manuscripta Math.* **148** (2015), no. 3–4, 521–533, [arXiv:1411.1505](#).

YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, HAIDIAN DISTRICT, BEIJING 100084, CHINA

*E-mail address:* [donovan@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn](mailto:donovan@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn)